

A common mechanism of Sec61 translocon inhibition by small molecules

Samuel Itskanov, Laurie Wang, Tina Junne, Rumi Sherriff, Li Xiao, Nicolas Blanchard, Wei Shi, Craig Forsyth, Dominic Hoepfner, Martin Spiess, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Samuel Itskanov, Laurie Wang, Tina Junne, Rumi Sherriff, Li Xiao, et al.. A common mechanism of Sec61 translocon inhibition by small molecules. Nature Chemical Biology, 2023, 19 (9), pp.1063-1071. 10.1038/s41589-023-01337-y. hal-04262928

HAL Id: hal-04262928

https://hal.science/hal-04262928

Submitted on 31 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A common mechanism of Sec61 translocon inhibition by small molecules

17

16

- Samuel Itskanov^{1,#}, Laurie Wang^{2,#}, Tina Junne³, Rumi Sherriff², Li Xiao⁴, Nicolas Blanchard⁵,
- Wei Q. Shi⁶, Craig Forsyth⁴, Dominic Hoepfner⁷, Martin Spiess³, and Eunyong Park^{2,8}

20

- ¹Biophysics Graduate Program, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.
- ²Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.
- ³Biozentrum, University of Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland.
- ⁴Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210,
- 25 United States.
- ⁵Université de Haute-Alsace, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, LIMA, UMR 7042, 68000
- 27 Mulhouse, France.
- ⁶Department of Chemistry, Ball State University, Muncie, IN 47306, USA.
- ⁷Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Novartis Pharma AG, Forum 1 Novartis Campus,
- 30 CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland
- 31 ⁸California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720,
- 32 USA.
- 33 These authors contributed equally: Samuel Itskanov and Laurie Wang
- Corresponding author: Eunyong Park (e-mail: eunyong_park@berkeley.edu)

35

36

Abstract

- The Sec61 complex forms a protein-conducting channel in the endoplasmic reticulum
- 38 membrane that is required for secretion of soluble proteins and production of many membrane
- 39 proteins. Several natural and synthetic small molecules specifically inhibit Sec61, generating
- 40 cellular effects that are useful for therapeutic purposes, but their inhibitory mechanisms remain
- 41 unclear. Here we present near-atomic-resolution structures of human Sec61 inhibited by a
- 42 comprehensive panel of structurally distinct small molecules—cotransin, decatransin, apratoxin,
- 43 ipomoeassin, mycolactone, cyclotriazadisulfonamide, and eeyarestatin. All inhibitors bind to a
- 44 common lipid-exposed pocket formed by the partially open lateral gate and plug domain of
- 45 Sec61. Mutations conferring resistance to the inhibitors are clustered at this binding pocket. The
- structures indicate that Sec61 inhibitors stabilize the plug domain in a closed state, thereby
- 47 preventing the protein-translocation pore from opening. Our study provides the atomic details of
- 48 Sec61–inhibitor interactions and the structural framework for further pharmacological studies
- 49 and drug design.
- 50 (150 words)

Introduction

52

66

67

68 69

70

71 72

73

74 75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89 90

91

92 93

94

53 The universally conserved heterotrimeric Sec61 complex (SecY in prokaryotes) plays essential 54 roles in biosynthesis of more than one third of proteins in all species (for recent review, see ref. 1). In eukaryotes, secretory proteins are first translocated into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) by 55 the Sec61 complex before reaching the cell surface by vesicular trafficking. The Sec61 complex 56 also mediates membrane integration of many proteins, including most cell surface receptors and 57 58 cell adhesion molecules. The Sec61/SecY channel has an hourglass-like structure with a pore 59 constriction (termed the pore ring) halfway across the membrane, which is gated by a 60 movement of a plug-like ER-lumenal (or extracellular in SecY) domain of the channel². In 61 addition, the channel has a seam (lateral gate) in the wall that can open laterally in the plane of the membrane to release transmembrane segments (TMs) of membrane protein clients into the 62 63 lipid phase. Concerted opening of the lumenal and lateral gates is also required for initial insertion of the client protein's hydrophobic signal sequence or uncleavable signal anchor into 64 the channel (Fig. 1a). 65

The Sec61/SecY channel translocates polypeptides either co-translationally by docking a translating ribosome or post-translationally by engaging a fully synthesized polypeptide client. In eukaryotes, the post-translational mode is enabled by association of the channel with two additional membrane proteins Sec63 and Sec62 (ref. ^{3,4}). X-ray crystallography and cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) have visualized structures of the Sec61/SecY channel in different functional states and revealed how it is gated and engages with client proteins^{2,5-14}. The current model posits that association of a ribosome or Sec63 slightly perturbs ("primes") or partially opens the lateral gate^{7,11,12} (Fig. 1a). Insertion of the client polypeptide needs further widening of the lateral opening and a displacement of the plug away from the pore, which occur in a cooperative manner. In cotranslational translocation, these conformational changes are presumed to be induced by an interaction between the channel and the signal sequence/anchor^{7,9}, whereas in post-translational translocation, they seem to be mediated by Sec62¹³.

Several natural and synthetic small molecules bind to Sec61 and inhibit protein translocation (for review, see ref. ^{15,16}). These inhibitors have been investigated as potential anticancer, antiviral, and/or immunosuppressive agents 17-20. Inhibition of Sec61 leads to downregulation of disease-related and clinically-relevant proteins, such as cytokines, cell surface receptors, and viral membrane proteins. Indeed, one such Sec61 inhibitor is currently being tested in a phase-I clinical trial for treatment of solid tumor malignancies²¹. A founding class of Sec61 inhibitors is a group of fungal-derived cyclic heptadepsipeptides named cotransins²²⁻²⁴. Other naturally occurring inhibitors discovered to date are decatransin, mycolactone, apratoxins, coibamide A, and ipomoeassin F, which are produced by certain fungal, bacterial, and plant species²⁵⁻³⁰. In addition, two synthetic compounds cyclotriazadisulfonamide (CADA) and eeyarestatin I (ESI) have also been shown to inhibit the Sec61 channel31,32. These inhibitors are structurally unrelated to each other, but several of them have been suggested to bind to an overlapping site in the Sec61 channel based on their abilities to compete for Sec61 binding. Remarkably, cotransin and CADA inhibit Sec61 in a client-specific manner^{22,23,33}, whereas other inhibitors act more broadly independent of clients. Biochemical data suggest that cotransin likely interacts with the lateral gate and/or the plug of Sec61 (ref. ³⁴). However, key information regarding the

actions of these inhibitors remains unavailable, including molecular details about Sec61-inhibitor interactions, which specific steps along the translocation process are inhibited, and what underlies client-specific versus broad-spectrum inhibition. This has limited our capability to design or discover additional therapeutically promising small-molecule agents that target Sec61.

99

100

101

114

115116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123124

125

126

127128

129

130

95

96

97

98

Results

Cryo-EM analysis of inhibitor-bound Sec61

- 102 To understand the mechanism of Sec61 inhibition, we sought to determine high-resolution structures of inhibitor-bound Sec61 using cryo-EM. To date, all mammalian Sec61 structures 103 104 have been obtained from ribosome-bound cotranslational complexes^{7,8}. However, due to the flexibility of Sec61 with respect to the ribosome, this approach limits the resolution of Sec61 to 105 106 only ~5 Å, a resolution that is impractical to model protein side chains and small ligands⁷. This 107 limitation is also apparent in the recent cryo-EM structures of the Sec61-ribosome complex treated with mycolactone³⁵, a cotransin derivative (KZR-8445)³⁶, or a CADA derivative 108 (CK147)³⁷. By contrast, we previously attained 3.1–3.7-Å resolution structures of the Sec61 109 channel from fungal post-translational translocation complexes 11,13 (termed the Sec complex), 110 which contained Sec62, Sec63 and fungal-specific nonessential Sec71 and Sec72 in addition to 111 the three $(\alpha, \beta, \text{ and } \gamma)$ subunits of the Sec61 complex. Thus, we reasoned that use of the Sec 112 complex would be an effective approach to study Sec61 inhibitors. 113
 - To enable high-resolution cryo-EM analysis of inhibitor-bound human Sec61, we designed a chimeric Sec complex, whose transmembrane and cytosolic domains are derived from the human and yeast proteins, respectively (Fig. 1b). Our initial efforts employing the entirely yeast or human Sec complex were unsuccessful. The yeast Sec complex incubated with cotransin 'compound 2' (hereafter referred to as cotransin CP2)25 did not show any cotransin-like feature in the cryo-EM map (Extended Data Fig. 1 a and b). This could be due to a lower binding affinity of cotransin CP2 towards yeast Sec61 compared to mammalian Sec61²⁵, the presence of detergent in the sample, or both. We could see a putative cotransin CP2 density in a cryo-EM structure of the human Sec complex lacking Sec62, but the resolution could not be improved beyond ~5 Å, probably due to high flexibility of the cytosolic domain of human Sec63 (Extended Data Fig. 1 c-f). We hypothesized that the resolution could be improved by replacing the cytosolic domain of Sec63 in the human complex with the yeast counterpart, as yeast Sec63, along with additional Sec71-Sec72 proteins, consistently showed well-defined features in our previous cryo-EM studies^{11,13}. While this chimeric construct would not be functional for posttranslational translocation without a matching chimeric Sec62 subunit that can interact with both human Sec61 and yeast Sec63, we expected that inhibitors would still bind efficiently to the channel as the Sec61 sequence is mostly human.
- The human-yeast chimeric Sec complex reconstituted into a peptidisc³⁸ indeed yielded dramatically improved structures at overall 2.5 to 2.9-Å resolution with most side-chain densities well defined (Fig 1c, and Extended Data Figs. 2–4 and Supplementary Table 1). In the absence of inhibitors, particle images could be sorted into two three-dimensional (3-D) classes with minor differences (Extended Data Fig. 2 b–h). In both classes, the Sec61 channel adopts a similar

