

### **Luminescence and mechanoluminescence of Ba4Si6O16:Eu2+, RE phosphors**

Alexis Duval, Yan Suffren, Mourad Benabdesselam, Patrick Houizot, Tanguy

Rouxel

### **To cite this version:**

Alexis Duval, Yan Suffren, Mourad Benabdesselam, Patrick Houizot, Tanguy Rouxel. Luminescence and mechanoluminescence of Ba4Si6O16:Eu2+, RE phosphors. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 2023, 159 (13), pp.134501. 10.1063/5.0167222. hal-04258645

### **HAL Id: hal-04258645 <https://hal.science/hal-04258645v1>**

Submitted on 11 Dec 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

3

### 1 **Luminescence and mechanoluminescence of**

### **Ba4Si6O16:Eu2+** <sup>2</sup>**,** *RE* **phosphors**

Alexis Duval<sup>1,a)</sup>, Yan Suffren<sup>2</sup>, Mourad Benabdesselam<sup>3</sup>, Patrick Houizot<sup>1</sup> and Tanguy

### 6 **AFFILIATIONS**

Alexis D<br>  $\frac{3}{2}$  Alexis D<br>
Rouxel<sup>1,4</sup><br>  $\frac{3}{2}$  Rouxel<sup>1,4</sup><br>  $\frac{3}{2}$  AFFILIA<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  Glass M<br>
Rennes, C<br>  $\frac{5}{2}$   $\frac{3}{2}$  PNSA R <sup>1</sup>Glass Mechanics Lab., IPR (Institut de Physique de Rennes), UMR 6251, University of 8 Rennes, Campus de Beaulieu, Rennes, France.

<sup>2</sup>INSA Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes), UMR 6226,  $10<sup>o</sup>$  University of Rennes, Campus de Beaulieu, Rennes, France.

**3** <sup>3</sup> INPHYNI (Institut de Physique de Nice), UMR 7010, Côte d'Azur University, Nice, France.<br>  $\frac{3}{5}$ 

 $\mathbb{E} \mathbb{E} \cong$ <sup>4</sup>Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France.

 $\frac{13}{3} \stackrel{\sim}{=}$ <sup>a)</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: <u>alexis.duval@univ-rennes.fr</u>

### **14 <del>E</del> ABSTRACT**<br>≝ E

The luminescence properties of green  $Ba_4Si_6O_{16}$ : $Eu^{2+}$ ,  $RE$  ( $RE = Sc$ , Y, La-Lu except Pm)  $\overline{16}$  phosphors are reported. Their long-lasting phosphorescence is discussed in view of trap depths 17 and concentrations determined from thermally stimulated luminescence experiments. A second 18 emission band centered at 439 nm was evidenced at low temperatures, which stems from the 19 substitution of  $Eu^{2+}$  in the two non-equivalent  $Ba^{2+}$  sites of Ba4Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>. The 20 mechanoluminescence properties of  $Ba_4Si_6O_{16}Eu^{2+}$ ,  $RE$  phosphors are described, and a new Alexis Duval<sup>1,24</sup>, Yan Suffren<sup>2</sup>. Mourad Benabdesscham<sup>2</sup>, Patrick Houizot<sup>1</sup> and Tanguy<br>
Rouxel<sup>1,4</sup><br>
EXERCATE TRANSING SECTION (The Unit of Physique de Rennes), UMR 6231, University of<br>
TRISA Rennes, Campus de Benulie

E AIP<br>E Publishing

# **26 <b>1. INTRODUCTION**<br> **E**

 $27$  The luminescence properties of oxides, oxynitrides and nitrides from the BaO-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> 28 system were reported in the past few years, such as  $BaSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> ( $\lambda_{em} = 500-505$  nm),$ 29 Ba3Si5O<sub>13</sub>-<sub>δ</sub>N<sub>δ</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 3</sup> (broad emission bands from 400 to 620 nm), Ba5Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>21</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 4 5 6</sup>  $\frac{30}{2}$  (  $\lambda_{em}$  = 473-480 nm), Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 7</sup> <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup> (  $\lambda_{em}$  = 496-515 nm), β-BaSiO<sub>3</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 6</sup> <sup>11</sup>  $\frac{1}{20}$  ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 560-565 nm), Ba<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 12 13 <sup>14 15</sup> ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 503-510 nm), Ba<sub>3</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 6 16 17 18 19</sup></sup>  $\frac{1}{22}$  (  $\lambda_{em}$  = 585-596 nm), BaSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 20</sup> <sup>21</sup> <sup>22</sup> (  $\lambda_{em}$  = 498-500 nm) or Ba<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>5</sub>N<sub>8</sub>:Eu<sup>2+ 23</sup>  $\overline{33}$  ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 585 nm). This chemical system offers a broad range of emission colors, ranging from  $34 -$  blue to orange. 45 Recall that elastico-mechanoluminescence is the emission of light resulting from an elastic This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI:** 10.1063/5.0167222

 $\overline{35}$   $\overline{5}$ . The luminescence properties of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup> were reported as early as the 60s, but were  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  mostly studied during the last 15 years with various luminescent centers such as Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Pr<sup>3+</sup> and  $\mathbb{E} \cong \mathbb{C}e^{3+8.24.25}$ . In the crystal, the rare-earths (*RE*) substitute for Ba<sup>2+</sup> ions thanks to close ionic radii  $\overline{38}$   $\overline{6}$   $\overline{26}$ . Its space group is *P*2<sub>1</sub>/*c*<sup>27</sup> (a = 12.477 Å, b = 4.685 Å, c = 13.944 Å,  $\beta$  = 93.54°, Z = 2),  $\overline{39}$   $\overline{\phantom{1}}$  which is similar to the non-standard  $P2_1/a$  formerly used <sup>8</sup>. Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup> is a potential 40 phosphor for applications in white light emitting diodes (*wLEDs*), but synthesis complications  $41$  including mixed phases like Ba<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>5</sub>O<sub>13</sub> and Ba<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>8</sub>O<sub>21</sub> and high sintering temperatures limit its  $42^{\circ}$  use  $^{10}$ .

43 Ba4Si6O16 exhibits an intense long-lasting phosphorescence (*LLP*) as well as 44 elastico-mechanoluminescence  $(EML)^{28}$  when doped with  $Eu^{2+}$ , in a similar way as BaSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>.

