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ABSTRACT
The need of carbon sources for the chemical industry, alternative to fossil sources, has pointed to CO$_2$ as a possible feedstock. While CO$_2$ electroreduction (CO$_2$R) allows production of interesting organic compounds, it suffers from large carbon losses, mainly due to carbonate formation. This is why, quite recently, tandem CO$_2$R, a two-step process, with first CO$_2$R to CO using a solid oxide electrolysis cell followed by CO electroreduction (COR), has been considered, since no carbon is lost as carbonate in either step. Here we report a novel copper-based catalyst, silver-doped copper nitride, with record selectivity for formation of propanol (Faradic efficiency: 45%), an industrially relevant compound, from CO electroreduction in gas-fed flow cells. Selective propanol formation occurs at metallic copper atoms derived from copper nitride and is promoted by silver doping as shown experimentally and computationally. In addition, the selectivity for C$_2$- liquid products (Faradic efficiency: 80%) is among the highest reported so far. These findings open new perspectives regarding the design of catalysts for production of C$_3$ compounds from CO$_2$.

**Introduction**

Electroreduction of CO$_2$ allows storing intermittent solar and wind energies as well as producing important energy-dense organic molecules (hydrocarbons, alcohols, olefins) for the chemical industry.$^{[1]}$ In spite of tremendous efforts and progresses, linked to the development of efficient catalysts, notably copper-based ones since Cu is the only metal allowing significant C-C coupling reactions, and electrolyzers, notably gas-fed flow cells and membrane electrode assemblies, however, CO$_2$ electroreduction faces a number of problems which require further optimization, before it can be upscaled towards industrial implementation.$^{[1a, 2]}$ One of the most relevant issues is the formation of carbonate resulting from the reaction of CO$_2$ with hydroxide, an inevitable product of CO$_2$ reduction.$^{[3]}$ Formation of carbonate has several detrimental impacts: acidification of the electrolyte, increased interfacial resistance, precipitation of carbonate on the gas diffusion cathode eventually causing flooding. This makes catholyte recycling an energy-intense and expensive process. Furthermore, carbonate is prone to crossover through the membrane leading to its accumulation in the anode compartment where it is hydrolyzed to CO$_2$, thus implying extra costs associated with recuperation of CO$_2$ from the O$_2$ stream.$^{[3b]}$ There are two possible solutions addressing this issue. The first one consists in acidic CO$_2$ electrolysis which very recently proved practical, as appropriate engineering allows...
high Faradaic efficiency for CO₂ reduction (CO₂R) with only little H₂ evolution, even in such acidic conditions.[⁴] The second one is based on so-called tandem CO₂R, a two-step process, with first CO₂R to CO using a solid oxide electrolysis cell (SOEC) followed by CO electroreduction (COR), since no carbon is lost as carbonate in either step.[⁵] This attractive scenario has recently led to an increasing number of studies aiming at developing selective, stable and cheap Cu-based catalysts for electrochemical conversion of CO into C₂⁺ products, ethylene, alcohols and acetic acid.[⁶] However, still few variations have been studied as far as catalysts are concerned and most investigations have focused on polycrystalline Cu, commercial Cu nanoparticles and oxide-derived Cu catalysts which proved efficient in promoting C-C coupling reactions. On our side, we recently reported an original dendritic and porous Cu material which proved highly selective for ethylene production from COR.[⁶a, ⁶b]

Here we report our efforts to convert CO selectively into n-propanol, a high-energy liquid compound, using electricity as an energy source. Propanol is currently produced from reaction of CO with fossil-derived ethylene in a process requiring hydrogen and high temperature and pressure and it is tempting to evaluate whether direct electroreduction of CO could be an alternative. There is a high interest in the industry for new, fossil-free, methods for producing propanol, as there are a lot of important C3 commodity chemicals.[⁷] Until very recently, electrolysis of CO using Cu-based catalysts showed very little selectivity towards propanol. However, two very recent studies have shown that metal-doped Cu materials promote CO adsorption, stabilize C₂ intermediates and thus facilitate C-C and C-C₂ coupling, thus improving C3 product formation.[⁶c, ⁶d] In particular, commercial Cu nanoparticles doped with Ag led to a high n-propanol FE of 25-30%, higher than that of pristine Cu (20%), which could be further increased to 36% by adding Ru as an additional dopant.[⁶c, ⁶d] To our knowledge these numbers were the highest reported ones for CO electroreduction to n-propanol.

