

Water-Based Microwave-Assisted Extraction of Pigments from Madder Optimized by a Box–Behnken Design

Marine Chambaud, Cyril Colas, Emilie Destandau

► To cite this version:

Marine Chambaud, Cyril Colas, Emilie Destandau. Water-Based Microwave-Assisted Extraction of Pigments from Madder Optimized by a Box–Behnken Design. Separations, 2023, 10 (8), pp.433. 10.3390/separations10080433 . hal-04270158

HAL Id: hal-04270158 https://hal.science/hal-04270158

Submitted on 3 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Article Water-Based Microwave-Assisted Extraction of Pigments from Madder Optimized by a Box–Behnken Design

Marine Chambaud ^{1,2}, Cyril Colas ^{1,3} and Emilie Destandau ^{1,*}

- ¹ Institut de Chimie Organique et Analytique, Université d'Orléans, CNRS, UMR 7311, BP6759, 45067 Orléans, France; marine.chambaud@univ-orleans.fr (M.C.); cyril.colas@univ-orleans.fr (C.C.)
- ² Terre de Couleur, 6 rue de Châtenay, 37210 Rochecorbon, France
- ³ Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire, Université d'Orléans, CNRS, UPR 4311, 45071 Orléans, France
- * Correspondence: emilie.destandau@univ-orleans.fr

Abstract: Water is one of the greenest and most accessible solvents. To harness its potential, the water-based microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) of pigments from madder was optimized by the response surface methodology (RSM) using a Box–Behnken experimental design. The extract that presented both the highest extraction yield and the most intense color was obtained after a 30-s cycle at 1000 W using 100 mg of madder for 20 mL of water. This water-based MAE was more efficient than Soxhlet extraction and proved comparable to hydroalcoholic MAE. The optimized extract was further characterized using UHPLC-HRMS/MS to identify its main compounds. Glycosylated flavonoids and anthraquinones were putatively identified, as well as free anthraquinones, generally found in madder. The microwave-assisted extraction extended the range of polarity of the extracted compounds, making the water more versatile.

Keywords: microwave-assisted extraction; water-based extraction; *Rubia tinctorum*; response surface methodology; UHPLC-HRMS/MS; anthraquinones



Citation: Chambaud, M.; Colas, C.; Destandau, E. Water-Based Microwave-Assisted Extraction of Pigments from Madder Optimized by a Box–Behnken Design. *Separations* 2023, 10, 433. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/separations10080433

Academic Editors: Nguyen Van Quan and Tran Dang Xuan

Received: 20 June 2023 Revised: 26 July 2023 Accepted: 29 July 2023 Published: 30 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The search for safe, efficient, eco-sustainable products in the cosmetic industry has led to an ever-growing interest in plant secondary metabolites. Although synthetic pigments have long been preferred for their price, resistance, and brightness [1], nowadays, natural pigments are regaining popularity as they are deemed safer for human health and the environment [2,3]. To ensure the sustainability and the quality of the ingredients, it is critical to develop efficient green extraction methods. Natural solvents and eco-responsible extraction methods can save time, energy, and solvent consumption while maintaining high extraction yields and performance [4,5].

Madder (*Rubia tinctorum* L.) is one of the oldest dye plants as evidence of its use dates back to Ancient Egypt [6]. Its roots give a distinctive red dye due to its high content of anthraquinones [6,7]. Madder pigments are especially suitable for the green transition as they display good stability under washing, UV, and temperature [1,8,9].

Conventionally, madder pigments are extracted by Soxhlet extraction using hydroalcoholic solvents [6,10]. The succession of extraction cycles with a recycled solvent allows the exhaustion of the plant and a high yield compared to maceration or simple reflux. However, Soxhlet extraction uses high extraction temperatures and usually lasts several hours. Due to its time, energy, and solvent consumption, it is not considered a green extraction process [11]. Moreover, the use of hot ethanol or methanol has been associated with the formation of 2-ethoxymethyl and 2-methoxymethyl artefacts, notably lucidin ethyl ether, a mutagenic compound [6,12].

Different techniques have been developed over the years to limit the use of organic solvents in the extraction process [4]. Water-based extraction is one of them, since water is accessible, inexpensive, non-toxic, and has virtually no impact on the environment [5,13].

As such, water can be considered one of the greenest and most practical solvents [4,5]. Nevertheless, water is often overlooked because of its high polarity, which limits the solubility of most secondary metabolites [5,13]. It is mainly effective to extract polar to very polar compounds when used with conventional extraction methods [3,13]. Alternative extraction methods such as subcritical water extraction or microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) have been proposed as suitable for water-based extraction [13]. It was shown that the high temperature and pressure conditions of these methods decrease the water polarity, thus enabling the extraction of lower-polarity compounds [3,14,15].

To the best of our knowledge, the only extractions of anthraquinones from *Rubiaceae* species carried out without any organic solvent are subcritical water extractions [14,16]. The only reports of MAE for the extraction of anthraquinones from *Rubiaceae* have used hydroalcoholic solvents [11].

This study aimed to develop and optimize the water-based microwave-assisted extraction (w-MAE) of *Rubia tinctorum* pigments. The response surface methodology (RSM) was used to develop the extraction method with the minimum time and solvent consumption. The effects of the microwave power, plant/water ratio, and extraction time were determined. The optimized extract was compared to hydroalcoholic Soxhlet extraction and MAE in order to assess the impact of the solvent on the extraction. Lastly, it was characterized using ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-HRMS/MS) to identify the main compounds responsible for the color. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first occurrence of w-MAE extraction for the pigments of madder.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material, Standards, and Chemicals

Terre de Couleur (Rochecorbon, France) provided the plant material in the form of powdered roots of *Rubia tinctorum* L. (reference GAR11, lot n°H21000493) from Cailleau's herbalist store (Chemillé-en-Anjou, France).

Alizarin standard was purchased from Extrasynthèse (Genay, France). Sodium carbonate was purchased from Merck (Val de Fontenay, France). Glucose, mannose, maltotriose, maltopentose, maltoheptaose, α -naphtyl, and Folin–Ciocalteu reagent came from Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany). HPLC-grade ethanol absolute and formic acid were purchased from VWR (Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) and sulfuric acid from Carlo Erba (Val de Reuil, France), while acetonitrile (ACN) and gallic acid came from Honeywell (Saint-Germain en Laye, France). Milli-Q water was produced on a Milli-Q EQ 7000 water purification system from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2. Extraction

2.2.1. Microwave-Assisted Extraction

Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) was performed on a Milestone MicroSYNTH 56 microwave oven monitored by the easyCONTROL command. The weighed sample of plant material and 20 mL of purified water or hydroalcoholic solvent (EtOH/water 50/50) were introduced in a 50 mL reactor. The microwave power was applied in a continuous manner. The pressure and temperature limits of the reactor were 15 bar and 180 °C.

MAE was optimized using the response surface methodology (RSM). The effects of the number of cycles (X₁), microwave power (X₂, W), and plant/solvent ratio (X₃, mg/20 mL of water) on the extraction yield Y% (Y (%) = 100 x mg_{extract}/mg_{madder}) were studied simultaneously using a three-level Box–Behnken design (Table 1). Preliminary experiments (not displayed here) were run to choose the levels of each factor. The duration of each cycle was set at 30 s to avoid overheating, and the reactor was cooled in ice for 10 min between cycles. The maximum temperature for each cycle was monitored as it could have had a quantitative or qualitative impact on the composition of the extracts.

