

Co-promoted Mo-carbide catalytic system for sustainable manufacturing of chemicals via co-processing of CO2 with ethane

Vera Bikbaeva, Nikolay Nesterenko, Nuria García-Moncada, Valentin Valtchev

▶ To cite this version:

Vera Bikbaeva, Nikolay Nesterenko, Nuria García-Moncada, Valentin Valtchev. Co-promoted Mocarbide catalytic system for sustainable manufacturing of chemicals via co-processing of CO2 with ethane. Green Carbon, 2023, 1 (1), pp.94-103. 10.1016/j.greenca.2023.09.001. hal-04270588

HAL Id: hal-04270588 https://hal.science/hal-04270588

Submitted on 4 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Co-promoted Mo-carbide catalytic system for sustainable manufacturing of chemicals via co-processing of CO₂ with ethane

Vera Bikbaeva^a, Nikolay Nesterenko^{a,*}, Nuria García-Moncada^b and Valentin Valtchev^a

- ^a Laboratoire Catalyse et Spectrochimie, ENSICAEN, Université de Caen, CNRS, 6 Boulevard Maréchal Juin, 14050 Caen, France; valentin.valtchev@ensicaen.fr
- ^b Servicios Generales de Investigación, XPS, Centro de Investigación, Tecnología e Innovación de la Universidad de Sevilla (CI-TIUS), Av. Reina Mercedes 4B, 41012, Sevilla, Spain; ngarcia2@us.es
- * Corresponding author. E-mail: nikolay.nesterenko@sulzer.com (NN)

ORCID. Vera Bikbaeva: 0000-0002-5946-3814

Nikolay Nesterenko: 0000-0002-8936-3275

Nuria García-Moncada: 0000-0003-0218-1135

Valentin Valtchev: 0000-0002-2341-6397

Received: Day Month Year; Accepted: Day Month Year; Available online: Day Month Year

ABSTRACT: The study reports progress in developing a molybdenum carbide-based catalyst for co-processing ethane and CO₂. The cobalt promoting of molybdenum carbide improved the activity and stability of ethane transformation in the presence of CO₂ substantially without any impact on ethylene selectivity. The Mo-Co supported catalyst also showed interesting performance in catalyzing ethane dry reforming and that application could be a perspective further use for this system. In addition, the comprehensive analysis of mono- and bi-metallic catalysts revealed that Co-promoting prevented rapid Mo-carbide oxidation. Further, tuning operation conditions allowed to control catalyst's selectivity and maximize CO₂ utilization or ethylene formation.

Keywords: CO₂ utilization; oxidative dehydrogenation; CO₂ negative ethylene production; cobalt-molybdenum synergy; embryonic zeolite.

© 2023 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Amid the climate crisis reaching net-zero emissions of greenhouse gases by mid-century requires developing a solution for CO₂ circularity and designing low-carbon routes for olefins production [1]. Olefins are rapidly becoming the largest driver of global oil consumption. They will account for a third of the oil demand by 2030 and nearly half by 2050 [2]. Therefore, developing the low-carbon route for olefins production is an issue of high priority [1]. Besides, hydrogen is an important by-product of olefins production, but it is often not properly valorized due to high purification costs.

Global energy-related carbon dioxide emissions rose 6% in 2021, i.e., to 36.3 billion tonnes, their highest ever level, as the world economy rebounded strongly from the Covid-19 crisis [3]. The overall production of ethylene is about 220 million tons in 2022 [4], which offers a significant opportunity for CO₂ utilization while co-producing ethylene via reactions:

 $C_2H_6 \leftrightarrow C_2H_4 + H_2$ Direct non-catalytic Dehydrogenation of Ethane - DDE, $\Delta H_{298K} = 137 \text{ kJ/mol}$, Eq. (1), $C_2H_6 + 2CO_2 \leftrightarrow 4CO + 3H_2$ Dry Reforming of Ethane - DRE, $\Delta H_{298K} = 428.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$, Eq. (2), $CO_2 + H_2 \leftrightarrow CO + H_2O$ Reverse Water Gas Shift - RWGS, $\Delta H_{298K} = 41.2 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}$, Eq. (3). A combination of the above reactions results either in maximum ethylene production mode (so-called ODH-CO₂ of ethane) or in maximum CO₂ utilization (so-called super dry reforming of ethane - SDRE):

$$C_2H_6 + CO_2 \leftrightarrow C_2H_4 + CO + H_2O$$

(ODH-CO₂ of ethane), Δ H_{298K}=134 kJ/mol, Eq. (4),

$$C_2H_6 + 5CO_2 \leftrightarrow 7CO + 3H_2O_2$$

(SDRE) ΔH298K =553.3 kJ/mol, Eq. (5).

One can see that one mole of ethane transforms 5 moles of CO₂ to 7 moles of CO in the super dry reforming, which is an efficient way for CO₂ utilization. Noteworthy, both reactions (equations 4 and 5), occur at a relatively moderate temperature range allowing mitigation of coke formation. In contrast to the steam cracking of ethane, both routes are not very demanding for feedstock purity. They could be operated with a significant amount of ethylene, CO, C3+ hydrocarbons, methane, and H₂ in the stream. The latter are typically present in off-gases of a refinery (including fluid catalytic cracking (FCC), hydrocracker, or delayed coker) or natural gas liquids (NGL). The abundance of these feedstocks opens an interesting perspective for using native CO₂ at the natural gas production sites and CO₂ circularity in a refinery.

Hence, the objective of the present work is *in situ* hydrogen utilization, which is co-produced with chemicals to convert CO₂ to CO (RWGS reaction) while maintaining ethylene production at the necessary level from opportunistic feedstocks. Consequently, the produced CO could be easily converted with green hydrogen to sustainable products via Fischer-Tropsch or MeOH intermediates. Based on equation 4, the process could reduce by 1% the overall amount of CO₂ emitted in 2021, considering the worldwide volume of ethylene production. Moreover, the CO₂ emissions could be further reduced if the process is operated close to DRE or SDRE conditions (equations 2 and 5, respectively).

The results for ODH-CO₂ of ethane resulted in 108% CO₂ reduction *vs.* conventional ethane cracking [5,6]. However, the existing catalytic system needs to be better understood and improved. Moreover, the CO₂ conversion during this process is the RWGS reaction accompanying the ethane dehydrogenation.

The utilization of CO₂ for the synthesis of high-valuable chemicals in syngas routes is gaining momentum [7]. This is an important brick in carbon circularity and is applied to synthesize many chemical products, for example, methanol, ethanol [8], olefins [9,10], dimethyl ether [11], or sustainable fuels. The CO₂ is typically reacted with hydrogen (in a separate or direct process) to a CO₂ – rich syngas stream, which is further reacted over a catalyst to produce the target product. One can see that the co-processing with ethane significantly reduces the on-purpose hydrogen consumption for CO₂ conversion to the product by performing *in situ* RWGS reaction with the production of CO [5,6,12-14].

The Reverse Water Gas Shift (RWGS) reaction is equilibrium-limited (equation 3) [15], and it works in the same range as ethane cracking: >600 °C. At lower temperatures, CO₂ conversion with H₂ tends to the methanation route [15].

