

Immuno-capture of biomolecules on functionalized magnetic beads: from characterization to fluorescent detection of a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease toward high performance biosensing

Ngoc van Thanh Nguyen, Claire Smadja, Myriam Taverna, Trong Khoa Mai, Frédéric Halgand, Thanh Duc Mai

▶ To cite this version:

Ngoc van Thanh Nguyen, Claire Smadja, Myriam Taverna, Trong Khoa Mai, Frédéric Halgand, et al.. Immuno-capture of biomolecules on functionalized magnetic beads: from characterization to fluorescent detection of a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease toward high performance biosensing. Talanta, 2023, 8, 10.1016/j.talo.2023.100264. hal-04273225

HAL Id: hal-04273225 https://hal.science/hal-04273225v1

Submitted on 7 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Immuno-capture of biomolecules on functionalized magnetic beads: from	
2	characterization to fluorescent detection of a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease toward	d
3	high performance biosensing	
4		
5		
6	Ngoc Van Thanh Nguyen ^{1,2} , Claire Smadja ^{1*} , Myriam Taverna ¹ , Trong Khoa Mai	i ³ ,
7	Frédéric Halgand ⁴ , Thanh Duc Mai ^{1*}	
8	¹ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut Galien Paris-Saclay, 91400, Orsay, France.	
9	² Faculty of Pharmacy, HUTECH University, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam	
10	³ Department of Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Han	ıoi
11	National University	
12	⁴ Université Paris Saclay-CNRS, Institut de Chimie Physique, 91400, Orsay, France	
13		
14		
15		
16	Correspondence:	
17	E-mail: <u>claire.smadja@universite-paris-saclay.fr;</u> Fax: +33-1-46-83-55-51	
18	thanh-duc.mai@universite-paris-saclay.fr	
19		
20	Keywords: magnetic beads, density and orientation, antibody anti- amyloid beta, size exclusive	ve
21	chromatogram, enzyme digestion, IdeZ.	
22		
23		
24		
25		

26 Abstract

27 It is reported herein a new approach to study the orientation and density of mouse antibody grafting on magnetic beads, serving for immunoassays and biosensors with fluorescent 28 29 detection of biomolecules. This approach is based on selective enzymatic digestion of target 30 grafted antibodies at a specific site below the hinge position to provide F(ab')2 and Fc fragments, followed by separation and determination of these fragments with size exclusion 31 32 chromatography (SEC) coupled with fluorescence detection (FLD). The developed method was 33 applied for evaluation of immunoglobulin (IgG2a) grafting capacity on three different 34 biofunctionalized magnetic beads (i.e., Tosyl-activated, carboxylic, protein G). Tosyl-activated 35 and protein G beads at different optimal grafting IgG: bead ratios (i.e., 110 µg: 1000 µg and 240 μg: 1000 μg, respectively) exhibited superior grafting capacity than carboxylic counterparts. 36 37 Under the optimized conditions, more than 70 % of antibodies were grafted on tosyl-activated 38 and protein G beads in the right orientation. This approach was then demonstrated with different 39 commercially available antibodies specific to amyloid-beta peptide 1-42 (A β_{1-42}) for magneto-40 immunoassays and fluorescent detection of this peptide that is an established biomarker for 41 molecular diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. 42

- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50

51 **1. Introduction**

52 Immuno-enrichment and immunoassays, relying on the capture of target peptides and proteins 53 via specific antibodies for their subsequent preconcentration and fluorescent detection, are the 54 gold techniques used in bioanalysis and biosensing. Among all solid supports for such 55 purpose, magnetic beads have gained great attention thanks to the ease of manipulation via an 56 external magnetic field and a variety of functionalities (commercially) available for magnetic 57 particles [1, 2]. The performance of immunocapture on magnetic beads nevertheless depends 58 significantly on density and orientation of the grafted antibodies in order to allow their 59 efficient and selective interaction with target molecules as well as avoid / minimize non-60 specific adsorption [3-5]. Control and characterization of on-beads immobilized antibodies 61 are therefore of utmost importance to achieve the best immunocapture and immunoassay 62 performance. Different characterization strategies have been developed for such purpose, 63 notably spectrophotometric and colorimetric assays [6, 7]. Gagey-Eilstein et al. 64 communicated a chemiluminescent test to monitor the antibody grafting rate on streptavidin-65 magnetic beads [8]. These methods nevertheless cannot provide any information on antibody orientation and/or can induce measurement biases mainly related to possible background 66 67 interferences [9-11]. Other methods such as surface plasmon resonance (SPR), dual 68 polarization interferometry, spectroscopic ellipsometry and atomic force microscopy, can 69 provide insight on antibody orientation mainly by measuring antibody dimensions/thickness 70 on surfaces or shift angle [12-17]. Indirect methods for quantification of proteins on the 71 surface of nanoparticles (NPs) were as well proposed, relying on hydrolysis of grafted 72 proteins, followed by spectrophotometric or chromatographic quantification of primary 73 amines, amino acids or peptides in solution [18-20]. Our group recently proposed a new 74 simple and rapid analytical approach to evaluate human antibody orientation and density on 75 magnetic beads applied for immunocapture of a biomarker of inflammation (TNF- α) [21].

