



**HAL**  
open science

# DOCUMENTING PAST SOUTH AMERICAN CAMELID DIVERSITY AT TELARMACHAY (PUNA OF JUNÍN, PERU) USING FIRST PHALANGES MORPHOMETRICS

Manon Le Neün, Elise Dufour, Nicolas Goepfert, Jane C Wheeler, Thomas  
Cucchi

► **To cite this version:**

Manon Le Neün, Elise Dufour, Nicolas Goepfert, Jane C Wheeler, Thomas Cucchi. DOCUMENTING PAST SOUTH AMERICAN CAMELID DIVERSITY AT TELARMACHAY (PUNA OF JUNÍN, PERU) USING FIRST PHALANGES MORPHOMETRICS. *Revista de Arqueologia*, 2023, 36 (3), pp.26-37. 10.24885/sab.v36i3.1039 . hal-04271905

**HAL Id: hal-04271905**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04271905v1>**

Submitted on 6 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**DOCUMENTING PAST SOUTH AMERICAN CAMELID DIVERSITY AT  
TELARMACHAY (PUNA OF JUNÍN, PERU) USING FIRST PHALANGES  
MORPHOMETRICS**

**Manon Le Neün\***

**Elise Dufour\*\***

**Nicolas Goepfert\*\*\***

**Jane C. Wheeler\*\*\*\***

**Thomas Cucchi\*\*\*\*\***

\* Corresponding author - PhD, AASPE-Archéozoologie, Archéobotanique: Sociétés, Pratiques et Environnements UMR 7209: MNHN, CNRS – Paris, France. E-mail: manonleneun@gmail.com.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8015-5720>.

\*\* Associate Professor, AASPE-Archéozoologie, Archéobotanique: Sociétés, Pratiques et Environnements UMR 7209: MNHN, CNRS – Paris, France. E-mail: elise.dufour@mnhn.fr. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8865-7055>.

\*\*\* Researcher, ArchAm-Archéologie des Amériques UMR 8096: CNRS, Université Paris 1-Panthéon- Sorbonne – Paris, France. E-mail: nicolas.goepfert@cnrs.fr.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6155-1856>.

\*\*\*\* Researcher, CONOPA-Instituto de Investigación y Desarrollo de Camélidos Sudamericanos, Av. Reusche M4, Pachacamac, Lima, Peru. E-mail: janecollinswheeler@gmail.com.

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9020-0660>.

\*\*\*\*\* Corresponding author - Senior Researcher, AASPE-Archéozoologie, Archéobotanique: Sociétés, Pratiques et Environnements UMR 7209: MNHN, CNRS – Paris, France. E-mail: thomas.cucchi@mnhn.fr. ORCID:

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6021-5001>.

## ABSTRACT

To get insights into the elusive process of the domestication of South American Camelids (SAC), we focused on the Central Andean zone and the long sequence from Telarmachay (Puna of Junín, Peru), for which an early process of domestication, dating 5500-5000 BP, has been proposed. We explored the camelid diversity of Telarmachay with a morphometric approach using modern guanaco and vicuña first phalanges. Our results support the previous identification of both wild camelids and indicated hunting practices. They also suggest earlier camelid anthropic control based on the occurrence of intermediate sized specimens. However, Telarmachay camelids require further investigated using a more powerful approach to access reliable proxies of changes in locomotor behaviors.

**Keywords:** South American Camelids; Telarmachay; phalanges.

**Documentación de la diversidad de camélidos sudamericanos antiguos de Telarmachay con un enfoque morfométrico clásico en las primeras falanges**

## RESUMEN

Para obtener más información sobre el elusivo proceso de domesticación de los camélidos sudamericanos, centramos nuestro estudio en los Andes Centrales y en la larga secuencia de Telarmachay (Puna de Junín, Perú), donde se ha propuesto un proceso temprano de domesticación hacia 5500-5000 BP. Exploramos la diversidad de los camélidos con un enfoque morfométrico utilizando primeras falanges de guanacos y vicuñas modernas. Nuestros resultados apoyan la identificación previa de los camélidos salvajes y de las prácticas de caza. También sugieren un control antrópico anterior de los camélidos con la aparición de ejemplares de tamaño intermedio. Sin embargo, los camélidos de Telarmachay necesitan ser investigados en más detalle utilizando un enfoque más especializado para acceder a proxies de los cambios en los comportamientos locomotores.