conformation, including a partially open lateral gate and a closed plug, as expected for a

complex lacking Sec62 (ref. ¹³). However, the two classes showed slightly different

arrangements of Sec61 with respect to Sec63-Sec71-Sec72 due to a loose contact between the

engineered L6/7 loop of Sec61α and the FN3 domain of yeast Sec63 in Class 2 (Extended Data

140 Fig. 2 g and h).

144

154

155

156

157

161

166

169

141 For inhibitor-bound structures, we used five naturally occurring inhibitors, cotransin CP2,

decatransin, apratoxin F, ipomoeassin F, and mycolactone; and two designed synthetic

143 compounds CADA and ESI. Focused refinement masking out the cytosolic domains of Sec63-

Sec71-Sec72 further improved the map of the Sec61 complex (at overall resolution of 2.6 to 3.2

145 Å) showing clear, well-defined density features for the added inhibitor (Fig. 1 d–k, Extended

Data Figs. 3 and 4, and Supplementary Table 2). Local resolution around the inhibitor-binding

region was on par with or better than the overall resolution owing to relatively uniform resolution

distributions (Extended Data Fig. 3f). Reliable atomic models of inhibitor molecules could be

built into the densities of inhibitors based on their two-dimensional (2-D) chemical structures

150 (Fig. 1 d-k). However, we note that positions and orientations of certain atoms and bonds may

deviate from their true structures as our structures do not resolve individual atoms. When we

compared the cotransin CP2-bound Sec61 structures from the human and chimeric Sec

complexes, the two structures were essentially superimposable (Extended Data Fig. 5). This

suggests that the Sec61 channel in the chimeric complex can adopt the conformations that are

compatible with inhibitor binding observed in the human Sec complex.

Inhibitor-binding site

Despite their diverse chemical structures, all analyzed inhibitors are found to bind essentially to

the same site in the Sec61 channel (Figs. 1 and 2 a–c, and Extended Data Fig. 6). The pocket

is formed at the partially open lateral gate, approximately halfway across the membrane. The

inhibitors commonly interact with lateral gate helices TMs 2b, 3, and 7 of the Sec61α subunit.

However, it should be noted that the actual structure of the pocket substantially varies

depending on the bound inhibitor because the lateral gate adopts different degrees of opening

164 (Fig. 2d, and Extended Data Fig. 6). The width of the lateral gate opening is widest in the

cotransin CP2-bound structure and narrowest in the ipomoeassin F-bound structure. During

protein translocation, the lateral gate of the Sec61/SecY channel dynamically adopts closed or

variable open states by a relative motion between the N- and C- terminal halves of the α

subunit^{2,5-14}. Our structures show that inhibitors bind to the lateral gate in one of these partially

open states facilitated by the conformational flexibility of Sec61 and form a tight fit with the

pocket. A notable example of such flexibility can be seen in the ipomoeassin F-bound structure,

where the inhibitor binding even caused partial dissociation of Sec61 from Sec63 to

accommodate a near-closed conformation of the lateral gate (Extended Data Fig. 7). Compared

to natural inhibitors, the interfaces of CADA and ESI to Sec61 seem less extensive, possibly

174 explaining the lower (micromolar-range) affinities of these synthetic inhibitors (Extended Data

175 Fig. 6).

In addition to the lateral gate, the plug and pore ring critically participate in binding of all inhibitors. The partially open lateral gate of inhibited Sec61 is reminiscent of conformations observed with substrate-engaged Sec61. In fact, the inhibitor binding site largely coincides with where a signal sequence docks upon the insertion of a substrate protein into the channel^{9,10}. However, one crucial difference exists between polypeptide substrates and inhibitors: unlike the signal sequence, all inhibitors also form a direct contact with the plug in a closed position through hydrophobic moieties (Figs. 1 and 3). Many inhibitors even further intercalate into the dilated, crescent-shaped pore ring and interact with pore-ring residues (Ile81, Val85, Ile179, Ile183, Ile292, and/or Ile449). In the cases of mycolactone and ESI, their extended chain penetrates deeply into the channel interior and occupies a substantial space of the channel's cytosolic funnel (Fig. 3 and Extended Data Figs. 6 and 8). These parts of mycolactone and ESI are known to be critical for their inhibitory activity^{17,32}.

Structures of inhibitors and their interactions with Sec61

Except for cotransin and apratoxin, the structures of which were determined in organic solvents by NMR spectroscopy or X-ray crystallography^{39,40}, 3D structures of most Sec61 inhibitors were unknown. Our cryo-EM structures now reveal their 3D structures in association with the Sec61 channel. Notably, conformations of cotransin CP2 and apratoxin F in our cryo-EM structures are highly similar to those structures determined in organic solvent^{39,40}. This might be because the inhibitor-binding site in Sec61 forms a markedly hydrophobic environment. Particularly, the pocket is open towards the lipid phase (Figs. 1 and 2), and thus, all inhibitors are expected to interact with hydrocarbon tails of membrane lipids. The lipid-exposed parts of inhibitors are predominantly hydrophobic (Fig. 3). Similarly, the parts of inhibitors that face the Sec61 channel are mostly hydrophobic as they form contacts with hydrophobic side chains from the lateral gate, plug, and pore ring of Sec61α.

While van der Waals interactions between apolar groups of inhibitors and Sec61 seem to be dominant contributors to inhibitor binding, our cryo-EM structures also show a recurring pattern of polar interactions between Sec61 and inhibitors. In the closed channel, the lateral gate contains a conserved polar cluster halfway across the membrane, formed mainly by the side chain amide groups of Gln127 (Q127) in TM3 and Asn300 (N300) in TM7. Mutations in this polar cluster has been shown to affect the energetics of channel gating⁴¹. In the inhibitor-bound structures, Q127 and N300 are separated by lateral gate opening, but instead they do form polar interactions with certain oxygen and nitrogen atoms in the backbones of the inhibitors. Given that these prong-like polar interactions are present in a predominantly hydrophobic milieu, it is likely that they substantially strengthen inhibitor binding at the pocket (see below).

Mutations in Sec61 conferring resistance to inhibitors

Several point mutations in Sec61α have been found to confer resistance to Sec61 inhibitors^{25,26,28-30,34,35}. These mutations are mostly located in the plug and the lateral gate. Given the direct interactions between inhibitors and these parts, disruption of the inhibitor binding

surface could be a mechanism for these mutations. However, it has also been proposed that

217 mutations might work indirectly through altering the conformation of the channel³⁵. Extensive

biochemical studies of the Sec61/SecY complexes have well established that mutations in the