- 3 -

76 This approach relies on the cleavage of immobilized antibodies by IdeS, a highly specific 77 protease for human immunoglobulin G (hIgG). This approach using IdeS nevertheless is not 78 adapted to mouse IgG, which represents the majority of antibodies commercially available for 79 bioanalysis. Indeed, in the context of molecular diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease (AD), which 80 is the most prevalent neurodegenerative disease worldwide causing a huge burden to the 81 society and having no efficient AD treatment so far [22], mouse antibodies have been most 82 widely used for immunoassays of amyloid (A β) beta peptides (notably A β 1-42 and A β 1-40 83 which are established biomarkers for AD). No human antibodies recognizing specifically 84 these compounds have been available so far.

85

86 From this rationality, we report in this study the development of an analytical approach to 87 simultaneously characterize the density and orientation of grafted mouse antibody on 88 magnetic beads. This approach relies on selective enzymatic digestion of immobilized mouse 89 antibodies at one specific site below the hinge region using FabRICATOR Z (IdeZ) to give 90 F(ab')2 and Fc fragments from mouse antibodies, followed by separation and determination 91 of these fragments with size exclusion chromatography (SEC) coupled to fluorescent 92 detection (FLD). Optimization of the SEC-FLD method was made to allow separation of the 93 F(ab')2 and Fc fragments from residual antibodies and the enzyme, allowing non-bias 94 determination of the digestion efficiency via the F(ab')2 / Fc ratios. The developed approach 95 was applied for three functionalized magnetic beads (i.e., Tosyl-activated, carboxylic, Pierce 96 Protein G) grafting with immunoglobulin (IgG2a) from mouse. Following the antibody-97 grafting optimizations that can be monitored with our method, the activity of grafted 98 antibodies was confirmed via on-bead immunoassays with fluorescent detection of amyloid 99 beta 1-42 peptide (A β_{1-42}) that is an established biomarker for AD molecular. The work was extended to three antibodies specific to different epitopes of A_{β1-42} (*i.e.*, NAB228 for N-100

- 4 -

101 terminal, 12F4 for C-terminal, and 4G8 for 17-24 epitopes), serving for evaluation of the 102 performance of bead-based immunoassays of $A\beta_{1-42}$.

103

104 **2. Experimental**

105 2.1. Chemicals, reagents and samples

106 2-(Cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic acid (CHES), Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane

107 (TRIS), sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), potassium hydroxide

108 (KCl), acid boric (H₃BO₃), sodium dihydrophosphate (NaH₂PO₄), disodium hydrophosphate

109 (Na₂HPO₄), Tween 20, ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄), EDC (N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-

110 N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride), S-NHS (N-Hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt),

111 mouse IgG2a (2 mg/ml) (mIgG), phosphate buffer saline 10X (PBS), Tris buffer saline 10X

112 (TBS), ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH), human IgG from whole serum (hIgG at 10 mg/ml),

113 as well as different anti-Alzheimer antibodies (1 mg/ml), including NAB228 and 6E10-HRP

114 were all provided by Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States). Other antibodies 12F4-

115 HRP, 12F4, and 4G8 were obtained from Biolegend (San Diego, California, United State).

116 HPLC grade isopropanol (IPA), methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from VWR

117 (Pennsylvania, US). FabRICATOR enzyme (Ides) and FabRICATOR Z enzyme (Idez) were

118 obtained from Genovis (Lund, Sweden). Amyloid beta peptide Ab 1-42 (A β_{1-42}) was

119 purchased from Eurogentec (Seraing, Belgium). FP-488 NHS ester solution was purchased

120 from Interchim (Montluçon, France). Pierce Protein G (ProG), Tosyl-activated (Ts) and

121 Carboxylated (COOH) magnetic beads, QuantaRed Enhanced Chemifluorescent HRP kit

122 were provided by Thermo Fisher (Massachusetts, United States). All buffers were prepared

123 with deionized water and were filtered through a 0.22 µm membranes (Pall Corporation, New

124 York, USA) prior to use. For mass spectrometry experiments, acetonitrile and formic acid

125 were purchase from Sigma Aldrich.