We discovered and report now that Ag-doped copper nitride is a highly selective electrocatalyst for COR to n-propanol leading to a record FE value of 45% at industrially-relevant current densities of 150 mA cm⁻², in an alkaline flow electrolyser. The alkaline catholyte (KOH) indeed ensures relatively stable local pH on the electrode surface, optimizes conductivity and promotes C-C coupling, notably towards production of C₂⁺ oxygenates.[²b, ⁶f] Interestingly, we observed that the selectivity, in terms of liquid products (ethanol, propanol, acetate) formation, could be finely controlled via modification of the electrolyte KOH concentration.
Results

In the following the studied materials are symbolized as CuMₓₜₙ, with x giving the mol% value of the doping metal M, as in the galvanic reaction solution, t the time in hours used for the nitridation step, and N to indicate the presence of nitride in the material. Preparation of CuMₓₜₙ is described in detail in the Supporting Information (SI) section and summarized in Figure S1. Briefly, doping copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) with M (Ag, Pd, Au) was carried out via a galvanic replacement reaction using a solution of AgNO₃, PdCl₂ and HAuCl₄, respectively. The obtained bi-metallic CuMₓₜₙ materials were then submitted to calcination at 500 °C in air during 1h and then pyrolyzed in the presence of NaNH₂ at 170 °C. The nitridation reaction time varied between 10 and 60 hours. For example, a sample obtained with 5 % of Ag in the galvanic exchange reaction solution and after nitridation during 20 h was named as CuAg₅%ₜ₂₀h. Control samples CuMₓₜₙ, for which the nitridation step was omitted, and CuNₜₙ, for which the galvanic exchange step was omitted, were also prepared. For electrochemical experiments, 1.2 mg of each sample, mixed with Nafton, was deposited on a Gas Diffusion Layer (GDL) to generate a Gas Diffusion Electrode (GDE).

Figure 1a shows the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of CuAg₅% and CuAg₅%ₜ₂₀h while those of CuNPs and CuN₂₀h are displayed in Figure S2. They indicate that the calcination/nitridation treatment results in a significant change of the morphology of the material. As compared to CuNPs and CuAg₅%, containing homogeneous particles of 50-60 nm in size (as determined by SEM), the samples obtained after nitridation are more heterogeneous, with much smaller nanoparticles (down to 5 nm) together with nanorods. They also display slightly larger porosity and roughness, reflecting greater nanostructuration (Figure 1a, Figure S2). In contrast, the galvanic replacement reaction had little effect on the morphology of Cu NPs (Figure S2).

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns show that CuAg₅%ₜ₂₀h contained metallic Cu, Cu₃N and Ag and no CuO could be detected, confirming the efficiency of the nitridation step (Figure 1b). Cu₃N was present in CuN₂₀h but not in CuAg₅% as expected. XRD patterns of CuAgₓₜ₂₀h samples with x = 2, 5 and 8% Ag indicated the presence of the three components, however, as expected, with different Ag signal intensities (Figure S3). Metallic Cu was present in very low amounts (for example about 3% in the CuAg₅%ₜ₂₀h sample as determined in Figure S3b). Similarly, the presence of other metal dopants in CuMₓₜ₂₀h (M = Au and Pd) was...
confirmed by XRD (Figure S4). XRD analysis of CuAg_{5\%}N_{20h} samples with t varying from 10 to 60 h showed that characteristic Cu\_3N signals appeared soon at 10 h and increased up to 20 h, became less intense after more prolonged reaction (> 25 h) and disappeared at 60 h (Figure S5). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis further confirmed the composition of the CuAg_{5\%}N_{20h} material.

Figure 1. a) SEM images at different magnifications of CuAg_{5\%} and CuAg_{5\%}N_{20h}; b) XRD characterization of different samples and the reference materials; c) STEM analysis of
CuAg₅⁺N₂₀h. Top left: STEM-HAADF image (left); Top middle: STEM-XEDS composite (Cu-Kα and Ag-Lα) elemental map; Top right: STEM-XEDS Ag-Lα elemental map, Ag nanocrystals appear as bright green spots; Bottom: XEDS spectra related to the labelled areas (1 – 5) in Top right image; the intensity of these spectra has been normalized to the Cu-Kα line.

d) ECSA of different materials deposited on the GDE; e) Cu K-edge and f) Ag K-edge EXAFS spectra of CuAg₅⁺N₂₀h and the references.

In agreement with XRD results, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analyses performed on CuAg₅⁺N₂₀h confirmed the presence of Cu₃N, metallic Ag and metallic Cu (Figure S7). TEM observations revealed a broad size distribution of Cu₃N nanoparticles and rods from tens nm to few microns (Figure 1c and S7a). Furthermore, STEM–HAADF and HRTEM showed that Cu₃N rods exhibited a finely grained and porous structure, resulting from an oriented aggregation of small elongated crystals (Figure S7a, S7b and S7d). Moreover, from scanning TEM coupled with X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (STEM-XEDS) or electron energy loss spectroscopy (STEM-EELS), it appeared that Ag is present in two forms: (i) metallic Ag particles with a size of between 10 nm and 1 µm (figure S7c and d) and (ii) homogeneously dispersed among Cu₃N crystals in variable proportions (estimated to 1 – 3 at. %) in agreement with XPS measurements. The absence of Ag nanoclusters (i.e. smaller than 1 nm) at the surface of Cu₃N particles has been deduced from HR-STEM and STEM-EELS analyses (Figure S7a and e), suggesting a substitutional doping of Ag atoms at the surface of Cu₃N nanocrystals.

