

The tip protein PAAR is required for the function of the type VI secretion system

Solène G Beauvois, Nicolas Flaugnatti, Marianne Ilbert, Marie Boyer, Esther Gavello-Fernandez, Rémi Fronzes, Dukas Jurènas, Laure Journet

▶ To cite this version:

Solène G Beauvois, Nicolas Flaugnatti, Marianne Ilbert, Marie Boyer, Esther Gavello-Fernandez, et al.. The tip protein PAAR is required for the function of the type VI secretion system. Microbiology Spectrum, 2023, 10.1128/spectrum.01478-23. hal-04260438

HAL Id: hal-04260438 https://hal.science/hal-04260438v1

Submitted on 26 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 The tip protein PAAR is required for the function of the type VI secretion system. 2 Solène G. Beauvois^a, Nicolas Flaugnatti^{a,e}, Marianne Ilbert^b, Marie Boyer^a, Esther Gavello-3 Fernandez^{c,d}, Rémi Fronzes^{c,d}, Dukas Jurenas^{a,f} and Laure Journet^{a#} 4 5 6 ^aLaboratoire d'Ingénierie des Systèmes Macromoléculaires, Institut de Microbiologie, 7 Bioénergies et Biotechnologie, Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée, Aix-Marseille 8 Université - CNRS UMR7255, Marseille, France ^bLaboratoire de Bioénergétique et Ingénierie des Protéines, Institut de Microbiologie, 9 10 Bioénergies et Biotechnologie, Institut de Microbiologie de la Méditerranée, Aix-Marseille 11 Université—CNRS UMR7281, Marseille, France. 12 ^cInstitut Européen de Chimie et Biologie, University of Bordeaux, Pessac, France / ^dCNRS UMR 5234 Microbiologie Fondamentale et Pathogénicité, Bordeaux, France 13 14 15 #Address correspondence to Laure Journet, liournet@imm.cnrs.fr 16 ^ePresent address: Nicolas Flaugnatti, Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, School of Life 17 Sciences, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland. ^fPresent address: Dukas Jurėnas, Laboratoire de Génétique et Physiologie Bactérienne, 18 19 Département de Biologie Moléculaire Université Libre de Bruxelles, Gosselies, Belgium. 20 21 Running title: The type VI secretion system PAAR tip protein 22 23 24

ABSTRACT

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Bacteria are constantly competing to colonize crowded ecological niches, such as the human gut. The Type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a critical bacterial weapon in this warfare. It resembles a crossbow with a poisoned arrow allowing bacteria to inject toxic effectors directly into target cells. This machinery is formed by an envelope-spanning complex which recruits the baseplate, an assembly platform allowing the polymerization of a contractile structure. The tail consists of a tube surrounded by a sheath and topped by the needle complex composed of the VgrG and PAAR proteins. In the enteric pathogen enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC), the Tle1 phospholipase toxin ensures the antibacterial activity of the T6SS-1. For its transport, Tle1 interacts directly with the trimeric spike protein VgrG. However, the importance and the function of the tip protein PAAR in the T6SS remains unclear. Here we characterized the PAAR protein of EAEC using biochemical, fluorescence microscopy and antibacterial competition approaches. Using pull-down assays and cryo-EM analysis of the (VgrG)₃-(Tle1)₃-PAAR complex we show that PAAR tops and closes the βprism structure of the VgrG spike. The PAAR protein structure is further tightened by the zinc atom coordinated via conserved residues essential for its function. We provide evidence that PAAR is necessary for T6SS-1 mediated killing due to its requirement for proper T6SS baseplate assembly and further sheath polymerization. Our results suggest that the PAAR protein is an essential component of T6SS.

45

46

47

48

49

Е	1
Э	Т

The Type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a bacterial contractile injection system involved in bacterial competition by the delivery of antibacterial toxins. The T6SS consists of an envelope-spanning complex that recruits the baseplate, allowing the polymerization of a contractile tail structure. The tail is a tube wrapped by a sheath and topped by the tip of the system, the VgrG spike/PAAR complex. Effectors loaded onto the puncturing tip or into the tube are propelled in the target cells upon sheath contraction. The PAAR protein tips and sharpens the VgrG spike. However, the importance and the function of this protein remain unclear. Here we provide evidence for association of PAAR at the tip of the VgrG spike. We also found that the PAAR protein is a T6SS critical component required for baseplate and sheath assembly.

Keywords: Type VI secretion system; cryo-electron microscopy; bacterial competition;

protein secretion; VgrG; bacterial toxin; zinc

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria constantly compete for colonization of the ecological niches granting the access to nutrient sources. The best armed bacteria will have greater chances to win this warfare and plenty of weapons are deployed to succeed in this competition. The type VI secretion system is one of the crucial weapons allowing bacteria to inject toxic effectors directly into the target cells. There are two categories of T6SS toxins. T6SS periplasmic-acting toxins can degrade peptidoglycan, membrane phospholipids or form pores in the inner membrane. T6SS cytoplasmic effectors target DNA, deplete energy resources, inhibit cell division or inhibit translation (1-4). T6SS can also target eukaryotic cells, employing effectors mainly targeting the eukaryotic cytoskeleton and membranes (1, 2, 5). The T6SS machinery is composed of 13-14 core components arranged in gene clusters (6, 7). The architecture can be subdivided into three subcomplexes: the membrane complex, the baseplate and the tail tube/sheath complex (TTC). The membrane complex is a 1.7 MDa complex that anchors the system to the bacterial envelope (8, 9). It is composed of outer membrane lipoprotein TssJ and inner membrane proteins TssM and TssL (in a 15:10:10 stoichiometry). The membrane complex recruits the baseplate which serves as an assembly platform required for the polymerization of the contractile phage tail-like tubular structure (10-15). The baseplate is assembled from six wedges composed of Tss-K, -E, -F, -G proteins that arrange around a central hub, formed by the trimeric spike protein VgrG (14,15). With the help of TssA protein, the baseplate directs the polymerization of the contractile TTC structure (11,16). The TTC is composed of an internal tube made of Hcp protein hexamers, surrounded by a contractile sheath, made of TssB and TssC subunits. This cytoplasmic tail is about 1 µm long and assembles within roughly 40 seconds through the TssA protein-

coordinated polymerization of Hcp and TssB/C components (10, 16, 17). Upon contact with prey, the sheath contracts and expels the tube surmounted by the spike, together with the effectors into the target cell. Indeed, the effectors are fused or interact with these structural components (1, 3, 4, 18, 19). The enteroaggregative Escherichia coli T6SS cluster 1 (EAEC T6SS-1) delivers the phospholipase toxin Tle1 (20). Three Tle1 cargo effectors are loaded on the sides of the VgrG trimer via direct protein-protein interactions (20, 21). The N-terminal βstrand of Tle1 interacts with the C-terminal transthyretin (TTR) extension of VgrG through fold complementation. VgrG-Tle1 interaction is further stabilized by additional zones of contact on the sides and at the base of the gp5-like domain of VgrG. The Tle1 phospholipase A1 (PLA1) activity is inhibited by the interaction with the VgrG protein (21). Almost entire T6SS, except the membrane complex, structurally resembles contractile bacteriophages, such as T4 (22-25). The T6SS spike protein VgrG is structurally homologous to the T4 puncturing device composed of gp27 and gp5 protein trimers (22, 23). Precisely, the triangular base of VgrG also known as a hub domain is homologous to gp27. It is followed by an OB-fold domain which is homologous to gp5*- domain, and extended by a β-helical gp5C-like domain (21-23, 26, 27). The blunt end of the VgrG β-helical prism is covered by a single PAAR protein that knots the trimeric structure into a uniform sharp tip (28). PAAR, named after proline-alanine-arginine repeats, is structurally homologous to the gp5.4 protein of bacteriophage T4, that similarly caps the central spike complex formed by gp5C (12, 28, 29). PAAR was shown to be either important or absolutely required for T6SS secretion or killing activity in different systems (28, 30-32). Its role remains to be determined, although some hypotheses proposed that it stabilizes the trimeric VgrG complex or sharpens the T6SS for target cell puncturing.

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

Here we report structural and functional analysis of EC042_4537 gene of the EAEC T6SS-1 that is predicted to code for a PAAR protein. Single particle cryo-EM analysis of the VgrG-Tle1-PAAR complex from EAEC revealed that PAAR caps the blunt end of the VgrG needle. ICP-OES analysis of purified PAAR protein shows that a zinc atom is coordinated by conserved histidines and cysteines (H14, H46, C41, C74). Bacterial competition assays indicate that PAAR protein and its metal coordinating residues H46, C41 and C74 are essential for T6SS-1 antibacterial activity. Finally, using fluorescence microscopy, we further demonstrate that PAAR is required for correct baseplate localization and thus for sheath assembly. Taken together, our results confirm that the PAAR protein is an essential core component of the T6SS.