- lateral gate, plug, and pore ring often change the gating behavior of the channel⁴¹⁻⁴³. The best-
- 220 known examples are *prl* mutations that give rise to relaxed client selectivity through increased
- 221 propensity of channel opening. Thus, this phenotypic complexity has obscured how Sec61
- mutations confer resistance to inhibitors. Moreover, positions of the identified mutations were
- often redundant and sparse, limiting detailed investigation of their mechanisms.
- To biochemically probe inhibitor-binding sites in the Sec61 complex, we conducted a
- comprehensive mutational analysis fully blinded from our cryo-EM study. We focused on two
- inhibitors cotransin CP2 and ipomoeassin F, which were readily available to us. In addition to
- 227 anti-proliferation activities on mammalian cancer cell lines, these compounds also cause growth
- retardation of yeast cells in a Sec61α (Sec61p)-specific manner²⁵. Therefore, we tested 84 point
- mutations on 34 amino acid positions in yeast Sec61α for their half-maximal growth inhibitory
- 230 concentration (IC₅₀) (Supplementary Table 3). Positions were mainly chosen from the cytosolic
- 231 funnel and lateral gate as they were likely candidates to bind inhibitors (each site was typically
- 232 mutated to either Asp or Trp). This led us to identify 19 and 14 new resistance-conferring
- positions for cotransin CP2 and ipomoeassin F, respectively.
- We then mapped the mutation positions onto the cryo-EM structures. The results clearly show
- that most resistance mutations are clustered around bound cotransin CP2 or ipomoeassin F
- 236 (Figure 4 a and b), suggesting that their primary mechanism is through directly impairing the
- inhibitor-binding surface. However, some mutations (e.g., mutations equivalent to R66I/G and
- 238 E78K in human Sec61α) are located at distal sites in the plug, and they may act through a
- 239 conformational change in the plug domain. The plug makes a substantial contact with all tested
- inhibitors and is one of the most mobile parts of Sec61. Thus, altered structure or dynamics of
- the plug may explain the weakened inhibitor binding.
- Lastly, we investigated the importance of polar interactions at the binding site by mutational
- analysis. In the yeast growth assay, we found that an N302L mutation in yeast Sec61α
- 244 (equivalent to N300L in human Sec61α) confers strong resistance to cotransin CP2,
- decatransin, and ipomoeassin F (Fig. 4 c-e). A Q129L mutant (equivalent to Q127L in human
- 246 Sec61α) showed strong to intermediate resistance to decatransin and ipomoeassin F and mild
- effects on cotransin CP2. We further tested the effects of Q127 and N300 mutations on
- inhibition using human cells because several inhibitors exerted little or no effects on yeast
- growth even at high concentrations (200 µM for mycolactone and apratoxin F; and 1 mM for
- 250 CADA and ESI). We generated stable HEK293 cell lines that overexpress Sec61α (Extended
- Data Fig. 9). All natural-product inhibitors potently inhibited cell viability at nano- or subnano-
- molar concentrations in wild-type expressing cells (Fig. 4 f-j). Similar to the yeast-based assay,
- expression of Q127A or N300A mutant Sec61α markedly shifted dose-response curves to
- 254 higher inhibitor concentrations, suggesting that the mutations substantially decrease inhibitor-
- binding affinities. Unlike natural inhibitors, we could not observe Sec61-dependent cytotoxicity in
- the cell-based assay from synthetic designed inhibitors CADA and ESI. However, CADA
- inhibited expression of human CD4 with an IC₅₀ of 0.6 µM, similar to previous report³¹, and the
- 258 Q127A and N300A mutations substantially reduced the inhibition by CADA (Fig. 4k and

Extended Data Fig. 9). In all these experiments, the N300 mutations generally led to stronger resistance than the Q127 mutations. This might be explained in part by the structural observation that the side-chain amide of N300 more directly faces toward the inhibitors compared to Q127 (Extended Data Fig. 8).

263264

265

266267

268269

270

271

272

273

274

275276

277

278

279280

281

282

283

284 285

286 287

288

289

290 291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

259

260

261

262

Discussion

Our study reveals how Sec61 inhibitors interact with the channel and block the protein translocation. Remarkably, all seven tested inhibitors were found to bind to the same site in the channel formed by a partially open lateral gate and the fully closed plug domain, suggesting that this mode of interaction provides possibly the most effective mechanism for small molecules to inhibit the Sec61 channel. Among all known major Sec61 inhibitors to date, coibamide A is the only compound that was not included in the present study. However, given the previous observations that it competes with apratoxin A and mycolactone for Sec61 binding and that its resistant mutation could be found also in the plug³⁰, coibamide A is likely to bind to the same or an overlapping site. We note that the mycolactone and CADA derivative CK147 models proposed in the recent medium-resolution cryo-EM studies^{35,37} using ribosome-bound Sec61 fundamentally differ in both position and conformation from those we found in our study (Extended Data Fig. 10). Although the mycolactone (PDB 6Z3T) and CK147 (PDB 8B6C) models in these studies might represent alternative binding modes, understanding of these discrepancies would require further investigation, such as high-resolution cryo-EM analysis of inhibited cotranslational complexes. We also note the KZR-8445-bound Sec61 structure³⁶ and our cotransin CP2-bound structure show some differences. While the overall conformation of the channel and the location of the binding pocket seem consistent, the orientation of the KZR-8445 model is different from that of cotransin CP2 in our study. This discrepancy is more likely due to a limited map resolution of the ribosome-Sec61-KZR-8445 structure, although we cannot rule out a possibility that it may originate from minor structural differences between the two cotransin compounds.

Despite distinct chemical structures of the inhibitors, some common features among them could be inferred from our results. First, the inhibitors have two major clusters of hydrophobic moieties, one arranged to interact with the plug and the lateral gate, and the other with membrane lipids. The Sec61-facing sides are characterized by strong surface complementarity for the binding pocket, while the lipophilicity of the other parts would also contribute to efficient binding as the pocket exists within the plane of the membrane. Second, all inhibitors form polar interactions between their backbone and the side chains of the lateral gate (mainly N300 and Q127 of Sec61α). We found that this is crucial for Sec61 binding affinity. These polar groups of inhibitors would also provide some water solubility of the compounds. Third, certain inhibitors, such as mycolactone and ESI, further penetrate the cytosolic funnel of Sec61 forming additional polar and hydrophobic interactions therein. These interactions likely contribute to the binding energy of the inhibitor and their broad-spectrum activity.

Our data indicate that all known Sec61 inhibitors block the protein translocation process commonly by locking both lateral and lumenal gates of Sec61 into translocation-incompetent

conformations (Fig. 5a). Although the lateral gate stays partially open, it does not provide sufficient space for a signal sequence/anchor to pass. Importantly, the lumenal gate, i.e., the plug, remains fully closed such that the client polypeptide cannot insert into the pore. Overall, all three key gating elements—the lateral gate, plug, and pore ring—are cemented together by the inhibitor at their interface, thereby prohibiting their concerted opening required for the client protein insertion.

306

307 308

309

310 311

312

313

314

315

316317

318

319

Although further investigations would be necessary, our comparative analysis also hints at why certain inhibitors exhibit client-dependent translocation inhibition. Cotransin and CADA have been shown to be less effective in blocking translocation of client proteins containing a stronger targeting signal, such as a signal sequence with higher hydrophobicity or a TM signal anchor^{44,45}. Our structures show that in the cotransin CP2-bound structure, the lateral gate adopts a relatively more open conformation on the cytosolic side (Fig. 2). This may allow certain hydrophobic interactions between the lateral gate and the incoming signal sequence/anchor (Fig. 5b). A stronger interaction exerted by a stronger targeting signal probably tends to further pry open the lateral gate, promoting the inhibitor to be released. Although the lateral gate of the CADA-bound structure is not as wide as that of cotransin CP2-bound Sec61, its relatively low binding affinity (~0.2–2 µM) might facilitate certain hydrophobic signals to overcome inhibition. On the other hand, those inhibitors that deeply insert into the pore and cytosolic funnel of the channel, such as mycolactone and ESI, may tend to exert broad-spectrum inhibition by additionally impeding client insertion into the pore.

- It remains unclear whether binding of an inhibitor requires prior opening of the Sec61 channel. 320 In our chimeric complex, the lateral gate is partially opened by Sec63. In co-translational 321 322 translocation, it has been generally thought that the ribosome docking alone does not open the 323 lateral gate to a considerable extent⁷, which seems necessary for inhibitor binding. However, a transient breathing motion of the channel might allow inhibitors to bind. Single-molecule 324 325 fluorescence studies of the bacterial SecY channel have indicated that the lateral gate 326 spontaneously fluctuates between closed and open states without any binding partner^{46,47}. 327 Thus, it is possible that inhibitor binding may not require priming or partial opening of the channel induced allosterically by the ribosome or Sec63. 328
- It is also unclear how inhibitors affect other functions of the Sec61 channel beyond its role in 329 protein translocation. Previous studies have reported that the Sec61 channel is responsible for 330 passive calcium leakage from the ER lumen and that certain Sec61 inhibitors, such as 331 mycolactone and ESI, enhance this leak^{48,49}. Although our current data do not provide structural 332 333 insight into calcium permeation by Sec61, it is possible that some relevant conformations for this activity were not captured in our analysis. It is also possible that the calcium leakage involves 334 335 other translocon components that are absent in our study. Given the importance of calcium in 336 the physiology of metazoan cells, these outstanding issues warrant further study.
- Lastly, the rich structural and mechanistic knowledge we provide here can facilitate structureguided design of Sec61 inhibitors. The Sec61 channel has been considered as a promising target for therapeutic intervention due to its essential role in production of many cytokines, surface receptors, and cell adhesion molecules that are clinically relevant. Nevertheless, currently available Sec61 inhibitors would need further structural optimizations to improve their

