

# The effects of acute caffeine ingestion on decision-making and pass accuracy in young soccer players: A preliminary randomized controlled trial.

Negar Jafari, Mohsen Salesi, Pooya Soltani, Davoud Fazeli

# ▶ To cite this version:

Negar Jafari, Mohsen Salesi, Pooya Soltani, Davoud Fazeli. The effects of acute caffeine ingestion on decision-making and pass accuracy in young soccer players: A preliminary randomized controlled trial.. Behavioural Brain Research, 2023, 457, pp.114732. 10.1016/j.bbr.2023.114732. hal-04264947


HAL Id: hal-04264947


https://hal.science/hal-04264947

Submitted on 2 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.









The effects of acute caffeine ingestion on decision-making and pass accuracy in young soccer players: A preliminary randomized controlled trial.

Negar Jafari<sup>1</sup>, Mohsen Salesi<sup>1</sup>, Pooya Soltani<sup>2,\*</sup>, Davoud Fazeli<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Caffeine has been shown to benefit physical aspects of different sports. In this paper, we aimed to understand the effects of caffeine on decision-making and the accuracy of soccer passes. Twelve young soccer players (16–17 years old and 20.8 ± 2.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> BMI) completed the tasks once after taking 3 mg/kg body mass of caffeine (CAF) and once after consuming similar amounts of placebo (PLA). For the decision-making task, participants were asked to determine the best outcome of ten simulated pre-recorded soccer events. For the soccer pass accuracy, participants performed five short- (10 m) and five long passes (30m), as well as the Loughborough Soccer Passing Test. Although not statistically significant, participants were 1.67 % more accurate in short- and 13.48 % more accurate in long passes when they consumed caffeine compared to the placebo (14.67 ± 2.74 vs. 14.50  $\pm$  2.97, p=0.34, g = 0.27 and 7.50  $\pm$  2.84 vs. 6.83  $\pm$  3.13, p = 0.60, g = 0.14, respectively). However, participants' decision-making was 7.14 % and LSPT scores were 3.49 % lower when they consumed caffeine compared to the placebo (29.50  $\pm$  3.09 vs. 30.67  $\pm$  2.93, p =0.28, g = -0.30 and 55.38 ± 11.91 vs. 57.48 ± 12.13, p = 0.08, g = -0.51 respectively). In conclusion, while the short pass accuracy remained consistent among almost all participants before and after caffeine consumption, the performance varied in the case of long passes. Moreover, most of the participants scored lower on decision-making and LSPT after consuming caffeine. This may suggest that more complex tasks with a higher number of passes might negatively be affected by low doses of caffeine ingested one hour before playing soccer. Future studies are required to elucidate the effects of caffeine consumption on distinct cognitive and passing tasks.

Keywords: Cognition, Athletes, Performance-enhancing Substances, Sports Performance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Sport Sciences, College of Education and Psychology, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Digital, Technologies and Arts, Staffordshire University, Stoke-on-Trent, United Kingdom.

## 1. Introduction

Association football (soccer) is an intermittent and dynamic team sport that includes low-intensity activities, such as walking, jogging, and standing, as well as high-intensity movements like maximal sprinting, turning, and tackling (Bangsbo, 1994; Bloomfield, Polman, and O'Donoghue, 2007; Mohr, Krustrup, and Bangsbo, 2007). The performance and success of soccer players depend on several factors, including aerobic and anaerobic power, as well as cognitive and decision-making aspects (Stølen et al., 2005; Pesce et al., 2007; Vestberg et al., 2012). Researchers and coaches often utilize objective and subjective methods to quantify player's performance, including physical fitness, soccer-specific skills, and perceptual-cognitive abilities such as anticipation, game intelligence, and decision-making (Bennett et al., 2019). To increase their chances of winning and performing better, both physically and strategically, players need to identify and implement effective strategies (Ellis et al., 2019).