X ₁ (Cycles)	X ₂ (Power in W)	X ₃ (Ratio in mg/20 mL)	Coded Variables
1	200	100	-1
3	600	550	0
5	1000	1000	1

Table 1. Coded and uncoded variables used in the experimental design.

Box–Benhken was chosen over other quadratic models for its efficiency in a limited number of experiments [17]. The design was constructed, randomized, and analyzed using the Ellistat software, version 6.4 (Poisy, France). Seventeen extracts were obtained, including 4 center points (Table 2).

Run Order	X ₁ (Cycles)	X ₂ (Power in W)	X ₃ (Ratio in mg/20 mL)
1	3	600	550
2	1	600	550
3	5	200	100
4	5	600	1000
5	1	200	100
6	3	600	550
7	1	1000	1000
8	5	1000	100
9	3	600	100
10	3	200	550
11	1	200	1000
12	3	600	550
13	1	1000	100
14	5	200	1000
15	3	1000	1000
16	5	1000	550
17	3	600	550

Table 2. Box–Behnken experimental design.

To predict the optimal level for each variable, a quadratic polynomial model was fitted to correlate the relationship between the variables and response. The fitness of the polynomial equation obtained was verified using the coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) and residuals. The significance of the model was tested using ANOVA. The effects were considered significant when their *p*-value was below 0.05.

The number of cycles (1, 3, or 5), power (200, 600, or 1000 W), and plant to water ratio (100, 550, or 1000 mg/20 mL) depended on the experimental design (Table 1). The extraction was followed by vacuum filtration on sintered glass and lyophilization to recover dried extracts and measure the extraction yields.

For comparison, an extraction was conducted with EtOH/water 50/50 using the optimized parameters from the experimental design. The extract was obtained with 5 cycles at 1000 W and 550 mg/20 mL of solvent. The extract was filtered under a vacuum on sintered glass. Ethanol was evaporated using a rotary evaporator (Buchi, Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland) with a water-bath temperature of 40 °C. The extract was then lyophilized to measure the extraction yield.

2.2.2. Reference Extractions

Soxhlet extraction was performed as a reference. First, 2.5 g of madder was extracted in a 25×80 mm cellulose extraction thimble using 100 mL of EtOH/water (50/50) at boiling temperature for 4 h.

Ethanol was evaporated using a rotary evaporator (Buchi, Labortechnik AG, Switzerland) with a water-bath temperature of 40 $^{\circ}$ C and the remaining water was lyophilized. The recovered dry extract was weighed and its yield was calculated.

The maceration of 2.5 g of madder in 100 mL of EtOH/water (50/50) at 30 °C for 24 h was performed. It was compared to the optimized extract to ensure that the compounds were not degraded during MAE.

2.3. UV Spectroscopy

The extracts were solubilized at 10 mg/mL in EtOH/water (50/50) and then diluted in water to 0.5 mg/mL. UV spectroscopy analysis was performed between 300 and 700 nm on a UV-1800 spectrophotometer from Shimadzu (Illkirch, France), controlled by the UVProbe 2.33 software.

2.4. Estimation of Carbohydrate Content

Carbohydrates were separated on HPTLC silica gel 60 F254 plates from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) with an ACN/water 75/25 eluent and developed using Molisch reagent. Two solutions were prepared for the derivatization. Solution A contained α -naphtol at 2 g/100 mL in EtOH and solution B was 5% H₂SO₄ in EtOH. A mix of D-glucose, mannose, maltotriose, maltopentose, and maltoheptaose at 5 mg/mL was prepared in Milli-Q water. The standard mix (2 μ L) and each extract (5 μ L) were spotted using the automatic sampler 4 from CAMAG (Moirans, France). The plate was then eluted for 14 min until 70 mm on a CAMAG automatic developing chamber 2 (ADS 2). After drying, the plate was developed with the two solutions of Molisch reagent using the CAMAG derivatizer and then placed on the TLC plate heater for 5 min at 120 °C. Carbohydrates were revealed as blue-violet spots in visible light and glycosylated polyphenols as orange spots near the solvent front.

2.5. Quantification of Total Phenolic Content and Anthraquinones

2.5.1. Total Phenolic Content Determination

Total phenolic content (TPC) was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu assay on a 96-well plate, as described by Grigoras et al. [18]. Extracts were solubilized in EtOH/water (50/50) at 10 mg/mL. A gallic acid standard solution was prepared at 0.6 mg/mL in EtOH to obtain calibration curve solutions ranging from 0.05 to 0.6 mg/mL. Gallic acid or extract (20 μ L) was deposited in triplicate and 10 μ L of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent was added. The plate was then kept in the dark at room temperature for 8 min before adding 30 μ L of aqueous sodium carbonate (20%) and 140 μ L of Milli-Q water. The plate was incubated for 2 h in the dark at room temperature, shaken, and then read at 760 nm on a CLARIOstar PLUS plate reader from BMG Labtech (Champigny sur Marne, France). Results are given in mg of gallic acid equivalent/g of extract using a linear equation based on the calibration curve of gallic acid (y = 25.493x – 0.0529, R² = 0.98).

2.5.2. Total Anthraquinone Determination

The anthraquinone content of the different extracts was determined by UV spectrophotometry at 425 nm. Extracts were prepared in EtOH/water (50/50) at 0.5 mg/mL. An alizarin standard at 3 mg/mL in EtOH was diluted in water to prepare calibration standard solutions (0.01–1 mg/mL). Each solution of alizarin was then diluted 20 times in water, giving a final concentration range of 0.5–50 µg/mL. Three independent extracts were analyzed and the average was calculated. Results are given in mg of alizarin equivalent/g of extract using a linear equation based on the calibration curve of alizarin (y = 14.931x + 0.0381, $R^2 = 0.99$).

A Tukey's range test was used to group extracts that had flavonoid or anthraquinone content that was not significantly different at 95%. The test was conducted using the Ellistat software.

2.6. UHPLC-ESI-QTOF Analysis

Chromatographic separations were performed on an Ultimate 3000 RSLC system equipped with an autosampler, a binary pump, a thermostated column compartment, and a DAD detector (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The stationary phase was a Luna[®] Omega C18 ($150 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}$; $1.6 \mu \text{m}$) column (Phenomenex, Le Pecq, France). A gradient elution (3–95% B in 15 min before re-equilibrating) was achieved at 0.5 mL/min with water (A) and ACN (B) both acidified with 0.1% formic acid.

MS and MS/MS experiments were performed on a maXis UHR-Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker, Bremen, Germany) with an electrospray ion source (ESI), in both negative and positive ionization modes, as they provided complementary data. The nebulizing gas pressure was set to 2 bar, and the dry gas flow rate was 9.0 L/min at 200 °C. The capillary voltage was set at 1800 V in negative ionization mode and 4500 V in positive ionization mode. Mass spectra were summed during 500 ms in the *m*/*z* range 50–1650. All the MS data were processed using the DataAnalysis software, version 4.4 (Bruker). Molecular formulae were generated using the SmartFormula algorithm with an elemental composition of C, H, O to an infinite number and N \leq 1 with mass accuracy \leq 3 ppm and were submitted to the SciFinder, PubChem, Lotus and Knapsack databases in order to propose compound structures.