RWGS occurs in the following catalytic systems: Cu-based metal oxide [16], supported Pt [17,18], Ru [19], Ni [20,21], Ni-Mo [22], and Mo [23-25] catalytic systems. The Mo-based systems are active in the reaction in the form of carbides. For CO₂ conversion with pure H₂ (RWGS), Mo₂C activity depends on catalyst particle size [25]. Thus, a decrease in Mo₂C cluster size to 0.5 nm improved both reaction rate and selectivity to CO. Over the 0.5 nm carbide clusters, the CO selectivity reached 99.6% when it was only 89% on bulky Mo₂C. CO₂ conversion was measured from 30-55% at 600 °C. The formation of MoO₂ species was observed on the spent catalysts. Pajares et al. also demonstrated the partial formation of the MoO₂ phase during RWGS [26]. However, for the RWGS reaction, the carbide oxidation was not always observed [27]. In general, the MoO₂ formation starts to occur during the CO₂ reduction to CO by H₂ above 580 °C [28]. Therefore, the interest in such a system is rather limited due to its oxidation by CO₂ to the oxide form, which becomes critical for carbide-based catalysts applied under more harsh conditions: ODH-CO₂ of alkanes [29,30], and dry reforming of methane [31].

On the other side, MoO₂ could be carburized to Mo₂C in a reaction with hydrocarbons [32,33]. The description of the oxidation-carburization cycle for the Mo₂C-catalyst is given in the reference [34]. As mentioned, the Mo₂C oxidation could be partially mitigated by the hydrocarbon environment, which opens opportunities to prevent full oxidation of the active phase leading to the activity decrease.

Molybdenum carbide has similar electronic characteristics to noble metals, which makes it potentially an attractive catalytic system [35]. During the multistep ethane and CO₂ conversion, its surface undergoes several changes. For instance, the Mo₂C surface changes during the ODH-CO₂ reaction and their effect on the catalytic performance were reported [30]. A formation of the C-rich surface results in extra low ethane conversion.

For carbide catalyst design, preventing fast carbon accumulation on the active sites is a key task. An increase in the O-rich surface, e.g., the interaction with CO₂ allows more selective ethylene production. However, this leads to a de-

crease in the ethane conversion. In other words, the O-modification prevents a C-C scission, favoring the DRE pathway [36]. Hence, it could be deduced that under standard flow-bed reactor conditions, the equilibrium between various surface states with the prevention of full oxidation is a way to create the conditions for stable catalyst performance and high selectivity to the desired product.

One of the first reports on the Mo₂C application for ODH-CO₂ reaction was published 1999 by Solymosi and Nemeth [37]. Ethylene selectivity from ethane was more than 95% at 9% ethane conversion at 580 °C ($C_2H_6/CO_2=1/2.7$ vol/vol). Under an equimolar C_2H_6/CO_2 ratio, ethylene selectivity rose to 90% at 600 °C [37]. However, the reoxidation conversion Mo₂C phase to oxy-carbides or oxides was the roadblock preventing further development of the catalyst.

Some advances in Mo₂C stability were reported by Marquart et al. [29]. Their approach was based on a variation of the catalyst support, but still, the formation of MoO₂ via carbide oxidation was significant. Hydrogen addition to the feed resulted in a significant formation of CO over Mo₂C/ZrO₂ and Mo₂C/SiO₂ catalytic systems. With 17 vol.% H₂ in ethane and the equal ethane/CO₂ molar ratio, the observed conversion was about 7-8% with the maximal selectivity to ethylene of 75% at 600 °C. The conversion of CO₂ was about 40%. On the contrary, a very fast deactivation was observed without H₂ dilution of CO₂.

Thus, the reoxidation of the Mo₂C phase with CO₂ to oxycarbides or oxides during high-temperature processes remains the bottleneck for the utilization of this catalytic system and limits its activity in ODH-CO₂. An alternative to solve this problem is to promote a supported Mo₂C system by Co-addition. The addition of Co brings a soft hydrogenation function that is expected to prevent the reduction of the Mo-containing phase and is known for its synergetic effect in other applications such as hydrodesulfurization [38] and hydrocracking [39].

Co-promoted Mo sulfide catalytic systems are also effective for nitroarenes hydrogenation under lower pressure [40], while Co-Mo-P catalysts are applied for hydrodesulfurization [41]. The dehydrogenation properties of Co-based catalysts were demonstrated in many cases. For instance, isobutane dehydrogenation on supported cobalt catalyst was reported [42]. Cobalt single-atom catalyst for HCOOH dehydrogenation to CO and H₂[43], and Co-MOR for the ethane dehydrogenation [44]. Also, Co-Mo systems (Co-Mo/Al₂O₃) showed improved methane conversion and catalyst stability in dry methane reforming [45]. Cobalt oxide for processing ethane with CO₂ led to an ethane dry reforming route [46].

Mo and Co carbide systems are also used as a catalyst for CO₂ processing. Hydrogenation properties of Co could be illustrated in RWGS. Co-promoted carbide Mo₂C was applied for RWGS by Porosoff [47]. The CO:CH₄ product ratio was 51.3. Cobalt carbide was used as a catalyst for effective ethanol dehydrogenation with acetaldehyde production [48]. The carbide phase formation was achieved under steam reforming conditions at 500 °C.

This contribution reports the promotion effect of Co-promoting to the Mo₂C catalyst. The catalyst was supported on embryonic zeolites, which proved to be an excellent carrier for a similar catalytic system [5]. The objective is to improve the activity by the Co addition without impacting the ethylene production. One of the goals of the study is to identify conditions that favor the ODH-CO₂ or DRE pathway. Also, a comprehensive study of the Co-promoting effect on the nature of the active phase, general catalyst stability, and oxidative resistance is an integral part of the present study.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Preparation of EZ carriers

Al-free embryonic zeolites (EZsi) samples were prepared and used as a support for preparation of metal-containing catalyst by incipient wetness impregnation. The synthesis mixture to prepare the embryonic zeolite comprised 9TPAOH:25SiO₂:430 H₂O:100EtOH. Tetrapropylammonium hydroxide (TPAOH) was used as template for all the synthesis [49]. The template was first mixed with distilled deionized water followed by addition of tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS, Sigma-Aldrich, 98%) and homogenization of the mixture under stirring for 6 h resulting in water clear homogeneous sol solution. The resulted sol was subjected to a freeze-drying (-94 °C, under vacuum) to recover the embryonic zeolites. The obtained materials were redissolved in 0.5 M NH₃NO₃ solution, stirred for 2 hours at room temperature followed by washing and drying in air.

2.2. Metal loading and sulfidation

The embryonic zeolite carriers were incipiently wetness impregnated with Mo-Co oxide precursors to prepare the materials. The total amount of Mo and Co in all catalysts was set at 5.0 wt.% for Mo, and from 1.0 to 1.7 wt.% for Co. In accordance with the content in metal, the materials were named: EZsi-5%Mo, EZsi-5%Mo-1%Co, and EZsi-5%Mo-1.7%Co.

(NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O was purchased from Alfa Aesar (99%) and used a precursor for molybdenum. Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Acros, 99%) was used a precursor for cobalt. The samples were first dried at room temperature followed by calcination at 550 °C for 5 h in static air. All the chemicals were used as received without any further purification.

