



HAL
open science

The neglect of nonnative orthopterans as potential invaders: A call for awareness

Anna K Kulesa, Paride Balzani, Ismael Soto, Antonín Kouba, D Renault, Ali Serhan Tarkan, Phillip Joschka Haubrock

► To cite this version:

Anna K Kulesa, Paride Balzani, Ismael Soto, Antonín Kouba, D Renault, et al.. The neglect of non-native orthopterans as potential invaders: A call for awareness. *Insect Science*, 2023, 10.1111/1744-7917.13277 . hal-04264688

HAL Id: hal-04264688

<https://hal.science/hal-04264688>






Submitted on 2 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

REVIEW

The neglect of nonnative orthopterans as potential invaders: A call for awareness

Anna K. Kulesa^{1,2,3}, Paride Balzani³ , Ismael Soto³ , Antonín Kouba³, David Renault⁴ , Ali Serhan Tarkan^{5,6,7}  and Phillip J. Haubrock^{3,8,9} 

¹Ruhr University Bochum, Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, Bochum, Germany; ²Faculty of Biology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 5, Essen, Germany; ³Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, South Bohemian Research Center of Aquaculture and Biodiversity of Hydrocenoses, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Vodňany, Czech Republic; ⁴University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystèmes, Biodiversité, Evolution), UMR, Rennes, France; ⁵Faculty of Fisheries, Department of Basic Sciences, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Muğla, Turkey; ⁶Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Bournemouth University, Poole, Dorset, UK; ⁷Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, Department of Ecology and Vertebrate Zoology, University of Łódź, Łódź, Poland; ⁸Department of River Ecology and Conservation, Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum Frankfurt, Gelnhausen, Germany and ⁹Center for Applied Mathematics and Bioinformatics, Gulf University for Science and Technology, Mubarak Al-Abdullah, Kuwait

Abstract Despite the potential ecological and economic impacts of invasive species, there is a dearth of data on the presence, impacts, and management implications of potentially invasive Orthoptera species. This lack of research and inconsistent data, including risk screenings and impact assessments, is especially evident in Europe. Consequently, assessing the status, distribution, and potential threats of nonnative Orthoptera in Europe remains challenging, impeding the development of effective management strategies. To address this gap, we call for increased efforts to collect and curate data on non-native and possibly invasive Orthoptera in Europe. Such efforts will improve our understanding of this order's invasion dynamics, facilitate the identification of priority areas for conservation, and support the development of effective management policies and preventive measures.

Key words costs; cricket; damages; grasshopper; impact; research

Introduction

While biological invasions are known as a major driver of global change, the diverse impacts or spread of numerous non-native species often go unnoticed or have not yet been considered, in particular for insect species (McNeely, 2006; Diagne *et al.*, 2021). This is because assessments can be difficult, as they depend on various factors, such as the non-native species' origin,

dispersal pathways and vectors, ecology, and physiology (Jeschke *et al.*, 2014). Orthoptera, which include grasshoppers, containing locusts (Acridoidea), crickets (Grylloidea), mole- and ant-crickets (Gryllotalpoidea), bush-crickets or katydids (Tettigonioidea), and camel-crickets (Rhaphidophoridae) (Song, 2018), play important ecological roles for nutrient cycles and food chains in their native ecosystems (Samways & Lockwood, 1998). Their non-native populations can become invasive, concomitantly causing significant damage to crops, grasslands, and forests when spreading, with cascading effects on ecosystem health, community composition and human well-being (Samways & Lockwood, 1998; Jucker & Lupi, 2011; Hill *et al.*, 2016; Cavaletto *et al.*, 2018; Saha, 2020; Fortuna *et al.*, 2022), yet have been largely neglected by

Correspondence: Paride Balzani, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, South Bohemian Research Center of Aquaculture and Biodiversity of Hydrocenoses, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Vodňany, Czech Republic. Tel: +39 3470599228; Email: balzani@frov.jcu.cz

invasion scientists (Jago, 1998; Popova *et al.*, 2022). This has resulted in a lack of research on their invasion ecology, distribution patterns, and potential impacts on invaded ecosystems (Kulessa *et al.*, 2023).