126

127 2.2. Apparatus and Material

128 Deionized water used in all experiments was purified using a Direct-Q3 UV purification system 129 (Millipore, Milford, MA, USA). Conductivity and pH values of buffer solutions and samples 130 were measured by a Seven Compact pH meter (Mettler Toledo, Schwerzenbach, Switzerland). 131 SEC-FID experiments were carried out using a 1260 Agilent UPLC, and a 1260 fluorescent 132 detector ($\lambda_{\text{excitation}}$: 280 nm, $\lambda_{\text{emission}}$: 345 nm) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). 133 Two columns, including Agilent AdvanceBio SEC (2.7µm particle size, 130A° pore size, i.d 134 7.8, length 300 mm) and BioSEC-3 (3µm particle size, 300A° pore size, i.d 4,6 mm, length 135 300 mm) were employed for SEC-FLD at temperature of 25 °C. Fluorescence detection with 136 the excitation and emission wavelengths of 530 nm and 582 nm respectively were carried out 137 with a FP-750 Spectrofluorometer from Jasco (Lisses, France) using a 40 µL quartz cuvette 138 (Starna Scientific, Essex, England). Mass spectrometry measurements were performed on a QToF Synapt G2 Si (Waters, Manchester) equipped with ion mobility. Parameters were 139 140 optimized to obtain the best signal. In brief, capillary voltage was set to 3.5 kV, source 141 temperature to 40°C, the cone voltage and the offset were fixed at 50 V, désolvatation 142 temperature was 450 °C, and nebulizer gas flow to 500 L/hr. Calibration was performed on the 143 500 to 5000 m/z range using TFANa. Error on the measurement was 0.2 ppm.

144

145 2.3. Methods

146 2.3.1. Antibody digestion in solution

147 IdeS FabRICATOR enzyme 5000 U and IdeZ FabRICATOR Z enzyme 2000 U were

148 dissolved in 100 μ L and 50 μ L miliQ water, respectively, then aliquoted to 2 μ L and stored at

149 -20° C. For IgG digestion, 2 µL IdeS enzyme (100UI) was mixed with 50 µL of 100 mM

150 phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) whereas 2 µL IdeZ enzyme (80UI) was added to 50 µL of 10mM

151 phosphate buffer and 10 mM sodium chloride (pH 6.5). hIgG and 6E10 were diluted in PBS

152 to 50 μ L at concentration of 0,1 mg/ml and then digested with IdeS at 37^oC on a thermo-

153 mixer at 650 rounds per minute (rpm) for 90 minutes. NAB228 and 6E10 (0,1 mg/ml) were

154 prepared and digested with IdeZ under the same conditions for 120 minutes.

155

156 2.3.2. Antibody grafting on magnetic beads

157 Protein G magnetic bead

158 50 µL of ProG magnetic beads (10 mg/mL) was added to 2 mL LoBind Eppendorf vials and 159 gently vortexed with 500 µL of Tris solution containing 0.05 % v/v of Tween 20. The 160 supernatant was removed, and the beads were washed one more time with 1 mL of Tris-161 Tween 20 (0.05%). A magnet was employed to retain magnetic beads during removal or 162 addition of a suspension solution. After removal of the supernatant, a predefined volume (0-5-163 15-22,5-45-60-75-90 µL) of NAB228 at 2 mg/mL was subsequently added to beads. The Tris-164 Tween 20 (0.05%) solution was then added to 500 µL. The mixtures were then incubated at 165 25°C, 650 rpm for 1 hour on a Thermomixer. The protocol was also carried out with 100 µL 166 ProG (10 mg/mL) and 4 batches of NAB228 (0-10-55-120 µL at 2 mg/mL). After incubation, 167 the supernatants were collected. The beads were then washed twice with 500 µL of Tris-168 Tween 20 (0.05%) solution. The washing solutions were collected for subsequent analyses. 169

170 Tosyl-activated magnetic bead

171 $10 \,\mu\text{L}$ of Dynabeads MyOne Tosylactivated (100 mg/mL) was added to 2mL LoBind

172 Eppendorf vials and washed with 500µL of 0.1 M sodium borate buffer (pH 9.5) using a

- 173 Thermomixer for 15 min C at 25°C and 650 rpm. Pre-defined volumes (0-10-55-90-120 μL)
- 174 of NAB228 solution (2 mg/mL) were added to beads. 60 µL of 3M ammonium sulphate
- 175 buffer (pH 9.5) and 20 µL of 0.1M sodium borate buffer were then added. The mixtures were

then incubated at 37 °C on a Thermomixer (650 rpm) for 16h. The supernatants were

177 collected with the help of a magnet. The beads were washed twice with 500 μ L of PBS-

178 Tween 20 (0.05%) and once with 500 μ L of PBS. The washing solutions were also collected

179 for subsequent analyses by SEC-FLD.

