



HAL
open science

Prokaryotic, Microeukaryotic, and Fungal Composition in a Long-Term Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Contaminated Brownfield

Flavien Maucourt, Aurélie Cébron, Hélène Budzinski, Karyn Le Menach, Laurent Peluhet, Sonia Czarnes, Delphine Melayah, David Chapulliot, Laurent Vallon, Gaël Plassart, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Flavien Maucourt, Aurélie Cébron, Hélène Budzinski, Karyn Le Menach, Laurent Peluhet, et al.. Prokaryotic, Microeukaryotic, and Fungal Composition in a Long-Term Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Contaminated Brownfield. *Microbial ecology*, 2023, 86 (3), pp.1696 - 1708. 10.1007/s00248-022-02161-y . hal-04276436

HAL Id: hal-04276436

<https://hal.science/hal-04276436v1>

Submitted on 10 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Prokaryotic, microeukaryotic and fungal composition in a long-**
2 **term polychlorinated biphenyl-contaminated brownfield**

3 Flavien Maucourt¹, Aurélie Cébron², Hélène Budzinski³, Karyn Le Menach³, Laurent Peluhet³, Sonia
4 Czarnes¹, Delphine Melayah¹, David Chapulliot¹, Laurent Vallon¹, Gaël Plassart⁴, Mylène Hugoni^{1,5a},
5 Laurence Fraissinet-Tachet^{1a*}

6 ¹ *Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR Ecologie Microbienne, Villeurbanne, F-*
7 *69622 France*

8 ² *Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LIEC, Nancy F-54000, France*

9 ³ *Université de Bordeaux, CNRS, EPOC, Bordeaux F-33405, France*

10 ⁴ *ENVISOL, 2-4 rue Hector Berlioz, La Tour du Pin F-38110, France*

11 ⁵ *Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)*

12 ^a *Contributed equally to this work*

13 *Current address for M. Hugoni and D. Melayah: Univ Lyon, INSA Lyon, CNRS, UMR 5240 Microbiologie Adaptation et*
14 *Pathogénie, F-69621 Villeurbanne, France*

15

16 **Corresponding author:** Laurence Fraissinet-Tachet – laurence.fraissinet-tachet@univ-lyon1.fr

17 **Authors ORCID:**

18 Laurence Fraissinet-Tachet: [0000-0003-0073-8761](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0073-8761)

19 Mylène Hugoni: 0000-0002-2430-1057

20 Maucourt Flavien : 0000-0002-8030-5394

21 **Keywords:** polychlorinated biphenyls, metabarcoding, microbial communities, brownfield, soil,
22 pollution

23 **Running title:** Microbial diversity into a PCB-contaminated soil

24 1

25 **1 Abstract**

26 Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are recognized as persistent organic pollutants, and accumulate in
27 organisms, soils, waters, and sediments, causing major health and ecological perturbations. Literature
28 reported PCB bio-transformation by fungi and bacteria *in vitro*, but data about the *in situ* impact of those
29 compounds on microbial communities remained scarce while being useful to guide biotransformation
30 assays. The present work investigated for the first time microbial diversity from the three-domains-of-
31 life in a long-term contaminated brownfield (a former factory land). Soil samples were ranked according
32 to their PCB concentrations and a significant increase in abundance was shown according to increased
33 concentrations. Microbial communities structure showed a segregation from the least to the most PCB-
34 polluted samples. Among the identified microorganisms, *Bacteria* belonging to *Gammaproteobacteria*
35 class, as well as *Fungi* affiliated to *Saccharomycetes* class or *Pleurotaceae* family, including some
36 species known to transform some PCBs were abundantly retrieved in the highly polluted soil samples.

37 **2 Introduction**

38 Microbial diversity is crucial for soil ecosystem functioning and ecosystemic services they deliver [1].
39 In the last centuries, human activities have broadly altered soil quality and functioning, including micro-
40 organisms, by using toxic compounds known as xenobiotics. Among those xenobiotics, persistent
41 organic pollutants (POPs) are of major health and ecological concerns as many bioaccumulated and
42 biomagnified in the trophic network [2]. According to the Stockholm Convention from 2001, POPs
43 included the class of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) consisting in poly-chlorinated aromatic
44 compounds (209 theoretical chlorinated forms named congeners and 150 physically synthesizable
45 forms), used in the industry from 1929 to their prohibition at the end of 1980s as they were recognized
46 as endocrine disruptors and carcinogenic substances [3, 4]. Presently, those molecules are still recovered
47 in organisms as well as in ecosystems, from soils to waters and sediments. PCB soil decontamination

48 represents a challenge for management and restoration policies of impacted sites and mostly involves
49 physico-chemical methods which are expensive, long and often generating by-products like furans or
50 dioxins, also known as carcinogenic molecules [5]. A recent costless alternative, consisting in
51 bioremediation (*i.e.* process used to treat contaminated media by living organisms) emerged from several
52 studies highlighting the capacity of microorganisms to transform some PCB molecules [6, 7].

53 Most studies focusing on bacterial and fungal PCB transformation were conducted *in vitro* [7–9]
54 but recent bioremediation and rhizoremediation studies of PCB contaminated sites have been reported
55 supporting that bioremediation is a promising way to depollute PCBs [10–13]. Those previous works
56 showed the ability of microorganisms affiliated mainly with *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Firmicutes*,
57 *Chloroflexi*, *Dehalococcoides* and the white rot *Fungi* functional guild to transform PCBs [6, 14, 15].
58 Other non-lignolytic *Ascomycota* fungi were also reported as PCB transformers such as *Purpureocillium*
59 *lilacinus* [16]. Because of their hyphal structure, all these filamentous fungi are suggested as good
60 candidates to easily penetrate polluted matrices and access to poorly bio-accessible pollutants [17]. Those
61 filamentous fungi can also act as dispersion vector of bacteria [17] even if bacteria already have good
62 reported dispersal capabilities [18]. These *in vitro* studies mostly analyzed the transformation of few
63 PCBs suggesting that their depollution action with respect to all PCBs congeners is finally quite partial.
64 Moreover, the actual limit of *in vitro* PCB transformation studies is the lack of cultured microbial
65 representatives. In contrast, *in situ* studies are still scarce and few characterized the cultivable fraction of
66 *Fungi* retrieved in PCB-polluted-soils. Previous works showed the presence of *Acremonium*, *Aspergillus*,
67 *Paecilomyces*, *Penicillium*, *Phomopsis*, *Cladosporium*, *Doratomyces*, *Myceliophthora* by using
68 cultivation methods [8, 19–21]. By using 454 pyrosequencing, more recent *in situ* studies identified
69 *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Firmicutes* and *Basidiomycota* phyla, including species already known
70 to transform PCBs (Stella *et al.*, 2017; Zenteno-Rojas *et al.*, 2020). Other studies also reported that PCB

71 contamination increased soil fungal richness and promoted the development of bacterial species able to
72 metabolize these pollutants [24, 25]. But among these studies focusing on microbial diversity under PCB
73 contaminated ecosystems, none investigated micro-eukaryotes (other than *Fungi*) neither archaeal
74 diversity. Moreover, soil *in situ* studies are still scarce while they represent the better way to fully assess
75 microbial diversity that could be involved in PCB transformation at the ecosystemic level.

76 We hypothesized that in long term PCB contaminated soils, pollution impact microorganisms
77 composition from the three-domains-of-life and select those adapted and potentially involved in
78 transformation of some PCBs. In the present work, we aimed at testing if microbial abundance and
79 composition from the three-domains-of-life differ in contrasted PCB concentrations to identify PCB
80 tolerant microorganisms including some possibly involved into PCB transformation. This work took
81 advantage of a brownfield (a formerly factory land) that presented a spatially heterogeneous PCB
82 exposition.

83 **3 Experimental Procedures**

84 **3.1 Study site, sampling, and PCB concentrations**

85 Soil, whose characteristics were detailed into the supplementary experimental procedures, was sampled
86 in a peri-urban site in Pont-de-Claix, Isère, France (45,136578°N 5,697205°E). This site is a brownfield
87 where a painting factory was established in 1956, demolished and forsaken since 2009. In 2017,
88 engineering consultants from ENVISOL (www.envisol.net) transformed this brownfield (contaminated
89 by PCBs for more than six decades) into an experimental site for the research and innovation CRISALID
90 project in field of sustainable development and brownfield depollution (Centre de Réflexion ISérois en
91 Aménagement Durable, IDfriches: <http://www.crisalid-idfriches.fr>). On October the 15th 2018, twenty
92 soil cores were randomly sampled, on a sampling grid with samples separated by at least 4 meters apart

93 from two different geographical areas on the brownfield, called “Zone 2” and “Zone 3/4” corresponding
94 to paint storage and production areas respectively. Briefly, for each sample, 500 g of soil was taken out,
95 from 0 to 10 cm depth with 12-cm diameter, sieved (2-mm), homogenized manually and stored at -80°C
96 in 50 g portions until nucleic acid extraction and PCB chemical assay of 71 congeners as described in
97 supplementary text. Complementarily, for each sample, 375 g of sieved-soil stored few days at 4°C have
98 been directly used for indicator PCB (iPCB) assay according to GC/MS/MS method [Hexane / Acetone
99 extract] – NF EN 16167 – XP X 33-012 method (Eurofins).