342 effectiveness and pharmacological properties while reducing undesired cytotoxicity. Our new 343 approach enabling high-resolution structural analysis of human Sec61 and bound ligands would accelerate efforts to understand the mechanisms of new Sec61 inhibitors and optimize 344 previously identified molecules. 345 346 347 **Acknowledgments** We thank Dan Toso for support for electron microscope operation, Guanghui Zong for 348 ipomoeassin F synthesis, Philippe Mathys and Ralph Riedl for help acquiring the IC₅₀ data. E.P. 349 was supported by the Vallee Scholars Program, Pew Biomedical Scholars Program, and 350 Hellman Fellowship. S.I. and L.W. were supported by a National Institutes of Health training 351 352 grant (5T32GM008295). M.S and T.J. were supported by the Swiss National Science 353 Foundation (31003A-182519). N.B was supported by Fondation Raoul Follereau and Fondation Pour Le Développement De La Chimie Des Substances Naturelles Et Ses Applications. W.Q.S. 354 (synthesis of ipomoeassin F) was supported by an AREA grant from National Institutes of 355 Health (GM116032). C.F. and L.X. were supported by the Ohio State University. 356 357 358 **Author contributions** E.P. conceived the project and supervised the cryo-EM study. L.W. and S.I. cloned the chimeric 359 Sec construct and prepared protein samples. S.I., L.W., and E.P. collected and analyzed cryo-360 EM data and built atomic models. L.W. performed the human cell-based assays. R.S. helped 361 362 purification of the human Sec complex and cloning of the chimeric Sec complex. T.J., M.S., and D.H. performed the yeast mutational study. D.H. provided cotransin CP2 and decatransin. C.F. 363 364 and L.X. provided apratoxin F. W.S. provided ipomoeassin F. N.B. provided mycolactone. All 365 authored contributed to interpret results. E.P. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. 366 367 **Competing interests**

368

369

370

371

interests.

During the revision of the manuscript, the Park lab (E.P. and L.W.) signed a sponsored research

collaboration agreement with Kezar Life Sciences. The remaining authors declare no competing

Figure legends

Figure 1. Cryo-EM structures of the human Sec61 complex inhibited by various small-molecule inhibitors. a, Architecture of the Sec61 channel and overall model for gating and substrate engagement. **b**, Design of a human-yeast chimeric Sec complex. Parts derived from human and yeast proteins are outlined with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Note that except for the cytosolic L6/7 and L8/9 loops, Sec61α is from the human SEC61A1 protein sequence. Hs, *Homo sapiens*; Sc, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; J, J-domain. **c**, 2.7-Å-resolution cryo-EM map of the chimeric Sec complex in an apo state (Class 1, unsharpened map). The lateral gate helices are indicated by dashed lines and TM numbers. The region outlined by a rectangle indicates the inhibitor-binding site (also see **d–k**). **d–k**, Views into the inhibitor-binding site of Sec61α of apo and inhibitor-bound structures. Cryo-EM maps (semi-transparent surface) and atomic models were overlaid. Inhibitor and plug densities are shown in cyan and purple, respectively. Dashed lines indicate lateral gate helices TMs 2b, 3, and 7 as in c.

Figure 2. Structural plasticity of the inhibitor-binding pocket. a–c, The inhibitor-binding pocket of Sec61 and bound inhibitors are shown in surface (protein) and sphere (inhibitors) representations. Shown are for cotransin CP2 (a), decatransin (b), and ipomoeassin F (c). For other inhibitors, see Extended Data Fig. 6. Conserved polar amino acids N300 and Q127 at the inhibitor binding site (also see Fig. 3) are indicated in light and dark orange, respectively. Note that part (cinnamate moiety) of ipomoeassin is deeply buried inside the channel and invisible in this representation. d, Superposition of the Sec61 structures bound to cotransin CP2 (red), decatransin (green), and ipomoeassin F (pink). Note differences in the lateral gate opening due to the varying position of the N-terminal half of Sec61α, particularly TMs 2b and 3.

Figure 3. Maps for interactions between Sec61 and inhibitors. a, The chemical structure of cotransin CP2 and the positions of amino acids (ovals) of Sec61 in the immediate vicinity are drawn in a two-dimensional representation. Different colors were used for ovals to indicate regions in Sec61α: purple–plug, brown–pore ring, gray–lateral gate, light and dark oranges–polar cluster Q127/N300, and white–others. In the cotransin CP2 chemical structure, main lipid-exposed parts are in green whereas channel-facing parts are in blue. Moieties in orange and red interact with N300 and Q127 respectively. **b–g**, As in a, but drawn for decatransin (b), apratoxin F (c), mycolactone (d), ipomoeassin F (e), CADA (f), and ESI (g). Dashed lines indicate putative hydrogen bonds. Note that in the mycolactone-bound structure, a water molecule coordinated by Sec61 and mycolactone was observed in the pocket. For 3D structures, see Extended Data Fig. 8.

Figure 4. Inhibitor-resistant mutations. **a**, Positions of mutations tested with yeast Sec61 were mapped onto the cotransin CP2-bound structure (also see Supplementary Table 3). Left, front view; right, cutaway side view. Cotransin CP2 (cyan) and amino acid side chains are shown as spheres. Red and pale green spheres indicate positions in which mutation to Asp or

Trp develops high and no cotransin CP2 resistance, respective. Magenta, positions of other resistant mutations previously reported^{25,34}. **b**, As in a, but with ipomoeassin-F-resistant mutations. Yellow spheres additionally show positions that give rise to moderate ipomoeassin F resistance. **c**–**e**, Effects of Sec61 lateral gate polar amino acid mutations on yeast growth inhibition by cotransin CP2, decatransin, and ipomoeassin F (residue numbers are according to yeast Sec61). Shown are means, s.e.m., and fitted curves (n=3 independent experiments for cotransin CP2 and decatransin; n=4 independent experiments for ipomoeassin F). **f**–**j**, Doseresponse curves for indicated inhibitors from viability assays of cultured human (HEK293) cells expressing the indicated Sec61α variant (residue numbers are according to human SEC61A1; means and s.e.m., n=4 independent experiments). **k**, Inhibition of expression of CD4 in HEK293 by CADA (means and s.e.m., n=4 independent experiments).

Figure 5. Proposed model for Sec61 inhibition. a, General model for the mechanism of Sec61 inhibitors. Inhibitors bind to Sec61 in a partially open conformation and preclude the plug from opening. This prevents substrate polypeptide insertion. **b**, A proposed model for client-specific inhibition. Certain client-specific inhibitors may allow an interaction between strong signals (e.g., TM signal anchors) and the channel such that the signal sequence/anchor is wedged into the partially open lateral gate. This would further open the lateral gate to cause release of the inhibitor. Inhibitors forming less interactions with the pore and plug, rendering the lateral gate into a more open conformation, and/or displaying a weaker overall affinity are likely to be overcome by this manner.

References

- 1 Itskanov, S. *et al.* Mechanism of Protein Translocation by the Sec61 Translocon Complex. *Cold*437 *Spring Harb Perspect Biol* (2022).
- Van den Berg, B. *et al.* X-ray structure of a protein-conducting channel. *Nature* **427**, 36-44 (2004).
- Deshaies, R. J. *et al.* Assembly of yeast Sec proteins involved in translocation into the endoplasmic reticulum into a membrane-bound multisubunit complex. *Nature* **349**, 806-808 (1991).
- 443 4 Panzner, S. *et al.* Posttranslational protein transport in yeast reconstituted with a purified complex of Sec proteins and Kar2p. *Cell* **81**, 561-570 (1995).
- Egea, P. F. *et al.* Lateral opening of a translocon upon entry of protein suggests the mechanism of insertion into membranes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 17182-17187 (2010).
- 447 6 Park, E. *et al.* Structure of the SecY channel during initiation of protein translocation. *Nature* **506**, 102-106 (2014).
- Voorhees, R. M. *et al.* Structure of the mammalian ribosome-Sec61 complex to 3.4 A resolution.
 Cell 157, 1632-1643 (2014).
- 451 8 Gogala, M. *et al.* Structures of the Sec61 complex engaged in nascent peptide translocation or membrane insertion. *Nature* **506**, 107-110 (2014).
- Voorhees, R. M. *et al.* Structure of the Sec61 channel opened by a signal sequence. *Science* **351**, 88-91 (2016).
- Li, L. *et al.* Crystal structure of a substrate-engaged SecY protein-translocation channel. *Nature* 531, 395-399 (2016).
- 457 11 Itskanov, S. *et al.* Structure of the posttranslational Sec protein-translocation channel complex from yeast. *Science* **363**, 84-87 (2019).
- Wu, X. *et al.* Structure of the post-translational protein translocation machinery of the ER membrane. *Nature* **566**, 136-139 (2019).
- 13 Itskanov, S. *et al.* Stepwise gating of the Sec61 protein-conducting channel by Sec63 and Sec62.
 462 *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 28, 162-172 (2021).
- Weng, T. H. *et al.* Architecture of the active post-translational Sec translocon. *EMBO J* **40**, e105643 (2021).
- Pauwels, E. *et al.* Inhibitors of the Sec61 Complex and Novel High Throughput Screening Strategies to Target the Protein Translocation Pathway. *Int J Mol Sci* **22** (2021).
- 467 16 Luesch, H. *et al.* Natural products as modulators of eukaryotic protein secretion. *Nat Prod Rep* 468 **37**, 717-736 (2020).
- Guenin-Mace, L. *et al.* Shaping mycolactone for therapeutic use against inflammatory disorders. Sci Transl Med **7**, 289ra285 (2015).
- Heaton, N. S. *et al.* Targeting Viral Proteostasis Limits Influenza Virus, HIV, and Dengue Virus Infection. *Immunity* **44**, 46-58 (2016).
- Vermeire, K. *et al.* CADA inhibits human immunodeficiency virus and human herpesvirus 7 replication by down-modulation of the cellular CD4 receptor. *Virology* **302**, 342-353 (2002).
- O'Keefe, S. *et al.* Ipomoeassin-F inhibits the in vitro biogenesis of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and its host cell membrane receptor. *J Cell Sci* **134** (2021).
- Lowe, E. *et al.* Preclinical evaluation of KZR-261, a novel small molecule inhibitor of Sec61.

