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#### Abstract

The existence of an unnamed (candidate) species from French Guiana and the state of Amapá (Brazil) closely related to Chiasmocleis haddadi has been hypothesized in previous DNA-based studies. With an integrative use of genetic, morphological and acoustic data we confirm that these populations are indeed distinct from C. haddadi and all other known congeners. We herein describe and name this new species, and amend the definition of $C$. haddadi since the typeseries and its original diagnosis included specimens of the new species. Geographically, the new species occurs eastward of its sister species C. haddadi from which it can be distinguished by having a larger body, a proportionally smaller eye and a distinct dorsal colouration. We suspect that the new species breeds in the leaf litter and that tadpoles undergo endotrophic development whereas co-occurring C. haddadi and C. hudsoni lay clutches in the leaf litter nearby standing water of temporary ponds where exotrophic tadpoles complete their development. This new species is a new addition to the already long list of animals being endemic to the easternmost part of the Guiana Shield, a region identified as a singular bioregion within Amazonia by previous research.
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## Introduction

The diversity of frogs inhabiting Amazonia remains vastly underestimated as suggested by DNA-based estimations (e.g., Vacher et al. 2020) and by the fast pace of species description (e.g., Guerra et al. 2020; Rivera-Correa et al. 2021). This is notably the case of Chiasmocleis with nine of the 36 currently valid nominal species having been described since 2010 (Frost 2022) and with the existence of many additional putatively unnamed species (Peloso et al. 2014; de Sá et al. 2019; Vacher et al. 2020).

Chiasmocleis Méhely, 1904 is composed of terrestrial, usually semi-fossorial, species distributed in most of South America, especially diverse in Amazonia, the Atlantic Forest and the Cerrado. Several clades within Chiasmocleis were identified by Peloso et al. (2014) based on a phylogenetic study of DNA sequences (Chiasmocleis albopuncata clade, Chiasmocleis bassleri clade, Chiasmocleis hudsoni clade, Chiasmocleis shudikarensis clade, Chiasmocleis ventrimaculata clade). These clades were corroborated by subsequent phylogenetic studies (Pyron and Jetz 2018; de Sa et al. 2019), although alternative taxonomic arrangements proposed for the genus does not entirely match these clades (i.e., available names usually include more than one of the clades). De Sá et al. (2019) put forth an alternative arrangement for the group, proposing that the genus should be divided into
three subgenera: Chiasmocleis, Syncope Walker, 1973 and Relictocleis Dubois et al., 2021 (Relictocleis was established as an alternative name to the invalid nonima Relictus de Sá et al., 2019 and Unicus de Sá et al., 2019, originally suggested as the subgeneric names for C. gnoma).

The Chiasmocleis bassleri and C. hudsoni clades are exclusively Amazonian and together compose a lineage which includes mainly small species with reduced fingers (with noticeable exceptions in some populations of C. bassleri). These lineages (C. bassleri and C. hudsoni clades) have been recognized as either a separate genus (Syncope), or as a subgenus of Chiasmocleis. Some of the species in this group are bromelicolous and have (or are suspected to have) endotrophic larval development (C. antenori Krügel and Richter, 1995, C. magnova Moravec and Köhler, 2007; C. carvalhoi Nelson, 1975).

This second large lineage includes members of the Chiasmocleis albopuncata, Chiasmocleis shudikarensis, and Chiasmocleis ventrimaculata clades-collectively, these clades are often recognized as a subgenus (Chiasmocleis). The lineage is widely distributed in Amazonia, Cerrado, Chaco, and in the Atlantic Forest. It is formed by larger species with well-developed fingers and toes, and always bearing exotrophic larval development.

The last lineage is currently represented by a single species Chiasmocleis gnoma with a very restricted range in the Atlantic Forest. This taxon is the sister group of all the other Chiasmocleis and has been recognized as a subgenus (Relictocleis) of Chiasmocleis (De Sá et al. 2019) or as a distinct genus (Dubois et al. 2021; Segalla et al. 2021).

Within the Chiasmocleis hudsoni clade (the focus of the present paper), a subgroup formed by Chiasmocleis hudsoni Parker, 1940, C. jimi Caramaschi and Cruz, 2001, and C. haddadi Peloso, Sturaro, Forlani, Gaucher, Motta, and Wheeler, 2014 has been recurrently recovered in all recent phylogenetic analyses (Peloso et al. 2014; Pyron and Jetz 2018; de Sá et al. 2019). The taxonomy of these species has been in flux in the last decade. Peloso et al., (2014) named C. haddadi and considered C.jimi and C. hudsoni as synonyms, based on the lack of reciprocal monophyly and of phenotypic (morphological and acoustic characters) diagnosability between specimens assignable to each of the two species-C. haddadi was recovered as the sister species of C. hudsoni. De Sá et al. (2019), based exclusively on genetic data and leaning heavily on the results of a DNA-based species delimitation analyses, considered C. jimi and C. hudsoni as distinct and revalidated C. jimi. The clade including C. jimi, C. hudsoni and suggested unnamed taxa was again recovered as the sister group of $C$. haddadi.

Chiasmocleis haddadi was described to designate populations from French Guiana and the Brazilian state of Amapá, which were previously identified as C. hudsoni (Peloso et al., 2014). In the original description of Chiasmocleis haddadi, Peloso et al. (2014) noted that a specimen from Mont Bakra (southeastern French Guiana) had over $4 \%$ genetic distance on 16 S (uncorrected) from the specimens of Mont Kotika, the type locality (western French Guiana). Nevertheless, two other populations further east, in the Brazilian state of Amapá (Igarapé Santo Antônio, Floresta Nacional do Amapá; Rio Anacuí, Parque Nacional Montanhas do Tumucumaque) were included in the type series of $C$. haddadi, without associated genetic data (i.e., based solely on morphological similarity). Subsequently, C. haddadi was documented from several localities in French Guiana (Waki, Itoupe) and further west in Suriname (Sipaliwini, Bakhuis) while other populations from French Guiana (including Mont Bakra) and Amapá (Brazil) were considered to belong to a putatively distinct but related species, C. aff. haddadi, based on mtDNA divergence (Fouquet et al. 2015; 2019a; Vacher et al. 2020).

After an integrative examination of additional molecular, morphological and acoustic data we were able to confirm that these other populations in French Guiana and Amapá (Brazil) are distinct from C. haddadi. Moreover, C. haddadi has an exotrophic free living larvae while field observations suggest that the new species may have terrestrial, endotrophic, tadpoles. We herein describe and name this new species and redefine the diagnosis for $C$. haddadi based on our new findings.

## Material and methods

Field work and deposition of specimens. We undertook extensive field work in French Guiana, Suriname, and the Brazilian states of Amapá, Amazonas and Pará, collecting specimens, tissue samples, recording advertisement calls and ecological data of numerous Chiasmocleis populations. Frogs were collected by hand and killed with an intraperitoneal injection of Xylocaine® (lidocaine hydrochloride). All specimens were individually tagged, fixed in $10 \%$ formalin, and then transferred to a solution of $70 \%$ ethanol for permanent storage. Newly collected
specimens were deposited in the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Paris, France) (MNHN-RA-2022.001633). Other specimens examined are deposited in various zoological collections (Appendix $1 \& 2$ ).