100 3.2 C-CO₂ Microbial mineralization and enzymatic biological activity assay

101 For microbial mineralization, ten grams of dry weight soil, adjusted to 60% soil water retention capacity
102 (WRC), were incubated at 24°C into 150 ml glass flasks hermetically sealed. A 3-mL portion of the flask
103 atmosphere was sampled with a syringe and analyzed for CO₂ content through infrared
104 spectrophotometer (Binos 1004) [26]. Microbial activity was evaluated using fluorescein diacetate
105 (FDA) as a substrate on soil samples in triplicates at the SEMSE laboratory (<https://www.semse.fr>)
106 according to a protocol derived from Schnürer & Rosswall (1982) [27]. Experimental details were
107 developed into the supplementary text.

108 3.3 DNA extraction and Illumina sequencing

109 Three DNA extractions were conducted from 2 g of soil for each sample, pooled and quantified by
110 Nanodrop (ND 1000 S Spectrophotometer®). A first step allowing protein removal was conducted using
111 phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25/24/1) using the Power Soil DNA Isolation kit according to the
112 manufacturer recommendation (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Additionally, a DNA
113 extraction was carried out without any biological matrix, quantified, and considered as a negative control
114 to evaluate eventual ambient contaminations. Quality was verified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.
115 For sequencing, PCR were performed, in triplicates, on a Biorad C1000 thermal cycler (Biorad), using:

116 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Illkirch, France), 1X PCR Buffer, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 0.8 μM
117 of each primer, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 8 μg of BSA (New England Biolabs) and 20 ng of soil
118 metagenomic DNA, in a final volume of 25 μL. The PCR programs, for the 16S and 18S rRNA
119 amplifications, were both composed of 10 min at 94°C, 35 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 1 min at 58°C, and
120 1 min 30 sec at 72 °C, followed by 10 min at 72°C. For fungal ITS amplification, PCR conditions used
121 were 3 min at 94 °C, 35 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 45 s at 50°C, and 45 s at 72 °C, followed by 7 min at
122 72°C. The three PCR replicates from each sample were pooled, purified with Agencourt AMPure XP
123 PCR Purification kit (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France), and quantified using the Quant-iT Picogreen
124 dsDNA Assay Kit (Life Technologies, NY, USA). Prokaryotic amplification of the V3-V5 region of the
125 16S rRNA genes was performed using the universal primers 515F (5'- α-GTG-YCA-GCM-GCC-GCG-
126 GTA -3' [28]) and 909R (5'- β-CCC-CGY-CAA-TTC-MTT-TRA-GT -3' [29]). Eukaryotic
127 amplification of the V4 region of the 18S rRNA genes was performed using the universal primers
128 TAREuk_F (5'-α-CCA-GCA-SCY-GCG-GTA-ATT-CC -3') and TAREuk_R (5'-β-ACT-TTC-GTT-
129 CTT-GAT-YRA -3') [30]. Fungal amplification of the ITS-2 region was conducted using the primers
130 fITS7 (5'- α-GTG-YCA-GCM-GCC-GCG-GGT-A-3') and ITS4 (5'- β-TCC-TCC-GCT-TAT-TGA-
131 TAT-GC-3') [31]. For all primer sets, α and β represented the two Illumina overhanging adapter
132 sequences (TCG TCG GCA GCG TCA GAT GTG TAT AAG AGA CAG and GTC TCG TGG GCT
133 CGG AGA TGT GTA TAA GAG ACA G, for α and β, respectively) allowing the construction of
134 amplicon libraries by a two-step PCR. Amplicons libraries production was produced on the Illumina
135 MiSeq platform (2 x 250-bp paired-end reads) by Biofidal, Vaulx-en-Velin, France -
136 <http://www.biofidal-lab.com>.

137 3.4 Sequence processing

138 Prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene, eukaryotic 18S rRNA gene and ITS paired-end reads were merged with a
139 maximum of 10% mismatches in the overlap region using Vsearch [32]. Additional denoising procedures
140 consisted in discarding reads either with no expected length (i.e. expected size between 250 to 580 bp,
141 250 to 450 bp, 200 to 600 bp for prokaryotic 16S rRNA genes, eukaryotic 18S rRNA genes and ITS
142 regions, respectively) or containing ambiguous bases (N). After dereplication, the clusterisation tool ran
143 with SWARM [33] that used a local clustering threshold. In the present work, the aggregation distance
144 equals 3. Chimeras were then removed using Vsearch and low abundance sequences were filtered at
145 0.005%, i.e. Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) with at least 0.005% of all sequences were kept [34].
146 Taxonomic affiliation was performed with both RDP Classifier [35] and Blastn+ [36] against the 132
147 SILVA database [37] for 16S and 18S rRNA genes and the ITS region. This procedure was automated
148 in the FROGS pipeline [38]. Then, a normalization procedure was applied to compare samples and the
149 datasets were randomly resampled down to 5,544 sequences for prokaryotic marker, to 6,845 sequences
150 for 18S rRNA amplicons and to 12,407 for ITS region.

151 3.5 Statistical analyses

152 A dissimilarity coefficient was measured between samples depending on total PCB contamination, using
153 the Gower method (quantitative and symmetric [39]). Then, applying the distance matrix (function
154 *vegdist*, in R package *vegan* [40]), samples were grouped according to their PCB concentration by Ward
155 method (function *hclust*, in R package *stat* [41]). A dendrogram was built using the *ggdendro* package
156 in R and *ggplot2* [42]. Richness (Chao1) and diversity (Shannon) indices were computed with Past3
157 program [43]. The comparison of richness and diversity indices for each polluted group was conducted
158 by a Wilcoxon test (function *wilcox.test* in R package *stat*) as the mean comparison of number of DNA
159 sequences copies obtained by qPCR method. An ordination of the samples using NMDS approach at

160 OTU level on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices was performed (function *metaMDS* in R package *vegan*)
161 and a stress value was calculated to measure the difference between the ranks on the ordination
162 configuration and the ranks in the original similarity matrix for each repetition [44]. An acceptable stress
163 value should be below 0.1. The difference between P0 group, considered as the non-polluted group, and
164 P1,P2,P3 and P4 polluted groups was tested using PERMANOVA analysis on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity
165 matrices using the *adonis* function from the package *vegan*. To analyze common and/or specific OTUs
166 according to the different groups, Venn diagram was realized with the online tool Ugent
167 (<http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/>). Heat-maps were generated with the *Phyloseq*
168 package coupled with the *ggplot* and *vegan* packages in the R software.

169 3.6 Quantitative PCR

170 qPCR analyses were performed on a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad) running CFX
171 Maestro Software 2.0 using the same primers that the ones used for metabarcoding assay without the
172 Illumina overhanging adapter sequences. Copy numbers of 16S rRNA genes, 18S rRNA genes and ITS
173 regions in the environmental DNA samples were determined in duplicate on the non-diluted sample and
174 for two different sample dilutions (10-fold and 100-fold). The reaction mixture (20 μ L) contained
175 SensiFAST™ SYBR® No-ROX Kit. For a 20 μ L reaction mix, 20 μ M of primers and ultra-pure sterile
176 water were added. All reactions were performed in 96-well qPCRplates (Eurogentec) with optical tape
177 (Eurogentec). Two microliter of diluted (10-fold and 100-fold) or non-diluted environmental DNA was
178 added to 18 μ l of mix in each well. The accumulation of newly amplified double-stranded gene products
179 was followed online as the increase in fluorescence due to binding of SYBR Green fluorescent dye. All
180 qPCR programs are listed in Table S4. The fluorescence signal was read in each cycle after the
181 amplification step to ensure stringent product quantification. Specificity for the qPCR reaction was tested
182 by melting curve analysis (65°C-97°C) and then by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. Standard curves

183 for the targeted genes (16S rRNA gene, 18S rRNA gene and ITS region) were generated from a mix of
184 plasmids representative of the environment studied. Next, serial dilutions of previously titrated
185 suspensions were performed and amplified by conventional PCR from environmental clones and then
186 purified (NucleoSpin® Plasmid, Macherey-Nagel) and quantified for this study. All reactions were
187 performed with standard curves spanning from 10^1 to 10^8 copies of targeted gene per mL. Mean PCR
188 efficiencies and correlation coefficients for standard curves were as follows: for the 16S rRNA gene
189 assay, 91.71 %, $r^2 = 0.99$, for the 18S rRNA gene assay, 81.95 %, $r^2 = 0.99$, and for the ITS region assay,
190 90.92 %, $r^2 = 0.99$.