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

46 deformation. This phenomenon has recently aroused great interest, because of potential 47 applications of mechanoluminescent materials for energy storage or as stress sensors  $29$ . The 48 BaO-SiO2 binary diagram shows a glass-forming region with a BaO content from 20 to 49 50 mol.  $\%$  <sup>30</sup>, along with congruent crystallization at given BaO contents. This allowed the  $50$  formation of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup>-containing glass-ceramics exhibiting *EML* thanks to  $\overline{51}$  uniformly dispersed active crystals <sup>28</sup>. In our previous work (ref. <sup>28</sup>), we have reported 52 mechanoluminescence in a new glass-ceramic material mainly consisting of  $\overline{53}$  Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystalline phase. In this preliminary work, we focused on the choice for 54 the *RE* co-dopant and we have reported the first mechanical testing results, which revealed  $\frac{25}{25}$  significant differences with the behavior of SrAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>. The present manuscript  $56$  provides an in-depth investigation of the role of the co-dopant in the  $e^-$  trapping energetics and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  in the physics of the luminescence mechanism. *LLP* behavior as well as *EML* properties are  $58\%$  related to the characteristics of electron traps within the active crystalline phase. Trap depths  $59 -$  and concentrations were determined from thermally stimulated luminescence experiments.  $60 \leq$  Experiments with different loading rates and loading modes allow to propose a mechanism of  $\overrightarrow{64}$   $\overrightarrow{6}$  the *EML* phenomenon in the particular crystal. **e**r, the order versid<br>ECITE THIS ARTIC 50 formation of BaaSioO-Elu<sup>b</sup>, Ho<sup>13</sup>-containing glass-ceramics exhibiting *EML* thanks to<br>
significantly dispersed active crystals <sup>28</sup>. In our previous work (ccf. <sup>25</sup>), we have reported<br>
22<br>
mechanislaminescence in a

# **63 2. EXPERIMENTAL**<br>  $\frac{5}{2}$  **2. EXPERIMENTAL**

 $\widehat{B}$  Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals were synthesized starting from dried BaCO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich  $65$  99%), Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Dy<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Sc<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich 99.9%), SiO<sub>2</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich 66 99.5 %), Pr2O3, Sm2O3 (Fischer 99.9 %), Tb4O7 (Fischer 99.998 %), Tm2O3 (Alfa Aesar 67 99.9 %), Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>, Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Rhône-Poulenc 99.99 %), Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Acros 99.99 %), and  $68$  Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Er<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Rhône-Poulenc 99.999 %). Three series of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, RE crystals were

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

70 varying  $Eu^{2+}$ :*RE* ratio for  $RE = Pr^{3+}$  or  $Ho^{3+}$ , and iii) varying  $Eu^{2+}$ +*RE* quantity for  $RE = Ho^{3+}$ .

71 Stochiometric amounts were weighted and grounded during 15 minutes in ethanol in an agate 72 mortar, and then fired 6 h at 1300 °C in an alumina crucible under a N<sub>2</sub>:H<sub>2</sub> = 94:6 reducing 73 atmosphere. Each specimen was grounded into a fine powder.

The X-ray diffraction (*XRD*) patterns were collected using a PANalytical X'pert Pro  $\bar{75}$  diffractometer using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation (1.5418 Å).

76 Solid-state excitation and emission spectra were recorded using a Horiba Jobin-Yvon  $77$  Fluorolog III fluorescence spectrometer equipped with a Xe lamp operating at 450 W, a 78 UV-vis-nearIR photomultiplier (Hamamatsu R928, sensitivity 190 – 860 nm), or a  $79$  Horiba Jobin-Yvon FluoroMax-4 Plus fluorescence spectrometer equipped with a Xe lamp  $80$  operating at 150 W and a UV-vis photomultiplier (Hamamatsu R928, sensitivity  $81 \le 190 - 860$  nm). The luminescence spectra were realized on powder samples placed inside the  $\overline{82}$  solid-sample holder fixed in 30° rotation and blocked by a quartz blade. The X-ray diffraction (*XRD*) patterns were col<br>diffractometer using Cu-Ka radiation (1.5418 Å).<br>diffractometer using Cu-Ka radiation (1.5418 Å).<br>Solid-state excitation and emission spectra were<br>Fig. 5. Solid-state excita

 $\overline{\mathbb{S}^3}$  Emission and excitation spectra of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, H<sub>0</sub><sup>3+</sup> were recorded from 77 to 300 K in  $84 \overrightarrow{0}$  quartz cuvettes with an optical cryostat (OptistatCF from Oxford Inst.) coupled to a liquid 85  $\Omega$  nitrogen bath under a N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, and from 300 to 380 K in a solid holder using a F-3004  $86 \equiv$  Jobin-Yvon heating Peltier module.

 $87 -$  Appropriate filters were used to remove the residual excitation laser light, the Rayleigh 88 scattered light and associated harmonics from the spectra. All spectra were corrected for the 89 instrumental response function.

90 Solid-state UV-visible absorption measurements were obtained with a PerkinElmer Lambda

E Publishing

92 Quantum yield measurements were recorded using a G8 GMP integrating sphere according to: 93  $\Phi = (E_c - E_a)/(L_a - L_c)$ , where  $E_c$  is the integrated emission spectrum of the sample,  $E_a$  is the 94 integrated "blank" emission spectrum,  $L_a$  is the "blank" absorption, and  $L_c$  is the sample 95 absorption at the excitation wavelength. Samples were introduced in specific capillaries for a 96 G8 integrating sphere, and placed directly inside the integrating sphere.

97 Rapid luminescence decays were measured directly with the fluorescence spectrometer 98 connected to an additional time-correlated single photon counting (*TCSPC*) module and a 99 360 nm pulsed delta diode. Lifetimes and quantum yields are the averages of three independent 100 measurements.

 $\Theta$  Luminance, expressed in mcd·m<sup>-2</sup>, was measured on a 1.5 cm<sup>2</sup> sample pellet using a Konica  $\overline{12}$  Minolta LS-150 luminancemeter with an integration time of 3 s under UV irradiation  $\mathbb{G}$   $\bar{\otimes}$  ( $\lambda_{exc}$  = 365 nm) in a lab-made black box. The working distance between the luminancemeter  $04<sup>o</sup>$  and the pellet surface was 45 cm. The irradiation was realized with a lab-made source  $05\overline{9}$  constituted by 1 LED CUN66B1B from Roithner at 365 nm plugged in a Keysight  $106$  Technologies E36104B benchtop power supply that provides the desired current (mA) and  $107 \pm \infty$  voltage (V). The incident beam angle is 30° with respect to the normal of the pellet surface and  $108$  the distance *LED*-sample is 12 cm. In order to avoid saturation the current was fixed at 90 mA and the voltage at 3.32 V, with an effective power of 0.57 mW·cm<sup>-2</sup>. 96<br>
86 O8 integrating sphere, and placed directly inside the integrating sphere.<br>
87 Rapid luminoscence decays were measured directly with the fluorescence spectrometer<br>
87 Rapid luminoscence decays were measured directly

10 Thermally stimulated luminescence (*TSL*) experiments were performed from 293 to 573 K with a heating rate of  $1 \text{ K} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . The delay between the end of the UV illumination and reading was 112 40 s. During heating, the *TSL* signal was detected by means of a UV-visible photomultiplier  $13$  tube.

14 *LLP* emission spectra were collected by an optical multichannel analyzer (OMA), consisting of

E Publishing

etthline veitign of record

116 covering the range 200-1100 nm. An appropriate grating covering the 200-1100 nm range was

17 used.