Molecular analyses. We gathered all 16 S sequences of Chiasmocleis available in GenBank (376) which were collated with 14 newly generated sequences of Chiasmocleis haddadi ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), of C. hudsoni ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ) and of the new species named herein (previously referred in the literature as C. aff. haddadi) ( $\mathrm{n}=9$ ). We undertook a preliminary Neighbour Joining analysis including all the newly generated data and the ones from GenBank, and selected representatives of major Chiasmocleis lineages. We finally kept 123 sequences, including 73 sequences of the C. hudsoni clade (Appendix 1) that were collated with five other genera of Gastrophyninae that were used as outgroups.

Newly generated sequences were obtained after extraction of the genomic DNA from tissue samples (muscle or liver) using either the Wizard ${ }^{\circledR}$ Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega; Madison, WI, USA) or the DNeasy® Blood \& Tissue Kit (Qiagen; Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocols. We amplified targeted loci by standard PCR protocols and then used Sanger sequencing (primers 16RF: TATCCCTAGGGTAACTTG; 16FR: TTACCAAAAACATCGCCT from Salducci et al. 2005).

Sequences were aligned on the MAFFT7 online server under default parameters except the E-INS-i strategy, which is designed for sequences with multiple conserved domains and long gaps (Katoh et al. 2017). We trimmed the alignment to keep only regions where sequences overlap, resulting in a final alignment of 566 base pairs (bp). We investigated the phylogenetic relationships among a total of 128 terminals under Maximum Likelihood (ML), applying a GTRCAT model with RAxML v.8.2.4 (Stamatakis 2014). All RAxML analyses were performed on the CIPRES Science Gateway online server (Miller et al. 2010). Nonparametric bootstrapping values (Felsenstein 1985) were estimated using 1,000 pseudoreplicates.

Morphometric analysis. We measured nine adult specimens of Chiasmocleis aff. haddadi (five males, four females, seven of which were included in our genetic dataset), 14 adult specimens of $C$. haddadi ( 13 males, one female, among which three were included in our molecular dataset and four are paratypes) and 14 adult specimens of C. hudsoni ( 10 males, four females, four being included in our genetic dataset and three coming from Guyanaca. 200 km from the type locality) all from the lowlands of the Eastern Guiana Shield (Appendix 2). Sex was determined either in the field via calling activity, or in the laboratory by examining the presence of vocal slits and gonads. We measured 13 morphometric variables (adapted from Peloso et al. 2014) as follows: SVL= snout-vent length; $\mathrm{HL}=$ head length; $\mathrm{HW}=$ head width; $\mathrm{ED}=$ horizontal eye diameter; $\mathrm{IOD}=$ interorbital distance; $\mathrm{IND}=$ internarial distance; $\mathrm{EN}=$ eye-nostril distance (straight line distance between anterior corner of eye and nostrils); $\mathrm{FeL}=$ femur length; TiL = tibia length; FL = foot length (from tibia-tarsal junction to the tip of toe IV); 4TD = disc width of Toe IV; 3FD = disc width of Finger III; FAM = forearm length. Tympanum was not measured because illdefined in most specimens.

Measurements were taken using a digital caliper to the nearest 0.01 mm and rounded to the nearest 0.1 mm to avoid pseudo-precision (all measurements by AF or PP). We examined the variation of morphometric data among adult males through a principal component analysis (PCA) via FactoMineR package in R v.3.2.4 (Lê et al. 2008; R Development Core Team 2016). To control for variation in body-size among individuals we performed additional analyses on a size-corrected dataset (residuals) obtained by linear-regressing the original morphometric measures of each variable on SVL (Strauss 1985).

Additionally, the holotypes of C. hudsoni and C. jimi were examined from photographs (including for collection of SVL and qualitative characters).

Acoustic analysis. We gathered six call recordings of males of Chiasmocleis haddadi, four call recordings of males of C. hudsoni and one call of the new species. They consist in long series of clusters (delimited by upwarddownward amplitude modulations) of pulsed notes (single or fused), we thus considered these clusters as "calls" following a call-centered definition of Köhler et al. (2017) and measured the following six variables using Audacity v.2.1.1: call length (CL), silence between calls (SC), note length ( $\left.3^{\text {rd }}\right)(N L)$, silence between notes (between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and the $3^{\text {rd }}$ note) ( SN ), number of notes ( NN ), dominant frequency (taken with a spectral slice over the entire call) (DF). Whenever possible, up to four measurements of each variable were taken per recorded male, and the average was considered as a single measurement. We also undertook PCA on these bioacoustic data. Call recordings are deposited at www.sonotheque.mnhn (Appendix 3).

## Results

Phylogenetic relationships. The deepest relationships among Chiasmocleis species inferred from the ML analysis are poorly supported (Fig. 1; full tree available as Appendix 4). However, sequences from individuals corresponding to C. hudsoni, C. jimi, C. haddadi and of the new species form a strongly supported ( $100 \%$ bootstrap) clade nested within the genus. The new species and C. haddadi are recovered as sister species with strong support ( $100 \%$ bootstrap; mean p-dist $=2.85 \%$ ), each forming, respectively, moderately and strongly supported clades. Chiasmocleis jimi and C. hudsoni are not reciprocally monophyletic and together form a poorly supported clade sister to C. haddadi $+C$. aff. haddadi (mean p-dist $=4.33$ and $6.08 \%$ respectively). The distribution of the samples identified as $C$. jimi are embedded within the larger range of $C$. hudsoni and genetic distances are low among populations assigned to these two taxa. Peloso et al. (2014) examined type specimens and specimens of several populations assigned to these two taxa and concluded that they are indistinguishable morphologically. Cassunde et al. (2022) suggested that "these two taxa should not be treated as separate species until more convincing evidence is available". Nevertheless, the taxonomic status of these populations and the putative need to synonymise C. jimi with C. hudsoni is out of the scope of the present paper but, for the aforementioned reasons, we will consider only C. hudsoni further in this manuscript.
(A)
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FIGURE 1. Maximum Likelihood phylogram (A) with collapsed branches and map (B) showing the distribution of the samples included in the phylogenetic analysis and type localities (stars) for each species.

The range of Chiasmocleis haddadi extends in Suriname and in southern French Guiana where it marginally overlaps with the range of $C$. aff. haddadi, that extends throughout Amapá (Brazil) and French Guiana. However, the two species were not found in syntopy. Chiasmocleis hudsoni/jimi occur throughout Amazonia with the exception of the westernmost portions. In French Guiana, it seems circumscribed to the coastal strip and co-occurs with $C$. aff. haddadi in at least one location in northeastern FG (Saut Maripa).

Morphometric analyses. The PCA based on raw morphometric measurements provided two first components accounting for $72.3 \%$ of the total variation (Fig. 2a). The first component explains $59.7 \%$ of the variation, and variable coefficients are all highly and positively correlated. Overall, the variation along the first principal component (PC) axis is related to body size which segregates $C$. haddadi being smaller from the two others (Table 1; Fig. 2c). The PCA based on size-corrected morphometric measurements (residuals) provided two first components accounting for $53.7 \%$ of the total variation (Fig. 2b). The three species largely overlap along the first two axes although the contribution ED along the first axis is noteworthy and ED/SVL segregates C. haddadi from the new species (Fig. 2c).