180

181 Carboxylated magnetic bead

182 100 μ L of a Dynabeads MyOne carboxylic acid suspension (10 mg / mL) were rinsed twice 183 with 1 mL PBS after removing the suspending solution. 500 μ L of EDC solution (10 mg / mL 184 inPBS) and 500 µL of S-NHS solution (10 mg / mL in PBS 1X) were then added into the 185 washed beads, followed by the addition of NAB228 2 mg/ml (10 μ L or 55 μ L). The mixture 186 was incubated for 3 hours under 650 rpm at room temperature (RT). The supernatant was 187 collected. The antibody-bound magnetic beads were subsequently washed twice with 1 mL of 188 PBS, followed by an incubation at RT with 1mL of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) for 15 min in 189 order to quench the non-reacted activated carboxylic acid groups. The antibody-bound 190 magnetic beads were then washed 3 times with 1 mL of PBS - 0.1 % Tween-20. A magnet 191 was employed to retain magnetic beads during removal or addition of a suspension solution. 192

193 2.3.3. Digestion of NAB228 grafted on magnetic beads by IdeZ

194 $100 \,\mu\text{L}$ of enzyme Idez (3 UI) was used for 1 μ g NAB228. The enzyme solutions were added

195 directly to grafted and washed magnetic beads and incubated for 3h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C on a

196 Thermomixer at 650 rpm. Further optimization of the enzymatic digestion procedure can be

197 referred to section 3.1.

198

199 2.3.4. SEC-FLD conditions

200 The SEC-FLD analyses of digested samples were conducted on two Agilent columns: 201 BioSEC-3 (3µm particle size, 300A°, i.d 4,6 mm, length 300 mm) and AdvanceBio SEC 202 (2.7µm particle size, 130A° pore size, i.d 7.8, length 300 mm). Both columns were 203 equilibrated with the mobile phase for 5 column volumes prior to analysis; and flushed with a 204 mixture of miliQ water (80%) and MeOH (20%) for 15 column volumes after each analysis. 205 The flow rate was fixed at 0.3 ml/min for both columns. For each analysis, 2 µL of sample 206 was injected, and analyzed with the phosphate buffer 50 mM that contains 10 % IPA and 150 207 mM KCl (pH 6,8).

208

209 Calibration curves were made using native NAB228 prepared in different matrices and under 210 different conditions that were used for on-bead antibody grafting protocols. The calibration 211 curve served for the study on Tosyl-activated beads was made with NAB228 (at six points 212 from 0,05 to 0.3 µg) diluted in ammonium sulphate 3 M and sodium borate buffer 0,1 M at 213 pH 9.5 and incubated on Thermomixer at 37°C during 16h. The one used for the study on 214 proG beads was done with NAB228 (6 concentration points) prepared in Tris-Tween 20 215 (0.05%) and incubated at 25°C for 1h on Thermomixer. The non-grafted condition was used 216 as the reference with six points of native mouse IgG2a prepared in PBS. Three SEC-FLD 217 analyses were implemented for each concentration. The respective calibration curve for each 218 bead type was used to determine the non-grafted NAB228 amount in supernatant and washing 219 solutions. The successfully grafted NAB228 was estimated from the difference between the 220 initial antibody concentration and the recovered ones in the supernatant and washing 221 solutions.

222

223 **2.3.5.** *Immunoassay of Aβ* 1-42 *peptide*

224 A volume of 50 μ L A β 1-42 was incubated with 200 μ g magnetic beads coated with the desired 225 antibodies (12F4, 4G8 or NAB228) and 5 µL 6E10- HRP or 12F4- HRP antibodies at 226 concentration of 0.04 µg/ml in PBS 1X on a mixer at RT for 1 hour. The beads were then 227 washed 3 times (10 min each time on a mixer) with 400 μ L PBS 1X/ 0.1 % BSA (m/v)/ 0.1 % 228 Tween- 20 (v/v). Then, 100 µL QuantaRed solution was added to the washed beads. The 229 incubation was carried out over 7 min on shaking, followed by addition of 10 µL OuantaRed 230 Stop solution. The colour intensity of the achieved solution was measured with the excitation 231 and emission wavelengths of 530 nm and 582 nm, respectively.

232

233 **2.3.6.** *MS* measurements of *A*β 1-42 peptide

Five lots of A β 1-42 peptide stock solution at 2 mg/ml were prepared by balancing and dissolving in corresponding volume of DMSO. The Eppendorf vials were vortexed gently with hand. Then, the stock solutions were diluted in NH₄OH 0.16% to obtain the samples at a concentration of 5 μ M. These samples were injected directly into MS to verify the quality of A β 1-42. For MS conditions see the section 2.2 above.

239

240 **3. Results and Discussion**

241 **3.1. Enzymatic digestion of grafted antibodies and analysis of released fragments**