**Palabras clave:** Camélidos Sudamericanos; Telarmachay; falanges.

**Documentando la diversidad de camélidos sudamericanos de Telermachay (Puna de Junín, Perú) a partir de un enfoque morfométrico clásico en las primeras falanges**

## RESUMO

Para obter informações sobre o elusivo processo de domesticação dos camélídeos sul-americanos, nos concentramos na zona central andina e na longa seqüência arqueológica de Telarmachay (Puna de Junín, Peru), onde foi proposto um processo de domesticação precoce em torno de 5500-5000 BP. Exploramos a diversidade camelida com uma abordagem morfométrica usando a primeira falange dos

guanacos e vicunhas modernos. Nossos resultados apoiam a identificação prévia de camelídeos selvagens sugerida pelas práticas de caça. Eles também sugerem um controle antrópico anterior dos camelídeos com o aparecimento de espécimes de tamanho intermediário. No entanto, os camelídeos Telarmachay precisam ser investigados com uma abordagem poderosa para acessar procuradores para mudanças nos comportamentos locomotores.

**Palavras chave:** Camelídeos sul-americanos; Telarmachay; falanges.

## INTRODUCTION

South American Camelids (SAC) had great economic and cultural importance for pre-Hispanic societies in the Andes and still do for rural Andean communities (MENGONI GOÑALONS, 2008). DNA evidence has shown the northern guanaco subspecies (*Lama guanicoe cacsilensis* Müller, 1776) to be the ancestor of the llama (*Lama glama* Linnaeus, 1758) and the northern subspecies of vicuña (*Vicugna vicugna mensalis* Molina, 1782) to be the ancestor of the alpaca (*Vicugna pacos* Linnaeus, 1758)(FAN *et al.*, 2020). Zooarchaeologists have currently proposed (CARTAJENA, 2003; CARTAJENA; NÚÑEZ; GROSJEAN, 2007; MENGONI GOÑALONS, 2008; MENGONI GOÑALONS; YACOBACCIO, 2006; MOORE, 2016; WHEELER, 1985) three independent domestication centers within the *puna* ecosystem of high elevation valleys and plateaus above 3500 masl in the Andes (WINTERHALDER; THOMAS, 1978). They are distributed in the Central (central Peru) and South-Central Andes (southern Peru, northern Chile, Bolivia, and northwest Argentina) (MENGONI GOÑALONS, 2008; MENGONI GOÑALONS; YACOBACCIO, 2006). The South-Central Andes has two centers: one in the wet Bolivian *puna* south of Lake Titicaca and another in the dry *puna* of the *Salar de Atacama* region of Chile and Northwestern Argentina (MENGONI GOÑALONS; YACOBACCIO, 2006). Recent research in the South-Central Andes suggests that llama domestication occurred around 4400 BP (CARTAJENA, 2013; CARTAJENA; NÚÑEZ; GROSJEAN, 2007; MENGONI GOÑALONS, 2008; MENGONI GOÑALONS; YACOBACCIO, 2006) A separate process of guanaco domestication may have started as early as 7100 cal BP in Northwestern Argentina (YACOBACCIO, 2021). The third and oldest center of domestication lies in the humid *puna* of the Junín

region of the Peruvian Central-Andes. Our current understanding of domestication in this region comes from pioneering archaeozoological studies from the 1970s and 1980s (KENT, 1982; MOORE, 1989; WHEELER PIRES-FERREIRA; PIRES-FERREIRA; KAULICKE, 1976; WING, 1972, 1975). The zooarchaeological study of the Telarmachay faunal materials suggested the early domestication of alpaca and llama around 6000-5000 BP and 5000-3800 BP, respectively (WHEELER, 1985). However, these analyses have never been supported by a quantitative approach. The most recent fieldwork and laboratory analyses dates from the 1970s to the 1990s but terrorist violence in Peru between the 1980s and 2000s ended archaeological fieldwork in these high-altitude areas until quite recently (SALCEDO CAMACHO; MARCOS, 2019).

Our study aims to explore camelid diversity across the stratigraphic layers of Telarmachay, in which both wild camelids were previously identified (WHEELER, 1985), using a quantitative morphometric approach that is accessible to all archaeozoologists. Our study is based on the first phalanx linear measurements proposed by Kent (1982) and the development of a machine learning algorithm (LE NEÜN *et al.*, 2023). We compared phalange measurements from Telarmachay to those of modern northern wild subspecies of SAC *Lama guanicoe cacsilensis* and *Vicugna vicugna mensalis* compiled in LE NEÜN *et al.* (2023).

## **MATERIAL**

### *Modern samples*

Measurements of modern Andean camelids have been compiled from data published starting in the 1970s (CARTAJENA; NÚÑEZ; GROSJEAN, 2007; COSTA; IZETA, 2016; ELKIN, 1996; GASCO; MARSH, 2015; GASCO; MARSH; KENT, 2014; GRANT, 2010; HESSE, 1982; IZETA, 2004; IZETA; OTAOLA; GASCO, 2009; KAUFMANN; L'HEUREUX, 2009; KENT, 1982; LE NEÜN *et al.*, 2023; L'HEUREUX, 2007, 2008, 2010; LÓPEZ, 2003; MENEGAZ; SALEMME; ORTIZ JAUREGUIZAR, 1988; MILLER, 1979; MILLER; BURGER, 1995; MONDINI; MUÑOZ, 2017; MOORE, 1989; WING, 1972; YACOBACCIO, 2010; YACOBACCIO *et al.*, 1997-1998). In this study, we used the first phalanges from three modern adult guanacos (*Lama guanicoe cacsilensis*) and the first phalanges from seven modern adult vicuñas (*Vicugna vicugna*


*mensalis*) originating from Peru, Argentina, and Bolivia (IZETA; OTAOLA; GASCO, 2009; LE NEÜN *et al.*, 2023) (tab.1 and see supplementary data for the raw data).