Orthopterans have a long history of causing significant damages worldwide (Nevo, 1996), even in their native range where they can act as common pests (Samways & Lockwood, 1998; Çiplak, 2021). Locust plagues have been documented since biblical times, with swarms of locusts mentioned in both the Old and New Testaments (Lomer *et al.*, 2001). The Quran also references to locusts as a plague “sent by God” (Simpson & Sword, 2008), and ancient Chinese and Roman texts describe the devastating effects of locust plagues on crops and vegetation (Nevo, 1996; Chen & Zhang, 1999; Wang *et al.*, 2023). More recent examples of these plagues are the “Moroccan locust disasters” (caused by *Dociostaurus maroccanus* Thunberg, 1815) that occurred in Western Anatolia during the 1850s, 1860s, 1880s, and 1910s, putting the government, local administrators, and people in financial and moral distress (Gökmen, 2010). The desert locust (*Schistocerca gregaria* Forsskål, 1775) invasion was also known as the “fifth enemy of the Ottoman Empire” during the First World War, impacting West and South Anatolia along with regions in Aleppo and Syria (Yıldırım, 2014). The government responded to these outbreaks with strict laws and regulations enacted in 1912, spending a considerable amount of time and money for preventing and managing outbreaks, at the expense of infrastructure and transportation, which were insufficiently developed in the Empire at that time (Yıldırım, 2014). After the collapse of the Empire and during the establishment of the Turkish Republic, the country faced extreme poor socioeconomic conditions. Yet, despite these challenges, the Turkish Republic continued to allocate considerable amounts of human and economic resources toward combating the impacts of orthopteran invasions (Balamir, 1973), totaling ~4 million US\$ between the 1930s and 1980s (Tarkan *et al.*, unpublished). In the year 2006 and now again in 2023, the Mormon cricket (*Anabrus simplex* Haldeman, 1852) had infested approximately 10 million hectares of the state of Nevada with so far unquantified damages (Schell, 2023).

Only few studies have however considered the ecological and socioeconomic impacts of nonnative orthopterans. In this work, we amalgamate the current knowledge on their potential impacts and invasiveness, and emphasize the need for collecting novel information on potentially invasive Orthoptera species. This commentary note evidenced the current lack of research and inconsistent data, thus preventing risk screenings and impact assessments for those species.

Impact and invasiveness

In recent times, the desert locust has caused significant damages to agricultural crops across the globe, for example, in Africa, the Middle East, and South Asia (Peng *et al.*, 2020). These outbreaks of locust swarms led to millions of individuals swarming fields and devouring entire crops in a matter of hours (Showler *et al.*, 2022). Impacts of orthopterans can vary significantly due to their diverse ecological preferences and geographical origins. Some exhibit a remarkable ability to adapt and thrive in human-altered environments, such as greenhouses and urban areas, while others prefer specific natural habitats. Understanding the differences among non-native species is essential for effective management and mitigation strategies in larger political entities like the European Union, especially when considering that certain species known for their impacts have their origins in Asia (Anonymous, 2013; www.tarimorman.gov.tr), whereas others are native to e.g. the southern regions of Europe (Bauer *et al.*, 2022). This is, because the spread of these species across e.g. continental Europe may be closely associated with human activities, while in some cases, natural dispersal mechanisms might also play a role.

Non-native orthopterans not only cause large-scale crop failures or reduce yields, but can also have negative impacts on biodiversity by causing population declines (Preuss *et al.*, 2015) and even local extinctions (Simberloff, 2010), indirectly altering ecosystem functioning, reducing biodiversity by changing plant community composition, and suppressing native vegetation (Samways & Lockwood, 1998; Showler *et al.*, 2022). Non-native species also often have a high adaptability and wide temperature tolerances, which give them a competitive advantage over other coexisting native species (Lenz *et al.*, 2011). While for instance the southern oak bush-cricket (*Meconema meridionale* Costa, 1860) is expected to negatively impact the native oak bush-cricket (*Meconema thalassinum* De Geer, 1773) in some parts of Europe (Hochkirch *et al.*, 2016; Essl & Zuna-Kratky, 2021), the Roesel's bush-cricket (*Roeseliana roeselii* Hagenbach, 1822) has possibly already outcompeted at least one native Orthoptera species in Sweden (McAlpine, 2009). Their spread to new regions is also facilitated by rising temperatures, but also anthropogenic effects. The house cricket (*Acheta domesticus* Linnaeus, 1758) is native to Southeast Asia but has a broad distribution in large parts of northern, western, southern, and central Europe, being mostly established in urban areas and may continue to expand its range further (Szelei *et al.*, 2011). However, not all introduced Orthoptera species will establish. Non-native locust swarms for instance,