Our approach (see Fig.1) to characterize the performance of on-bead antibody grafting relies on the selective enzymatic digestion of the grafted antibody below the hinge position to release (Fab')2 and Fc fragments. The analysis of the remaining antibody as well as these released fragments, which are typical for an antibody, can then provide insight in the density and the orientation of the grafted antibodies thanks to the ratio of F(ab')2 's signal to Fc one. Unlike chemically mediated or trypsin-based methods, our approach is softer and more selective, and can provide both information on antibody density and orientation. The 249 challenges here were to find an appropriate enzyme that works on the target mouse antibodies 250 and develop the subsequent separation strategy for determination of the resulting fragments. 251 In our case, SEC which is frequently used for separation of proteins and peptides was chosen 252 for analysis of F(ab')2 and Fc fragments. The obtained F(ab')2 and Fc fragments and residue 253 IgG possess respective molecular weights of about 100, 50 and 150 kDa. Phase mobile 254 optimization was first carried out to separate these target fragments from the residual 255 antibodies in the solution (Fig. 2). Among two salts commonly used in SEC buffers, KCl 256 offered more symmetric and less tailing peaks than NaCl (Fig 2 A-B vs Fig 2 C-D). Indeed, 257 KCl was found stronger than NaCl in suppression of the secondary interaction between target 258 molecules and the stationary phase, probably due to the larger size and higher interactivity of 259 K⁺ cation [23]. It was observed from Fig 2 C vs Fig. 2 D that the column of a smaller pore 260 size (130A°) provides better resolution for separation of F(ab')2 and Fc. Moreover, thanks to 261 these optimized conditions, the peak of IdeZ enzyme was well separated from the Fc fragment 262 (see Figs. 3 and 4), which was not the case in the previous work using IdeS [21]. This would 263 help avoid the bias in quantification of the digested fragments.

264

265 The optimized SEC-FLD conditions were then used to monitor the IgG digestion 266 optimization. FabRICATOR IdeS, which was found to offer fast reaction on hIgG, and was 267 found to work well in our previous work on hIgG [21] was tested as the reference. By 268 comparing the peak of IgG before and after digestion in Figs. 3A-B, one can see that almost 269 80% of hIgG was digested with IdeS without optimization, whereas only 10% of mouse IgG1 270 could be digested under the same conditions. Indeed, IdeS can digest only antibodies having 271 CPPCPAPELLG/GPSVF sequence at hinge position that is typical for human, rabbit and 272 sheep IgG. This enzyme nevertheless is less favorable for mouse IgG, which represents the 273 majority of antibodies commercially available for bioanalysis and is the most widely used one

- 11 -

274 for immunoassays of Aβ peptides. To overcome this problem, FabRICATOR Z IdeZ was 275 selected for mouse IgG. This kind of enzyme shows its specificity on mouse IgG2a or IgG3, 276 having CPAPNLLG/ GPSVF sequence at the hinge site. As can be seen in Fig 3C, more than 277 60% of NAB228 antibody (mouse IgG2a type) was digested in the first trial, which provided 278 encouraging results. IdeZ enzyme was therefore chosen for further optimization of mouse IgG2a digestion. A two-level-three-factors (3²) ¹/₂ fraction experiment was designed to 279 280 optimize the digestion protocol using Minitab statistical software 17. The three factors 281 covered (i) enzyme unit for 1µg IgG digestion (1 - 3 UI), (ii) incubation time (2 to 4 hour) and (iii) temperature (low 30°C and high 44°C). The response to be evaluated was the digestion 282 283 efficiency estimated via F(ab')2 : Fc peak-area-ratio. A Pareto chart was used to determine the 284 optimal conditions for complete digestion of IgG while using the lowest enzyme unit as 285 possible. Among the investigated factors, the one with the highest impact was found for the 286 added enzyme unit. As can be seen from Fig. 4, with 3 U of enzyme IdeZ for 1 µg IgG (in an 287 incubation over 3 hours at RT), quasi-complete digestion (98%) of IgG in solution, calculated 288 according to peak areas of initial IgG and residual one after digestion, could be achieved. 289 Compared to the digestion performance obtained with the commercial protocol for IdeZ (see 290 Figs. 2 C-D where there was much residual IgG after the digestion), that achieved with our 291 optimized conditions is superior with almost no trace of IgG left (Fig. 4).

292

3.2. Optimization of the density and orientation of antibodies grafted on magnetic beads The optimized digestion conditions (i.e., incubation with 3 UI IdeZ over 3h at 37 °C) and the optimized SEC-FLD ones (i.e., column of 130 A° pore-size, phosphate buffer containing 150 mM KCl) were employed to release and determine the F(ab')2 and Fc fragments from onbead grafted NAB228 antibody (mouse IgG). Quantification of grafted NAB228 was made with following the formula (1), respectively:

 $m_{grafted} = m_{initial} - (m_{supernatant} + m_{washing})$ (1)

300 where

301

minitial, mgrafted, mgupernation and mwashing are the initial quantity of antibodies, the 302 quantity of antibodies grafted on 1000 μ g beads, and those of remaining antibodies in 303 the supernatant and washing solutions, respectively.