Auto MS acquisition was used for MS/MS experiments with 3 precursors in the m/z range 150–1200. In MS/MS⁻, the collision energy was set to 25–35 eV. Two collision energies were applied in MS/MS⁺: 32.5 eV in the m/z range 150–370 to fragment flavonoids and anthraquinones and 10 eV in the m/z range 371–1200 to break the C-O glycosidic bonds in glycosylated flavonoids and anthraquinones, which are weaker than intramolecular C-C and heterocyclic bonds.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of Extraction Parameters

To optimize the extraction, a Box–Behnken experimental design was performed. The number of cycles, microwave power, and plant to water ratio were used as variables (Table 1). The extraction yield used as a response ranged from 12.3% to 22.9%. Table 3 shows the analysis of variance of the quadratic model. The regression model was highly significant (p = 0.0000), indicating high accuracy. The regression coefficient (R^2) was 98.3%, adjusted to 96.1%, showing a satisfactory correlation between the predicted and experimental results. The model was fitted to correlate the relationship between the yield (Y) and extraction parameters (X_1 , X_2 , X_3) (Equation (1)).

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Yield} = 0.1612 - 0.01685 \times X_1 - 7.43 \times 10^{-05} \times X_2 + 3.466 \times 10^{-05} \times X_3 + 0.003563 \times \\ \mbox{$X_1X_1 + 1.249 \times 10^{-07} \times X_2X_2 - 5.589 \times 10^{-08} \times X_3X_3 - 5.618 \times 10^{-07} \times X_1X_2 - 2.174 \times $$10^{-06} \times X_1X_3 + 3.219 \times 10^{-08} \times X_2X_3. $ \end{array}$

Model Term	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	F-Value	<i>p</i> -Value	Significant
Regression	0.0170	9	$1.89 imes10^{-03}$	45.1054	0.0000 ^a	Yes
Number of cycles (X_1)	0.0003	1	$2.86 imes10^{-04}$	6.8016	0.0350 ^a	Yes
Microwave power (X_2)	0.0136	1	$2.22 imes10^{-04}$	5.2905	0.0550	
Plant/water ratio (X_3)	0.0004	1	$8.30 imes10^{-05}$	1.9776	0.2025	
X_1X_1	0.0012	1	$5.16 imes10^{-04}$	12.2858	0.0099 ^a	Yes
X_2X_2	0.0010	1	$1.01 imes 10^{-03}$	24.1367	0.0017 ^a	Yes
X_3X_3	0.0003	1	$3.25 imes 10^{-04}$	7.7453	0.0272 ^a	Yes
X_1X_2	0.0000	1	$1.47 imes 10^{-06}$	0.0351	0.8567	
X_1X_3	0.0001	1	$2.79 imes10^{-05}$	0.6654	0.4415	
X_2X_3	0.0002	1	$2.45 imes10^{-04}$	5.8341	0.0464 ^a	Yes
Residual	0.0003	7	$4.20 imes10^{-05}$			
Total	0.0173	16				

Table 3. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the quadratic polynomial model.

^a Significant at p < 0.05.

The number of cycles (X_1 , p = 0.035) significantly affected the yield. The microwave power (X_2 , p = 0.0550) and plant to water ratio (X_3 , p = 0.2025) did not have any significant

effect on the yield, while their interaction did (X_2X_3 , p = 0.0464). Quadratic terms X_1X_1 (p = 0.0099), X_2X_2 (p = 0.0017), and X_3X_3 (p = 0.0272) also affected the yield. The predicted highest yield was 22.8%, obtained with five cycles, 1000 W, and 496 mg of madder for 20 mL of water. These parameters were close to those of extract 16 of the experimental design, obtained with five cycles, 1000 W, and 550 mg/20 mL, which afforded the highest yield at 22.9%.

The number of extraction cycles and consequently the extraction time are generally associated with a higher yield up to a certain limit [15]. In this method, the extraction time was short (30 to 150 s) because the continuous microwave radiation caused the rapid heating of the medium. The multiplication of short cycles allows the extraction of more compounds while preventing the overheating and degradation of the compounds [15]. In the model prediction, power was not a significant parameter (p = 0.0550). However, its *p*-value was close to the limit of acceptability (p = 0.05) and an increase in the yield was observed when the microwave power increased. This can be explained by its correlation with the extraction temperature [15], which has been shown to have a positive influence on the yield in the MAE of anthraquinones [19,20]. To optimize the yield, a medium plant to water ratio was preferable. Water causes the plant to swell during extraction, thus increasing the surface exchange [20]. Moreover, too much plant material would hinder the homogenization of the absorption of microwaves by the medium and the solvent could be saturated [15]. This is represented in Figure 1 by the response surface plot, showing the effects of the water ratio, number of cycles, and microwave power on the extraction yield.





3.2. Influence of Extraction Parameters on the Color of Extracts

The color of the extracts varied from pale yellow to bright orange. The color intensity of each extract was compared using UV spectrophotometry at 500 nm (the characteristic wavelength of anthraquinones). A scatter plot of the yield against the absorbance of the extracts is presented in Figure 2. No correlation was observed between the two. Thus, both needed to be considered to select the optimized extraction parameters. The scatter plot highlighted four clusters.



Figure 2. Scatter plot of the MAE extracts according to their yield (%) and absorbance at 500 nm. Separation of extracts into groups alongside the yield (**A**,**B**) or absorbance (1, 2) scale are highlighted. Four clusters were then constructed (dotted lines).

Most extracts yielded less than 17% and had absorbance at 500 nm below 0.30 at 0.5 mg/mL (Figure 2, cluster A2). Remarkably, all extracts obtained with 1000 W power (extracts 7, 8, 13, 15, and 16; Figure 2, cluster B) gave higher yields (above 20%).

As previously mentioned, extract 16 had the best yield (almost 23%), but its absorbance was in the lower range, while two extracts (3 and 13) had higher absorbance (Figure 2, cluster 1). Extracts 3 and 13 had, respectively, absorbance of 0.411 ua and 0.402 ua, while other extracts ranged between 0.090 ua and 0.295 ua. Compared to extract 3, extract 13 had a higher yield (21.0% instead of 16.4%). It was the only extract that had both high absorbance and a high yield (Figure 2, cluster B1). The extraction parameters of extract 3 were five cycles, 200 W, and 1000 mg/20 mL, and those of extract 13 were one cycle, 1000 W, and 100 mg/20 mL. Extract 3 was obtained under low power and a high plant to water ratio. This combination of low power and a high ratio resulted in a low yield (Figure 1), most likely caused by the slower and less effective extraction. However, these parameters allowed good selectivity towards the pigments. Thus, five extraction cycles were necessary to obtain an intense color using these parameters, while extract 13 was obtained in only one cycle (30 s). Extract 13 was obtained with high power, causing harsh temperature and pressure conditions and a low plant to water ratio, which increased the surface exchange [15]. These parameters resulted in quick extraction, as only one cycle was needed. Extracts 8 and 16 were the only extracts with a higher yield than extract 13. However, their absorbances were much lower (Figure 2, cluster B). The three extracts were obtained at 1000 W, but one cycle was used for extract 13, and five cycles were used for extracts 8 and 16. This implies that a longer extraction time allowed the recovery of other non-colored compounds, probably polar primary metabolites, highly soluble in water at high temperatures. To evaluate the performance of the water-based microwave extraction in terms of yield and color, extracts 13 and 16 were compared to reference hydroalcoholic extracts.