Caffeine is one of the most popular dietary supplements which has been shown to provide benefits during intermittent exercises, including soccer (1–6 mg/kg body mass – BM; Mielgo-Ayuso et al. 2019; Tallis et al., 2022; de Almeida et al., 2022). These effects are attributed to caffeine's ability to block adenosine receptors (Davis et al., 2003), which enhances neuromuscular recruitment (Tarnopolsky, 2008) and can improve sprint performance and countermovement jumping (3.7 mg/kg BM; Gant, Ali, and Foskett, 2010). Additionally, caffeine has been found to be beneficial for power-based sports, resistance training paradigms, and repeated high-intensity intermittent exercise, as well as isometric and isokinetic muscle force production and endurance (2.5–6.0 mg/kg BM – Davis and Green, 2009; 2.0–7.0 mg/kg BM – Astorino and Roberson, 2010).

On the other hand, the effects of caffeine on cognitive performance have received less attention. Cognitive functions include a broad range of basic mental operations, including attention, memory, and more complex executive functions involving working memory, decision-making, and multitasking. During a soccer match, players must process various cues such as opponents' positions, team organization, and time pressure (Rulence-Pâques et al., 2005). Studies have shown that caffeine can enhance attention, accuracy, and speed, as well as self-reported measures of energy and mood (Lorenzo Calvo et al., 2021; Snel and Lorist, 2011). Depending on the dosage and protocol, caffeine may also improve "lower" cognitive functions, such as reaction time, memory, and fatigue (2.5–3.7 mg/kg BM; Lorenzo Calvo et al., 2021; Olson et al., 2010; Brunyé et al., 2010). However, the effects of caffeine on "higher" cognitive functions such as problem-solving and decision-making are often debated (Kosslyn and Smith, 2001). Decision-making in passing is particularly important in soccer, where a well-executed pass can create scoring opportunities (Fortes et al., 2020). Therefore, to gain a better understanding of the potential effects of

caffeine as an ergogenic aid, our study aims to explore the impact of caffeine on decisionmaking and the accuracy of soccer passes.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1 Design

We conducted a randomized, within-subject, double-blinded, and placebo-controlled study to evaluate the effects of caffeine on the results of three tests. Each participant completed the tests twice, once after consuming caffeine and once after consuming a placebo. The washout period between the sessions was one week. We employed a simple randomization technique via a Research Randomizer website. Allocation concealment was ensured using an independent third party. To eliminate the expectancy effect (Shabir, Hooton, Tallis, and Higgins, 2018), participants and researchers were blinded about the beverage content. At the end of the experiment, none of the participants could tell which treatment they had received.

# 2.2 Participants

Twelve male young players (16-17 years of age, mean  $\pm$  SD  $174.2 \pm 8.4$  m of height,  $63.0 \pm 8.1$  kg BM, and  $20.8 \pm 2.7$  kg/m² BMI, respectively), who had at least four years of playing soccer (playing at the Iranian Youth Tier 1 League), volunteered to participate in this preliminary study. Participants were moderate habitual caffeine users (less than 100 mg per day) according to the American Beverage Association (ABA) guidelines for moderate caffeine intake (ABA, 2023). Participants were excluded if they: 1) smoked or used any psychoactive medication or illicit drugs that could interfere with test performance; 2) consumed more than 100mg caffeine per day; 3) had a history of cardiac, hepatic, renal, pulmonary, neurological, gastrointestinal, hematological, or psychiatric illness, or any sensory or motor deficits that could be expected to affect test performance; and 4) objected to the prescribed diet (recall of diet and alcohol abstention), exercise, and resting regimens, or those who were not expected to comply with treatment.

# 2.3 Procedure

The study procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the local ethics committee (process number:

IR.SUMS.REHAB.REC.1400.044). Prior to testing and on the first day, written consent was obtained from the participants and their guardians. To compare within-participant controls, participants were asked to complete a General Health Questionnaire, as well as reporting of diet, caffeine consumption (Irons et al., 2014), physical activity, and sleep. Participants were instructed to avoid consuming caffeinated products for at least two days prior to the start of

the study, and to avoid strenuous activities 24 h before the tests. On the second day, participants were randomly assigned to receive either caffeine (CAF) or placebo (PLA). They then waited for an hour before participating in the tests. On the third day, similar procedure was followed, and the alternate capsule was administered to control for order effects.