30 ml/min, $10 \text{ vol}\% \text{ H}_2\text{S}$ in H_2 gas flow was used for the sulfidation of the samples. The treatment was performed in a Pyrex reactor on 300 mg of the fraction 35-45 mesh. The samples were first treated in N₂ flow at 140 °C for 2 h followed by heating up to 350 °C (2,8 °C·min–1 ramp rate, in N₂ flow) and sulfidation treatment for 2 h. After the sulfidation, the samples were cooled down in N₂. The flow of N₂ was maintained for 3 at room temperature before unloading of the samples.

2.3. Physicochemical characterization

The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded on a PANalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer using the Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). The scanning electron micrographs were collected on a MIRA-LMH (Tescan) SEM equipped with a field emission gun.

The formation of the metal oxide and sulfide phases was monitored by Raman spectroscopy. The Raman spectra were acquired with a green laser at 532 nm (Jobin Yvon Labram 300 confocal Raman spectrometer coupled to a microscope and CCD detector). Both accumulation time and laser power were adjusted for the samples (embryonic zeolites after metal loading: 40-60 s accumulation 3 times at 50% laser power; after sulfidation: 15-25 s accumulation 3 times at 1% laser power).

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out with a SETSYS SETARAM analyzer. The temperature was increased from 30 to 800 °C at 5 °C/min in reconstituted ($80 \% N_2 + 20 \% O_2$) dry air (40 mL/min).

XPS measurements of the samples were carried out in a SPECS spectrometer equipped with a PHOIBOS 150 MCD analyzer working at fixed pass energy of 35 eV and 0.1 eV resolution for the studied zones. Al K α radiation (1486.6 eV) was used at 250 W and 12.5 kV. Prior to analysis, each sample was pressed into a thin disk. All XPS spectra were recorded at room temperature. The analytical chamber operates under an ultra-high vacuum (10-10 mbar). Binding energy correction was performed by fixing Si 2p at 103.4 eV corresponding to the unchanging zeolite support, and spectra were analyzed with CasaXPS software. To analyze the different Mo-species (MoO₂, MoO₃, Mo₂C, MoS₂) and the presence of coke or sulfur, high-definition spectra of the regions corresponding to S 2p, Mo 3d, O 1s, and C 1s levels were acquired and quantified.

2.4. Catalytic test

2.4.1. General procedure

The catalytic performance test was conducted on a continuous fix-bed reactor in the temperature range of 700-750 °C, WHSV ranges 5.9-17.7 g(C₂H₆+CO₂)/gcat × h^{-1} , under atmospheric pressure. The feed was C₂H₆/CO₂ mixture with molar ratio between 1/0.74 with 10 v% of nitrogen as internal standard.

In a typical catalytic measurement, a total of 150-300 mg of the 100–400 μ m fraction of catalyst diluted was loaded into the middle of the reactor plugged by quartz wool on two sides. Before the catalytic test, the catalyst bed was pre-treated at 300 °C under an N₂ flow for 2 hours. The calibration of the flow rates was verified at 300 °C when no reaction occurred. Then the catalysts were heated up to the reaction temperature with a ramping rate of 10 °C/min in the flow of ethane and CO₂. At the end of the test, the flow of the ethane and CO₂ was switched to nitrogen to cool down the reactor to the room temperature.

The effluents were analyzed online with a gas chromatograph (Interscience Compact GC) equipped with two TCDs and one FID. A Molsieve 5A, Rt-QBond, and Rtx-1 were used to separate light gases (H₂, N₂, CO, CO₂), light hydrocarbons (CH₄, C₂H₆, C₂H₆), and aromatics (from benzene till naphthalene), respectively. In all the experiments, N₂ was used as an internal standard to measure conversion and establish mass balance (Table S1).

Ethane conversion (C2 conversion) and CO_2 (CO₂ conversion), ethylene selectivity (C2= selectivity), and CO selectivity (CO selectivity) were obtained as follows; the sum of the selectivities of the C3-C6 fraction and aromatics was labeled heavies:

$$Conversion(i) = \frac{C_{inlet}(i) - C_{outlet}(i)}{C_{inlet}(i)} \cdot 100\%$$

Carbon selectivity (i) = $\frac{F(i)}{\sum F(i)} \cdot 100\%$,

where F(i) is the mol of C in the product compound. The calculations as 'all C-contained products' were applied for tests, where CO was produced selectively (with significant contribution of DRE. CO is produced from CO₂ and C₂H₆); as 'C2-based products' (ethylene, methane, heavies) they were applied, where it was preferable ethylene production (ethane is converted to ethylene, methane, and heavies, CO₂ is converted to CO). Conversion and selectivities were calculated for the 200 min time-of -stream (TOS).

2.4.2. Blank test (thermal test)

To avoid any performance artifact, the tests with the exact the same reaction mixtures but without any catalyst were performed (blank tests) in the range of the used by the study reaction conditions. The same starting procedure to the one, used in the experiments with the catalyst, was used. The blank test is also denoted as "thermal" test in the text.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the catalysts

The embryonic zeolites are known as X-Ray amorphous materials with microporosity. It was previously demonstrated that after the metal loading, EZ's properties are conserved [5]. Metal-charged EZ was subjected to an XRD study. A low intense XRD peak around 26 °2Ø was observed for the bimetallic EZsi-5%Mo-1%Co sample, which could be attributed to some polyoxide species (Fig. S1). The EDX mapping (Fig. S2) confirms that both metals are uniformly distributed on the embryonic support.

The formation of the oxidic phases was confirmed by Raman (Fig. S3). The Raman spectrum of $EZ_{Si}-5\%Mo-1\%Co$ shows different bands distribution with respect to monometallic $EZ_{Si}-5\%Mo$. While for the monometallic sample, the formation of a-MoO₃ (990 and 821 cm⁻¹) [50] phase is clearly visible, in the bimetallic sample, the bands of Mo–O–Mo and Mo-Ot functionalities of [Mo₇O₂₄]⁶⁻ polymolybdate species at 811, 870, and 937 cm⁻¹ are more intense [51,52]. The bands at 485 and 692 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to Co^(II, III)O_x [53].

The formation of the sulfidic precursor phase from oxidic is also monitored by Raman spectroscopy (Fig. S4). The bands at 370 and 404 cm⁻¹ are attributed to 2H-MoS₂. The shoulder at 410 cm⁻¹ and the band at 441 cm⁻¹ are attributed to Co polysulfides.⁵⁴

3.2. Catalytic performances

3.2.1. Role of cobalt promotion

A co-feeding experiment without a catalyst (Fig. 1) showed an ethane-to-ethylene conversion of about 14.2% with above 95% selectivity to ethylene. The CO₂ conversion was less than 2%. Our previous work showed that a Mo₂C-supported catalyst could boost the CO₂ hydrogenation activity to CO. In other words, the Mo₂C-supported catalyst catalyzes the reverse water-gas shift reaction (RWGS) without impacting the gas phase transformation of ethane to ethylene.⁵ This ethane and CO₂ interaction pathway could be regarded as the ethylene production route (ethane dehydrogenation) accompanied by CO₂ hydrogenation (RWGS).⁵⁵ In total, these reactions were equivalent to ODH-CO₂. However, the hydrogenation activity of the Mo₂C catalyst remained limited due to reoxidation. In order to understand the impact of cobalt addition, Co-promoted bimetallic (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s), Mo-based (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C)s), and Co-based (EZsi-(5%Co_xC)s) catalyst precursors were tested at 700 °C, with molar ratio ethane/CO₂=1/0.74 at WHSV-5.9 h⁻¹ (Fig. 1).