3.3. Comparison with Hydroalcoholic Reference Extractions

The extraction yield and color of the optimized extracts were compared to those obtained by Soxhlet extraction and MAE using a hydroalcoholic solvent (EtOH/water 50/50). Soxhlet extraction was selected as the reference since it is frequently used in the literature for the extraction of madder anthraquinones [6,10]. Hydroalcoholic MAE

(16 EtOH/w) was carried out under the same conditions as extract 16, which presented the highest yield, in order to assess the impact of the solvent.

Figure 3 presents the absorbance spectrum of each extract recorded between 300 and 700 nm.



Figure 3. UV–visible spectra of the microwave-optimized extracts (13 and 16) and of reference extracts (Soxhlet and 16 EtOH/w).

Table 4 groups the extraction yield and absorbance at 500 nm of the optimized w-MAE extracts and reference extracts. The repeatability of the MAE extraction process was also evaluated with three independent replicates of extracts 13, 16, and 16 EtOH/w. The extraction process showed suitable repeatability, with a low coefficient of variation (CV) on the yield ($CV \leq 5\%$), and enabled the comparison of the extracts. The Soxhlet extract yielded 14.1%, which is low compared to the range obtained in w-MAE (12.6–22.9%). In fact, the yields of 12 out of 16 MAE extracts were higher, including extracts 13 and 16. The Soxhlet extract was also weaker in terms of color, despite the hydroalcoholic solvent (Figure 3). The absorbance of the Soxhlet extract and of extract 16 was, respectively, 0.17 ua and 0.16 \pm 0.01 ua (Table 4). Extract 16 EtOH/w (20.7 \pm 0.4%) was comparable to extract 13 both in terms of yield (respectively, $20.7 \pm 0.4\%$ and $21.5 \pm 0.5\%$) and absorbance at 500 nm (respectively, 0.31 \pm 0.09 ua and 0.35 \pm 0.05 ua) (Table 4). These results show that the hydroalcoholic solvent did not improve the extraction of pigments compared to the optimized water extraction. This may be because the extraction of most pigments had been attained. Indeed, after the extraction of extracts 13 and 16 EtOH/w, the plant residue exhibited a pale brown color instead of its original orange-red color. Moreover, extract 16 also displayed a comparable yield (21.6 \pm 1.1%), but with significantly lower absorbance at $500 \text{ nm} (0.16 \pm 0.01 \text{ ua}).$

Table 4. Comparison of the yield and absorbance at 500 nm of optimized w-MAE extracts and reference extracts.

Extract	13	16	16 EtOH/w	Soxhlet
	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 1
Yield (%) Abs at 500 nm (ua)	$\begin{array}{c} 21.5 \pm 0.5\% \\ 0.35 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.6 \pm 1.1\% \\ 0.16 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.7 \pm 0.4\% \\ 0.31 \pm 0.09 \end{array}$	14.1 0.17

MAE was more efficient than Soxhlet extraction regardless of the solvent used. This can be explained by the properties of MAE. Microwaves cause dipole rotation and ionic conduction both in the solvent and in the sample, which help to generate heat faster and to increase the solubility and diffusivity of compounds [3,15]. Thus, in MAE, the heat transfers more rapidly and homogeneously than in Soxhlet extraction, helping the

extraction process [3]. Because the pressure is higher in MAE, the boiling temperature of water is high and it is possible to reach higher extraction temperatures [15].

Moreover, as MAE is very fast, it could cause less degradation of the molecules while still increasing the extraction efficiency. To ensure that no degradation occurred during the MAE, it was also compared to hydroalcoholic maceration at 30 °C for 24 h. This maceration yielded 14%, similar to Soxhlet extraction. The chromatographic profiles of the optimized MAE extract and the hydroalcoholic maceration were compared. Similar chemical profiles were observed and no sign of degradation of the compounds was detected in MAE, most likely because of the shortness of each extraction cycle. Following these results, the phytochemical comparison focused on MAE extracts.

3.4. Detection of Metabolites

To understand the differences between the three microwave extracts, their carbohydrate, flavonoid, and anthraquinone content was evaluated. Flavonoids and anthraquinones are colored compounds present in *Rubia tinctorum* [6,21]. Carbohydrates, however, could increase the yield because of their high solubility in water, with no impact on the color [9].

HPTLC was used to detect carbohydrates in the extracts (Figure 4). Standards of monosaccharides (glucose and mannose) were visible as a large spot at Rf = 0.47. Oligosaccharides (maltotriose, maltopentose, and maltoheptaose) were separated into three spots at Rf = 0.29, Rf = 0.17, and Rf = 0.09, respectively. The extracts had the same profile, with quite similar intensity of the TLC spots. They were rich in sugars, with a large spot of monosaccharides at Rf = 0.46, one at Rf = 0.40 that could have been a disaccharide, and two or three others at 0.32 < Rf < 0.28 that could have been trisaccharides and tetrasaccharides. There was no distinct spot at Rf < 0.2 corresponding to penta-, hexa-, or heptasaccharides. However, some non-eluted compounds were revealed on the spotting line that could have been polysaccharides. The presence of glycosylated polyphenols was also observed at Rf = 0.81, which is conventional in *Rubia tinctorum* extracts. Madder root contains flavonoids and anthraquinones in their glycosylated and free forms [7].





Total polyphenolic and anthraquinone content was assessed by UV–visible spectrophotometry, respectively, in gallic acid and alizarin equivalents (Table 5). Extract 16 had significantly lower content ($23.9 \pm 4.9 \text{ mg}$ alizarin eq/g) of anthraquinones than extracts 13 and 16 EtOH/w ($45.4 \pm 11.1 \text{ mg}$ alizarin eq/g and $48.8 \pm 11.5 \text{ mg}$ alizarin eq/g). The pale yellow color of extract 16 most likely came from this lack of anthraquinones. The same could be observed for the total phenolic content. Extracts 16 EtOH/w and 13 were significantly higher (respectively, 21 ± 2 and $21 \pm 4 \text{ mg}$ gallic acid equivalent/g) than extract 16 ($15 \pm 1 \text{ mg}$ gallic acid equivalent/g). In both cases, extracts 13 and 16 EtOH/w

10 of 18

were not significantly different from each other. Again, adding the alcohol had the same influence on the extraction as optimizing the parameters.

	Anthraquinones (mg alizarin eq/g) n = 3 *	Total Phenolic Content (mg gallic acid eq/g) n = 3 *
13	49.4 ± 9.3 a	21 ± 4 a
16	25.2 ± 1.7 ^b	15 ± 1 ^b
16 EtOH/w	48.0 ± 11.5 ^a	21 ± 2 a

Table 5. Anthraquinone and phenolic content of the microwave extracts.

 $n = 3^*$ independent crude extracts, ^{a,b} significantly different results for each test using Tukey's range test (p < 0.05).

Extracts 13 and 16 were obtained using the same power (1000 W) but with, respectively, one cycle at 100 mg/20 mL and five cycles at 500 mg/20 mL. The difference was therefore caused either by the number of cycles or by the plant to water ratio. At 1000 W, one cycle seemed to be sufficient to extract anthraquinones without saturating the water with other compounds such as primary metabolites, while the repetition of the extraction cycle and an increase in plant quantity could improve the extraction of non-colored compounds.