## 2.4 Treatment

For each participant, we administered 3 mg/kg BM of either caffeine (Bulk™, Colchester, UK) or flour powder. These substances were placed in gelatin capsules that had similar shape and color. Participants received the capsules along with 200 ml of water while sitting quietly in a chair.

To measure participants' decision-making abilities, we used a computer-based task, as described by Farahani, Soltani, and Rezlescu (2020). Participants watched ten short video clips of a soccer player controlling a ball. After five seconds, the video was paused, and participants were presented with four options. They had three seconds to decide on the best option in terms of building a good attacking scenario. Each decision was noted and rated by three experienced coaches who ranked players' decisions from one (worst) to four (best; Figure 1).



Figure 1. The order and duration of stimuli for the decision-making task.

For measuring pass accuracy, participants dribbled for 5 m and passed the ball towards cones at 10 m (short pass) or at 30 m (long pass). The distance from the cone determined the point for the players; hitting the cone would be 4 points, missing within 1 m would be 2 points, and more than 1 m would be without any points. Each participant repeated each pass five times and the average of the five shots determined their score for short and long passes. The intraclass correlation coefficient for short pass was 0.54 (95 % CI = 0.11–0.83), and for long pass was 0.60 (95 % CI = 0.14–0.86). These coefficients indicate reasonable reliability. We also used the Loughborough Soccer Passing Test (LSPT) to evaluate the players' pass ability (Le Moal et al., 2014). Participants had to aim the ball towards four colored targets with the lowest number of mistakes and as fast as possible. At the end, the number of correct passes and the time to complete the task were noted by the experimenters.

## 2.5 Statistics

Data normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. A dependent t-test was run between the paired samples to determine their results among the tests under PLA and CAF consumption effect. We utilized IBM SPSS Statistics (version 28, Chicago, USA) with a statistical significance level of 0.05. To examine the differences in means, 95 % CI (raw data) and Hedges' g effect sizes were applied to the pairwise comparisons. The thresholds for effect size statistics were as follows: 0.0–0.19 (trivial); 0.20–0.49 (small); 0.50–1.19 (moderate); 1.2–1.9 (large);  $\geq$  2.0 (very large; Hopkins et al., 2009).

## 3. Results

Descriptive statistics for each test are presented in Table 1. Although not statistically significant, participants were more accurate in short and long passes when they consumed caffeine (t(11) = 1.00, p = 0.34, Hedges' g (effect size) [95 % CI]: g = 0.27 (small) [-0.28 to 0.80], t(11) = 0.54, p = 0.60, g = 0.14 (trivial) [-0.39 to 0.67], respectively). On the other hand, participants' LSPT scores and decision-making were lower when they consumed caffeine compared to placebo (t(11) = 1.90, p = 0.08, g = -0.51 (moderate) [-1.10 to 0.07] and t(11) = 1.13, p = 0.28, g = -0.30 (small) [-0.84 to 0.24], respectively).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for each test.

Variables	Condition	Participants												Mean ± SD	%	95 % CI
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		Change	Difference
Decision-	PLA	31	34	33	29	29	33	28	36	29	33	29	28	31.00 ± 2.70	-7.14 %	-3.43 to 1.10
making	CAF	29	31	24	30	27	31	27	33	33	32	24	29	29.17 ± 3.13		
Short pass	PLA	16	18	10	10	14	14	16	18	16	12	18	12	14.50 ± 2.97	1.67 %	-0.20 to 0.53
accuracy	CAF	16	18	12	10	14	14	16	18	16	12	18	12	14.67 ± 2.74		
Long pass	PLA	4	8	0	4	6	8	8	8	10	6	8	12	$6.83 \pm 3.13$	13.48 %	-2.06 to 3.39
accuracy	CAF	8	12	6	4	10	8	8	6	4	8	12	4	$7.50 \pm 2.84$		
LSPT	PLA	48.76	61.10	57.74	55.09	69.57	74.33	47.01	53.48	47.45	82.26	44.04	48.94	57.48 ± 12.13	-3.49 %	-4.50 to 0.33
	CAF	42.52	65.32	55.18	47.12	64.25	71.23	49.25	50.36	50.75	79.49	44.14	45.02	55.38 ± 11.91		

CI: Confidence Interval of the Difference; CAF: Caffeine; PLA: Placebo; LSPT: Loughborough Soccer Pass Test.