18 *LLP* and *EML* experiments were performed on powder/epoxy composites, where each  $B_{44}Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, RE crystal was mixed with an epoxy resin (obtained by mixing EPOFIX resin)$ 120 Struers and EPOFIX hardener Struers with a 90:10 concentration by weight) with a final powder  $\overline{21}$  content of 20 wt. %. Mechanical tests were carried out on disks using a Shimadzu-AGS-X testing machine with a 10 kN load cell and a SiC pusher. The stress  $\sigma$  induced at the center of  $23$  a disk made in the composite material (epoxy + ceramic powder) during the diametral compression test is expressed as  $\sigma = \frac{2P}{\pi d l}$ compression test is expressed as  $\sigma = \frac{2P}{\pi d h}$ , where P is the load, d the diameter (11.5 mm) and h  $\overline{25}$  the height (6 mm) of the disk  $^{31}$ . The *LLP* intensity and the *EML* intensity were quantified  $\frac{26}{25}$  consistently using a Zyla 5.5 sCMOS Andor Technology high sensitivity camera, by irradiating  $27\frac{1}{27}$  each sample for 2 minutes using a 365 nm handheld UV light with an effective power of  $\overline{28} \leq 1.22$  mW·cm<sup>-2</sup> prior to mechanical loading. 20 Struers and EPOFIX hardener Struers with a 90:10 concentration by weight) with a final powder<br>content of 20 wt. %. Mechanical tests were carried out on disks using a Shimadza-AGS-X<br>testing machine with a 10 kN load cel

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **3.1 Luminescence properties of Ba4Si6O16:Eu2+** 131 **,** *RE*

In a Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub> crystal, SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are corner-sharing to form 2-periodic single chains <sup>27</sup><br> $\frac{32}{13}$  In a Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub> crystal, SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra are corner-sharing to form 2-periodic single chains <sup>27</sup>  $\overline{33}$  [FIG. 1](#page-7-0) (a). Three single chains are linked into triple chains, running along [010] with a 21 34 symmetry, therefore forming 6-membered rings  $5^7$ . Two non-equivalent VIII-coordinated Ba<sup>2+</sup>  $35$  sites exist in Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>, where Ba(1) is located in between two triple chains while Ba(2) is 136 located between two single chains.

Three series of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals were designed as to study both the incidence of the

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Le AIP<br>Le Publishing

139 *XRD* patterns confirmed the sole crystallization of Ba4Si6O16 in each sample [FIG. 1](#page-7-0) (b)**.** The 140 excitation spectra were similar with a change of *RE*, extending up to 420 nm with a maximum 41 at  $\lambda_{max}$  = 329 nm [FIG. 2](#page-8-0) (a). Just like BasSisO<sub>21</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+ 5</sup>, such broad excitation spectra 42 make it possible to activate  $Ba_4Si_6O_{16}:Eu^{2+}$ , *RE* phosphors simply through sunlight irradiation.



144 **FIG. 1.** (a) Crystal structure of Ba4Si6O16. Green, yellow, and blue polyhedra correspond to

Le AIP<br>Le Publishing



*RE* crystal, here when  $RE = Ho^{3+}$  (orange), and the standard *XRD* pattern of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub> (black).

148 An excitation wavelength of 365 nm was chosen for the following measurements to remain 149 consistent with the *EML* experiments discussed later in the article. The emission spectra consist 50 of a broad emission band from 400 to 700 nm characteristic of the  $4f^65d^1 \rightarrow 4f^7$  transition of  $\overline{51}$  Eu<sup>2+</sup>, with a maximum ranging from 516 to 526 nm. While its position is *RE*-dependent, no  $\overline{52}$  conclusions could be obtained regarding its trend. All Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals exhibited a green emission, with CIE coordinates  $x = 0.302$ ,  $y = 0.478$  when  $RE = Ho^{3+}$  [FIG. 2](#page-8-0) (b).



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**FIG. 2.** (a) Excitation spectrum of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup>, and emission spectra of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals (b) CIE chromaticity coordinates of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> (c) 56 Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals (b) CIE chromaticity coordinates of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> (c)

58 crystals with a fixed Eu:Ho ratio, and in Ba<sub>4-y</sub>Eu<sub>y</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>15.85</sub>N<sub>0.1</sub> crystals <sup>7</sup> (d) Absorption 59 spectrum of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup>.

60 In the literature, the  $\lambda_{max}$  position of the broad emission band of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals 161 ranges from 494 up to 526 nm. Indeed, its position is slightly redshifted when the europium 62 concentration is increased<sup>7</sup>. Here, the emission maximum of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> crystals with 63 a fixed Eu:Ho ratio but with increasing europium concentrations from 1 to 3 cat. % increases from 517 to 525 nm [FIG. 2](#page-8-0) (c). Typically,  $Eu^{2+}$  concentrations from 0.08 to 1.5 cat. % lead to  $65$  emission maxima ranging from 495 to 505 nm  $9^{10}$  32 33, while higher concentrations up to  $66\quad$  4 cat. % lead to a maximum emission at 526 nm<sup>7</sup>. Hence, the reported emission color of  $\hat{G}$  Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* phosphors ranges from bluish-green to green, and can easily be tuned by 68 choosing the right *RE* co-doping as well as  $Eu^{2+}$  concentration. The higher the  $Eu^{2+}$  $69<sup>o</sup>$  concentration is and the smaller the distance between the europium ions becomes, which means  $\overrightarrow{B}$   $\overrightarrow{C}$  that the probability of energy transfer from higher-energy 5d levels Eu<sup>2+</sup> ions to lower-energy  $\overline{B}$ . 5d levels Eu<sup>2+</sup> ions increases, resulting in the end in an emission band shifting towards longer  $\mathbb{Z}$   $\cong$  wavelength <sup>9</sup>.<br>  $\cong$   $\cong$   $\cong$   $\cong$ Example 12<br>
Solution is increas<br>
a fixed Eu:Ho ratio but<br>
from 517 to 525 nm FI<br>
emission maxima rang<br>
4 cat. % lead to a max<br>
BasSi<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* phe<br>
choosing the right *Ri*<br>
different is and the<br>
different in a

 $\overline{B}$   $\cong$  Several absorption peaks were observed when  $RE = Pr^{3+}$ , Nd<sup>3+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup>, or Er<sup>3+</sup>, with positions at  $\overline{74}$   $\overline{1}$  538, 547, and 638 nm in the case of Ho<sup>3+</sup> corresponding to transitions from the ground state <sup>5</sup>I<sub>8</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  to <sup>5</sup>F<sub>4</sub>, <sup>5</sup>S<sub>2</sub>, and <sup>5</sup>F<sub>5</sub> respectively <sup>34</sup>. The absorption spectrum of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> revealed  $\overline{76}$ <sup> $\overline{ }$ </sup> both the broad absorption band of Eu<sup>2+</sup> at short wavelength (< 400 nm), as well as various Ho<sup>3+</sup>  $177$  intraconfigurational transitions [FIG. 2](#page-8-0) (d). The absorption and the quantum efficiency were  $\frac{78}{18}$  estimated at 79 ± 10 % and 17 ± 10 % respectively with  $\lambda_{exc}$  = 365 nm. Li *et al.* 7 reported a 179 similar absorption (80 %) but a considerably higher quantum efficiency (46 %) in

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

82 be fitted using equation  $(1)$ :

83 
$$
I(t) = A_1 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right) + A_2 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_2}\right) \tag{1}
$$