3.5. Characterization of the Pigments

Extract 13, which presented the best combination of yield and color, was characterized by UHPLC-DAD-HRMS/MS to identify its main compounds. The exact masses of the molecules were obtained and molecular formulae were proposed with a 3 ppm mass tolerance for MS and 10 ppm for MS/MS or small m/z values. The [M+Na]⁺ adduct ion and the comparison of positive and negative ionization were used to confirm the proposed formulae. A hypothesis for the identification of each compound's data was obtained from the literature and public databases (SciFinder, Pubchem, KNapSack, and HMBD). The retention time, m/z, fragmentation characteristics, lambda max, proposed molecular formula, and proposed identification are summarized in Table 6.

Compound **1** was detected in positive mode at m/z 355.0989. The proposed formula was C₁₆H₁₈O₉. It corresponds to chlorogenic acid, a compound previously described in madder [22]. A characteristic fragment detected at m/z 163.0385 matched the formula C₉H₇O₃ corresponding to the caffeic acid derivative obtained after the breaking of the ester bond.

Compounds with RT between 5 and 8 min (2–9) were identified as glycosylated compounds based on their typical fragmentation patterns. The most frequently described sugar moieties in *Rubia tinctorum* are xylose, rhamnose, and glucose, which correspond to losses of 132 u, 146 u, and 162 u, respectively. Disaccharides containing these three sugars, such as xylose–glucose (294 u) and rhamnose–glucose (308 u), are also frequent [22].

Compound **2** was identified in MS⁻ at m/z 593.1135 with fragments at m/z 549.1213 and 255.0224 and corresponding, respectively, to the loss of $[CO_2]^-$ (44 u) and xylose–glucose (294 u). The proposed formula was $C_{26}H_{26}O_{16}$, which could correspond to galiosin, a glycosylated anthraquinone found in *R. tinctorum* [6].

Fragmentation of compounds 3 to 6 led to the same genin at m/z 301 (MS/MS⁻) and m/z 303 (MS/MS⁺). The proposed formula for this genin was C₁₅H₁₀O₇, which corresponds to quercetin. A few quercetin glycosides have been previously described in madder [22,23].

Table 6. Characterization of the	optimized w-MAE extract (extra	act 13) by UHPLC-DAD-HRMS/MS.

#	R _t (min)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M+H] ⁺)	Error (ppm)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M-H] [_])	Error (ppm)	Molecular Formula	MS/MS ⁺ (Intensity)	MS/MS [_] (Intensity)	۲ _{max} (nm)	Putative Identification
1	4.55	355.0989	0			C ₁₆ H ₁₈ O ₉	163.0385 (6081) 145.0260 (1207) 135.0442 (1017) 117.0353 (740) 107.0488 (408)		277, 330	Chlorogenic acid
2	5.01	N.D.	-	593.1135	2.3	$C_{26}H_{26}O_{16}$	-	549.1213 (3075) 255.0224 (1874)	252, 284, 432	Galiosin
3	5.41	743.2041	-1.1	741.1845	-2.7	$C_{32}H_{38}O_{20}$	611.1614 (1054)	-	270, 353	Quercetin xyloside (or arabinoside) rhamnoside glucoside
							465.1093 (308) 303.0526 (377)			
4	5.65	597.1459	0	595.133	1.6	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{16}$	465.0962 (1235) 303.0471 (391)	-	260, 355	Quercetin xylosyl glucoside
5	5.86	611.1608	0.5	609.1472	-1.9	$C_{27}H_{31}O_{16}$	465.1042 (3613) 303.0529 (559)	301.0331 (668)	257, 350	Rutin
6	6.13	465.1019	1.7	463.0874	1.8	$C_{21}H_{20}O_{12}$	303.0511 (3050)	300.0225 (2713)	264, 350	Hyperoside
7	6.78	N.D.		563.1394	2.2	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{14}$	-	269.0399 (1740) 251.0335 (334)	280, 330 (br)	Apigenin xyloside glucoside
8	7.32	565.1553	-0.2	563.1414	-1.3	$C_{26}H_{28}O_{14}$	-	269.0432 (1382)	276, 305 (sh), 336, 416	Lucidin primveroside
9	7.39	579.1695	2.3	577.1564	-0.2	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₁₄	-	269.0496 (3421)	276, 305 (sh), 345, 416 (br)	Lucidin rhamnoside glucoside

#	R _t (min)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M+H] ⁺)	Error (ppm)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M-H] ⁻)	Error (ppm)	Molecular Formula	MS/MS ⁺ (Intensity)	MS/MS ⁻ (Intensity)	∆ _{max} (nm)	Putative Identification
10	8.03	303.0503	-1	301.0332	8.2	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₇	257.0429 (752) 247.0569 (309) 239.0195 (185) 229.0508 (957) 201.0561 (770) 165.0176 (947) 153.0175 (1632) 149.0237 (376) 137.0228 (1222) 121.0287 (692) 111.0079 (326)	273.0346 (207) 257.0308 (104) 245.0413 (237) 178.9980 (470) 164.0093 (270) 151.0027 (1325) 121.0285 (278)	272, 368	Quercetin
11	8.25	301.0347	-1.4	299.0173	5.6	C ₁₅ H ₈ O ₇	283.0233 (4995) 273.0419 (1928) 245.0412 (3174) 231.0264 (3092) 227.0300 (12,919) 213.0215 (7041) 199.0369 (3634) 187.0374 (4122) 181.0328 (2458) 175.0374 (976) 171.0453 (1000) 157.0259 (4415) 143.0472 (507) 129.0334 (1361)	255.0273 (56,787) 227.0347 (26,091) 199.0372 (520) 183.0431 (2059) 171.0444 (2032) 129.0353 (812)	256, 284 (sh), 328 (sh), 492 (br)	Pseudopurpurin
12	8.32	285.0394	0	283.0229	6.9	$C_{15}H_8O_6$	267.0304 (20,184) 249.0198 (2216) 227.0337 (1135) 213.0210 (1019) 211.0387 (1316)	265.0066 (2300) 239.0350 (66,054) 211.0353 (24,985) 195.0451 (21,570) 167.0481 (2117)	248, 288, 432 (br)	Munjistin

#	R _t (min)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M+H] ⁺)	Error (ppm)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M-H] [_])	Error (ppm)	Molecular Formula	MS/MS ⁺ (Intensity)	MS/MS ⁻ (Intensity)	Λ_{\max} (nm)	Putative Identification
13	8.95	269.0445	-0.2	267.0283	5.9	$C_{15}H_8O_5$	223.0390 (211) 195.0357 (634) 167.0558 (540) 139.0512 (385) 120.0807 (205) 105.0343 (329)	223.0373 (10,478) 195.0411 (2660)	276, 341, 452 (br)	Nordamnacanthal
14	9.52	271.0597	1.6	269.0436	7.3	$C_{15}H_{10}O_5$	-	251.0295 (3615) 239.0309 (265) 223.0418 (233) 195.0414 (384) 179.0508 (314)	276, 305 (sh), 340, 416 (br)	Lucidin
15	10.63	271.0608	-	269.0438	6.5	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ O ₅	228.0415 (151) 215.0408 (225)	254.0176 (477) 241.0456 (512) 226.0231 (425) 223.0381 (733) 213.0187 (411) 211.0360 (290) 199.0376 (255) 195.0418 (432) 182.0366 (327)	261, 350 (br)	Apigenin
16	10.83	241.0496	-0.1	239.0338	4.9	C ₁₄ H ₈ O ₄	-	211.0349 (819) 195.0486 (353) 183.0426 (106) 158.9252 (112) 155.0532 (87) 135.5830 (51)	281, 339, 425	Dihydroxyanthraquinone
17	10.94	257.0444	-0.2	255.0277	8.8	C ₁₄ H ₈ O ₅	169.0335 (546)	227.0297 (4771)	253, 288, 480 (br), 515	Purpurin

Table 6. Cont.