 Journal of Clinical Oncology **38**, 3582-3582 (2020).
- Besemer, J. *et al.* Selective inhibition of cotranslational translocation of vascular cell adhesion molecule 1. *Nature* **436**, 290-293 (2005).

- 481 23 Garrison, J. L. *et al.* A substrate-specific inhibitor of protein translocation into the endoplasmic reticulum. *Nature* **436**, 285-289 (2005).
- 483 24 MacKinnon, A. L. *et al.* Photo-leucine incorporation reveals the target of a cyclodepsipeptide inhibitor of cotranslational translocation. *J Am Chem Soc* **129**, 14560-14561 (2007).
- Junne, T. *et al.* Decatransin, a new natural product inhibiting protein translocation at the Sec61/SecYEG translocon. *J Cell Sci* **128**, 1217-1229 (2015).
- Paatero, A. O. *et al.* Apratoxin Kills Cells by Direct Blockade of the Sec61 Protein Translocation Channel. *Cell Chem Biol* **23**, 561-566 (2016).
- 489 27 McKenna, M. *et al.* Mechanistic insights into the inhibition of Sec61-dependent co- and post-490 translational translocation by mycolactone. *J Cell Sci* **129**, 1404-1415 (2016).
- 491 28 Baron, L. *et al.* Mycolactone subverts immunity by selectively blocking the Sec61 translocon. *J* 492 *Exp Med* **213**, 2885-2896 (2016).
- Zong, G. *et al.* Ipomoeassin F Binds Sec61alpha to Inhibit Protein Translocation. *J Am Chem Soc* 494 **141**, 8450-8461 (2019).
- Tranter, D. *et al.* Coibamide A Targets Sec61 to Prevent Biogenesis of Secretory and Membrane Proteins. *ACS Chem Biol* **15**, 2125-2136 (2020).
- Vermeire, K. *et al.* Signal peptide-binding drug as a selective inhibitor of co-translational protein translocation. *PLoS Biol* **12**, e1002011 (2014).
- 499 32 Cross, B. C. *et al.* Eeyarestatin I inhibits Sec61-mediated protein translocation at the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Cell Sci* **122**, 4393-4400 (2009).
- Pauwels, E. et al. A Proteomic Study on the Membrane Protein Fraction of T Cells Confirms High
 Substrate Selectivity for the ER Translocation Inhibitor Cyclotriazadisulfonamide. Mol Cell
 Proteomics 20, 100144 (2021).
- Mackinnon, A. L. *et al.* An allosteric Sec61 inhibitor traps nascent transmembrane helices at the lateral gate. *Elife* **3**, e01483 (2014).
- Gerard, S. F. *et al.* Structure of the Inhibited State of the Sec Translocon. *Mol Cell* **79**, 406-415 e407 (2020).
- Rehan, S. *et al.* Signal peptide mimicry primes Sec61 for client-selective inhibition. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2007.2003.498529 (2022).
- Pauwels, E. *et al.* Structural insights into TRAP association with ribosome-Sec61 complex and translocon inhibition by a CADA derivative. *Sci Adv* **9**, eadf0797 (2023).
- 512 38 Carlson, M. L. *et al.* The Peptidisc, a simple method for stabilizing membrane proteins in detergent-free solution. *Elife* **7** (2018).
- Hommel, U. *et al.* The 3D-structure of a natural inhibitor of cell adhesion molecule expression. *FEBS Lett* **379**, 69-73 (1996).
- 516 40 Luesch, H. *et al.* Total structure determination of apratoxin A, a potent novel cytotoxin from the marine cyanobacterium Lyngbya majuscula. *J Am Chem Soc* **123**, 5418-5423 (2001).
- Trueman, S. F. *et al.* A gating motif in the translocation channel sets the hydrophobicity threshold for signal sequence function. *J Cell Biol* **199**, 907-918 (2012).
- 520 42 Smith, M. A. *et al.* Modeling the effects of prl mutations on the Escherichia coli SecY complex. *J Bacteriol* **187**, 6454-6465 (2005).
- Junne, T. *et al.* Mutations in the Sec61p channel affecting signal sequence recognition and membrane protein topology. *J Biol Chem* **282**, 33201-33209 (2007).
- Klein, W. *et al.* Defining a conformational consensus motif in cotransin-sensitive signal sequences: a proteomic and site-directed mutagenesis study. *PLoS One* **10**, e0120886 (2015).
- Van Puyenbroeck, V. *et al.* Preprotein signature for full susceptibility to the co-translational translocation inhibitor cyclotriazadisulfonamide. *Traffic* **21**, 250-264 (2020).

528	46	Fessl, T. et al. Dynamic action of the Sec machinery during initiation, protein translocation and
529		termination. Elife 7 (2018).
530	47	Mercier, E. et al. Lateral gate dynamics of the bacterial translocon during cotranslational
531		membrane protein insertion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118 (2021).
532	48	Bhadra, P. et al. Mycolactone enhances the Ca2+ leak from endoplasmic reticulum by trapping
533		Sec61 translocons in a Ca2+ permeable state. Biochem J 478, 4005-4024 (2021).
534	49	Gamayun, I. et al. Eeyarestatin Compounds Selectively Enhance Sec61-Mediated Ca(2+) Leakage
535		from the Endoplasmic Reticulum. Cell Chem Biol 26, 571-583 e576 (2019).
536		

Methods

537

538

549

Sec61 Inhibitors

- 539 Isolation of cotransin CP2 and decatransin from fungal species have been described
- previously²⁵. For apratoxin F, ipomoeassin F, and mycolactone, we used synthetic versions.
- 541 Synthesis of apratoxin F (ref. 50), ipomoeassin F (ref. 51), mycolactone (ref. 52) has been as
- described previously. We note that apratoxin F and its more commonly studied analog apratoxin
- A have only a minor structural difference and both are known to exhibit comparable IC₅₀ values
- on mammalian cancer cell lines⁵⁰. We also note that the used synthetic mycolactone is a 4:1
- mixture of two epimers at C12 in favor of the natural configuration. CADA and ESI were
- 546 purchased from Calbiochem (cat# 534337 and 324521, respectively). Inhibitors were dissolved
- in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 10 mM (for decatransin, ipomoeassin F, and mycolactone), 20
- 548 mM (for cotransin CP2, apratoxin F, and CADA), or 50 mM (for ESI) before use.