304

305 The peak ratios for F(ab')2/Fc fragments released from NAB228 antibodies grafted on Tosyl-306 activated, ProG and carboxylated magnetic beads were determined and compared (Fig. 5). 307 With the same quantity of antibodies available for grafting, proG and tosyl-activated beads 308 allowed higher amount of grafted NAB228, whereas very little trace of grafted antibodies 309 could be found with carboxylated ones. As shown in Fig 5A and B, proG and tosyl-activated 310 beads would require different initial antibody amount to achieve the best F(ab')2/ Fc ratio. 311 The best grafted antibody density and orientation was achieved with 110 µg antibodies per 1 mg bead for tosyl-activated beads (at F(ab') / Fc of 2.9), whereas for proG beads the best 312 313 F(ab')2 / Fc ratio of 2.5 was achieved at a higher quantity of 240 µg antibodies per 1 mg 314 beads (Fig. 5C). This can be explained by the fact that tosyl-activated beads with a covalent 315 group (H₃CC₆H₄SO₂) has more affinity to Fc fragment. Note that further addition of 316 antibodies led to less grafting performance on tosyl-activated beads (Fig. 5C), probably due 317 the abundant presence of PBS used for antibody suspension, which is the blocking solution 318 and not favorable for tosylated groups on magnetic beads, according to the instructions in the 319 commercial protocol. In the case of proG, at low IgG quantity ($< 50 \mu g$), the non-covalent 320 linkage is more effective towards F(ab')2, leading to less-oriented antibody grafting (with 321 decreased F(ab')2 / Fc peak ratio). At higher IgG quantity, the tendency was reversed, leading 322 to an improved in F(ab')2/Fc peak ratio for ProG beads. This important observation indicates 323 that different magnetic beads would require different antibody quantities to reach the

324 maximum density and best orientation. Under our best grafting conditions, 74.4 % of 325 antibodies grafted on tosyl-activated beads were at the right orientation, calculated from the 326 (F(ab')2 / (Fc + F(ab')2)) peak ratio. This was 71.9 % for the case of ProG beads. Using the 327 formula (1), the maximum grafted quantity of NAB228 antibodies on ProG and tosyl-328 activated beads were estimated to be 43 μ g and 35 μ g per 1000 μ g beads, respectively. Note 329 that different conditions (i.e., the matrices, the temperatures and incubation times) were used 330 for grafting antibodies on different beads. To avoid bias in the quantification of grafted 331 antibodies, different calibration curves were made for different bead types, using NAB228 prepared in respective grafting matrices (see Fig. S1 in the supporting information ESI). Note 332 333 also that the peak of IdeZ was observed together with those of F(ab')2 and Fc fragments after 334 the digestion (Fig. 5). The peaks of IdeZ in the supernatants after incubation with different 335 beads were lower than that of IdeZ in the initial one, which was due to some absorption of 336 IdeZ on to ProG and tosyl-activated beads during the incubation for digestion of grafted IgG, 337 as demonstrated in Fig. S2 in the ESI. The peak of residual IdeZ, if not well separated from 338 those of target F(ab')2 and Fc, could induce some interference to these target peaks, and 339 therefore confirm again the need to have an improved SEC-FLD method in our case to 340 separate IdeZ from the target fragments.

341

342 3.3. Immunoassays with fluorescent detection of Aβ1-42 on magnetic beads

To validate the aforementioned optimization, the tosyl-activated and proG beads grafted with NAB228 (an anti A β 1-42 antibody specific at N-terminal of this peptide) at their optimal concentrations were employed to carry out immunoassays of A β 1-42 (Fig. 6). Since A β 1-42 peptide is prone to aggregation [22], the good quality of each batch was first confirmed with MS (see Fig. S3 in the ESI). A β 1-42 solutions were prepared freshly from the confirmed batch just before each immunoassay series. Detection in this case was made with another anti

- 14 -

Aβ1-42 antibody specific at C-terminal of this peptide, which is bound with HRP to trigger
subsequently the enzymatic reaction with Quanta Red substrate followed by fluorescent
detection (Fig. 6A). NAB228 grafted on ProG showed higher fluorescent signals than those
obtained with tosyl-activated beads (Fig. 6B). Further results on the immunoassays of Aβ1-42
can be seen in Fig. S4 in the ESI. This observation was in good accordance with the results
indicating that more antibodies were grafted on ProG beads than on tosyl-activated ones, with
equivalent antibody grafting orientation (see section 3.2 above).

356

357 Employing the antibody / bead ratios optimized for NAB228, the test on immunocapture of 358 Aβ1-42 was also extended to other antibodies specific to this peptide, i.e., 12F4 specific to N-359 terminal and 4G8 specific to 17-24 epitopes (Fig. S5 in the ESI). An antibody specific to N-360 terminal of AB1-42 (6E10-HRP) was employed in this case for detection of the captured 361 peptide. Among three capture antibodies, NAB228 exhibited the best on-bead capture 362 performance, regardless of the bead type used (tosyl-activated or ProG). The signals obtained 363 with 12F4 and 4G8 were relatively low for both types of beads. The limit of detection was 10 364 nM nfor NAB228 and 20 nM for other antibodies. This implies that for each antibody, re-365 optimization of the antibody : bead ratio would be needed. And the optimal ratio achieved for 366 1 specific antibody (NAB228 in our case) cannot be generic to other ones. This remark is 367 important, because so far one tends to follow the same *antibody* : *bead* ratio according to the 368 established grafting protocol for different antibodies, which may lead to non-optimal 369 immunocapture performance.