RESULTS

PAAR caps the C-terminal needle domain of VgrG spike complex.

T6SS-1 encodes a unique predicted PAAR protein, downstream the *tli1* gene coding for immunity protein against the Tle1 toxin (20). Our previous cryo-EM structure of the EAEC (VgrG)₃-(Tle1)₃ complex revealed that the toxins are loaded on the sides of the β-prism of the VgrG spike, leaving the top of the β-prism free to accommodate the PAAR protein (21). To test this hypothesis, we aimed to determine where precisely does the PAAR localize on this structure. We heterologously co-produced PAAR^{FLAG} (PAAR^{FL}) and ^{Strep}VgrG (^SVgrG), as well as its truncated versions deleted for the TTR domain (^SVgrG1-778 called ^SVgrGΔTTR) or the whole β-prism (needle) part (^SVgrG1-490 called ^SVgrGΔNeedle) and tested direct interactions by streptactin pull-down assays (Fig. 1AB). As shown in Fig. 1B, PAAR^{FLAG} coprecipitated with ^SVgrG and ^SVgrGΔTTR but not with VgrG deleted for the whole β-prism (^SVgrGΔNeedle). We conclude that PAAR directly interacts with the C-terminal domain of VgrG without implication of the TTR domain. A complete spike complex loaded with toxin

PAAR^{FL-S}VgrG-Tle1^H was purified using a double-affinity and size exclusion 150 151 chromatography (Fig. 1C) and analyzed using single particle cryo-EM (Fig. 1DE, Fig. S1A). For comparison we have repeated the analysis of a previously reported ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex 152 without PAAR purified and analyzed in the same conditions. As previously reported, the 153 154 (VgrG)₃-(Tle1)₃ complexes dimerized through interactions at the top of the VgrG needle to produce violin body-shaped 2D classes ((21), Fig. 1D, Fig. S1B). Such dimerization was completely absent in the VgrG-Tle1-PAAR complex, suggesting that PAAR prevents the two blunt ends of VgrG β-prisms from sticking together (Fig. 1D, Fig. S1A). A single conical density on top of the trimeric VgrG needle prism was readily recognizable and likely corresponds to the PAAR protein (Fig. 1D). Surprisingly, the 2D classification revealed heterogeneity in particles yielding classes where 3, 2, 1 or none of the Tle1 toxins were visible judging from the side and the top views (Fig. S1A). This is in contrast with the violin-161 162 body-shaped 2D classes of the (VgrG)₃-(Tle1)₃ complex (without PAAR) where sharp 163 densities for all the toxins were typically observed (Fig. S1B) (21). This suggests that the 164 toxin positions are locked by the unnatural dimerization of the (VgrG)3-(Tle1)3 complexes that occurs due to the absence of the PAAR protein. This dimerization seems to lock the TTR regions in fixed position, that are extended from the VgrG structure and hang on the flexible linkers not visible in the structure (21). It is therefore likely that the toxins loaded on the 168 VgrG are indeed quite flexible, which explains the partial absence of the Tle1 densities in VgrG-Tle1-PAAR complex where TTRs are not fixed. 170 Due to very small size and lack of symmetry the resolution was not sufficient to build an experimental atomic model of the PAAR protein in the obtained cryo-EM density maps. We 172 have therefore produced an AlphaFold2 co-folded model of the needle domain of VgrG together with PAAR and aligned it to the experimental VgrG-Tle1 model (PDB:6SJL). The 173 174 resulting complete model could be readily docked into best resolved cryo-EM 3D volumes

155

156

157

158

159

160

165

166

167

169

representing (VgrG)₃-(Tle1)₂-PAAR (4.2 Å) or (VgrG)₃-(Tle1)₁-PAAR (at 3,7 Å) structures (Fig. 1E; Fig S1C). The triangular shape of PAAR model perfectly fits into the extra conical density that we assigned to PAAR (Fig. 1E).

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

175

176

177

PAAR binds a zinc atom via conserved cysteine and histidine residues.

The 88 amino-acid length protein PAAR (EC042 4537) from EAEC T6SS-1 belongs to class 1 PAAR family (28) carrying a PAAR CT 2 domain (cd14744 of NCBI-CDD). The PAAR EAEC amino acid sequence was aligned with the sequences of structurally characterized PAAR proteins from Vibrio cholerae and E. coli CFT073 (Fig. 2A) (28). These two proteins were shown to bind a zinc atom that is coordinated by three histidines (His) and one cysteine (Cys) localized at the extremity of the tip (28). Three motifs with hydrophobic residues that could functionally resemble PAAR-motifs (Pro-Ala-Ala-Arg) could be identified using sequence alignment (Fig. 2A). In the PAAR EAEC protein, two His (His14 and His46) and two Cys (Cys41 and Cys74) residues are conserved and localize at the sharp end of the AlphaFold2 structural model of PAAR (Fig. 2B). We therefore hypothesized that these residues could be involved in metal binding (Fig. 2AB) as previously reported for V. cholerae and E. coli PAAR proteins (28). PAAR EAEC protein was fused to a SUMO protein to increase its solubility and a 6×histidine tag for purification with affinity chromatography (Fig. 2C). ICP-OES analysis of the purified protein showed that 74.2 % (+/- 13.7 SD) of PAAR^{EAEC} contains zinc. (Fig. 2D). Only small amounts (< 0.75% of total protein) of iron, copper or nickel were found in the samples (Fig. S2). PAAR^{EAEC} proteins with single and double alanine substitutions in conserved cysteines C41 and C74 exhibited a significant decrease in zinc content compared to the wild type (Fig. 2D). Only 34.7% (+/- 10.9 SD) of PAAR^{C41A}, 20.1% (+/- 12. 7 SD) of PAAR^{C74A} and 25.1 % (+/- 7.0) of PAAR^{C41AC74A} were found to coordinate a zinc atom (Fig. 2D). To rule out the possibility that the overall fold of the small proteins was impaired, we have assayed the interaction of the mutants with VgrG. The PAAR^{EAEC} proteins with single C41A and C74A, and to lesser extent double C41A/C74A, substitutions retained their capacity to interact with VgrG suggesting that these mutations mainly affect metal coordination (Fig. S3). Overall, these results indicate that PAAR^{EAEC} protein binds a zinc atom via at least the two conserved cysteines C41 and C74.

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

200

201

202

203

204

PAAR is required for the antibacterial activity of T6SS.

In the systems with multiple T6SS tip complexes at least one PAAR protein was shown to be required for functionality of the T6SS but some reports suggested that it is not always necessary (28, 30-33). Our model provides unambiguous case to study the role of PAAR, since only one tip complex exists in EAEC T6SS-1 and the loading of toxins on the VgrG spike does not require PAAR (Fig. 1D). To determine the importance of PAAR protein in the function of T6SS of EAEC we have tested the capacity of a PAAR deletion mutant (ΔPAAR Ω) to kill E. coli W3110 prey, using two different methods (34) (Fig. 3). First, the predator strains and the prey cells were grown separately in T6SS-1 inducing medium (SIM) before being mixed at a 1:4 ratio (for colorimetric method) or 4:1 ratio (for emergence time method) and spotted on SIM-agar plates. In the case of the colorimetric method, after two hours of contact, yellow CPRG substrate was deposited on the mixed-cell spots. CPRG substrate was degraded into purple CPR product by β-galactosidase released from the prey cells upon cell lysis induced by the secreted phospholipase toxin Tle1 by the wild type (WT) strain. On the contrary, competition with deletion strain of the whole T6SS-1 cluster (ΔT6SS) used for control retains yellow color of the mixed spot reporting the absence of cell lysis. Interestingly, the $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ mutant was not able to kill W3110 strain. However, its antibacterial activity could be restored by complementing the expression of PAAR protein from a plasmid (PAAR⁺) (Fig. 3A). To perform quantitative analysis, we have further pursued the emergence