**Table 1.** Modern camelid information (see supplementary data 1 for hind phalanges and raw data).

Reference	Id	Species	First phalanx location	Country and locality of specimen origin
Le Neün <i>et al.</i> , 2023	1957_1304	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	fore	Peru, Checayani
Le Neün <i>et al.</i> , 2023	1957_1305	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	fore	Peru, Checayani
Izeta; Otaola; Gasco, 2009	G149-7	<i>L. g. cacsilensis</i>	fore	Argentina, Catamarca
Izeta; Otaola; Gasco, 2009	G1100-1	<i>L. g. cacsilensis</i>	fore	Argentina, Salta
Izeta; Otaola; Gasco, 2009	V999-14	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	fore	Peru, Huanuco
Izeta; Otaola; Gasco, 2009	V999-5	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	fore	Peru, Huanuco
Izeta; Otaola; Gasco, 2009	V999-9	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	fore	Peru, Huanuco
Izeta; Otaola; Gasco, 2009	V500	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	fore	Argentina, Jujuy
Izeta; Otaola; Gasco, 2009	va4	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	fore	Bolivia, Oruro
Le Neün <i>et al.</i> , 2023	GUA_02_jane	<i>L. g. cacsilensis</i>	fore	Peru, Reserva Nacional San Fernando

### *Archeological samples*

The Telarmachay rock shelter lies at 11° 11' S latitude and 75° 52' W longitude in the *puna* of Junín at an altitude of 4420 m above sea level (Fig.1). It is a shallow triangular indentation between 2.5 and 3 m in depth and 8 m in length at the base of a limestone cliff that dominates a large glacial depression containing the small Parpacocha glacial lake (LAVALLÉE, 1990; LAVALLÉE; JULIEN; WHEELER, 1985). The excavation of this site, directed by Danièle Lavallée and Michèle Julien between 1975 and 1980 (LAVALLÉE, 1990; LAVALLÉE; JULIEN; WHEELER, 1985), uncovered an exceptional bone assemblage of over 400,000 well-preserved remains (WHEELER, 1985).



**Figure 1.** Location and illustration of the Telarmachay rock shelter, a. location of the site in the Puna of Junín (map modified from Google Earth), b. general view of the Telarmachay environment (TE\_1978\_9)<sup>1</sup>, and c. view of the rock shelter entrance (TE\_1975\_15). source: © Danièle Lavallée, Telarmachay project/NumArchAm, (ArchAm - CNRS & Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne).

The archaeological dataset is composed of first phalanges from the five archaeological layers of Telarmachay that have been dated between 9000 and 2000 BP from charcoal remains according to the chronostratigraphy established by Lavallée, Julien, and Wheeler (1985) and Lavallée and Julien (1980): two phalanges came from layer VII (9000-7200BP), 17 from layer VI (7200-6800BP), 39 from layer V (6800-5000BP), 6 from layer IV (5000-3800 BP), and 17 from layer III (3800-2800 BP) (see supplementary data for information and raw data).

## METHOD

### *Linear measurements*

In total, five linear measurements (Variables 1 to 5) on both fore and hind first phalanges (GASCO; MARSH; KENT, 2014; LE NEÛN *et al.* 2023) were taken following Duerst (1926), Kent (1982), and von den Driesch (1976). Modern camelid measurements were collected to the nearest 0.01 mm with a Mitutoyo Absolute (IP 67) digital caliper, whereas Telarmachay camelid measurements were acquired from three-dimensional (3D) surfaces in a PLY format. Telarmachay camelid phalanges were previously scanned using a computed tomography (CT)

scanner with a spatial resolution between 100 and 500  $\mu\text{m}$  at the SI Bio-Imaging Research (SIBIR) of the Smithsonian Institution Natural History Museum and segmented using Avizo v8.0 software segmentation tools. Linear measurements were collected using the IDAV Landmark v3.0 software dimension caliper tool (WILEY *et al.*, 2005). Each linear measurement was recorded three times on three different days to obtain a mean value to reduce measurement errors. In the case of complete skeletons or limbs, an average value was calculated for the four fore or hind phalanges of each individual. To validate comparisons, published data obtained under similar conditions (or replicated them when all the raw data were available) were considered.