Cu K-edge EXAFS analysis showed that Cu is mainly present as Cu₃N (Figure 1e). Metallic Cu is too scarce to be detectable in the EXAFS spectrum. The presence of small Ag particles was confirmed via Ag K-edge EXAFS analysis showing a spectrum similar to that of metallic Ag foil (Figure 1f).

Electrochemical surface areas (ECSAs) of the different samples were determined after deposition on the GDL via measurement of double layer capacitances (CDLs) of the resulting electrodes (Figure 1d and Figure S8). A larger ECSA value of about 37 cm² cm⁻² was obtained for CuAg₅⁺N₂₀h in agreement with the observed increased roughness revealed by TEM and STEM observations (Figure 1 and Figure S7). Consistently, the specific area, obtained by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, also increased significantly after Ag-doping and nitridation (Table S1).
Electroreduction of CO was carried out using a gas-fed flow electrolyzer. CO was fed on the back side of the GDE and the reaction took place at a triple-phase CO gas/solid catalyst surface/liquid electrolyte interface (Figure 2a). A circulating 1.0 M KOH electrolyte was used for both anodic and cathodic compartments, and they are separated by a sustinion anion exchange membrane (SAEM). During electrolysis, a gas chromatography online system, connected to the gas outlet of the flow cell allowed quantification of gas products. As shown below, only ethylene, with no trace of methane and ethane, and small amounts of H₂ could be observed. The liquid phase was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy and shown to contain the following liquid products: ethanol, acetate and n-propanol.

Figure 2. a) scheme of cathode compartment in flow electrolyzer for CO electroreduction and b) product distribution after CO electroreduction for 30 minutes in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte using different catalysts: Cu NPs, CuN₂₀h, CuAg₅% and CuAg₅%N₂₀h. Conditions: anode (Ni foam); anolyte and catholyte (KOH 1 M); applied current density (100-200 mA cm⁻² for 0.5 h); CO
flow (10 ml.min\(^{-1}\)). The results are an average of three independent experiments. SAEM: Sustainion anion exchange membrane.

CO electroreduction took place at relatively moderate cathode potentials as shown by Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV) using CuAg\(_{5}\)N\(_{20}\) as the catalyst (Figure S9). During the first scan, a reduction peak was observed at about 0 V vs RHE which was assigned to the reduction of Cu\(^{+}\) (in Cu\(_{3}\)N) into metallic Cu\(^{0}\) and catalytic CO reduction was observed at more cathodic potentials. The reduction peak at 0 V vs RHE became almost invisible during the next scans suggesting full reduction of Cu\(_{3}\)N into metallic Cu\(^{0}\). The absence of the Cu\(_{3}\)N component in the material after 3 consecutive scans was confirmed by XRD (Figure S10). The presence of Cu\(_{2}\)O is due to surface re-oxidation during exposure to air as shown below from \(\textit{in situ}\) XRD experiments. This suggests that the actual catalyst for CO electroreduction was Ag-doped nitride-derived Cu\(^{0}\). LSVs (3rd scan) of control samples during CO electroreduction are displayed in Figure S11a, showing that the highest catalytic activity, in terms of current density for a given cathodic potential, was obtained with CuAg\(_{5}\)N\(_{20}\). In this case, the catalytic wave allowed reaching current densities of \(-100\) and \(-200\) mA cm\(^{-2}\) with a cathodic potential spanning a broad range of values, from \(-0.5\) to \(-1.1\) V vs RHE, respectively (Figure S11a). This was confirmed by electrolysis of CO using CuAg\(_{5}\)N\(_{20}\) at different current densities giving stable cathodic potentials during 30 minutes (Figure S11b).