84 Where  $I(t)$  is the luminescence intensity at a given time t,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are constants, and  $\tau_1$  and  $85$   $\tau_2$  are time constants describing the luminescence decay. Two regimes are observed in the  $\frac{86}{186}$  beginning for a duration of about 200 s : a rapid one at t < 200 ns followed by a slower one, 87 corresponding respectively to  $\tau_1 = 0.107 \pm 0.002$  µs and  $\tau_2 = 1.140 \pm 0.004$  µs [FIG. 3](#page-12-0) (a),  $\frac{88}{8}$  suggesting that in both cases the luminescent center is  $Eu^{2+}$  while the *RE* act as sensitizers.  $\overline{89}$  Yang *et al.* <sup>10</sup> also identified two lifetimes in Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals when *RE* = Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>,  $\mathfrak{B}$  or Ho<sup>3+</sup>, however these lifetimes are significantly larger than those reported here ( $\tau_1 = 4 \,\mu s$  and  $\overrightarrow{2}$   $\overrightarrow{2}$  = 30.3 µs). In addition, Chen *et al.* <sup>8</sup> observed a decrease of the effective decay time with an  $\mathcal{D}_\infty$  increase of the europium content in Ba<sub>3.86</sub>-ySi<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:0.07Ce<sup>3+</sup>,0.07Li<sup>+</sup>, yEu<sup>2+</sup>, which suggests that  $\mathfrak{B} \cong$  a variation of the Eu<sup>2+</sup>:*RE* ratio and/or Eu<sup>2+</sup>+*RE* quantity induces a visible change of the  $\mathfrak{B}$   $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  specimens lifetime. This was attributed to energy transfers between  $RE^{3+}$  co-dopants and Eu<sup>2+</sup>. 84<br>
Where  $I(t)$  is the luminescence intensity at a given time  $t$ ,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are constants,<br>  $\tau_2$  are time constants describing the luminescence decay. Two regimes are observed<br>
85<br>
57 are time constants describin

 $95 \approx$  However, the kinetics of the *LLP* decay depends significantly on the selected *RE* [FIG. 3](#page-12-0) (b).  $\mathfrak{F} \equiv$  While the steady-state luminescence (*SSL*) intensity is roughly similar whatever the *RE*, the  $\overline{97}$   $\overline{6}$  *LLP* intensity changes significantly. For example, it is increased by two orders of magnitude as  $\frac{1}{98}$   $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$  Ho<sup>3+</sup> substitutes Sm<sup>3+</sup>. The *RE* leading to the highest *LLP* intensity at times smaller than 250 s  $99$  are, in descending order, Ho<sup>3+</sup> Nd<sup>3+</sup> Pr<sup>3+</sup> and Er<sup>3+</sup>. The luminescence of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup>  $\overline{00}$  is visible to the naked eye beyond a day after the excitation source is stopped. [FIG. 3](#page-12-0) (c) displays photographs at various times of the *LLP* decay in the case of  $Ba_4Si_6O_{16}Eu^{2+}$ ,  $Ho^{3+}$ .



# S Chemical Physi The Journal of

# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



 $\geq$ 

Chemical Physi

The Journal of

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**FIG. 3.** (a) Rapid-decay of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals for  $RE = Ho^{3+}$  or  $Er^{3+}$  (b) *LLP* decay of 04 Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals (c) Photographs of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> taken at various times 205 after the excitation source is stopped, excited beforehand 2 minutes by a 365 nm UV light. Each 206 disk is 1 cm wide.

 $\dot{C}$  The afterglow intensity of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, H<sub>O</sub><sup>3+</sup> drops by two orders of magnitude as soon as  $\overline{08}$  the excitation source is stopped [FIG. 4.](#page-13-0) It is smaller by about 80 % than the one of the  $\mathbb{Q}$  well-known SrAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>. Yang *et al.* <sup>10</sup> reported a significantly higher afterglow  $\overline{10}$  intensity of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup>, well above those of previously reported Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, RE  $\overline{H}$  crystals and noticeably stronger than that of SrAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>.



7

Chemical Physi

The Journal of

Le Publishing

 $\frac{1}{1}$ 

<sup>21</sup> *TSL* experiments provide key elements to better understand the *LLP* intensity and decay, such 18 as the trap depths and distributions. Trap depth E and concentration  $n_0$  can be calculated from the *TSL* intensity  $I(T)$  according to <sup>38</sup>:<br>  $\frac{5}{9}$ 

$$
I(T) = \left[ \left( \frac{(l-1)s}{\beta} \right) \times \int_{T_0}^T \exp\left( -\frac{E}{k_B T} \right) dT + 1 \right]^{-\frac{l}{l-1}} sn_0 \exp\left( -\frac{E}{k_B T} \right) \tag{2}
$$

 $\frac{2}{2}$ <br>221 Where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, *T* the temperature, *l* the kinetic order, *s* the frequency  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\geq 2}$  factor, and  $\beta$  the heating rate (1 K·s<sup>-1</sup>). E, l and s were deduced according to previously

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

<span id="page-14-0"></span>226 (b) Zoom-in of the *TSL* measurements highlighting the recurring 398 and 523 K *TSL* peaks (c)

*TSL* measurements of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> carried out with various delay times.

28 In Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals, three recurring *TSL* peaks were observed [FIG. 5](#page-14-0) (a) and FIG. 5 229 (b). The first one lies in the 303-363 K region, while the second and third ones are  $\overline{30}$  systematically located at temperatures of 398 K and 523 K respectively. The only exceptions are i)  $RE = Dy^{3+}$ , for which the width of the first peak suggests two combined peaks ii)  $Er^{3+}$ ,  $\overline{32}$  which also exhibits two peaks in the 303-363 K region, and iii)  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  that exhibits a third peak 233 at 473 K. Such a recurrence in the peak position hints that the nature of those traps is similar 234 regardless of the *RE* co-doping. Further experiments would be required to elucidate the physical  $\frac{35}{25}$  and structural origins of these electron traps.

 $\overline{36}$   $\overline{\phantom{0}}$  In addition, *TSL* experiments performed with different delay times with *RE* = Ho<sup>3+</sup> evidenced  $\overline{37}$  a gradual shift from the first *TSL* peak towards higher temperatures [FIG. 5](#page-14-0) (c), which implies  $38\frac{1}{2}$  that at least for this co-dopant there is actually a distribution of trap depths rather than a discrete  $39 -$  energy level. This is consistent with preliminary *DFT* calculations performed on the Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>  $40 \div$  crystal, which also show the presence of a distribution of energy levels arising from oxygen  $\overline{A}$  vacancies <sup>28</sup>. This distribution of energies would extend from at least  $E_{C_3} = 0.694$  to  $\frac{10}{26}$   $E_{C_1} = 0.924$  eV, where  $C_3$  is the top and  $C_1$  the bottom of the trap distribution. It is slightly  $\frac{3}{2}$  shallower than that reported by Yang *et al.* (which extended from 0.602 to 1.156 eV) <sup>10</sup>, where 244 its deepness was possibly overestimated because of the close second *TSL* peak at 398 K. As a  $\overline{45}$  matter of fact, short (< 500 s) and long time (up to 60000 s) luminescence decay measured at 246 the peak emission wavelength would suggest that this distribution is close to a gaussian form 29 (b). The first one lies in the 303-363 K region, while the second and third ones are systematically located at temperatures of 398 K and 523 K respectively. The only exceptions are i)  $R/E = Dy^2$ , for which the width of

E AIP<br>E Publishing

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT





<span id="page-15-0"></span> $\overline{\mathbb{S}}$   $\cong$  **FIG. 6.** *LLP* decay (< 60000 s) of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> fitted with various distribution shapes.  $52 \div$  The inset shows the *LLP* decay at short times (< 500 s).