## 4. Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the effects of acute caffeine ingestion on the pass accuracy and decision-making abilities of young soccer players. The results indicated that participants had 1.67 % better accuracy in short passes, and 13.48 % better accuracy in long passes when they consumed caffeine, although the differences were not statistically significant. Additionally, players' decision-making was 7.14 % and their LSPT scores were 3.49 % lower when they consumed caffeine compared to placebo. Considering the small and trivial effect sizes, while there might be a slight change in short and long passes, as well as decision-making associated with caffeine consumption, this change might not be noticeable or practically relevant in real-world situations. On the other hand, the moderate effect size for LSPT implies that while the scores were not statistically significantly different, the reduction after consuming caffeine could be still practically relevant and noteworthy.

# 4.1 Caffeine and the accuracy of pass

We showed that acute consumption of caffeine did not significantly affect soccer pass accuracy and LSPT scores. Our results align with previous research that showed lowmoderate doses of caffeine (3.6–5.0 mg/kg BM) did not have a significant impact on response accuracy (Gant et al., 2010; Russell et al., 2020; Duncan et al., 2019). In contrast to our findings, previous research has reported both positive and negative effects of caffeine on accuracy. For example, lower doses of caffeine taken before and every 55 min during exercise have been found to improve the visual search accuracy but decrease the Stroop test reaction accuracy (Hogervorst et al., 2008). Others have shown that while ingesting 3.7 mg/kg BM of caffeine did not affect passing skills (Gant et al., 2010), larger doses of 6.0 mg/kg BM could improve passing skills (Foskett et al., 2009). These results suggest that a higher and sustained increase in blood caffeine levels may be necessary to affect certain cognitive aspects of performance (Tallis et al., 2022). Such repeated administration of caffeine seems to be beneficial and a prevalent practice in certain sports, including soccer (Tallis et al., 2021). However, coaches need to balance between the potential benefits of caffeine over longer durations, and the detrimental side effects (e.g., impact on sleep; de Sousa et al., 2022). It is also possible that more complex passing tasks, such as LSPT, which require better accuracy, speed, and control of the ball, may require higher doses of caffeine.

The observed contrasting effects of caffeine could also be attributed to other performance parameters that might have influenced accuracy. For example, Hogervorst et al. (1999) reported that lower doses of caffeine, ingested 60 min prior to and every 20 min during exercise, could enhance the efficiency and speed of signal detection test by improving the attentional processes. Others have shown that a low-moderate dose of

caffeine taken 60 min prior to the activity could increase task response accuracy while decreasing reaction times (Duncan et al., 2019). The improvement in reaction times could also be attributed to increased concentration which could be affected by caffeine (Volkow et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2014). Similar mixed results have been observed in other sport-specific accuracy and skill-based technical actions (Share, Sanders, and Kemp, 2009; Abián-Vicén et al., 2014; Gant et al., 2010; Portillo, 2017). While some researchers have shown that caffeinated energy drinks do not affect shooting precision in young basketball players (Abián-Vicén et al., 2014; Puente et al., 2017), others have shown that caffeine could be effective in increasing the success of volleyball game-actions (Pérez-López et al., 2015; Del Coso et al., 2014). In this regard, the potential impact of caffeine on accuracy could include improved positioning and increased opportunities for spiking, blocking, or teamwork, as well as increased number of assists and rebounds during the gameplay.

In summary, the mixed results seem to be the result of a complex interplay among several factors. These factors include the dosage of caffeine, which exhibits a differential impact on various tasks, with lower doses benefiting some physical and higher doses proving necessary for other cognitive tasks. The specific nature of the activity being undertaken is another influential factor, as more intricate tasks often demand higher caffeine dosages, or they can affect secondary performance parameters that, in turn, indirectly impact accuracy. Additionally, the frequency of caffeine intake plays a role, with sustained administration being more beneficial for certain cognitive tasks. The type of sport also comes into play, with no observable effect on shooting precision in basketball, but noteworthy improvements in volleyball. Lastly, the position of a player within the team can enhance accuracy, particularly when it involves opportunities for spiking, blocking, and collaborative teamwork.