The results of bulk CO electrolysis at different controlled applied current densities (100, 150 and 200 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) for 30 minutes using CuAg\(_{5}\)N\(_{20}\) and control samples, CuNPs, CuN\(_{20}\) and CuAg\(_{5}\), are presented in Figure 2b. All the catalysts exhibited very good selectivity for CO reduction with very low Faradic Efficiency for H\(_{2}\) production (FE\(_{H_{2}}\)) in most experiments. Among these samples, CuAg\(_{5}\)N\(_{20}\) showed remarkable selectivity for C\(_{2}\)+ alcohols (ethanol + n-propanol). At \(-150\) mA cm\(^{-2}\), n-propanol was formed with a high FE\(_{C_2H_5O}\) of 39\%, together with significant amounts of ethanol (FE\(_{C_2H_4O}\) = 23\%) and acetate (FE\(_{C_2H_4O_2}\) =11\%), so that the total FE for liquid products was about 73\%. As FE\(_{H_2}\) was only 7\% and FE\(_{C_2H_4}\) (for ethylene) was 19\% the total FE for C\(_{2}\)+ product was about 92\%. In contrast, at the same applied current density, all the other studied materials produced ethylene as the major reaction product with FE\(_{C_2H_4}\) ranging from 42\% (CuAg\(_{5}\)) to 57\% (CuNPs). At all current densities, CuAg\(_{5}\)N\(_{20}\) displayed the largest selectivity towards n-propanol production (Figure 2b and Figure S12). Comparison with control samples indicates that the presence of both Cu\(_{3}\)N and Ag in the precursor material was critical in promoting n-propanol formation (Figure 2b).
Considering that oxide-derived copper was previously reported as an excellent catalyst for CO electroreduction to ethanol,[6g] we have prepared CuO and CuOAg5%, via calcination of CuNPs and CuAg5%, respectively, in air at 500 °C during 1h. These materials indeed displayed relatively higher selectivity for ethanol but much lower ability to generate n-propanol (Figure S13a). This reveals significantly different reactivity of nitride-derived Cu active sites as compared to oxide-derived ones.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.** Product distribution during CO electroreduction in 1.0 M KOH: a) using CuM5%N20h catalysts (with M = Ag, Au, Pd; b) using CuAg5%N20h at different KOH concentration at the cathodic current density of -100 and -150 mA cm⁻². Conditions: anode (Ni foam), anolyte and catholyte (KOH 1.0 M), applied current (100-200 mA cm⁻² for 0.5 h), CO flow (10 mL min⁻¹).

A similar capacity to catalyze CO conversion into C₂⁺ alcohols, with n-propanol as the major product, was observed with the CuAu5%N20h sample, which displayed a high FE for propanol of 37% at -150 mA cm⁻², and low FE₃H₄ and FE₃H₂ values (Figure 3a). In contrast CuPd5%N20h was more selective for ethylene and led to slightly higher yields of H₂ production.
Doping with Ru greatly degraded the selectivity for CO reduction, as CuRu$_{5\%}$N$_{20\text{h}}$ promoted H$_2$ formation (FE$_{\text{H}_2} > 40\%$) and gave little propanol (Figure S13b). In the following, only the Ag-doped material is studied.

Considering that Ag is also present in the form of few nanoparticles (NPs) we studied their activity using GDEs containing AgNPs alone or mixed with CuN$_{20\text{h}}$, with 5% Ag. The results clearly showed that AgNPs cannot have any catalytic role in propanol formation even in mixture with copper nitride (Figure S14).

The CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{20\text{h}}$ material was characterized by electron microscopy after 1h CO electrolysis at -150 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1M KOH. The SEM image showed that the material was still a mixture of nanoparticles and nanorods. The main difference with the initial material resided in the increased roughness of the surface of the nanorods (Figure S15). Further characterizations were carried out by STEM and SAED analysis (Figure S16) confirming that Cu$_3$N was no longer present in the material after electrolysis, and only Cu$_2$O, Cu$^0$ and Ag$^0$ were observed, as confirmed by post-electrolysis XRD analysis (Figure S17). As shown below, the presence of Cu$_2$O is likely derived from ex-situ manipulation of the samples in air. In addition, elemental mapping analysis also demonstrated that the homogeneous distribution of Ag in the bulk material was retained. It has also to be noticed that nanoparticles exhibited a significant porosity at the nanometric scale (Figure S15). XPS analysis confirmed the presence of Ag and the absence of N (Figure S18). ECSA after electrolysis of CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{20\text{h}}$ was still high, at about 30 cm$^2$ cm$^{-2}$ (Figure S19).

An extensive series of experiments using CuAg$_{x\%}$N$_{th}$ as the catalyst were carried out in order to investigate the effect of different parameters on the catalytic performances for CO electroreduction: (i) the reaction time during the nitridation step (varying the $t$ parameter); (ii) the amount of Ag (varying the $x$ parameter); (iii) the CO flow rate; (iv) the amount of catalyst deposited on the GDE; (v) KOH concentration.

First, the highest yields of propanol (FE$_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}} > 20\%$) were obtained for CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{th}$ materials obtained with short nitridation reaction times ($t$ from 10 to 20 h), while they greatly decreased for $t > 25$ h (Figure S20). This is quite consistent with the observation, derived from XRD characterization, that the highest contents of Cu$_3$N were obtained with low nitridation times (Figure S5) thus confirming the importance of the presence of Cu$_3$N in the initial material.