In order to get more insight into the *LLP* behavior of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals at the scale 254 of a few minutes, only traps with a depth of 1 eV at most need to be considered, which 255 correspond to the first peak in the 303-363 K region. Thus, the second and third peaks, which 256 correspond to deeper traps are excluded from this study. The high sensitivity camera used for <sup>25</sup><br>257 *LLP* experiments does not allow to quantify the luminescence intensity immediately after the 258 excitation source is stopped. Therefore, the luminescence intensity at an arbitrarily chosen time

Le AIP<br>Explishing

260 excellent agreement between the *LLP* behavior and the traps depths and concentrations as 61 determined from the spectra in [FIG. 5](#page-14-0) by means of equation (2): the Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals 262 with the strongest afterglow intensity are the ones with the largest concentrations of traps with 263 depths ranging from 0.65 to 0.85 eV, a region where the relaxation kinetics to the fundamental 64 state is of the order of a few minutes to several days.



<span id="page-16-0"></span>**FIG. 7.** Trap depth and concentration of the various Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals determined from equation (2). from equation  $(2)$ .

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

This is the author's peer reviel acc

### 269 **3.2 Eu(1) and Eu(2) emission bands**

E AIP<br>E Publishing

70 As europium ions are incorporated in Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub> crystals, Eu<sup>2+</sup> will occupy the two 71 non-equivalent  $Ba^{2+}$  sites. It was proposed that, because both  $Ba(1)$  and  $Ba(2)$  sites are 72 coordinated by eight oxygen atoms  $27$ , the two europium ions environments are relatively  $\bar{7}3$  similar, in agreement with the fact that two broad emission bands with close emission maximum  $\mathbb{Z}^4$  are observed  $^{7}$  <sup>10 32</sup>. Indeed, while the 4f electrons of divalent europium are quite insensitive to  $\overline{75}$  their surroundings, this is not the case of the 5d electrons <sup>32</sup>. Consistently, the broad emission <sup>26</sup> band could be deconvoluted into two bands at  $\lambda_{max} = 500$  and 550 nm, corresponding to the  $\overline{17}$  two non-equivalent europium sites, namely Eu(1) and Eu(2) <sup>32</sup>. This is supported by i) a shift 278 of the broad emission band maximum towards longer wavelength when the delay time  $\overline{79}$  increases, which suggests the existence of two different lifetimes for each site <sup>32</sup>, and ii) a  $\bigotimes_{m=1}^{\infty}$  significantly different excitation spectra when  $\lambda_{em} = 500$  or 550 nm.

 $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$   $\cong$  Thermoluminescence experiments performed in this work from 77 to 380 K support another  $82 \div$  interpretation. When the emission spectra are measured at temperatures as low as 77 K, one can  $83 \pm 0$  observe a second emission band appearing at  $\lambda_{max} = 439$  nm, in addition to the green emission 84  $\approx$  band at  $\lambda_{max}$  = 521 nm [FIG. 8](#page-19-0) (a). The intensity of this blue emission band gradually decreases  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$  up to 200 K where it is no longer visible, while the thermal quenching of the green emission  $86 \mu$  band starts at 160 K but is not yet completed at 380 K. Furthermore, excitation spectra collected  $\frac{38}{87}$  at 77 K with  $\lambda_{em}$  = 500, 521, or 550 nm are identical [FIG. 8](#page-19-0) (b), but all-three were notably  $\frac{888}{2}$  different from the one obtained with  $\lambda_{em} = 439$  nm. Indeed, the latter is shifted towards shorter 289 wavelengths and its behavior in the 330-400 nm region is clearly unalike. This is in  $90$  contradiction with what was previously reported <sup>32</sup>. This suggests that i) the blue and green emission bands are of different nature, which is clearly outlined when  $\lambda_{exc} = 312$  nm, and ii) 73 similar, in agreement with the fact that two broad emission bands with close en<br>
27 are observed <sup>719-72</sup>. Indeed, while the 4f electrons of divalent europium are qu<br>
27 the surroundings, this is not the case of the 5d



**Chemical Physics** The Journal of

# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT





299 The interpretation proposed in this work is supported by emission spectra measured at various  $\widetilde{00}$  times after the excitation source is stopped, where no shift of the emission maximum was  $\overline{0}$  observed [FIG. 8](#page-19-0) (b), which was already noted in another study <sup>45</sup>. The previously observed shift  $\dot{\Omega}$  is likely resulting from the presence of β-BaSiO<sub>3</sub> as a secondary phase, which is revealed by  $\bullet$  the presence of a peak on the *XRD* pattern at 26 $\degree$  [FIG. 1.](#page-7-0) The persistent luminescence properties 04 of this crystal are known <sup>11</sup> and an emission maximum at  $\lambda_{max} = 560$  nm was identified, which  $65<sup>o</sup>$  explains the shift of the emission maximum towards longer wavelengths with time.

<span id="page-19-0"></span> $\overline{\mathbb{G}} \cong$  It is thus concluded that the two non-equivalent Eu<sup>2+</sup> sites are sufficiently different to give birth  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq$  to two emission bands at low temperatures. Indeed, the Ba(1) polyhedron is more distorted than  $08 \div$  the Ba(2) one <sup>27</sup>, so that an 82 nm difference between the two emission bands maximum is  $\overline{39}$   $\leq$  observed. It was proposed that the higher distortion degree of the Ba(1) polyhedra would result  $\overline{10}$  in a weaker crystal field of Ba(1) than that of Ba(2) <sup>10</sup>, thus, the broad emission band of Eu(1)  $\frac{13}{12}$   $\frac{11}{12}$  would be in a shorter wavelength region than that of Eu(2)<sup>46</sup>, *i. e.* the blue emission band would  $\overline{12}$  correspond to Eu(1) and the green one to Eu(2). However, it is still not clear as to why the External quenching of Eu(1) occurs at much lower temperatures than that of Eu(2).<br>  $\frac{50}{90}$ <br>
The thermoluminescence behavior of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> is very similar to that of 99<br>
• Source is stopped.<br>
• The interpretation proposed in this work is supported by emission spectra measured at various<br>
• Cherved FIG. 8 (b), which was already noted in another study <sup>42</sup>. The previously observed shi

14 The thermoluminescence behavior of  $Ba_4Si_6O_{16}$ : $Eu^{2+}$ ,  $Ho^{3+}$  is very similar to that of monoclinic  $\mathbb{S}$  SrAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>. In the latter, a blue emission band also appears at low temperatures  $\frac{15}{\odot}$  ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 445 nm) which arises from the presence of two non-equivalent Sr<sup>2+</sup> sites in the crystal structure <sup>47</sup>. In addition, the asymmetric green emission band of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> is shifted

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

18 to larger wavelengths just like the green emission band ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 520 nm) of strontium 19 aluminate. In this latter case, this was discussed by Botterman *et al.* <sup>47</sup> in terms of the centroid 320 shift and crystal field splitting.