On the Co-containing sample (EZ_{Si}-(5%Co_xC)_s), a very different catalytic behavior was observed if compared with the Mo₂C-supported catalyst. The conversions of CO₂ and ethane were significantly higher, about 26.4% and 19%, respectively, while the monometallic Mo-containing catalyst showed CO₂ conversion=9.7%, and ethane conversion=14.7%. However, in contrast to the Mo-containing sample, the Co-based system produced a significant amount of methane and reduced the yield of ethylene.

Interestingly, the results obtained on bimetallic EZ₅-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s catalyst were very different from monometallic systems. CO₂ conversion was even further increased to the level of 70% as well as the conversion of ethane to about 32%. A clear synergetic effect between the two metals was observed. The selectivity pattern showed that a significant contribution of the DRE pathway occurs, which explains more than 3 times increased ethane conversion. Thus, the ethane and CO₂ interaction pathway is an alternative to the ethylene route, where the C-C bond scissions were promoted instead of C-H bond scissions.

As DRE contribution was significant thus, a part of the ethane carbons is transformed to CO, complementing those obtained from CO₂. The ethylene yield and CO/ethylene molar ratio obtained on the different catalysts were compared (Fig. 1). One can see that ethylene yield is very close to the ethane conversion in the thermal conversion without catalyst and over Mo₂C-supported catalyst. In contrast, the Co-contained samples tended to produce CO under the same reaction conditions. The molar ratio of CO/C₂H₆ significantly higher than 1 confirmed the prevalence of DRE to RWGS for the CO₂ conversion route. The Mo-Co-based sample was more prone to CO production than others. During the non-catalytic 'thermal' test, CO was almost absent in the product slate.



Fig. 1. Ethane (C2) co-processing with CO₂ on catalysts (C2): average conversion of CO₂ and ethane, ethylene yield, and molar ratio produced CO/C2= as the process descriptor (coke-free basis, T=700 °C, P=1 atm, C₂H₆/CO₂=1/0.74, WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹). Insert - Enlarged and detached CO/C₂ = molar ratio.

3.2.2. Impact of operating conditions

The first tests in co-processing CO₂ with ethane showed the synergetic effect of cobalt and molybdenum. The significantly higher catalyst activity was recorded but at the expense of selectivity. In order to optimize the process, the effect of the weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) and the reaction temperature was studied.

In the case of the reaction proceeding along the route for ethylene production, CO is obtained substantially by CO₂ hydrogenation (RWGS) with a selectivity close to 100%⁵ without any significant DRE contribution. Under the conditions favoring ethylene formation, the selectivities of the non-catalytic (thermal) and catalytic processes were compared on a C2-products basis. The selectivities calculations as 'all C-contained products' were applied for comparison with the experiments, where CO was registered as the preferred product.

The bimetallic catalyst (EZ_{5i}-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)₅) was tested at 700 °C at two WHSV values - 5.9 to 11.8 h⁻¹ (Fig. 2a, b). The data in Fig. 2 shows that the change of WHSV resulted in a dramatic selectivity change and suppression of the DRE pathway. Ethylene has become the main product at higher WHSV. The selectivity pattern becomes again very similar to the one of ODH-CO₂. This could indicate that the ethylene undergoes further transformation on the catalytic surface and reacts with CO₂ resulting in additional CO formation.

A series of experiments were performed at 750 °C, with WHSV 11.8 and 17.7 h⁻¹ at the same molar ratio of C₂H₆/CO₂=1/0.74 (Fig. 2c, d). In contrast to 700 °C, the most abandoned product at WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹ was CO. However, an increase of the WHSV to 17.7 h⁻¹ immediately changed the selectivity to the ethylene production route accompanied by RWGS. At WHSV=17.7 h⁻¹, CO₂ conversion (33.6%) was lower than for ethane (41.3%). The effluent flow contained mostly ethylene, some methane, and heavies in addition to the CO released from the CO₂ hydrogenation (RWGS). This result suggests that ethylene is an intermediate compound that reacts with CO₂ at a higher conversion level (Fig. 2d). The conversion of CO₂ and ethane at WHSV-5.9 h⁻¹, T=700 °C as a function of TOS is presented in Fig. S5. Some decrease of CO₂ conversion was observed while C2 conversion remained stable in TOS.



Fig. 2. Ethane (C2) co-processing with CO₂ on EZ_{5i}-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s: a and c- average conversion of CO₂ and ethane; b and d- all C-products selectivities (coke-free basis) as a function of WHSV, h⁻¹ (a-b: T=700 °C and c-d: T=750 °C, P=1 atm, C₂H₆/CO₂=1/0.74).

In brief, WHSV variations on bimetallic samples allow adjusting the product selectivity. At higher WHSV and lower contact time, the process is set to ethylene production (ODH-CO₂). Thus, the product selectivity distribution proves that an increase in WHSV prevents transformation via dry reforming. In contrast, at lower WHSV, the process is more selective to CO production. Therefore, the pathway of DRE prevails.

In order to compare the catalyst performance of the monometallic and bimetallic catalysts, the data were linearly extrapolated to the same level of conversion of ethane (18.2%) (Fig. 3a). It was equivalent to a temperature increase of about 7 °C for a monometallic sample. The comparison was performed on ethane-based selectivity. The sum of the methane and heavy fractions selectivity was higher for EZ_{si} -(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s and equaled about 3% in contrast to about 2% on Mo₂C-supported catalyst. In contrast, the bimetallic catalyst showed higher conversion in CO₂ hydrogenation of about 20% vs. about 15% on EZ_{si} -(5%Mo₂C)s. Importantly, the same conversion level of ethane with roughly similar selectivity could be reached on a Co-promoted catalyst at a 7 °C lower temperature and shorter contact time without substantial loss in selectivity.



Fig. 3. (a) Comparison of catalysts EZsi-5%(Mo₂C)s and EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s in ODH-CO₂ of ethane at the same conversion level of ethane 18.2%; (b) at the same conversion level of ethane 41.3%. (coke-free basis, a: T=700 °C, b:

T =750 °C, P = 1 atm, C₂H₆/CO₂ = 1/0.74, C2-based selectivities). Note - for EZ_{Si}-(5%Mo₂C)s, the results were extrapolated at 710 °C (conversion 18.2%) and at 753 °C (conversion 41.3%).

Similarly, the performance of $EZ_{si-}(5\%Mo_2C-1\%Co_xC)s$ catalyst at 750 °C and WHSV-17.7 h⁻¹ was compared with the extrapolated performances of the monometallic $EZ_{si-}(5\%Mo_2C)s$ (WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹) (Fig. 3b). The comparison was performed at the conversion level of ethane (41.3%). One can see that the selectivity pattern was very similar but at three times shorter contact time. We interpret this result as proof that the cobalt doesn't modify the active sites' nature. In other words, Co-addition stabilizes the Mo₂C active phase and boosts the activity of the catalyst without a significant change in selectivity due to better utilization of the Mo-loaded counterparts.