Second, the selectivity of the catalyst can be tuned by varying the amount of Ag (Figure S21). However, the optimal Ag amount depends on the applied current, with no clear trend: the
highest FE values for n-propanol were obtained with CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{20h}$ at -100 mA cm$^{-2}$ (35\%) and with CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{20h}$ at -150 mA cm$^{-2}$ (39\%).

Third, Figure S22 shows the results of controlled current CO electrolysis in 1.0 M KOH at -150 mA cm$^{-2}$ at different CO flow rates. Significant variations could be observed. In particular FE for n-propanol production increased as a function of the CO flow rate, was maximum at an intermediate value of 10 mL min$^{-1}$ and then decreased upon further increase of the flow rate. It is likely that increasing CO coverage allows reaching an optimal ratio of adsorbed CO and C$_2$ intermediates for n-propanol synthesis while, above that limit, CO prevents these key C$_2$ intermediates from binding to the active sites on the surface, as recently raised by MTM Koper and collaborators.$^{[9]}$

Fourth, Figure S23 shows that the highest selectivity for n-propanol production was obtained with 1.2 mg catalyst deposited on 1 cm$^2$ GDE.

Fifth, CO electroreduction catalyzed by CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{20h}$ was carried out at different current densities (-100, -150 and -200 mA cm$^{-2}$) using electrolytes containing different KOH concentrations ([KOH]) (from 0.05 M to 5.0 M). As shown from LSVs the larger [KOH] the higher the catalytic current correlating with increased conductivity (Figure S24a). The product distributions, in terms of FEs, are presented in Figure 3b and Figure S24b. As expected, from similar observations in previous studies,$^{[10]}$ H$_2$ production decreased and acetate formation increased with increased [KOH], up to 29\% at 5.0 M KOH. As FE$_{C_2H_4}$ and FE$_{H_2}$ were the lowest at the highest [KOH], the total FE for liquid products at 5.0 M KOH was at about 80\%. As for n-propanol, one clearly observed an increase of FE$_{C_2H_6O}$ as [KOH] increased up to 1.0 M (FE$_{C_2H_6O} = 39\%$ at -150 mA cm$^{-2}$), followed by a decrease upon further increase of [KOH]. Finally, remarkable selectivity for ethanol was obtained at low [KOH], with a maximal FE$_{C_2H_6O}$ of 55\%, at 0.1 M KOH and at -100 mA cm$^{-2}$ together with very little formation of acetate and n-propanol. The highest FE for C$_2$+ alcohol production (62\%) was obtained at -150 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1.0 M KOH. The experiment shown in Figure S24c gives some insight into the role of pH and K$^+$ concentration in tuning the selectivity. Starting with 0.1 M KOH, providing large yields of ethanol, addition of K$^+$ in the form 0.9 M KCl led to a decrease of FE$_{C_2H_6O}$ and a large increase of FE$_{C_2H_4O}$, reflecting the specific role of K$^+$ in promoting propanol formation. On the other hand, further increase of propanol formation and decrease of FE$_{C_2H_4}$ was obtained with 1 M KOH as the catholyte, showing the importance of alkalinity for increasing the propanol/ethylene ratio.
Interestingly, using 1.0 M CsOH as the catholyte resulted in a further increase of $\text{FE}_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}}$ up to a remarkable value of 45%, a FE for $\text{C}_2^+$ alcohol production of 65% and a FE for liquid products of 80% (Figure S24 and S25). This is in line with previous reports showing that such cations, with the smallest hydrated radius, allowing higher concentration at the cathode surface, can generate a stronger double layer field which contributes to enhance the adsorption of CO and the stabilization of intermediates towards multicarbon products.\[11\]

**Figure 4.** Long term electrolysis. CO electroreduction catalyzed by CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{20\%}$ or at -150 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1.0 M KOH solution. The cathodic potential is monitored during electrolysis with the product distribution during electrolysis. The product distribution is presented as FE for n-propanol (blue triangle), ethanol (orange square), acetate (green circle), ethylene (magenta circle) and H$_2$ (yellow circle).

A long-term (9 hours) electrolysis at -150 mA cm$^{-2}$ using CuAg$_{5\%}$N$_{20\%}$ in 1.0 M KOH has been carried out (Figure 4). The system proved stable during the 9 hours, with a constant cathodic potential at -1.0 V, a stable $\text{FE}_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}}$ at 42 +/- 3 % and with only slight variations of the FE values for the other products. For safety reasons, electrolysis could not be run longer. In addition, a long-term electrolysis at -100 mA cm$^{-2}$ using 0.1 M KOH has shown a stable production of ethanol with $\text{FE}_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}}$ of about 56% (Figure S27).
Figure 5. a) in-situ XRD patterns of CuAg$_5$N$_{20h}$ before (blue) and during (red) electrochemical CO reduction and b) in-situ Cu K-edge XANES spectra of CuAg$_5$N$_{20h}$ (green) during electrochemical CO reduction (-0.8 V vs RHE) and of reference samples.

