



**Inhibitory neurons control the consolidation of neural assemblies via adaptation to selective stimuli**

Raphaël Bergoin, Alessandro Torcini, Gustavo Deco, Mathias Quoy, Gorka Zamora-López

#### **Contents**



- Methods ………………………………………………………………………………………………… 7
- Results ……………………………………………………………………………………….…….…… 16
- Discussion ………………………………….………………………………………………………… 40

#### **Contents**

- Introduction ……………………………………………………………………………………..…… 3
- Methods ………………………………………………………………………………………………… 7
- Results ……………………………………………………………………………………….…….…… 16
- Discussion ………………………………….………………………………………………………… 40

### Introduction: Context and problems

#### Context :

- **Inhibition** plays a key role in the **learning** and the **dynamics** of the brain

- These aspects are also found in **neural network models**

**Oscillator models** are well suited for highlighting the **synchronization** degree of a network according to inhibition and excitation



### Introduction: Context and problems

#### Problems :

In coupled oscillator models

- Too few experiments associate **inhibition** w an **adaptation** mechanism



E I



Coupling weight matrix of Kuramoto-like oscillators (Berner 2021)

- No **distinction** made in general between excitatory and inhibitory neurons, **Dale's principle** no respected

### Introduction: Objectives

*What is the role of inhibitory neurons in the emergence and consolidation of neural assemblies due to stimulus-driven plasticity?*



#### **Contents**

- Introduction ……………………………………………………………………………………..…… 3
- Methods ………………………………………………………………………………………………… 7
- Results ……………………………………………………………………………………….…….…… 16
- Discussion ………………………………….………………………………………………………… 40

#### Methods: Model - Neurons dynamics

Network of *N* **θ-neurons** (Ermentrout & Kopell 1986) all-to-all connected described by:



#### Methods: Model - Plasticity function

The **symmetric phase difference-dependent plasticity** rule (Lücken et al. 2016, Shamsi et al. 2020) is described by:



*The Hebbian plasticity function*

With the phase difference:  $\Delta \theta = |\theta_j - \theta_i|$ 

The dynamic of the **coupling weight**  $\kappa_{ij}$  from presynaptic neuron *j* to postsynaptic neuron *i* is given by the following equations, with *H(x)* the Heaviside function,  $\varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon_2 \ll 1$  the learning rates for the slow and the fast adaptation respectively.

 $\theta^{\cdot}$ 

- If the neurons *i* and *j* are **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{E} \quad \frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = [\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 H(I_j(t))] \kappa_{ij} (1 - \kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta \theta)\n\end{aligned}
$$

- If only the neuron *j* is **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\bullet & \xrightarrow{dk_{ij}} & \epsilon_1 \kappa_{ij} (1 - \kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta \theta) \\
\end{array}
$$

- If the neuron *j* is **inhibitory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

$$
\underbrace{\mathrm{d} \kappa_{ij}}_{\text{traphael.bergoin (out)}} = \epsilon_1 (\kappa_{ij} + 1)(-\kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta \theta)
$$
\n
$$
\text{CHAOS 2023 - June 15, 2023}
$$

The dynamic of the **coupling weight**  $\kappa_{ij}$  from presynaptic neuron *j* to postsynaptic neuron *i* is given by the following equations, with *H(x)* the Heaviside function,  $\varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon_2 \ll 1$  the learning rates for the slow and the fast adaptation respectively.

- If the neurons *i* and *j* are **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

**EXECUTE:**

\n
$$
\frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = [\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 H(I_j(t))] \kappa_{ij} (1 - \kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta \theta)
$$
\nIf the neuron *j* is **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by:

\n**EXECUTE:**

\n**EXECUTE:**

\n
$$
\frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = \epsilon_1 \kappa_{ij} (1 - \kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta \theta)
$$
\nPlasticity function

\n**Flasticity function**

\n**Flasticity function**

\n**Flasticity function**

\n**Equation (a)**

\n**Equation (a)**

\n**Plasticity function**

\n**Equation (b)**

\n**Relax's 2023 - June 15, 2023**

The dynamic of the **coupling weight**  $\kappa_{ij}$  from presynaptic neuron *j* to postsynaptic neuron *i* is given by the following equations, with *H(x)* the Heaviside function,  $\varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon_2 \ll 1$  the learning rates for the slow and the fast adaptation respectively.

- If the neurons *i* and *j* are **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :



The dynamic of the **coupling weight**  $\kappa_{ij}$  from presynaptic neuron *j* to postsynaptic neuron *i* is given by the following equations, with *H(x)* the Heaviside function,  $\varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon_2 \ll 1$  the learning rates for the slow and the fast adaptation respectively.

- If the neurons *i* and *j* are **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

 $\begin{aligned} \begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \quad \frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = [\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 H(I_j(t))] \kappa_{ij}(1-\kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta\theta)$ 

 $\epsilon$   $\frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = \epsilon_1 \kappa_{ij} (1 - \kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta \theta)$ 

- If only the neuron *j* is **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

- If the neuron *j* is **inhibitory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

 $\frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = \epsilon_1(\kappa_{ij}+1)(-\kappa_{ij})\Lambda$ 

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{F} \\
\hline\n\text{F} \\
\text{F} \\
\text
$$

CHAOS 2023 - June 15, 2023

**Slow adaptation**

The dynamic of the **coupling weight**  $\kappa_{ij}$  from presynaptic neuron *j* to postsynaptic neuron *i* is given by the following equations, with *H(x)* the Heaviside function,  $\varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon_2 \ll 1$  the learning rates for the slow and the fast adaptation respectively.