3.3. Study of the spent catalysts

3.3.1. XRD study

The effect of cobalt loading on the resistance of catalysts to oxidation and preventing MoO₂ formation was studied. According to the XRD results, the stability of the Mo₂C species to reoxidation on Co-promoted materials significantly increased, compared with the monometallic system (Fig. 4). For the spent EZ_{5i} -(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s-750 (WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹), and EZ_{5i} -(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s-750 (WHSV=17.7 h⁻¹) catalysts, the XRD study did not reveal MoO₂ presence in the carbide phase. Typically, MoO₂ for this type of catalyst appeared by intense peaks at 26, triplets at 37.4, and 53.5°. This XRD signature was observed for the spent monometallic sample. For the bimetallic sample tested at lower WHSV (selective CO production), an intense peak at 26° was registered. However, a triplet around 37° two theta or other MoO₂ characteristic peaks was not detected. Therefore, this peak has a different nature. The SEM inspection revealed the presence of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (Fig. 5). In general, well-graphitized multi-wall CNTs (MWCNT) show peaks at 26° (002) [56], 42-44° (100) [57, 58], 53° (004) and 78° (110).



Fig. 4. XRD patterns of spent monometallic (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C)s) and bimetallic (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s) catalysts at 750 °C. Mo₂C simulated from the cif of crystal with orthorhombic Pbcn space group [59], MoO₂ pattern simulated from the cif of monoclinic crystal with P21/c space group [60].

XRD peaks of the Mo₂C phase show low intensity. We attribute this feature to the EZ carriers, which limits the growth of Mo₂C. Also, the carbide particle size is limited due to the nature of the carburization agent [61]. However, some bulkier particle formation is still possible. In other words, particles' aggregation might occur due to the formation of MoO₂ on the particles' surface. Still, our data show that the Co-promoting reduces the rate of carbide oxidation to oxide and thus stabilizes the catalyst composition. This is confirmed by the nature spent bimetallic samples pattern.

The formation of filamentous carbon containing some CNT species was observed only on Co-promoted samples (Fig. 5). The amount of CNT was highest under CO preferential formation conditions (Fig. 5b) and most probably

originates from the ethylene conversion [62,63]. The CNT-like species formation was almost not observed on the bimetallic catalyst under the conditions favoring ethylene production (Fig. 5c).



Fig. 5. SEM images of the spent samples: (a) EZsi-(5%Mo₂C)s-750, WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹, (b) EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s-750, WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹, and (c) EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s, WHSV=17.7 h⁻¹.

3.3.2. TG study. The TGA curves measured for monometallic and bimetallic spent catalysts are given in Fig. 6. All Mo₂C-containing samples demonstrated a slight weight increase up to 470 °C due to the oxidation reaction of Mo₂C to MoO₃. The weight loss above 470 °C corresponded to deposit carbon combustion.

One of the bimetallic samples (EZ₅-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)₅) was tested under conditions favoring ethylene production (WHSV=17.7 h⁻¹). The same bimetallic sample was tested under conditions favoring CO production (WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹). These results were compared with the TG curve of the spent monometallic EZ₅-(5%Mo₂C)₅ (WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹) catalyst at 750 °C (Fig. 6). The total weight loss for the bimetallic sample tested at WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹ was much higher than for the two other samples. Therefore, the bimetallic sample favors the coke formation when it generates ethylene in a lower concentration. Moreover, the deposited carbon was in the form of CNTs (Fig. 5b). However, it was not possible to quantify the percentage of coke and nanotubes.



Fig. 6. Thermogravimetric analysis of spent EZsi-5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s and EZsi-(5%Mo₂C)s spent at 750 °C.

3.3.3. XPS study

XPS measurements were performed for samples spent at 750 °C to verify a carbide phase presence. Monometallic and bimetallic samples were compared under various conditions: favoring CO or ethylene formation. The observed peaks are characteristic of Mo (3d), C (1s), O (1s), Si (2s), and S (2s).

The XPS spectra of the samples are presented in Fig. S6, while the high-resolution spectra of Mo (3d) and C (1s) are depicted in Fig. 7. The presence of the carbide and oxide phase was identified by the Mo (3d) high-resolution spectrum, where a complex peak was obtained. To estimate the contribution of different components, a deconvolution using

CASA software was carried out, considering that all the species should provide a doublet in proportion 2:3 corresponding to 3d3/2 and 3d5/2, and the energy gap between them should be in 3.1 – 3.2 eV range. The peaks centered at 231.5 and 235 eV could be assigned to Mo3d_{5/2} and Mo3d_{3/2} of Mo⁶⁺, respectively, while those around 228 and 231.3 eV to Mo3d_{5/2} and Mo3d_{3/2} of Mo⁴⁺, and the ones at 223.8 and 227 to Mo3d_{5/2} and Mo3d_{3/2} of Mo²⁺. The Mo⁴⁺ presence could be matched with the MoO₂ phase, while Mo⁶⁺ emanates from MoO₃ obtained from metastable MoO₂ during the analysis. The analysis of the Si (2s)+S (2p) (Fig. S7) and Mo (3d)+S (2s) ranges do not indicate the presence of sulfur, while Mo⁴⁺ could not be attributed to MoS₂. Therefore, the Mo²⁺ presence could be accepted as evidence of Mo₂C presence. The O 1s spectrum (Fig. S8) also displays one peak at 531.5 eV corresponding to Si-O-Si and Mo-O.

The observation of the three oxidation states of Mo suggests the presence of MoO₃ (Mo⁶⁺), MoO₄ and/or MoS₂ (Mo⁴⁺), and Mo₂C (Mo²⁺). The O, C, and S species were also analyzed to verify these assumptions. In the case of O (1s) (Fig. S8), the presence of oxide-based compounds is indistinguishable; the peak centered at 531.5 eV could correspond to both Si-O-Si and Mo-O_x oxides. On the contrary, in the analysis of C (1s) (Fig. 7), the presence of a shoulder or second peak at lower binding energy (c.a. 279.4 eV) with respect to the usual peak at 284.6 eV indicates the formation of carbides, supporting the formation of Mo₂C. Finally, to distinguish between MoO₄ and MoS₂, S (2p) was analyzed. The absence of S (2p) (at c.a. 162.1 eV, Fig. S7) allows for attributing the Mo⁴⁺ to the formation of MoO₄.

From the C (1s) spectra analysis, two peaks around 280 and 283.2 eV are identified (Fig. 7). A peak around 280 eV corresponds to C bonded to Mo, and the one around 283.2 eV is associated with C-C. Based on the ratio between these peaks (Fig. 7), the following trend can be traced - for cobalt-promoted samples, the carbon content in the carbide phase is equal to or higher than in the coke. Thus, this further confirms the assumption that the proportion of coke in carbon deposits is significantly reduced for bimetallic samples.