To get some insights into the catalytic material in action, in-situ characterization has been carried out. Using a homemade electrochemical cell designed for in-situ XRD measurement (see experimental section), the XRD pattern of the CuAg$_5$N$_{20h}$ electrode, under an applied cathodic current density of -150 mAcm$^{-2}$ in a CO-saturated 1.0 M KOH solution as the catholyte, was obtained. One should note that the XRD pattern was recorded only up to 45 degree because of the strong signals of the Be window of the cell above that limit (Figure S28). Comparison of the diffractogram before electrolysis (Figure 5a, bottom) with that during electrolysis (Figure 5a, top) clearly demonstrated that, under catalytic conditions, Cu$_3$N was reduced to Cu$^\circ$ and no more observable so that only Cu$^\circ$ and Ag$^\circ$ were present in the material. It is important to note that no Cu$_2$O could be detected either, while it was observed when the reduced sample was exposed to air (see for example Figures S10 and S16). In-situ XANES analysis confirmed that upon application of a cathodic current density to the CuAg$_5$N$_{20h}$ electrode, the Cu K-edge was immediately shifted to low energies, which indicated a reduction from initial Cu$^+$ to Cu$^\circ$ (Figure 5b).

The energetics of the C$_1$-C$_2$ coupling reactions were studied by periodic DFT calculations (computational details in the Supplementary Information). We focused on two catalytic systems: pure Cu, represented as a Cu(100) slab and Ag-doped Cu using a model in which an Ag atom has been substituted for one surface Cu atom replacing one surface Cu atom at a density corresponding to a 3% doping concentration, in agreement with experimental data.
Our modeling approach builds upon previous successful studies that have investigated Ag-doped catalysts prepared using the galvanic replacement reaction.\cite{6c} Consistent with these previous findings,\cite{12} our results indicate a highly asymmetric Ag-doped surface, with compressed bond distances and surface stress, as a consequence of the Ag atomic radius being larger than that of Cu (Figure S29). Wang et al. have found that this compressive stress can favor C\textsubscript{1}-C\textsubscript{2} coupling reactions.\cite{6c, 13} Furthermore, the interaction between Cu and Ag atoms is expected to induce modifications of the electronic structure of Cu. As a consequence, the combined effects of structural and electronic changes lead to the formation of surface asymmetric Cu atoms, which can significantly influence the interaction with the different adsorbates.

We have selected a collection of C\textsubscript{1}-C\textsubscript{2} coupling steps along the most accepted path, on Cu(100), towards the formation of C\textsubscript{2} surface intermediates.\cite{10, 14} This in line with the findings of Tang et al.,\cite{15} identifying these reactions as the most feasible steps towards the formation of C\textsubscript{3} products on Cu(100). The reaction mechanism corresponding to the selected steps is shown on Figure S29a. Schematic representations of the geometries of initial, final and transition states can be found in Supplementary Information, section 4.5.
Figure 6. a) Selected elementary steps of the mechanism for the reduction of CO to C₂ and C₃ products on Cu(100) and Ag-doped Cu(100). b) Calculated free energy difference between the transition state and the initial state ($\Delta G^\ddagger$) for the selected C₁-C₂ coupling steps on Cu(100) and Ag-doped Cu(100).

In the proposed reaction mechanism, surface C₂ intermediates evolve either via proton coupled electron transfer (PCET) or by coupling to CO* (* is used to denote an adsorbed species) (Figure 6a). In line with previous studies,[10, 14-15] the successive PCET reactions from the CCO* species lead to the formation of CHCO*, CHCHO*, CH₂CHO* and CH₃CHO*, with the latter being a precursor towards the formation of ethanol after 2 further PCETs. Each of these C₂ intermediates can undergo a coupling reaction with CO* to form different C₃ surface intermediates that in further steps can lead to the formation of C₃ products such as propanol. In agreement with previous studies,[15] the coupling between CH₂CHO* and CO* does not result in a stable C₃ surface species, hence this coupling reaction has been discarded (see Supplementary Information, section 4.5.4).
Furthermore, while CHCO\(^*\) can be converted into another C2 intermediates (Figure S30), (namely CHCOH\(^*\), coupling the latter with CO\(^*\))\(^{[9, 13]}\) has been omitted since it has been reported to be only feasible on highly stepped surfaces such as Cu(511), where local field stabilizations can play a major role on the stability of that surface species\(^{[13]}\) (in this study, the surface Cu(511) has not been detected experimentally). Finally, CHCO\(^*\) can be converted into a physisorbed CH\(_2\)CO species which can react either with HO\(^{-}\)/H\(_2\)O forming acetate/acetic acid (experimental evidence has shown that this path is not being modified by the presence of Ag hence its study has been omitted) or in a PCET reaction leading to acetaldehyde, whose coupling with CO\(^*\) is already considered in this study.\(^{[9]}\)