### *Statistical analysis*


The approach of LE NEÜN *et al.* (2023) was used to identify the anatomical status of the isolated phalanges from Telarmachay. The five variables proposed by Kent (1982) were measured on both fore and hind first phalanges on modern specimens and a machine learning approach based on the k-nearest neighbors algorithm (RIPLEY, 1996) was applied to evaluate for anatomical status. Results enabled classification of individual phalanges within a group based on their similarity to the group using the nearest k data points. We used  $k = 1$  for the anatomical position. We showed, in Le Neün *et al.* (2023), that fore phalanges yielded better taxonomic resolution when only wild taxa were analyzed (96.15%). Nonetheless, the taxonomic signal for accurate identification of isolated SAC phalanges was weak and could lead to a high probability of misidentification when both the wild and domestic species are analyzed together (LE NEÜN *et al.*, 2023). Following the recommendation of Le Neün *et al.* (2023), Telarmachay fore phalanges were selected to explore camelid diversity. The geometric mean of the five variables per specimen were estimated following L'Heureux (2008) and L'Heureux and Fernández (2015). Size variation between modern and archaeological samples was displayed with a boxplot and their differences, tested with analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the pairwise t-tests with a Bonferroni correction. All analyses were performed in the R software version 1.2.5033 (R CORE TEAM 2019) statistical packages (R CORE TEAM 2019), class (VENABLES; RIPLEY, 2002), MASS (VENABLES; RIPLEY, 2002), and ape



(PARADIS; SCHLIEP, 2019), and a ggplot2 graphical display (WICKHAM, 2016).

## RESULTS

KNN identified 42 fore phalanges out of the 81 phalanges from Telarmachay (supplementary data). Size variations in both the modern and Telarmachay SAC fore phalanges showed non-overlapping differences with both modern wild taxa (Fig.2) and significant differences between modern species and archaeological samples (ANOVA: mean square = 14.54,  $F = 3.55$ ,  $p = 0.00584$ ; Fig.2). We found a small size group that includes individuals from all layers of Telarmachay (VII to III) and encompasses the size range of modern vicuñas; an intermediate-sized group that includes specimens from layer VI, V, and III, which fall between the modern vicuña and modern guanaco size groups; and a large size group which includes modern guanacos, a specimen from Telarmachay layer VI and four specimens larger than modern guanacos from layers V and III (Fig.2). Moreover, we found significant pairwise size differences between guanacos and vicuñas ( $p=0.0108$ ), between guanaco and the camelid groups from layer VI ( $p = 0.0482$ ), and those from layer IV ( $p=0.0089$ ) (tab.3).



**Figure 2.** Box plot displaying size variation of the fore phalanges in modern guanacos (green), vicuñas (purple), and Telarmachay camelids according to the stratigraphic layers (grey gradient) using the geometric mean of five linear measurements. We found three camelid groups according to size: small, intermediate, and large.

**Table 2.** Pairwise t-test with Bonferroni correction between both modern wild camelid species and the five archaeological layers of Telarmachay (VII to IV). Significant p-values in bold.

Modern species and archaeological layers of Telarmachay	<i>L. g. cacsilensis</i>	<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	Layer VII	Layer VI	Layer V	Layer IV
<i>V. v. mensalis</i>	<b>0.0108</b>	-	-	-	-	-
Layer VII	0.1976	1.0000	-	-	-	-
Layer VI	<b>0.0482</b>	1.0000	1.0000	-	-	-
Layer V	0.2211	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	-	-
Layer IV	<b>0.0089</b>	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.7487	-
Layer III	0.5051	0.8252	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.5289

## DISCUSSION

Morphologic and demographic data from the first archaeozoological analysis of 137,085 bones from Telarmachay conducted by Wheeler (1985) showed an in-situ change in the relation between camelids and humans during the preceramic occupation dated from 9000 to 5500 BP (LAVALLÉE; JULIEN; WHEELER, 1985). The generalized hunting of deer (*Hippocamelus antisensis*) and wild camelids from 9000 to 7000 BP evolved toward the specialized hunting of vicuñas and guanacos between 7200 and 6000 BP. Wheeler (1985, 1999) posited the appearance of alpaca as the first domestic species during the following period (from 6000 to 5500 BP). She found the appearance of a new type of incisor form specific to alpacas in Layer V and an abrupt increase in the percentage of camelid fetal/neonatal bones (72.99%) in Layer IV. This abrupt increase in the percentage of camelid fetal/neonatal bones suggested that they were kept in captivity (WHEELER, 1985), in which unsanitary overcrowded captive conditions led to epizootic diseases such as enterotoxemia produced by the bacterium *Clostridium perferingens* — which still causes abortions and major mortality among domestic camelids (WHEELER, 1985). The occurrence of epizootic diseases may have been a consequence of the domestication process itself (BAIED; WHEELER, 1993). Wheeler (1985). A potential domestication of the guanaco based on the occurrence

of larger size fetal/neonatal individuals and leading to the appearance of llamas in layer IV around 5000 BP is also mentioned. However, this assumption, based on the occurrence of larger size fetal/neonatal individuals, relied on a very small number of individuals and requires further investigation.