while capable of invading new regions and crossing vast distances when forming swarms, may not always lead to persistent populations in those areas. Nevertheless, these migrations can cause significant damage to agricultural fields and rangelands, impacting local ecosystems and livelihoods. The recurrent nature of locust migrations underscores their significance as a persistent problem, and the potential for further spread is always present. It is essential to recognize that even temporary or failed invasions can have far-reaching consequences, warranting appropriate management efforts. While swarms of non-native locusts may not conform to the classic definition of an invasive species (i.e., spreading following the establishment of stable populations), their transient invasions demand attention and response from policymakers and environmental managers. Neglecting to address the ecological impacts of such migratory events may lead to inadequate preparedness for potential future invasions or exacerbate the challenges faced by affected regions.

Considering the utilization of Orthoptera as a novel food and source of protein—with numerous species already commercially produced (Fernandez-Cassi *et al.*, 2019)—emphasizes the critical need for more information and underline a severe neglect of research on orthopterans. This is a worrying concern given their potential to cause significant damage to crops and natural vegetation, leading to disastrous ecological and economic losses (Showler *et al.*, 2022).

Neglect

While some invasive species, such as those listed among the 100 worst invasives (Lowe *et al.*, 2000) are well-studied and recognized as threats to human health and ecosystems (Lourenço *et al.*, 2022), other groups such as non-native orthopterans have received much less attention. Indeed, despite historically documented damages caused by orthopterans and both observed and potential impacts, there has been a lack of research on their invasion ecology and (potential) distribution patterns (Kulesa *et al.*, 2023). A recent study by Kulesa *et al.* (2023) indicated that out of the 37 non-native Orthoptera species recorded in Europe, 23 were established in at least 1 European country where they are not native. From these, only 4 species are listed as invasive based on their ability to spread, despite reported negative impacts. Another 6 species are potentially invasive, and negative impacts have been described for them as well. One possible reason for this disparity is that the damages caused by orthopterans are often seasonal and not constantly recurring due to their life cycle and migration (Tanaka, 1994) and

may therefore not be as apparent to researchers studying invasive species over longer periods (Crooks, 2005). Certain conditions must be met for an outbreak to occur, namely specific weather patterns, habitat availability, and sufficient food sources (Dempster, 1963). Orthopterans are also often perceived as less charismatic and less appealing to by study compared to other insect groups such as butterflies or beetles (Nash, 2004). While possible reasons are manifold, one is likely the perception that orthopterans are believed not to be as significant a threat as other invasive species, such as invasive mammals, fish, or plants (Kapitza *et al.*, 2019), but also other insects such as ants or mosquitoes (Juliano & Philip Lounibos, 2005; Angulo *et al.*, 2022). The few existing studies, however, suggest that orthopterans can be highly disruptive to native ecosystems (Fashing *et al.*, 2010; Sultana *et al.*, 2021), especially when they occur in large numbers (even without forming swarms; Lecoq & Cease, 2022). The impacts of most orthopterans are furthermore predominantly observed in underdeveloped countries or regions, which may not have the resources to study or report on the economic impacts of these species, or are recorded in non-English languages (Angulo *et al.*, 2021). The 2003–2005 locust plague in West Africa, for instance, caused an estimated economic loss of US\$2.5 billion in damages to crops and livestock, which severely affected local economies and resulted in a scarcity of food and resource shortages (Showler *et al.*, 2022). Similarly, Turkey faced significant postmanagement costs of approximately 86 million TRY for the period between 1950 and 2013 due to locust outbreaks (Anonymous, 2013; www.tarimorman.gov.tr). Significant outbreaks also occurred within Europe. Although the island of Sardinia is within the native range of the Moroccan locust, its nativeness to this island is debated (Bauer *et al.*, 2022; Klein *et al.*, 2022). However, in 2019 and 2020, the Moroccan locust destroyed thousands of hectares of agricultural land, causing massive economic losses to farmers (Bauer *et al.*, 2022). In Europe, where the agricultural sector is of great importance (Bindi & Olesen, 2011), the impacts of non-native species are estimated to have cost billions of euros (Haubrock *et al.*, 2021), seemingly increasing (Henry *et al.*, 2023), yet do not list any cost inferred to orthopterans (as of InvaCost v4.1).