Acoustic analysis. The calls of the three species analyzed differ markedly although they share a temporal structure of short clusters of 5-8 notes with amplitude modulation (Fig. 3a). The PCA based on the measured acoustic variables provided two first components accounting for $88.7 \%$ of the total variation (Fig. 3b). The first
component explains $60.3 \%$ of the variation and segregates $C$. hudsoni from the two others mostly from having shorter intervals among calls and among notes within them (Table 2). The second component explains $28.4 \%$ of the variation and segregates the new species from the two others mostly from having longer intervals among calls and shorter intervals among notes (Table 2).

Taxonomy. Considering that part of the specimens used in the original diagnosis of Chiasmocleis haddadi in fact belong to the new species described herein, we present below an updated diagnosis of C. haddadi before proceeding with the description of the new species.


FIGURE 2. Morphometric analyses. (A) PCA on morphometric measurements; (B) PCA on residuals of the linear regression of measurements with SLV; (C) boxplot for SVL, and ratio of selected variables with SVL. SVL of the holotype of $C$. hudsoni is indicated with a red bar in the boxplot

## Chiasmocleis haddadi Peloso, Sturaro, Forlani, Gaucher, Motta, and Wheeler, 2014

Chiasmocleis hudsoni Fouquet et al. 2007
Holotype: MNHNP2011.0139; Paratypes: MNHNP 2011.0140, 2011.0143-2011.0145, Mont Kotika, French Guiana. Specimens removed from the type series: IEPA (FL 453-455, FL 511-513), IEPA (TQ 1036).
Referred specimens examined. 13 males including four paratypes, one female (Appendix 2)
Definition. A small sized species for the genus; SVL in males $12.9-14.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~N}=9)$; in females $17.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~N}=$ 1). Body ovoid, robust; head much narrower than body, snout rounded in dorsal and lateral views. Four distinctive fingers; all fingers slightly fringed on both sides, less pronounced distally on FIII, FIV and FI, fingers unwebbed; FI reduced with a rounded or slightly pointed tip, subarticular tubercle large and well-defined; finger tips of FII-IV rounded or slightly pointed, tips of FII and III slightly swollen; adpressed FI reached the middle of subarticular tubercle of FII; adpressed FIV reaches middle or distal margin of distal tubercle of FIII; thenar tubercle present; relative finger lengths $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{IV}<\mathrm{III}$. Well-defined dorsolateral stripes enlarging posteriorly. Eyes with red iris. Upper surface of arms with brick-coloured blotches in life, grey in preservative. Five distinctive toes, first very small;
toes slightly fringed, less pronounced distally on all toes, with small spicules on the fringes, toes not webbed; all toes with conspicuous subarticular tubercle; adpressed TI does not reach subarticular tubercle of TII; adpressed TV reaches the base of the middle subarticular tubercle of TIV; TII-IV with terminal discs. Thigh with ventral surface dark brown with blueish speckles in life, whitish in preservative. Some males with few small dermal spines on chin. Advertisement call with 6-8 notes, internote silences 0.035 s and dominant frequency 4.57 kHz (Fig. 3).

Comparisons with related species. Chiasmocleis haddadi can be distinguished from C. hudsoni (data in parentheses) by its smaller body size (SVL range $=12.9-14.5$ vs. $15.2-18.5$ in males); well-defined dorsolateral stripes enlarging posteriorly (absent or poorly defined); eyes with a red iris (copper, bronze or silverfish); upper surface of arms with brick-coloured blotches (dark brown with clear speckles); larger eyes (8.9-10.2\% vs. 7.7-9.3\% of SVL); thigh with small light speckles over a dark background (large dark blotches on the periphery over light in preservative to orange in life background); an advertisement call with more notes (6-8 vs 5 notes), longer silences among notes ( 0.035 vs 0.007 s ) and lower dominant frequency ( 4.57 vs 4.94 kHz ). For comparisons with more distantly related species of Chiasmocleis see Peloso et al. (2014).

Natural history and distribution. All the observations of this species have been made during breeding events, near temporary pools on hilltops (as opposed to riverbeds pools). The males were found calling under the leaves of the leaf litter at the periphery of the water. The clutch ( $8-12$ eggs) is deposited in the litter near the waterline. Tadpoles are exotrophic and pelagic (Fig 4).


FIGURE 3. Examples of sonograms (A) for each species and PCA (B) on the variables measured from the available records. Dotted frames depict the pattern that we considered to represent a "call" for each species.


FIGURE 4. (A) clutches of $C$. haddadi, each with about $8-12$ eggs, found nearby calling males on the bank of a temporary pound in Itoupé, French Guiana; (B) embryo after 7 days; (C) embryo after 10 days; (D) tadpole found in small temporary puddles in Sipaliwini, Suriname (genotyped AF2231); (E-F) two imagos of C. jacki sp. nov. found in the leaf litter distant from water, AF2595 from St Eugene and AF2880 (both genotyped) from Mitaraka collected nearby the recorded calling male (not collected).

TABLE 1. Mean, minimum and maximum values of morphometric measurements.

|  | Sex | SVL | HL | HW | ED | IOD | IND | END | THL | TBL | FL2 | 4TD | 3FD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.jacki sp. nov. | M | 15.44 | 3.98 | 4.8 | 1.27 | 2.88 | 1.47 | 1.44 | 7.26 | 7.13 | 10.7 | 0.58 | 0.34 |
| (n=5) | Min | 14.8 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 10.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
|  | Max | 16.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 11.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| C.jacki sp. nov. | F | 19.17 | 4.71 | 5.58 | 1.57 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.57 | 8.74 | 8.49 | 12.7 | 0.74 | 0.4 |
| (n=4) | Min | 18.5 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 12.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
|  | Max | 20.2 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |


| C. haddadi $(\mathrm{n}=13)$ | M | 13.9 | 3.73 | 4.42 | 1.31 | 2.58 | 1.38 | 1.19 | 6.43 | 6.52 | 9.36 | 0.56 | 0.26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Min | 12.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
|  | Max | 14.7 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 10.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| C. haddadi $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ | F | 17.2 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
|  | Min | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Max | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| C. hudsoni $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ | M | 16.37 | 4.02 | 4.59 | 1.39 | 2.84 | 1.5 | 1.34 | 7.07 | 7.76 | 11.2 | 0.65 | 0.31 |
|  | Min | 14.8 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
|  | Max | 18.5 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 13.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| C. hudsoni $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ | F | 21.42 | 4.64 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 3.31 | 1.58 | 1.51 | 9.46 | 10.4 | 15.5 | 0.82 | 0.42 |
|  | Min | 17.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 12.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
|  | Max | 23.4 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 17.4 | 1 | 0.5 |

## Chiasmocleis jacki sp. nov.

Chiasmocleis hudsoni Lescure \& Marty 2000
Chiasmocleis hudsoni Fouquet et al. 2007
Chiasmocleis haddadi Peloso et al. 2014 (part)
Chiasmocleis aff. haddadi Fouquet et al. 2019
Chiasmocleis cf. haddadi Vacher et al. 2020
Holotype. MNHN-RA-2020.0026 (field $n^{\circ}$ AF2716), an adult male collected at Alikéné, French Guiana (3.21873, -52.3964 ) by J.P. Vacher and S. Cally on the 17/02/2015 (Fig. 5, 6).