New exploration of the Telarmachay camelids in this study shows important changes in SAC morphological diversity during the occupation of Telarmachay and enables us to test some of Wheeler's hypotheses regarding human-camelid interactions in the pre-Hispanic *puna*.

First, it shows the occurrence of small vicuña camelids throughout the whole chronology. Second, large camelids were present starting in layers VI, V, and III. Layers VII and IV had no such large camelids but this absence remains inconclusive because of the small size of these two samples. Nonetheless, small and large camelids could suggest a continuity in the exploitation of both forms throughout the occupation.

Third, we found an increase in camelid diversity starting in level VI, with the appearance of intermediate-size forms that fall between vicuña- and guanaco-size groups. This change predates the appearance of alpacas suggested by Wheeler (layer V) and could reflect an earlier date for the initiation of the domestication process of vicuña toward alpaca or result from crossbreeding between the two wild species. We also found intermediate-size archaeological specimens on the northern Peruvian coast from the Moche (Huacas de Moche and El Brujo sites) and Chimú (Huaca Amarilla site) periods (LE NEÜN *et al.*, 2023). It has been postulated that hybridization between llamas and alpacas was restricted to the post-conquest period and was a consequence of the upheavals induced in the socioeconomic practices of native populations (WHEELER; RUSSEL; REDDEN, 1995). Nonetheless, recent publications have documented crossbreeding prior to the Spanish conquest during the Early Formative at Tulán (3400-2300 BP) in Chile (DÍAZ-MAROTO *et al.*, 2021), the Late Formative at Cerro Narrío in Ecuador, and the pre-Incan Bolivian site of Iwawi (DÍAZ-LAMEIRO *et al.*, 2022). The question of hybridization is important, but methodological limitations of the current classic morphometric approach prevent further investigation as it forbids the distinction between vicuña and alpaca for small camelids or between guanaco or llama for large camelids (LE NEÜN *et al.*, 2023). Geometric morphometric methods have shown the possibility of quantifying the processes of intraspecific morphological changes linked to

human selection pressures for wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) in Europe (CUCCHI *et al.*, 2011, 2016, 2017; EVIN *et al.*, 2015) and more recently to changes linked to anthropogenic modifications of the behavior of the animal (HARBERS *et al.*, 2020a, 2020b; NEAUX *et al.*, 2021a, 2021b). Although informative, the use of criteria such as size is insufficient to illustrate its domestication process. A multidisciplinary approach such as that developed for the study of horse domestication in the Eurasian steppe (the Botai culture of northern Kazakhstan) (OLSEN, 2006) could solve our current issues and evaluate more deeply the first stages of the captivity of camelids and their domestication.

## **CONCLUSION**

Morphometric approaches based on linear measurements of first fore phalanges confirms that the exploitation of wild camelid species (vicuña and guanaco) persisted throughout the entire Telarmachay occupational sequence. We also observed an increase in diversity of morphotypes starting in layer VI. Despite the low numbers of specimens analyzed so far, our data support the key role of Telarmachay in the trajectory of the SAC domestication process. Further investigation of the role of anthropic control is needed to overcome the methodological limitations of identifying morphofunctional variation. A new methodology should be developed and applied to a larger sample through the whole chronology of Telarmachay to document the evolution of in-situ interactions between humans and camelids.

## **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

Thomas Cucchi, Elise Dufour, and Nicolas Goepfert designed this research; Manon Le Neün conducted this study during her PhD.; Danièle Lavallée and Michèle Julien provided the material; M.L.N. collected CT and the measurements data and carried out morphometric analyses; M.L.N and T.C. set the statistical protocol; M.L.N., E.D., T.C., N.G., and J.W. interpreted data; M.L.N. and E.D. drafted the manuscript with scientific and editorial input from T.C., N.G., and J.W.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Torben Rick and Amanda Lawrence for giving us access to the Telarmachay materials from the Bioarchaeological collection of the Smithsonian Institution and the Natural History Museum and their help during a mission by Manon Le Neün at the Smithsonian. We also would like to thank Sabrina Sholts and Janine Hinton for giving us access to the SI Bio-Imaging Research (SIBIR) at the Smithsonian Institution Natural History Museum and helping and advising us during the scan acquisitions of the Telarmachay materials. We also thank Sonia Guillen and Denise Pozzi-Escot for their administrative assistance during the procedure in Peru. We warmly thank Melinda Zeder (SI- NHM, USA) and Katherine Moore (University of Pennsylvania Museum, USA) for their help and useful advice to approaching the huge Telarmachay collection. Danièle Lavallée and Michelle Julien provided invaluable information on the excavation of Telarmachay that they led in the *puna* during the years 1970 and 1980 and are also warmly thanked. Additional thanks go to Joséphine Lesur, Aurélie Verguin, and Céline Dens (UMR 7209, MNHN, France) for giving us access to the comparative anatomy collection of South American Camelids at the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN, France). This study was supported by Région Île-de-France with the Domaine d'Intérêt Majeur "Matériaux anciens et patrimoniaux" (DIM-MAP, AAP 2018-3, project CAMELIDOM), the Ecole Doctorale 227 "Sciences de la nature et de l'Homme : évolution et écologie" of the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle and Sorbonne Université (ED227 MNHN-SU, France), and ANR CAMELANDES (ANR-15-CE27-0002-01), supervised by Nicolas Goepfert and Elise Dufour.