Climate change effect

Studying non-native orthopterans is particularly important given that their impacts could be aggravated by climate change, which is expected to have significant

effects on the development, distribution, and spread of non-native species (Salih *et al.*, 2020). As global temperatures continue to rise, many species are shifting their historical ranges (Panagiotopoulou *et al.*, 2016), enabling non-native species to establish themselves in new areas more easily (Hellmann *et al.*, 2008). In addition, climate change may alter weather patterns and affect the phenology of seasonal events, affecting reproduction or the emergence of different life stages, possibly impacting the success of particular species (Lecoq & Cease, 2022), including orthopterans. One example of climate change facilitating the expansion of a non-native Orthoptera species is the two-spotted cricket (*Gryllus bimaculatus* De Geer, 1773). This species can be found in Africa and Asia, and it has a widespread presence throughout the Mediterranean, extending its range into northern Europe where it competes with native species (Mito & Noji, 2008; Panagiotopoulou *et al.*, 2016). Despite being widely distributed across continental Europe, the range of the cone-headed grasshopper *Conocephalus fuscus* (Fabricius, 1793) has also been expanding through Europe and temperate Asia due to global warming (Sutton *et al.*, 2017; Myagmar & Gantigmaa, 2023).

Climate change may also affect the behavior of nonnative orthopterans, with warming altering the metabolism of the individuals, and thus affecting the timing, duration, and amount of feeding. This could, in turn, lead to changes in the likelihood and intensity of swarming behavior (Wang *et al.*, 2019; Meynard *et al.*, 2020; Lecoq & Cease, 2022), potentially exacerbating impacts on crops and other vegetation (Youngblood *et al.*, 2023). However, the response of many orthopterans to climate change has not yet been adequately studied (Lecoq & Cease, 2022). Warmer temperatures may affect the timing and frequency of mating, which could impact population growth and, thus, further facilitate ongoing range expansion. Finally, climate change could affect the movements of migratory species, resulting in shifts in the areas affected by these species.

Management and mitigation

Studying non-native species is crucial to minimize their potential impacts. The European Union has implemented strict measures to regulate the introduction of non-native species (Genovesi *et al.*, 2015), but the effectiveness of such measures depends on a thorough understanding of the risks and impacts posed by these species. Moreover, without adopting a clear definition what an invasive species is (Soto *et al.*, 2023b), the scarcity of

research limits the ability to develop effective mitigation measures for non-native Orthoptera species (Piou & Marescot, 2023).

Neglecting the study of non-native orthopterans could lead to significant ecological and economic damages through an increased risk of crop damage and loss of biodiversity, ultimately negatively impacting human well-being. Addressing the impacts of these species is already challenging, as several species can swarm under certain (also stable) conditions (Topaz *et al.*, 2012), and quickly spread over large areas, which is further exacerbated by a lack of understanding of their invasion dynamics and ecology (Kulesa *et al.*, 2023). Preventive measures, such as biosecurity protocols (Coughlan *et al.*, 2020), can be effective in preventing the introduction of non-native orthopterans into new areas. This may involve implementing measures such as quarantine procedures, inspections of imported goods, and restrictions on the trade of live animals, but also insect farms that are expanding in Europe (Žuk-Gołaszewska *et al.*, 2022). Monitoring and implementing early detection can be effective in preventing the establishment and spread of non-native orthopterans with this being related to many species-specific factors. This can involve setting up surveillance programs in high-risk areas to detect the presence of non-native orthopterans and developing rapid response plans to control their spread if detected. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, for instance, is implementing preventive control strategies to limit pesticide use and control local outbreaks of desert locusts. They are incorporating the latest technologies and monitoring weather and ecological conditions to determine breeding, gregarization, and migration 6 weeks ahead. However, if non-native orthopterans do establish and become invasive, control measures may be limited to the use of chemical or biological control methods. While chemical control methods, such as the use of insecticides, can effectively reduce populations of non-native orthopterans (Yildirim, 2014), they may interfere with European regulation (Genovesi *et al.*, 2015), as they may also have negative impacts on non-target species and the environment. Biological control methods, such as the introduction of natural predators or parasites, can also be effective in controlling nonnative orthopterans (Cunningham & Sampson, 2000), but may have unforeseeable impacts on the environment (Carruthers & Onsager, 1993).