#	R _t (min)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M+H] ⁺)	Error (ppm)	Meas. <i>m/z</i> ([M-H] ⁻)	Error (ppm)	Molecular Formula	MS/MS ⁺ (Intensity)	MS/MS [_] (Intensity)	Δ _{max} (nm)	Putative Identification
18	11.35	287.0548	0.6	N.D.	-	$C_{15}H_{10}O_6$	255.0265 (994) 237.0150 (1104) 227.0339 (411) 199.0405 (811) 181.0287 (405) 155.0500 (427) 115.0542 (351)	-	255, 287, 476 (br)	Trihydroxy- hydroxymethylanthraquinone
19	12.07	255.0652	0.1	253.0495	4.7	$C_{15}H_{10}O_4$	-	225.0537 (1675) 209.0619 (424) 181.0658 (101)	276, 408 (br)	Rubiadin

Compound **3** was detected in negative and positive mode at m/z 741.1845 and 743.2041. The proposed formula for compound **3** was $C_{32}H_{38}O_{20}$. Only the positive mode allowed the detection of three fragments at m/z 611.1614, 465.1093, and 303.0526 after the losses of 132 u, 146 u, and 162 u corresponding to the successive losses of xylose, rhamnose, and glucose. Based on the most prevalent glycosides found in *R. tinctorum*, compound **3**'s sugar moiety could be formed of xylose, rhamnose, and glucose, which has never been described before in *Rubia tinctorum*. However, this fragmentation pattern also corresponds to Calabricoside A, a quercetin derivative found in another *Rubiaceae*, *Putoria calabrica* [24].

Compound 4 was detected in negative and positive mode at m/z 595.133 and 597.1459. The proposed formula for compound 4 was $C_{26}H_{28}O_{16}$. Two fragments corresponding to the losses of xylose and glucose at m/z 465.0962 and 303.0471 were observed in positive mode. This form of glycosylated quercetin has not been previously found in *Rubia tinctorum*, but it is consistent with other glycosylated flavonoids of the plant. Moreover, compound 4 could correspond to quercetin-3-sambubioside, which was previously described in another *Rubiaceae*, *Hedyotis diffusa* [25].

Compound 5 was identified at m/z 609.1472 in negative mode and 611.1608 in positive mode, resulting in a proposed formula of C₂₇H₃₁O₁₆. Fragmentation led to quercetin at m/z 301.0331 (MS/MS⁻) and 303.0511 (MS/MS⁺) after the loss of rhamnose and glucose. Compound 5 was identified as rutin, a known glycosylated quercetin of madder [22].

Compound **6** was detected in negative and positive mode at m/z 463.0874 and 465.1019 and fragmented in MS+ into m/z 303.0511 after the loss of 162 u. The proposed formula for compound **6** was C₂₁H₂₀O₁₂. Quercetin glucoside has not been previously described in madder, but hyperoside, a quercetin galactoside, has [22]. In fact, hyperoside is the only compound containing galactoside that we found in the literature for this plant. Compound **6** was putatively identified as hyperoside.

Compounds 7 and 8 were identified, respectively, at m/z 563.1394 and 563.1414 in negative mode. Two fragments were detected for compound 7 at m/z 269.0399 after the loss of glucose and xylose and m/z 251.0335 after the subsequent loss of H₂O (18 u). Compound 8 was fragmented into m/z 269.0432 after the loss of xylose and glucose. The proposed formula for both compounds 7 and 8 was C₂₆H₂₈O₁₄. The proposed formula for the genin was C₁₅H₁₀O₅, which was identified as either lucidin or apigenin. The lambda max of the compound was used to propose the identification of the compounds (Table 6). Compound 7 had a UV profile that corresponded to a flavonoid structure and was putatively identified as a glycosylated derivative of apigenin. Apigenin is the only flavonoid from *Rubia tinctorum* with this formula [23]. However, this particular glycosylated apigenin was not reported in any of the databases that we searched. Compound 8 showed a lambda max at 416 nm, corresponding to anthraquinone. It was identified as lucidin primveroside, an anthraquinone previously described in *R. tinctorum* [6].

Compound **9** was detected in negative and positive mode at m/z 577.1564 and 579.1695. The proposed formula for compound **9** was C₂₇H₃₀O₁₄. One MS/MS- fragment was identified at m/z 269.0496 after the loss of 308 u corresponding to rhamnose and glucose. Compound **9** had the same genin and a similar λ_{max} to compound **8** and was putatively identified as a new glycosylated form of lucidin.

Compound **10** was detected in negative and positive mode at m/z 301.0332 and 303.0503. The proposed formula was $C_{15}H_{10}O_7$, which corresponds to quercetin. Numerous fragment ions were observed both in the positive and negative ionization modes, and the fragmentation pattern observed was consistent with the literature [26,27]. Quercetin has never been identified in madder, but its glycosylated forms have [22,23].

While compounds **1–9** were mostly glycosylated anthraquinones and flavonoids, compounds eluted after 8 min were mostly identified as free anthraquinones. The identification of anthraquinones was facilitated by their fragmentation pattern in negative ionization mode. Most anthraquinones displayed a loss of 28 u, corresponding to the fragmentation of the ketone ([CO][–]). Those that had an acid group also displayed a loss of 44 u ([CO₂][–]), as described in the literature [28,29]. Compounds **11**, **12**, **13**, **16**, and **19** were identified in negative mode at m/z 299.0173, 283.0229, 267.0283, 239.0338, and 253.0495. Fragments corresponding to the consecutive loss of 44 u and 28 u in any order were detected for all these compounds. The proposed formulae for compounds 11, 12, 13, 16, and 19 were $C_{15}H_8O_7$, $C_{15}H_8O_6$, $C_{15}H_8O_5$, $C_{14}H_8O_4$, and $C_{15}H_{10}O_4$. The formulae and fragments led to the identification of pseudopurpurin (**11**), munjistin (**12**), nordamnacanthal (**13**), a dihydroxyanthraquinone, potentially alizarin (**16**), and rubiadin (**19**) [28]. All these anthraquinones have been previously described in madder [6]. As the separation was performed on a C18 column, the elution order of compounds **11** to **13** and **16** can be explained by the decreasing number of oxygens. Moreover, rubiadin (**19**) has the same number of oxygens as dihydroxanthraquinone (**16**), but with a supplementary methyl group that makes it less polar.