time method (34). After two hours of contact each cell spot was scraped from agar plates and diluted into a liquid medium selective for prey cells. The re-growth of prey cells was evaluated by measuring A_{600} for 15 hours using a TECAN. The emergence time, being the time for the cultures to reach an A_{600} equal to 0.4, was plotted for each condition (Fig. 3B). After being exposed to an EAEC WT strain or a complemented $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ mutant strain, prey cells take seven hours to reach an A_{600} of 0.4 indicating very little prey cells have survived to restore the growth in culture. On the contrary, prey cells exposed to $\Delta T6SS-1$ or $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ mutants took less than five hours to reach the same A_{600} , indicating that much more prey cells remained viable in the mixed-cell spot. Both methods confirm that the PAAR protein is required for the EAEC T6SS-1 antibacterial activity. We have also tested whether expression of PAAR with the single amino acid substitutions in conserved metal-coordination residues could complement lack of wild-type PAAR protein. Interestingly, except for histidine H14, substitutions in all the other residues predicted to be involved in zinc binding, i.e., C41, C74 and H46 could not complement PAAR deletion. This further suggests the importance of zinc binding at the tip of the PAAR for its function (Fig. 3). The functionality of H14 mutant may suggest that it is not involved in zinc binding. However, it is not unusual that the deletion of a single metal coordinating residue is not enough to affect metal binding. The Cys76 is well located in the predicted structure to compensate the absence of His14 for metal binding (Fig. S4A). We thus constructed and analyzed C76A and His14AC76A mutants. Both H14A and C76A single mutants, but not the double mutant H14AC76A, could complement PAAR deletion in the killing assay (Fig S4B). Accordingly, the zinc content of the double mutant H14AC76A, but not that of C76A or H14A, seems to be impaired compared to the wild type (Fig S4C). All together, these data suggest that C41, C74, H46 and likely H14 (or C76) are important for zinc binding and function of PAAR EAEC. As a control, we have assayed alanine substitutions of other cysteine residues of PAAR^{EAEC} protein, i.e., C70 and C72, that are

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

positioned elsewhere in the structure and are thus not likely to contribute to metal binding (Fig. S4AB). As expected, no effect on bacterial killing was observed using those mutants. The production in $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ of the different versions of PAAR protein from pBAD plasmids was confirmed by Western Blot (Fig. S4F).

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

250

251

252

253

PAAR is required for the polymerization of T6SS sheath.

We wanted to further understand whether the killing defect in the absence of PAAR is due to its requirement for T6SS assembly or in the later stages such as target cell penetration. We have therefore used fluorescence microscopy to follow the dynamics of TssB sheath protein fused to the fluorescent protein sfGFP, that allows observation of sheath elongation and contraction events. Time lapse fluorescence microscopy revealed that as compared to wildtype strain that could assemble T6SS sheaths, the PAAR mutant (Δ PAAR Ω) displayed a diffuse fluorescence indicating that TssB-sfGFP proteins are produced but T6SS sheaths are not assembled (Fig. 4A). However, the complementation of PAAR protein expression from a plasmid ($\Delta PAAR\Omega + pBAD-PAAR$) could restore the wild-type phenotype (Fig. 4A) which confirms that PAAR protein is necessary for the assembly of T6SS sheaths in EAEC. In addition, PAAR function is not affected by the presence of a C-terminal VSVG tag (Fig 3 and Fig 4A). To assess the role of conserved cysteines and histidines of PAAR^{EAEC}, that we have shown to be involved in the binding of a zinc atom (C41/C74/H46) and required for T6SS mediated killing (C41/C74/H46), we tried to complement the $tssB-sfGFP \Delta PAAR\Omega$ by plasmid expression of PAARH14A, PAARC41A, PAARH46A or PAARC74A, as well as PAARC76A or PAAR H14AC76A. Strains producing PAAR_{C41A}, PAAR_{H46A} or PAAR_{C74A} showed diffuse fluorescence confirming that these three residues are crucial for PAAR function in the T6SS sheath assembly (Fig. 4BC). In contrast, wild type-like sheath assembly was observed by complementing with PAAR^{H14A}, PAAR^{C70A}, PAAR^{C70A}, or PAAR^{C76A} which is consistent with antibacterial competition assays indicating that these residues do not play an important role in the function of PAAR protein (Fig. 4BC, Fig. S4E). Again, expression of the PAAR^{H14AC76A} double mutant could not restore sheath assembly, suggesting that the presence of at least H14 or C76 residue in PAAR is necessary for T6SS function.

PAAR is necessary for the correct localization of the T6SS baseplate, but not of the

membrane complex.

The membrane complex recruits the baseplate complex that is required for the coordinated assembly of the tube and sheath components (8, 11, 13, 16). To determine at which step of the T6SS biogenesis PAAR protein is required, we followed the localization of the membrane complex component TssM and the baseplate component TssK by fluorescence microscopy. We observed the wild type and *PAAR* mutant (ΔPAARΩKan) cells producing sfGFP-TssM or TssK-sfGFP (Fig. 5). As described previously, in T6SS-active strains, the sfGFP-TssM and TssK-sfGFP present foci at the membrane ((8, 11), Fig. 5). We noted that in the absence of PAAR, TssM was correctly localized at the membrane, suggesting that PAAR is not required for proper localization of sfGFP-TssM and thus for membrane complex formation (Fig. 5AB). However, the localization of TssK-sfGFP became diffuse in the absence of PAAR, suggesting that PAAR is required for proper formation of the baseplate and its recruitment to the membrane complex (Fig. 5CD). Consequently, PAAR was also required for downstream events – the TssB/C sheath assembly (Fig. 4A) and the T6SS-1 mediated killing (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

There is a long-standing debate about the necessity of the PAAR protein for the T6SS-mediated secretion and killing. Reports using different bacteria as T6SS models yielded

contradictory results (28, 30-33). Most recently, it was concluded that PAAR is only necessary when transporting toxic effectors (32). In this work we have employed a model system that can tackle this question since (i) under well described conditions (ii) it has only one T6SS firing complex (iii) which has a single PAAR protein that is (iv) uncoupled from toxin loading. Using the well-studied EAEC model we have demonstrated that PAAR EAEC protein is required for antibacterial activity mediated by the T6SS-1. Our results indicate that PAAR^{EAEC} is indispensable for T6SS-mediated killing by participating in the upstream event - the recruitment of the baseplate that allows polymerization of contractile sheath of T6SS (Fig. 5). Ten years ago, Shneider and colleagues have first reported structures of a small protein PAAR that sharpens the T6SS spike (28). It was then proposed to stabilize the baseplate hub protein VgrG, by nucleating the folding of its trimers, or to regulate the incorporation of the VgrG into the T6SS machinery (28). However, we have found that the steady-state levels of VgrG^{EAEC} protein are not significantly different without the PAAR^{EAEC}, and that the overproduction of VgrGEAEC in the absence of PAAREAEC does not restore the T6SS-1 assembly and activity (Fig. S5, Fig. S6). Similarly, the intracellular level of VgrG2 is not impaired in the absence of PAAR proteins in Serratia marcescens (30). Nevertheless, we have previously observed that purified VgrG^{EAEC} without PAAR^{EAEC} tends to precipitate in some buffers (21) and therefore we cannot disregard the idea that PAAR could facilitate the folding of the VgrG trimer or its incorporation into the baseplate (28). It is important to mention that previous PAAR structures were obtained in chimeric complexes that were prepared using a soluble fragment of T4 gp5 β-helix and grafting the terminal β-helices of various VgrG spikes. Our attempts to crystallize PAAR from EAEC, alone or in complex with the gp5C part of VgrG were unsuccessful. Nevertheless, our protein interaction studies supported by cryo-EM density maps docked with AlphaFold2 model presented in this study

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

provide ultimate proof of the localization of PAAR protein at the top of the β-prism of the gp5-domain of VgrG (Fig. 1). The spike complex of the bacteriophage T4 adopts highly similar structure where a small conical gp5.4 protein sits on top of the β-prim of the gp5C (12). Strikingly, similar structures found in other phages such as P2 or Phi92 sharpen the apex of their needle by tying the ends of the gp5 β-helix into the knot that overall resembles the conical PAAR protein (Fig. 6) (35). Moreover, all these needle end structures are supported by the coordinated metal at the far end of the sharp structures (Fig. 6). We unambiguously showed that the purified PAAR^{EAEC} protein contains zinc. At least two conserved cysteines and one histidine, and likely a second histidine are involved in the binding of this zinc atom. Their essentiality in vivo (C41, C74 and H46, Fig. 3, Fig. 4) supports the crucial role of metal coordinated tip structure in the T6SS function. While the AlphaFold2 model of PAAR shows histidine residues oriented slightly outward, it is likely that they constitute the coordination center for the zinc atom and are hence pulled inwards to yield compact and sharp tip (Fig. 2). Similarly, 3 histidine and 1 cysteine residues were shown to be involved in zinc binding in the homologous PAAR proteins from Vibrio or UPEC and in the gp5.4 protein of phage T4 (12, 28). In the case of Francisella PAAR-like T6SS tip protein IglG, 4 cysteines were shown to be important for binding of iron or zinc (31). Interestingly, the apex domains of gp138 of Phi92 phage, gpV of P2 phage, and gpV of the R2 pyocin all contain iron, hexacoordinated by 6 histidines symmetrically provided by 3 monomers (Fig. 6, PDB:4s3; (35, 36)). In the light of these observations, our results further support the role of metal coordinating tip structures in sharpening spikes of membrane attacking contractile systems. While the sharp tip might ensure the efficient piercing of the membrane it does not readily explain the requirement of the PAAR for the assembly of T6SS^{EAEC}. PAAR could be required for the recruitment of the spike to the membrane complex. In this case, the sharp tip maintained by the zinc atom may be important for this function. Interestingly, expression of