191 **4 Results**

192 **4.1 PCB concentration analyses and soil sample clustering**

193 Physico-chemical characteristics of the sample site, localized in Pont de Claix (France), are listed in
194 Table S1, and described an alkaline soil with heterogeneous texture. The twenty soil samples, randomly
195 collected, displayed variable indicator PCB (iPCB) concentrations from 0.03 to 31.11 mg. kg⁻¹ dry mass
196 of soil (Table 1). Some samples presented low iPCB concentrations (between 0.03 and 0.51 mg.kg⁻¹ dry
197 mass of soil) while other soil samples were characterized by a higher level of iPCBs (from 1.67 to 31.11
198 mg.kg⁻¹ dry mass of soil). Among the iPCBs detected in this site, the PCBs 28, 52, 101, 118, 138, 153
199 and 180 represented 10, 32, 18, 15, 10, 10 and 5% of the total iPCBs respectively, the higher chlorinated
200 iPCB 180 being the less abundant congener. Complementarily, 71 supplemental PCBs (including the 7
201 iPCBs) were assayed directly in soil ranging from 0.61 to 135.84 mg.kg⁻¹ dry mass of soil (Table S2).
202 Globally, the total concentration of the 71 PCBs was proportional to the total concentration of iPCBs
203 confirming that iPCBs were reliable PCB indicators in this site. Consequently, further analyzes were
204 conducted considering only the iPCB concentration of each sample as a *proxy* of soil contamination level.

205 A hierarchical clustering of samples was performed according to iPCB concentrations showing a
206 segregation of the samples (Figure 1A). Three groups, named P0, P1 and P2, were represented by 5 or 6
207 soil samples and two other groups, named P3, contained only two samples, and P4 that regrouped the
208 two last most PCB concentrated samples. The P0 group was characterized by the lowest iPCB
209 concentrations from 0.03 to 0.51 mg.kg⁻¹ dry mass of soil and considered as the non-polluted group
210 related to the threshold of 1 mg.kg⁻¹ established by the local decision making. P1 group contained iPCB
211 concentrations from 1.67 to 3.83 mg.kg⁻¹, P2 from 4.66 to 7.69 mg.kg⁻¹, P3 from 10.06 to 14 mg.kg⁻¹ and
212 P4 from 21.60 to 31.11 mg.kg⁻¹.

213 4.2 Structure of microbial communities in PCB contaminated soils

214 This work investigated the changes in prokaryotic, microeukaryotic and fungal community structure in
215 the soil samples presenting a PCB gradient. Normalized datasets consisted in 1477 prokaryotic OTUs
216 (representing 99,792 quality-filtered paired-end sequences and 388 to 795 OTUs per sample); 752
217 microeukaryotic OTUs (representing 116,365 sequences and 199 to 339 OTUs per sample); and 685
218 fungal OTUs (representing 248,140 sequences and 113 to 313 OTUs per sample) (Table S3). Rarefaction
219 curves indicated that some samples almost reached an asymptote in the prokaryotic dataset (Figure S1A)
220 and that the sequencing effort was sufficient to describe microeukaryotic and fungal diversity (Figure
221 S1B and C).

222 The difference in prokaryotic, microeukaryotic and fungal OTUs composition, assessed through a
223 permutation analysis of variance (PERMANOVA), showed significant differences for P0 group
224 compared to the other groups P1, P2, P3 and P4 for prokaryotes ($p=0.002$, $R=0.31$) while only trends
225 were detected for microeukaryotic ($p=0.072$, $R=0.25$) and fungal communities ($p=0.069$, $R=0.39$).
226 Moreover, the NMDS, realized at OTU level, graphically showed a segregation between the P0 group

227 on the first hand and the P1, P2, P3 and P4 groups on the other hand, especially for the prokaryotic dataset
228 and supported with stress values of 0.099, 0.075 and 0.080 (Figure 1B, 1C and 1D), respectively.

229 The prokaryotic richness (estimated through the Chao1 index) of the P0 group was significantly lower
230 compared to P1 group ($p = 0.05$) (Figure S2A). The same trend was observed for the microeukaryotic
231 richness with a marginal significance ($p = 0.06$) between P0 and P1 groups, being significant between
232 P0 and P2 groups ($p = 0.02$, Figure S2B). No significant differences were observed for Chao1 richness
233 based on the fungal marker (Figure S2C). Prokaryotic diversity (estimated through the Shannon index)
234 (Figure S2A) was significantly lower in P0 group compared to P1 group ($p = 0.01$) and P2 group ($p =$
235 0.03) and the same trend was observed between P0 and P3 groups, being marginally significant ($p =$
236 0.09) whereas microeukaryotic and fungal diversity was not significantly different whatever the PCB
237 contamination level (Figure S2B and C).

238 4.3 Prokaryotic community composition

239 The present work showed a predominance of *Bacteria* over *Archaea* and the later representing less than
240 1% of the total prokaryotic sequences and mainly affiliated with *Thaumarchaeota*. The detailed analysis
241 of bacterial composition showed that *Acidobacteria*, *Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria* were the most
242 abundant phyla, representing 225, 318, 485 OTUs (17.76%, 25.51% and 33.71% of the total sequences),
243 respectively (Figure 2A). *Actinobacteria* and *Chloroflexi* showed lower abundance from P0 to P4 (from
244 34.06% and 4.11% to 18.28% and 1.40% of the total sequences, respectively) contrary to *Proteobacteria*
245 phylum with higher abundance in P4 than P0 (from 41.62% to 20.88% of the total sequences). At the
246 class taxonomic level, the *0319-7L14*, *MB-A2-108* (both from *Actinobacteria*), *P2-11E* (*Chloroflexi*) soil
247 groups and *Oxyphotobacteria* (*Cyanobacteria*) were enriched in P0 group. *Gitt-GS-136* soil group from
248 *Chloroflexi* was only enriched in P0 and P1. *Thermoleophilia* (*Actinobacteria*) and *Gemmatimonadetes*
249 (*Gemmatimonadetes*) showed a decreasing abundance from P0 to P4 (from 8.64% and 10.87% to 2.80%

250 and 4.37% of the total sequences, respectively). Conversely, *Longimicrobia* (*Gemmatimonadetes*) class
251 was only detected in P4 group and the *Gammaproteobacteria* (*Proteobacteria*) showed an increasing
252 abundance from P0 to P4 (11.23% to 30.21% of the total sequences). In the same way,
253 *Deltaproteobacteria* (*Proteobacteria*) showed a rising abundance from P0 to P3 (11.23% to 30.21% of
254 the total sequences) but its abundance decreased in P4 group.

255 At the family taxonomic level (Figure 2B), the *Nocardioideae*, three unknown families from
256 *Gaiellales* and *IMCC26256* order and *0319-7L14* class (all belonging to *Actinobacteria*) and the
257 *Rhodobacteraceae* family (*Proteobacteria*) were enriched in the P0 group. Some families showed higher
258 abundances in the P3 group specifically such as *bacteriap25* soil group, *Dongiaceae* and two unknown
259 families from *RCP2-54* and *CCD24* classes (all belonging to *Proteobacteria*). Families only enriched in
260 P4 group were *Xanthomonadaceae*, *Acidithiobacillaceae*, *Rhodanobacteraceae* (all belonging to
261 *Proteobacteria*), *Microscillaceae* (*Bacteroidetes*), *Longimicrobiaceae* (*Gemmatimonadetes*) and an
262 unknown belonging to *Subgroup7* (*Acidobacteria*).

263 4.4 Microeukaryotic community composition

264 At the phylum level (Figure 3A), the microeukaryotic composition showed decreasing abundance from
265 P0 to P4 for *Cercozoa* and *Chytridiomycota* (from 32.73% and 15.74% to 25.04% and 5.58% of the total
266 sequences, respectively) contrary to *Amoebozoa* with increasing abundance from P0 to P4 (3.41% to
267 11.37% of the total sequences). At the class taxonomic level, *Ulvophyceae* (*Chlorophyta*) and
268 *Craspedida* (*Choanoflagellida*) classes were enriched in P0 and *Glissomonadida* (*Cercozoa*),
269 *Chytridomycetes* (*Chytridiomycota*) and *Glomeromycetes* (*Glomeromycota*) showed a decreasing
270 abundance from P0 to P4 (from 13.07%, 8.55% and 2.79% to 10.63%, 4.41% and 0% of the total
271 sequences respectively). On the contrary, an unknown class belonging to *Charophyta* was enriched in P4
272 and *Arcellinida* and *Euamoebida* (both belonging to *Amoebozoa*) showed an increasing abundance from

273 P0 to P4 (2.24% and 1.16% to 3.99% and 7.37% of the total sequences respectively). In the same way,
274 the *Intramacronucleata* class (*Ciliophora*) showed a rising abundance from P0 to P4 (6.49% to 24.51%)
275 and specifically in P2 group (where it reached 40% of the total sequences).