321

### **3.3 Mechanoluminescence properties of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>,** *RE*

 $\overline{23}$  The *EML* response of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE*/epoxy composites, obtained by subtracting the *LLP* 24 intensity as recorded at rest (*i. e.* at  $\sigma = 0$  MPa) to the mechanically-loaded specimen, was  $25$  characterized by means of the diametral compression tests [FIG. 9](#page-21-0) (a). Each test was performed  $\overline{26}$  with a 0.37 MPa·s<sup>-1</sup> stress rate. It is recalled that the *EML* intensity is proportional to both the stress and the stress rate <sup>48</sup> 327 . In a similar way as with the previous *LLP* measurements, the *RE*  $28\%$  co-doping has a pronounced effect on the intensities of the *EML* signal. The largest intensities  $\frac{29}{28}$  are obtained with  $RE = Ho^{3+}$ ,  $Pr^{3+}$ ,  $Er^{3+}$ , or Nd<sup>3+</sup> [FIG. 9](#page-21-0) (b). The mechanical stress was applied  $39^{\circ}$  90 s after the excitation source was stopped, as longer delays resulted in smaller *EML* intensity.  $\overline{31}$   $\overline{6}$  This suggests that the charge carriers involved in the *EML* of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* crystals  $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq$  slowly recombine with the luminescent center with time, and are thus also participating in the  $33 \triangleq LLP$  at the scale of several minutes/hours. 3.3 Mechanoluminescence properties of Ba,Si,O<sub>10</sub>:Eu<sup>34</sup>, *RE*<br>
<sup>22</sup>2<br>
<sup>22</sup>2<br>
The *EML* response of Ba,Si,O<sub>10</sub>:Eu<sup>34</sup>, *RE*/epoxy composites, obtained by subtracting the *LLP*<br>
intensity as recorded at rest (*i. e.* at

 $\begin{array}{l}\n\text{S} \\
\text{S} \\
\text{S} \\
\text{S} \\
\text{I} \\
\text{S} \\
\text$  $\overline{\overset{5}{35}}$   $\overline{\overset{6}{\phantom{12}}}$  (c). Various mechanisms were proposed to explain the mechanically-induced luminescence <sup>29</sup>, 336 among which those involving piezoelectricity are the most popular. It is supposed that, as a  $\overline{33}$  stress field is applied, the trap depths are changed thanks to piezoelectricity, ultimately altering  $38$  the charge carriers recombination kinetics. Although Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub> is centrosymmetric (space 339 group *P*21/*c*) and should therefore not exhibit piezoelectricity, centrosymmetry might be broken 340 at the local scale by the presence of defects, so that piezoelectricity can subsequently be observed <sup>29</sup>. In Ba<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, the stress is assumed to induce a decrease of a trap depth

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

Chemical Physi

The Journal of

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

42 leading to an increased luminescence intensity <sup>20</sup>. In the SrAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup> compound, a 343 mechanism with three discrete traps allowed to explain an increase in the luminescence 44 intensity both during the loading and the unloading stages  $49$ . This correlation is therefore 345 consistent with this latter mechanism: a larger trap concentration with depths ranging from 0.65 346 to 0.85 eV is assumed i) to result in a stronger *LLP* intensity, but also ii) in a stronger *EML*  $\overline{47}$  intensity, as more charge carriers will be able to recombine with the luminescent center when  $\ddot{\text{48}}$  the trap depth decreases.



<span id="page-21-0"></span>**FIG. 9.** (a) *EML* intensity of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE*/epoxy composites (b) Peak *EML* intensity of Ba4Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE*/epoxy composites (c) Peak *EML* intensity plotted as a function of the 52 luminescence intensity at 250 s (d) *EML* intensity of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup>/epoxy composite 53 with stress rates of 1.8 and 8.8 MPa·s<sup>-1</sup>.

 $\overline{54}$  Regarding the Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> compound, its *EML* behavior contrasts with the one of SrAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>. It is particularly outlined with high stress rates (here with 8.8 MPa·s<sup>-1</sup>),

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

356 where *EML* is more intense and therefore better resolved [FIG. 9](#page-21-0) (d). It is noteworthy that the 57 *EML* behavior of the Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, H<sub>O</sub><sup>3+</sup>/epoxy composite is identical to that of a 58 mechanoluminescent glass-ceramic with the same cationic composition  $28$ . The mechanical 359 loading and its holding lead to an increase in the luminescence intensity, while the unloading 360 leads to a decrease of the luminescence intensity. Changes in irradiation time lead to an increase  $\tilde{6}$  in both the luminescence and the mechanoluminescence intensity.

362 In particular, *TSL* experiments provide insight into the *EML* mechanism. Based on the existence 363 of an electron trap distribution, we can propose an energy level scheme for  $\overline{64}$  Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> [FIG. 10.](#page-23-0) Although the identified charge carriers (holes and/or electrons)  $\overrightarrow{65}$  involved in the afterglow of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, *RE* phosphors are still unknown <sup>32</sup>, it is assumed 366 that electrons are the key players. Traps corresponding to the 398 K and 523 K *TSL* peaks are  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  too deep to contribute to the *EML* effect. The Eu<sup>2+</sup> ions electronic configuration changes from  $\mathbb{S}$  is the ground state 4f<sup>7</sup> to the 4f<sup>6</sup>5d<sup>1</sup> excited state under UV light. Then, the electron can escape to  $\mathbb{S}^n$  the conduction band and eventually reaches energy levels located in the band gap, leaving  $\dot{\mathcal{B}} \supseteq$  behind Eu<sup>3+</sup> ions. The main effect of the mechanical loading is to change the depth of the trap  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$   $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$  distribution, *i. e.* the  $C_3$  and/or the  $C_1$  trap depth decreases [\(FIG. 10](#page-23-0) illustrates the scenario  $\overline{22}$  where the depth of the whole trap distribution decreases). The recombination probability is  $\frac{1}{23}$  therefore higher and an increase of the luminescence intensity follows. However, the  $\mathbb{R}^{\square}$  mechanical loading empties the stocked charge carriers at a much higher rate than it would have  $\overline{75}$  at rest. As a matter of fact, a smaller luminescence intensity is observed after the stress is 376 relieved. This model provides an explanation to the observed *EML* during both mechanical  $377$  loading and unloading, in consistency i) with the *EML* mechanisms involving changes in trap 378 depths through piezoelectricity, and ii) the decrease in the *EML* intensity with long delays prior 60<br>
leads to a decrease of the luminescence intensity. Chan<br>
in both the luminescence and the mechanoluminescence<br>
In particular, *TSL* experiments provide insight into the<br>
of an electron trap distribution, we can pro-<br>

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Le AIP<br>E Publishing

<span id="page-23-0"></span>septed **Wa**nuscr



r, the @ne version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.<br>CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0167222 **FIG. 10.** Energy level scheme of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> under mechanical stress. For the sake **38**  $\frac{10}{36}$  **FIG. 10.** Energy level scheme of Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> under mechanical stress.

### 384 **4. CONCLUSION**

The luminescence properties of  $Ba_4Si_6O_{16}Eu^{2+}$ ,  $RE$  crystals, as well as their *EML* properties, 386 were studied. A change in the *RE* co-doping leads to small changes in the emission wavelength,

392 Emission spectra measured at low temperatures revealed a second emission band  $\mathfrak{B}$  ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 439 nm) in addition to the green emission band ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 521 nm). They stem from Eu<sup>2+</sup> ions located in the two non-equivalent  $Ba^{2+}$  sites in Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>, in a similar way as in 95 SrAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>.

