



HAL
open science

Consonant production in children with cochlear implants and exposed to Canadian French Cued Speech: an acoustic and articulatory study

Laura Machart, Anne Vilain, H el ene Loevenbruck, Lucie M enard

► To cite this version:

Laura Machart, Anne Vilain, H el ene Loevenbruck, Lucie M enard. Consonant production in children with cochlear implants and exposed to Canadian French Cued Speech: an acoustic and articulatory study. SMC 2022 - 8th International Conference on Speech Motor Control (SMC), Aug 2022, Groningen, Netherlands. hal-04273490

HAL Id: hal-04273490

<https://hal.science/hal-04273490>

Submitted on 7 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destin ee au d ep ot et  a la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publi es ou non,  emanant des  tablissements d'enseignement et de recherche fran ais ou  trangers, des laboratoires publics ou priv es.

Consonant production in children with cochlear implants and exposed to Canadian French Cued Speech: An acoustic and articulatory study


Machart, L.^{1,2}, Vilain, A.¹, Løevenbruck, H.² & Ménard, L.³

¹Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP*, GIPSA-lab, 38000 Grenoble, France; ²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, LPNC, 38000 Grenoble, France; ³Laboratoire de Phonétique de l'UQAM, Montréal, Québec

✉ laura.machart@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

🌐 <https://comoves.hypotheses.org/>

CONTEXT & AIMS



Cochlear Implants (CI) provides access to speech sounds
But acoustic information remains limited^[1]

Speech perception and production is affected
Place of articulation is particularly impacted^{[2][3]}

Cued speech enhances speech perception^{[4][5]} and phonological development^{[1][2]}

Cued speech enhances speech production: voicing, nasality, manner and place of articulation^[6]

Cued speech use before implantation improves speech intelligibility^{[6][7]}

Research question
Canadian French Cued Speech proficiency may **improve articulatory precision** in children with CIs

- Do children with CIs have a better representation of speech sounds when they benefit from cued speech?
- Are their articulatory gestures more accurate?
- Are their lingual configurations comparable to that of children with typical hearing?

METHODS & PARTICIPANTS

Task
Picture-naming task with word-initial consonants in vowel /a/ context: “tapis” /tapi/ carpet, “carotte” /kaʁɔt/ carrot, “sapin” /sapɛ̃/ fir tree and “chapeau” /ʃapo/ hat

Simultaneous acoustic and ultrasound recordings during production

Participants


CI-	CI+	TH*
N = 3	N = 5	N = 10
From 65 to 133 months (age = 101 months, SD = 27.95)	From 89 to 121 months (age = 103.55 months, SD = 10.85)	From 52 to 137 months (age = 96.25 months, SD = 25.68)

Data processing
Formant values **F1, F2 and F3** at consonant offset and spectral **centers of gravity** at fricative mid-point were extracted using PRAAT^[9]
Tongue contours were automatically extracted at burst point using the SLURP algorithm^[10] and characterized with the front-back index^[12], the constriction place^[13] and the MCI values^[11]


Statistical analysis
Linear mixed-effect models (*lme*) with a backward step-wise variable selection and multiple comparisons

RESULTS


	Stops	Fricatives
F1	/t/ > /k/	CI+: /s/ > /ʃ/ ; CI- and TH: /s/ = /ʃ/
F2	/t/ < /k/	CI+ and TH: /s/ < /ʃ/ ; CI-: /s/ = /ʃ/
F3	/t/ = /k/	CI- and CI+: /s/ > /ʃ/ ; TH: /s/ = /ʃ/
Center of gravity		CI+ and TH: /s/ > /ʃ/ ; CI-: /s/ = /ʃ/ Lower contrast in CI-
Front-back	/t/ more anterior	CI+: /s/ more anterior ; CI- and TH: /s/ = /ʃ/ Higher contrast in CI+
Constriction	/t/ more anterior	/s/ more anterior
MCI	/t/ < /k/ Slightly lower contrast in CI-	/s/ < /ʃ/




Acoustic distinction between alveolar /t/ and velar /k/ places of articulation, with no group effect