Paratypes. MNHN-RA-2020.0030-31 (field $n^{\circ}$ AF2714-5), two males and MNHN-RA-2020.0029 (field $n^{\circ}$ AF2669) a female collected with the holotype; MNHN-RA-2020.0033 (field $n^{\circ}$ AF5405) a male collected at Savane roche Dachine, French Guiana (3.469583, -53.229783) by M. Dewynter and E. Courtois on the 03/06/2019; MNHN-RA-2020.0028 (field n ${ }^{\circ}$ AF1547) a female collected at Saul, French Guiana (3.615576, -53.227093) by M. Berroneau, M. Berroneau, L. Barthe and P.O. Cochard on the 22/01/2014; MNHN-RA-2020.0027 (field n ${ }^{\circ}$ PG717) a female collected at Saut Maripa, French Guiana (3.806111, -51.893389 ) by M. Dewynter and K. Pineau on the 01/02/2012; MNHN-RA-2020.0032 (field n ${ }^{\circ}$ AF5489), an adult male, collected at Montagne Takulu, French Guiana (2.20963, -52.98903) by Elodie Courtois and Maël Dewynter on the 30 April 2019; MNHN 1997.2272 a female collected at Saint Eugene, French Guiana (4.82167, -53.06766) by J.-C. De Massary on the 31 March 1997.

Etymology. The specific epithet, a patronym formed as a noun in the genitive case, refers to Jack Dalton, the second tallest brother of the Dalton gang, the outlaw characters of Morris and Goscinny comic books Lucky Luke, reminding the different in size of the four species of Chiasmocleis co-occurring in the Guiana Shield, the new species being intermediary in body size.

Definition. The new species is characterized by the following unique combination of characters: SVL small, mean SVL in adult males 15.4 mm (range $14.8-16.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{n}=5$ ) and mean SVL in adult females 18.8 mm (range 19.2-20.2 mm, $\mathrm{n}=4$ ) (Table 1); body ovoid, robust; head much narrower than body, snout rounded in dorsal and lateral views; four distinctive fingers; Fingers I, II and III fringed proximally, particularly Finger III; fingers not webbed; FI developed but small with a rounded or slightly pointed tip, subarticular tubercle large two tubercules on FIII and FIV and only one on FI and II; rounded or slightly pointed and slightly swollen tips of FIII and IV; adpressed FI reaches the base of subarticular tubercle of FII; adpressed FIV reaches the base of distal tubercle of FIII; thenar and palmar tubercle present in all individuals; relative finger lengths $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{IV}<\mathrm{III}$. Upper surface of arms with brick-coloured blotches in life, grey in preservative. Dorsolateral stripes enlarging posteriorly and illdefined dorsally. Eyes with a copper iris. Five distinctive toes, first very small; toes slightly fringed except Toe V and distally on FIV, more pronounced proximally on TI-III; toes not webbed; TI with without subarticular tubercle; adpressed TI does not reach subarticular tubercle of TII; adpressed TV does not reach or reaches only the middle of the middle subarticular tubercle of TIV; TII-IV with terminal discs. Thigh with ventral surface dark brown with blueish speckles in life, whitish in preservative. Some males with few small dermal spines on chin. Advertisement call with $7-8$ notes, intercall silences 0.14 s and dominant frequency 3.84 kHz (Fig. 3).

Morphological comparisons with other Chiasmocleis. Phylogenetically the new species is part of the Chiasmocleis hudsoni species group, as defined by Peloso et al. (2014). We focus our comparisons to the known species in the group. Chiasmocleis jacki is most similar to C. haddadi and C. hudsoni, three species that co-occur in the Guiana Shield, for which we provide more detailed comparisons.

Chiasmocleis jacki sp. nov. can mainly be distinguished from its closest relative C. haddadi (in parentheses) by its larger body size ( SVL range $=14.8-16.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $12.9-14.7 \mathrm{in}$ males; $18.5-20.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. 17.2 in the female); dorsolateral stripes ill-defined dorsally (well defined); smaller eyes (7.4-8.9 \% vs. 8.9-9.7\% of SVL) (Fig. 6); its advertisement call with shorter calls ( 0.09 vs 0.32 s ), longer silences among calls ( 0.14 vs 0.06 s ) and lower dominant frequency ( 3.84 vs 4.57 kHz ) (Fig. 3).

Chiasmocleis jacki sp. nov. can mainly be distinguished from C. hudsoni (in parentheses) by its dorsolateral stripes ill-defined dorsally (absent or poorly defined); upper surface of arms with brick-coloured blotches sometimes entirely brick coloured (dark brown sometimes with clear speckles); ventral surface of thigh with blueish speckles cream in preservative over a dark brown background (no speckles and light brown background in preservative to orange in life); an advertisement call with more notes ( $7-8$ vs 5 notes), longer silences among calls ( 0.14 vs 0.013 s ) and lower dominant frequency ( 3.84 vs 4.94 kHz ).

Chiasmocleis jacki differs from C. antenori, C. carvalhoi, C. magnova, C. parkeri C. tridactyla in having four fully developed fingers, although FI is small (FI not evident externally in C. antenori, C. carvalhoi, C. tridactyla; FI and FIV much reduced in C. parkeri; FVI much reduced in C. magnova) and by its reticulated ventral colour pattern (dark with scattered light spots in C. antenori, C. magnova and C. parkeri; beige with white spots or blotches in C. carvalhoi).

Description of the holotype. An adult male, SVL 15.9 mm . Body ovoid, relatively robust. Head wider than long (1.2X), much narrower than body trunk; nostrils not protuberant, positioned anterolaterally, directed laterally; snout rounded in dorsal and in lateral views; canthus rostralis indistinct; interorbital region flat. Eyes small; tympanum not visible; pupil round; supratympanic fold indistinct. Tongue large, elongate, with free lateral and posterior edges, extended beyond the extent of the jaw; vocal slits present, one on each side of the tongue; choanae small, rounded, widely separated, anterior to eye; vomerine teeth absent. Vocal sac not externally evident, many small white dermal spines on the chin. Arms slender, FI reduced, FII, FIII, and FIV well developed; tips of FII and FIII rounded and of FI and FIV slightly pointed; no webbing between fingers; Fingers I, II and III fringed proximally, particularly Finger III; relative finger lengths $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{IV}<\mathrm{III}$. Subarticular tubercles well developed on all fingers; one subarticular tubercle on FI and FII, two on FIII and FIV; no supernumerary tubercles; thenar tubercle present, rounded; palmar (metacarpal) tubercle divided, not prominent. No dermal spines visible on arms, hands, or fingers. Legs short (combined THL, TBL, and FL 1.7 X the SVL), relatively robust, lacking tubercles, tibial and tarsal ridges; toes not webbed; TI weakly developed (tip fails to reach subarticular tubercle on TII); relative toe lengths $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{V}<\mathrm{III}<\mathrm{IV}$; toes slightly fringed except Toe V and distally on FIV, more pronounced proximally on TI-III; toe tips rounded with small discs on all except TI. Inner metatarsal tubercle present. Subarticular tubercles present on all toes except TI; no outer metatarsal tubercle; toes without dermal spines. Skin smooth dorsally and ventrally.