The addition of cobalt to the Mo-containing catalysts contributes to preserving the carbide phase oxidation to molybdenum oxide species under the reaction conditions and reduces carbon deposition on the catalyst. Molybdenum oxide species are inactive neither in CO₂ hydrogenation not in ethane dehydrogenation. So, the Co-promoted samples allow maintaining higher concentration of the carbide species during the reaction vs. monometallic catalysts, resulting in much higher catalyst activity and in achieving the same conversion level at milder conditions (lower temperature, shorter contact times). However, the selectivity at the same conversion level remains the same for both Co-promoted and monometallic catalysts, what could be due to the similar nature of the active sites on both catalysts.

4. Conclusions

Mo-Co carbide supported on all-silica embryonic zeolites showed significantly higher activity in ODH-CO₂ in contrast to the monometallic sample without any substantial impact on selectivity to ethylene. In addition, co-promoting led to improving both dehydrogenative and hydrogenative properties.

By adjustment of the operating conditions, the cobalt-promoted Mo₂C system can favor either DRE or ODH-CO₂ reaction pathways, in other words, for CO and ethylene production, on the same catalyst.

Co-promoting has several positive effects on Mo₂C-based catalysts' stability. First, it prevents fast catalyst surface oxidation by the CO₂ to MoO₂ phase, which is not active in hydrogenation. The formation of MoO₂ is mainly responsible for particle agglomeration, which eventually results in irreversible deactivation. Second, promoting with cobalt decreases carbon accumulation on the catalyst surface. Thus, Co-promoting of the Mo-carbide system resolves the issue of lower activity and allows mitigating catalyst deactivation in CO₂ coprocessing with ethane.

Carbon circularity through co-processing CO₂ with ethane over Co-promoted supported Mo-carbide systems opens new perspectives in CO₂-neutral chemical production.



Fig. 7. XPS high-resolution spectra of C 1s (left) Mo 3d (right) of EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s : applied for CO selective production (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s, 750, WHSV=11.8h⁻¹), and ethylene production (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s, 750, WHSV=17.7h⁻¹), and EZsi-(5%Mo₂C)s (WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by TotalEnergies, the Industrial Chair ANR-TOTAL "NanoClean Energy" [ANR-17-CHIN-0005-01] and project NanoCleanEnergy+ (Region Normandy). The authors thank the general research services center CITIUS for the XPS measurements.

Author Contributions

Vera Bikbaeva: Investigation, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft. Nikolay Nesterenko: Conceptualization, Supervision, Reviewing and Editing. Nuria García-Moncada: Investigation, Valentin Valtchev: Conceptualization, Supervision, Reviewing and Editing.

Supplementary Material

Summary of the supplementary information:

Fig. S1: XRD patterns of EZsi-5%Mo (WI), EZsi-9%Mo (HT), EZsi-5%MoO3-1%CoOx and EZsi-5%MoO3-1.7%CoOx;

Fig. S2: EDX elemental mapping of Mo and Co in EZsi-5%Mo-1%Co: Mo (a) and Co (b).

Fig. S3: Raman spectrum of EZ decorated with a) Mo oxide prepared by wetness impregnation (WI) and hydrothermal treatment (HT); b) Mo and Co oxide prepared by wetness impregnation (WI) and compared with EZ decorated with a Mo oxide (WI).

Fig. S4: Raman spectrum of EZ decorated with a) Mo oxide prepared by wetness impregnation (WI) and hydrothermal treatment (HT); b) Mo and Co oxide prepared by wetness impregnation (WI) and compared with EZ decorated with a Mo oxide (WI).

Fig. S5: CO₂ and C2 conversion as the function of time on stream (TOS) on EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s (700 °C, WHSV-5.9 h^{-1} , P=1 atm, and C₂H₆/CO₂=1/0.74).

Fig. S6: XPS analysis spectra of EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s applied for selective CO production (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s, WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹), applied for selective ethylene production (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s, WHSV=17.7 h⁻¹), and EZsi-(5%Mo₂C)s (WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹). All samples were characterized after catalytic tests at 750 °C.

Fig. S7: XPS high resolution spectra Si 2s of $EZ_{si}-(5\%Mo_2C-1\%Co_xC)s$ applied for selective CO production ($EZ_{si}-(5\%Mo_2C-1\%Co_xC)s$, WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹), applied for selective ethylene production ($EZ_{si}-(5\%Mo_2C-1\%Co_xC)s$, WHSV=17.7 h⁻¹), and $EZ_{si}-(5\%Mo_2C)s$ (WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹). All samples were characterized after catalytic tests at 750 °C.

Fig. S8: XPS high resolution spectra O 1s of EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s applied for selective CO production (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s, WHSV=11.8 h⁻¹), applied for selective ethylene production (EZsi-(5%Mo₂C-1%Co_xC)s, WHSV=17.7 h⁻¹), and EZsi-(5%Mo₂C)s (WHSV=5.9 h⁻¹). All samples were characterized after catalytic tests at 750 °C.

References

[1] Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/ (accessed January 23, 2023).

[2] The Future of Petrochemicals 2021, International Energy Agency report. https://www.iea.org/reports/the-future-of-petrochemicals.

[3] Global Energy Review 2021 – Analysis, IEA. https://www.iea.org/reports/global-energy-review-2021 (accessed January 24, 2023).

[4] Artificial photosynthesis for greener ethylene production. https://www.electronicspecifier.com/products/renewables/artificial-photosynthesis-for-greener-ethylene-production (accessed January 29, 2023).

[5] V. Bikbaeva, N. Nesterenko, S. Konnov, T.-S. Nguyen, J.-P. Gilson, V. Valtchev, A low carbon route to ethylene: Ethane oxidative dehydrogenation with CO₂ on embryonic zeolite supported Mo-carbide catalyst, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental. 320 (2023) 122011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2022.122011.

[6] V. Bikbaeva, O. Perez, N. Nesterenko, V. Valtchev, Ethane oxidative dehydrogenation with CO₂ on thiogallates, Inorg. Chem. Front. (2022) 10.1039.D2QI01630C. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2QI01630C.

[7] E.R. https://www.emergenresearch.com, Syngas Market Trend | Synthesis Gas Industry Forecast 2021-2030. https://www.emergenresearch.com/amp/industry-report/syngas-market (accessed January 23, 2023).

[8] Y. Wang, K. Wang, B. Zhang, X. Peng, X. Gao, G. Yang, H. Hu, M. Wu, N. Tsubaki, Direct Conversion of CO₂ to Ethanol Boosted by Intimacy-Sensitive Multifunctional Catalysts, ACS Catal. 11 (2021) 11742–11753. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.1c01504.

[9] O.A. Ojelade, S.F. Zaman, A review on CO₂ hydrogenation to lower olefins: Understanding the structure-property relationships in heterogeneous catalytic systems, Journal of CO₂ Utilization. 47 (2021) 101506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101506.

[10] N. Nesterenko, J. Aguilhon, Ph. Bodart, D. Minoux, J.-P. Dath, Methanol to Olefins, in: Zeolites and Zeolite-Like Materials, Elsevier, 2016: pp. 189–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63506-8.00006-9.

[11] W. Cho, H. Yu, Y. Mo, CO₂ Conversion to Chemicals and Fuel for Carbon Utilization, in: Y. Yun (Ed.), Recent Advances in Carbon Capture and Storage, InTech, 2017. https://doi.org/10.5772/67316.

