

# Individual aerobic performance and anaerobic compensation in a temperate fish during a simulated marine heatwave

Margot Grimmelpont, Thomas Milinkovitch, Emmanuel Dubillot, Christel

Lefrançois

## ▶ To cite this version:

Margot Grimmelpont, Thomas Milinkovitch, Emmanuel Dubillot, Christel Lefrançois. Individual aerobic performance and anaerobic compensation in a temperate fish during a simulated marine heatwave. Science of the Total Environment, 2023, 863, pp.160844. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160844. hal-04276226

## HAL Id: hal-04276226 https://hal.science/hal-04276226v1

Submitted on 9 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Individual aerobic performance and anaerobic compensation in a temperate fish during a simulated marine heatwave

3

Margot Grimmelpont<sup>a</sup>, Thomas Milinkovitch<sup>a</sup>, Emmanuel Dubillot<sup>a</sup>, Christel Lefrançois<sup>a</sup> \*
<sup>a</sup> La Rochelle University/CNRS France - UMR 7266 LIENSs, 2 Rue Olympe de Gouges, 17000 La Rochelle
\* Corresponding author

8

## 9 Abstract

10 Marine heatwaves (MHWs) are becoming more frequent and intense due to anthropogenic climate 11 change and have strong negative effects on ecosystem. For now, few studies have reproduced the 12 complex nature of the temperature changes of a MHW, while it is suggested that ectotherms may be 13 more vulnerable to rapid changes such as during MHWs. Effects of an experimental MHW were 14 investigated in the golden gray mullet Chelon auratus. To do so, juveniles acclimated to 20°C were 15 exposed to a rapid 5°C increase in temperature, followed by a five-day period at 25°C, before quickly 16 returning to their initial temperature of acclimation. Metabolic variables (i.e. SMR-standard, MMR-17 maximum rate, AS-aerobic scope, EPOC-excess post-oxygen consumption) and critical swimming 18 speed (i.e. U<sub>crit</sub>) were measured at different phases of this MHW and after a thermally stable 19 recovery phase.

Although the pattern was only significant for the SMR, the aerobic three variables describing aerobic metabolism (i.e. SMR, MMR and AS) immediately increased in fish exposed to the acute elevation of temperature, and remained elevated when fish stayed at 25°C for five days. A similar increase of these metabolic variables was observed for fish that were progressively acclimated to 25°C. This suggests that temperature increases contribute to increases in metabolism; however, the acute

nature of the MHW had no influence. At the end of the MHW, the SMR remained elevated, suggesting an additional cost of obligatory activities due to the extreme event. In parallel, U<sub>crit</sub> did not vary regardless of the thermal conditions. Concerning EPOC, it significantly increased only when fish were acutely exposed to 25°C. This strongly suggests that fish may buffer the effects of acute changes in temperature by shifting to anaerobic metabolism.

30 Globally, this species appears to be able to cope with this MHW, but that's without taking into 31 consideration future projections describing an increase in both intensity and frequency of such 32 events, as well as other stressors like pollution or hypoxia).

33

#### 34 Keywords: MHW, fish, metabolism, swimming performance

- 35
- 36



41 42

## 43 **1. Introduction**

Climate change is responsible for a gradual and global increase in temperature but also for the appearance of extreme climatic events such as marine heatwaves (MHWs), which are predicted to increase both in frequency and intensity by the end of the century under the actual pathway (IPCC 2021). MHWs have been defined several ways by the scientific community using different metrics (e.g. Selig et al. 2010; Sorte et al. 2010). In an attempt to facilitate comparisons and understanding of their effects, Hobday et al. (2016) proposed that sea surface temperature anomalies should be defined as a MHW when they exceed the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of a 30-year historical period for a given season and location. This consensual definition also includes a spatio-temporal dimension since a MHW must persist for at least five days and spread over thousands of square kilometers to be differentiated from a heat spike (Hobday et al. 2016). In the last decade, all major ocean basins have experienced at least one MHW (Frölicher and Laufkötter 2018), which becomes a complex and increasing subject of concern for ecosystem health (Smale et al. 2019).

56 MHWs have been shown to have a range of negative effects on ecosystems such as mass mortality 57 events (Garrabou et al. 2009; Hughes et al. 2017; Seuront et al. 2019; Piatt et al. 2020), shifts in 58 community composition, (Wernberg et al. 2013, 2016; Smale and Wernberg 2013), modifications of 59 geographical distributions and decreases in the abundance of species (Sanford et al. 2019). When 60 they are considered in addition to decade-scale changes in temperature, MHWs are expected to 61 contribute to a decline of fishery stocks that are predicted to be decreased by half by 2050 (Cheung 62 and Frölicher 2020). In this regard, Cavole et al. (2016) pointed out that the MHW that persisted from 63 2013 to 2015 in the Northeast Pacific has induced politico-socio-economic problems through 64 fisheries disruptions to species of economic interest (e.g. losses following the closure of the 65 Dungeness crab fishery from Washington to California in 2015-2016 were estimated at nearly \$48 million). Considering MHWs when determining the impact of climate change on marine species has 66 67 therefore become essential. For decades, researchers have investigated the influence of progressive 68 changes in mean temperature on marine organisms, particularly on ectotherms such as fish, whose 69 physiology is temperature-dependent (Burel et al. 1996; Pörtner 2002a; Pörtner and Farrell 2008; 70 Volkoff and Rønnestad 2020). However, as emphasized in Morash et al. (2018), ectotherms might be 71 more sensitive to acute changes in temperature, indicating that the impacts of extreme events such 72 as a MHW should receive increasing attention. Many of the studies on this topic employed the 73 critical thermal maximum (CT<sub>max</sub>) protocol (i.e a stepwise increase in temperature until the fish loses 74 equilibrium) to assess the tolerance of individuals to an acute increase in temperature (e.g. Kikuchi et 75 al. 2019; Blasco et al. 2020). Recently, in order to specifically simulate temperature variation 76 magnitude during a MHW, other studies exposed organisms to thermal shock following by a 77 stabilization at an elevated temperature (McMahon et al. 2019; Madeira et al. 2020; Johansen et al. 78 2021). One study went even further by considering the capacity of organisms to both acclimate and 79 then recover from a 10-day MHW once the temperature returned to its initial value (Minuti et al. 80 2021). In this study, carried out in the sea urchin Heliocidaris erythrogramma, the authors 81 demonstrated an increase in mortality after the end of the MHW, probably a carry-over effect caused 82 by the accumulation of physiological damages they observed during the extreme episode. This 83 highlights the importance of evaluating the effects of these extreme thermal episodes though 84 measurements both during and after their occurrence.

85 As it integrates oxygen supply capacity and energy conversion processes, the metabolic rate of a 86 whole animal is viewed as a relevant parameter for the assessment of its general performance. 87 Particularly, the aerobic scope (AS) represents the oxygen supply the individual may allocate to 88 support energy-demanding activities and is commonly considered to be of ecological significance by 89 the scientific community (e.g. Brett 1964; Fry 1971; Claireaux and Lefrançois 2007; Clark et al. 2013). 90 AS is the difference between the maximum and the standard metabolic rate (MMR and SMR, 91 respectively), which are both under the influence of temperature, notably because of its direct effect 92 on the kinetics of biochemical reactions (Fry 1971; Claireaux and Lagardère 1999; Lefrançois and 93 Claireaux 2003; Clark et al. 2013). SMR represents the costs of maintenance in inactive fish and is 94 expected to exponentially increase with temperature (e.g. Lefrançois and Claireaux 2003, Chabot et 95 al. 2016). On the other hand, MMR is the maximum capacity for oxygen uptake, which is also 96 expected to increase before it levels off as the optimal temperature is approached (e.g. Rummer et 97 al. 2014; Norin and Clark 2016). Variation in SMR and/or MMR may therefore influence the fish's 98 ability to perform essential functions through modifications of the metabolic confines within which it 99 must undertake its aerobic activities (Claireaux and Lefrançois 2007). For instance, several fish 100 species have demonstrated a matching variation of AS and swimming performance assessed through

101 critical swimming speed (i.e U<sub>crit</sub>, the maximum velocity that a fish can attain when exposed to a 102 swimming step protocol). For instance, Mahi-mahi Coryphaena hippurus exposed to an increase in 103 acclimation temperature until 32°C showed a decrease in both AS and U<sub>crit</sub> (Heuer et al. 2021). On the 104 other hand, McMahon et al. (2019) observed a concomitant increase of these two variables when 105 Australasian snapper Chrysophrys auratus variables were exposed to an extreme temperature event 106 (i.e. +4°C). It is worth noting that as  $U_{crit}$  is approached during a swimming challenge, oxygen supply 107 becomes limiting and swimming effort is progressively sustained by anaerobic metabolism in many 108 species such as sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka (Steinhausen et al. 2008), rainbow trout 109 Oncorhynchus mykiss (Burgetz et al. 1998) and striped surfperch Embiotoca lateralis (Cannas et al. 110 2006), which in some cases may contribute to the decoupling of U<sub>crit</sub> and AS (e.g. Kunz et al. 2018).

111 In the present study, the effects of a MHW were investigated by assessing biological variables in a 112 teleost fish facing temperature variations occurring during such an event and after a thermally stable 113 recovery phase. More specifically, we assessed metabolic performance in the temperate golden gray 114 mullet Chelon auratus (Risso 1810), which was chosen because of its ecological relevance as a trophic 115 vector of organic matter from intertidal to subtidal areas (Gautier and Hussenot 2005; Carpentier et 116 al. 2014). - We conducted investigations by measuring aerobic and anaerobic metabolic variables and 117 U<sub>crit</sub> to gain an integrative view of the possible consequences of a MHW. Chelon auratus were 118 exposed to an experimental MHW of a magnitude of 5°C, in agreement with what has been recorded 119 in the Mediterranean basin during the summer extreme episode that occurred in 2003 (Hobday et al. 120 2016). To do so, fish went acutely from acclimation conditions of 20°C to a higher temperature of 121 25°C that was stabilized during five days, before returning to the initial thermal conditions for several 122 days. We hypothesized that the new sudden, high thermal regime may led to an increase of 123 maintenance costs and thereby of SMR. In addition, a limited increase of MMR was expected as this 124 strong and sudden change in temperature could alter optimal functioning at the molecular or 125 membrane level, and/or in organs involved in oxygen transport, such as the heart (e.g. Farrell 2002). 126 Consequences on individual performances were assessed through the determination of U<sub>crit</sub> and anaerobic metabolism by measuring excess post oxygen consumption (EPOC, Lee et al. 2003) following the swimming challenge. Overall, this study investigates the biological effects of an experimental MHW and will provide information on the sensitivity of a temperate teleost fish to acute thermal changes, their short-term acclimation capacities, the carry over effects and/or their resilience capacities when faced such extreme events.