## 4.2 Caffeine and decision-making abilities

Our results suggest that acute consumption of caffeine does not significantly affect decision-making abilities. Our findings are consistent with Bello et al. (2019), where low doses of caffeine did not affect response accuracy for inhibitory control, which is crucial for various cognitive processes, including decision-making. Our results are in contrast with previous research where 100 mg of caffeine was shown to significantly improve cognitive functions measures (Hogervorst et al., 2008). Similar improvements have also been observed in simpler tasks like choice reaction time with hand and finger responses (Jacobson and Edgley, 1987; Kruk et al., 2001). Sensitivity of the cognitive tests used (simple reaction time tests and number recall vs. more complex information processing tests) may explain differences in outcomes between studies (Hogervorst et al., 2008). It should also be noted that decision-making is a skill and may not be as sensitive as response time (Farahani,

Soltani, and Rezlescu, 2020). In general, it appears that low-moderate doses of caffeine have less consistent effects on memory and higher-order executive functions, such as judgment and decision-making (McLellan et al., 2016).

# 4.3 Practical applications

By including individual raw data and facilitating its interpretation within an applied context, our data contribute to the growing body of literature on the relationship between caffeine consumption, performance, and cognitive responses. While no statistically significant differences were observed, it is noteworthy that most of the participants scored lower on decision-making and LSPT after consuming caffeine. This may suggest that more complex tasks with a higher number of passes might negatively be affected by low doses of caffeine ingested one hour before playing soccer. While the short pass accuracy remained consistent among almost all participants before and after caffeine consumption, the performance varied in the case of long passes. Some participants maintained comparable levels of accuracy, while others experienced either improved or reduced long pass accuracy after consuming caffeine. It appears that the effects of 3 mg/kg caffeine differ according to the individual differences between players.

Coaches may add these findings to their pool of performance metrices. Some of the changes could be important in certain positions or playing styles. For example, a slight decrease in pass accuracy might be crucial for a midfielder but less impactful for a goalkeeper. Ultimately, determining the practical significance of these findings in soccer performance involves a combination of quantitative analysis, expert input, and contextual understanding. It is also important to view effect sizes as part of a larger picture and consider how they fit into the broader context of the sport and its objectives. Lastly, it is worth noting that individual responses to caffeine can vary significantly. Therefore, a prudent approach could involve trialing caffeine supplementation during training sessions or friendly fixtures to assess its effectiveness and suitability for each athlete before considering its application in more critical competitive scenarios.

## 4.4 Limitations and future research

We recognize the difficulty of controlling field studies where environmental and competitive conditions vary. While we controlled the dosage and timing of consumption, individual sensitivity to caffeine is harder to control. The abstention from habitual caffeine intake before the trials may have caused withdrawal effects that could change the interpretation of our findings (Gant et al., 2010). Although none of the participant could discern the treatment they received, measuring the success of blinding exclusively, could distinguish varying levels of blinding performance in the study (Saunders et al., 2016). We also acknowledge that

mimicking "game performance" can be challenging for both individual athletes and teams. To replicate real-world settings as consistently as possible, we utilized a video-based task. However, we note that such simulated protocols may not completely replicate other competitive aspects of a soccer match. Future research could use more ecological validated scenarios, such as in-game tactical and decision data, to explore the possible effects of caffeine on decision-making when more tactical strategies are present. Future research could also look at the acute effects of caffeine ingestion on players with different posts within a team. Lastly, due to time and Covid pandemic constraints, only male participants were recruited. Therefore, future studies could use more diverse participants to increase the statistical power and generalizability of the results.

# 5. Conclusions

The acute intake of 3 mg of caffeine per kg body mass did not change either decision-making ability or the accuracy of pass in young football players statistically significantly. However, most participants scored lower on decision-making and LSPT after consuming caffeine. While the short pass accuracy remained consistent among almost all participants before and after caffeine consumption, the performance varied in the case of long passes. Despite many benefits of caffeine on physical and cognitive performance, future studies are needed to evaluate the effects of this substance on passing accuracy and decision-making.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Fajr Sepasi Shiraz Football Club, their staff, and players who kindly participated in the project.