370

4. Conclusions

We developed successfully an enzyme-based approach for evaluation at same time the density and orientation of mouse IgG_{2a} immobilized on three different magnetic beads. Using this

374	approach to follow the optimization of antibody grafting conditions, more than 70 % of
375	antibodies could be grafted on tosyl-activated and protein G beads in the right orientation. The
376	developed method was applied for monitoring the density and orientation of anti- A β 1-12
377	antibodies on different magnetic bead supports, serving for immunoassays and fluorescent
378	detection of this amyloid-beta peptide. The antibody NAB228 grafted on ProG beads
379	exhibited the best performance, with the detection limit of 10 nM achieved for A β 1-12. The
380	strategy using IdeZ enzyme to check the density and orientation of mouse antibodies on
381	magnetic beads provides us a powerful tool for optimization and improvement of the
382	performance of immunoassays and biosensing of target biomolecules on magnetic beads.
383	Other biosensing applications targeting different biomarkers using this enzyme-based method
384	are envisaged to further demonstrate the significance of our work.
385	
386	
387	Acknowledgement
388	This work has been financially supported by the 'bourse d'excellence de l'ambassade de
389	France' (for PhD scholarship of N.V.T. Nguyen).
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
396	
397	
398	

Figure captions:

- 400 Fig. 1. Proposed strategy for characterization of density and orientation of antibodies
- 401

grafted on magnetic beads.



416 Fig. 2. Analysis of IdeZ-digested NAB228 by SEC- FLD using a column having
417 dimensions of 4.6 x 300mm, particle size of 3μm; pore size of 300Å and the
418 phosphate buffer containing A) 150 mM NaCl, C) 150 mM KCl; or a column
419 having dimensions of 7.8 x 300mm, particle size of 2.7μm, pore size of 130Å and
420 the phosphate buffer containing B) 150 mM NaCl, and D) 150 mM KCl.



430 Fig. 3. Digestion of 0,1 mg/ml antibodies in PBS: A) human IgG by IdeS; B) Mouse IgG1
431 (6E10) by IdeS; C) Mouse IgG2a (NAB228) by IdeZ. SEC- FLD conditions:
432 column having dimensions of 7.8 x 300mm, particle size of 2.7μm, pore size of
433 130Å and the phosphate buffer containing 150 mM KCl.



442 Fig. 4. Digestion of NAB228 antibodies (0.1 mg/ml IgG2a) in PBS by IdeZ under different
443 digestion conditions. SEC-FLD conditions as in Fig. 3





458 Fig. 5. Digestion of NAB228 antibodies grafted on different magnetic beads (*i.e.*,

459 carboxylated, tosylactivated, protein G using IgG/ beads (µg/ mg) ratio of A) 110:

460 1; B) 240:1. C) Dependence of F(ab')2 :Fc peak ratios on IgG quantity for on-bead

461 grafting. SEC-FLD conditions as in Fig. 3





487

488 **References:**

- 489 [1] C. Comanescu, Magnetic Nanoparticles: Current Advances in Nanomedicine, Drug
- 490 Delivery and MRI, Chemistry-Switzerland 4(3) (2022) 872-930.
- 491 [2] C. Susy Piovesana and Anna Laura, Magnetic Materials for the Selective Analysis of
- 492 Peptide and Protein Biomarkers, Curr. Med. Chem. 24(5) (2017) 438-453.
- 493 [3] S. Khizar, H. Ben Halima, N.M. Ahmad, N. Zine, A. Errachid, A. Elaissari, Magnetic
 494 nanoparticles in microfluidic and sensing: From transport to detection, Electrophoresis
 495 41(13-14) (2020) 1206-1224.
- 496 [4] M. Serra, D. Ferraro, I. Pereiro, J.L. Viovy, S. Descroix, The power of solid supports in
- 497 multiphase and droplet-based microfluidics: towards clinical applications, Lab on a
 498 Chip 17(23) (2017) 3979-3999.
- 499 [5] T.D. Mai, D. Ferraro, N. Aboud, R. Renault, M. Serra, N.T. Tran, J.-L. Viovy, C. Smadja,
- 500 S. Descroix, M. Taverna, Single-step immunoassays and microfluidic droplet operation:
- 501 Towards a versatile approach for detection of amyloid-β peptide-based biomarkers of

502 Alzheimer's disease, Sens. Actuators B 255 (2018) 2126-2135.

503 [6] B. Moreira-Alvarez, L. Cid-Barrio, H.S. Ferreira, J.M. Costa-Fernández, J. Encinar,

504 Integrated analytical platforms for the comprehensive characterization of bioconjugated

- inorganic nanomaterials aiming at biological applications, Journal of Analytical Atomic
 Spectrometry 35 (2020) 1518-1529.
- 507 [7] S.Y. Liu, E. Haller, J. Horak, M. Brandstetter, T. Henser, M. Lamerhofer, Protein A- and
- 508 Protein G-gold nanoparticle bioconjugates as nano-immunoaffinity platform for human
- 509 IgG depletion in plasma and antibody extraction from cell culture supernatant, Talanta
- 510 194 (2019) 664-672.