Colour of holotype in life. Dorsum uniform dark brown or mostly dark brown with a few scattered small white dots. Poorly defined dorsolateral stripes clearer than dorsum enlarging posteriorly; canthus rostralis and border of eyelid yellowish-cream. Upper surface of arms brick-coloured with brown blotches; upper surface of legs from thighs to feet reddish-brown with dark brown vermiculations; eye iris copper; throat, chest, ventral sides of flanks and ventral surfaces of limbs dark brown with blueish coloured speckles denser on the belly and forming a marbled pattern.


FIGURE 5. Pictures of in life specimens of the three species of the Chiasmocleis hudsoni clade of the Guiana Shield; and dorsal, ventral, palmar and plantar view of preserved holotype of C. jacki sp. nov.


FIGURE 6. Comparison of living specimens of the three species of the Chiasmocleis hudsoni clade occurring in the Guiana Shield (left: C. jacki sp. nov.; middle: C. haddadi; right: C. hudsoni).

Colour of holotype in preservative. After seven years in $70 \%$ ethanol, colours of the specimen faded, dorsum became brown, arms became light brown and ventral speckles became cream coloured; the throat appears darker than the other ventral surface (Fig. 5).

Variation in the type series. Proportions vary little among the types. Males are smaller than females (14.8-16.3 mm vs. 18.5-20.2). Dermal spines on the throat can be completely absent. Dorsal coulour pattern varies little except the number and position of the small white dots and the extent of brick colouration on the arms. Ventral colouration varies extensively from large blotches to a dense marbled pattern (Fig. 6).

Advertisement call. A single specimen calling from the under the leaf litter was recorded from about 2 m away at air temperatures between $23-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $90-100 \%$ relative humidity. Descriptive statistics of call parameters are presented in Table 2. Chiasmocleis jacki emits series of short calls of $7-8$ pulsed notes. These calls last 0.092 s on average (range $0.078-0.105$ ) between silence lasting 0.138 s on average (range $0.109-0.166 \mathrm{~s}$ ). The notes are very short and fused (note length mean $=0.010 \mathrm{~s}$; range $0.009-0.011 \mathrm{~s}$ ). These notes are emitted with an upward and then downward amplitude within the call. The dominant frequency is 3.84 kHz on average (range $3.82-3.86 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) (Fig. 3, Table 2).

TABLE 2. Mean, minimum and maximum values of acoustic variables.

|  | CL $(\mathrm{s})$ | SC $(\mathrm{s})$ | NL $(\mathrm{s})$ | SL $(\mathrm{s})$ | NN | DF $(\mathrm{kHz})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. haddadi $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ | 0.320 | 0.060 | 0.015 | 0.035 | 6.8 | 4.573 |
| Min | 0.259 | 0.046 | 0.013 | 0.031 | 6.0 | 4.167 |
| Max | 0.353 | 0.091 | 0.019 | 0.040 | 7.3 | 4.748 |
| C. aff. haddadi $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ | 0.093 | 0.138 | 0.01 | 0 | 7.5 | 3.845 |
| C. hudsoni $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ | 0.083 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 5.0 | 4.943 |
| Min | 0.077 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 5.0 | 4.829 |
| Max | 0.093 | 0.018 | 0.014 | 0.012 | 5.0 | 5.127 |

Natural history and distribution. Chiasmocleis jacki is found in terra firme primary forest and seemingly calls far from any water body. An imago (AF2880 Mitaraka) has been found nearby the unique calling male (not collected) observed so far, but other imagos have been found (AF2595-St Eugene) at considerable distance from water as well as nearby water (Saut Richard). Moreover, gravid females with large sized eggs have been examined. Its sister species, C. haddadi, lays large terrestrial eggs that hatch nearby the water that the exotrophic tadpoles reach after hatching, which is similar to what has been observed in C. hudsoni (Lima et al. 2006; pers. obs. in FG). We therefore suspect that its mode of development is endotrophic, like several closely related species in western Amazonia such as C. antenori (Krugel \& Richter 1995).

This species is only known from a few localities east of the Approuague river, French Guiana (Savane Virginie, Noussiri, Armontabo, Alikéné, Mont Bakra, Roche Dachine, Trois Saut) and in Amapá, Brazil (Lourenço, Porto Grande, Rio Vila Nova) but also in Saül, in the Mitaraka massif and in the northern half of French Guiana as far as Saint-Eugène (Fig. 1). It is probably more widely distributed in the interior of the Guianas region but most likely remains endemic to the easternmost part of the Guiana region. It probably coexists in syntopy with C. haddadi since their ranges overlap at least in central French Guiana, although they have not yet been observed in syntopy.

## Discussion

We herein described and named another species endemic to the eastern Guiana Shield which adds another brick to the understanding of the biological diversity and distribution of taxa in the region. A similar pattern of distribution (circumscribed to the easternmost part of the Guiana Shield) has been recurrently highlighted in recent frog species descriptions notably Anomaloglossus baeobatrachus (Fouquet et al. 2019b), Dendropsophus counani (Fouquet et al. 2015), Synapturanus zombie (Fouquet et al. 2021), Amazophrynella teko (Rojas et al. 2018), Pristimantis crepitaculus (Fouquet et al. 2022), as well as squamate reptiles (e.g., Alopoglossus theodorusi Ribeiro-Junior et al. 2020). The recurrence of this pattern led Vacher et al. (2020) to delimit a bioregion in the easternmost part of the Guiana Shield. They based their bioregionalisation on the distribution of mtDNA-delimited lineages. Some
corresponded to nominal species and some to unnamed lineages, among which Chiasmocleis jacki was already identified and is now confirmed by the present study. In fact, the range of both C. haddadi and C.jacki lie within the bioregion delimited by Vacher et al. (2020). Among codistributed endemic species in the easternmost part of the Guiana Shield only a few such as Anomaloglossus baeobatrachus, Pristimantis sp. "Guianas" (Fouquet et al. 2022) and Atelopus flavescens have, like C. jacki and C. haddadi, their closest relative westward within the Guiana Shield. This pattern suggests that speciation occurred within the eastern lowlands of the Guiana Shield. Conversely, most other endemics of the eastern Guiana Shield such as Dendropsophus counani (Fouquet et al. 2015) or Boana diabolica (Fouquet et al. 2016) have their closest relatives elsewhere in Amazonia and are examples of a panamazonian diversification.

This endemism in the easternmost subregion of the lowlands of the Guiana Shield, which comprises the Brazilian state of Amapá, French Guiana and eastern Suriname may be partly explained by the current and historical precipitation regime that is driven by the proximity of the Atlantic Ocean. These abundant precipitations may have been more stable over time during the Pleistocene climatic fluctuations (Silva et al., 2018; Vacher et al. 2020). The emergence of endotrophy in Anomaloglossus has been suggested to have favoured by wet and stable climatic conditions in the eastern part of the Guiana (Fouquet et al. 2019c). Based on the observation of a calling male and an imago of $C$. jacki far from any water point and the large size of ovaries, we assume that the new species is probably also endotrophic. If confirmed, this would represent another example of similarly recent and spatially congruent acquisition of this mode of reproduction that deserves further investigation.