## BIBLIOGRAFICAL REFERENCES

- BAIED, C. A.; WHEELER, J. C. Evolution of High Andean Puna Ecosystems: Environment, Climate, and Culture Change over the Last 12,000 Years in the Central Andes. *Mountain Research and Development*, v. 13, n. 2, p. 145-156, 1993.
- CARTAJENA, I. Faunal Assemblages from the Middle Holocene: Environmental and Cultural Variability in the Western Slope of the Puna de Atacama. *Quaternary International*, v. 307, p. 31-37, 2013.
- CARTAJENA, I. *Los conjuntos arqueofaunísticos del Arcaico Temprano en la Puna de Atacama, Norte de Chile*. 2003. 230 p. Tese (Doutorado) – Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin (DE), 2003.
- CARTAJENA, I.; NÚÑEZ, L.; GROSJEAN, M. Camelid Domestication on the Western Slope of the Puna de Atacama, Northern Chile. *Anthropozoologica*, v. 42, n. 2, p. 155-173, 2007.
- COSTA, T.; IZETA, A. Morphometric Analysis of Camelid Remains from the Alero Deodoro Roca (ADR) Site (Córdoba, Argentina): An Attempt to Characterise Body-Size Variability in Sierras Pampeanas Australes Region: Morphometric Analysis of Camelid Remains from the Alero Deodoro Roca. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, v. 27, p. 465-476, 2016.
- CUCCHI, T. *et al.* Detecting Taxonomic and Phylogenetic Signals in Equid Cheek Teeth: Towards New Palaeontological and Archaeological Proxies. *Royal Society Open Science*, v. 4, n. 4, 160997, 2017.
- CUCCHI, T. *et al.* Early Neolithic Pig Domestication at Jiahu, Henan Province, China: Clues from Molar Shape Analyses Using Geometric Morphometric Approaches. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, v. 38, n. 1, p. 11-22, 2011.
- CUCCHI, T. *et al.* Social Complexification and Pig (*Sus scrofa*) Husbandry in Ancient China: A Combined Geometric Morphometric and Isotopic Approach. *Plos One*, v. 11, n. 7, e0158523, 2016.
- DÍAZ-LAMEIRO, A. M. *et al.* Ancient DNA Confirms Crossbreeding of Domestic South American Camelids in Two Pre-Conquest Archaeological Sites. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, v. 141, 105593, 2022.
- DÍAZ-MAROTO, P. *et al.* Ancient DNA Reveals the Lost Domestication History of South American Camelids in Northern Chile and Across the Andes. *Elife*, v. 10, e63390, 2021.
- DUERST, J. U. Vergleichende Untersuchungsmethoden am Skelett bei Saugern. *Handbuch der Biologischen Arbeitsmethoden*, v. 7, p. 125-530, 1926.
- ELKIN, D. *Arqueozoología de Quebrada Seca 3: indicadores de subsistencia temprana, en la Puna meridional argentina*. 1996. Tesis (Doctorado) –

Facultad de Filosofía y Letras, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires (AR), 1996.

EVIN, A. *et al.* Phenotype and Animal Domestication: A Study of Dental Variation between Domestic, Wild, Captive, Hybrid and Insular *Sus scrofa*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, v. 15, n. 1, p. 1-16, 2015.

FAN, R. *et al.* Genomic Analysis of the Domestication and Post-Spanish Conquest Evolution of the Llama and Alpaca. *Genome Biology*, v. 21, n. 1, p. 159, 2020.

GASCO, A. V.; MARSH, E. J. Hunting, Herding, and Caravanning: Osteometric Identifications of Camelid Morphotypes at Khonkho Wankane, Bolivia: Hunting, Herding, and Caravanning. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, v. 25, n. 5, p. 676-689, 2015.

GASCO, A.; MARSH, E. J.; KENT, J. Clarificando variables osteométricas para la primera falange de camélidos sudamericanos. *Intersecciones en Antropología*, v. 15, n. 1, p. 131-138, 2014.