276 At the family taxonomic level (Figure 3B), the microeukaryotic families enriched in the group P0
277 were *Mortierellaceae* (*Mortierellomycota*), *Glomeraceae* (*Glomeromycota*), *Spizellomycetaceae*
278 (*Chytridiomycota*), two unknown families belonging to the *Troubouxiophyceae* and *Chlorophyceae*
279 classes (both belonging to *Chlorophyta*), two other belonging to *Glissomonadida* and an unknown class
280 (both belonging to *Cercozoa*) and a last unknown family belonging to the *Craspedida* class
281 (*Choanoflagellida*). One unknown family belonging to the *Vampyrellidae* class (*Cercozoa*) showed
282 higher abundance in the P3 group. Families only enriched in P4 were *Colpodea*, *Nassophorea* (both
283 belonging to *Ciliophora*), an unknown family from *Bdelloida* class (*Rotifera*) and a last unknown family
284 belonging to *Charophyta*.

285

286 4.5 Fungal community composition

287 ITS dataset (Figure 4A) was dominated by *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota* representing 372 and 87
288 OTUs respectively, with 26.57 to 41.92% of *Ascomycota* and 23.15 to 28.99% of *Basidiomycota* in the
289 different groups. The *Chytridiomycota* were detected with a small relative abundance whatever the
290 groups with a decreasing abundance of sequences observed from P0 to P4 groups (from 12.43% to 4.27%,
291 respectively). Fungal community analysis at the class level (Figure 4A) revealed that an unknown class
292 (belonging to *Mortierellomycota*) only presented from the P2 to P4 groups (representing 8.07% to 9.08%
293 of sequences). The *Saccharomycetes* class (belonging to *Ascomycota*) represented 7.93 and 4.54% of
294 sequences only in P2 and P4 groups, respectively. An uncultured *Ascomycota* class was detected only in
295 the P4 group representing 2.79% of sequences. The families *Aspergillaceae*, *Sporomiaceae*,

296 *Pleosporaceae*, *Pezizaceae* (all belonging to *Ascomycota*), *Powellomycetaceae* (*Chytridiomycota*),
297 *Tricholomataceae*, an unknown family from *Agaricales* (both belonging to *Basidiomycota*) presented a
298 higher abundance in P0 group (Figure 4B). The families *Halosphaeriaceae*, *Sympoventuriaceae* (both
299 belonging to *Ascomycota*), *Ceratobasidiaceae*, *Auriculariaceae*, *Psathyrellaceae* (all belonging to
300 *Basidiomycota*), two families from *Spyzellomycetales* and *Lobulomycetales* orders (*Chytridiomycota*)
301 were represented with a higher abundance in P3 group. The families *Plectosphaerellaceae*,
302 *Stachybotryaceae* (both from *Ascomycota*), *Pleurotaceae*, *Entolomataceae* and families from the orders
303 *Sebacinales* and *Agaricales* (all belonging to *Basidiomycota*) were represented by a drastic higher
304 abundance in the P4 groups and one family from *Saccharomycetales* (*Ascomycota*) was characterized by
305 a higher abundance in P2 and P4 groups.

306 4.6 Core versus specific microbiome among PCB pollution

307 Common and specific OTUs among the five PCB concentration groups (P0 to P4) were investigated
308 using Venn diagrams. Common OTUs (or core OTUs) to the five groups consisted in 468 prokaryotic
309 OTUs (31.68% of prokaryotic richness), 157 microeukaryotic OTUs (20.87% of eukaryotic richness)
310 and 173 fungal OTUs (25.25% of fungal richness) (Figure S3, S4 and S5). Taxonomic information about
311 those common and specific OTUs were then analyzed at the family level considering only known families
312 (unknown families have been discarded from the bar diagram analysis). The most contaminated groups,
313 consisting in all combinations of P1 to P4 (P1+P2, or P1+P2+P3 or P3+P4 etc..), contained 25.42% of
314 the prokaryotic OTUs while less than 2.31% of the prokaryotic OTUs were specific to one group (P0,
315 P1, P2, P3 or P4) (Figure S3). Microeukaryotic communities harbored 1.23 to 4.52% of specific OTUs
316 to one group (P0, P1, P2, P3 or P4) (Figure S4). Concerning fungal OTUs, 1.02 to 4.49% of OTUs were
317 specific to one group (P0, P1, P2, P3 or P4) (Figure S5). Additional results were described in the
318 supplementary text.

319 4.7 Microbial abundance and activity

320 Prokaryotic, eukaryotic and fungal abundances were quantified using qPCR targeting the 16S rRNA
321 gene, 18S rRNA gene and ITS region, respectively (Figure S6). An increase in microbial community
322 abundance was observed from P0 to P1 and P2 as a trend for 16S rRNA genes and as statistically marginal
323 or significant for 18S rRNA and fungal ITS from P0 to P1 ($p = 0.08$ for both), from P0 to P2 ($p = 0.007$
324 and 0.03 , respectively), from P0 to P3 ($p = 0.09$ for both) and from P0 to P4 ($p = 0.09$ for both) (Figure
325 S6). Indeed, prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene increased from 1.12×10^7 copies per gram of soil on average in
326 P0 while being on average 3.3×10^7 , 3.2×10^7 , 2.6×10^7 and 2.7×10^7 copies per gram of soil in P1, P2, P3
327 and P4, respectively. Eukaryotic abundance increased from 1.4×10^5 18S rRNA gene copies per gram of
328 soil in P0 to 6.4×10^5 , 5.4×10^6 , 9.5×10^5 and 7.9×10^5 copies per gram of soil in P1, P2, P3 and P4,
329 respectively. For the fungal community, abundance increased from 6.8×10^4 copies per gram of soil in P0
330 to 3.3×10^5 , 6.0×10^6 , 4.6×10^5 and 4.0×10^5 copies per gram of soil in P1, P2, P3 and P4, respectively. These
331 results agreed with: i) microbial mineralization assay (Figure S7A) that was significantly lower in the P0
332 group compared to the more polluted P4 one (p value = 0.018), and; ii) microbial non-specific enzymatic
333 FDA hydrolase activity assay (Figure S7B) that revealed an increase of global microbial enzymatic
334 activities along the PCB pollution gradient.

335 5 Discussion

336 5.1 PCB effect on microbial abundance and activity

337 The studied site was heterogeneously contaminated by PCB indicating that pollution concentration was
338 not location dependent. Literature reported other PCB polluted soils that presented heterogeneous
339 pollution with PCB concentrations varying from 1 mg.kg^{-1} dry mass [45] to over than 800 mg.kg^{-1} dry

340 mass [25]. This ranked the Pont de Claix brownfield of this study as a “moderate” polluted site despite
341 the weakly industrial painting production activity occurring in the past decades.

342 One key finding is that along the statistical ranking of PCB gradient in Pont de Claix samples, an increase
343 of microbial activity, microbial abundance and diversity related to PCB concentrations was reported.
344 This suggested that PCB might promote microbial growth and activity by providing carbon source or by
345 generating a selection pressure leading resistant microbes to develop favorable coexistence.

346 The present work showed that prokaryotic communities were impacted by PCBs while microeukaryotic
347 and fungal communities were impacted to a lesser extent but non-negligible. This impact on prokaryotic
348 communities might be related to an accumulation of the well-known PCB dead-end intermediates named
349 benzoate and chlorobenzoates [46] while some fungi are able to degrade this products [47]. Moreover
350 PCB molecules seem to be not lethal for most of the eukaryotic communities (including fungal one),
351 which agreed with previous studies showing that *Fungi* such as *Pleurotus ostreatus*, *Coriolopsis*
352 *polysona*, presented a decreased growth and/or metabolic activity instead of cell death in presence of
353 PCBs [48, 49]. Moreover, the low number of sequences affiliated with *Archaea* agreed with previous
354 studies showing that in soils only 0.5 to 3.8% of the prokaryotes living were *Archaea* [50].

355 5.2 Taxonomic groups with known mechanisms involved in PCB transformation

356 First, it is not surprising that microorganisms found in PCB-polluted sample groups with PCB degrading
357 abilities are certainly tolerant to PCBs. In this way, among Bacteria, *Gammaproteobacteria*
358 (*Proteobacteria*), included species known to degrade PCBs and detected in this study as one dominant
359 class under PCB contamination, were previously reported in PCB-contaminated sites with 100 mg.kg⁻¹
360 or over than 700 mg.kg⁻¹ dry mass of total PCB [7, 23] suggesting their ubiquity in PCB polluted soils.
361 More specifically, *Gammaproteobacteria* (*Xanthomonadaceae*, *Acidithiobacillaceae* and
362 *Rhodanobacteraceae* families) was abundant under PCB contamination in Pont de Claix and included

363 species known to degrade PCBs thanks to their *bph* genes [51, 52]. Even if *Chloroflexi* bacteria were
364 detected, the *Dehalococcoidia* (*Chloroflexi*) class, known to bear commonly the *rdh* genes [51] involved
365 in PCB transformation under anaerobic condition, was not detected in this study. Interestingly, three
366 other classes of *Chloroflexi* showed lower abundance from P0 to P4 suggesting no PCB tolerance.