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

the VgrG^{EAEC}-Tle1^{EAEC} complex yielded virtually all spike complexes stuck head-to-head through the hydrophobic blunt end of the VgrG β -prisms as observed by cryo-EM (Fig. 1D, Fig. S1B). These interactions involve the hydrophobic patch otherwise covered by PAAR ((21), Fig. 1, Fig. S1B). Indeed, the presence of PAAR^{EAEC} seems to prevent this aberrant behavior of VgrG^{EAEC} (Fig. 1DE, Fig. S1A). Strikingly, the same head-to-head interaction occurs in the case of T4 phage gp5 needle when produced alone. Without the PAAR homolog gp5.4, the β- prism of gp5 dimerizes through its C-terminal hydrophobic tips and these dimers of trimers can form in two different orientations (37). We could also observe different 2D classes of twin VgrG^{EAEC}-Tle1^{EAEC} complexes likely representing continuous or 60° rotated interactions of β-prisms (Fig. S1B). These observations further suggest that the amyloid-like structure of gp5 domain must be concluded to achieve a closed sharp end. Indeed, formation of a baseplate and its attachment to the membrane complex could be corrupted in the case of self-dimerization of VgrG^{EAEC} trimers. Moreover, this proposed role of PAAR protein in T6SS assembly could explain previous results showing that gp27 and gp5-OB domain of VgrG are sufficient for T6SS assembly and firing (20, 38). Indeed, without its β-prism, the needle-less trimeric VgrG may not dimerize this way and thus PAAR may not be required for T6SS assembly in this case. Of note, despite the addition of PAAR protein, no interpretable density was again observed for the base of VgrG corresponding to the gp27-gp5 OB fold -like domains (21). Only one cryo-EM 2D class of particles displayed a distinct triangular shape structure at the base of the needle, suggesting that the flexibility of this domain is not stabilized by the presence of PAAR (Fig. S1AB). On the contrary, in the presence of PAAR most of the cryo-EM 2D classes were missing densities for one, two or three Tle1 toxins. Closer observations revealed that the EM densities around the middle of the gp5 structure were frequently visible and overall more intense. The missing toxin densities are therefore likely a result of the flexibility.

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

It is possible that the head-to-head dimer of VgrG-Tle1 fixes the Tle1 toxins in a more rigid conformation than the VgrG-Tle1-PAAR complex. In particular, the TTR domain of VgrG that is hanging on a flexible linker might be less constrained in the presence of PAAR than in the head-to-head VgrG-Tle1 dimer. Gel filtrations consistently show uniform peak for VgrG-Tle1-PAAR complex and Tle1 seems to be in a stoichiometric equilibrium with VgrG based on band intensities in SDS-PAGE gels (Fig S7). This suggests that Tle1 toxins are efficiently loaded on the spike but are likely more flexible than previously observed. This flexibility might play an important role when encountering the target and liberating the toxin from spike.

To conclude, we suggest that while the overall large structure of the T6SS firing tube is built on the hexameric symmetry, it has to be resolved in order to be sealed and sharpened. First symmetry transition is enabled by the VgrG-trimer that starts with pseudo-hexameric symmetry at its base and wraps it up to a trimeric β-prism. Further, PAAR protein initiates by a pseudo-trimeric symmetry that connects to the trimeric β-prism. Towards the extremity PAAR is sealed into a sharp end with the help of a metal coordinated by conserved cysteines and histidines. The importance of such architecture is supported by the fact that the closure of the puncturing tip with the help of metal coordinating center is structurally conserved across contractile injection systems that carry gp5-like β-prism domains (12, 28, 31, 35, 36). In some, but not all cases, the final conical tip is encoded as a separate protein. One has to take into account, that in the case of T6SS, such separation provides an additional anchoring point for toxins. Hence, the separate ORF coding for the tip of puncturing device might have been evolutionary favored. Nevertheless, as demonstrated in this work, even without carrying toxic domains, sharpening the T6SS tip by PAAR protein is essential for the assembly and activity of the T6SS machinery in EAEC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, growth conditions and chemicals.

Strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. *Escherichia coli* DH5α, BL21(DE3) and W3110 were used for cloning procedures, protein production and as a prey for antibacterial competition assays, respectively. EAEC strain 17-2 and its isogenic derivatives were used for functional studies. Cells were grown at 37°C with aeration in LB with antibiotics when required (ampicillin (100 μg/ml), kanamycin (50 μg/ml) or chloramphenicol (30 μg/ml)) or in T6SS-1-inducing medium (SIM; M9 minimal medium, 0.2% glycerol, 1 μg/ml vitamin B1, 100 μg/ml casamino acids, 10% LB, supplemented or not with 1.5% Bacto agar) (39). Gene expression was induced by the addition of 0.02–0.2% of L-arabinose (Sigma-Aldrich) for pBAD (40) and by 0.5 or 1 mM of isopropyl-thio-b-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG; Eurobio) for pETDuet-1, pRSFDuet-1 and pACYCDuet-1 (Novagen) derivative vectors.

Cloning procedures, plasmid and strain constructions.

The plasmids and primers (obtained from Merck or IDT) used in this study are listed in Table S1. Cloning was performed by standard restriction-ligation procedures – DNA fragments coding for PAAR and VgrG were amplified from EAEC 17-2 chromosomal DNA using Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs), PCR fragments were purified on NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up columns (Macherey-Nagel), digested as recommended by the manufacturer (NEB), and purified before ligation. Recombinant plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells and constructions were verified by colony-PCR and by DNA sequencing (Eurofins) after extraction of the plasmids using Wizard Plus SV Minipreps kit (Promega).

For pACYC-PAAR^{FL} construct, the sequence encoding PAAR was amplified by PCR using
5-NdeI-PAAR and 3-XhoI-PAAR^{FL} primers introducing NdeI and XhoI sites, respectively,

and cloned into the pACYCDuet-1 (Novagen) multiple cloning site 2. The 3- XhoI -PAAR^{FL}

primer introduces a sequence encoding a FLAG-tag to allow in-frame fusion of PAAR with a 425 C-terminal FLAG extension. For pET-HSUMO-PAAR construct, the sequence encoding 426 PAAR was amplified by PCR using primers 5-BmtI-PAAR and 3-HindIII-PAAR introducing 427 428 BmtI and HindIII sites, respectively, and cloned into the pETDuet-HIS-SUMO multiple cloning site. 429 The pBAD-PAAR VSVG was constructed by megapriming. The 3pBAD-4537-VSVG primer 430 431 introduces a sequence encoding a VSVG-tag to allow in-frame fusion of PAAR with a C-432 terminal VSVG extension. Site directed mutagenesis of PAAR was performed on pACYC-PAAR FLAG pETDuet His-SUMO-PAAR or pBAD33-PAAR by QuickChange PCR- based 433 434 targeted mutagenesis using complementary pairs of oligonucleotides and the PfuTurbo high-435 fidelity polymerase (Agilent Technologies). For strain construction, the PAAR gene (EC042 4537) was deleted into the EAEC 17-2 wild-436 437 type strain, the tssB-sfGFP, the tssK-sfGFP and the sfGFP-tssM using a modified one-step 438 inactivation procedure (41) as previously described (42) using pKD4 plasmid and 439 oligonucleotide pairs DEL-4537-5-DW/DEL-4537-3-DW. Kanamycin resistant clones were 440 selected and verified by colony-PCR and sequencing of the region (Eurofins genomics).

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

SDS PAGE and Western blot analyzes.

Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate-Poly Acrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed using standard protocols. Proteins were stained using Instant*Blue*TM (Sigma-Aldrich) or transferred onto 0.2 m nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Protran). Immunoblots were probed with anti-Strep-Tag Classic (clone Strep-tag II, Bio-Rad catalogue #MCA2489), anti-FLAG (clone M2, Sigma-Aldrich catalogue #F3165), anti-His-Tag (clone 1B7G5, Proteintech catalogue #66005-1-Ig), anti-VSVG (clone P5D4; Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-RecA (clone M2, Sigma-Aldrich catalogue #F3165) primary monoclonal antibodies, and alkaline phosphatase-

conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies, and revealed in alkaline buffer (pH 9) using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nitroblue tetrazolium (BCIP/NBT) in the presence of 10 mM MgCl₂.