# Authorship

NJ: Conceptualization, data collection and curation, project administration, and writing original draft; MS and DF: Conceptualization, supervision, review, and editing; PS: Data curation, formal analysis, supervision, writing original draft, review, and editing.

## **Conflict of interest**

None declared.

# **Data availability statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, PS, upon reasonable request.

## References

Abián-Vicén, J., Puente, C., Salinero, J. J., González-Millán, C., Areces, F., Muñoz, G., ... & Del Coso, J. (2014). A caffeinated energy drink improves jump performance in adolescent basketball players. *Amino Acids*, *46*, 1333-1341. doi: 10.1007/s00726-014-1702-6

American Beverage Association – ABA. (2023, September 20). Caffeine.

EnergyDrinkInformation. https://www.energydrinkinformation.com/resources/caffeine

Astorino, T. A., & Roberson, D. W. (2010). Efficacy of acute caffeine ingestion for short-term high-intensity exercise performance: A systematic review. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *24*(1), 257-265. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181c1f88a

Bangsbo, J. (1994). Energy demands in competitive soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *12*(sup1), S5-S12. doi: 10.1080/02640414.1994.12059272

Bello, M. L., Walker, A. J., McFadden, B. A., Sanders, D. J., & Arent, S. M. (2019). The effects of TeaCrine<sup>®</sup> and caffeine on endurance and cognitive performance during a simulated match in high-level soccer players. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*, *16*(1), 1-10. doi: 10.1186/s12970-019-0287-6

Bennett, K. J., Novak, A. R., Pluss, M. A., Coutts, A. J., & Fransen, J. (2019). Assessing the validity of a video-based decision-making assessment for talent identification in youth soccer. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *22*(6), 729-734. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2018.12.011

Bloomfield, J., Polman, R., & O'Donoghue, P. (2007). Physical demands of different positions in FA Premier League soccer. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, *6*(1), 63-70.

Brunyé, T. T., Mahoney, C. R., Lieberman, H. R., & Taylor, H. A. (2010). Caffeine modulates attention network function. *Brain and Cognition*, *72*(2), 181-188. doi: 10.1016/j.bandc.2009.07.013

Davis, J. K., & Green, J. M. (2009). Caffeine and anaerobic performance: Ergogenic value and mechanisms of action. *Sports Medicine*, *39*, 813-832. doi: 10.2165/11317770-000000000-00000

Davis, J. M., Zhao, Z., Stock, H. S., Mehl, K. A., Buggy, J., & Hand, G. A. (2003). Central nervous system effects of caffeine and adenosine on fatigue. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, *284*(2), R399-R404. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00386.2002

de Almeida, R. F., da Costa, I. T., Machado, G., Rinaldi, N. M., Aquino, R., Tallis, J., ... & Guimaraes-Ferreira, L. (2022). The effect of acute caffeine ingestion on tactical performance of professional soccer players. *Nutrients*, *14*(7), 1466. doi: 10.3390/nu14071466

de Souza, J. G., Del Coso, J., Fonseca, F. D. S., Silva, B. V. C., de Souza, D. B., da Silva Gianoni, R. L., ... & Claudino, J. G. (2022). Risk or benefit? Side effects of caffeine supplementation in sport: a systematic review. *European Journal of Nutrition, 61*(8), 3823-3834. doi: 10.1007/s00394-022-02874-3

Del Coso, J., Pérez-López, A., Abian-Vicen, J., Salinero, J. J., Lara, B., & Valadés, D. (2014). Enhancing physical performance in male volleyball players with a caffeine-containing energy drink. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *9*(6), 1013-1018. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2013-0448

Duncan, M. J., Dobell, A. P., Caygill, C. L., Eyre, E., & Tallis, J. (2019). The effect of acute caffeine ingestion on upper body anaerobic exercise and cognitive performance. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *19*(1), 103-111. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2018.1508505

Ellis, M., Noon, M., Myers, T., & Clarke, N. (2019). Low doses of caffeine: enhancement of physical performance in elite adolescent male soccer players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *14*(5), 569-575. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2018-0536