 $\overline{96}$  *TSL* experiments unveiled a trap distribution from 0.694 to 0.924 eV in Ba<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>16</sub>:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Ho<sup>3+</sup> 397 that allowed, with *EML* experiments, to build an energy level scheme of the ongoing *EML*  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}_{\leq}$  mechanism. Mechanical loading decreases the depth of the trap distribution, resulting in a faster  $99\bar{\,\,\sim\,}$  release of the charge carriers and ultimately in an increase of the luminescence intensity. During  $00^\circ$  unloading, the trap distribution returns to its initial position but with significantly less charge  $\Theta \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  carriers, which is exhibited by a drop of the luminescence intensity *i. e.* by a negative *EML*  $02 \rightarrow$  intensity. Emission spectra 1<br>  $\frac{62}{35}$  Emission spectra 1<br>  $(\lambda_{max} = 439 \text{ nm})$  in a<br>
ions located in the<br>
STAlzO4:Eu<sup>2+</sup>, Dy<sup>3+</sup>.<br>
TSL experiments unv<br>
that allowed, with *E*<br>  $\frac{62}{35}$  mechanism. Mechani<br>
that allowed, with *E* 

## **04 <sup>O</sup> ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**<br> **EXECUTED**<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{10}{2}$  We acknowledge financial support from Région Bretagne and from the European Research 06 Council (ERC Adv. Grant "DAMREG").

### 408 **AUTHOR DECLARATIONS**

the onli**63** versio<br>ITE THIS ARTIC

as epted n

412 **Author Contributions** 

411

 $\frac{1}{2}$  iii be  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

**Alexis Duval:** Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (lead); Investigation (lead); 414 Methodology (lead); Writing – original draft (lead). **Yan Suffren:** Conceptualization (equal);  $\overline{5}$  Data curation (lead); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Writing – review & editing 416 (equal). **Mourad Benabdesselam:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (lead); 417 Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Patrick**  418 **Houizot:** Conceptualization (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision 419 (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Tanguy Rouxel:** Conceptualization (equal);  $42\%$  Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **13**<br> **Alexis Duval:** Conceptualization (lead); Data curati<br>
Methodology (lead); Writing – original draft (lead). **Yan S**<br>
Data curation (lead); Investigation (equal): Methodology (cqual).<br> **Mourad Benabdesselam:** Concept

### 422 **DATA AVAILABILITY**

 $\mathbb{R}^3$   $\leq$  The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and from the  $\frac{24}{5}$   $\frac{\cancel{0}}{\cancel{0}}$  corresponding author upon reasonable request.<br>  $\frac{\cancel{0}}{\cancel{0}}$   $\stackrel{...}{\equiv}$ 

# . However, the or<br>PLEASE CITE T  $26$  ORCID

427 Alexis Duval iD https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9192-2454

428 Yan Suffren iD https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6338-8274

29 Mourad Benabdesselam iD https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9207-2938

E AIP<br>E Publishing

### 433 **REFERENCES**

32

yedit

versio

from

ē

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

This is the aut<sup>g</sup>

<sup>34</sup> <sup>1</sup> X. Meng, H. Li, Z. Wang, Y. Li, H. Lin, S. Liu, and Y. He, Mater. Lett. **297**, 129928 (2021).

 $3\overline{5}$  <sup>2</sup> C. Donghua, W. Hui, W. Hongjun, and Y. Weigiang, J. Semicond. **36**, (2015).

 $36$ <sup>3</sup> R. Zhang, T. Maeda, R. Maruta, S. Kusaka, B. Ding, K. ichiro Murai, and T. Moriga, J. 437 Solid State Chem. **183**, 620 (2010). **34**<br> **1 X. Meng, H**<br> **2 C. Donghua**<br> **3 R. Zhang, T**<br> **3 R. Zhang, T**<br> **5 Did State CI**<br> **3 R. Zhang, T**<br> **5 Did State CI**<br> **4 Z. Dong, Y.**<br> **5 P. Wang, X.**<br> **5 P. Wang, X.**<br> **5 P. Wang, X.**<br> **5 P. Wang, X.**<br> **5 P. Wang,** 

<sup>4</sup> 438 Z. Dong, Y. Qin, Y. Yang, D. Zhou, X. Xu, and J. Qiu, J. Rare Earths **34**, 453 (2016).

<sup>5</sup> 439 P. Wang, X. Xu, D. Zhou, X. Yu, and J. Qiu, Inorg. Chem. **54**, 1690 (2015).

 $\overline{40}$   $\overline{6}$  <sup>6</sup> I.S. Cho, D.K. Yim, C.H. Kwak, J.S. An, H.S. Roh, and K.S. Hong, J. Lumin. **132**, 375  $44 \equiv (2012)$ .

<sup>7</sup> 442 Y. Li, Y. Fang, N. Hirosaki, R.J. Xie, L. Liu, T. Takeda, and X. Li, Materials (Basel). **3**,  $\frac{1}{43}$   $\frac{1}{42}$  1692 (2010).

**44**  $\overline{\mathbb{E}}$  <sup>8</sup> M. Chen, Z. Xia, M.S. Molokeev, and Q. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. C **3**, 12477 (2015).

 $\frac{15}{45}$   $\frac{13}{45}$   $\frac{9}{45}$  W.-S. Song, H.-J. Kim, Y.-S. Kim, and H. Yang, J. Electrochem. Soc. **157**, J319 (2010).<br>  $\frac{15}{45}$   $\frac{13}{45}$   $\frac{12}{45}$   $\frac{12}{45}$   $\frac{12}{45}$   $\frac{12}{45}$   $\frac{12}{45}$   $\frac{12}{45}$ 

<sup>10</sup> Z. Yang, Y. Hu, L. Chen, and X. Wang, Opt. Mater. (Amst). **35**, 1264 (2013).<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>
<sup>11</sup> Y. Jia, W. Sun, R. Pang, T. Ma, D. Li, H. Li, S. Zhang, J. Fu, L. Jiang, and C.

<sup>11</sup> Y. Jia, W. Sun, R. Pang, T. Ma, D. Li, H. Li, S. Zhang, J. Fu, L. Jiang, and C. Li, Mater. 448 Des. **90**, 218 (2016).

<sup>12</sup> 449 X. Li, Y. Liang, F. Yang, Z. Xia, W. Huang, and Y. Li, J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. **24**, 3199 (2013).

E Publishing

- <sup>13</sup> 451 M. Zhang, J. Wang, Q. Zhang, W. Ding, and Q. Su, **42**, 33 (2007).
- $14$  D. Kim, T.H. Kim, T.E. Hong, J. Bae, C.H. Kim, J. Kim, S. Kim, K. Jeon, and J. Park,
- 453 Materials (Basel). **13**, 1859 (2020).
- 54 <sup>15</sup> K. Asami, J. Ueda, K. Yasuda, K. Hongo, R. Maezono, M.G. Brik, and S. Tanabe, Opt. 55 Mater. (Amst). **84**, 436 (2018).
- $\frac{2}{56}$ <br> $\frac{1}{56}$  <sup>16</sup> M. Yamaga, Y. Masui, S. Sakuta, N. Kodama, and K. Kaminaga, Phys. Rev. B Condens. 457 Matter Mater. Phys. **71**, 1 (2005).
- 58 <sup>17</sup> M. Yamaga, Y. Masui, and N. Kodama, Opt. Mater. (Amst). **36**, 1776 (2014).