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

450

451

452

Protein production and pull-down assays.

An overnight culture of E. coli BL21(DE3) co-transformed with pACYC-PAAR^{FL} (or pACYC-PAAR^{FL} derivatives) and pET-^SVgrG (or pET-^SVgrG derivatives) was diluted 1/100 into 50 ml of LB supplemented with the required antibiotics, grown to $A_{600} = 0.8$ and induced with 1 mM IPTG for 18 h at 16°C. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 5000×g, resuspended in buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH8.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma)). After cell lysis by sonication, the cell extract was cleared by centrifugation for 45 min at 15 000 \times g and incubated for 1h at 4°C with gentle mixing with 100 µl of Strep-Tactin Superflow resin (IBA Technology) previously equilibrated in buffer A. The resin was washed 5 times with 300 µl of buffer A and the ^SVgrG-PAAR^{FL} were eluted with 100 µl of buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl pH8.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 2.5 mM desthiobiotin). Ten microliters of the elution fractions were separated by 12.5%-acrylamide SDS-PAGE, stained using InstantBlueTM (Sigma-Aldrich) or analyzed by Western blot with Strep-Tag Classic or anti-FLAG antibodies. For the production of Tle1-VgrG-PAAR complex, BL21(DE3) was co-transformed with pRSF-Tle1^H, pET-^SVgrG and pACYC-PAAR^{FL} plasmids. ^SVgrG-Tle1^H-PAAR^{FL} complex was then produced and purified using the same double step affinity procedure as for the purification of the ^SVgrG-Tle1^H complex described in (21).

472

473

Cryo-EM sample preparation, data collection and modelling.

Quantifoil Cu300 mesh R2/2 grids were glow discharged at 0.2 mbar vacuum, 2mA current
for 45 seconds (in an ELMO glow discharge system (Cordouan Technologies). Four μl of
protein samples at concentration of 0.5 ml/ml were blotted and plunge-frozen in liquid ethane
using Vitrobot instrument (FEI) at 4°C and 100% humidity, using blot force 0 and blotting
time 3s. Grids were transferred to liquid nitrogen for storage. Observation and data were
collected with Talos Arctica (FEI) 200 kV microscope equipped with K2 camera (Gatan).
Data were collected at 45k magnification, pixel size of 0.93 Å, with 4.4 s exposition and total
dose of 50 e-/ $\mbox{\normalfont\AA}$ with the help of SerialEM version 3.8 software. 2180 movies were collected
for VgrG-Tle1 complex (without PAAR) dataset. 3237 movies were collected for VgrG-Tle1-
PAAR dataset. Data was processed with CryoSPARC v4.0.0. Particle picking was assisted by
4 iterations of Topaz (43) training for 20 epochs, each time resubmitting best quality particles
for new iteration of training. For VgrG-Tle1 (without PAAR) dataset: 256,139 particles were
extracted with 550-pixels box size. For VgrG-Tle1-PAAR dataset: 436,572 particles were
extracted with 400-pixels box size. Best defined 2D classes were used for generation 4 ab-
initio models and best models were refined using heterologous refinement. For high
resolution VgrG-Tle1-PAAR map, particles used for best class refinement were again used to
generate 4 ab initio models and best model was then refined using best defined 2D classes.
Final step of non-uniform refinement with 31,647 particles was used to gain resolution in best
regions.
VgrG-Tle1-PAAR model was generated as follows: gp5 domain of VgrG (3 copies) and
PAAR were co-folded using AlphaFold2 (44, 45). Using UCSF ChimeraX (46), the best
ranked AlphaFold2 model was aligned to VgrG-Tle1 model (PDB:6SJL, (21)) via the gp5
domains. This model was then fitted into cryo-EM density maps (46).

His-SUMO-PAAR purification and samples preparation for ICP-OES.

Overnight cultures of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) transformed with pETDuet-^HSUMO-PAAR or its mutated derivatives were diluted 1/100 into 2L of LB supplemented with the required antibiotics. Cells were grown to an A_{600} =0.8 and induced with 1 mM IPTG for 18 h at 16° C. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at $5000 \times g$, resuspended in buffer C (20 mM HEPES pH8, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) $100 \mu g/ml$ DNase I, $100 \mu g/ml$ lysozyme, and 10 mM MgCl2). After cell lysis using an Emulsiflex-C5 (Avestin), the cell extract was cleared by centrifugation for 40 min at $40 000 \times g$ and incubated for 1h at 4°C with gentle mixing with 1 ml of Strep-Tactin Superflow resin (IBA Technology) previously equilibrated in buffer C. The resin was washed 5 times with 8 ml of buffer C and the ^{H-}SUMO-PAAR was eluted with $100 \mu l$ of buffer D (20 mM HEPES pH8, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 500 mM imidazole. Ten microliters of the elution fractions were separated by 15%-acrylamide SDS-PAGE, stained using Instant $Blue^{TM}$ or transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane and immunodetected with anti- His-Tag antibodies.

Metal quantification by Inductively Coupled Plasma analysis - Optical Emission

Spectrometry (ICP-OES).

50 to 300 μ M of proteins in 500 μ l of PBS were diluted in 500 μ l of 69% nitric acid. Samples were boiled for 40 minutes and 4 ml of 3% nitric acid was added to each sample. These samples were analyzed for copper, nickel, zinc, and iron content with an ICAPTM 6000 series optical emission spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Serial dilutions of pure copper, nickel, iron, and zinc standard solutions were used for calibration. Results are expressed in percentage of total proteins in samples, each value representing the mean of three technical replicates from different fractions of two to three different purification preparations. As a control, 500 μ l of purification buffer was submitted to the same metal content analysis. For statistical analysis, one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test was

performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.3.1 for macOS (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA).

Fluorescence Microscopy.

Overnight cultures grown in LB medium with the appropriate antibiotics were diluted 1/100 into SIM to an $A_{600} = 0.8$, then 500μ l of the culture was centrifuged and resuspended in fresh SIM to a $A_{600} = 10$. Cells were spotted on a thin 2% agarose pad, prepared with SIM medium in Frame-SealTM slide chambers (Bio-Rad), and covered with a cover slip. After 20 min at 28° C, Hilo fluorescence microscopy was performed with a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 microscope equipped with a $100 \times 1.45 \times$

Antibacterial competition assay by the colorimetric method.

Overnight cultures grown in LB medium with the appropriate antibiotics were diluted 1/100 into SIM medium to an $A_{600} = 0.4$. Then, 50 μ M of IPTG was added in the culture of W3110 bacterial prey cells to induce the production of β -galactosidase. WT or mutated PAAR genes expression was induced from pBAD33- $PAAR^{VSVG}$ using 0,2% arabinose. At $A_{600} = 0.8$, the predator and the prey cultures were mixed at 1:4 ratio and spotted on SIM-agar plates supplemented with 50 μ M of IPTG and 0,2% arabinose. After 2 hours of incubation at 37 °C, drops of 2 mM CPRG solution were deposited on the cell spots. Pictures were taken after the emergence of the coloration. Experiment was performed at least three times and a

representative image is presented. For quantification of CPR, slices of agar-containing spots were vortexed in 500 μ L PBS and further centrifuged at 8,000× g for 1 min to pellet cells and agar. 100 μ l of the supernatant were dropped into a 96-well plate. After addition of 10 μ l of 2 mM CPRG into the supernatant, absorbance at $\lambda = 572$ was measured every 30 seconds for 1 hour with a TECAN.

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

549

550

551

552

553

Antibacterial competition assay by the emergence time method.

Overnight cultures grown in LB medium with the appropriate antibiotics were diluted 1/100 into SIM medium to an $A_{600} = 0.4$. Arabinose 0,2% was added into predator strains cultures to induce PAAR gene expression from pBAD33-PAAR VSVG (or pBAD33-PAAR VSVG mutants). At $A_{600} = 0.8$, the predator strain and the prey were mixed at 4:1 ratio and spotted on SIM-agar plates supplemented 0,2% arabinose. After 4h of incubation at 37 °C, each spot was resuspended in 1 ml of selective medium for prey and 100 µl of a 10-fold dilution were added to 100 µl of selective medium in 96-wells microplate (Thermofisher, Nunc). Cultures were grown for 15 hours at 37°C with agitation and A_{600} was measured each 5 minutes using a microplate reader (TECAN). A time of emergence (Te) considered as time required for each sample to reach $A_{600} = 0.4$ of was calculated. Each value represents the mean of three technical replicates from at least three biological replicates and were compared between strains by a One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test performed using GraphPad Prism (version 9.3.1 for macOS, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA). Negative controls contained only the prey or the predator strain. EAEC 17-2 wild-type strain was used as positive control for W3110 prey lysis. Production in EAEC ΔPAARΩKan of the different derivatives of PAAR protein from pBAD plasmids used for antibacterial competition and fluorescence microscopy observations were controlled by Western Blotting using anti-VSVG antibodies.