GRANT, J. Aportes de distintas técnicas osteométricas para la identificación interespecífica de camélidos sudamericanos. *In: Zooarqueología a principios del siglo XXI: aportes, metodologías y casos de estudio. Ayacucho (PE): Libros del Espinillo, 2010. p. 17-28.*

HARBERS, H. *et al.* Investigating the Impact of Captivity and Domestication on Limb Bone Cortical Morphology: An Experimental Approach Using a Wild Boar Model. *Scientific Reports*, v. 10, n. 1, p. 1-13, 2020a.

HARBERS, H. *et al.* The Mark of Captivity: Plastic Responses in the Ankle Bone of a Wild Ungulate (*Sus scrofa*). *Royal Society Open Science*, v. 7, n. 3, 192039, 2020b.

HESSE, B. Archaeological Evidence for Camelid Exploitation in the Chilean Andes. *Säugetierkundliche Mitteilungen*, v. 30, n. 3, p. 201-211, 1982.

IZETA, A. D. Zooarqueología del sur de los valles Calchaques: estudio de conjuntos faunísticos del período formativo. 2004. Tesis (Doctorado) – Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata (AR), 2004.

IZETA, A. D.; OTAOLA, C.; GASCO, A. Osteometría de falanges proximales de camélidos sudamericanos modernos: variabilidad, estándares métricos y su importancia como conjunto comparativo para la interpretación de restos hallados en contextos arqueológicos. *Revista del Museo de Antropología*, v. 2, n. 1, p. 169-180, 2009.

KAUFMANN, C. A.; L'HEUREUX, G. L. El dimorfismo sexual en guanacos (*Lama guanicoe*): una evaluación osteométrica de elementos poscraneales. *Revista del Museo de Antropología*, v. 2, n. 1, p. 181-198, 2009.

- KENT, J. D. *The Domestication and Exploitation of the South American Camelids: Methods of Analysis and Their Application to Circum-Lacustrine Archaeological Sites in Bolivia and Peru*. Washington, DC (US): Washington University Press, 1982.
- LAVALLÉE, D. Analyse palethnologique d'un habitat préhistorique andin: l'abri de Telarmachay, (Junín, Pérou). *Revista de Arqueología Americana*, n. 2, p. 55-88, 1990.
- LAVALLÉE, D.; JULIEN, M. Un Aspect de la préhistoire andine: l'exploitation des camélidés et des cervidés au formatif dans l'abri de Telarmachay, Junín, Pérou. *J. Société Américanistes*, v. 67, p. 97-124, 1980.
- LAVALLÉE, D.; JULIEN, M.; WHEELER, J. *Telarmachay: chasseurs et pasteurs préhistoriques des Andes*. Paris (FR): Recherche sur les Civilisations, 1985.
- LE NEÛN, M. *et al.* Can First Phalanx Multivariate Morphometrics Help Document Past Taxonomic Diversity in South American Camelids? *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, v. 47, p. 103-708, 2023.
- L'HEUREUX, G. L. *El estudio arqueológico del proceso coevolutivo entre las poblaciones humanas y las poblaciones de guanaco en Patagonia meridional y norte de tierra del fuego*. La Plata (AR): Universidad Nacional de La Plata, 2008.
- L'HEUREUX, G. L. Morfometría de camélidos sudamericanos modernos: la variabilidad morfológica y la diversidad taxonómica. *In: Zooarqueología a principios del siglo XXI: aportes, metodologías y casos de estudio*. Ayacucho (PE): Libros del Espinillo, 2010. p. 39-49.
- L'HEUREUX, G. L. *Morfometría de muestras óseas de Lama guanicoe procedentes de Patagonia: un estudio arqueológico desde muestras paleontológicas*. Buenos Aires (AR): CONICET, 2007.
- L'HEUREUX, G. L.; FERNÁNDEZ, J. C. *Lama guanicoe* (Müller, 1776) Body Size in Continental Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego. *Geobios*, v. 48, n. 3, p. 239-248, 2015.
- LÓPEZ, G. E. Pastoreo y caza de camélidos en el Temprano de la Puna de Salta: datos osteométricos del sitio Matancillas 2. *Intersecciones en Antropología*, n. 4, p. 17-27, 2003.
- MENEGAZ, A.; SALEMME, M.; ORTIZ JAUREGUIZAR, E. Una propuesta de sistematización de los caracteres morfométricos de los metapodios y las falanges de camelidae. *De Procesos, Contextos y Otros Huesos*, p. 53-64, 1988.
- MENGGONI GOÑALONS, G. L. Camelids in ancient Andean societies: a review of the zooarchaeological evidence. *Quaternary International*, v. 185, n. 1, p. 59-68, 2008.