The three species of Chiasmocleis of the region (C. jacki, C. haddadi and C. hudsoni) do not seem to widely co-occur (there is a single known exception). We can therefore hypothesize that at least some level of ecological exclusion exists, despite putatively distinct breeding modes (hypothesized endotrophy of C.jacki) and ecological differences in breeding sites between C. haddadi and C. hudsoni.
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| GB Acession | species | Voucher specimens | tissue voucher | Tissue collection | Locality | State / Country | Lat | Lon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KDQF01000129 | jacki sp. nov. |  | AF0526 | EDB | Saul | French Guiana | 3.6376 | -53.2137 |
| KDQF01000463 | jacki sp. nov. |  | AF1237 | EDB | Noussiri | French Guiana | 3.5864 | -52.1134 |
| KDQF01000585 | jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0028 | AF1547 | EDB | Saul | French Guiana | 3.6156 | -53.2271 |
| KDQF01001008 | jacki sp. nov. |  | AF2595 | EDB | Saint-Eugène | French Guiana | 4.8217 | -53.0677 |
| OP476731 | jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0029 | AF2669 | EDB | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.2187 | -52.3964 |
| OP476732 | jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0030 | AF2714 | EDB | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.2187 | -52.3964 |
| KDQF01001073 | jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0031 | AF2715 | EDB | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.2187 | -52.3964 |
| KDQF01001074 | jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0026 | AF2716 | EDB | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.2187 | -52.3964 |
| KDQF01001128 | jacki sp. nov. |  | AF2880 | EDB | Mitaraka | French Guiana | 2.2358 | -54.4493 |
| OP476733 | jacki sp. nov. |  | AF3296 | EDB | Mitaraka | French Guiana | 2.2358 | -54.4493 |
| OP476734 | jacki sp. nov. |  | AF5395 | EDB | Saut Richard | French Guiana | 3.4736 | -53.2086 |
| OP476735 | jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0033 | AF5405 | EDB | Savane roche dachine | French Guiana | 3.4696 | -53.2298 |
| OP476736 | jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0032 | AF5489 | EDB | Trois-Saut | French Guiana | 2.2096 | -52.9890 |
| EU201099 | $j a c k i$ sp. nov. |  | AG085 | EDB | Monts Bakra | French Guiana | 3.3005 | -52.9493 |
| OP476737 | jacki sp. nov. |  | CEC3248 | UFAP | Pedra Branca do Amapari | Amapá, Brazil | 0.7713 | -51.9466 |
| OP476738 | jacki sp. nov. |  | CEC3249 | UFAP | Pedra Branca do Amapari | Amapá, Brazil | 0.7713 | -51.9466 |
| KDQF01002507 | jacki sp. nov. |  | FL16 | IEPA | Plote PPBIO | Amapá, Brazil | 0.9793 | -51.6149 |
| OP476739 | jacki sp. nov. |  | FTA79 | IEPA | Rio Vila Nova | Amapá, Brazil | 0.4493 | -52.0221 |
| KDQF01003292 | jacki sp. nov. |  | MTR13928 | MTR | Lourenço | Amapá, Brazil | 2.3236 | -51.6453 |
| KDQF01003602 | jacki sp. nov. |  | MTR24274 | MTR | Lourenço | Amapá, Brazil | 2.3216 | -51.6109 |
| KDQF01003833 | jacki sp. nov. |  | PG159 | EDB | Armontabo | French Guiana | 3.9611 | -52.4286 |
| KDQF01004114 | jacki sp. nov. |  | QM0457 | EDB | Savane Virginie | French Guiana | 4.1959 | -52.1490 |
| KF621181 | cf. jimi | MPEG3803-23283 |  | MPEG | Rio Xingú, Fazenda Caracol | Pará, Brazil | -3.4528 | -51.6753 |
| KF621182/MH919949 | cf. jimi | MPEG3803-23285 |  | MPEG | Rio Xingú, Fazenda Caracol | Pará, Brazil | -3.4528 | -51.6753 |
| KF621179/MH919946 | cf. jimi | MPEG3803-24527 |  | MPEG | Floresta Nacional de Caxiuanã | Pará, Brazil | -1.9833 | -51.6500 |
| MH919930 | cf. jimi |  | T275 | MPEG | Tapirapé | Pará, Brazil | -5.6089 | -50.6153 |
| MH919877 | cf. jimi |  | T81 | MPEG | Tapirapé | Pará, Brazil | -5.6089 | -50.6153 |
| KDQF01000884 | haddadi |  | AF2231 | EDB | Sipaliwini | Suriname | 2.0325 | -56.1145 |

APPENDIX 1. (Continued)

| GB Acession | species | Voucher specimens | tissue voucher | Tissue collection | Locality | State / Country | Lat | Lon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KDQF01000886 | haddadi | NZCS A1056 | AF2236 | EDB | Sipaliwini | Suriname | 2.0325 | -56.1145 |
| KDQF01001401 | haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0020 | AF3403 | EDB | Bakhuis | Suriname | 4.6837 | -56.7721 |
| KDQF01001442 | haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0024 | AF3585 | EDB | Itoupe | French Guiana | 3.0265 | -53.0798 |
| OP476740 | haddadi |  | AF3992 | EDB | Itoupé | French Guiana | 3.0250 | -53.0800 |
| KF621170 | haddadi | MNHN2011.0139 | PG403 | EDB | Mont Kotika | French Guiana | 3.9564 | -54.2786 |
| KF621171 | haddadi | MNHN2011.0140 | PG443 | EDB | Mont Kotika | French Guiana | 3.9564 | -54.2786 |
| EU201100 | haddadi |  | PG439 | EDB | Mont Kotika | French Guiana | 3.9347 | -54.2047 |
| KF621172 | haddadi |  | PG445 | EDB | Mont Kotika | French Guiana | 3.9333 | -54.1972 |
| KF621173 | haddadi |  | PG446 | EDB | Mont Kotika | French Guiana | 3.9333 | -54.1972 |
| KDQF01004460 | haddadi |  | WAAM009 | EDB | Flat de la waki | French Guiana | 3.1834 | -53.4775 |
| MG806095 | hudsoni |  | 5517 | ? | Experimental farm UFAM | Amazonas, Brazil | -3.0899 | -59.9632 |
| MG806093 | hudsoni |  | 5539 | ? | Experimental farm UFAM | Amazonas, Brazil | -3.0899 | -59.9632 |
| MG806094 | hudsoni |  | 5556 | ? | Experimental farm UFAM | Amazonas, Brazil | -3.0899 | -59.9632 |
| MG806091 | hudsoni |  | 5592 | ? | Experimental farm UFAM | Amazonas, Brazil | -3.0899 | -59.9632 |
| MG806092 | hudsoni |  | 5593 | ? | Experimental farm UFAM | Amazonas, Brazil | -3.0899 | -59.9632 |
| KR811107 | hudsoni |  | AF0758 | EDB | St Georges | French Guiana | 3.8112 | -51.8896 |
| KDQF01000506 | hudsoni |  | AF1361 | EDB | Montagne tortue | French Guiana | 4.2927 | -52.3495 |
| KDQF01000988 | hudsoni |  | AF2565 | EDB | RN2 PK65 | French Guiana | 4.4874 | -52.3478 |
| KR811108 | hudsoni |  | AG396 | EDB | Kourouaie | French Guiana | 4.2379 | -52.0382 |
| KR811106 | hudsoni |  | AG397 | EDB | Kourouaie | French Guiana | 4.2379 | -52.0382 |
| MH919910 | hudsoni | CTMZ7043 |  | MZUSP | ? | Rondonia, Brazil | NA | NA |
| KDQF01002596 | hudsoni |  | H2320 | MTR | UHE Jirau | Rondonia, Brazil | -9.4378 | -64.8328 |
| KDQF01002602 | hudsoni |  | H2555 | MTR | UHE Jirau | Rondonia, Brazil | -9.4378 | -64.8328 |
| KF621174/MH919849 | hudsoni |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INPA2593- } \\ & 31276 \end{aligned}$ | INPA | ca 3 Km de casa del Sr Domingo | Roraima, Brazil | 0.1960 | -60.6485 |
| KF621175 | hudsoni |  | JMP2286 | ? | Senda Zafire | Colombia | -4.1363 | -69.9406 |
| KC180060 | hudsoni |  | MAD116 | ? | Iwokrama | Guyana | 4.6714 | -58.6850 |
| MH919969 | hudsoni | MPEG18456 |  | MPEG | ? |  | NA | NA |