The calculated transition state free energy barriers (\(\Delta G^{\dagger}\)) are shown on Figure 6b. They show significant changes when Ag is added as a doping agent. In all cases, the reactions became more favorable for the Ag-doped system, with decrements of up to 0.24 eV for the free energy of reaction and up to 0.60 eV for the free energy barriers, in comparison with the Cu system. In line with previous studies,\(^{[6c, 14]}\) these changes can be attributed to the interaction of the adsorbate with the different asymmetric Cu atoms of the Ag-doped surface, which leads to a stabilization of the surface C\(_3\) species and of the corresponding transition state, thus decreasing the energetic barrier for the C\(_1\)-C\(_2\) coupling. Although previous studies have suggested that the stability of the initial states can also be affected by creating less stable and hence more reactive species on the surface,\(^{[6c]}\) this effect has not been observed in this work since the energetics of the initial states remain unchanged (Figure S31). The observed stabilization effect depends on the structure of the C\(_3\) surface intermediate as well as on the number of surface asymmetric Cu atoms that interact with it. For instance, the largest stabilization effect occurs in the case of the CCO\(^*\) + CO\(^*\) coupling reaction, where the electronic structures of the unsaturated OCCC\(^*\)O and of the transition state are more prone to be stabilized by the available electrons of the surface. In contrast, the lowest stabilization effect was found for the CH\(_3\)CHO\(^*\) + CO\(^*\) coupling reaction, where the involved species are saturated and the OCCH\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(^*\) species interacts with less asymmetric Cu atoms, since the CH\(_3\) group is perpendicular to the surface thus resulting in an interaction similar to the one observed with the CHCHO\(^*\) species. On the other hand, in the case of reduction of CCO\(^*\) to CH\(_3\)CHO\(^*\), the calculated free energies for each PCET step show negligible changes (less than 0.05 eV) when Cu is doped with Ag as compared to Cu (Figure S31).
As a result, based on the calculated energy barriers, it can be assumed that the addition of Ag as doping agent on Cu(100) has a positive effect on the C_1-C_2 coupling reactions in line with the experimental observations and previous studies on Cu(111).\[6c\]

**Discussion**

Thanks to an original cathode material, CuAg\(_{5\%}\)N\(_{20h}\), consisting of Cu\(_3\)N/Cu doped with homogeneously dispersed Ag, and reaction conditions optimization, the highest Faradic Efficiency (FE) value for n-propanol (FE\(_{C_3H_8O} = 45\%\)) reported so far (Table S2) has been obtained during electroreduction of CO, at high current density (150 mA cm\(^{-2}\)). In addition, to the best of our knowledge, the selectivity for liquid products (FE= 80 \%) is among the highest reported to date for both CO\(_2\)R and COR at an industrially viable rate (150 mA cm\(^{-2}\)).\[16\] While doping Cu with Ag or Au has been previously used as a general strategy to favor ethanol production from CO\(_2\) reduction,\[17\] such bimetallic materials have been very rarely studied for CO reduction.\[6c, 6d, 16\] Two recent studies actually showed that Ag-doped Cu slightly promotes n-propanol formation (FE\(_{C_3H_8O}\) increased from 22\% to 33\% by a factor of 1.5 with respect to pristine Cu nanoparticles) with a further increase in the FE\(_{C_3H_8O}\) value, by a factor of 1.1, by extra doping with Ru, to reach 36\%.\[6c, 6d\] Here we report that combining Ag-doping with Cu nitridation provides a much larger effect on propanol formation from CO with FE\(_{C_3H_8O}\) increasing by a factor of about 3.5. Few studies used Cu\(_3\)N as an electrocatalyst mostly for CO\(_2\) electroreduction and in general they report a mixture of products, most often with ethylene as the major product and little n-propanol.\[18\] To our knowledge, only one study reported high Faradic efficiency for C\(_2\)-products (mainly ethylene and ethanol) during CO reduction using Cu\(_3\)N but n-propanol remained low (< 15\%).\[19\] In one case Cu\(_3\)N has been associated with another metal species (In\(_2\)O\(_3\)) giving CO as the major product (FE\(_{CO} = 80\%\)).\[20\]