The current understanding of all aspects pertaining to the invasion dynamics (Soto *et al.*, 2023a), impacts (Haubrock *et al.*, 2022), invasion history (Kulhanek *et al.*, 2011), and management actions for invasive Orthoptera are limited (Kulesa *et al.*, 2023), revealing an unknown but potentially significant risk and threat not only to

the European Union, but for the worldwide population. For instance, interception data, information on climatic niches, the risks accompanying their use as edible insects with limited regulation (not to mention absence), and biosecurity protocols will ultimately result in high risks of invasion of surrounding environments. Therefore, there is an urgent need to explore this important area of research in the future to underpin management strategies needed in the future.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude for the opportunity to conduct this research and for the support we have received throughout this process. We acknowledge the contributions of the scientific community at large, whose work has paved the way for this study. Additionally, we extend our thanks to the editors and reviewers for their valuable feedback and guidance.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Angulo, E., Diagne, C., Ballesteros-Mejia, L., Adamjy, T., Ahmed, D.A., Akulov, E. *et al.* (2021) Non-English languages enrich scientific knowledge: the example of economic costs of biological invasions. *Science of the Total Environment*, 775, 144441.
- Angulo, E., Hoffmann, B.D., Ballesteros-Mejia, L., Taheri, A., Balzani, P., Bang, A. *et al.* (2022) Economic costs of invasive alien ants worldwide. *Biological Invasions*, 24, 2041–2060.
- Balamir, S. (1973) Türkiye’de Çöl Çekirgesi (*Schistocerca gregaria* Forsk.) Salgınları [Desert Locust (*Schistocerca gregaria* Forsk.) Outbreaks in Turkey]. *Şark Matbaası*: Ankara, Turkey.
- Bauer, C., Fekete, A., Kühne, S. and Baufeld, P. (2022) Abschätzung des Klimawandel induzierten Gefahrenpotentials von Feldheuschrecken (Acrididae) als Schädlinge für die zukünftige deutsche Landwirtschaft. *Journal Für Kulturpflanzen*, 74, 153–165.
- Bindi, M. and Olesen, J.E. (2011) The responses of agriculture in Europe to climate change. *Regional Environmental Change*, 11, 151–158.
- Carruthers, R.I. and Onsager, J.A. (1993) Perspective on the use of exotic natural enemies for biological control of pest grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Environmental Entomology*, 22, 885–903.
- Cavaletto, G., Faccoli, M., Marini, L., Martinez-Sañudo, I. and Mazzon, L. (2018) Oviposition site preference of *Barbitistes vicetinus* (Orthoptera, Tettigoniidae) during outbreaks. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 20, 414–419.
- Chen, Y.L. and Zhang, D.E. (1999) Historical evidence for population dynamics of Tibetan migratory locust and the forecast of its outbreak. *Insect Science*, 6, 135–145.
- Çiplak, B. (2021) Locust and grasshopper outbreaks in the near east: review under global warming context. *Agronomy*, 11, 111.
- Crooks, J.A. (2005) Lag times and exotic species: the ecology and management of biological invasions in slow-motion. *Ecoscience*, 12, 316–329.
- Coughlan, N.E., Cuthbert, R.N. and Dick, J.T. (2020) Aquatic biosecurity remains a damp squib. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29, 3091–3093.
- Cunningham, G.L. and Sampson, M.W. (2000) *Grasshopper Integrated Pest Management User Handbook*. Washington, DC, USA: US Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.
- Dempster, J.P. (1963) The population dynamics of grasshoppers and locusts. *Biological Reviews*, 38, 490–529.
- Diagne, C., Catford, J.A., Essl, F., Nuñez, M.A. and Courchamp, F. (2021) What are the economic costs of biological invasions? A complex topic requiring international and interdisciplinary expertise. *Neobiota*, 63, 25–37.
- Essl, F. and Zuna-Kratky, T. (2021) The checklist of alien orthopterans (Orthoptera) and mantises (Mantodea) in Austria. *BioInvasions Record*, 10, 991–996.
- Fashing, P.J., Nguyen, N. and Fashing, N.J. (2010) Behavior of geladas and other endemic wildlife during a desert locust outbreak at Guassa, Ethiopia: ecological and conservation implications. *Primates; Journal of Primatology*, 51, 193–197.
- Fernandez-Cassi, X., Supeanu, A., Vaga, M., Jansson, A., Boqvist, S. and Vagsholm, I. (2019) The house cricket (*Acheta domesticus*) as a novel food: a risk profile. *Journal of Insects As Food and Feed*, 5, 137–157.
- Fortuna, T.M., Le Gall, P., Mezdour, S. and Calatayud, P.A. (2022) Impact of invasive insects on native insect communities. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 51, 100904.
- Genovesi, P., Carboneras, C., Vilà, M. and Walton, P. (2015) EU adopts innovative legislation on invasive species: a step towards a global response to biological invasions? *Biological Invasions*, 17, 1307–1311.
- Gökmen, E. (2010) Batı Anadolu’da Çekirge Felâketi (1850–1915). *Belleten*, 74, 127–180.
- Haubrock, P.J., Turbelin, A.J., Cuthbert, R.N., Novoa, A., Taylor, N.G., Angulo, E. *et al.* (2021) Economic costs of invasive alien species across Europe. *NeoBiota*, 67, 153–190.
- Haubrock, P.J., Ahmed, D.A., Cuthbert, R.N., Stubbington, R., Domisch, S., Marquez, J.R. *et al.* (2022) Invasion impacts