APPENDIX 1. (Continued)

| GB Acession | species | Voucher specimens | tissue voucher | Tissue collection | Locality | State / Country | Lat | Lon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KM509111/MH919977/ KF621178/KM509111 | hudsoni | MPEG18547 |  | MPEG | Itaituba, PARNA Amazonia | Pará, Brazil | -4.1693 | -55.9811 |
| MH919963 | hudsoni | MPEG18900 |  | MPEG | ? |  | NA | NA |
| MH919967/MH919967 | hudsoni | MPEG3803-18545 |  | MPEG | Itaituba, PARNA Amazonia | Pará, Brazil | -4.1693 | -55.9811 |
| KF621176/MH919960 | hudsoni | MPEG3803-23206 |  | MPEG | FLOTA Faro | Pará, Brazil | -1.7140 | -57.2133 |
| KF621180 | hudsoni | MPEG3803-27763 |  | MPEG | Maués, FLONA Pau-Rosa | Amazonas, Brazil | -3.7411 | -58.2856 |
| KDQF01003052 | hudsoni | MTD47898 |  | MTD | Iwokrama | Guyana | 4.6714 | -58.6850 |
| KR811109 | hudsoni |  | MTR24296 | MTR | Oiapoque | Amapá, Brazil | 3.8794 | -51.7710 |
| KDQF01003697 | hudsoni |  | MTR33601 | MTR | Maraã | Amazonas, Brazil | -1.7429 | -65.7496 |
| OP476741 | hudsoni |  | MTR37760 | MTR | Itapuã do Oeste | Rondonia, Brazil | -9.1923 | -63.1916 |
| OP476742 | hudsoni |  | MTR41188 | MTR | Boa Vista | Amazonas, Brazil | -0.3434 | -65.4083 |
| KDQF01003881 | hudsoni |  | PG493 | EDB | Kaw, Patawa | French Guiana | 4.5161 | -52.1005 |
| OP476743 | hudsoni |  | PRMS760 | MTR | Programa de Assentamento | Acre, Brazil | -7.8472 | -72.4167 |
| OP476744 | hudsoni |  | UHE163 | IEPA | UHE Santo Antônio | Amapá, Brazil | -0.6456 | -52.5006 |
| MH919962 | jimi | MPEG27763 | FPR96 | MPEG | Maués | Amazonas, Brazil | -3.7411 | -58.2856 |
| MH919880 | jimi |  | MTR12728 | MTR | Igarapé Açú, Rio Abacaxis | Amazonas, Brazil | -4.3442 | -58.6350 |
| MH919958 | jimi |  | MTR12941 | MTR | São Sebastião, Rio Abacaxis | Amazonas, Brazil | -4.3089 | -58.6364 |
| MH919922 | jimi |  | MTR13195 | MTR | Pacamiri, Rio Abacaxis | Amazonas, Brazil | -4.3333 | -58.1333 |
| MH919968 | jimi |  | MTR13197 | MTR | Pacamiri, Rio Abacaxis | Amazonas, Brazil | -4.3333 | -58.1333 |

APPENDIX 2. Material examined.

| species | Voucher |  | Field N | Locality | Country | Latitude | Longitude | Sex | Collector | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0028 | Paratype | AF1547 | Saul | French Guiana | 3.615576 | -53.22709 | F | M. Berroneau - M Berroneau - L. Barthe - P.O. Cochard | 22_01_2014 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0029 | Paratype | AF2669 | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.21873 | -52.3964 | F | J.P. Vacher - S. Cally | 13_02_2015 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0030 | Paratype | AF2714 | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.21873 | -52.3964 | M | J.P. Vacher - S. Cally | 17_02_2015 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0031 | Paratype | AF2715 | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.21873 | -52.3964 | M | J.P. Vacher - S. Cally | 17_02_2015 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0026 | Holotype | AF2716 | Alikéné | French Guiana | 3.21873 | -52.3964 | M | J.P. Vacher - S. Cally | 17_02_2015 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0033 | Paratype | AF5405 | Savane roche <br> Dachine | French Guiana | 3.46958 | -53.22978 | M | M. Dewynter E. Courtois | 03_06_2019 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0032 | Paratype | AF5489 | Trois-Saut | French Guiana | 2.20963 | -52.98903 | M | M. Dewynter E. Courtois | 30_04_2019 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN-RA-2022.0027 | Paratype | PG717 | St Georges | French Guiana | 3.80611 | -51.89339 | F | M Dewynter K Pineau | 01_02_2012 |
| C. jacki sp. nov. | MNHN1997.2272 | Paratype | 591 G | Saint Eugene | French Guiana | 4.82167 | -53.06766 | F | J.-C deMassary | 31_03_1997 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0016 |  | AF2269 | Sipaliwini | Suriname | 2.03253 | -56.11449 | M | A. Fouquet - J.P. Vacher | 27_04_2014 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0017 |  | AF2270 | Sipaliwini | Suriname | 2.03253 | -56.11449 | M | A. Fouquet - J.P. Vacher | 27_04_2014 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0018 |  | AF2271 | Sipaliwini | Suriname | 2.03253 | -56.11449 | M | A. Fouquet - J.P. Vacher | 27_04_2014 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0019 |  | AF2275 | Sipaliwini | Suriname | 2.03253 | -56.11449 | M | A. Fouquet - J.P. Vacher | 27_04_2014 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0020 |  | AF3403 | Bakhuis | Suriname | 4.68367 | -56.77214 | M | A. Fouquet - R. Jairam <br> - S. Cally | 29_04_2015 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0021 |  | AF3405 | Bakhuis | Suriname | 4.68367 | -56.77214 | F | A. Fouquet - R. Jairam <br> - S. Cally | 29_04_2015 |