	7	1
2	/	4

Protein stability assay.

Overnight cultures of *E. coli* DH5 α transformed with pBAD18-PAAR^{VSVG} and pOK-VgrG^{FLAG} or empty pBAD18 and pOK-VgrG^{FLAG} were diluted 1/100 into 50 ml of LB medium supplemented with the required antibiotics, grown at 37°C to an A_{600} of 0.6 and induced with 0,5 mM IPTG and 0,2% Arabinose. After 1h, spectinomycin (100 µg/ml) and chloramphenicol (40 µg/ml) were added. Before and after the induction, at 1 h, 2 h and 3 h after the inhibition of protein synthesis, 2 ml of cultures were collected, centrifuged for 5 min at $6000 \times g$ and supplemented with 60 or 100 µl of 4X SDS-PAGE loading dye with β -mercaptoethanol. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane for immunodetection with anti-VSVG, or anti-FLAG or anti-RecA monoclonal antibodies, followed by recognition by secondary antibodies coupled to the Alkaline Phosphatase. Intensity of the bands were measured using BioRad Imager.

Computer algorithms.

EAEC PAAR orthologs (EC042_4537; NCBI-protein ID: CBG37359) were retrieved using

BlastP analysis against the KEGG genes database and aligned with MultiAlin tool (47, 48).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Eric Cascales and the members of the Cascales research group for insightful discussions, especially Thierry Doan and Yoann G. Santin for microscopy; Boris Taillefer for CPRG killing and Maïalène Chabalier for cloning of pETDuet-FLAGVgrG. We thank Stéphane Canaan for the use of BioRad Imager and software. We thank Moly Ba, Isabelle Bringer, Annick Brun, Olivier Uderso and Mathilde Valade for technical assistance. This work was

supported by the Aix-Marseille Université (AMU), the Centre National de la Recherche
Scientifique (CNRS) and grants from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-14-CE14000 0006 and ANR-18-CE15-0013 to LJ).

SGB was supported by a doctoral fellowship from the French Ministère de l'Enseignement
Supérieur, de la Recherche et de l'Innovation. NF was supported by the ANR-14-CE14-0006
grant. MB was supported by the ANR-18-CE15-0013. D.J. was supported by a post-doctoral
fellowship from the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (SPF201809007142).

Author contributions

S.G.B.: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, writing - original draft. N.F.: conceptualization, investigation, writing - review and editing. M.I.: formal analysis, investigation, resources, writing - review and editing. M.B. and EGF: formal analysis, investigation. R.F.: conceptualization, formal analysis, resources, supervision. D.J.: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, writing - original draft. L.J.: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, resources, supervision, validation, visualization, writing - original draft.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Durand E, Cambillau C, Cascales E, Journet L. 2014. VgrG, Tae, Tle, and beyond: the
- 620 versatile arsenal of type VI secretion effectors. Trends Microbiol 22: 498-507. https://doi-
- 621 org.insb.bib.cnrs.fr/10.1016/j.tim.2014.06.004
- **2.** Russell AB, Peterson SB, Mougous JD. 2014. Type VI secretion system effectors:
- poisons with a purpose. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 12: 137–48. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3185
- 3. Hernandez RE, Gallegos-Monterrosa R, Coulthurst SJ. 2020. Type VI secretion system
- 625 effector proteins: Effective weapons for bacterial competitiveness. Cellular microbiology 22:
- 626 e13241. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.13241
- **4. Jurėnas D, Journet L**. 2021. Activity, delivery, and diversity of Type VI secretion
- 628 effectors. *Mol Microbiol* 115: 383-394. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14648
- **5. Hachani A, Wood TE, Filloux A**. 2016. Type VI secretion and anti-host effectors. Curr
- 630 *Opin Microbiol* 29: 81 93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.11.006
- 631 6. Zoued A, Brunet YR, Durand E, Aschtgen MS, Logger L, Douzi B, Journet L,
- **Cambillau C, Cascales E**. 2014. Architecture and assembly of the Type VI secretion system.
- 633 Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 1843:1664 1673.
- 634 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.03.018
- 635 7. Coulthurst SJ. 2013. The Type VI secretion system a widespread and versatile cell
- targeting system. *Res Microbiol*. 164:640-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2013.03.017
- 8. Durand E, Nguyen VS, Zoued A, Logger L, Péhau-Arnaudet G, Aschtgen M-S,
- 638 Spinelli S, Desmyter A, Bardiaux B, Dujeancourt A, Roussel A, Cambillau C, Cascales
- **E; Fronzes R.** 2015. Biogenesis and structure of a type VI secretion membrane core complex.
- 640 *Nature* 523: 555-560. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14667
- 9. Rapisarda C, Cherrak Y, Kooger R, Schmidt V, Pellarin R, Logger L, Cascales E,
- Pilhofer M, Durand E, Fronzes R. 2019. In situ and high-resolution cryo- EM structure of a

- bacterial type VI secretion system membrane complex. EMBO J 38: e100886. https://doi-
- org.insb.bib.cnrs.fr/10.15252/embj.2018100886
- 10. Basler M, Pilhofer M, Henderson GP, Jensen GJ, Mekalanos JJ. 2012. Type VI
- secretion requires a dynamic contractile phage tail-like structure. *Nature* 483: 182 186.
- 647 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10846
- 11. Brunet YR, Zoued A, Boyer F, Douzi B, Cascales E. 2015. The type VI secretion
- 649 TssEFGK-VgrG phage-like baseplate is recruited to the TssJLM membrane complex via
- 650 multiple contacts and serves as assembly platform for tail tube/sheath polymerization. *PLoS*
- 651 *Genet* 11: e1005545
- 12. Taylor NMI, Prokhorov NS, Guerrero-Ferreira RC, Shneider MM, Browning C,
- 653 Goldie KN, Stahlberg H, Leiman PG. 2016. Structure of the T4 baseplate and its function in
- 654 triggering sheath contraction. *Nature* 533: 346 352
- 13. Nguyen VS, Logger L, Spinelli S, Legrand P, Huyen Pham TT, Nhung Trinh TT,
- 656 Cherrak Y, Zoued A, Desmyter A, Durand E, Roussel A, Kellenberger C, Cascales E,
- 657 Cambillau C. 2017. Type VI secretion TssK baseplate protein exhibits structural similarity
- 658 with phage receptor binding proteins and evolved to bind the membrane complex. Nat
- 659 *Microbiol* 2: 17103. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.103
- 14. Nazarov S, Schneider JP, Brackmann M, Goldie KN, Stahlberg H, Basler M. 2018.
- 661 Cryo-EM reconstruction of type VI secretion system baseplate and sheath distal end. EMBO J
- 37: e97103. doi: 10.15252/embj.201797103
- 15. Cherrak Y, Rapisarda C, Pellarin R, Bouvier G, Bardiaux B, Allain F, Malosse C,
- Rey M, Chamot-Rooke J, Cascales E, Fronzes R, Durand E. 2018. Biogenesis and
- 665 structure of a type VI secretion baseplate. Nat Microbiol 312:1404-1416. doi:
- 666 10.1038/s41564-018-0260-1

- 16. Zoued A, Durand E, Brunet YR, Spinelli S, Douzi B, Guzzo M, Flaugnatti N,
- Legrand P, Journet L, Fronzes R, Mignot T, Cambillau C, Cascales E. 2016. Priming and
- 669 polymerization of a bacterial contractile tail structure. Nature 531:59-63.
- 670 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature17182
- 671 17. Brunet YR, Espinosa L, Harchouni S, Mignot T, Cascales E. 2013. Imaging type VI
- 672 secretion-mediated bacterial killing. *Cell Rep* 3:36–41.
- 673 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2012.11.027
- 18. Ho BT, Dong TG, Mekalanos JJ. 2014. A view to a kill: the bacterial type VI secretion
- 675 system. Cell Host Microbe. 15: 9–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.11.008
- 19. Cianfanelli FR, Monlezun L, Coulthurst SJ. 2016. Aim, load, fire: the type VI secretion
- 677 system, a bacterial nanoweapon. Trends Microbiol 24: 51 62.
- 678 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2015.10.005
- 679 20. Flaugnatti N, Le TT, Canaan S, Aschtgen MS, Nguyen VS, Blangy S, Kellenberger
- 680 C, Roussel A, Cambillau C, Cascales, E, Journet L. 2016. A phospholipase A1
- antibacterial Type VI secretion effector interacts directly with the C-terminal domain of the
- 682 VgrG spike protein for delivery. Mol Microbiol 99:1099-1118.
- 683 https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13292
- 21. Flaugnatti N, Rapisarda C, Rey M, Beauvois SG, Nguyen VA, Canaan S, Durand E,
- 685 Chamot-Rooke J, Cascales E, Fronzes R, Journet L. 2020. Structural basis for loading and
- 686 inhibition of a bacterial T6SS phospholipase effector by the VgrG spike. EMBO J 39:
- 687 e104129. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2019104129
- **22.** Pukatzki S, Ma AT, Revel AT, Sturtevant D, Mekalanos JJ. 2007. Type VI secretion
- system translocates a phage tail spike-like protein into target cells where it cross-links actin.
- 690 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:15508–15513. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706532104