- and dynamics of a European-wide introduced species. *Global Change Biology*, 28, 4620–4632.
- Hellmann, J.J., Byers, J.E., Bierwagen, B.G. and Dukes, J.S. (2008) Five potential consequences of climate change for invasive species. *Conservation Biology*, 22, 534–543.
- Henry, M., Leung, B., Cuthbert, R.N., Bodey, T.W., Ahmed, D.A., Angulo, E. et al. (2023) Unveiling the hidden economic toll of biological invasions in the European Union. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 35, 1–16.
- Hill, M.P., Clusella-Trullas, S., Terblanche, J.S. and Richardson, D.M. (2016) Drivers, impacts, mechanisms and adaptation in insect invasions. *Biological Invasions*, 18, 883–891.
- Hochkirch, A., Willemse, L.P.M., Szovenyi, G., Rutschmann, F., Presa, J.J., Kristin, A. et al. (2016) *Meconema thalassinum*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T68427417A74540428 (accessed 29 April 2023), <https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/68427417/74540428#threats>
- Jago, N.D. (1998) The world-wide magnitude of Orthoptera as pests. *Journal of Orthoptera Research*, 7, 117–124.
- Jeschke, J.M., Bacher, S., Blackburn, T.M., Dick, J.T., Essl, F., Evans, T. et al. (2014) Defining the impact of non-native species. *Conservation Biology*, 28, 1188–1194.
- Jucker, C. and Lupi, D. (2011) Exotic insects in Italy: an overview on their environmental impact. In *The Importance of Biological Interactions in the Study of Biodiversity* (ed. J. López-Pujol), pp. 51–74. BoD–Books on Demand.
- Juliano, S.A. and Philip Lounibos, L. (2005) Ecology of invasive mosquitoes: effects on resident species and on human health. *Ecology Letters*, 8, 558–574.
- Kapitza, K., Zimmermann, H., Martín-López, B. and von Wehrden, H. (2019) Research on the social perception of invasive species: a systematic literature review. *NeoBiota*, 43, 47–68.
- Klein, I., Cocco, A., Ureyen, S., Mannu, R., Floris, I., Oppelt, N. et al. (2022) Outbreak of Moroccan locust in Sardinia (Italy): a remote sensing perspective. *Remote Sensing*, 14, 6050.
- Kulesa, A.K., Kouba, A., Renault, D., Soto, I. and Haubrock, P.J. (2023) Assessing non-native invasive Orthoptera in Europe. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2828952/v1>
- Kulhanek, S.A., Ricciardi, A. and Leung, B. (2011) Is invasion history a useful tool for predicting the impacts of the world's worst aquatic invasive species? *Ecological Applications*, 21, 189–202.
- Lecoq, M. and Cease, A. (2022) What have we learned after millennia of locust invasions? *Agronomy*, 12, 472.
- Lenz, M., da Gama, B.A., Gerner, N.V., Gobin, J., Gröner, F., Harry, A. et al. (2011) Non-native marine invertebrates are more tolerant towards environmental stress than taxonomically related native species: results from a globally replicated study. *Environmental Research*, 111, 943–952.