511	[8] D. Bouzas-Ramos, L. Trapiella-Alfonso, K. Pons, J.R. Encinar, J.M. Costa-Fernández, V.
512	Tsatsaris, N. Gagey-Eilstein, Controlling Ligand Surface Density on Streptavidin-
513	Magnetic Particles by a Simple, Rapid, and Reliable Chemiluminescent Test,
514	Bioconjugate Chem. 29(8) (2018) 2646-2653.
515	[9] R. Kozlowski, A. Ragupathi, R.B. Dyer, Characterizing the Surface Coverage of Protein-
516	Gold Nanoparticle Bioconjugates, Bioconjug. Chem. 29(8) (2018) 2691-2700.
517	[10] C.D. Walkey, J.B. Olsen, H. Guo, A. Emili, W.C.W. Chan, Nanoparticle Size and
518	Surface Chemistry Determine Serum Protein Adsorption and Macrophage Uptake,
519	JACS 134(4) (2012) 2139-2147.
520	[11] H. Hinterwirth, W. Lindner, M. Lämmerhofer, Bioconjugation of trypsin onto gold
521	nanoparticles: Effect of surface chemistry on bioactivity, Anal. Chim. Acta 733 (2012)
522	90-97.
523	[12] Y.M. Bae, BK. Oh, W. Lee, W.H. Lee, JW. Choi, Study on orientation of
524	immunoglobulin G on protein G layer, Biosens. Bioelectron. 21(1) (2005) 103-110.
525	[13] H.Y. Song, X. Zhou, J. Hobley, X. Su, Comparative Study of Random and Oriented
526	Antibody Immobilization as Measured by Dual Polarization Interferometry and Surface
527	Plasmon Resonance Spectroscopy, Langmuir 28(1) (2012) 997-1004.
528	[14] K. Yoshimoto, M. Nishio, H. Sugasawa, Y. Nagasaki, Direct Observation of Adsorption-
529	Induced Inactivation of Antibody Fragments Surrounded by Mixed-PEG Layer on a
530	Gold Surface, JACS 132(23) (2010) 7982-7989.
531	[15] K. Fujiwara, H. Watarai, H. Itoh, E. Nakahama, N. Ogawa, Measurement of antibody
532	binding to protein immobilized on gold nanoparticles by localized surface plasmon
533	spectroscopy, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 386(3) (2006) 639-644.

534	[16] L. Zhang, D. Hu, M. Salmain, B. Liedberg, S. Boujday, Direct quantification of surface
535	coverage of antibody in IgG-Gold nanoparticles conjugates, Talanta 204 (2019) 875-
536	881.

- 537 [17] T. Näreoja, A. Ebner, H.J. Gruber, B. Taskinen, F. Kienberger, P.E. Hänninen, V.P.
- 538 Hytönen, P. Hinterdorfer, H. Härmä, Kinetics of bioconjugate nanoparticle label
- binding in a sandwich-type immunoassay, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 406(2) (2014) 493503.
- 541 [18] R. Oliverio, B. Liberelle, F. Murschel, A. Garcia-Ac, X. Banquy, G. De Crescenzo,
- 542 Versatile and High-Throughput Strategy for the Quantification of Proteins Bound to

543 Nanoparticles, ACS Applied Nano Materials 3(10) (2020) 10497-10507.

- 544 [19] S.Y. Liu, J. Horak, M. Holdrich, M. Lammerhofer, Accurate and reliable quantification
 545 of the protein surface coverage on protein-functionalized nanoparticles, Anal. Chim.
 546 Acta 989 (2017) 29-37.
- 547 [20] M. Shen, D. Jiang, P.I.T. De Silva, B. Song, J.F. Rusling, Restricted Proteolysis and LC-
- 548 MS/MS To Evaluate the Orientation of Surface-Immobilized Antibodies, Anal. Chem.
 549 DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.9b01155 (2019).
- 550 [21] E. Laborie, V. Le-Minh, T.D. Mai, M. Ammar, M. Taverna, C. Smadja, Analytical
- 551 methods of antibody surface coverage and orientation on bio-functionalized magnetic
- beads: application to immunocapture of TNF-α, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 413(25) (2021)
 6425-6434.
- 554 [22] N. Van Thanh Nguyen, M. Taverna, C. Smadja, T.D. Mai, Recent Electrokinetic and
- 555 Microfluidic Strategies for Detection of Amyloid Beta Peptide Biomarkers: Towards
- 556 Molecular Diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease, Chem. Record 21(1) (2021) 149-161.

- 558 characterization of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies—application to daratumumab,
- 559 Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 411(19) (2019) 4615-4627.

560