<sup>18</sup> 459 J.K. Park, M.A. Lim, K.J. Choi, and C.H. Kim, J. Mater. Sci. **40**, 2069 (2005).

 $60 \times 19$  S.H. Choi, S. Bin Kwon, U. Bin Humayoun, W.K. Park, K. Toda, M. Kakihana, T. Masaki,

 $\mathbb{H} \subseteq \mathbb{W}$ .S. Yang, Y.H. Song, and D.H. Yoon, Dye. Pigment. **148**, 460 (2018).

 $\overline{62}$   $\approx$  <sup>20</sup> J. Botterman, K. Van Den Eeckhout, I. De Baere, D. Poelman, and P.F. Smet, Acta Mater. 63 **60**, 5494 (2012).

 $\overline{64}$   $\overline{\approx}$  21 H. Pan, D. Luo, L. Wang, and Y. Li, Int. Conf. Manuf. Sci. Eng. (ICMSE 2015) 690  $65 \not\equiv (2015).$ 

 $\frac{15}{66}$  B. Wang, J. Chen, Y. Xia, and Y. Liu, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. **16**, 3608 (2016).<br>  $\frac{15}{66}$  B. Wang, J. Chen, Y. Xia, and Y. Liu, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. **16**, 3608 (2016).<br>  $\frac{23}{66}$  <sup>23</sup> P.F. Smet, J.

<sup>23</sup> P.F. Smet, J. Botterman, K. Van Den Eeckhout, K. Korthout, and D. Poelman, Opt. Mater. 68 (Amst). **36**, 1913 (2014).

 $69$  <sup>24</sup> F. Xiao, Y.N. Xue, and Q.Y. Zhang, Spectrochim. Acta - Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 74, 758 (2009).<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\frac{25}{1}$  W. Peng-Jiu, **EXECUTE 2018.** A Linux Lempton, Mateur, V. D. Individual S. Linux D. Linux D. Linux D. Linux D. Mateur. (Ansal, 84, 436 (2018).<br>
Mateur. (Ansal, 84, 436 (2018).<br>
The W. Yamaga, Y. Masui, S. Sakuta, N. Kodama, and K. Kami

E AIP<br>E Publishing

472 Sin. **63**, 077804 (2014).

<sup>26</sup> 473 R.D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A **32**, 751 (1976).

<sup>27</sup> 474 K.-F. Hesse and F. Liebau, Zeitschrift Für Krist. **153**, 3 (1980).

<sup>28</sup> A. Duval, P. Houizot, X. Rocquefelte, and T. Rouxel, Appl. Phys. Lett. **123**, 011905<br>  $\frac{28}{56}$  (2023).  $(2023).$ 

 $\frac{29}{27}$  <sup>29</sup> A. Feng and P.F. Smet, Materials (Basel). **11**, 484 (2018).

<sup>30</sup> 478 C. Lara, M.J. Pascual, and A. Durán, J. Non. Cryst. Solids **348**, 149 (2004).

<sup>31</sup> 479 N. Claussen and J. Jahn, Powder Metall. Inernational **2**, 87 (1970).

<sup>32</sup> 480 Y. Gong, Y. Wang, Y. Li, X. Xu, and W. Zeng, Opt. Express **19**, 4310 (2011).

 $\overline{\mathbb{S}}_1 \stackrel{=}{\circ} 33$  P. Wang, X. Xu, J. Qiu, X. Yu, and Q. Wang, Opt. Mater. (Amst). **36**, 1826 (2014).

 $\frac{1}{82}$   $\approx$  <sup>34</sup> G.H. Dieke and H.M. Crosswhite, Appl. Opt. 2, 675 (1963).

 $\mathfrak{B}^{\leq 35}$  J. Ueda, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **94**, 2807 (2021).

 $\overline{84}$   $\overline{6}$   $\overline{36}$  A. Nag and T.R.N. Kutty, J. Alloys Compd. **354**, 221 (2003).

 $\frac{1}{85}$   $\frac{1}{5}$  <sup>37</sup> J. Botterman, K. Van den Eeckhout, A.J.J. Bos, P. Dorenbos, and P.F. Smet, Opt. Mater.  $\overset{\geq}{\cancel{80}}$  Express **2**, 341 (2012). 75<br>
28 a. Duval, P. Houizot, X. Rocquefello, and T. Rouxel, Appl. Phys. Lett. 123, 011905<br>
26 (2023).<br>
29 A. Feng and P.F. Smet, Materials (Basel). 11, 484 (2018).<br>
29 A. Feng and P.F. Smet, Materials (Basel). 11, 484 (20

<sup>38</sup> R. Chen and Y. Kirsh, Int. Ser. Sci. Solid State **15**, 17 (1981).<br>
<sup>59</sup> R. Chen, J. Electrochem. Soc. **116**, 1254 (1969).

<sup>39</sup> R. Chen, J. Electrochem. Soc. **116**, 1254 (1969).

<sup>40</sup> 489 M.S. Jahan, D.W. Cooke, W.L. Hults, J.L. Smith, B.L. Bennett, and M.A. Maez, J. Lumin. 9 $\frac{1}{2}$  **47**, 85 (1990).<br> $\frac{1}{2}$  <sup>41</sup> J.T. Randall

Ĕ

**Tec** 

492 (1945).

<sup>42</sup> 493 J.T. Randall and M.H.F. Wilkins, Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A. Math. Phys. Sci. **184**, 390 494 (1945).

<sup>43</sup> 495 W.F. Hornyak and R. Chen, J. Lumin. **44**, 73 (1989). This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the collection of  $\mathbb{S}_0$  is  $\mathbb{S}_0$  in  $\mathbb{S}_0$  and  $\mathbb{S}_0$  on  $\mathbb{S}_0$  and  $\mathbb{S}_0$  and  $\mathbb{S}_0$  and  $\mathbb{S}_0$  and  $\mathbb{S}_0$  and  $\mathbb{S}_0$  and

 $\frac{18}{96}$  <sup>44</sup> W.L. Medlin, Phys. Rev. **123**, 502 (1961).

<sup>45</sup> 497 X. Zhang, X. Xu, Q. He, J. Qiu, and X. Yu, ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol. **2**, R225  $\frac{6}{28}$  (2013).

 $\frac{46}{59}$  46 G. Blasse and B.C. Grabmaier, Springer (1994).

 $\overline{00}$  <sup>47</sup> J. Botterman, J.J. Joos, and P.F. Smet, Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. **90**, 1  $\overline{H}$   $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$  (2014).

 $\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \leq 48$  M. Dubernet, Y. Gueguen, P. Houizot, F. Célarié, J.C. Sangleboeuf, H. Orain, and T. 503 Rouxel, Appl. Phys. Lett. **107**, 151906 (2015).

 $\mathbb{Q}^{\square}$ <sup>49</sup> M. Dubernet, E. Bruyer, Y. Gueguen, P. Houizot, J.C. Hameline, X. Rocquefelte, and T. **05 de Charles Rouxel, Sci. Rep. 10, 19495 (2020).**<br> **605 Contract Sci. Rep. 10, 19495 (2020).**<br> **606 Contract Science Science and Science of All Planet Science and Planet Science Contract of All Planet Science We<br>
det PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI:** 10.1063/5.0167222

Le AIP<br>E Publishing