Compounds 14 and 15 were detected in negative and positive mode at m/z 269.04 and 271.06. The proposed formula for these compounds was $C_{15}H_{10}O_5$, which could correspond to a few compounds described in *Rubia tinctorum* [6]. Fragmentation patterns were used to differentiate them. Compound 14 gave fragments in negative mode at m/z251.0295, 223.0418, and 195.0414, corresponding to the loss of H_2O (18 u), followed by the losses of two CO groups (28 u). Another fragment was identified at m/z 239.0309 corresponding to the loss of $[CH_2O]^-$ (30 u). This fragmentation pattern has been described for aloe-emodin in positive mode [30], as well as the loss of 30 u in negative mode [28]. Aloe-emodin has never been identified in madder to date. However, lucidin is a position isomer of aloe-emodin and has been described in madder [6]. Compound 14 was identified as lucidin. Compound **15** gave fragments in negative mode at *m/z* 254.0176 (-15 u, [CH₃]⁻), 241.0456 (-28 u, [CO]), 226.0231 (-43 u [CH₃-CO]⁻), 223.0381 (-46 u, [CO-H₂O]⁻), 213.0187 (-56 u, [CO-C₂H₄]⁻), 211.0360 (-58 u [CO-CH₂O]⁻), 199.0376 (-70 u, [CO-C₂H₂]⁻), 195.0418 (-74 u, [2 CO-H₂O]⁻), and 182.0366 (-87 u, [CO₂-CO-CH₃]⁻). These are consistent with the fragmentation patterns in the literature for flavonoids [26,27]. Compound 15 was identified as apigenin, the only flavonoid with the formula $C_{15}H_{15}O_5$ described in madder [23].

Compound **17** was detected in negative and positive mode at m/z 255.0277 and 257.0444. The proposed formula for this compound was C₁₄H₈O₅. One fragment was detected in negative mode at m/z 227.0297 (-28 u) after the loss of [CO]⁻. Compound **17** was identified as purpurin, a major anthraquinone from *Rubia tinctorum* [6,28].

Compound **18** was detected in positive mode at m/z 287.0548. The proposed formula for this compound was C₁₅H₁₀O₅. Fragments were detected at m/z 255.0265, 237.0150, 227.0339, and 199.0405, corresponding to the loss of CH₄O (32 u), followed by the loss of H₂O (18 u) or CO (28 u) and a loss of CO (28 u). Other fragments were detected at m/z 181.0287 and 155.0500, corresponding to the subsequent losses of H₂O (18 u) and CO₂ (44 u). Compound **18** was identified as a trihydroxy-hydroxymethyl anthraquinone. No trihydroxy-hydroxymethyl anthraquinones have been previously described in madder, but this structure is consistent with the presence of mono- and dihydroxy-hydroxymethyl anthraquinones, already described in the plant [6].

The DAD chromatogram in the visible region (350–700 nm) was observed to determine the compounds mostly responsible for the color of the extract. They were identified as lucidin primveroside (8), pseudopurpurin (11), lucidin (14), and purpurin (17), with pseudopurpurin being largely prevalent. This confirms that it is mainly the anthraquinones that have an impact on the color of madder extract.

4. Conclusions

The first water-based microwave-assisted extraction of *Rubia tinctorum* pigments was optimized using the response surface methodology. The number of cycles was the most significant parameter influencing the yield, as well as its combination with the power and plant to water ratio. It was found that the yield and color of the extracts were not correlated. The optimized parameters for the best compromise between yield and color were one cycle, 1000 W, and 100 mg/20 mL of water. Glycosylated and non-glycosylated flavonoids and anthraquinones from *Rubia tinctorum* were efficiently extracted by w-MAE. The optimized

w-MAE showed a higher total extraction yield and anthraquinone content and a more intense color than conventional Soxhlet extraction, in 30 s instead of 4 h. Moreover, it was comparable to hydroalcoholic MAE, showing that water was as efficient as ethanol in the extraction of the molecules of interest using the process developed here. HPTLC characterization of the optimized extract showed high content of polysaccharides. UPLC-HRMS/MS was also used to putatively identify 19 major colored compounds. The extract was mostly composed of glycosylated flavonoids and anthraquinones (logP around -2) and free anthraquinones (logP around 2).

w-MAE is faster, cheaper, and easier to develop than other green extraction techniques such as subcritical water or natural deep eutectic solvents and it can be adapted to the pilot scale. It is also less harsh than previously described extractions of madder anthraquinones as no signs of degradation or structural modification of anthraquinones were found, while these are often described in the literature for hot extractions with an alcohol or pressurized hot water extractions.

Water, which can only extract highly polar compounds ($\log P < 0$), is often overlooked as a solvent. We demonstrate that w-MAE is an effective method to also extract compounds with medium polarity ($\log P$ around 2) in a very short time.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.D.; methodology, M.C., C.C., and E.D.; validation, M.C.; formal analysis, M.C.; investigation, M.C.; resources, E.D. and C.C.; data curation, M.C.; writing—original draft preparation, M.C.; writing—review and editing, E.D.; visualization, M.C.; supervision, E.D.; project administration, E.D.; funding acquisition, E.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Centre-Val de Loire region and BPI France through the financial support of the "EPIC" project (Contract No. 00145581) and by the French ANRT (Association Nationale de la Recherche et la Technologie) through a CIFRE grant to Marine Chambaud (No. 2021/0840). The authors also thank the projects CHemBio (FEDER-FSE 2014-2020-EX003677), Valbiocosm (FEDER-FSE 2014-2020-EX003202), Techsab (FEDER-FSE 2014-2020-EX011313), QUALICHIM (APR-IA-PF 2021-00149467), RTR Motivhealth (2019-00131403) and the Labex programs SYNORG (ANR-11-LABX-0029) and IRON (ANR-11-LABX-0018-01) for their financial support of ICOA, UMR 7311, University of Orléans, CNRS.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge Terre de Couleur (Rochecorbon, France), who initiated the project and provided the plant material. In particular, we would like to thank Rémi Guyomarch and Christian Munchenbach for all the insightful discussions. We also thank the SALSA platform for the spectroscopic measurements and spectrometric and chromatographic (UHPLC-HRMS/MS) analyses.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Aggarwal, S. Indian Dye Yielding Plants: Efforts and Opportunities. Nat. Resour. Forum 2021, 45, 63–86. [CrossRef]
- Franca, C.C.V.; Ueno, H.M. Green Cosmetics: Perspectives and Challenges in the Context of Green Chemistry. Desenvolv. Meio Ambiente 2020, 53, 133–150. [CrossRef]
- Roohinejad, S.; Nikmaram, N.; Brahim, M.; Koubaa, M.; Khelfa, A.; Greiner, R. Potential of Novel Technologies for Aqueous Extraction of Plant Bioactives. In *Water Extraction of Bioactive Compounds*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2017; pp. 399–419, ISBN 978-0-12-809380-1.
- Chemat, F.; Abert Vian, M.A.; Ravi, H.K.; Khadhraoui, B.; Hilali, S.; Perino, S.; Fabiano Tixier, A.-S. Review of Alternative Solvents for Green Extraction of Food and Natural Products: Panorama, Principles, Applications and Prospects. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 3007. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jin, Y.; Hu, D.; Chen, Q.; Shi, C.; Ye, J.; Dai, Z.; Lu, Y. Water-Based Green and Sustainable Extraction Protocols for Value-Added Compounds from Natural Resources. *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem.* 2023, 40, 100757. [CrossRef]
- 6. Derksen, G.C.H.; Van Beek, T.A. Rubia tinctorum L. Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem. 2002, 26, 629–684. [CrossRef]