#### 132 **2. Materials and methods**

#### 133 **2.1.** Fish husbandry

134 Juvenile golden gray mullets were captured in salt marshes (L'Houmeau, France 46°12'14"N 135  $1^{\circ}11'42''W$ ) with a fyke net. Fish were held in six different 400L indoor tanks (n = 15–30 individuals 136 per tank) supplied with natural and aerated seawater for nine months. The water quality was 137 maintained with an external biological and mechanical filter (Eheim professional 3 2080, Eheim, 138 Deizisau, Germany) and 30% of the water was renewed each week. During this period, temperature 139 and salinity were recorded three times a week using a WTW Cond 3310 multimeter (Xylem Analytics 140 Germany GmbH) and varied from 18.3 to 20.5°C and from 26.2 to 30, respectively, which is similar to 141 natural conditions encountered during summer in the area where the mullets have been caught. Oxygen level and nitrites were never under 80% of air saturation or above 0.3 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. 142 143 Animals were fed three times per week in the morning with commercial food pellets (Neo Repro II, Le 144 Gouessant, France) and exposed to a 12h light:12h dark photoperiod cycle. Experiments were carried 145 out respecting regulations of the Animal Care Committee of France (ACCF) (APAFIS#25159-146 2019102913067306 v7; UMR7266 LIENSs approval number: 173002).

#### 147 **2.2.** Temperature treatments

After the nine months of acclimatization to the laboratory environment, swimming and metabolic performances were individually assessed for 66 fish [mean weight  $\pm$  standard error (SE): 13.3 g  $\pm$  5.5; mean total length  $\pm$  SE: 11.4 cm  $\pm$  1.2]. To do this, fish were distributed in six holding tanks (n = 15–30 individuals per tank). Five holding tanks were maintained with a recirculating water system at an acclimation temperature of  $19.7^{\circ}C \pm 0.3$ , [min=  $18.9^{\circ}C$ ; max=  $20.5^{\circ}C$ ] and one tank at  $25^{\circ}C \pm 0.2$ , [min=  $19.1^{\circ}C$ ; max=  $20^{\circ}C$ ]. A period of at least 28 days at these thermal conditions was respected before the beginning of the experiment. In the following, exposure to  $19.7^{\circ}C \pm 0.3$  is referred as 20 or *low temperature* conditions; and

156 exposure to  $25^{\circ}C \pm 0.2$  as 25 or high temperature conditions. In order to assess the effect of a  $5^{\circ}C$ -

157 MHW lasting six days, a total of seven treatments were tested, and each fish was exposed to only

- 158 one of these seven treatments: three control treatments (i.e. fish acclimated and tested at *low* or
- 159 *high* acclimation temperatures), three MHW treatments (i.e. fish tested at different time of the
- 160 MHW) and one recovery treatment (i.e. fish tested 7 days after having experienced the whole MHW).
- 161 Depending on the temperature treatment they experienced, fish were transferred from their holding
- 162 tank to a swim tunnel (a) directly or (b) after having spent time in an intermediary aquaria (table 1).
- 163 Before each transfer, fish were starved 48 hours.
- 164

Protocol for temperature exposure of the seven treatments. The grey area symbolizes the whole 5 °C- MHW lasting 6 days, which is composed of three phases: acute increase of temperature in ~12 h from 20 °C to 25 °C, 5 days of stabilization at 25 °C, acute decrease of temperature in ~12 h from 25 °C to 20 °C, and that can be followed by a 7 days recovery phase at the initial temperature of 20 °C. When the fish experienced one of these phases the conditions of temperature are indicated, otherwise Øindicates that the fish was not exposed to the corresponding phase. All fish groups spent at least 28 days in a 400 L-holding tank for acclimation at 20 °C or 25 °C. Treeov group also spent seven days in the holding tank after experiencing the whole MHW. Three groups (Control20,I), Control25, T20:25(12h)) were directly transferred from the holding tanks to the swim tunnel where the T20:25(12h) group endured a 5 °C-variation before the swimming challenge. Four groups spent time in an intermediary aquaria before to be tested in the swim tunnel (1) to endure the temperature challenge of 5 °C-variation: T20:25-25(50), Tmhw and Treeov or (2) to stay at the temperature of acclimation which allowed to test if there is an effect of the 5 days spent in the intermediary aquaria; it corresponds to the treatment Control20(2) and is indicated by # in the table. Values outside the brackets are the main temperature±sd. Values in brackets are the minimum and maximum temperature recorded during the temperature exposition. Values in parenthesis represent the rate of changing temperature. \* and \*\* indicate the type of experimental set-up used for the temperature changes and/or for the temperature stabilization.

		Acclimation > 28 days	Different steps of Acute increase ~ 12 hours	the simulated MH Stabilization ~ 5 days	W lasted ~ 6 days Acute decrease ~ 12 hours	Recovery 7 days	Swimming challenge ~ 6 hours
	Experimental set-up	Holding tank	In an intermediary aquaria* or in the swim tunnel**	Intermediary aquaria	In an intermediary aquaria* or in the swim tunnel**	Holding tank	Swim tunnel
treatme	<i>Control</i> <sub>25</sub> n = 10	25.0°C ± 0.2 [24.6°C ; 25.4°C]	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	25.2°C ± 0.2 [24.7°C ; 25.5°C]

	,						
	<i>Control<sub>20(1)</sub></i> n = 13	19.7°C ± 0.3 [18.9°C ; 20.5°C]	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	19.6°C ± 0.2 [19.3°C ; 19.9°C]
	<i>Control<sub>20(2)</sub></i> n = 12	19.7°C ± 0.3 [18.9°C ; 20.5°C] #	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	19.6°C ± 0.2 [19.2°C ; 19.8°C]
MHW treatments	<i>Τ<sub>20:25(12h)</sub></i> n= 12	19.7°C ± 0.3 [18.9°C ; 20.5°C]	20.1°C ± 0.5 to 25.0°C ± 0.3 in 12:25 h ± 1:36 (0.46°C.h <sup>-1</sup> ) **	Ø	Ø	Ø	25.2°C ± 0.2 [24.9°C ; 25.5°C]
	T <sub>20:25-25(5d)</sub> n= 10	19.7°C ± 0.3 [18.9°C ; 20.5°C]	19.7°C ± 0.1 to 24.8°C ± 0.3 in 12:22 h ± 1:05 (0.46°C.h <sup>-1</sup> ) *	24.9°C ± 0.2 [24.5°C ; 25.6°C]	Ø	Ø	25.1°C ± 0.2 [24.6°C ; 25.7°C]
	<i>T<sub>mhw</sub></i> n= 13	19.7°C ± 0.3 [18.9°C ; 20.5°C]	19.6°C $\pm$ 0.3 to 25.0°C $\pm$ 0.6 in 11:39 h $\pm$ 1:07 (0.46°C.h <sup>-1</sup> ) *	25.0°C ± 0.4 [24.4°C ; 25.9°C]	25.0°C $\pm$ 0.1 to 20.0°C $\pm$ 0.3 in 13:40 h $\pm$ 1:07 (0.37°C.h <sup>-1</sup> ) **	Ø	19.8°C ± 0.1 [19.3°C ; 20.2°C]
Recovery treatment	<i>T<sub>recov</sub></i> n= 10	19.7°C ± 0.3 [18.9°C ; 20.5°C]	$19.4^{\circ}C \pm 0.3 \text{ to}$ 24.7°C ± 0.4 in 12:25 h ± 0:58 (0.43°C.h <sup>-1</sup> ) *	25.2°C ± 0.4 [24.2°C ; 25.9°C]	25.2°C $\pm$ 0.2 to 19.8°C $\pm$ 0.1 in 13:47 h $\pm$ 0:51 (0.39°C.h <sup>-1</sup> ) *	19.6°C ± 0.2 [18.7°C ; 19.9°C]	19.5°C ± 0.1 [19.2°C ; 19.8°C]

180

#### 181 **2.2.1.** Intermediary exposure set-up

This set-up consisted of four independent glass-aquaria (13L) that successively hosted fish by groups of two. Each aquarium was connected to a second smaller aquarium (5L) used as a buffer tank to accurately control (a) the water quality using a bacterial and mechanical filter and (b) the temperature, which was set through a submersible heater. The submersible heater associated with the air conditioning system of the experimental room accurately control the water temperature. Temperature was recorded every ten minutes using a 0.001°C HOBO probe (HOBO Tidbit V2 – UTBI-001 – HOBO Onset, Onset Computer Corporation, United States).

189 2.2.2. Protocol of temperature exposure (Table 1)

190 2.2.2.1. Control treatments

*Control*<sub>20(1)</sub>: Fish were directly transferred from their 20°C acclimation tank to the swim tunnel to
 be individually challenged through a swimming step protocol *at 20°C*.

Control<sub>20(2)</sub>: Fish were transferred in the morning from their 20°C acclimation tank to the intermediary aquaria where they remained for five days under the same 20°C condition. Fish were fed 24 and 72 hours after their transfer. The evening of the fifth day, they were individually placed in the swim tunnel to be challenged later through a swimming step protocol at 20°C.
 When compared to the Control<sub>20(1)</sub> group, this treatment allowed us to assess a potential effect of the stress induced by the 5-day period spent in a smaller area (i.e. the 13L aquarium) in comparison to their 400L holding tank.

*Control*<sub>25</sub>: Fish were directly transferred from their 25°C acclimated holding tank to the swim
 tunnel to be individually tested at this temperature. This treatment permitted us to assess fish
 metabolic rates while acclimated at the highest assay temperature of 25°C. When compared with
 the MHW treatments (described below), it allowed us to know if the responses of the fish are a
 consequence of the thermal acute change experienced during the MHW or only a consequence
 of exposure to *high temperature* condition.

206 2.2.2.2. MHW treatments

•  $T_{20:25(12h)}$ : Fish were individually transferred from their 20°C acclimation tank to the swim tunnel, where they experienced a temperature increase from 20°C to 25°C during a period of ~12 hours (~4°C.h<sup>-1</sup>). Then, they were individually tested at the *high temperature* condition with a swimming step protocol. When compared with control groups, this treatment evaluated the response of individuals following an initial acute increase in temperature, with a rate and amplitude comparable to what can be observed *in situ* during a MHW (Hobday et al. 2016).

•  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$ : Fish were transferred during the morning from their 20°C acclimation tank to the 214 intermediary aquaria where they experienced a similar temperature increase to the previous 215 group ( $T_{20:25(12h)}$ ) before to remain at 25°C during five days. After this temperature increase, fish

remained in the aquaria for five days at 25°C. Here, fish were fed 24 and 72 hours after their transfer. The evening of the fifth day, they were individually placed in the swim tunnel to be tested later through a swimming step protocol at this same temperature. This treatment allowed us to study the short-term acclimation capacities to the new temperature regime.