367 Concerning *Fungi*, (same trend in 18S rRNA and ITS datasets) species among *Basidiomycota* and
368 *Ascomycota* were known to partially transform PCBs, especially species from the *Saccharomycetes* as
369 previously reported [53], retrieved as well in the present work. Even if the mechanisms involved were
370 not demonstrated for its class, with specifically higher abundance in P2 and P4 groups, *Saccharomycetes*
371 species able to transform PCB in a context of bacteria-yeast consortium are known [53]. The
372 *Pleurotaceae* family (*Basidiomycota*), including the well-known PCB degrader *Pleurotus ostreatus* [7],
373 was specifically retrieved in this study with a higher abundance in the most contaminated groups. For
374 other *Basidiomycota* such as *Pleurotus ostreatus* or *Trametes versicolor*, PCB transformation might be
375 the result of laccases activities whereas, for *Ascomycota* with *Acremonium sclerotigenum*, it would
376 involve peroxidase production [9, 54]. These finding suggested that PCB might promote microbial
377 growth. But considering that potential complete PCB transformation might involve diverse
378 microorganisms harboring complex biological pathways and regarding the PCB concentrations still in
379 these soil samples, PCB might rather generate a selection pressure as mentioned above.

380 5.3 Taxonomic groups with potential PCB-transforming mechanisms

381 The *Deltaproteobacteria* and *Gemmatimonadetes* classes could be related to PCB degradation and/or
382 tolerate these molecules because species of these classes were retrieved in PCB polluted soil [51, 52, 55].
383 Moreover, at the family taxonomic level, *Xanthomonadaceae* were known to be able to degrade
384 ethylbenzene and such bacteria might transform or tolerate PCB. Indeed, ethylbenzene are structurally
385 close to PCBs and can present chlorine atoms [56]. The present work also highlighted the presence of

386 the *Holophagae* class (*Acidobacteria*), already reported in a work investigating active bacterial
387 communities in a PCB polluted moorland soil [57]. *Rhodanobacteraceae* family abundant in P4 group
388 could tolerate PCB or be involved in PCB transformation because it includes genus known to use
389 biphenyls as sole carbon source [58].

390 Concerning Fungi, the *Hymenogastraceae* (*Basidiomycota*) family presented higher abundances in
391 groups with intermediate PCB pollution levels and was previously reported as representing 3.3% of
392 fungal sequences from root of *Salix purpurea* in a polluted soil to PCB and hydrocarbons [59], suggesting
393 their adaptability to these molecules. Other family such as *Claroideoglomeraceae* (*Glomeromycota*),
394 abundant in P0 and P3 groups were previously retrieved in extreme petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated
395 site [60].

396 5.4 New taxonomic groups retrieved under PCB contamination

397 To our knowledge, our study reported for the first-time microbial taxa abundant in the soil samples in
398 presence of PCBs, not previously related to these molecules or contaminated ecosystems. In this way
399 these reported microorganisms could be subject of further PCB-transformation assays. The abundance
400 of the *Orbiliomycetes* (*Ascomycota*) class under PCB contamination suggested their tolerance and
401 adaptability to these molecules. It is interesting to note that non-affiliated sequences were few in the low
402 contaminated P0 group of the brownfield compared to the other groups characterized by a higher PCB
403 contamination level. This finding suggested that many microorganisms possibly tolerant and adapted to
404 PCBs are still uncharacterized and knowledge about microbial diversity being present under polluted
405 conditions, like the present work, are necessary to better understand PCB adaptation and further guide
406 bioremediation. To our knowledge, microeucaryotes (other than fungi) were never studied in a PCB
407 contaminated ecosystem. Concerning these under-studied microeucaryotes, *Intramacronucleata*
408 (*Ciliophora*), *Vampyrellidae* (*Cercozoa*), *Arcellinida* and *Euamoebida* (both belonging to *Amoebozoa*)

409 classes and the families such as *Colpodea*, *Nassophorea* (both belonging to *Ciliophora*) showed
410 abundances increased with PCB concentrations suggesting that they might tolerate and be adapt to this
411 molecule directly or by interacting with other adapted fungal or bacterial microorganisms.

412 **6 Conclusions**

413 This work assessed the structure of prokaryotic, micro-eukaryotic and fungal communities for the first
414 time in a PCB gradient from a contaminated brownfield. Because complete PCB transformation might
415 involve several microorganisms not regrouped at the same place in the environment, PCBs are still
416 concentrated in this soil since many years. This *in situ* analysis highlighted that microbial community
417 structure differed depending on PCB concentration. These molecules might act as selection pressure on
418 bacterial and fungal species with some possibly involved in partial PCB transformation. Indeed,
419 microbial structure of low-polluted samples was segregated from polluted samples, especially for the
420 prokaryotic communities. Interestingly, the microbial abundance tended to be more important with
421 increasing PCB concentrations. These results showed that PCBs seems to act as a selection pressure.
422 These molecules impacted the three-domain of microbial life composition and could select adapted
423 microorganisms, possibly able to transform PCBs, developing favorable coexistence. However, all these
424 results need to be confirmed by further analyses involving greater number of samples and *in vitro*
425 biotransformation demonstration. Other taxa listed here were also retrieved for the first time in polluted
426 samples but their potential PCB tolerance and/or biotransformation remained unknown and might be
427 promising. That is why further ecotoxicological studies will allow gaining into exhaustive microbial
428 diversity presenting in PCB polluted ecosystems to support and guide future PCB bioremediation studies.

429 **7 Statements & Declarations**

430 This work was supported by the French MITI-CNRS [urban ecosystem - AAP 2019], EC2CO –
431 CNRS [CNRS INSU - AAP 2020] and French Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes AURA Region [Pack Ambition
432 Recherche 2021] programs. F. Maucourt PhD was supported by a Research and Technology French
433 National association ANRT and Envisol fellowship. The authors have no relevant financial or non-
434 financial interests to disclose. All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material
435 preparation and data collection were performed by F. Maucourt, A. Cébron, H. Budzinski, K. Le Ménach,
436 L. Peluhet, D. Chapulliot, L. Vallon, S. Czarnes and M. Hugoni. Data analyses were performed by F.
437 Maucourt, A. Cébron, M. Hugoni and L. Fraissinet-Tachet. The first draft of the manuscript was written
438 by F. Maucourt and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and
439 approved the final manuscript. We would like to thank Jean Thioulouse (LBBE - UMR CNRS 5558 -
440 Villeurbanne) for helpful discussions.

441 **8 Data availability**

442 All data that support findings of this study have been deposited in European Nucleotide Archive
443 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB46614>) under reference PRJEB46614 for 16S rRNA
444 dataset, PRJEB46555 and PRJEB46556 for ITS regions and eukaryotic 18S rRNA genes, respectively.

445 **9 References**

- 446 1. Wall DH, Behan-Pelletier V, Jones TH, et al (2012) Soil Ecology and Ecosystem Services. OUP
447 Oxford
- 448 2. Beaudette LA, Davies S, Fedorak PM, et al (1998) Comparison of Gas Chromatography and
449 Mineralization Experiments for Measuring Loss of Selected Polychlorinated Biphenyl Congeners
450 in Cultures of White Rot Fungi. Appl Env Microbiol 64:2020–2025
- 451 3. Weltgesundheitsorganisation, International Programme on Chemical Safety, Inter-Organization
452 Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (2003) Polychlorinated biphenyls: human
453 health aspects. World Health Organization, Geneva