Plasmid constructs for cryo-EM studies

- The plasmids and yeast strain to express the *S. cerevisiae* Sec complex have been described
- previously^{11,13}. To express the human Sec complex in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Sf9) cells, we
- modified a Bac-to-Bac baculovirus expression vector (Invitrogen) adapting the multigene-
- expression approach from MoClo Yeast ToolKit (YTK)⁵³ as follows. First, we generated part
- plasmids for a baculovirus polyhedrin (PH) promoter and a SV40 polyA signal, and an accepter
- plasmid (pBTK1) consisting of the backbone of pFastBac-1 (including a Tn7L element, an
- ampicillin resistance gene, a pUC *E. coli* origin of replication, a Tn7R element and a gentamycin
- resistance gene) and a BsmBl-superfolder GFP (sfGFP)-BsmBl acceptor cassette from
- 558 pYTK096 (ref. ⁵³). Gene fragments encoding human Sec subunits were chemically synthesized
- and individually cloned into the entry plasmid pYTK001 as coding sequence (CDS) parts. Amino
- acids sequences of human (denoted by "Hs") Sec61, Sec62, and Sec63 subunits are from the
- following entries in UniProt: P61619 (S61A1 HUMAN) for HsSec61α, P60468
- 562 (SC61B HUMAN) for HsSec61β, P60059 (SC61G HUMAN) for HsSec61γ, Q99442
- 563 (SEC62 HUMAN) for HsSec62, and Q9UGP8 (SEC63 HUMAN) for HsSec63. For the
- pYTK001-HsSec63 plasmid. a DNA segment encoding a human rhinovirus (HRV) 3C-cleavable
- linker (amino acid sequence: GAGSNSLEVLFQGPTAAAA; italic, HRV 3C cleavage site) and an
- enhanced green fluorescence protein (eGFP) were inserted immediately before the stop codon
- of HsSec63. To generate single Sec gene expression cassettes, each Sec subunit CDS was
- assembled with connectors (from pYTK003–007 and pYTK067–072), the PH promoter, and the
- 569 SV40 terminator into pYTK095 using Bsal Golden Gate cloning. Then, all Sec subunit
- 570 expression cassettes were assembled into pBTK1 using *Bsm*BI Golden Gate cloning. In this
- 571 multigene plasmid, the expression cassettes were arranged in the following order: PH-
- 572 HsSec61α-SV40 | PH-HsSec61γ-SV40 | PH-HsSec61β-SV40 | PH-HsSec63-3C-eGFP-SV40 |
- 573 PH-HsSec62-SV40.
- 574 The plasmid expressing the human-yeast chimeric Sec complex was made similarly to the
- human Sec complex plasmid with modifications of pYTK95 HsSec61α and HsSec63 expression
- 576 constructs as follows. To modify Sec61α, two substitution mutations were introduced in cytosolic
- 577 loops of HsSec61α using PCR to replace (1) amino acid residues 263–278
- 578 (VDLPIKSARYRGQYNT) with the corresponding yeast sequence (residues 265–280;
- 579 YELPIRSTKVRGQIGI) and (2) amino acid residues 394–411 (LKEQQMVMRGHRETSMVH)
- with amino acids 395–412 of ScSec61 (FKDQGMVINGKRETSIYR;

"Sc" denotes Saccharomyces cerevisiae). The substitutions in HsSec63 were introduced using Gibson assembly by first substituting amino acid residues 30-96 (ATY...VKK) with amino acids 29–93 of ScSec63 (MTL...RRN), followed by substitution of residues 215 to the C-terminus (SIR...stop) with the corresponding sequence from ScSec63 (residues 246-stop; TQS...stop). Fragments of ScSec63 were amplified from genomic DNA of yeast strain BY4741. In the multigene pBTK1 construct of the chimeric Sec complex, HsSec62 cassette was omitted, and instead, the cassettes for ScSec71 (PH-ScSec71-SV40) and ScSec72 (PH-ScSec72-SV40) were added. The CDS fragments of ScSec71 and ScSec72 were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA of yeast strain BY4741 and cloned into pYTK001. Like other single subunit expression plasmids, ScSec71 and ScSec72 CDSs were assembled into pYTK095 together with the PH promoter and the SV40 polyA signal before use for the BsmBl assembly.

Protein Expression

Baculovirus bacmids encoding the human or chimeric Sec complex were generated by transforming the respective pBTK1 plasmid into the DH10Bac E. coli cells (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Bacmids were isolated using a DNA midiprep kit (Epoch Life Science). 40 mL of a Sf9 suspension culture were prepared in ESF921 medium (Expression Systems) to a density of ~1.5 M/mL. 40 µg bacmid DNA were mixed with 80 µg PEI Max transfection reagent (PolySciences) in 4 mL Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS). After incubating at 22°C for 20–30 minutes, the DNA:PEI mixture was added to the culture. Supernatant containing P1 virus was harvested ~4 days post transfection and stored at 4°C after supplementing 5% FBS (Gibco). Expression of the Sec complex was carried out by adding 0.5 mL P1 virus to 0.7 L of Sf9 cells at density of ~1.5 M/ml that were prepared in a 2-L baffled flask. Cells were harvested by centrifugation typically two to three days post-infection upon verifying uniform expression of green fluorescence under microscope. Cell pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use.

Protein Purification

The yeast Sec complex was purified from yeast strain ySI8 (ref. ¹³). This strain expresses a "pore mutant (PM)" version of ScSec61, the pore ring residues of which were mutated to amino acids corresponding to HsSec61α (M90L/T185I/M294I/M450L). The yeast Sec complex was purified as described previously^{11,13}. After Superose 6 (GE Life Sciences) size-exclusion chromatography, the purified protein was concentrated to ~4 mg/mL in 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCI, 1mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, and 0.02% glycol-diosgenin (GDN; Anatrace) and mixed with 100 μM cotransin CP2 for 0.5–1 h before preparing cryo-EM grids.

To purify the human Sec complexes, Sf9 cell pellets were first resuspended in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (ETDA) supplemented with protease inhibitors (5 μg/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin A, and 1.2 mM PMSF). All subsequent steps were carried out in ice or at 4°C. The cells were broken with a glass Dounce homogenizer using ~100 strokes. After removing large debris by brief centrifugation (4,000 g, 10 min), membranes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation for 1.5 h (125,000 g, Beckman Type 45 Ti). The membrane pellet was resuspended in ~10 pellet volumes of lysis buffer supplemented with 5 μM cotransin CP2. Membranes were solubilized by an addition of 1% lauryl maltose neopentyl glycol (LMNG; Anatrace) and 0.2% cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS; Anatrace) for 2 h. Then, the lysate was clarified by ultracentrifugation at 125,000 g for 1 h. The clarified lysate was then supplemented

- with 2 µg Serratia marcescens nuclease and incubated with home-made anti-GFP nanobody
- 626 Sepharose beads for 1.5 h. Beads were washed with wash buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl pH
- 627 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM ETDA, 0.02% GDN, and 5 μM cotransin CP2 (hereafter, 5
- 628 μM cotransin CP2 was included in all buffers). The complex was eluted by incubating beads
- with the HRV 3C protease overnight. The eluate was collected and concentrated to ~10 mg/ml
- by Amicon Ultra (cutoff 100 kDa). The sample was then injected to a Superose 6 increase
- column (GE Life Sciences) equilibrated with the wash buffer. Peak fractions were pooled and
- 632 concentrated to ~6 mg/mg, before preparing cryo-EM grids.
- The chimeric Sec complex was purified similarly using the method to purify the human Sec
- complex but with minor modifications. First, the Sec complex was purified without
- supplementing Sec61 inhibitors during purification (inhibitors were added to the purified Sec
- 636 complex before cryo-EM grid preparation). Second, to solubilize membranes, 1% n-dodecyl-β-
- D-maltopyranoside (DDM; Anatrace) and 0.2% CHS was used instead of LMNG/CHS. For
- column wash, the buffer contained 0.02% DDM and 0.004% CHS instead of GDN. Third, the
- Sec complex was reconstituted into a peptidisc³⁸ as follows. After concentrating the eluate from
- 640 GFP-nanobody beads to ~10 mg/ml, the Sec complex was mixed with the peptidisc protein
- (Peptidisc Lab) at a weight ratio of 1.5:1 (peptidisc to Sec). After incubating for 1 h, the mixture
- was injected into a Superose 6 Increase column equilibrated with 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100
- 643 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and 1 mM ETDA. Peak factions were pooled and concentrated to ~10
- 644 mg/ml, and one of the Sec61 inhibitors was added for ~1 h before preparing cryo-EM grids. The
- inhibitor concentrations used were: 100 μM for cotransin CP2, 100 μM for decatransin, 100 μM
- for apratoxin F, 100 μM for ipomoeassin F, 100 μM for mycolactone, 200 μM for CADA, and 500
- $\,$ µM for ESI. These concentrations, except for that of ESI, correspond to a 2–4-fold molar excess
- to the protein concentration (~52 μM) to ensure saturated binding. A higher concentration was
- used for ESI based on a relatively low (70 μ M) IC₅₀ reported in an in-vitro experiment³².