•  $T_{mhw}$ : Fish were transferred in the morning from their 20°C acclimation tank to the intermediary aquaria where they experienced similar treatments to the previous group (*T20:25-25<sub>(5d)</sub>*). Here, fish were fed 24 and 72 hours after their transfer. On the evening of the fifth day, they were individually placed in the swim tunnel to experience a temperature decrease from 25°C to 20°C in ~12 hours. After this period, they were tested at the *low temperature* condition with a swimming step protocol. This treatment was considered a complete MHW and assessed the potential cumulative effects caused by the temperature variations.

227 2.2.2.3. Recovery treatment

 $T_{recov}$ : Fish were transferred in the morning from their 20°C acclimation tank to the intermediary aquaria where they experienced similar treatments to the  $T_{mhw}$  group. On the evening of the fifth day, they were transferred back to a 20°C acclimated holding tank to permit them to recover. Here, they were fed ~12, ~60 and ~110 hours after their transfer. The evening of the seventh day, fish were moved to the swim tunnel for being individually tested later for a swimming step protocol at the *low temperature* condition, i.e. at their initial temperature of acclimation. This treatment allowed us to analyze the recovery capacities or the delayed effects on the fish.

236

228

#### 237 2.3. Experimental set-up for swimming and metabolic variables

To determine the swimming performance and aerobic metabolic rate of each golden gray mullet, two intermittent-flow swim tunnels were used in parallel (Loligo Systems, Tjele, Denmark). We followed the guidelines of Killen et al. (2021) for the reporting of intermittent-flow respirometry method details. The system is fully detailed in Vagner et al. (2014). Briefly, the swim respirometer is 242 submerged in a buffer tank and composed of a swim chamber (10L, LxHxW= 40x10x10cm) and a 243 hydraulic system, which promotes a laminar flow in combination with a honeycomb structure 244 positioned upstream of the swim chamber. The flow was generated by an external motor equipped 245 with a propeller whose speed is controlled by the experimenter. A flush submersible pump was 246 placed in the buffer tank to ensure a renewal of aerated water in the swim respirometer. The buffer 247 tank also contained a thermo-controlled recirculating system to allow the control of the 248 temperature. To avoid the experimenter visually disturbing the fish and to encourage the fish to 249 swim upstream, a black shelter was positioned in front of the swim chamber. Oxygen level 250 measurements were carried out with an oxygen probe (i.e. optic fiber; Presens, Germany) placed in 251 the swim chamber and connected to an oxymeter (Microx, Presens, Germany), which recorded data 252 every 15s using the software Oxyview (Presens, Germany). The probe was calibrated once at the 253 beginning of the swim test using 0% and 100% air saturation with a controlled temperature at 20°C; 254 no derivation was observed during the duration of the experiment.

255

257

#### 256 **2.4.** Protocol for swimming and metabolic variables

258 Fish were starved 48h before experiencing the swimming challenge. Swimming and metabolic 259 performances were assessed through a critical swimming speed protocol during which the fish was 260 exposed to a stepwise increase of the water velocity (e.g. Brett 1964; Reidy et al. 2000; Vagner et al. 261 2019; Palstra et al. 2020). Fish were transferred into the swim chamber between 5:00 and 6:00 pm. 262 About 30 mins after its transfer, the fish was trained to swim in the swim chamber by progressively 263 and slightly increasing the water velocity over 10 min. Then fish remained for ~12h (i.e. overnight) in the swim chamber at low velocity (U = 2.75 BL.s<sup>-1</sup>). It was during this period that fish of the  $T_{20:25(12h)}$ 264 265 group were exposed to the temperature change from 20°C to 25°C, and inversely for those in the 266  $T_{mhw}$  group (Figure 1 and table of the supplementary material). For the Control<sub>20(1)</sub>, Control<sub>20(2)</sub> and 267  $T_{recov}$  groups, temperature was kept stable at 20°C while for the Control<sub>25</sub> and  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$  groups, 268 temperature was set and kept stable at 25°C.

269 The following morning, the step-protocol started. At intervals of 15 minutes, the water velocity was increased for three minutes as follows: increments of 0.75 BL s<sup>-1</sup> from 2.75 to 6.50 BL s<sup>-1</sup> then 270 increments of 1.50 BL s<sup>-1</sup>. At each swimming step, fish oxygen consumption (MO<sub>2</sub> in mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) 271 272 was measured by intermittent-flow respirometry. This consists of an alternation between (a) a 273 flushing phase during the increment of water velocity (i.e. 3 min) to restore oxygen saturation using 274 the flush pump (which controls the water flow from the buffer tank to the respirometer) and (b) a 275 MO<sub>2</sub> measurement phase at steady water velocity (i.e. 15 min), during which the respirometer was 276 isolated from the buffer tank by turning off the flush pump. When the fish was exhausted, the water 277 velocity was immediately reduced to recovery speed (i.e. 2.75 BL s<sup>-1</sup>). The fish was considered 278 exhausted when it was no longer able to swim against the current (i.e. the individual showed a C-279 shape on the grid located at the back of the swim chamber).

280 The fish was then allowed to recover for a period of 120 minutes. During this period,  $MO_2$  was 281 recorded to assess excess post-oxygen consumption (EPOC), i.e. the elevation in oxygen consumption 282 due to the solicitation of anaerobic metabolism during the swimming challenge and the subsequent 283 need to restore tissue and cellular oxygen stores (Lee et al. 2003). Five successive MO<sub>2</sub> 284 measurements were recorded. In order to accurately assess the expected rapid decrease in MO<sub>2</sub>, the 285 first three oxygen consumption measurements were separated by a short flushing phase of 30s that 286 was enough to reestablish oxygen at its initial concentration. Then, the next two and last MO<sub>2</sub> 287 measurements were separated by 15 minutes of the flushing phase.

At the end of this 120 min-period, fish were removed from the swim chamber and anesthetized (tricaine methane sulphonate MS-222; 0.1 g L<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma-Aldrich, St Quentin-Fallavier, France). Then, for each individual, biometry (i.e. total length, height, width and weight) was taken. All fish were kept alive at the end of the experimentation and returned to their original holding tanks and maintained at the *low* or *high temperature* condition depending on their acclimation temperature. For each swimming test, the background respiration (e.g. microbial respiration) was measured in the empty tunnel (i.e. without fish) for a minimum of 30 min before and after every swimming challenge. After

each test and before transferring a new fish, the swim respirometer was supplied with new filtered
(1 μm) and UV-treated seawater. Also, the swim tunnel was fully cleaned and rinsed with freshwater
twice per week. This procedure reduced the bacteria responsible for part of the background oxygen
consumption as much as possible.

- 299 2.5. Calculation of the measured variables
- 300 **2.5.1.** Swimming performance
- 301 U<sub>crit</sub> (BL s<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated according the following equation (e.g. Brett 1964; Milinkovitch et al. 2012;
   302 McMahon et al. 2019):

$$U_{crit} = U_t + \frac{t_1}{t} \times U_1 equation(A)$$

303 where  $U_t$  (BL s<sup>-1</sup>) is the highest velocity that the fish swam during a complete swimming step,  $t_1$  (min) 304 is the time spent at the fatigue velocity step, t (min) is the time of a complete swimming step (i.e. 15 305 min) and  $U_1$  is the last increase of the velocity before the fish fatigued (i.e. 0.75 BL s<sup>-1</sup> or 1.5 BL s<sup>-1</sup>).

306 2.5.2. Oxygen consumption (MO<sub>2</sub>)

307  $MO_{2RAW}$  (mg  $O_2$  kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated using the following equation including a correction relating to 308 the background respiration (e.g. Lefrançois and Claireaux 2003; Vagner et al. 2008, 2019):

$$M_{O_{2RAW}} = \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta[O_2]}{\Delta t} \right) - \left( \left( \frac{\Delta[O_2]}{\Delta t} \right)_{bact} \right) \right] \times \left( \frac{Vresp}{m} \right) equation(B)$$

309 where  $\Delta[O_2]/\Delta t (mgO_2 \Gamma^1 h^{-1})$  is the oxygen concentration decrease in the swim respirometer over 310 time during each MO<sub>2</sub> measurement period. It is obtained by plotting  $[O_2]$  versus time and fitting a 311 linear regression (Graphical Analysis 3.4, Beaverton, OR, USA); only slopes with a regression 312 coefficient above 0.85 were considered for further data analysis.  $(\Delta[O_2]/\Delta t)_{bact} (mgO_2 \Gamma^1 h^{-1})$  is the 313 slope of background respiration over time resulting from the average of two measurements taken 314 before and after the swimming challenge in the empty chamber.  $V_{resp}$  is the swim tunnel water 315 volume (10 I) minus the volume of the fish, and m (kg) is the fish body mass. Because fish respiration 316 depends on animal body mass, an allometric correction was performed as follow to assess the  $MO_2$ 

for a 100g-standard fish (e.g. Lefrançois and Claireaux 2003; Vagner et al. 2008, 2019):

$$M_{O_2} = M_{O_{2RAW}} \times \left(\frac{m}{m_{corr}}\right)^{1-A} equation(C)$$

where MO<sub>2</sub> (mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) is the oxygen consumption for a fish with a standard mass  $m_{corr} = 0.1$  kg, MO<sub>2RAW</sub> (mgO<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) is the calculated oxygen consumption with the equation (B) for a fish with mass m (kg) and A is the allometric exponent of the relationship between metabolic rate and fish mass. For this experiment, we used the same value (0.8) already used for *Chelon auratus* in Milinkovitch et al. (2012); Vagner et al. (2014, 2015, 2019) and commonly employed in teleost species (e.g. Wright et al. 2014; Kunz et al. 2018).

#### 324 2.5.3. Metabolic parameters estimation

325 Standard metabolic rate (SMR) (mg  $O_2$  kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained by extrapolating the following 326 relationship to a null swimming velocity (e.g. Brett 1964; Chabot et al. 2016; Vagner et al. 2019; 327 Hachim et al. 2021):

## $MO_2 = SMRe^{bU} equation(D)$

328 where MO<sub>2</sub> (mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) is the oxygen consumption calculated in the equation (C), SMR is the 329 intercept (i.e. the MO<sub>2</sub> at U=0), b is a constant and U (BL s<sup>-1</sup>) is swimming speed.

The highest  $MO_2$  reached during the  $U_{crit}$  test was considered an estimation of MMR, the maximum metabolic rate (in mg  $O_2$  kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, e.g. Norin and Clark 2016).

Aerobic scope AS (mg  $O_2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) was then calculated for each individual by subtracting SMR from MMR (e.g. Brett 1964; Lefrançois and Claireaux 2003).