- 454 4. Pointing S (2001) Feasibility of bioremediation by white-rot fungi. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*
455 57:20–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530100745>
- 456 5. Arbon RE, Mincher BJ, Knighton WB (1994) .gamma.-Ray Destruction of Individual PCB
457 Congeners in Neutral 2-propanol. *Environ Sci Technol* 28:2191–2196.
458 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00061a030>
- 459 6. Pieper DH, Seeger M (2008) Bacterial Metabolism of Polychlorinated Biphenyls. *J Mol Microbiol*
460 *Biotechnol* 15:121–138. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000121325>
- 461 7. Stella T, Covino S, Čvančarová M, et al (2017) Bioremediation of long-term PCB-contaminated
462 soil by white-rot fungi. *J Hazard Mater* 324:701–710.
463 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.11.044>
- 464 8. Tigini V, Prigione V, Di Toro S, et al (2009) Isolation and characterisation of polychlorinated
465 biphenyl (PCB) degrading fungi from a historically contaminated soil. *Microb Cell Factories* 8:5–
466 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-8-5>
- 467 9. Germain J, Raveton M, Binet MN, Mouhamadou B (2021) Screening and metabolic potential of
468 fungal strains isolated from contaminated soil and sediment in the polychlorinated biphenyl
469 degradation. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 208:111703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111703>
- 470 10. Hashmi MZ, Qin Z, Yao X, et al (2016) PCBs attenuation and abundance of Dehalococcoides spp.,
471 bphC, CheA, and flic genes in typical polychlorinated biphenyl-polluted soil under floody and dry
472 soil conditions. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 23:3907–3913. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5577-1>
- 473 11. Sharma JK, Gautam RK, Nanekar SV, et al (2018) Advances and perspective in bioremediation of
474 polychlorinated biphenyls contaminated soils. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 25:16355–16375.
475 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8995-4>
- 476 12. Pino NJ, Múnera LM, Peñuela GA (2019) Phytoremediation of soil contaminated with PCBs using
477 different plants and their associated microbial communities. *Int J Phytoremediation* 21:316–324.
478 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2018.1524832>
- 479 13. Steliga T, Wojtowicz K, Kapusta P, Brzeszcz J (2020) Assessment of Biodegradation Efficiency of
480 Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) in Soil Using Three
481 Individual Bacterial Strains and Their Mixed Culture. *Molecules* 25:709.
482 <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25030709>
- 483 14. Borja J, Taleon DM, Auresenia J, Gallardo S (2005) Polychlorinated biphenyls and their
484 biodegradation. *Process Biochem* 40:1999–2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2004.08.006>
- 485 15. Furukawa K, Fujihara H (2008) Microbial degradation of polychlorinated biphenyls: Biochemical
486 and molecular features. *J Biosci Bioeng* 105:433–449. <https://doi.org/10.1263/jbb.105.433>
- 487 16. Sietmann R, Gesell M, Hammer E, Schauer F (2006) Oxidative ring cleavage of low chlorinated
488 biphenyl derivatives by fungi leads to the formation of chlorinated lactone derivatives.
489 *Chemosphere* 64:672–685. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.10.050>
- 490 17. Kohlmeier S, Smits THM, Ford RM, et al (2005) Taking the Fungal Highway: Mobilization of
491 Pollutant-Degrading Bacteria by Fungi. *Environ Sci Technol* 39:4640–4646.
492 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es047979z>
- 493 18. Wei Y, Wang X, Liu J, et al (2011) The population dynamics of bacteria in physically structured
494 habitats and the adaptive virtue of random motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 108:4047–4052.
495 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013499108>
- 496 19. Čvančarová M, Křesinová Z, Filipová A, et al (2012) Biodegradation of PCBs by ligninolytic fungi
497 and characterization of the degradation products. *Chemosphere* 88:1317–1323.
498 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.03.107>

- 499 20. Mouhamadou B, Faure M, Sage L, et al (2013) Potential of autochthonous fungal strains isolated
500 from contaminated soils for degradation of polychlorinated biphenyls. *Fungal Biol* 117:268–274.
501 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2013.02.004>
- 502 21. Sage L, Pérignon S, Faure M, et al (2014) Autochthonous ascomycetes in depollution of
503 polychlorinated biphenyls contaminated soil and sediment. *Chemosphere* 110:62–69.
504 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.03.013>
- 505 22. Nogales B, Moore ERB, Llobet-Brossa E, et al (2001) Combined Use of 16S Ribosomal DNA and
506 16S rRNA To Study the Bacterial Community of Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Polluted Soil. *Appl*
507 *Environ Microbiol* 67:1874–1884. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.4.1874-1884.2001>
- 508 23. Zenteno-Rojas A, Martínez-Romero E, Castañeda-Valbuena D, et al (2020) Structure and diversity
509 of native bacterial communities in soils contaminated with polychlorinated biphenyls. *AMB*
510 *Express* 10:124. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-020-01058-8>
- 511 24. Ding N, Hayat T, Wang J, et al (2011) Responses of microbial community in rhizosphere soils when
512 ryegrass was subjected to stress from PCBs. *J Soils Sediments* 11:1355–1362.
513 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-011-0412-x>
- 514 25. Marchal C, Germain J, Raveton M, et al (2021) Molecular Characterization of Fungal Biodiversity
515 in Long-Term Polychlorinated Biphenyl-Contaminated Soils. *Microorganisms* 9:2051.
516 <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9102051>
- 517 26. Cébron A, Beguiristain T, Bongoua-Devisme J, et al (2015) Impact of clay mineral, wood sawdust
518 or root organic matter on the bacterial and fungal community structures in two aged PAH-
519 contaminated soils. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 22:13724–13738. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4117-3>
- 520 27. Schnürer J, Rosswall T (1982) Fluorescein Diacetate Hydrolysis as a Measure of Total Microbial
521 Activity in Soil and Litter. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 43:1256–1261.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.43.6.1256-1261.1982>
- 523 28. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, et al (2011) Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a
524 depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 108:4516–4522.
525 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107>
- 526 29. Wang Y, Qian P-Y (2009) Conservative Fragments in Bacterial 16S rRNA Genes and Primer
527 Design for 16S Ribosomal DNA Amplicons in Metagenomic Studies. *PLOS ONE* 4:e7401.
528 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007401>
- 529 30. Russo DA, Couto N, Beckerman AP, Pandhal J (2016) A Metaproteomic Analysis of the Response
530 of a Freshwater Microbial Community under Nutrient Enrichment. *Front Microbiol* 7:1172.
531 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01172>
- 532 31. Hugoni M, Escalas A, Bernard C, et al (2018) Spatiotemporal variations in microbial diversity
533 across the three domains of life in a tropical thalassohaline lake (Dziani Dzaha, Mayotte Island).
534 *Mol Ecol* 27:4775–4786. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14901>
- 535 32. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, et al (2016) VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for
536 metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4:e2584. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584>
- 537 33. Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, et al (2014) Swarm: robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-
538 based studies. *PeerJ* 2:e593
- 539 34. Bokulich NA, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, et al (2013) Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity
540 estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. *Nat Methods* 10:57–59.
541 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2276>
- 542

- 543 35. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of
544 rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73:5261–5267.
545 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-07>
- 546 36. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, et al (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC*
547 *Bioinformatics* 10:421. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421>
- 548 37. Glöckner FO, Yilmaz P, Quast C, et al (2017) 25 years of serving the community with ribosomal
549 RNA gene reference databases and tools. *J Biotechnol* 261:169–176.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2017.06.1198>
- 551 38. Escudíé F, Auer L, Bernard M, et al (2017) FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution.
552 *Bioinformatics*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791>
- 553 39. Gower JC (1971) A General Coefficient of Similarity and Some of Its Properties. *Biometrics*, pp
554 857–871
- 555 40. Dixon P (2003) VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *J Veg Sci* 14:927–930.
556 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x>
- 557 41. R Development Core Team (2010) a language and environment for statistical computing: reference
558 index. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna
- 559 42. Wickham H (2010) A Layered Grammar of Graphics. *J Comput Graph Stat* 19:3–28.
560 <https://doi.org/10.1198/jcgs.2009.07098>
- 561 43. Hammer O, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for
562 Education and Data Analysis. *Palaeontol Electron* 4:9
- 563 44. Ramette A (2007) Multivariate analyses in microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 62:142–160.
564 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00375.x>
- 565 45. Mackova M, Prouzova P, Stursa P, et al (2009) Phyto/rhizoremediation studies using long-term
566 PCB-contaminated soil. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 16:817–829. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-009-0240-3>
- 567
- 568 46. Hu J, Qian M, Zhang Q, et al (2015) *Sphingobium fuliginis* HC3: A Novel and Robust Isolated
569 Biphenyl- and Polychlorinated Biphenyls-Degrading Bacterium without Dead-End Intermediates
570 Accumulation. *PLOS ONE* 10:e0122740. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122740>
- 571 47. Muzikář M, Křesinová Z, Svobodová K, et al (2011) Biodegradation of chlorobenzoic acids by
572 ligninolytic fungi. *J Hazard Mater* 196:386–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.09.041>
- 573 48. Ruiz-aguilar GML, Fern JM, Rodríguez-vazquez R, Poggi-varaldo H (2001) Degradation by white-
574 rot fungi of high concentrations of PCB
- 575 49. Vyas BRM, Šašek V, Matucha M, Bubner M (1994) Degradation of 3,3',4,4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl
576 by selected white rot fungi. *Chemosphere* 28:1127–1134. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535\(94\)90331-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(94)90331-X)
- 577
- 578 50. Timonen S, Bomberg M (2009) Archaea in dry soil environments. *Phytochem Rev* 8:505–518.
579 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-009-9137-5>
- 580 51. Khalid F, Hashmi MZ, Jamil N, et al (2021) Microbial and enzymatic degradation of PCBs from e-
581 waste-contaminated sites: a review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 28:. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11996-2>
- 582
- 583 52. Maturro B, Mascolo G, Rossetti S (2020) Microbiome changes and oxidative capability of an
584 anaerobic PCB dechlorinating enrichment culture after oxygen exposure. *New Biotechnol* 56:96–
585 102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2019.12.004>
- 586 53. Romero MC, Reinoso EH, Moreno Kiernan A, Urrutia MI (2006) Chlorinated biphenyl degradation
587 by wild yeasts pre-cultured in biphasic systems. *Electron J Biotechnol* 9:0–0.
588 <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-34582006000300013>