- MENGGONI GOÑALONS, G. L.; YACOBACCIO, H. D. The domestication of South American camelids. *In: Documenting Domestication: New Genetic and Archaeological Paradigms*. Berkeley (US): University of California Press, 2006. p. 228-244.
- MILLER, G. *An Introduction to the Ethnoarchaeology of the Andean Camelids*. 1979. Dissertation (MA) – Department of Anthropology, University of California, Berkeley, 1979.
- MILLER, G. R.; BURGER, R. L. Our Father the Cayman, Our Dinner the Llama: Animal Utilization at Chavin de Huantar, Peru. *American Antiquity*, v. 60, n. 3, p. 421-458, 1995.
- MONDINI, M.; MUÑOZ, S. Osteometrics of South-Central Andean Wild Camelids: New Standards. *In: MONDINI, M; MUÑOZ A. S.; FERNÁNDEZ P. M. (ed.). Zooarchaeology in the Neotropics: Environmental Diversity and Human-Animal Interactions*. Cham (CH): Springer, 2017. p. 177-188.
- MOORE, K. M. Early Domesticated Camelids in the Andes. *The Archaeology of Andean Pastoralism*, p. 17-38, 2016.
- MOORE, K. M. *Hunting and the Origins of Herding in Peru*. 1989. Thesis (PhD) – University of Michigan, [s. l.], 1989.
- NEAUX, D. *et al.* Constraints Associated with Captivity Alter Craniomandibular Integration in Wild Boar. *Journal of Anatomy*, v. 239, n. 2, 2021a.
- NEAUX, D. *et al.* How Changes in Functional Demands Associated with Captivity Affect the Skull Shape of a Wild Boar (*Sus scrofa*). *Evolutionary Biology*, v. 48, n. 1, p. 27-40, 2021b.
- OLSEN, S. L. Early Horse Domestication on the Eurasian steppe. *In: Documenting Domestication: New Genetic and Archaeological Paradigms*. [S. l.: s. n.], 2006. p. 245-269.
- PARADIS, E.; SCHLIEP, K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics*, v. 35, n. 3, p. 526-528, 2019.
- R CORE TEAM. *Methodology Reference*. [S. l.: s. n.], 2019.
- RIPLEY, B. D. *Pattern Recognition and Neural Networks*. Cambridge (GB): Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- SALCEDO CAMACHO, L., E.; MARCOS, S. *Central Andes: Pre-Hispanic Hunter-Gatherers*. [S. l.: s. n.], 2019.
- VENABLES, W. N.; RIPLEY, B. D. *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. 4. ed. New York (US): Springer, 2002.
- VON DEN DRIESCH, A. *A Guide to the Measurement of Animal Bones from Archaeological Sites: As Developed by the Institut für Palaeoanatomie*,

- Domestikationsforschung und Geschichte der Tiermedizin of the University of Munich. [S. l.]: Peabody Museum Press, 1976. v. 1.
- WHEELER PIRES-FERREIRA, J.; PIRES-FERREIRA, E.; KAULICKE, P. Preceramic animal utilization in the Central Peruvian Andes. *Science*, v. 194, n. 4264, p. 483-490, 1976.
- WHEELER, J. C. De La Chasse a l'élevage. In: LAVALLE, D. *et al.* (éd.). *Telarmachay: chasseurs et pasteurs Préhistoriques des Andes I*. Paris (FR): CNRS, 1985 p. 61-79.
- WHEELER, J. C. Patrones prehistóricos de utilización de los camélidos sudamericanos. *Boletín de Arqueología PUCP*, n. 3, p. 297-305, 1999.
- WHEELER, J. C.; RUSSEL, A. J. F.; REDDEN, H. Llamas and alpacas: pre-conquest breeds and post-conquest hybrids. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, v. 22, n. 6, p. 833-840, 1995.
- WICKHAM, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. 2. ed. [S. l.]: Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- WILEY, D. *et al.* Evolutionary morphing. [S. l.: s. n.], 2005.
- WING, E. S. Informe preliminar acerca de los restos de fauna de la cueva de Pachamachay en Junín, Perú. *Revista del Museo Nacional*, v. 41, p. 79-80, 1975.
- WING, E. S. Utilization of Animal Resources in the Peruvian Andes. In: SEIICHI, I; TERADA, K (ed.). *Andes 4: Excavations at Kotosh, Peru, 1963 and 1966*. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press, 1972. p. 327-352.
- WINTERHALDER, B. P.; THOMAS, R. B. *Geoecology of Southern Highland Peru: A Human Adaptation Perspective*. [S. l.: s. n.], 1978.
- YACOBACCIO, H. D. Osteometría de llamas (*Lama glama L.*) y sus consecuencias arqueológicas. In: *Zooarqueología a principios del siglo XXI: aportes, metodologías y casos de estudio*. Ayacucho (PE): Libros del Espinillo, 2010. p. 65-75.
- YACOBACCIO, H. D. *The Domestication of South American Camelids: A Review*. *Animal Frontiers*, v. 11, n. 3, p. 43-51, 2021.
- YACOBACCIO, H. D. *et al.* Caza, domesticación y pastoreo de camélidos en la Puna argentina. *Relaciones de la Sociedad Argentina de Antropología*, t. 22/23, 1997-1998.