Cryo-EM data acquisition

- Immediately prior to preparing cryo-EM grids, 3 µM Fos-Choline-8 (Anatrace) was added to the
- protein sample. The sample was then applied to a gold Quantifoil R 1.2/1.3 holey carbon grid
- 653 (Quantifoil) that was glow discharged for 35 sec using PELCO easiGlow glow discharge
- cleaner. The grid was blotted for 3–4 sec using Whatman No. 1 filter paper and plunge frozen
- using Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI) set at 4°C and 100% humidity.
- The yeast Sec complex dataset (1,578 movies) was acquired on FEI Talos Arctica electron
- microscope operated at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV, with Gatan K2 Summit direct
- electron detector. A magnification of 36,000x under super resolution mode (with the physical
- pixel size of 1.14 Å) was used with a nominal defocus range that was set between −0.8 to −2.2
- μm. Each micrograph was composed of 42 frames with total exposure of 50 e⁻/pixel.
- The human Sec complex dataset (3,499 movies) was collected on FEI Titan Krios G2
- 662 microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 300 kV and equipped with a Gatan Quantum
- 663 Image Filter (slit width of 20 eV) and a Gatan K3 direct electron detector. A magnification of
- 664 64,000x under the super-resolution mode (with physical pixel size of 0.91 Å) was used at a
- defocus range that was set between -0.8 and -2.0. Each micrograph was composed of 42
- frames with total exposure of 50 e⁻/pixel. Exposures were performed with beam shifts onto 9
- holes (3 by 3) per stage movement.

- 668 All chimeric Sec complex datasets were acquired on an FEI Titan Krios G3i electron microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 300 kV, with a Gatan K3 Summit direct electron detector 669 and a Gatan Quantum Image Filter (with 20 eV slit width). A magnification of 81,000x under the 670 super-resolution mode (with physical pixel size of 1.05 Å) was used at a defocus range that was 671 set between -0.8 and -2.0. Each micrograph was composed of 50 frames with a total exposure 672 of 50 e⁻/pixel. Exposures were performed with beam shifts onto 9 holes (3 by 3) per stage 673 movement (often acquiring movies for two non-overlapping areas per hole). All datasets were 674 acquired using SerialEM software⁵⁴. 675
 - .

Cryo-EM image analysis

676

- Preprocessing of the movies and particle image extraction were done using Warp⁵⁵. Motion correction and CTF estimation were performed on images divided to 7 × 5 tiles, and particles (256 x 256 pixels) were picked by the BoxNet algorithm in Warp. All subsequent image
- (256 x 256 pixels) were picked by the BoxNet algorithm in Warp. All subsequence processing procedures were perform using cryoSPARC v3.3 (ref. ⁵⁶).
- 681 Cotransin CP2-treated pore mutant ScSec complex: A data processing flowchart diagram is shown in Extended Data Fig. 1a. A dataset of 528,128 auto-picked particles was classified into 682 683 fifty 2-D class averages. Using visual inspection of the output, classes that represented empty micelles or poor-quality classes were removed and particles grouped into well-resolved classes 684 corresponding to a single copy of the full Sec complex were selected (385,686 particles). Three 685 ab-initio 3-D maps were then generated in cryoSPARC using the selected particles, followed by 686 heterogeneous refinement. One 3-D class with 274,913 particles refined to a density map 687 688 exhibiting defined Sec complex features. Non-uniform refinement of the particles in this class yielded a consensus map with 3.9-Å overall resolution. The particles were further separated into 689 two 3-D classes using a heterogeneous refinement with inputs of the consensus map and the 690 691 consensus map with manually erased Sec62. After a subsequent round of non-uniform refinement 174,058 particles yielded a map of ScScSec complex with Sec62 at 4.0-Å overall 692
- resolution, and 100,855 particles yielded a map of ScSec complex without Sec62 at 4.2-Å

694 overall resolution.

704

705 706

707

708

709

- Cotransin CP2-bound wildtype HsSec complex: A data processing flowchart diagram is shown 695 in Extended Data Fig. 1d. A dataset of 601,465 auto-picked particles was classified into seventy 696 2-D class averages. Selected classes yielded 330,005 particles that were then reconstructed 697 into three 3-D classes using ab-initio reconstruction followed by heterogeneous refinement. One 698 major class, with 202,946 particles, was selected for further refinement. Non-uniform refinement 699 of this class resulted in a reconstruction only at 7.4-Å resolution due to poor image alignment. 700 Thus, for the final map, we used the ab-initio reconstruction method (without splitting the particle 701 702 sets for half maps) with the maximal refinement resolution manually set to 5.0-Å (Extended Data 703 Fig. 1f).
 - Apo chimeric Sec complex: A data processing flowchart diagram is shown in Extended Data Fig. 2b. Using 2-D classifications starting with 616,121 auto-picked particles, we selected 363,027 particles for 3-D reconstruction. Following an ab-initio refinement step generating four initial maps and a heterogeneous refinement step we identified two major 3-D classes with distinguishable full Sec complex features. Each of these classes were refined using non-uniform refinement, local CTF refinement, and another round of non-uniform refinement, yielding full maps of the chimeric Sec complex at overall resolutions of 2.7 and 2.8 Å from 188,637 particles (Class 1) and 147,081 particles (Class 2), respectively. The Sec61 channel was further refined

- by masking out the cytosolic domains of the complex and performing local refinement, yielding
- overall channel resolutions of 3.0 Å (Class 1) and 3.4 Å (Class 2).
- 714 Apratoxin F-bound chimeric Sec complex: A data processing flowchart diagram is shown in
- 715 Extended Data Fig. 3b. Using 2-D classifications starting with 910,463 auto-picked particles, we
- selected 534,411 particles for 3-D reconstruction. Following an ab-initio refinement step
- 717 generating four initial maps and a heterogeneous refinement step we identified two structurally
- 718 indistinguishable major 3-D classes with defined full Sec complex features. The particles from
- 719 the two classes were combined and refined using non-uniform refinement, local CTF
- refinement, and another round of non-uniform refinement, yielding a full map of the apratoxin F
- bound chimeric Sec complex at an overall resolution of 2.5 Å from 497,555 particles. The Sec61
- channel was further refined by masking out the cytosolic domains of the complex and
- 723 performing local refinement, producing an overall channel resolution of 2.6 Å.
- 724 All other inhibitor-bound datasets were processed using a workflow described for Apratoxin F-
- bound structure with minor variations in the numbers of classes in 2-D and 3-D classification
- 726 procedures. For details, see Supplementary Information Figs. 1 and 2. Statistics for final refined
- maps are shown in Extended Data Fig. 4 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Model building and refinement

728

752

- 729 Atomic model building and refinement were performed using Coot (version 0.9.8.1)⁵⁷ and
- 730 Phenix (version 1.19.2)⁵⁸. An initial model was built by docking an ScSec complex model (PDB
- 731 ID 7KAH; ref. ¹³) into the cryo-EM map of the cotransin CP2-bound complex using UCSF
- chimera (version 1.16)⁵⁹ and rebuilding the polypeptide chains. For building and refining of
- 733 Sec61 and inhibitor models, we used maps from focused (local) refinements as they typically
- showed better protein side-chain and inhibitor features than full maps. The initial model was
- further improved by using our highest-resolution map, which was obtained from the apratoxin F-
- 536 bound complex. This model was then used to build atomic models for apo and other inhibitor-
- bound complexes by docking the model to the map using UCSF Chimera and locally adjusting it
- 738 into the map in Coot. The restraint models of inhibitors were generated from SMILES strings of
- 739 inhibitors using the Grade web server (http://grade.globalphasing.org) or the eLBOW tool of
- Phenix. The atomic models of inhibitors were then fitted into the cryo-EM map in Coot. We note
- that stereochemistry of decatransin has not been experimentally determined. We assumed that
- all amino acid residues of decatransin are in an L or S configuration based on an observation
- that no epimerase was found in the biosynthetic gene cluster of decatransin. The configuration
- of Cα of the homoleucine-derived 2-hydroxy carboxylic acid remains ambiguous²⁵, but we also
- assumed that it is in an S configuration. The resulting model could be fitted well into the cryo-
- 743 assumed that it is in an 3 configuration. The resulting model could be littled well into the cryo-
- The atomic models were refined with Phenix real-space refinement using maps that
- vere sharpened with B-factors estimated based on the Guinier plots and low-pass-filtered at
- their overall resolution. The refinement resolution was also limited to the overall resolution of the
- maps in Phenix. Structural validation was performed using MolProbity included in the Phenix
- 750 package. UCSF Chimera, ChimeraX (version 1.4), and PyMOL (version 2.5.1; Schrödinger)
- were used to prepare figures in the paper.

Mutagenesis of yeast Sec61α and IC₅₀ measurements

- Except for the experiment shown in Fig. 4c, cotransin CP2 IC₅₀ measurements were based on
- 754 the yeast strain RSY1293 (matα, *ura3-1*, *leu2-3,-112*, *his3-11,-15*, *trp1-1*, *ade2-1*, *can1-*
- 755 100, sec61::HIS3, [pDQ1]) (ref. 60). In strain RSY1293URA, pDQ1, i.e., YCplac111 (LEU2 CEN)

containing the gene of an N-terminally His-tagged, otherwise wild-type Sec61α (Sec61p) with its

own promoter, was exchanged for YCplac33 (*URA3 CEN*) containing the same insert. Mutations

in sec61 were introduced in pDQ1 by PCR and transformed into RSY1293URA, followed by

759 elimination of the *URA3* plasmid containing wild-type using 5-fluoro-orotic acid. Finally, the

presence of the mutation was confirmed by sequencing.