Farahani, J., Soltani, P., & Rezlescu, C. (2020). Assessing decision-making in elite academy footballers using real-world video clips. *Progress in Brain Research*, *253*, 59-70. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2020.06.015

Fortes, L. S., Freitas-Júnior, C. G., Paes, P. P., Vieira, L. F., Nascimento-Júnior, J. R., Lima-Júnior, D. R. A. A., & Ferreira, M. E. (2020). Effect of an eight-week imagery training programme on passing decision-making of young volleyball players. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *18*(1), 120-128. doi: 10.1080/1612197X.2018.1462229

Foskett, A., Ali, A., & Gant, N. (2009). Caffeine enhances cognitive function and skill performance during simulated soccer activity. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *19*(4), 410-423. doi:10.1123/ijsnem.19.4.410

Gant, N., Ali, A., & Foskett, A. (2010). The influence of caffeine and carbohydrate coingestion on simulated soccer performance. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *20*(3), 191-197. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.20.3.191

Hogervorst, E., Riedel, W. J., Kovacs, E., Brouns, F. J. P. H., & Jolles, J. (1999). Caffeine improves cognitive performance after strenuous physical exercise. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *20*(06), 354-361.

Hogervorst, E. E. F., Bandelow, S., Schmitt, J., Jentjens, R. O. Y., Oliveira, M., Allgrove, J., ... & Gleeson, M. (2008). Caffeine improves physical and cognitive performance during exhaustive exercise. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, *40*(10), 1841-1851. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31817bb8b7

Hopkins, W., Marshall, S., Batterham, A., & Hanin, J. (2009). Progressive statistics for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, *41*(1), 3-12. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278

Irons, J. G., Heinz, A. J., Bassett, D. T., Correia, C. J., Babson, K. A., Boden, M. T., ... & Bonn-Miller, M. O. (2014). Development and initial validation of the Caffeine Motives Questionnaire. *Journal of Caffeine Research*, *4*(2), 49-55. doi: 10.1089/jcr.2014.0002 Jacobson, B. H., & Edgley, B. M. (1987). Effects of caffeine in simple reaction time and

Kosslyn, M. S., & Smith, E. E. (2001). Higher cognitive functions - Introduction. In M. S. Gassaniga (Ed.), *The New Cognitive Neurosciences* (pp. 961-964). The MIT Press, Cambridge, U.K.

movement time. Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine, 58(12), 1153-1156.

Kruk, B., Chmura, J., Krzeminski, K., Ziemba, A. W., Nazar, K., Pekkarinen, H., & Kaciuba-Uscilko, H. (2001). Influence of caffeine, cold and exercise on multiple choice reaction time. *Psychopharmacology*, *157*, 197-201. doi: 10.1007/s002130100787

Lorenzo Calvo, J., Fei, X., Domínguez, R., & Pareja-Galeano, H. (2021). Caffeine and cognitive functions in sports: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients*, *13*(3), 868. doi: 10.3390/nu13030868

McLellan, T. M., Caldwell, J. A., & Lieberman, H. R. (2016). A review of caffeine's effects on cognitive, physical and occupational performance. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *71*, 294-312. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.09.001

Mielgo-Ayuso, J., Calleja-Gonzalez, J., Del Coso, J., Urdampilleta, A., León-Guereño, P., & Fernández-Lázaro, D. (2019). Caffeine supplementation and physical performance, muscle damage and perception of fatigue in soccer players: A systematic review. *Nutrients*, *11*(2), 440. doi: 10.3390/nu11020440

Mohr, M., Krustrup, P., & Bangsbo, J. (2003). Match performance of high-standard soccer players with special reference to development of fatigue. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *21*(7), 519-528. doi: 10.1080/0264041031000071182

Olson, C. A., Thornton, J. A., Adam, G. E., & Lieberman, H. R. (2010). Effects of 2 adenosine antagonists, quercetin and caffeine, on vigilance and mood. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology*, *30*(5), 573-578. doi: 10.1097/JCP.0b013e3181ee0f79