EPOC was determined (mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>) by subtracting baseline fish MO<sub>2</sub> from the five values of MO<sub>2</sub> measured during the post-exercise phases. In order to increase the accuracy of the EPOC assessment, the first two of these five measures were divided in three periods of 5 min each. For each of these periods, MO<sub>2</sub> was assessed as described in equations B and C. Indeed, fish were observed to recover extremely rapidly after the swimming challenge and changes of the slope  $\frac{\Delta[O_2]}{\Delta t}$  were usually observed. On the other hand, baseline was defined for each fish as its MO<sub>2</sub> while swimming at 2.75 BL s<sup>-1</sup> at the beginning of the swimming challenge. Then, the MO<sub>2</sub> values obtained were plotted *versus* time and the integration of the area bounded between these values and the x-axis (i.e. time) were done using Graphical Analysis vs. 3.4 (Beaverton, OR, USA) (e.g. Lee et al. 2003; Vagner et al. 2015).

#### 344 **2.6.** Statistical analysis

345 First, a comparison of the two groups of fish acclimated to 20°C i.e. Control<sub>20(1)</sub> and Control<sub>20(2)</sub> was 346 done to assess whether the passage through the intermediary exposure set-up influenced the 347 biological variables subsequently measured in fish. T-tests were used for each variable after 348 performing Shapiro-Wilk and Bartlett tests. Because no significant difference was revealed between 349 Control<sub>20(1)</sub> and Control<sub>20(2)</sub> for any variables (i.e. no significant impact of the intermediary exposure 350 aquaria was found), a random sampling of 11 individuals was performed to homogenate the number 351 of fish in regards to the five other treatments and to get an improved balanced plan for the rest of 352 the statistical analyses. The results associated with this new group, called Control<sub>20</sub>, will be the 353 reference for individuals acclimated at the low temperature condition and will be used to perform 354 the ANOVA described below.

355 The effect of temperature treatments on biological variables (i.e. SMR, MMR, AS, EPOC, U<sub>crit</sub>,) was 356 analyzed in two steps. At first the response of fish during and directly after the extreme episode was 357 investigated through a comparison between the Control<sub>20</sub>, Control<sub>25</sub>, T<sub>20:25(12h)</sub>, T<sub>20:25-25(5d)</sub>, and T<sub>mhw</sub> 358 groups. In a second step, the carry over effect in fish that underwent the MHW was explored 359 considering only the Control<sub>20</sub>,  $T_{mhw}$  and  $T_{recov}$  groups. In the results, the statistical differences 360 between the temperature treatments during and directly after the extreme event (Control<sub>20</sub>, 361 Control<sub>25</sub>,  $T_{20:25(12h)}$ ,  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$  and  $T_{mhw}$ ) are illustrated on the figures by letters, while the differences 362 between Control<sub>20</sub>, T<sub>mhw</sub> and T<sub>recov</sub> (i.e. assessing the carry over effect) are illustrated by symbols. In 363 both cases, application conditions were assessed for each variable using a Shapiro-Wilk test for 364 normality and Bartlett test for homoscedasticity. If the conditions were met, a one-way ANOVA was 365 performed using the treatments as the fixed factor. If not, a non-parametric test (Kruskal-Wallis test) 366 was used. In cases where the null hypothesis was rejected at a significance level of P < 0.05, a post-367 hoc Tukey HSD after the ANOVA or a pairwise Dunn post-hoc test after the non-parametric test was 368 performed. The values were expressed as mean ± standard error (SD) of the mean.

## **3**69 **3. Results**

370 **3.1. Swimming performance** 

Average  $U_{crit}$  tended to be lower for the *Control*<sub>25</sub> group (10.88 ± 1.48 BL s<sup>-1</sup>) than for the other treatments where it ranged between 12.44 ± 1.81 BL s<sup>-1</sup> and 13.48 ± 2.50 BL s<sup>-1</sup>. However, no statistical difference was revealed (Kruskal-Wallis test, Chi<sup>2</sup> = 6.41, P = 0.17). Furthermore,  $U_{crit}$  was not significantly different between the groups where the fish were tested at 20°C (i.e. *Control*<sub>20</sub>, *T*<sub>mhw</sub> and *T*<sub>recov</sub>; one-way ANOVA, F = 0.23, P = 0.80, Figure 1).



377

Fig. 1. Effect of MHWand recovery period on U<sub>crit</sub> in Chelon auratus (mean $\pm$ sd; BL s-1). Color indicates the assay temperature at which fish have been tested in the swim tunnel: blue is for 20 °C and red is for 25 °C. The square shape indicates the two control groups of fish, i.e. tested at their low or high acclimation temperature without experiencing any temperature variation. The round shape indicates the four groups of fish that have endured temperature variation(s). Statistical tests were performed in two steps: letters are used to show if U<sub>crit</sub> was significantly impacted during or directly after the MHW (Kruskal-Wallis test, Chi2=6.41, P = 0.17), and symbols are used to assess if fish recovered 7 days after the MHW (One-way ANOVA, F = 0.23, P = 0. 80). Different letters or symbols indicate that treatments were significantly different. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to theweb version of this article.

#### 387 3.2. SMR, MMR, AMS and EPOC

SMR (Figure 2A) showed statistical differences between the two groups of fish *Control*<sub>20</sub> and *Control*<sub>25</sub> (P < 0.01). SMR was also significantly different between fish from the *Control*<sub>20</sub> group (38.49 ± 14.31 mg O<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) and those who only experienced the acute elevation for ~12h (i.e.  $T_{20:25(12h)}$  : 84.39 ± 32.35 mg O<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>, P < 0.001) or spent five days at the *high temperature* (i.e.  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$  : 85.72 ± 392 35.55 mg O<sub>2</sub>  $h^{-1}$  kg<sup>-1</sup>, P < 0.001), which approximately doubled their SMR. On the other hand, the 393 SMR measured in fish of the  $T_{20:25(12h)}$  and  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$  groups did not differ from each other (P = 0.50) 394 or from the SMR of fish from the *Control*<sub>25</sub> group (74.06  $\pm 25.95 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ , P = 0.25 and P = 0.26, 395 respectively). Additionally, the SMR of fish whose experienced the whole MHW (i.e. T<sub>mhw</sub> group) (a) 396 was not different from fish of the Control<sub>25</sub> group (P = 0.33), but (b) was significantly different from 397 fish of the Control<sub>20</sub> group (P < 0.001). This difference disappeared seven days later, as the SMR of fish from  $T_{recov}$  (i.e. 47.70 ± 20.36 mg O<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Control<sub>20</sub> groups were not statistically different 398 399 (P = 0.23).

400



401

- 402





Fig. 2. Effect of theMHWand recovery period on the metabolic performance of Chelon auratus (mean±sd;mgO2 h-1 kg-1). A. Standard metabolic rate (SMR).





Fig. 3. Effect of theMHWand recovery period on Chelon auratus excess post- oxygen consumption after the swimming challenge (EPOC mean±sd; mg O2 kg-1). Color indicates the assay temperature at which fish have been tested in the swim tunnel: blue is for 20 °C and red is for 25 °C. The square shape indicates the two control groups of fish, i.e. tested at their low or high acclimation temperature without experiencing any temperature variation. The round shape indicates the four groups of fish that have endured temperature variation(s). Statistical tests were performed in two steps: letters are used to show if EPOC was modulated during  $a_{n}$  directly after the MHW (Kruskal-Wallis test, Chi2 = 14.9, P < 0.01), whereas symbols are used to assess if fish recovered 7 days after the MHW (One-way ANOVA, F = 0.94, P = 0.40). Different letters or symbols indicate that treatments were found significantly different. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to theweb version of this article.) 433 The analysis of EPOC (Figure 3) revealed a different pattern. No statistical difference was found between fish of the groups Control<sub>20</sub> (13663.18  $\pm$  3535.41 mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Control<sub>25</sub> (14798.90  $\pm$ 434 435 5006.07 mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>, P = 0.29). On the contrary, acute exposure to 25°C induced a significant increase 436 of EPOC in comparison to 20°C-acclimated fish whether it was assessed immediately ( $T_{20:25(12h)}$ : 18550.25 ± 7616.51 mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>, P = 0.03) or 5 days after the acute thermal variation (i.e.  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$ : 437 19892.90 ± 6058.98 mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>, P < 0.01). Additionally, EPOC of fish in the  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$  group was found 438 439 statistically different from EPOC of those in the Control<sub>25</sub> group (P=0.03). Finally, EPOC of fish that 440 experienced the entire MHW (i.e.  $T_{mhw}$ : 11496.36 ± 3587.44 mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>) was not statistically different 441 from fish of the Control<sub>20</sub> group (P = 0.19). Seven days after the MHW, fish showed the same magnitude of EPOC (12759.56  $\pm$  4076.70 mg O<sub>2</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>) as the *Control*<sub>20</sub> and *T*<sub>mhw</sub> groups (one-way 442 443 ANOVA, F = 0.94, P = 0.40)

444

#### 445 **4. Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to assess the physiological responses of a teleost fish facing different temperature regimes representative of a MHW and their ability to recover after it. To reach these objectives, aerobic and anaerobic metabolic variables were assessed, as well as swimming performance in *C. auratus*. To disentangle the contribution of the different phases of temperature change to the observed impacts, a succession of measurements has been done during a six-days MHW of a magnitude of 5°C. Our results suggest that *C. auratus* may shift from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism in response to acute changes in temperature during MHW.

453 4.1. Effects of the MHW on standard metabolic rate

454 The metabolism of ectotherm species is strongly linked to the temperature which directly affects the 455 kinetics of chemical reactions (e.g. Gillooly et al. 2001; Pörtner 2002b; Brown et al. 2004; Claireaux 456 and Lefrançois 2007; Payne et al. 2016). As described in Fry (1971), SMR was found to exponentially 457 increase with the temperature of acclimation in European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax, Claireaux 458 and Lagardère 1999; Kır and Demirci 2018), common sole (Solea solea, Lefrançois and Claireaux 459 2003), gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata, Kır 2020) and salmonids (e.g. sockeye salmon 460 Oncorhynclws nerka, Brett 1964; Atlantic salmon Salmo salar, Hvas et al. 2017; lake trout or brook 461 trout Salvelinus namaycush and S. fontinalis, Gibson and Fry 1954), while other kinds of patterns may 462 be observed in barramundi (Lates calcarifer, Norin et al. 2014) or in ballan wrasse (Labrus bergylta, 463 Yuen et al. 2019).

464 In the present study, the SMR assessed in C. auratus was in accordance with the range observed on 465 the same species by Vagner et al. (2014) or Killen et al. (2015), and was found to double in individuals acclimated at 25°C compared to those acclimated at 20°C (i.e. Control<sub>20</sub> versus Control<sub>25</sub>). 466 467 Interestingly, exposure of *C. auratus* to 25°C, but in an acute way (i.e. group T<sub>20:25(12h)</sub>), also led to a 468 doubling of the SMR. At 25°C, the SMR therefore increased similarly regardless of the dynamics of 469 the thermal change C. auratus experienced. This suggests that although an elevation of temperature 470 contributes to an increase in metabolism, the acute character of the thermal challenge has no 471 specific influence upon the basal oxygen requirements of C. auratus. It is worth noting that further 472 maintenance at 25°C for five days (i.e. Control<sub>20</sub> versus  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$ ) results in no additional change in 473 SMR.