[12] S.C. Arnold, A.M. Gaffney, R. Song, C.Y. Yeh, Process for producing ethylene via oxidative dehydrogenation (ODH) of ethane, US8519210B2, 2013.

[13] F. Cavani, N. Ballarini, A. Cericola, Oxidative dehydrogenation of ethane and propane: How far from commercial implementation?, Catalysis Today. 127 (2007) 113–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2007.05.009.

[14] T. Yabe, Y. Sekine, Methane conversion using carbon dioxide as an oxidizing agent: A review, Fuel Processing Technology. 181 (2018) 187–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2018.09.014.

[15] M. González-Castaño, B. Dorneanu, H. Arellano-García, The reverse water gas shift reaction: a process systems engineering perspective, React. Chem. Eng. (2021) 954–976. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1039/D0RE00478B.

[16] C.-S. Chen, W.-H. Cheng, S.-S. Lin, Study of reverse water gas shift reaction by TPD, TPR and CO₂ hydrogenation over potassium-promoted Cu/SiO₂ catalyst, Applied Catalysis A: General. 238 (2003) 55–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(02)00221-1.

[17] S.S. Kim, H.H. Lee, S.C. Hong, A study on the effect of support's reducibility on the reverse water-gas shift reaction over Pt catalysts, Applied Catalysis A: General. 423–424 (2012) 100–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2012.02.021.

[18] S.S. Kim, K.H. Park, S.C. Hong, A study of the selectivity of the reverse water–gas-shift reaction over Pt/TiO₂ catalysts, Fuel Processing Technology. 108 (2013) 47–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2012.04.003.

[19] J.H. Kwak, L. Kovarik, J. Szanyi, CO₂ Reduction on Supported Ru/Al₂O₃ Catalysts: Cluster Size Dependence of Product Selectivity, ACS Catal. 3 (2013) 2449–2455. https://doi.org/10.1021/cs400381f.

[20] A. Ranjbar, A. Irankhah, S.F. Aghamiri, Reverse water gas shift reaction and CO₂ mitigation: nanocrystalline MgO as a support for nickel based catalysts, Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 6 (2018) 4945–4952. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2018.07.032.

[21] L. Deng, X. Ai, F. Xie, G. Zhou, Efficient Ni-based catalysts for low-temperature reverse water-gas shift (RWGS) reaction, Chem. Asian J. 16 (2021) 949–958. https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.202100100.

[22] A.G. Kharaji, A. Shariati, M. Ostadi, Development of Ni–Mo/Al₂O₃ Catalyst for Reverse Water Gas Shift (RWGS) Reaction, J Nanosci Nanotechnol. 14 (2014) 6841–6847. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2014.8962.

[23] H. Jing, Q. Li, J. Wang, D. Liu, K. Wu, Theoretical Study of the Reverse Water Gas Shift Reaction on Copper Modified β-Mo₂C(001) Surfaces, J. Phys. Chem. C. 123 (2019) 1235–1251. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b09884.

[24] Q. Zhang, L. Pastor-Pérez, W. Jin, S. Gu, T.R. Reina, Understanding the promoter effect of Cu and Cs over highly effective β-Mo₂C catalysts for the reverse water-gas shift reaction, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental. 244 (2019) 889–898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.12.023.

[25] Y. Ma, Z. Guo, Q. Jiang, K.-H. Wu, H. Gong, Y. Liu, Molybdenum carbide clusters for thermal conversion of CO₂ to CO via reverse water-gas shift reaction, Journal of Energy Chemistry. 50 (2020) 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2020.03.012.

[26] A. Pajares, X. Liu, J.R. Busacker, P. Ramírez de la Piscina, N. Homs, Supported Nanostructured Mo_xC Materials for the Catalytic Reduction of CO₂ through the Reverse Water Gas Shift Reaction, Nanomaterials. 12 (2022) 3165. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12183165.

[27] K.P. Reddy, S. Dama, N.B. Mhamane, M.K. Ghosalya, T. Raja, C.V. Satyanarayana, C.S. Gopinath, Molybdenum carbide catalyst for the reduction of CO₂ to CO: surface science aspects by NAPPES and catalysis studies, Dalton Trans. 48 (2019) 12199–12209. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9DT01774G.

[28] W. Marquart, S. Raseale, G. Prieto, A. Zimina, B.B. Sarma, J.-D. Grunwaldt, M. Claeys, N. Fischer, CO₂ Reduction over Mo₂C-Based Catalysts, ACS Catal. 11 (2021) 1624–1639. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c05019.

[29] W. Marquart, M. Claeys, N. Fischer, Conversion of CO₂ and small alkanes to platform chemicals over Mo₂C-based catalysts, Faraday Discuss. 230 (2021) 68–86. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FD00138D.

[30] S. Yao, B. Yan, Z. Jiang, Z. Liu, Q. Wu, J.H. Lee, J.G. Chen, Combining CO₂ Reduction with Ethane Oxidative Dehydrogenation by Oxygen-Modification of Molybdenum Carbide, ACS Catal. 8 (2018) 5374–5381. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b00541.

[31] A. Kurlov, D. Stoian, A. Baghizadeh, E. Kountoupi, E.B. Deeva, M. Willinger, P.M. Abdala, A. Fedorov, C.R. Müller, The structural evolution of Mo₂C and Mo₂C/SiO₂ under dry reforming of methane conditions: morphology and support effects, Catal. Sci. Technol. 12 (2022) 5620–5628. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CY00729K.

[32] Q. Bkour, C.M. Cuba-Torres, O.G. Marin-Flores, S. Tripathi, N. Ravishankar, M.G. Norton, S. Ha, Mechanistic study of the reduction of MoO₂ to Mo₂C under methane pulse conditions, J Mater Sci. 53 (2018) 12816–12827. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-018-2549-0.

[33] Y. Zheng, Y. Tang, J.R. Gallagher, J. Gao, J.T. Miller, I.E. Wachs, S.G. Podkolzin, Molybdenum Oxide, Oxycarbide, and Carbide: Controlling the Dynamic Composition, Size, and Catalytic Activity of Zeolite-Supported Nanostructures, J. Phys. Chem. C. 123 (2019) 22281–22292. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b05449.

[34] C.G. Silva, F.B. Passos, V.T. da Silva, Influence of the support on the activity of a supported nickel-promoted molybdenum carbide catalyst for dry reforming of methane, Journal of Catalysis. 375 (2019) 507–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2019.05.024.

[35] Y. Deng, Y. Ge, M. Xu, Q. Yu, D. Xiao, S. Yao, D. Ma, Molybdenum Carbide: Controlling the Geometric and Electronic Structure of Noble Metals for the Activation of O–H and C–H Bonds, Acc. Chem. Res. 52 (2019) 3372–3383. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00182.

[36] Z. Xie, X. Wang, X. Chen, P. Liu, J.G. Chen, General Descriptors for CO₂ -Assisted Selective C–H/C–C Bond Scission in Ethane, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 144 (2022) 4186–4195. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c13415.

[37] F. Solymosi, R. Nemeth, The oxidative dehydrogenation of ethane with CO₂ over Mo₂C/SiO₂ catalyst, Cat Lett. 62 (1999) 197–200.