761 For experiments shown in Fig. 4 c–e and ipomoeassin IC₅₀ measurements, we used the yeast

strain BY4743 Δ 8a (*mat a, ura3* Δ 0, *leu2* Δ 0, *his3* Δ 1, *lys2* Δ 0, *snq2::KanMX4; pdr3::KanMX4;*

763 $pdr5::KanMX4; pdr1::NAT1; yap1::NAT1; pdr2\Delta; yrm1\Delta; yor1\Delta)$ lacking eight genes involved in

drug resistance (efflux pumps SNQ2, PDR5, and YOR1, and transcription factors PDR1, PDR2,

765 PDR3, YAP1, and YRM1)⁶¹. This strain showed higher sensitivity to ipomoeassin F compared to

RSY1293. YCplac33 containing (untagged) SEC61 with 200 bp of its own upstream and 205 bp

of its own downstream sequence was transformed into BY4743Δ8a. Genomic SEC61 together

with 194 bp 5'- and 204 bp 3'-noncoding sequence was replaced with a hygromycin resistance

769 cassette using pAG32 (HphMX4) resulting in the strain BY4743Δ9aURA (sec61::HphMX4

770 [pSEC61-YCplac33]). Finally, pDQ1 containing the mutated sec61 versions were transformed

and the wild-type SEC61 URA3 plasmid counterselected. Plasmid exchange was validated by

PCR. The IC₅₀ measurements for cotransin CP2 in the BY4743Δ9aURA background paralleled

those in the RSY1293.

779

784

785

795

IC₅₀ measurements were performed as described previously²⁵ by testing log-phase cultures in

96-well microtiter plates in YPD medium with serial dilutions of the compound. The assay

volume was 120 μl/well, start OD₆₀₀ was 0.05, DMSO was normalized to 2%. Curves were

calculated by taking the 19 h OD₆₀₀ measurements and applying a log regression curve fit in

778 TIBCO Spotfire (version 3.2.1).

Preparation of stable mammalian cell lines

780 A DNA segment encoding human SEC61A1 was synthesized and cloned into pcDNA5/FRT/TO

781 (Life Technologies) followed by addition of a C-terminal HA-tag, an internal ribosome entry site

782 (IRES), and enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) sequences. Point mutants of

783 SEC61A1 were generated by PCR. All cell lines were maintained in DMEM supplemented with

10% FBS, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco), and 15 μg/mL blasticidin S (Gold Biotechnology).

In a 6-well dish, Flp-In™ T-REx™-293 cells (Invitrogen, Cat. #R78007) were plated at a density

of 5 x 10⁵ cells/well overnight at 37 °C. Plasmids pcDNA5/FRT/TO-SEC61A1-HA-IRES-GFP

and pOG44 were co-transfected at a 3:1 ratio with Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher, Cat. #

L3000001). The next day, media was replaced. After another 24h, cells were transferred to a

10cm dish containing fresh DMEM with 15 μg/mL blasticidin S and 50 μg/mL Hygromycin B

790 (Gold Biotechnology). Cells were continually monitored with media replacement until several

791 1mm cell colonies became visible (about 3 weeks). Cells were then dissociated and expanded

792 into new 10-cm dishes for cell maintenance. All cell lines were sequence verified by PCR using

793 primers (forward: AAA GTG CTG TGG ACC GCT ATC, reverse: CC AAC TGG ATA AGC ACG

TGC TG) specific to the synthetic human SEC61A1 coding sequence prior to use.

HEK293 viability assay

796 SEC61A1-overexpressing Flp-In T-Rex-293 cells were seeded in 96-well flat-bottom plates at 5

797 x 10³ cells/well in media containing 1 μg/mL doxycycline overnight at 37°C. The following day,

serial dilutions of compounds were performed in doxycycline-supplemented DMEM media, and

799 cells were treated with compound dilutions for a total incubation volume of 150 µL (0.11% DMSO v/v). After 72-h incubation, cell viability was measured by addition of 15 µL resazurin 800 reagent (Biotium, Cat. #30025-1). The plate was incubated for 2 h at 37°C and fluorescence 801 intensity read using a BMG Labtech CLARIOstar plate reader (fluorescence Intensity, excitation at 545 nm and emission at 600nm). The data were processed with Excel, and the curves were generated using R software (version 4.0.5) and ggplot2 (version 3.3.3) and drc (version 3.0-1packages.

806

807

802

803

804

805

Human CD4 expression assay

808 A plasmid expressing a full-length human CD4 cDNA construct under a cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter (Horizon Discovery, Cat. #MHS6278-202801784) was purchased. An additional single 809 Ser-Gly linker and Strep-tag was appended the C-terminus to the CD4 coding sequence, 810 811 resulting in pCMV-huCD4-Strep. SEC61A1-overexpressing Flp-In T-Rex-293 cells were seeded in a 12-well plate at 4 x 10⁵ cells/well and pretreated with 1 µg/ mL doxycycline overnight at 812 37°C. Cells were transfected with pCMV-huCD4-Strep using Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen, 813 814 Cat. #15338030) according to manufacturer protocol. After 6 h, the cells were treated with dilutions of CADA (0.25% DMSO v/v). At 24 h post-treatment, cells were harvested by pipetting, 815 washed with 500µL PBS, then lysed directly on ice (50 mM Tris pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 6% glycerol, 816 supplemented with 5 µg/mL aprotinin, 5 µg/mL leupeptin, 1 µg/mL pepstatin A, 1 mM PMSF, and 817 818 2µg/mL Benzonase nuclease). Lysates were then clarified by spinning for 10 min at 17,000g. Protein concentrations were measured by a BCA assay (Thermo Scientific, Cat. #23227) before 819 preparation of samples in SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer. Lysates were separated on 10% 820 Bis-Tris gels (10 µg/well), transferred to PVDF membranes. Immunoblotting was performed 821 822 using antibodies against Strep-tag (Genscript, Cat. #A01732; 1:2000), HA-tag (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. #C29F4, 1:1000), and human SEC61A1 (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat. 823 #D7Q6V, 1:1000). Band intensities were quantified by ImageJ. The data were processed with 824 825 Excel, and the curves were generated using R software and *ggplot2* and *drc* packages.

826

827

828

829

830

831 832

833 834

835

836

Data availability

EM maps and models are available through EM Data Bank (EMDB) and Protein Data Bank (PDB) under the following accession codes: EMD-27581 and PDB-8DNV for the apo class 1 structure, EMD-27582 and PDB-8DNW for the apo class 2 structure, EMD-27583 and PDB-8DNX for the cotransin CP2-bound complex, EMD-27584 and PDB-8DNY for the decatransinbound complex, EMD-27585 and PDB-8DNZ for the apratoxin-F-bound complex, EMD-27586 and PDB-8DO0 for the mycolactone bound complex, EMD-27587 and PDB-8DO1 for ipomoeassin-F-bound complex, EMD-27588 and PDB-8DO2 for the CADA-bound complex, and EMD-27589 and PDB-8DO3 for the eeyarestatin-I-bound complex. Additional full Sec complex maps were also deposited to EMDB (see Supplementary Table 1 for accession codes).

837

838

Methods-only references

Xiao, L. Synthetic Apratoxin F and Novel Analogues - Molecules for Anticancer Mechanistic and Therapeutic Applications, The Ohio State University, (2017). Zong, G. et al. Total Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Ipomoeassin F and Its Unnatural 11R-Epimer. J Org Chem 80, 9279-9291 (2015). Chany, A. C. et al. A diverted total synthesis of mycolactone analogues: an insight into Buruli ulcer toxins. Chemistry 17, 14413-14419 (2011). Lee, M. E. et al. A Highly Characterized Yeast Toolkit for Modular, Multipart Assembly. ACS Synth Biol 4, 975-986 (2015). Mastronarde, D. N. Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements. J Struct Biol 152, 36-51 (2005). Tegunov, D. et al. Real-time cryo-electron microscopy data preprocessing with Warp. Nat Methods 16, 1146-1152 (2019). Punjani, A. et al. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat Methods 14, 290-296 (2017). Emsley, P. et al. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 486-501 (2010). Afonine, P. V. et al. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol 74, 531-544 (2018). Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612 (2004). Pilon, M. et al. Sec61p mediates export of a misfolded secretory protein from the endoplasmic reticulum to the cytosol for degradation. *EMBO J* **16**, 4540-4548 (1997). Hoepfner, D. et al. Selective and specific inhibition of the plasmodium falciparum lysyl-tRNA synthetase by the fungal secondary metabolite cladosporin. Cell Host Microbe 11, 654-663 (2012).