In contrast, European perch *Perca fluviatilis* subjected to 18°C and then 23°C show a more intense increase in SMR in acutely warmed individuals than in gradually acclimated fish (Sandblom et al. 2016). Kirby et al. (2020) also measured a two-fold higher SMR in minnows *Cyprinodon variegatus* acutely exposed to 32°C (i.e. with an elevation of 2°C.h<sup>-1</sup>) in comparison to acclimated individuals. Elevation in SMR suggests supplementary energy costs to sustain maintenance activities, which could reduce fish fitness and performance (e.g. Fry 1971; Claireaux and Lefrançois 2007; Chabot et al. 2016; 480 Sandblom et al. 2016). Along these lines, Sandblom et al. (2016) and Kirby et al. (2020) suggested 481 that downregulation of SMR during the acclimation at higher temperature could be an energy-saving 482 strategy in eurytherm minnows Cyprinodon variegatus and European perch Perca fluviatilis to cope 483 with life in highly variable and unpredictable estuarine habitats. On the other hand, the fact that we 484 observed a similar SMR in C. auratus independent of the dynamics of thermal change may illustrate 485 an equally adaptation strategy. When living in a highly variable environment, it could be considered 486 crucial to show high plasticity contributing to maintaining the essential costs of life in animals 487 whether undergoing an acute or a progressive change in temperature; especially in future climatic 488 scenarios, where more intense and frequent MHWs are predicted (Darmaraki et al. 2019; IPPC 489 2021).

Effects were also observed at the end of the experimental MHW (i.e.  $Control_{20}$  versus  $T_{mhw}$ ), suggesting thatIndividuals demonstrated a limited capacity to adjust their SMR when returned to their acclimation temperature of 20°C. To our knowledge, such persistence of elevated SMR has never been measured, possibly because other studies have rarely investigated aerobic metabolic rate in resting individuals facing a succession of different thermal regimes, combining acute and/or progressive changes.

496 Along these lines, two studies involving the Atlantic salmon parr (Salmo salar) showed that for a 497 given tested temperature, the resting metabolic rate of fish differed depending on their thermal 498 history (Beauregard et al. 2013; Oligny-Hébert et al. 2015). SMR of Atlantic salmon parr at 20°C was 499 25%-32% lower when individuals were steadily held at this temperature compared to those 500 maintained under fluctuating thermal regimes (19.8 ±2.0 °C or 19.5 ±3.0 °C). The authors proposed 501 that the additional costs of obligatory activities were the result of metabolic reactions to the thermal 502 stress the fish experienced under unsteady thermal conditions. Stress indicators were not assessed in 503 our study. However, based on examples that can be found in the literature, we can assume that at 504 the end of the MHW, C. auratus may have to reallocate energy for mechanisms to repair cellular 505 damage. For example, heat shock proteins (HPS) are molecules that can arise in response to acute or 506 chronic heat stress to prevent structural damage to cells and tissues (Islam et al. 2021). Yet, an up-507 regulation of heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) was observed in both *Chelon ramada* and in *Salmo salar* 508 exposed to temperature variations (i.e. from 24°C to  $CT_{max}$  in Madeira et al. 2013 and to multiple 509 daily Δ5°C-Δ9°C fluctuations in Corey et al. 2017, respectively).

510 Whatever the mechanisms involved in the increase in SMR, seven days were sufficient for *C. auratus* 511 to fully recover from the metabolic disturbance they experienced (i.e.  $T_{mhw}$  versus  $T_{recov}$ ). Living in 512 variable areas such as estuarine habitats (Gautier and Hussenot 2005) may contribute to this 513 resilience. However, the recovery dynamics should be investigated more in details in further studies 514 by measuring SMR, as well as stress indicators, during a shorter recovery period.

515 4.2. Effects of the MHW on the maximal metabolic rate and the aerobic scope

516 of *C.auratus* 

517 Compared to the marked effects of temperature on its SMR, the thermo-dependence of MMR of *C.* 518 *auratus* is less clear. Despite an increase of at least 28% in fish acutely exposed or acclimated to 25°C, 519 MMR was indeed not significantly impacted by the 5°C temperature change except in the individuals 520 exposed at 25°C for 5 consecutive days ( $T_{20:25(5d)}$ ).

521 In comparison to our study, Vagner et al. (2014) measured a similar value of MMR in swimming C. 522 auratus acclimated to 20°C (i.e. 590.2  $\pm$  72.0 mg O<sub>2</sub> h<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>). However, when compared with our 523 work, in a study by Killen et al. (2015) using the same species, MMR was found slightly lower in fish acclimated at 18, 22 and 26°C (i.e. respectively around 359.2, 404.1 and 381.7 mg  $O_2 h^{-1} kg^{-1}$ ). While 524 525 in the present study fish were exposed to a swimming step challenge, Killen et al. (2015) assessed the 526 metabolic rates of chasing *C. auratus* into a static respirometer, and this difference in protocol may 527 contribute to the discrepancy in the range of values found, as it has been already observed in other 528 species (Reidy et al. 1995). Killen et al. (2015) found no effect of temperature on MMR or on AS in C. 529 auratus which is consistent with the eurytherm character of this species living in estuaries or 530 lagoons.As suggested by these authors, the absence of significant variations of metabolic rates with 531 temperature may indeed be an adaptation to such environments characterized by large thermal 532 fluctuations.

533 Moreover, the lack of clear temperature influence on MMR and AS observed in Killen et al. (2015), 534 Vagner et al. (2014) and in the present work, may suggest that the temperatures tested surround the 535 optimal temperature of C. auratus. Indeed, the thermal performance curve in tolerant and 536 eurythermal species is characterized by the absence of a clear peak at the optimal temperature and a 537 particularly flat shape over a wide thermal breadth (e.g. Fry 1971; Lefrançois and Claireaux 2003; 538 Claireaux and Lefrançois 2007; Farrell 2009; Lefevre 2016). Thus, the variations in metabolic rate are 539 expected to be extremely small around the optimal temperature. Such an explanation is consistent 540 with a study carried out in the flathead grey mullet Mugil cephalus, a closely related species, 541 acclimated to five experimental temperatures (i.e. from 10 to 30°C), which showed an optimal 542 temperature of about 25°C (Cucco et al. 2012) and a thermal performance breath, i.e. the 543 maintenance of 80% of their metabolic performance, between approximately 18 and 30°C (Huey 544 1982).

545 As for the SMR, the rapid temperature change occurring during the MHW did not directly affect 546 either MMR or AS, as acclimated (i.e. Control<sub>25</sub>) and acutely exposed individuals to  $25^{\circ}C$  (i.e.  $T_{20:25(12h)}$ ) 547 showed a comparable response. While we previously discussed the dissimilarities in the thermal 548 dependence of SMR between C. auratus and both sheepshead minnow (Kirby et al. 2020) and 549 European perch (Sandblom et al. 2016), the pattern for MMR appears equivalent between these 550 three species. There was indeed no difference in the MMR measured in sheepshead minnows that 551 were acutely exposed or acclimated at 10 or 32°C; nor in the MMR of European perch acutely or 552 gradually warmed from 18 to 23°C. In the common killifish (Fundulus heteroclitus), Healy and Schulte 553 (2012) also concluded that there was no effect of the type of thermal exposure over the wide range 554 of 5–35°C. On the contrary, the tropical barramundi (Lates calcarifer) showed a different pattern, 555 with its MMR varying with the conditions of temperature exposure (Norin et al. 2014). Indeed, the 556 individuals acutely exposed to 38°C from their temperature of acclimation of 29°C (i.e. +2°C h<sup>-1</sup>) had 557 a higher MMR and AS, compared to those progressively acclimated at 38°C. They interpreted this

temperature-dependent pattern by concluding that the lower MMR observed in acclimated individuals is likely to be a strategy for not maintaining high performance when it isn't required to meet the daily needs of the species.

561 According to Healy and Schulte (2012), in addition to appearing to be species-specific, the responses 562 of aerobic metabolic rate in fish to acute conditions is likely to be influenced by their initial 563 temperature of acclimation. Together with the variation in thermal tolerance of the tested species, it 564 participates in limiting both the interpretation and comparison of studies carried out under different 565 experimental conditions. Despite this, the variability of responses reported in the literature certainly 566 illustrates the complexity and diversity of physiological and behavioral responses to perform and 567 survive in thermally variable environments. Among these, and as observed in *C. auratus*, a strategy 568 that allows aerobic capacity to be minimally affected by an acute change in temperature would 569 probably be beneficial for maintaining performance in variable thermal environments. Additionally, 570 such a lack of change in MMR is reminiscent, at least partially, with the concept of plastic floors and 571 concrete ceilings. Sandblom et al. (2016) proposed that resting requirements (e.g. standard 572 metabolic rate, as well as associated cardiorespiratory requirements) are plastic in warming 573 conditions, while maximum capacities are much less flexible. Observations they made in European 574 perch validated this concept, while our observations in C. auratus only illustrate the 'concrete ceiling' 575 with stable values of MMR measured immediately or a few days after the acute increase in 576 temperature.

#### 577 4.3. Effects of the MHW on U<sub>crit</sub> and EPOC

Because locomotion during a swimming step protocol partly relies on aerobic slow fibers, U<sub>crit</sub> is expected to be somehow related to aerobic metabolic performance as shown in various teleost species such as Mahi-Mahi (*Coryphaena hippurus*, Heuer et al. 2021), lumpfish (*Cyclopterus lumpus*, Hvas et al. 2018) and ten damselfish species (Johansen and Jones 2011). Our observations in *C. auratus* confirm this expectation as U<sub>crit</sub> followed the pattern observed in both MMR and AS, i.e. it did not significantly change with increasing temperature, regardless of whether we considered 584 acclimation or acute changes in temperature occurring in few hours. Furthermore, as in MMR and 585 AS, no significant change was observed either immediately or seven days after the completion of the 586 MHW. An analogy can be made with observations on Australian snapper larvae (Chrysophrys auratus) 587 whose MMR and U<sub>crit</sub> showed a similar response three weeks after an acute elevation of temperature 588 (i.e. between 18 and 22°C, McMahon et al. 2019). On the contrary, Kunz et al. (2018) found that the 589 MMR of polar cod Boreogadus saida increased with temperature of acclimation without inducing any 590 modulation of U<sub>crit</sub>. In Cyprinodon variegatus, the higher AS observed in fish acclimated to 32°C 591 compared to acutely exposed individuals also did not translate into improved swimming 592 performance (Kirby et al. 2020). These few examples illustrate the wide range of trends reported in 593 the literature. It is suggested that this may arise from potential differences in thermal plasticity 594 between aerobic performance and skeletal muscle properties (e.g. nerve stimulation, contractile 595 kinetics, glycogen store; Fangue et al. 2008, Kirby et al. 2020), the animal's behaviour (e.g. Katopodis 596 and Gervais 2012; Peake and Farrell 2006), as well as the level of recruitment of anaerobic fast 597 fibbers during swimming effort (Wood 1991).