- 691 23. Leiman PG, Basler M, Ramagopal UA, Bonanno JB, Sauder JM, Pukatzki S, Burley
- 692 SK, Almo SC, Mekalanos JJ. 2009. Type VI secretion apparatus and phage tail-associated
- 693 protein complexes share a common evolutionary origin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:4154—
- 694 4159. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0813360106
- 695 24. Pell LG, Kanelis V, Donaldson LW, Howell PL, Davidson AR. 2009. The phage
- 696 lambda major tail protein structure reveals a common evolution for long-tailed phages and the
- 697 type VI bacterial secretion system. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 4160-4165.
- 698 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900044106
- 699 25. Bönemann G, Pietrosiuk A, Diemand A, Zentgraf H, Mogk A. 2009. Remodelling of
- 700 VipA/VipB tubules by ClpV-mediated threading is crucial for type VI protein secretion.
- 701 *EMBO J* 28, 315–325. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2008.269
- 702 26. Uchida K, Leiman PG, Arisaka F, Kanamaru S. 2014. Structure and properties of the
- 703 C-terminal β-helical domain of VgrG protein from Escherichia coli O157. J Biochem
- 704 155:173–182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvt109
- 705 27. Spínola-Amilibia M, Davó-Siguero I, Ruiz FM, Santillana E, Medrano FJ, Romero
- 706 A. 2016. The structure of VgrG1 from Pseudomonas aeruginosa, the needle tip of the
- 707 bacterial type VI secretion system. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol 72:22-33.
- 708 http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S2059798315021142
- 709 28. Shneider MM, Buth SA, Ho BT, Basler M, Mekalanos JJ, Leiman PG. 2013. PAAR-
- 710 repeat proteins sharpen and diversify the type VI secretion system spike. Nature 500:350-
- 711 353. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12453
- 712 29. Arisaka F, Kanamaru S, Leiman P, Rossmann MG. 2003. The tail lysozyme complex
- of bacteriophage T4. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 35:16-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1357-
- 714 2725(02)00098-5

- 715 30. Cianfanelli FR, Alcoforado Diniz J, Guo M, De Cesare V, Trost M, Coulthurst SJ.
- 716 2016. VgrG and PAAR Proteins Define Distinct Versions of a Functional Type VI Secretion
- 717 System. *PLoS Pathog* 12:e1005735. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005735
- 718 31. Rigard M, Bröms JE, Mosnier A, Hologne M, Martin A, Lindgren L, Punginelli C,
- 719 Lays C, Walker O, Charbit A, Telouk P, Conlan W, Terradot L, Sjöstedt A, Henry T.
- 720 2016. Francisella tularensis IglG Belongs to a Novel Family of PAAR-Like T6SS Proteins
- 721 and Harbors a Unique N-terminal Extension Required for Virulence. PLoS Pathog
- 722 12:e1005821. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005821
- 32. Liang X, Pei TT, Li H, Zheng HY, Luo H, Cui Y, Tang MX, Zhao YJ, Xu P, Dong T.
- 724 2021.VgrG-dependent effectors and chaperones modulate the assembly of the type VI
- secretion system. PLoS Pathog 17:e1010116. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010116
- 726 33. Zheng J, Leung KY. 2007. Dissection of a type VI secretion system in Edwardsiella
- 727 tarda. Mol Microbiol. 2007. 66:1192-206. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05993.x
- 728 34. Taillefer B, Grandjean MM, Herrou J, Robert D, Mignot T, Sebban-Kreuzer C,
- 729 Cascales E. 2023. Qualitative and quantitative methods to measure antibacterial activity
- resulting from bacterial competition. *Bio Protoc*. 13: e4706. doi: 10.21769/BioProtoc.4706
- 731 35. Browning C, Shneider MM, Bowman VD, Schwarzer D, Leiman PG. 2012. Phage
- 732 pierces the host cell membrane with the iron-loaded spike. Structure 20:326-39.
- 733 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2011.12.009
- 734 36. Yamashita E, Nakagawa A, Takahashi J, Tsunoda K, Yamada S, Takeda S. 2011.
- 735 The host-binding domain of the P2 phage tail spike reveals a trimeric iron-binding structure.
- 736 Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun 67:837-41.
- 737 https://doi.org/10.1107/S1744309111005999

- 738 37. Buth SA, Menin L, Shneider MM, Engel J, Boudko SP, Leiman PG. 2015. Structure
- 739 and Biophysical Properties of a Triple-Stranded Beta-Helix Comprising the Central Spike of
- 740 Bacteriophage T4. *Viruses* 7:4676-706. https://doi.org/10.3390/v7082839
- 741 38. Renault M, Zamarreño Beas J, Douzi B, Chabalier M, Zoued A, Brunet YR,
- 742 Cambillau C, Journet L, Cascales E. 2018. The gp27-like Hub of VgrG Serves as Adaptor
- 743 to Promote Hcp Tube Assembly. *J Mol Biol* 430:3143-3156.
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.07.018
- 745 39. Brunet YR, Bernard CS, Gavioli M, Lloubès R, Cascales E. 2011. An epigenetic
- switch involving overlapping fur and DNA methylation optimizes expression of a type VI
- secretion gene cluster. *PLoS Genet* 7:e1002205. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002205
- 748 40. Guzman LM, Belin D, Carson MJ, Beckwith J. 1995. Tight regulation, modulation, and
- high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. J. Bacteriol 177:
- 750 4121-30. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.177.14.4121-4130.1995
- 751 41. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in
- 752 Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U SA 97: 6640-6645.
- 753 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120163297
- 42. Aschtgen MS, Bernard CS, de Bentzmann S, Lloubes R, Cascales E. 2008. SciN is an
- outer membrane lipoprotein required for type VI secretion in enteroaggregative Escherichia
- 756 coli. J. Bacteriol. 190: 7523-7531. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00945-08
- 757 43. Bepler T, Morin A, Rapp M, Brasch J, Shapiro L, Noble AJ, Berger B. 2019.
- 758 Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron
- 759 micrographs. Nat Methods 16:1153-1160. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0575-8
- 760 44. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A. et al., 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction
- 761 with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596:583–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-
- 762 2https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

/63	45. Miruita, M., Schutze, K., Moriwaki, Y. et al., 2022. Colabroid: making protein folding
764	accessible to all. Nat Methods 19, 679–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1
765	46. Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, Morris JH,
766	Ferrin TE. 2021. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and
767	developers. Protein Sci. 30:70-82. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3943
768	47. Corpet F. 1988. Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. Nucleic Acids
769	Res 16: 10881 – 10890. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/16.22.10881
770	48. Robert X, Gouet P. 2014. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
771	ENDscript server. Nucleic Acids Res 42: W320 –W324. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku316
772	
773	
774	
775	
776	
777	
778	
779	
780	
781	
782	FIGURE LEGENDS
783	Fig. 1. PAAR interacts with the VgrG needle domain and caps the VgrG-Tle1 complex.
784	(A) Schematic representation of the EAEC VgrG1 protein and the truncated variants used for
785	pull-down assays with PAAR. The different domains and their boundaries are indicated -
786	base domain gp27; OB fold domain; β helical domain gp5-C; H - helix; DUF2345 domain;
787	TTR - transthyretin like domain). (B) Pull-down assays. Lysates of BL21(DE3) cells co-