Pérez-López, A., Salinero, J. J., Abian-Vicen, J., Valades, D., Lara, B., Hernandez, C., ... & Del Coso, J. (2015). Caffeinated energy drinks improve volleyball performance in elite female players. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *47*(4), 850-856. doi: 10.1249/mss.00000000000000055

Pesce, C., Tessitore, A., Casella, R., Pirritano, M., & Capranica, L. (2007). Focusing of visual attention at rest and during physical exercise in soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *25*(11), 1259-1270. doi: 10.1080/02640410601040085

Portillo, J., Del Coso, J., & Abián-Vicén, J. (2017). Effects of caffeine ingestion on skill performance during an international female rugby sevens competition. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 31(12), 3351-3357. doi:

10.1519/JSC.0000000000001763

Puente, C., Abián-Vicén, J., Salinero, J. J., Lara, B., Areces, F., & Del Coso, J. (2017). Caffeine improves basketball performance in experienced basketball players. *Nutrients*, *9*(9), 1033. doi: 10.3390/nu9091033

Rulence-Pâques, P., Fruchart, E., Dru, V., & Mullet, E. (2005). Decision-making in soccer game: a developmental perspective. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, *55*(2), 131-136. doi: 10.1016/j.erap.2004.05.003

Russell, M., Reynolds, N. A., Crewther, B. T., Cook, C. J., & Kilduff, L. P. (2020). Physiological and performance effects of caffeine gum consumed during a simulated half-time by professional academy rugby union players. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *34*(1), 145-151. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000002185

Santos, V. G., Santos, V. R., Felippe, L. J., Almeida Jr, J. W., Bertuzzi, R., Kiss, M. A., & Lima-Silva, A. E. (2014). Caffeine reduces reaction time and improves performance in simulated-contest of taekwondo. *Nutrients*, *6*(2), 637-649. doi: 10.3390/nu6020637

Saunders, B., de Oliveira, L. F., da Silva, R. P., de Salles Painelli, V., Gonçalves, L. S., Yamaguchi, G., ... & Gualano, B. (2017). Placebo in sports nutrition: A proof-of-principle study involving caffeine supplementation. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, *27*(11), 1240-1247. doi: 10.1111/sms.12793

Shabir, A., Hooton, A., Tallis, J., & F. Higgins, M. (2018). The influence of caffeine expectancies on sport, exercise, and cognitive performance. *Nutrients*, *10*(10), 1528. doi: 10.3390/nu10101528

Share, B., Sanders, N., & Kemp, J. (2009). Caffeine and performance in clay target shooting. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 27(6), 661-666. doi: 10.1080/02640410902741068

Snel, J., & Lorist, M. M. (2011). Effects of caffeine on sleep and cognition. *Progress in Brain Research*, 190, 105-117. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53817-8.00006-2

Stølen, T., Chamari, K., Castagna, C., & Wisløff, U. (2005). Physiology of soccer: An update. *Sports Medicine*, *35*, 501-536. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200535060-00004

Tallis, J., Clarke, N., Morris, R., Richardson, D., Ellis, M., Eyre, E., ... & Noon, M. (2021). The prevalence and practices of caffeine use as an ergogenic aid in English professional soccer. *Biology of Sport*, *38*(4), 525-534.

Tallis, J., Guimaraes-Ferreira, L., & Clarke, N. D. (2022). Not another caffeine effect on sports performance study—Nothing new or more to do?. *Nutrients*, *14*(21), 4696. doi: 10.3390/nu14214696

Tarnopolsky, M. A. (2008). Effect of caffeine on the neuromuscular system—potential as an ergogenic aid. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, *33*(6), 1284-1289. doi: 10.1139/H08-121

Vestberg, T., Gustafson, R., Maurex, L., Ingvar, M., & Petrovic, P. (2012). Executive functions predict the success of top-soccer players. *PloS one*, *7*(4), e34731. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034731

Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Logan, J., Alexoff, D., Fowler, J. S., Thanos, P. K., ... & Tomasi, D. (2015). Caffeine increases striatal dopamine D2/D3 receptor availability in the human brain. *Translational Psychiatry*, *5*(4), e549-e549. doi: 10.1038/tp.2015.46