598 None of these variables was measured in the present study, but excess post-exercise oxygen 599 consumption was. Swimming until U<sub>crit</sub> is known to be partly anaerobically fueled, which involves an 600 oxygen debt that has to be repaid after the exercise (e.g. Brett 1964; Lee et al. 2003; Farrell 2007; 601 Svendsen et al. 2010; Zeng et al. 2010; Cai et al. 2014; Vagner et al. 2014; Dichiera et al. 2021). EPOC, 602 associated with aerobic and swimming performances, participates in a holistic view of the C. auratus 603 strategy to sustain such a high-energy demanding activity. Interestingly, although the elevation in 604 acclimation temperature provoked no change in EPOC (i.e. Control<sub>20</sub> versus Control<sub>25</sub>), it increased 605 significantly in fish acutely exposed to 25°C ( $T_{20:25-25(12h)}$ ) suggesting that *C. auratus* use glycolytic-606 based locomotion more intensively to sustain its swimming performance under such conditions. In 607 addition, although a few days at 25°C should have been beneficial to achieve homeostasis, the 608 difference in EPOC was instead accentuated when compared to fish held in acclimated conditions 609 (i.e. Control<sub>20</sub> or Control<sub>25</sub> versus  $T_{20:25-25(5d)}$ ). This therefore suggests an even higher cost to sustain 610 swimming effort; especially when it is accumulated to the immediate oxygen requirements 611 illustrated by the concomitant elevation (even if not significant) of MMR or AS observed in the same 612 individuals.

613 Our results may therefore suggest that fish exposed to acute thermal change showed increased 614 solicitation of anaerobic metabolism, at least when confronted with high energy-demanding 615 activities, as during a swimming effort. It may provide an additional energy source to compensate for 616 a potential deficiency of the aerobic metabolism to sustain swimming effort. This may be the result 617 of prioritization towards energy-demanding compensatory mechanisms induced by temperature 618 changes at the expense of swimming effort and/or a decrease in the efficiency of mitochondria to 619 produce ATP through aerobic pathways (Kunz et al. 2018; Voituron et al. 2022). In European sea bass 620 Dicentrarchus labrax, a temperate species with a similar distribution to C. auratus, Voituron et al. 621 (2022) recently showed that being acclimated at a high temperature (i.e. 26°C) improved the 622 mitochondrial efficiency (ATP/O, i.e. ratio between ATP synthesis and oxygen consumption). When 623 measured at their acclimation temperature of 26°C, the capacity of mitochondria to anaerobically 624 produce ATP was about 12% higher than when measured at this same temperature, but after an 625 acute thermal change from a lower acclimated temperature (i.e. from 18 to 26°C, or from 22 to 626 26°C).

#### 627 **5. Conclusion**

Considering only the variables related to aerobic metabolism (SMR, MMR, AS), *C. auratus* appears to be highly tolerant to acute temperature changes that occurring during a MHW. However, if we broaden the perspective, maintenance of energy-demanding activities (such as swimming effort) appears to have relied on an increasing proportion of the anaerobic pathway. This pattern suggests that in order to determine the ability of an individual to cope with such temperature changes, it seems of great importance to understand the relationships between thermal conditions and reliance on aerobic, but also anaerobic metabolism.

635 Furthermore, the shift to white anaerobic muscle fibers during these acute temperature phases 636 generated an oxygen debt, and it cannot be excluded that this contributed to the increase in SMR 637 observed at the end of the MHW, i.e. when the fish returned to their initial acclimation temperature. 638 The additional oxygen requirements to process the accumulated lactic acid in the blood and muscle 639 tissue could indeed have induced delayed effects relative to the triggering event. This pattern 640 disappears very quickly, however, so it is very unlikely that the increased allocation to maintenance 641 will impact the individual's growth or other activities (e.g. Claireaux and Lefrançois 2007; Sokolova et 642 al. 2012; Sokolova 2021; Pörtner et al. 2017). Nevertheless, given the predicted increase in the 643 frequency of MHWs in the coming decades (Frölicher et al. 2018), it can be hypothesized that the 644 succession of such events could more profoundly impact aquatic organisms. Combined with other 645 environmental changes that may coincide with MHW events, such as oxygen depletion (IPCC 2021; 646 Deutsch et al. 2015; Claireaux and Chabot 2016), toxic algal blooms (McCabe et al. 2016), as well as 647 inputs of pollutants (e.g. UV filters in sunscreen products, Labille et al. 2020), the effects could be 648 even more severe, and further investigations in this direction appear essential to better assess the 649 organism's response to such environmental stressors.

### 650 **References**

- Beauregard D, Enders E, Boisclair D (2013) Consequences of circadian fluctuations in water
   temperature on the standard metabolic rate of Atlantic salmon parr (*Salmo salar*). Can J Fish
   Aquat Sci 70:1072–1081. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-2012-0342
- Blasco FR, Esbaugh AJ, Killen SS, Rantin FT, Taylor EW, McKenzie DJ (2020) Using aerobic exercise to
  evaluate sub-lethal tolerance of acute warming in fishes. J Exp Biol 223:jeb218602. doi:
  10.1242/jeb.218602
- Brett JR (1964) The Respiratory Metabolism and Swimming Performance of Young Sockeye Salmon. J
  Fish Res Board Can 21(5): 1183-1226. doi: 10.1139/f64-103

- Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Allen AP, Savage VM, West GB (2004) Toward a Metabolic Theory of Ecology.
  Ecology 85:1771–1789. doi: 10.1890/03-9000
- Burel C, Ruyet JP-L, Gaumet F, Roux AL, Sévère A, Boeuf G (1996) Effects of temperature on growth
  and metabolism in juvenile turbot. J Fish Biol 49:678–692. doi: 10.1111/j.10958649.1996.tb00064.x
- Burgetz I, Rojas-Vargas A, Hinch S, Randall D (1998) Initial recruitment of anaerobic metabolism
  during sub-maximal swimming in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). J Exp Biol 201:2711–
  2721. doi: 10.1242/jeb.201.19.2711
- Cai L, Liu G, Taupier R, Fang M, Johnson D, Tu Z, Huang Y (2014) Effect of temperature on swimming
   performance of juvenile *Schizothorax prenanti*. Fish Physiol Biochem 40:491–498. doi:
   10.1007/s10695-013-9860-0
- 670 Cannas M, Schaefer J, Domenici P, Steffensen JF (2006) Gait transition and oxygen consumption in
  671 swimming striped surfperch *Embiotoca lateralis Agassiz*. J Fish Biol 69:1612–1625. doi:
  672 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2006.01225.x
- 673 Cano-Barbacil C, Radinger J, Argudo M, Rubio-Gracia F, Vila-Gispert A, García-Berthou E (2020) Key
  674 factors explaining critical swimming speed in freshwater fish: a review and statistical analysis
  675 for Iberian species. Sci Rep 10:18947. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-75974-x
- 676 Carpentier A, Como S, Dupuy C, Lefrançois C, Feunteun E (2014) Feeding ecology of *Liza spp.* in a tidal
  677 flat: Evidence of the importance of primary production (biofilm) and associated meiofauna. J
  678 Sea Res 92:86–91. doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2013.10.007
- Cavole L, Diner R, Giddings A, Koester I, Pagniello C, Paulsen M-L, Ramirez-Valdez A, Schwenck S, Yen
   N, Zill M, Franks P (2016) Biological Impacts of the 2013–2015 Warm-Water Anomaly in the

- Northeast Pacific: Winners, Losers, and the Future. Oceanog 29(2):273-285. doi:
  10.5670/oceanog.2016.32
- 683 Chabot D, Steffensen JF, Farrell AP (2016) The determination of standard metabolic rate in fishes. J
  684 Fish Biol 88:81–121. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12845
- 685 Cheung WWL, Frölicher TL (2020) Marine heatwaves exacerbate climate change impacts for fisheries
  686 in the northeast Pacific. Sci Rep 10:1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-63650-z
- 687 Claireaux G, Lagardère J-P (1999) Influence of temperature, oxygen and salinity on the metabolism of
  688 the European sea bass. J Sea Res 42:157–168. doi: 10.1016/S1385-1101(99)00019-2
- 689 Claireaux G, Lefrançois C (2007) Linking environmental variability and fish performance: integration
  690 through the concept of scope for activity. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 362:2031–2041. doi:
  691 10.1098/rstb.2007.2099
- 692 Claireaux G, Chabot D (2016) Responses by fishes to environmental hypoxia: integration through
   693 Fry's concept of aerobic metabolic scope. J Fish Biol 88:232–251. doi: 10.1111/jfb.12833
- 694 Clark TD, Sandblom E, Jutfelt F (2013) Aerobic scope measurements of fishes in an era of climate
   695 change: respirometry, relevance and recommendations. J Exp Biol 216:2771–2782. doi:
   696 10.1242/jeb.084251
- 697 Corey E, Linnansaari T, Cunjak RA, Currie S (2017) Physiological effects of environmentally relevant,
   698 multi-day thermal stress on wild juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Conserv Physiol 5(1)
   699 doi: 10.1093/conphys/cox014
- Cucco A, Sinerchia M, Lefrançois C, Magni P, Ghezzo M, Umgiesser G, Perilli A, Domenici P (2012) A
   metabolic scope based model of fish response to environmental changes. Ecol Mod 237–
   238:132–141. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.04.019

Darmaraki S, Somot S, Sevault F, Nabat P, Cabos Narvaez WD, Cavicchia L, Djurdjevic V, Li L, Sannino
 G, Sein DV (2019) Future evolution of Marine Heatwaves in the Mediterranean Sea. Clim Dyn
 53:1371–1392. doi: 10.1007/s00382-019-04661-z