Acoustic distinction between alveolar /s/ and postalveolar /ʃ/ places of articulation in CI+ and TH but not in CI-



More precise articulatory distinction between alveolar /t/ and velar /k/ places of articulation in CI+ and TH than in CI-



More precise articulatory distinction between alveolar /s/ and postalveolar /ʃ/ places of articulation in CI+ than in TH and CI-



DISCUSSION & PERSPECTIVES

Discussion

- Quantitative measures of stop and fricative articulation in children with CIs and typical hearing
- F2 can be used as an acoustic correlate of stop and fricative place of articulation in children
- The results argue for the use of articulatory measures to further assess speech production in children
- Because different strategies are used by children to produce a similar target, a single measure is not sufficient to characterize speech production in children
- The higher the receptive cued speech proficiency, the better the differentiation of places of articulation in stop and fricative consonants

Perspectives

- Record more participants in each group
- Comparison with children with cochlear implants and who have never been exposed to cued speech
- Testing the effect of adding cued speech gestures simultaneously to speech production

References

- [1] Colin, S., Ecalte, J., Truy, E., Lina-Granade, G., & Magnan, A. (2017). Effect of age at cochlear implantation and at exposure to Cued Speech on literacy skills in deaf children. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 71, 61-69. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.09.014>.
- [2] Bouton, S., Serniclaes, W., Bertoni, J., & Colé, P. (2012). Perception of Speech Features by French-Speaking Children With Cochlear Implants. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 55(1), 139-153. [https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388\(2011\)10-0330](https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2011)10-0330)
- [3] Grandon, B., & Vilain, A. (2020). Development of fricative production in French-speaking school-aged children using cochlear implants and children with normal hearing. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 86, 105996. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2020.105996>
- [4] Descourteux, C., Groh, V., Rusterholtz, A., Simoulin, I., & Busquet, D. (1999). Cued speech in the stimulation of communication: An advantage in cochlear implantation. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 47(2), 205-207. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-5876\(98\)00145-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-5876(98)00145-1)
- [5] Leybaert, J., Bayard, C., Colin, C., & LaSasso, C. (2016). Cued Speech and Cochlear Implants: A Powerful Combination For Natural Spoken Language Acquisition and the Development of Reading. In M. Marschark & P. E. Spencer (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Deaf Studies in Language*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190241414.013.24>
- [6] Vieu, A., Mondaina, M., Blanchard, K., Sillon, M., Reuillard-Artieres, F., Tobey, E., Uziela, A., & Piron, J. P. (1998). Influence of communication mode on speech intelligibility and syntactic structure of sentences in profoundly hearing impaired French children implanted between 5 and 9 years of age. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 44(1), 15-22. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-5876\(98\)00031-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-5876(98)00031-7)
- [7] Kos, M.-I., Deriaz, M., Guyot, J.-P., & Pelizzone, M. (2009). What can be expected from a late cochlear implantation? *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 73(2), 189-193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2008.10.009>
- [8] Machart, L., Vilain, A., Løevenbruck, H., Gerber, S., & EULALIES Consortium. (in revision). Positive impact of French Cued Speech exposure on speech production in children fitted with Cochlear Implants. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*.
- [9] Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2019). Praat: Doing Phonetics by Computer. <https://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/praat/>
- [10] Laporte C, Ménard L. (2018). Multi-hypothesis tracking of the tongue surface in ultrasound video recordings of normal and impaired speech. *Medical Image Analysis*, 44, 98-114.
- [11] Dawson, K. M., Tiede, M. K., & Whalen, D. H. (2015). Methods for quantifying tongue shape and complexity using ultrasound imaging. *Clinical linguistics & phonetics*, 30(3-5), 328-344.
- [12] Ménard, L., Toupin, C., Baum, S. R., Drouin, S., Aubin, J., & Tiede, M. (2013). Acoustic and articulatory analysis of French vowels produced by congenitally blind adults and sighted adults. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 134(4), 2975-2987. <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4818740>
- [13] Ohkubo, M., & Scobbie, J. M. (2019). Tongue Shape Dynamics in Swallowing Using Sagittal Ultrasound. *Dysphagia*, 34(1), 112-118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-018-9921-8>