- Wijnsma, R.; Verpoorte, R. Anthraquinones in the Rubiaceae. In *Fortschritte der Chemie Organischer Naturstoffe/Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products*; Herz, W., Grisebach, H., Kirby, G.W., Tamm, C., Eds.; Springer: Vienna, Austria, 1986; Volume 49, pp. 79–149, ISBN 978-3-7091-8848-4.
- Mouri, C.; Laursen, R. Identification of Anthraquinone Markers for Distinguishing *Rubia* Species in Madder-Dyed Textiles by HPLC. *Microchim. Acta* 2012, 179, 105–113. [CrossRef]
- Willemen, H.; van den Meijdenberg, G.J.P.; van Beek, T.A.; Derksen, G.C.H. Comparison of Madder (*Rubia tinctorum* L.) and Weld (*Reseda Luteola* L.) Total Extracts and Their Individual Dye Compounds with Regard to Their Dyeing Behaviour, Colour, and Stability towards Light. *Color. Technol.* 2019, 135, 40–47. [CrossRef]
- 10. Dulo, B.; Phan, K.; Githaiga, J.; Raes, K.; De Meester, S. Natural Quinone Dyes: A Review on Structure, Extraction Techniques, Analysis and Application Potential. *Waste Biomass Valorization* **2021**, *12*, 6339–6374. [CrossRef]
- Duval, J.; Pecher, V.; Poujol, M.; Lesellier, E. Research Advances for the Extraction, Analysis and Uses of Anthraquinones: A Review. Ind. Crops Prod. 2016, 94, 812–833. [CrossRef]
- Derksen, G.C.H.; van Holthoon, F.L.; Willemen, H.M.; Krul, C.A.M.; Franssen, M.C.R.; van Beek, T.A. Development of a Process for Obtaining Non-Mutagenic Madder Root (*Rubia tinctorum*) Extract for Textile Dyeing. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2021, 164, 113344. [CrossRef]
- 13. Chemat, F.; Abert-Vian, M.; Fabiano-Tixier, A.S.; Strube, J.; Uhlenbrock, L.; Gunjevic, V.; Cravotto, G. Green Extraction of Natural Products. Origins, Current Status, and Future Challenges. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *118*, 248–263. [CrossRef]
- Barrera Vázquez, M.F.; Comini, L.R.; Milanesio, J.M.; Montoya, S.C.N.; Cabrera, J.L.; Bottini, S.; Martini, R.E. Pressurized Hot Water Extraction of Anthraquinones from *Heterophyllaea pustulata* Hook f. (Rubiaceae). J. Supercrit. Fluids 2015, 101, 170–175. [CrossRef]
- 15. Destandau, E.; Michel, T. Microwave-Assisted Extraction. In *Natural Product Extraction: Principles and Applications*; Rostagno, M., Prado, J.M., Eds.; Green Chemistry Series; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2022; ISBN 978-1-83916-589-4.
- 16. Shotipruk, A.; Kiatsongserm, J.; Pavasant, P.; Goto, M.; Sasaki, M. Pressurized Hot Water Extraction of Anthraquinones from the Roots of *Morinda citrifolia*. *Biotechnol*. *Prog*. **2004**, *20*, 1872–1875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ferreira, S.L.C.; Bruns, R.E.; Ferreira, H.S.; Matos, G.D.; David, J.M.; Brandão, G.C.; da Silva, E.G.P.; Portugal, L.A.; dos Reis, P.S.; Souza, A.S.; et al. Box-Behnken Design: An Alternative for the Optimization of Analytical Methods. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2007, 597, 179–186. [CrossRef]
- 18. Grigoras, C.G.; Destandau, E.; Fougère, L.; Elfakir, C. Evaluation of Apple Pomace Extracts as a Source of Bioactive Compounds. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2013**, *49*, 794–804. [CrossRef]
- 19. Dabiri, M.; Salimi, S.; Ghassempour, A.; Rassouli, A.; Talebi, M. Optimization of Microwave-Assisted Extraction for Alizarin and Purpurin in *Rubiaceae* Plants and Its Comparison with Conventional Extraction Methods. *J. Sep. Sci.* 2005, 28, 387–396. [CrossRef]
- Hemwimon, S.; Pavasant, P.; Shotipruk, A. Microwave-Assisted Extraction of Antioxidative Anthraquinones from Roots of Morinda Citrifolia. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2007, 54, 44–50. [CrossRef]
- Sharif, S.; Nabais, P.; Melo, M.J.; Oliveira, M.C. Traditional Yellow Dyes Used in the 21st Century in Central Iran: The Knowledge of Master Dyers Revealed by HPLC-DAD and UHPLC-HRMS/MS. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 908. [CrossRef]
- 22. Singh, R.; Geetanjali; Chauhan, S.M.S. 9,10-Anthraquinones and Other Biologically Active Compounds from the Genus *Rubia*. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2004**, *1*, 1241–1264. [CrossRef]
- Eltamany, E.E.; Nafie, M.S.; Khodeer, D.M.; El-Tanahy, A.H.H.; Abdel-Kader, M.S.; Badr, J.M.; Abdelhameed, R.F.A. *Rubia tinctorum* Root Extracts: Chemical Profile and Management of Type II Diabetes Mellitus. *RSC Adv.* 2020, 10, 24159–24168. [CrossRef]
- 24. Çalis, I.; Heilmann, J.; Tasdemir, D.; Linden, A.; Ireland, C.M.; Sticher, O. Flavonoid, Iridoid, and Lignan Glycosides from *Putoria c alabrica*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2001**, *64*, 961–964. [CrossRef]
- 25. Lu, C.-M.; Yang, J.-J.; Wang, P.-Y.; Lin, C.-C. A New Acylated Flavonol Glycoside and Antioxidant Effects of *Hedyotis diffusa*. *Planta Med.* **2000**, *66*, 374–377. [CrossRef]
- 26. Michel, T. Nouvelles Méthodologies D'extraction, de Fractionnement et D'identification: Application aux Molécules Bioactives de L'argousier (*Hippophae rhamnoides*). Ph.D. Thesis, Université d'Orléans, Orléans, France, 2011.
- Fabre, N.; Rustan, I.; de Hoffmann, E.; Quetin-Leclercq, J. Determination of Flavone, Flavonol, and Flavanone Aglycones by Negative Ion Liquid Chromatography Electrospray Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2001, 12, 707–715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rafaelly, L.; Heron, S.; Nowik, W.; Tchapla, A. Optimisation of ESI-MS Detection for the HPLC of Anthraquinone Dyes. *Dye. Pigment.* 2008, 77, 191–203. [CrossRef]
- 29. Derksen, G.C.H.; Niederländer, H.A.G.; van Beek, T.A. Analysis of Anthraquinones in *Rubia tinctorum* L. by Liquid Chromatography Coupled with Diode-Array UV and Mass. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2002, *978*, 119–127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhan, C.; Xiong, A.; Shen, D.; Yang, L.; Wang, Z. Characterization of the Principal Constituents of Danning Tablets, a Chinese Formula Consisting of Seven Herbs, by an UPLC-DAD-MS/MS Approach. *Molecules* 2016, 21, 631. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.