- 706Deutsch C, Ferrel A, Seibel B, Pörtner H-O, Huey RB (2015) Climate change tightens a metabolic707constraint on marine habitats. Science 348:1132–1135. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa1605
- Dichiera AM, Khursigara AJ, Esbaugh AJ (2021) The effects of warming on red blood cell carbonic
   anhydrase activity and respiratory performance in a marine fish. Comp Biochem Physiol A
   Mol Integr Physiol 260:111033. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2021.111033
- Fangue NA, Mandic M, Richards JG, Schulte PM (2008) Swimming Performance and Energetics as a
   Function of Temperature in Killifish *Fundulus heteroclitus*. Physiol Biochem Zool 81:389–401.
   doi: 10.1086/589109
- Farrell AP (2007) Cardiorespiratory performance during prolonged swimming tests with salmonids: a
   perspective on temperature effects and potential analytical pitfalls. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B
   362:2017–2030. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2007.2111
- Farrell AP (2002) Cardiorespiratory performance in salmonids during exercise at high temperature:
   insights into cardiovascular design limitations in fishes. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr
   Physiol 132:797–810. doi: 10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00049-1
- Farrell AP (2009) Environment, antecedents and climate change: lessons from the study of
   temperature physiology and river migration of salmonids. J Exp Biol 212:3771–3780. doi:
   10.1242/jeb.023671
- Frölicher TL, Laufkötter C (2018) Emerging risks from marine heat waves. Nat Commun 9(1):1–4. doi:
   10.1038/s41467-018-03163-6

725 Fry FEJ (1971) The Effect of Environmental Factors on the Physiology of Fish. Fish Physiol 6:1–98.

- 726 Garrabou J, Coma R, Bensoussan N, Bally M, Chevaldonné P, Cigliano M, Diaz D, Harmelin JG, Gambi 727 MC, Kersting DK, Ledoux JB, Lejeusne C, Linares C, Marschal C, Pérez T, Ribes M, Romano JC, 728 Serrano E, Teixido N, Torrents O, Zabala M, Zuberer F, Cerrano C (2009) Mass mortality in 729 Northwestern Mediterranean rocky benthic communities: effects of the 2003 heat wave. 730 Glob Chang Biol 15:1090–1103. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01823.x 731 Gautier D, Hussenot J (2005) Les Mulets Des Mers D'Europe: Synthèse Des Connaissances Sur Les 732 Bases Biologiques Et Les Techniques D'aquaculture. Editions Quae 733 Gibson ES, Fry FEJ (1954) The performance of the lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush, at various levels 734 of temperature and oxygen pressure. Can J Zool 32:252–260. doi: 10.1139/z54-025 735 Gillooly JF, Brown JH, West GB, Savage VM, Charnov EL (2001) Effects of size and temperature on 736 metabolic rate. Science 293:2248-2251. doi: 10.1126/science.1061967 737 Hachim M, Rouyer T, Dutto G, Kerzerho V, Bernard S, Bourjea J, McKenzie DJ (2021) Oxygen uptake, 738 heart rate and activities of locomotor muscles during a critical swimming speed protocol in 739 gilthead Sparus aurata. Fish Biol 98:886-890. doi: the sea bream J 740 https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14621 741 Healy TM, Schulte PM (2012) Thermal Acclimation Is Not Necessary to Maintain a Wide Thermal 742 Breadth of Aerobic Scope in the Common Killifish (Fundulus heteroclitus). Physiol Biochem
  - 743 Zool 85:107–119. doi: 10.1086/664584
  - Heuer RM, Stieglitz JD, Pasparakis C, Enochs IC, Benetti DD, Grosell M (2021) The effects of
    temperature acclimation on swimming performance in the pelagic Mahi-mahi (*Coryphaena hippurus*). Front. Mar. Sci., 1120. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.654276.

- Hobday AJ, Alexander, Perkins, Smale, Straub (2016) A hierarchical approach to defining marine
  heatwaves. Prog Oceanogr 141:227–238. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2015.12.014
- Huey RB (1982) Temperature, physiology, and the ecology of reptiles. Biology of the Reptilia 12:25–
  750 74.
- 751 Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Álvarez-Noriega M, Álvarez-Romero JG, Anderson KD, Baird AH, Babcock RC, 752 Beger M, Bellwood DR, Berkelmans R, Bridge TC, Butler IR, Byrne M, Cantin NE, Comeau S, 753 Connolly SR, Cumming GS, Dalton SJ, Diaz-Pulido G, Eakin CM, Figueira WF, Gilmour JP, 754 Harrison HB, Heron SF, Hoey AS, Hobbs J-PA, Hoogenboom MO, Kennedy EV, Kuo C-Y, Lough 755 JM, Lowe RJ, Liu G, McCulloch MT, Malcolm HA, McWilliam MJ, Pandolfi JM, Pears RJ, 756 Pratchett MS, Schoepf V, Simpson T, Skirving WJ, Sommer B, Torda G, Wachenfeld DR, Willis 757 BL, Wilson SK (2017) Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature 758 543:373–377. doi: 10.1038/nature21707
- Hvas M, Folkedal O, Imsland A, Oppedal F (2017) The effect of thermal acclimation on aerobic scope
  and critical swimming speed in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. J Exp Biol 220:2757–2764. doi:
  10.1242/jeb.154021
- Hvas M, Folkedal O, Imsland A, Oppedal F (2018) Metabolic rates, swimming capabilities, thermal
  niche and stress response of the lumpfish, *Cyclopterus lumpus*. Biol Open 7:bio036079. doi:
  10.1242/bio.036079

765 IPCC (2021): Summary for Policymakers. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis.

- Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
  Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S.
  Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R.
  Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. In Press.

770

- Islam MJ, Kunzmann A, Slater MJ (2021) Responses of aquaculture fish to climate change-induced
   extreme temperatures: A review. J World Aquac Soc 53(2):314-366. doi: 10.1111/jwas.12853
- Johansen JL, Jones GP (2011) Increasing ocean temperature reduces the metabolic performance and
  swimming ability of coral reef damselfishes. Glob Chang Biol 17:2971–2979. doi:
  10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02436.x
- Johansen JL, Nadler LE, Habary A, Bowden AJ, Rummer J (2021) Thermal acclimation of tropical coral
   reef fishes to global heat waves. eLife 10:e59162. doi: 10.7554/eLife.59162
- Katopodis C, Gervais R (2012) Ecohydraulic Analysis of Fish Fatigue Data. River Res Appl 28:444–456.
  doi: 10.1002/rra.1566
- Kikuchi E, Grande FRD, Duarte RM, Vaske-Júnior T (2019) Thermal response of demersal and pelagic
  juvenile fishes from the surf zone during a heat-wave simulation. J Appl Ichthyol\_35:1209–
  1217. doi: 10.1111/jai.13970
- Killen SS, Reid D, Marras S, Domenici P (2015) The interplay between aerobic metabolism and
  antipredator performance: vigilance is related to recovery rate after exercise. Front Physiol
  6:111. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2015.00111
- Killen SS, Christensen EAF, Cortese D, Závorka L, Norin T, Cotgrove L, Crespel A, Munson A, Nati JJH,
   Papatheodoulou M, McKenzie DJ (2021) Guidelines for reporting methods to estimate
   metabolic rates by aquatic intermittent-flow respirometry. J Exp Biol 224:jeb242522. doi:
   10.1242/jeb.242522
- Kirby AR, Crossley DA, Mager EM (2020) The metabolism and swimming performance of sheepshead
   minnows (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) following thermal acclimation or acute thermal exposure. J
   Comp Physiol B 190:557–568. doi: 10.1007/s00360-020-01293-2

- Kır M (2020) Thermal tolerance and standard metabolic rate of juvenile gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) acclimated to four temperatures. J Therm Biol 93:102739. doi:
  10.1016/j.jtherbio.2020.102739
- Kır M, Demirci Ö (2018) Thermal tolerance and standard metabolic rate of juvenile European sea bass
   (*Dicentrarchus labrax*, Linnaeus, 1758) acclimated to four temperatures. J Therm Biol
   78:209–213. doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.10.008
- Kunz KL, Claireaux G, Pörtner H-O, Knust R, Mark FC (2018) Aerobic capacities and swimming
   performance of polar cod (*Boreogadus saida*) under ocean acidification and warming
   conditions. J Exp Biol 221(21), jeb184473. doi: 10.1242/jeb.184473
- Labille J, Slomberg D, Catalano R, Robert S, Apers-Tremelo M-L, Boudenne J-L, Manasfi T, Radakovitch
   O (2020) Assessing UV filter inputs into beach waters during recreational activity: A field
   study of three French Mediterranean beaches from consumer survey to water analysis. Sci.
   Total Environ 706:136010. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136010
- Lee CG, Farrell AP, Lotto A, Hinch SG, Healey MC (2003) Excess post-exercise oxygen consumption in
   adult sockeye (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) and coho (*O. kisutch*) salmon following critical speed
   swimming. J Exp Biol 206:3253–3260. doi: 10.1242/jeb.00548
- Lefevre S (2016) Are global warming and ocean acidification conspiring against marine ectotherms? A meta-analysis of the respiratory effects of elevated temperature, high CO2 and their interaction. Conserv Physiol 4:cow009. doi: 10.1093/conphys/cow009
- Lefrançois C, Claireaux G (2003) Influence of ambient oxygenation and temperature on metabolic
  scope and scope for heart rate in the common sole *Solea solea*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 259:273–
  284. doi: 10.3354/meps259273

- Madeira D, Narciso L, Cabral HN, Vinagre C, Diniz MS (2013) Influence of temperature in thermal and
   oxidative stress responses in estuarine fish. Comp Biochem Physiol, Part A Mol Integr Physiol
   166:237–243. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.06.008
- 818 Madeira D, Madeira C, Costa PM, Vinagre C, Pörtner H-O, Diniz MS (2020) Different sensitivity to 819 heatwaves across the life cycle of fish reflects phenotypic adaptation to environmental niche.
- 820 Mar Environ Res 162:105192. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105192
- McCabe RM, Hickey BM, Kudela RM, Lefebvre KA, Adams NG, Bill BD, Gulland FMD, Thomson RE,
  Cochlan WP, Trainer VL (2016) An unprecedented coastwide toxic algal bloom linked to
  anomalous ocean conditions. Geophys Res Lett 43:10366-10376. doi:
  10.1002/2016GL070023
- McMahon SJ, Parsons DM, Donelson JM, Pether SMJ, Munday PL (2019) Elevated CO2 and heatwave
   conditions affect the aerobic and swimming performance of juvenile Australasian snapper.
   Mar Biol 167:6. doi: 10.1007/s00227-019-3614-1
- Milinkovitch T, Lucas J, Le Floch S, Thomas-Guyon H, Lefrançois C (2012) Effect of dispersed crude oil
   exposure upon the aerobic metabolic scope in juvenile golden grey mullet (*Liza aurata*). Mar
   Pollut Bull 64:865–871. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.01.023
- 831 Mills KE, Pershing AJ, Brown CJ, Chen Y, Chiang F-S, Holland DS, Lehuta S, Nye JA, Sun JC, Thomas AC, 832 Wahle RA (2013) Fisheries Management in a Changing Climate: Lessons from the 2012 Ocean 833 Northwest Oceanography Heat Wave in the Atlantic. 26(2):191-195. doi: 834 http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.27
- Minuti JJ, Byrne M, Hemraj DA, Russell BD (2021) Capacity of an ecologically key urchin to recover
  from extreme events: Physiological impacts of heatwaves and the road to recovery. Sci Total
  Environ 785:147281. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147281