[38] J. Brenner, C.L. Marshall, L. Ellis, N. Tomczyk, J. Heising, M. Kanatzidis, Microstructural Characterization of Highly HDS-Active Co₆S₈-Pillared Molybdenum Sulfides, Chem. Mater. 10 (1998) 1244–1257. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm970592t.

[39] J. Weitkamp, Catalytic Hydrocracking—Mechanisms and Versatility of the Process, ChemCatChem. 4 (2012) 292–306. https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201100315.

[40] I. Sorribes, L. Liu, A. Corma, Nanolayered Co–Mo–S Catalysts for the Chemoselective Hydrogenation of Nitroarenes, ACS Catal. 7 (2017) 2698–2708. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b00170.

[41] X. Li, K. Qiao, F. Subhan, W. Xing, X. Liu, Z. Yan, Preparation and hydrodesulfurization properties of cobalt–molybdenum–phosphorous catalysts for removal of dibenzothiophene, Appl Petrochem Res. 5 (2015) 405–411. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13203-015-0124-z.

[42] G. Wang, X. Zhu, J. Zhang, Y. Sun, C. Li, H. Shan, Catalytic dehydrogenation of isobutane over Co-based catalysts, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 57071–57082. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA08849B.

[43] X. Li, A.-E. Surkus, J. Rabeah, M. Anwar, S. Dastigir, H. Junge, A. Brückner, M. Beller, Cobalt Single-Atom Catalysts with High Stability for Selective Dehydrogenation of Formic Acid, Angewandte Chemie International Edition. 59 (2020) 15849–15854. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202004125.

[44] H. He, C. Miao, H. Guo, W. Hua, Y. Yue, Z. Gao, Ethane dehydrogenation over Co-based MOR zeolites, Reac Kinet Mech Cat. 135 (2022) 2045–2058. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11144-022-02231-9.

[45] H.M. Nguyen, G.H. Pham, R. Ran, R. Vagnoni, V. Pareek, S. Liu, Dry reforming of methane over Co–Mo/Al₂O₃ catalyst under low microwave power irradiation, Catal. Sci. Technol. 8 (2018) 5315–5324. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CY01601A.

[46] H. Guo, H. He, C. Miao, W. Hua, Y. Yue, Z. Gao, Ethane conversion in the presence of CO₂ over Co-based ZSM-5 zeolite: Co species controlling the reaction pathway, Molecular Catalysis. 519 (2022) 112155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2022.112155.

[47] M.D. Porosoff, Carbon Dioxide Reduction using Supported Catalysts and Metal-Modified Carbides, Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, Columbia university, 2015. https://academiccommons.columbia.edu.

[48] A. Rodriguez-Gomez, J.P. Holgado, A. Caballero, Cobalt Carbide Identified as Catalytic Site for the Dehydrogenation of Ethanol to Acetaldehyde, ACS Catal. 7 (2017) 5243–5247. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b01348.

[49] M. Akouche, J.-P. Gilson, N. Nesterenko, S. Moldovan, D. Chateigner, H.E. Siblani, D. Minoux, J.-P. Dath, V. Valtchev, Synthesis of Embryonic Zeolites with Controlled Physicochemical Properties, Chem. Mater. 32 (2020) 2123–2132. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b05258.

[50] S.-H. Lee, M.J. Seong, C.E. Tracy, A. Mascarenhas, J.R. Pitts, S.K. Deb, Raman spectroscopic studies of electrochromic a-MoO₃ thin films, Solid State Ionics. 147 (2002) 129–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(01)01035-9.
[51] A. Christodoulakis, E. Heracleous, A. Lemonidou, S. Boghosian, An operando Raman study of structure and reactivity of alumina-supported molybdenum oxide catalysts for the oxidative dehydrogenation of ethane, Journal of

Catalysis. 242 (2006) 16–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2006.05.024.
[52] E. Dominguez Garcia, J. Chen, E. Oliviero, L. Oliviero, F. Maugé, New insight into the support effect on HDS catalysts: evidence for the role of Mo-support interaction on the MoS2 slab morphology, Applied Catalysis B:

Environmental. 260 (2020) 117975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117975.

[53] S. Hu, C. Melton, D. Mukherjee, A facile route for the synthesis of nanostructured oxides and hydroxides of cobalt using laser ablation synthesis in solution (LASIS), Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16 (2014) 24034–24044. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP03018D. [54] L. Zhu, D. Susac, M. Teo, K. Wong, P. Wong, R. Parsons, D. Bizzotto, K. Mitchell, S. Campbell, Investigation of CoS2-based thin films as model catalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction, Journal of Catalysis. 258 (2008) 235–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2008.06.016.

 [55] T.D. Nguyen, W. Zheng, F.E. Celik, G. Tsilomelekis, CO 2 -assisted ethane oxidative dehydrogenation over MoO catalysts supported on reducible CeO2–TiO2, Catal. Sci. Technol. 11 (2021) 5791–5801. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CY00362C.

[56] A. Saravanan, K. Prasad, N. Gokulakrishnan, R. Kalaivani, T. Somanathan, Efficiency of Transition Metals in Combustion Catalyst for High Yield Helical Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes, Adv Sci Engng Med. 6 (2014) 809–813. https://doi.org/10.1166/asem.2014.1569.

[57] R. Atchudan, A. Pandurangan, J. Joo, Effects of Nanofillers on the Thermo-Mechanical Properties and Chemical Resistivity of Epoxy Nanocomposites, J Nanosci Nanotechnol. 15 (2015) 4255–4267. https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2015.9706.

[58] R. Atchudan, A. Pandurangan, The use of bimetallic MCM-41 mesoporous catalysts for the synthesis of MWCNTs by chemical vapor deposition, J Mol Catal A Chem. 355 (2012) 75–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2011.11.028.

[59] A.N. Christensen, H. Kvande, P.G. Wahlbeck, E. Näsäkkälä, A Neutron Diffraction Investigation on a Crystal of alpha-Mo₂C., Acta Chem. Scand. 31a (1977) 509–511. https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.31a-0509.

[60] T. Leisegang, A.A. Levin, J. Walter, D.C. Meyer, In situ X-ray analysis of MoO₃ reduction, Cryst. Res. Technol. 40 (2005) 95–105. https://doi.org/10.1002/crat.200410312.

[61] T. Xiao, A.P.E. York, K.S. Coleman, J.B. Claridge, J. Sloan, J. Chanrock, M.L.H. Green, Effect of carburising agent on the structure of molybdenum carbides, J. Mater. Chem. (2001) 3094–3098. https://doi.org/doi-org.inc.bib.cnrs.fr/10.1039/B104011C.

[62] S. Takenaka, T. Iguchi, E. Tanabe, H. Matsune, M. Kishida, Formation of carbon nanotubes through ethylene decomposition over supported Pt catalysts and silica-coated Pt catalysts, Carbon. 47 (2009) 1251–1257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2008.12.051.

[63] E.M. Khabushev, D.V. Krasnikov, A.E. Goldt, E.O. Fedorovskaya, A.P. Tsapenko, Q. Zhang, E.I. Kauppinen, T. Kallio, A.G. Nasibulin, Joint effect of ethylene and toluene on carbon nanotube growth, Carbon. 189 (2022) 474–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2021.12.052.