- 589 54. Pérignon S, Massier M, Germain J, et al (2019) Metabolic adaptation of fungal strains in response to
590 contamination by polychlorinated biphenyls. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26:14943–14950.
591 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04701-5>
- 592 55. Cervantes-González E, Guevara-García MA, García-Mena J, Ovando-Medina VM (2019)
593 Microbial diversity assessment of polychlorinated biphenyl-contaminated soils and the
594 biostimulation and bioaugmentation processes. *Environ Monit Assess* 191:118.
595 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7227-4>
- 596 56. Jayamani I, Cupples AM (2015) Stable Isotope Probing and High-Throughput Sequencing
597 Implicate *Xanthomonadaceae* and *Rhodocyclaceae* in Ethylbenzene Degradation. *Environ Eng Sci*
598 32:240–249. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2014.0456>
- 599 57. Nogales B, Moore ERB, Abraham W-R, Timmis KN (1999) Identification of the metabolically
600 active members of a bacterial community in a polychlorinated biphenyl-polluted moorland soil.
601 *Environ Microbiol* 1:199–212. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.1999.00024.x>
- 602 58. McGenity TJ (2019) *Taxonomy, Genomics and Ecophysiology of Hydrocarbon-Degrading*
603 *Microbes*. Springer International Publishing, Cham
- 604 59. Gonzalez E, Pitre FE, Pagé AP, et al (2018) Trees, fungi and bacteria: tripartite metatranscriptomics
605 of a root microbiome responding to soil contamination. *Microbiome* 6:53.
606 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0432-5>
- 607 60. Kong M, St-Arnaud M, Hijri M, Laliberté É (2016) Biodiversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi
608 from Extreme Petroleum Hydrocarbon Contaminated Site. 65
- 609 61. Budzinski JW, Foster BC, Vandenhoeck S, Arnason JT (2000) An in vitro evaluation of human
610 cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibition by selected commercial herbal extracts and tinctures.
611 *Phytomedicine* 7:273–282. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0944-7113\(00\)80044-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0944-7113(00)80044-6)
- 612 62. Barhoumi B, LeMenach K, Dévier M-H, et al (2014) Distribution and ecological risk of
613 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) in surface sediments from
614 the Bizerte lagoon, Tunisia. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 21:6290–6302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1709-7>
615

616 **Table and Figures**

617 **Table 1. Indicator PCB pollution concentration by sample**

618

	Zone 2 (Z2)										Zone 3/4 (Z3/4)									
	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5
PCB 28	1.02	0.27	0.56	4.9	<0.01	2.29	0.12	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.17	0.24	0.74	<0.01	0.67	0.35	0.33	0.91	0.22
PCB 52	5.5	1.2	2.24	11.4	0.05	6.22	0.56	0.05	0.28	0.16	0.28	0.63	0.89	1.7	0.02	2.08	1.46	1.65	2.04	1.37
PCB 101	2.76	0.59	1.05	5.59	0.03	4.72	0.25	0.02	0.18	0.11	0.23	0.48	0.38	1	0.01	1.76	0.84	1.44	1.01	0.71
PCB 118	2.11	0.47	0.86	4.48	0.03	4.64	0.19	0.01	0.14	0.09	0.16	0.65	0.31	0.89	<0.01	1.27	0.51	0.84	0.87	0.51
PCB 138	1.11	0.33	0.43	2.05	0.03	1.45	0.28	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.4	0.5	0.19	0.41	<0.01	1.74	0.63	1.21	1.18	0.43
PCB 153	1.01	0.29	0.35	1.97	0.02	1.75	0.23	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.3	0.58	0.16	0.34	<0.01	1.87	0.53	1.49	0.95	0.37
PCB 180	0.46	0.14	0.18	0.72	0.01	0.56	0.13	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.26	0.24	0.05	0.25	<0.01	1.18	0.34	0.6	0.73	0.22
Total	14	3.29	5.67	31.1	0.17	21.6	1.76	0.16	0.84	0.51	1.67	3.25	2.22	5.33	0.03	10.6	4.66	7.56	7.69	3.83


619

620

621

Figure 1. Hierarchical clustering of samples and microbial community structure by NMDS

622



623

Figure 2. The bacterial taxonomic composition




Figure 3. The eukaryotic taxonomic composition





Figure 4. The fungal taxonomic composition

630 **Supplementary Experimental Procedures**

631 **9.1 Soil physico-chemical analyses**

632 Physico-chemical properties of the soil were evaluated at the CESAR laboratory
633 (<http://www.labo-cesar.com/>, Ceyzeriat, France) by analyzing the soil samples Z2B5, Z2F2,
634 Z2F3, Z2F1 and Z2F4, representing the P0, P1, P2, P3 and P4 groups respectively. The cation
635 exchange capacity (CEC), soil pH (H₂O), pH (KCl) and soil texture were assessed according to
636 technical standard procedures, together with the contents of total organic carbon and total
637 nitrogen.

638 **9.2 C-CO₂ Microbial mineralization and enzymatic biological activity assay**

639 Three replicates per soil sample were monitored for microbial mineralization, and empty
640 control flasks without soil were run in the same way to correct for basal atmospheric CO₂
641 measurement. The produced CO₂ of each sample was expressed as carbon mass per gram of
642 dry weight soil. After each measurement, flasks were opened for 15 min under a fume hood for
643 aeration to avoid anoxia through accumulation of CO₂, and humidity was adjusted with sterile
644 water. Flasks were sealed and incubated again. Measurements were performed after 3, 7, 11, 14
645 and 19 days of incubation. The microbial activity was evaluated on soil samples Z2B5, Z2F2,
646 Z2F3, Z2F1 and Z2F4 (representing P0, P1, P2, P3 and P4 groups respectively). This FDA
647 hydrolase enzymatic test is non-specific and integrates the activity of many fungal and bacterial
648 enzymes such as esterases, lipases, proteases secreted in soils by microorganisms.

649

650 **9.3 Additional PCB assay analyses**

651 Chemical analyses were performed on the soil samples, after freeze-drying and sieving at 2
652 mm. Chemical analyses focused on 71 congeners of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). PCB
653 analyses were performed as described previously [61]. Soil samples were extracted using

654 micro-wave assisted extraction [61] and extract clean-up was carried out on a multilayer column
655 (alumina and silica with activated copper). Extracts were purified on acid-impregnated silica
656 and quantified by gas chromatography coupled with electron capture detection (GC-ECD) [62]
657 using internal standard quantification with PCBs not present in environment (PCBs 30, 103,
658 155, 198) and 4,4'-DDT-d8 as internal standards. Procedural blanks were performed for each
659 sample batch and blank-correction was applied. Samples and blanks were spiked with internal
660 recovery standards prior to extraction procedures and with a syringe standard (octachloro-
661 naphthalene) before injection to monitor IS losses; recoveries higher than 60% were accepted.
662 Limits of detection (LODs) and limits of quantification (LOQs) were set as the concentrations
663 yielding signal-to-noise ratios of 3 and 10, respectively. LOQs were in the range 0.03–5 ng g⁻¹
664 dw of PCBs.

665 **Supplementary results**

666 **9.1 Core versus specific microbiome among PCB pollution**

667 For the prokaryotic dataset (Figure S3), OTUs from three families were specific to P0,
668 consisting in *Entotheonellaceae* (*Tectomicrobia*), and the uncharacterized *Blfdi19* and *P3OB-*
669 *42* (both from *Proteobacteria*). The *Segniliparaceae* family (*Actinobacteria*) was specific to P1
670 group. Both families *Sandaracinaceae* (*Proteobacteria*) and the uncharacterized *A4b* one
671 (*Chloroflexi*) were specific to the P2 group. The *Dysgonomonadaceae* (*Bacteroidetes*),
672 *Parvibaculaceae* and the uncharacterized *A0839* (both from *Proteobacteria*) families were
673 specific to the P4 group.

674 For microeukaryotic communities (Figure S4), OTUs from the *Labyrinthulomyceae*
675 (*Stramenopiles*) and the *Mortierellaceae* (*Mortierellomycota*) families were specific to the P0
676 group. OTUs specific of the P1 group were belonging to families from *Armophorida* order,
677 *Eimeriorina* suborder (both belonging to *Alveolata*) and to the *Rhizaspidae* family (from
678 *Rhizaria*).