Morash AJ, Neufeld C, MacCormack TJ, Currie S (2018) The importance of incorporating natural
thermal variation when evaluating physiological performance in wild species. J Exp Biol
221:jeb164673. doi: 10.1242/jeb.164673

Norin T, Clark TD (2016) Measurement and relevance of maximum metabolic rate in fishes. J Fish Biol
88:122–151. doi: 10.1111/jfb.12796

Norin T, Malte H, Clark TD (2014) Aerobic scope does not predict the performance of a tropical
eurythermal fish at elevated temperatures. J Exp Biol 217:244–251. doi: 10.1242/jeb.089755

Oligny-Hébert H, Senay C, Enders EC, Boisclair D (2015) Effects of diel temperature fluctuation on the
standard metabolic rate of juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): influence of acclimation
temperature and provenience. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 72:1306–1315. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-20140345

Palstra AP, Kals J, Böhm T, Bastiaansen JWM, Komen H (2020) Swimming Performance and Oxygen
 Consumption as Non-lethal Indicators of Production Traits in Atlantic Salmon and Gilthead
 Seabream. Front Physiol 11:759. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00759

Payne NL, Smith JA, van der Meulen DE, Taylor MD, Watanabe YY, Takahashi A, Marzullo TA, Gray CA,
Cadiou G, Suthers IM (2016) Temperature dependence of fish performance in the wild: links
with species biogeography and physiological thermal tolerance. Funct Ecol 30:903–912. doi:
10.1111/1365-2435.12618

Peake SJ, Farrell AP (2006) Fatigue is a behavioural response in respirometer-confined smallmouth
bass. J Fish Biol 68:1742–1755. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-1112.2006.01052.x

Piatt JF, Parrish JK, Renner HM, Schoen SK, Jones TT, Arimitsu ML, Kuletz KJ, Bodenstein B, García Reyes M, Duerr RS, Corcoran RM, Kaler RSA, McChesney GJ, Golightly RT, Coletti HA, Suryan
 RM, Burgess HK, Lindsey J, Lindquist K, Warzybok PM, Jahncke J, Roletto J, Sydeman WJ

861 (2020) Extreme mortality and reproductive failure of common murres resulting from the
862 northeast Pacific marine heatwave of 2014-2016. PLOS ONE 15:e0226087. doi:
863 10.1371/journal.pone.0226087

Pörtner HO (2002a) Climate variations and the physiological basis of temperature dependent
 biogeography: systemic to molecular hierarchy of thermal tolerance in animals. Comp
 Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 132:739–761. doi: 10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00045-4

Pörtner HO (2002b) Physiological basis of temperature-dependent biogeography: trade-offs in
 muscle design and performance in polar ectotherms. J Exp Biol 205:2217–2230. doi:
 10.1242/jeb.205.15.2217

- 870 Pörtner HO, Farrell AP (2008) Physiology and Climate Change. Science 322:690–692. doi:
  871 10.1126/science.1163156
- 872 Pörtner H-O, Bock C, Mark FC (2017) Oxygen- and capacity-limited thermal tolerance: bridging
  873 ecology and physiology. J Exp Biol 220:2685–2696. doi: 10.1242/jeb.134585

Reidy SP, Nelson JA, Tang Y, Kerr SR (1995) Post-exercise metabolic rate in Atlantic cod and its
dependence upon the method of exhaustion. J Fish Biol 47:377–386. doi: 10.1111/j.10958649.1995.tb01907.x

- Reidy SP, Kerr SR, Nelson JA (2000) Aerobic and anaerobic swimming performance of individual
  Atlantic cod. J Exp Biol 203:347–357. doi: 10.1242/jeb.203.2.347
- 879 Rummer JL, Couturier CS, Stecyk JAW, Gardiner NM, Kinch JP, Nilsson GE, Munday PL (2014) Life on
- the edge: thermal optima for aerobic scope of equatorial reef fishes are close to current day
- 881 temperatures. Glob Chang Biol 20:1055–1066. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12455

- Sandblom E, Clark TD, Gräns A, Ekström A, Brijs J, Sundström LF, Odelström A, Adill A, Aho T, Jutfelt F
  (2016) Physiological constraints to climate warming in fish follow principles of plastic floors
  and concrete ceilings. Nat Commun 7:11447. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11447
- Sanford E, Sones JL, García-Reyes M, Goddard JHR, Largier JL (2019) Widespread shifts in the coastal
  biota of northern California during the 2014–2016 marine heatwaves. Sci Rep 9:1–14. doi:
  10.1038/s41598-019-40784-3
- Selig ER, Casey KS, Bruno JF (2010) New insights into global patterns of ocean temperature
  anomalies: implications for coral reef health and management. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 19:397–
  411. doi: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00522.x
- Seuront L, Nicastro KR, Zardi GI, Goberville E (2019) Decreased thermal tolerance under recurrent
   heat stress conditions explains summer mass mortality of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*.
   Science Rep 9:1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-53580-w
- Smale DA, Wernberg T (2013) Extreme climatic event drives range contraction of a habitat-forming
   species. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 280:20122829. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2012.2829
- Smale DA, Wernberg T, Oliver ECJ, Thomsen M, Harvey BP, Straub SC, Burrows MT, Alexander LV,
  Benthuysen JA, Donat MG, Feng M, Hobday AJ, Holbrook NJ, Perkins-Kirkpatrick SE, Scannell
  HA, Gupta AS, Payne BL, Moore PJ (2019) Marine heatwaves threaten global biodiversity and
  the provision of ecosystem services. Nat Clim Chang 9:306–312. doi: 10.1038/s41558-0190412-1
- Sokolova I (2021) Bioenergetics in environmental adaptation and stress tolerance of aquatic
   ectotherms: linking physiology and ecology in a multi-stressor landscape. J Exp Biol
   224:jeb236802. doi: 10.1242/jeb.236802

Sokolova IM, Frederich M, Bagwe R, Lannig G, Sukhotin AA (2012) Energy homeostasis as an integrative tool for assessing limits of environmental stress tolerance in aquatic invertebrates. Mar Environ Res 79:1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2012.04.003

907 Sorte CJB, Fuller A, Bracken MES (2010) Impacts of a simulated heat wave on composition of a 908 marine

909 community. Oikos 119:1909–1918. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18663.x

- 910 Steinhausen MF, Sandblom E, Eliason EJ, Verhille C, Farrell AP (2008) The effect of acute temperature
- 911 increases on the cardiorespiratory performance of resting and swimming sockeye salmon
- 912 (Oncorhynchus nerka). J Exp Biol 211:3915–3926. doi: 10.1242/jeb.019281
- Svendsen JC, Tudorache C, Jordan AD, Steffensen JF, Aarestrup K, Domenici P (2010) Partition of
  aerobic and anaerobic swimming costs related to gait transitions in a labriform swimmer. J
  Exp Biol 213:2177–2183. doi: 10.1242/jeb.041368
- Vagner M, Lefrançois C, Ferrari RS, Satta A, Domenici P (2008) The effect of acute hypoxia on
   swimming stamina at optimal swimming speed in flathead grey mullet *Mugil cephalus*. Mar
   Biol 155:183–190. doi: 10.1007/s00227-008-1016-x
- Vagner M, Zambonino-Infante J-L, Mazurais D, Imbert-Auvray N, Ouillon N, Dubillot E, Le Delliou H,
  Akbar D, Lefrançois C (2014) Reduced n-3 highly unsaturated fatty acids dietary content
  expected with global change reduces the metabolic capacity of the golden grey mullet. Mar
  Biol 161:2547–2562. doi: 10.1007/s00227-014-2526-3
- Vagner M, Lacoue-Labarthe T, Infante J-LZ, Mazurais D, Dubillot E, Delliou HL, Quazuguel P,
   Lefrançois C (2015) Depletion of Essential Fatty Acids in the Food Source Affects Aerobic
   Capacities of the Golden Grey Mullet Liza aurata in a Warming Seawater Context. PLOS ONE
   10:e0126489. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126489

- Vagner M, Pante E, Viricel A, Lacoue-Labarthe T, Zambonino-Infante J-L, Quazuguel P, Dubillot E,
  Huet V, Delliou HL, Lefrançois C, Imbert-Auvray N (2019) Ocean warming combined with
  lower omega-3 nutritional availability impairs the cardio-respiratory function of a marine
  fish. J Exp Biol 222(8), jeb187179. doi: 10.1242/jeb.187179
- Voituron Y, Roussel D, Teulier L, Vagner M, Ternon Q, Romestaing C, Dubillot E, Lefrancois C (2022)
   Warm Acclimation Increases Mitochondrial Efficiency in Fish: A Compensatory Mechanism to
   Reduce the Demand for Oxygen. Physiol Biochem Zool 95:15–21. doi: 10.1086/716904
- Volkoff H, Rønnestad I (2020) Effects of temperature on feeding and digestive processes in fish.
   Temperature 7:307–320. doi: 10.1080/23328940.2020.1765950
- Wernberg T, Smale D, Tuya F, Thomsen M, Langlois T, Bettignies TD, Bennett S, Rousseaux CS (2013)
   An extreme climatic event alters marine ecosystem structure in a global biodiversity hotspot.
   *Nat Clim Change*. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE1627
- Wernberg T, Bennett S, Babcock RC, Bettignies T de, Cure K, Depczynski M, Dufois F, Fromont J,
  Fulton CJ, Hovey RK, Harvey ES, Holmes TH, Kendrick GA, Radford B, Santana-Garcon J,
  Saunders BJ, Smale DA, Thomsen MS, Tuckett CA, Tuya F, Vanderklift MA, Wilson S (2016)
  Climate-driven regime shift of a temperate marine ecosystem. Science 353:169–172. doi:
  10.1126/science.aad8745
- Wood CM (1991) Acid-Base and Ion Balance, Metabolism, and their Interactions, after Exhaustive
  Exercise in Fish. J Exp Biol 160:285–308. doi: 10.1242/jeb.160.1.285
- Wright S, Metcalfe JD, Hetherington S, Wilson R (2014) Estimating activity-specific energy
  expenditure in a teleost fish, using accelerometer loggers. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 496:19–32. doi:
  10.3354/meps10528

949	Yuen JW, Dempster T, Oppedal F, Hvas M (2019) Physiological performance of ballan wrasse (Labrus
950	bergylta) at different temperatures and its implication for cleaner fish usage in salmon
951	aquaculture. Biol Control 135:117–123. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2019.05.007
952	Zeng L-Q, Zhang Y-G, Cao Z-D, Fu S-J (2010) Effect of temperature on excess post-exercise oxygen
953	consumption in juvenile southern catfish (Silurus meridionalis Chen) following exhaustive
954	exercise. Fish Physiol Biochem 36:1243–1252. doi: 10.1007/s10695-010-9404-9
955	
956	