| APPENDIX 2. (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| species | Voucher |  | Field N | Locality | Country | Latitude | Longitude | Sex | Collector | Date |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0022 |  | AF3406 | Bakhuis | Suriname | 4.68367 | -56.77214 | M | A. Fouquet - R. Jairam - S. Cally | 29_04_2015 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0023 |  | AF3581 | Itoupé | French Guiana | 3.02647 | -53.07983 | M | A Fouquet - E Courtois <br> - B Villette - M <br> Dewynter | 07_01_2016 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0024 |  | AF3585 | Itoupé | French Guiana | 3.02647 | -53.07983 | M | A Fouquet - E Courtois <br> - B Villette - M <br> Dewynter | 07_01_2016 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN-RA-2022.0025 |  | AF3995 | Itoupé | French Guiana | 3.025 | -53.08 | M | E. courtois M. Dewynter | 17_11_2018 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN 2011.0140 | Paratype | PG443 | Kotika | French Guiana | 3.93333 | -54.19722 | M | P. Gaucher | 21_02_2005 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN 2011.0143 | Paratype | PG440 | Kotika | French Guiana | 3.93333 | -54.19722 | M | P. Gaucher | 21_02_2005 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN 2011.0144 | Paratype | PG441 | Kotika | French Guiana | 3.93333 | -54.19722 | M | P. Gaucher | 21_02_2005 |
| C. haddadi | MNHN 2011.0145 | Paratype | PG442 | Kotika | French Guiana | 3.93333 | -54.19722 | M | P. Gaucher | 21_02_2005 |
| C. hudsoni | no Voucher |  | AF0758 | St Georges | French Guiana | 3.81116 | -51.88964 | M | A. Fouquet - D. Baudain-A. Cristinoi | 03_05_2012 |
| C. hudsoni | no Voucher |  | AF1361 | Montagne tortue | French Guiana | 4.29266 | -52.34954 | M | A. Fouquet - B. Villette - Q. Martinez | 26_12_2013 |
| C. hudsoni | no Voucher |  | AF2565 | RN2 PK65 | French Guiana | 4.48737 | -52.34781 | M | A. Fouquet - E. Courtois - G. Robert | 01_01_2015 |
| C. hudsoni | MNHN 2001.0837 |  | PG050 | Patawa | French Guiana | 4.51610 | -52.10053 | M | P. Gaucher | 21_12_2001 |
| C. hudsoni | MNHN 2001.0838 |  | PG051 | Patawa | French Guiana | 4.51610 | -52.10053 | M | P. Gaucher | 21_12_2001 |


| APPENDIX 2. (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| species | Voucher |  | Field N | Locality | Country | Latitude | Longitude | Sex | Collector | Date |
| C. hudsoni | MNHN 2001.0839 |  | PG066 | Patawa | French Guiana | 4.51610 | -52.10053 | M | P. Gaucher | 21_12_2001 |
| C. hudsoni | MNHN 2001.0322 |  | AG023 | CD 6 pk 52 | French Guiana | 4.59028 | -52.24783 | M | M. Blanc | 04_12_2000 |
| C. hudsoni | AMNH166437 |  | JC7326 | Berbice River | Guyana | 5.08500 | -58.23722 | M |  |  |
| C. hudsoni | AMNH166438 |  | JC7507 | Berbice River | Guyana | 5.08500 | -58.23722 | M |  |  |
| C. hudsoni | AMNH166439 |  | JC7621 | Berbice River | Guyana | 5.08500 | -58.23722 | M |  |  |
| C. hudsoni | no Voucher |  | MTR24296 | Oiapoque | Amapá, Brazil | 3.87942 | -51.77097 | F | S.M. Sousa A. Fouquet | 09_12_2012 |
| C. hudsoni | MZUSP64565 |  |  | Reserva INPA-WWF | Amazonas, Brazil |  |  | F |  |  |
| C. hudsoni | MZUSP64567 |  |  | Reserva INPA-WWF | Amazonas, Brazil |  |  | F |  |  |
| C. hudsoni | MZUSP64568 |  |  | Reserva INPA-WWF | Amazonas, Brazil |  |  | F |  |  |
| C. hudsoni | BMNH 1939.1.1.3 |  |  | New River | Guyana |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. jimi | MNRJ 14549 |  |  | Humaitá | Amazonas, Brazil |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. antenori | KU 124004 | Paratype |  | Rio Aguarico Napo | Ecuador |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. antenori | KU 124005 | Paratype |  | Rio Aguarico Napo | Ecuador |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. antenori | KU 124006 | Paratype |  | Rio Aguarico Napo | Ecuador |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. carvalhoi | MZUSP 36429 | Holotype |  | Loreto: Estirón, Rio Ampiyacú | Peru |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. carvalhoi | AMNH 88065 | Paratype |  | Loreto: Estirón, Rio Ampiyacú | Peru |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. magnova | AMNH 96398 |  |  | Loreto: 3 km SSW of Mishana, Rio Nanay | Peru |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. magnova | AMNH 103550 |  |  | Loreto: 3 km SSW of Mishana, Rio Nanay | Peru |  |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX 3. Details (accession numbers, localities and collectors) regarding the acoustic data analysed in this study.

| Accession | Species | locality | collector | file name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MNHN-SO-2022-631 | C. haddadi | Itoupe | E. Courtois | 160107_0699 Chiasmocleis haddadi Itoupe |
| MNHN-SO-2022-633 | C. haddadi | Kotika | P. Gaucher | DAT 12 prg 15 Kotika |
| MNHN-SO-2022-634 | C. haddadi | Kotika | P. Gaucher | DAT 12 prg 20 Kotika |
| MNHN-SO-2022-635 | C. haddadi | Bakhuis | A. Fouquet | LS110025 Chiasmocleis haddadi Bakhuis |
| MNHN-SO-2022-636 | C. haddadi | Sipaliwini | J.P. Vacher | STE-102_Chiasmocleis_haddadi_\#1_27_ |
|  |  |  |  | 4_2014_Sipaliwini_AF2270 |
| MNHN-SO-2022-630 | C. jacki sp. nov. | Mitaraka | M. Dewynter | Chiasmocleis aff haddadi_Mitaraka_ |
|  |  |  |  | LS110228 |
| MNHN-SO-2022-637 | C. hudsoni | Mont. Tortue | A. Fouquet | 201218_01 Gde Montagne Tortue |
| MNHN-SO-2022-640 | C. hudsoni | Lower Jari | J. Lima | Chiasmoleis hudsoni Jucivaldo Amapa |
| MNHN-SO-2022-638 | C. hudsoni | Patawa | P. Gaucher | DAT 06 prg 15 mare Patawa |
| MNHN-SO-2022-639 | C. hudsoni | Saut Maripa | A. Fouquet | LS100655 Chiasmocleis hudsoni_Saut |
|  |  |  |  | Maripa |

APPENDIX 4. Full Maximum Likelihood phylogram with collapsed branches for the focal clade.