producing FLAG-tagged PAAR (PAARFL) and Strep-tag II-tagged VgrG (SVgrG) or truncated versions of VgrG (SVgrG Δ TTR, SVgrG Δ Needle) were loaded (L) on a Strep-Tactin column. After washing steps, desthiobiotin-eluted (E) and flow through (FT) fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining (upper panel), immunoblot using anti-StrepII (middle panel) and anti-FLAG (lower panel). (C) VgrG-PAAR-Tle1 complex purification. SDS-PAGE analysis and Coomassie blue staining (top panel), immunoblot using anti-StrepII (upper middle panel), anti-His (lower middle panel) and anti-FLAG (bottom panel) of the purification steps. Cell lysate (L) co-producing Strep-tag II-VgrG (SVgrG), Tle1-6xHis (Tle1^H) and PAAR-FLAG (PAAR^{FL}) was loaded onto a StrepTrapHP column. After washing (W) the material was eluted with desthiobiotin directly into a HisTrap column. The imidazole-eluted material (E) was then loaded onto a Superose 6 10/300 gel filtration column (GF). 10 µl of each fraction was loaded onto the gel. The molecular weight markers (in kDa) are indicated on the left and the positions of the different proteins are indicated on the right. (D) Cryo-EM density maps of VgrG-Tle1 and VgrG-Tle1-PAAR complex. Left: Cryo-EM density maps of VgrG needle bound to Tle1 (in green) corresponding to a (VgrG)₃-(Tle3)₃ tip-to-tip dimer complex. Right: VgrG needle bound to Tle1 in the presence of the PAAR protein (in yellow). In the presence of PAAR, most particles correspond to VgrG needle bound to one or two Tle1 (represented here) and no VgrG needle tip-to-tip dimerization. 2D classes are presented in Fig. S1. (E) VgrG-Tle1-PAAR complex model. Alphafold2 co-folding model of the needle domain of VgrG (3 copies, green, yellow, red) with PAAR (blue) was aligned with the VgrG-Tle1 model (PDB:6SJL) merging on the needle domain. This model was fitted in the cryo-EM density maps (4.2 Å, two Tle1 visible).

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

Fig. 2. PAAR binds a zinc atom involving conserved His and Cys residues.

(A) Alignment of amino acid sequences of PAAR EAEC (EC042_4537), PAAR from E. coli CFT073 (c1882) and Vibrio cholerae (VCA0105). PAAR motifs are shown in green boxes, His and Cys residues involved in zinc binding characterized in (28) are indicated in black, below the alignment. H14, C41, H46 and C74, the predicted residues involved in metal binding in PAAR^{EAEC} are indicated in red above the alignment. (B) Alphafold2 model of PAAR highlighting His14, Cys41, His46 and Cys74 predicted metal binding residues. PAAR was co-modelled with VgrG needle part and only PAAR is shown here. (C) SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie blue staining (upper panel) and immunoblot using anti-His-Tag (lower panel) of purified wild type His-SUMO-PAAR proteins and mutated versions (His-SUMO-PAAR^{C41A}, His-SUMO-PAAR^{C74A} and His-SUMO-PAAR^{C41AC74A}). The molecular weight markers (in kDa) are indicated on the left. (D) Zinc content analysis using ICP-OES of purified His-SUMO-PAAR (WT) and cysteine mutants (His-SUMO-PAAR C41A, C41A; His-SUMO-PAAR C74A, C74; His-SUMO-PAAR^{C41AC74A}, C41AC74A). Statistical significance was calculated using Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). **** p<0.0001. Each value represents the mean of three technical replicates of two to four different fractions of two (C41A, C74A) to three different purifications preparations (WT, C41AC74A).

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

Fig. 3. PAAR is necessary for T6SS-1 mediated killing.

(A) Antibacterial competition assay by the *Colorimetric method*. The T6SS-1 function of the WT, $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ and $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ complemented with PAAR (PAAR⁺) or PAAR Cys and His mutant strains (using pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{VSVG} and corresponding PAAR Cys and His mutant plasmids derivatives) was tested by assessing their ability to kill W3110 *E. coli* K12 bacterial prey. Killing efficiency was monitored by observing degradation of yellow CPRG into purple CPR by free β -galactosidase released from lysed prey cells after being exposed to the predator

cells. CPR absorbance (A_{572nm}, upper graph) was measured from the spots (lower panel). The means of three biological replicates is indicated. The error bars represent standard deviation. Statistical significance was calculated using Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). **** p < 0.0001. **(B)** Antibacterial competition assay by the *Emergence time method*. The T6SS-1 function of the WT, $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ and $\Delta PAAR\Omega$ complemented with PAAR or PAAR-Cys and His-mutant strains was tested by assessing their ability to kill W3110 E. coli K12 bacterial prey. Killing efficiency was quantified by measuring the time (in hours) needed for prey cells exposed to predator cells to regrow in selective media to the $A_{600} = 0.4$. Statistical significance was calculated using Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test using GraphPad Prism. **** p<0.0001; *** p=0.0009; ** p= 0.0092; *p= 0.0401, ns=0.9711

Fig. 4. PAAR is necessary for T6SS assembly.

 $(\Delta T6SS \text{ vs. } \Delta PAAR\Omega)$, ns=0.9967 (PAAR⁺ vs. H14A).

(A) Fluorescence microscopy of EAEC *tssB-sfGFP* strains transformed with the empty vector pBAD₃₃ (*tssB-sfGFP* + pBAD), EAEC *tssB-sfGFP* Δ*PAAR*Ω*Kan* transformed with the empty vector pBAD₃₃ (*tssB-sfGFP* Δ*PAAR*Ω + pBAD) and EAEC *tssB-sfGFP* Δ*PAAR*Ω complemented in trans with pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{VSVG} plasmid (*tssB-sfGFP* Δ*PAAR*Ω + pBAD-PAAR). Phase contrast images are shown on the left (scale bar = 2 μm). Fluorescence images of TssB-sfGFP (green) and membrane dye FM4-64 (red) are shown on the right. Pictures taken every 30 sec are shown from left to right. White arrows indicate dynamic sheath assembly and/or contraction events. (B) Fluorescence microscopy of EAEC *tssB-sfGFP* Δ*PAAR*Ω*Kan* strain transformed with pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{H14A-VSVG}, pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{C41A-VSVG}, pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{H46A-VSVG} or pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{C74A-VSVG}. Phase contrast images are shown

on the left (scale bar = 2 μm). Fluorescence images of TssB-sfGFP (green) are shown on the right. Cells are outlined in white, white arrows indicate dynamic sheath assembly and/or contraction events. **(C)** Quantification of the number of extended sheaths per cell from the strains *tssB-sfGFP* + pBAD (B_{sfGFP}), *tssB-sfGFP* ΔPAARΩ + pBAD (B_{sfGFP}ΔPAAR), *tssB-sfGFP* ΔPAARΩ + pBAD-PAAR^{VSVG} (PAAR⁺), and *tssB-sfGFP* ΔPAARΩ transformed with pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{H14A-VSVG} (H14A), pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{C41A-VSVG} (C41A), pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{H46A-VSVG} (H46A) or pBAD₃₃-PAAR^{C74A-VSVG} (C74A) analyzed in A and B. The total number of analyzed cells (n) from 3 independent biological replicates is indicated. The error bars represent standard deviation.

Fig. 5. PAAR is necessary for TssK but not TssM localization.

(A) Fluorescence microscopy analysis of sfGFP-tssM and sfGFP-tssM $\Delta PAAR\Omega Kan$. Phase contrast images are shown on the left (scale bar = 2 μ m) and fluorescence images of sfGFP (green) are shown on the right. Cells are outlined in white, white arrows indicate fluorescent foci localized at the membrane. (B) Quantification of the number of foci per cell from sfGFP-tssM and sfGFP-tssM $\Delta PAAR\Omega Kan$. The total number of analyzed cells (n) from 3 independent biological replicates is indicated. The error bars represent standard deviation. (C) Fluorescence microscopy analysis of tssK-sfGFP and tssK-sfGFP $\Delta PAAR\Omega Kan$. Phase contrast images are shown on the left (scale bar = 2 μ m) and fluorescence images of sfGFP (green) are shown on the right. Cells are outlined in white, white arrows indicate fluorescent foci localized at the membrane. (D) Quantification of the number of foci per cell from tssK-sfGFP and tssK-sfGFP $\Delta PAAR\Omega Kan$. The total number of analyzed cells (n) from 3 independent biological replicates is indicated. The error bars represent standard deviation.

Fig. 6. A conical tip structure consolidated by a metal atom is conserved in different phages and bacterial injection systems.

Ribbon diagrams of VgrG(gp5C)-PAAR from EAEC, gp5-gp5.4 from T4 phage (PDB:5iv5), gpV from P2 phage (PDB:3aqj), gp138 from Phi92 phage (PDB:3pqi) and gpV from R2 pyocin (PDB:4s36). All β-prism spikes are capped and the tip is closed with coordinated metal. Structures are colored by chain.