- Lomer, C.J., Bateman, R.P., Johnson, D.L., Langewald, J. and Thomas, M. (2001) Biological control of locusts and grasshoppers. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 46, 667–702.
- Lourenço, F., Calado, R., Medina, I. and Ameixa, O.M. (2022) The potential impacts by the invasion of insects reared to feed livestock and pet animals in Europe and other regions: a critical review. *Sustainability*, 14, 6361.
- Lowe, S., Browne, M., Boudjelas, S. and De Poorter, M. (2000) 100 of the World's Worst Invasive Alien Species: A Selection from the Global Invasive Species Database (Vol. 12). The Invasive Species Specialist Group (ISSG) a specialist group of the Species Survival Commission (SSC) of the World Conservation Union (IUCN), 12 pp.
- McAlpine, D.F. (2009) First occurrence of Roesel's bush cricket, *Metrioptera roeselii* (Hagenbach) (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae), in New Brunswick. *Journal of the Acadian Entomological Society*, 5, 1–2.
- McNeely, J.A. (2006) As the world gets smaller, the chances of invasion grow. *Euphytica*, 148, 5–15.
- Meynard, C.N., Lecoq, M., Chapuis, M.P. and Piou, C. (2020) On the relative role of climate change and management in the current desert locust outbreak in East Africa. *Global Change Biology*, 26, 3753–3755.
- Mito, T. and Noji, S. (2008) The two-spotted cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*: an emerging model for developmental and regeneration studies. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols, pdb.emo110.
- Myagmar, G. and Gantigmaa, C. (2023) Review of the genus *Conocephalus* (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae) in Mongolia. *Far Eastern Entomologist*, 479, 7–12.
- Nash, S. (2004) Desperately seeking charisma: improving the status of invertebrates. *Bioscience*, 54, 487–494.
- Nevo, D. (1996) The desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*, and its control in the land of Israel and the Near East in antiquity, with some reflections on its appearance in Israel in modern times. *Phytoparasitica*, 24, 7–32.
- Panagiotopoulou, H., Baca, M., Baca, K., Sienkiewicz, P., Ślipinski, P. and Żmihorski, M. (2016) Genetic identification of a non-native species introgression into wild population of the field cricket *Gryllus campestris* (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) in Central Europe. *European Journal of Entomology*, 113, 446–455.
- Peng, W., Ma, N.L., Zhang, D., Zhou, Q., Yue, X., Khoo, S.C. et al. (2020) A review of historical and recent locust outbreaks: links to global warming, food security and mitigation strategies. *Environmental Research*, 191, 110046.
- Piou, C. and Marescot, L. (2023) Spatiotemporal risks forecasting to improve locust management. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 56, 101024.
- Popova, K.V., Baturina, N.S., Molodtsov, V.V., Yefremova, O.V., Zharkov, V.D. and Sergeev, M.G. (2022) The handsome cross grasshopper *Oedaleus decorus* (Germar, 1825) (Orthoptera:

- Acrididae) as a neglected pest in the South-Eastern part of West Siberian Plain. *Insects*, 13, 49.
- Preuss, S., Berggren, Å. and Cassel-Lundhagen, A. (2015) Genetic patterns reveal an old introduction event and dispersal limitations despite rapid distribution expansion. *Biological Invasions*, 17, 2851–2862.
- Saha, H.K. (2020) Review of the relationship between acridids (Orthoptera: Acrididae) biodiversity and forest health management. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 44, 285–290.
- Salih, A.A., Baraibar, M., Mwangi, K.K. and Artan, G. (2020) Climate change and locust outbreak in East Africa. *Nature Climate Change*, 10, 584–585.
- Samways, M.J. and Lockwood, J.A. (1998) Orthoptera conservation: pests and paradoxes. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, 2, 143–149.
- Schell, S.P. (2023) Grasshopper infestations and the risks they pose to western North America range and crop lands, North of Mexico. In *Biological and Environmental Hazards, Risks, and Disasters* (ed. R. Sivanpillai), pp. 55–75. Elsevier.
- Showler, A.T., Shah, S., Khan, S., Ullah, S. and Degola, F. (2022) Desert locust episode in Pakistan, 2018–2021, and the current status of integrated desert locust management. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management*, 13, 1–11.
- Simberloff, D. (2010) Invasive species. In *Conservation Biology for All* (eds. N.S. Sodhi & P.R. Ehrlich), pp. 131–152. Oxford University Press.
- Simpson, S.J. and Sword, G.A. (2008) Locusts. *Current Biology*, 18, R364–R366.
- Song, H. (2018) Biodiversity of orthoptera. *Insect Biodiversity: Science and Society*, 2, 245–279.
- Soto, I., Ahmed, D.A., Balzani, P., Cuthbert, R.N. and Haubrock, P.J. (2023a) Sigmoidal curves reflect impacts and dynamics of aquatic invasive species. *Science of the Total Environment*, 872, 161818.
- Soto, I., Balzani, P., Carneiro, L., Cuthbert, R.N., Macedo, R., Tarkan, A.S. *et al.* (2023b) Taming the terminological tempest in invasion science. [ecoevorxiv.org](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2888888/v1)
- Sultana, R., Kumar, S., Samejo, A.A., Soomro, S. and Lecoq, M. (2021) The 2019–2020 upsurge of the desert locust and its impact in Pakistan. *Journal of Orthoptera Research*, 30, 145–154.
- Sutton, P.G., Beckmann, B.C. and Nelson, B. (2017) The current status of Orthopteroid insects in Britain and Ireland. *Atropos*, 59, 6–35.
- Szelei, J., Woodring, J., Goettel, M.S., Duke, G., Jousset, F.X., Liu, K.Y. *et al.* (2011) Susceptibility of North-American and European crickets to *Acheta domesticus* densovirus (AdDNV) and associated epizootics. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 106, 394–399.
- Tanaka, H. (1994) Embryonic diapause and life cycle in the migratory locust, *Locusta migratoria* L. (Orthoptera: Acrididae), in Kyoto. *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, 29, 179–191.
- Topaz, C.M., D’Orsogna, M.R., Edelstein-Keshet, L. and Bernoff, A.J. (2012) Locust dynamics: behavioral phase change and swarming. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 8, e1002642.
- Wang, B., Deveson, E.D., Waters, C., Spessa, A., Lawton, D., Feng, P. *et al.* (2019) Future climate change likely to reduce the Australian plague locust (*Chortoicetes terminifera*) seasonal outbreaks. *Science of the Total Environment*, 668, 947–957.
- Wang, X., Li, G., Xu, W., Kong, D., Gao, X., Wang, S. *et al.* (2023) The locust plagues in the Yangtze River Delta of China during the Ming and Qing Dynasties. *Natural Hazards*, 115, 2333–2350.
- Yildirim, M.A. (2014) The Fifth Enemy of the Ottoman Empire during World War I: grasshoppers. *Gaziantep University Journal of Social Sciences*, 13, 1017–1042.
- Youngblood, J.P., Cease, A.J., Talal, S., Copa, F., Medina, H.E., Rojas, J.E. *et al.* (2023) Climate change expected to improve digestive rate and trigger range expansion in outbreaking locusts. *Ecological Monographs*, 93, e1550.
- Żuk-Gołaszewska, K., Gałęcki, R., Obremski, K., Smetana, S., Figiel, S. and Gołaszewski, J. (2022) Edible insect farming in the context of the EU regulations and marketing—an overview. *Insects*, 13, 446.

Manuscript received May 4, 2023

Final version received August 31, 2023

Accepted September 2, 2023