- If the neurons *i* and *j* are **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

- If only the neuron *j* is **excitatory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :  $\begin{aligned} \begin{aligned} \textbf{-E} \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = [\epsilon_1 + \underbrace{\epsilon_2 H(I_j(t))}]\kappa_{ij}(1-\kappa_{ij})\Lambda(\Delta\theta) \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$  $\epsilon$   $\epsilon_1 \kappa_{ij} = \epsilon_1 \kappa_{ij} (1 - \kappa_{ij}) \Lambda(\Delta \theta)$ **Fast adaptation**

- If the neuron *j* is **inhibitory** the dynamic of the coupling weights is given by :

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{1} & \text{E} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{2} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{3} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{4} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{5} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{6} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{7} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{8} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{9} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{2} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{2} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{3} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{4} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{5} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{6} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{7} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{8} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{9} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{2} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{3} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{4} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{5} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{6} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{7} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{8} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{9} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{2} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{3} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{4} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{5} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{6} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{7} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{8} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{9} & \text{1} \\
\hline\n\text{1} & \text
$$

$$
\frac{d\kappa_{ij}}{dt} = \epsilon_1(\kappa_{ij} + 1)(-\kappa_{ij})\Lambda(\Delta\theta)
$$

CHAOS 2023 - June 15, 2023

#### Methods: Experimental protocol

- A first phase of spontaneous activity
- Stimulate **2 different areas** (i.e., groups of excitatory neurons) alternatively and randomly with binary values (constant input)
- Create particular (modular) **structures**
- Observe the **dynamics** obtained during the rest state and the action of **inhibition** on it over the **short and long term**



#### **Contents**

- Introduction ……………………………………………………………………………………..…… 3
- Methods ………………………………………………………………………………………………… 7
- Results ……………………………………………………………………………………….…….…… 16
- Discussion ………………………………….………………………………………………………… 40





raphael.bergoin@upf.edu CHAOS 2023 - June 15, 2023



raphael.bergoin@upf.edu CHAOS 2023 - June 15, 2023











*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*











raphael.bergoin@upf.edu CHAOS 2023 - June 15, 2023



*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*





## How much inhibition is needed?

1) Learning multiple clusters

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

1) Learning multiple clusters

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

1) Learning multiple clusters

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

2) Overlapping clusters

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

2) Overlapping clusters

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

2) Overlapping clusters

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Contents**

- Introduction ……………………………………………………………………………………..…… 3
- Methods ………………………………………………………………………………………………… 7
- Results ……………………………………………………………………………………….…….…… 16
- Discussion ………………………………….………………………………………………………… 40

#### **Discussion**

#### Modulation of synchronization:

- **Learning** allows correlated neurons to maintain some degree of **synchrony** with each other
- Each group **repulses** each other thanks to the **inhibitory** neurons constituting them
- It's necessary to have a **balance** between the **inhibitory** and **excitatory** forces working on the different (sub)clusters with a small advantage for inhibition

#### Creation and maintenance of modular structures:

- The **creation** of clusters is induced by the **spatio-temporal correlations** of the stimuli applied to the network and therefore by the resulting **adaptation** mechanism
- **Inhibitory neurons** make it possible to **maintain** the learned structure and thus to **reinforce** it for the **long term**  $\rightarrow$  memory consolidation (recall in rest to consolidate)
- The **amount of inhibitory** neurons is related to:
	- Quantity of clusters/**informations** possible to retain
	- Number of overlapping/**hub** neurons for transmission/integration

**Memory capacity of the network**

#### **Discussion**

#### Modulation of synchronization:

- **Learning** allows correlated neurons to maintain some degree of **synchrony** with each other
- Each group **repulses** each other thanks to the **inhibitory** neurons constituting them
- It's necessary to have a **balance** between the **inhibitory** and **excitatory** forces working on the different (sub)clusters with a small advantage for inhibition

#### Creation and maintenance of modular structures:

- The **creation** of clusters is induced by the **spatio-temporal correlations** of the stimuli applied to the network and therefore by the resulting **adaptation** mechanism
- **Inhibitory neurons** make it possible to **maintain** the learned structure and thus to **reinforce** it for the **long term**  $\rightarrow$  memory consolidation (recall in rest to consolidate)
- The **amount of inhibitory** neurons is related to:
	- Quantity of clusters/**informations** possible to retain
	- Number of overlapping/**hub** neurons for transmission/integration

**Memory capacity of the network**

#### **Discussion**

#### Modulation of synchronization:

- Learning allows correlated new some degree of a synchrony with each other
- 
- different (sub) clusters with a small and

Each group **repulses** ead  $\rightarrow$  **Proportion of inhibitory neurons:** constituting them It's necessary to have a  $\sharp$  **compromise between the number of index** forces working on the **→ Proportion of inhibitory neurons: compromise between the number of information possible to retain and their complexity (cluster size)**

Creation and maintenance of modular structures:

- The **creation** of clusters is induced by the **spatio-temporal correlations** of the stimuli applied to the network and therefore by the resulting **adaptation** mechanism
- **Inhibitory neurons** make it possible to **maintain** the learned structure and thus to **reinforce** it for the **long term**  $\rightarrow$  memory consolidation (recall in rest to consolidate)
- The **amount of inhibitory** neurons is related to:
	- Quantity of clusters/**informations** possible to retain
	- Number of overlapping/**hub** neurons for transmission/integration

**Memory capacity of the network**

# Thank you for your attention

#### Supplementary results: pattern recall

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

#### Supplementary results: splay state

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

*(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

#### Supplementary results: 2 clusters and one area not stimulated *(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Supplementary results: 2 clusters randomly stimulated *(Bergoin, et al. 2023)*

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

raphael.bergoin@upf.edu CHAOS 2023 - June 15, 2023