679 Concerning fungal OTUs families (Figure S5), *Ascophaeraceae* (*Eurotiomycetes*),
680 *Basidiobollaceae* (*Basidiobolomycetes*), *Glomeraceae* (*Glomeromycetes*), *Gymnoascaceae*
681 (*Eurotiomycetes*), *Protrudomycetaceae* (*Rhizophyudiomycetes*), *Crepidotaceae*,
682 *Hymenogastraceae* and *Tricholomataceae* (these three last families belonging to
683 *Agaricomycetes*) were the specific P0 families. *Ascobolaceae* (*Pezizomycetes*), *Helotiaceae*
684 (*Leotiomycetes*), *Metschnikowiaceae* (*Saccharomycetes*), *Sporidiobolaceae*
685 (*Microbotryomycetes*), *Leptosphaeriaceae* and *Sporormiaceae* (both belonging to
686 *Dothideomycetes*), *Ustilaginaceae* (*Ustilaginomycetes*), *Vuilleminiaceae* (*Agaricomycetes*),
687 *Magnaporthaceae*, *Microdochiaceae* and *Xylariaceae* (these three last families belonging to
688 *Sordariomycetes*) were specific to P1 group. *Pezizaceae* (*Pezizomycetes*), *Sebacinaceae* and
689 *Sereundipitaceae* (both belonging to *Agaromycetes*) were families specific to P2 group.

690 *Pluteaceae* and *Psathyrellaceae* (both from *Agaricomycetes*) were families specific to P3
691 group.

692 **Supplementary tables and figures**693 **Table S1. Physicochemical characteristics of the soil sampling site**

694

	Clay (g.kg ⁻¹)	Silt (g.kg ⁻¹)	Sand (g.kg ⁻¹)	Total Organic Carbon (g.kg ⁻¹)	Total Nitrogen (g.kg ⁻¹)	C/N	CEC (cmol.kg ⁻¹)	pH (Water)	pH (KCl)
P0	143	675	182	8.16	1.04	8	4.933	8.42	7.84
P1	99	222	679	7.98	1.03	8	3.043	8.59	7.97
P3	50	109	842	9.26	0.68	14	1.921	8.74	8.22
P3	96	256	648	4.83	0.59	8	2.428	8.56	7.95
P4	114	464	422	30.16	1.06	28	3.54	8.37	7.59

695

Table S2. Concentration of 71 different PCB by sample

	Zone 2 (Z2)										Zone 3/4 (Z3/4)									
	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5
CB 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 10/4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 19	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 18	0.2	0.1	0.2	2.8	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1
CB 15	0.2	0.1	0.2	2.6	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
CB 29/54	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 31	0.8	0.3	0.5	5.8	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.2
CB 28	0.8	0.3	0.5	3.8	0.0	1.9	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2
CB 33	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0

CB 22	1.0	0.0	0.1	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 52	5.0	1.1	2.3	12.0	0.0	6.2	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.7	1.5	0.0	2.0	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.0
CB 49	2.8	0.6	1.3	7.2	0.0	4.8	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.0	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6
CB 104	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 44	4.0	0.9	2.0	10.2	0.0	6.0	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.8
CB 41	3.5	0.7	1.8	8.8	0.0	5.7	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.0	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.7
CB 40	0.7	1.5	0.4	1.9	0.0	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
CB 74	1.7	0.5	0.8	5.4	0.0	4.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.3
CB 70	4.7	1.1	2.1	12.5	0.1	5.3	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.6	1.5	0.0	1.9	1.4	1.3	1.4	0.9
CB 66	5.5	1.3	2.4	12.6	0.1	10.3	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.8	1.7	0.0	2.2	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.1
CB 95	1.6	0.4	0.7	3.8	0.0	2.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.4
CB 60	1.9	0.4	0.9	6.0	0.0	2.7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.3
CB 101 + CB90	2.4	0.6	1.0	5.4	0.0	3.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.0	1.2	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.6
CB 99	1.4	0.3	0.6	3.0	0.0	2.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3

CB 119	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 81 + CB87	1.7	0.4	0.7	4.1	0.0	1.9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4
CB 77 + CB110	4.1	0.9	1.7	8.9	0.0	5.7	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.8	0.6	1.2	0.0	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.2	0.9
CB 154	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 151	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1
CB 123/149	0.6	0.2	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.2
CB 118	1.8	0.4	0.8	3.9	0.0	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4
CB 114	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 188	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 153	0.8	0.2	0.3	1.5	0.0	1.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.5	1.0	0.7	0.3
CB 168 + CB105	1.2	0.3	0.5	2.7	0.0	1.6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2
CB 141	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1
CB 137	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

CB 138	0.9	0.3	0.4	1.8	0.0	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.0	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.4
CB 158	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 126	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 178	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
CB 187	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1
CB 183	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
CB 128	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 167	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 177	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
CB 171 + CB202	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 156	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 157 + CB201	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 180	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.2

CB 193	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 191	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 169	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 170	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.1
CB 199	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 203	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 189	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 208 + CB195	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 194	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
CB 205	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 206	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CB 209	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	52.5	13.7	23.0	135.8	0.6	75.8	5.3	0.6	3.0	1.5	4.0	8.9	7.9	16.2	0.2	24.9	17.2	20.9	19.1	11.9

697
698

699

Table S3. OTU numbers by sample for each taxonomic marker

700

	P0					P1						P2					P3		P4		Total OTUs
	Z2	Z2	Z3/4	Z2	Z2	Z3/4	Z2	Z3/4	Z3/4	Z2	Z3/4	Z3/4	Z2	Z3/4	Z3/4	Z3/4	Z3/4	Z2	Z2	Z2	
	F5	B3	B5	B4	B5	B1	B2	B3	B3	F2	E5	B4	F3	E2	E3	E4	E1	F1	F4	B1	
OTUs 16S <i>(Bacteria)</i>	597	455	427	504	580	660	576	571	x	759	737	x	659	602	793	562	706	651	388	731	1463 (>99%)
OTUs 16S <i>(Archaea)</i>	3	4	9	3	3	7	1	10	x	4	3	x	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	4	14 (<1%)
OTUs 18S	161	209	119	141	x	259	197	x	172	269	292	x	294	220	319	229	243	340	180	306	752
OTUs ITS	217	164	113	231	223	253	227	190	198	299	313	185	277	137	234	158	163	281	172	254	685

701

702 **Table S4. qPCR programs**

703


	Prokaryotic		Eukaryotic		ITS Region		Cycles
	16S rRNA gene		18S rRNA gene				
Denaturing			95°C	10min			1
Amplification	95°C	30 sec	95°C	30 sec	95°C	30 sec	40
	63°C	1min	50°C	1min	57°C	45 sec	
	72°C	30 sec	72°C	30sec	72°C	1 mn 30 sec	
Melting point			95°C	5sec			1
			65 to 97°C	1min			
Cooling			40°C 10 sec				1

704

705 **Figure S1. Rarefaction curves**

706 Rarefaction curves for each sample were calculated for (A): prokaryotic dataset, (B): eukaryotic


707 dataset and (C): fungal dataset.



708

709 **Figure S2. Alpha diversity indices**

710 The richness and diversity indices (Chao1 and Shannon respectively) were calculated and illustrated by boxplots graphics for each group P0, P1,
711 P2, P3 and P4 and with the following *pvalue* code (*) = ≥ 0.05 and ≤ 0.1 ; * = ≤ 0.05 ; ** = ≤ 0.01 ; *** = ≤ 0.001 , for (A) : the prokaryotic dataset,
712 (B) : the eukaryotic dataset and (C) : the fungal dataset.



713


714 **Figure S3. Venn diagram and bar diagram of prokaryotic dataset for OTU composition**

715 At the top right corner, Venn diagram presented the shared and specific prokaryotic OTUs by sample

716 group. Each bar diagram represented the number of OTUs by bacterial family for each sample group

717 indicated in blue (P0), green (P1), orange (P2), purple (P3) and red (P4). The unknown families were


718 not shown.



719

720 **Figure S4. Venn diagram and bar diagram eukaryotic dataset for OTU composition**


721 At the top right corner, Venn diagram presented the shared and specific OTUs by sample group. Each
 722 bar diagram represented the number of OTUs by eukaryotic family for each sample group indicated in
 723 blue (P0), green (P1), orange (P2), purple (P3) and red (P4). The unknown families were not shown.



724


725 **Figure S5. Venn diagram and bar diagram of fungal dataset for OTU composition**

726 At the top right corner, Venn diagram presented the shared and specific OTUs by sample group. Each
 727 bar diagram represented the number of OTUs by fungal family for each sample group indicated in blue
 728 (P0), green (P1), orange (P2), purple (P3) and red (P4). The unknown families were not shown.



730 **Figure S6. Abundance of the taxonomic marker sequences in the brownfield sampling site**



731 The mean number of DNA sequence copies for (A): prokaryotic 16S rRNA, (B): eukaryotic 18S rRNA genes, (C): fungal ITS region were
732 calculated for each group P0, P1, P2, P3 and P4 and with the following *pvalue* code (*) = ≥ 0.05 and ≤ 0.1 ; * ≤ 0.05 ; ** = ≤ 0.01 ; *** = ≤ 0.001



733

734 **Figure S7. Total microbial activity kinetics**

735 Total microbial activity was first (A) analyzed by mineralization kinetics expressed by μg of C-CO₂ by gram of
736 dry weight of soil, assayed for each soil sample directly after sampling and appreciated by (B) FDA hydrolase
737 enzymatic assay. Each pollution group P0, P1, P2, P3 or P4 were indicated by colors.



738