Understanding the combined effect of Ag-doping and nitridation on Cu activity would be key for further optimization of catalysts for CO reduction to n-propanol. A clear outcome of the study reported here is that CuAg\(_{5\%}\)N\(_{20h}\) is a precatalyst since, based on LSV and XPS, as well as on XRD and XANES ex-situ and in-situ characterization, we established that, under the cathodic potentials requested for catalysis, Cu\(_3\)N is converted to Cu\(_0\). This is consistent with previous reports.\[18a, 20\] Another operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) study clearly showed that Cu(I) from Cu\(_3\)N converts into Cu\(_0\) during electroreduction.\[19\] As a consequence, the catalytically active species are likely nitride-derived metallic Cu\(_0\) sites. However, the reactivity of these sites is clearly different from that of Cu\(_0\) ones derived from pristine Cu...
nanoparticles as well as from oxide-derived Cu\(^0\) (OD-Cu) ones: indeed, different product distributions were obtained from CO reduction using these three classes of Cu\(^0\) sites. However, it is difficult, at this stage, to have a clear view of how the local structure, the coordination and the electronic properties of nitride-derived Cu\(^0\) sites are unique and differ from the others. As far as OD-Cu is concerned, after it was discovered as an outstanding catalyst, notably for CO reduction to ethanol,\(^{[6g]}\) a large amount of studies suggested an important role of a variety of parameters such as grain boundaries, undercoordinated sites, subsurface oxygen, exposed facet, atomic defects, etc.\(^{[21]}\) However, still, the current understanding of the actual active sites at the atomic level remains a challenging issue and continues to be under debate. Similarly, more studies will be needed for understanding the specific surface features of nitride-derived Cu\(^0\) sites.

The increased roughness and porosity, thanks to the combination of Ag-doping and nitridation, as shown by microscopy, ECSA and BET measurements, is consistent with CuAg\(^{5\%}\)N\(_{20h}\) providing the highest FE values for C\(_2\)\(^+\) oxygenates. Previous reports have already shown that a high roughness factor or ECSA promotes selectivity for multicarbon oxygenates from CO.\(^{[6f-h]}\) Indeed, a high density of accessible active sites and a high porosity favor CO penetration, CO coverage and C-C coupling reactions. As a matter of fact, liquid n-propanol formation rate (partial current density) reported here scales with the ESCA of the electrode.

Another remarkable characteristic of CuAg\(^{5\%}\)N\(_{20h}\) resides in its sensitivity to KOH concentration, in terms of selectivity of CO reduction to liquid products. As far as acetate is concerned, a clear trend was observed in which FE\(_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2}\) increases as a function of KOH concentration, up to 29\% (Figure 3b). That acetate formation is favored under highly alkaline conditions is well established.\(^{[2b, 22]}\) As supported by previous DFT calculations, this occurs via an ethenone intermediate which reacts with HO\(^-\) generating acetate.\(^{[22a-c]}\) Decreasing the alkalinity, as expected, instead favors further coupled electron-proton transfers towards more reduced intermediates, precursors of ethanol and propanol. The highest FE\(_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2}\) values were here obtained at the lowest alkalinity while the highest FE\(_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_2}\) values were obtained at intermediate alkalinity (1M KOH).

Propanol formation from CO is a greatly complicated reaction as it implies the transfer of 12 electrons and 12 protons as well as the formation of C\(_2\)-C\(_1\) bonds and thus a very large number of possible intermediates, with short surface lifetime and low coverage. Since until now only little n-propanol formation was observed in CO\(_2\)/CO electrolysis in general, the
mechanism of CO conversion to n-propanol has been rarely studied and most computational investigations suggest that n-propanol should be produced via the coupling of a C\textsubscript{2} intermediate and an adsorbed CO\textsuperscript{[15, 23]} While the nature of this C\textsubscript{2} intermediate is unknown, we carried out a computational study, based on the computed most stable C\textsubscript{2} intermediates on Cu (100), following the conclusions of a previous report\textsuperscript{[15]} and here clearly establish that the presence of Ag on Cu results in a large decrease of activation barriers of C\textsubscript{2}-CO coupling reactions leading to propanol, in full agreement with the experimental results.

**Conclusion**

We have reported a new class of Cu-based catalysts with record selectivity for n-propanol formation from CO electroreduction. The active Cu sites are Cu\textsuperscript{0} atoms derived from Cu\textsubscript{3}N and their selectivity for n-propanol is promoted by Ag doping. This opens new research directions towards selective catalysts for C\textsubscript{3} products formation via the exploration of a variety of metal-doped CuX (X= heteroatom) precursors and a better understanding of how such C\textsubscript{3} compounds can be accessible, as this would be the next step towards products with even longer chains.
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Ag-doped copper nitride is a highly selective electrocatalyst for CO reduction to n-propanol leading to a record Faradic Efficiency value of 45% at an industrially-relevant current density of 150 mA cm$^{-2}$, in an alkaline flow electrolyser.