



HAL
open science

V2 and extensions in Ring languages

Pius W. Akumbu, Jeffrey Wills

► **To cite this version:**

Pius W. Akumbu, Jeffrey Wills. V2 and extensions in Ring languages. Rebecca Grollemund, Derek Nurse, John Watters. Bantoid and Bantu in Cameroon: An historical re-assessment, 19, Gruyter Mouton, In press, Studies in Language Change. hal-04250423

HAL Id: hal-04250423

<https://hal.science/hal-04250423>

Submitted on 19 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

V2 and extensions in Ring languages

Pius W. Akumbu

LLACAN (CNRS – INALCO - EPHE)

Jeffrey Wills

Ukrainian Catholic University

Abstract

The noun stems reconstructed for Proto-Benue-Congo (PBC) and Proto-Bantu (PB) typically have the shape CVCV. But, these same CVCV lexemes have been reconstructed for Proto-Grassfields (PGr) with a loss of V2, e.g. ‘animal’: PBC **nama*, PB **nyàmà* 9/10, but PGr **nàm`*; ‘leg, foot’: PBC **-kudu*, PB *gùdù* 15/6 but PGr **yùl`*; ‘root’: PB **gàngá* 3/4, but PGr **gàŋ´*. However, a number of disyllabic monomorphemic stems are found in the Grassfields languages. This chapter attempts to identify and account for such disyllabic stems in Ring languages. We show that noun stems in Ring languages such as Babanki did indeed go from disyllabic to monosyllabic, and that contemporary disyllabic or polysyllabic nouns and verbs are usually due to multiple morphemes, either through the addition of affixes or reduplication. Besides synchronically transparent noun class affixes and verbal extensions, the incorporation of fossilized verbal extensions and noun class affixes can account for most of the data.

1. Introduction

As Watters (2003: 234) points out, Grassfields Bantu “lexical stems are commonly monosyllabic...thus, for nouns, the canonical Bantu disyllabic stems have been reduced to monosyllabic stems... verb stems are also often monosyllabic. Disyllabic stems that do occur are usually composed of two morphemes.” From the Grassfields Bantu Working Group collection of over 80 Grassfields languages, the loss of V2 (and C2) can be seen, for example, by examining the Proto-Grassfields (PGr) and Proto-Bantu (PB) reflexes of three well-attested roots of different noun classes: ‘animal’ (PGr **nàm`*, PB **nyàmà* 9/10),

‘root’ (PGr **gàŋ’*, PB **gàngá* 3/4) and ‘navel’ (PGr **tòŋ’*, PB **tòdú* 5/6)¹. An apparent V2 is present in²:

- no Western Grassfields language of the Momo and Ring groups
- no Eastern Grassfields languages of the Bamileke, Nun or Nkambe groups
- several Eastern Grassfields languages of the Ngemba group, where the V2 is regularly schwa in these nouns (e.g. Mankon *ŋgàŋá* / *mìŋgàŋá* 3/6a ‘root’, *nìtòŋá* / *mìtòŋá* 5/6a ‘navel’, and *ìŋàmà* / *ìŋàmà* or *mìŋàmà* 9/10 or 6a ‘animal’).

In many of these languages, not only is V2 lost but also C2. In contrast to these losses, or perhaps related to them, roots are sometimes expanded by the addition of affixes, as seen in Mfumte (Nkambe group), where an affix is present in *jàmwà* / *jàmwā* ‘animal’, optional in *ŋgò(tá)* / *ŋgō(tá)* ‘root’ and absent in *tò* ‘navel’.

From the comparative-historical viewpoint, the obvious question is whether the Ngemba group is conservative in this regard (preserving a reduced form of V2) or innovative either phonologically or morphologically (e.g. by having some form of an affix). In other words, did the loss of V2 occur at the PGr level or later at the proto-stage of the various Grassfields groups? As a working hypothesis for this chapter, we assume that a significant loss of V2 already occurred at or before the Proto-Western Grassfields stage common to both the Ring and Momo languages.

This loss of V2 in roots must have been the consequence of phonological processes because, as we will demonstrate, it widely affected nouns and verbs³. The absence of V2 in Ring and Momo noun forms of various classes is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Monosyllabic noun stems in Western Grassfields Bantu

| Language | ‘animal’ | ‘horn’ | ‘house’ | ‘root’ | ‘husband’ |
|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| PB | <i>*nyàmà</i> 9 | <i>*tóngu</i> 9 or 5 | <i>*dábò</i> 9 | <i>*gàngá</i> 3 | <i>*dómè</i> 1 |
| PGr | <i>*nàm`</i> 9 | <i>*ndón</i> 9 | <i>*ndáb`</i> 9 | <i>*gàŋ’</i> 3 | <i>*lúm`</i> 1 |

Ring

¹ Proto-Grassfields reconstructions are those of Hyman (1979a) while Proto-Bantu reconstructions are taken from BLR3 (Bastin, Coupeze, Mumba & Schadeberg 2002). Apart from the Babanki data, contemporary language forms are those collected by the Grassfields Bantu Working Group in the 1970s.

² In this chapter, V2 refers to the vowel of the second syllable in disyllabic roots, not the second mora of diphthongs or long vowels.

³ In the case of nouns, the phonological processes did not take noun class affixes into consideration.

| | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Babanki | <i>nàm</i> | <i>ndón</i> | <i>ntàb</i> | <i>àγάν</i> | <i>lím</i> |
| Lamnso' | <i>nám</i> | <i>lōn</i> | <i>lāv</i> | <i>γάν</i> | <i>lúm</i> |
| Babessi | <i>nò:</i> | <i>nduó</i> | <i>ndó?</i> | <i>γά</i> | <i>ndó</i> |
| Isu | <i>nàm</i> | <i>ndón</i> | <i>n̄dāw</i> | <i>íγάν</i> | <i>ηηām</i> |
| <i>Momo</i> | | | | | |
| Moghamo | <i>nâm</i> | <i>ndón</i> | <i>náp</i> | <i>áyān</i> | <i>ínóm</i> |
| Oshie | <i>nî</i> | <i>indón</i> | <i>iní</i> | <i>áyān</i> | <i>wēnóm</i> |

Although none of the Ring or Momo forms in Table 1 show a full V2 today, the floating tone in the PGr reconstructions is evidence of the surviving tone of the historical V2.

However, the phonological process of V2 loss is clearly not in effect today, since several disyllabic or polysyllabic noun roots are present in most if not all Ring and Momo languages, e.g. Babanki *kàγú?á* / *àγú?á* (7/8) ‘cowry’ and its cognates in Kom *āγúá?á*, Oku *kēγékáí*, Men *āγá?áí*, and Bu *káyá?á*. Likewise, there are many disyllabic verbs in these languages today (mostly due to verbal extensions) so there apparently were also morphological or syntactic constraints on the loss of the final vowel. While phonological processes reduced roots, the incorporation of affixes extended them.

This chapter examines the history of these processes (the loss of V2 and the addition of affixes) in Ring languages with particular attention to Babanki in Central Ring. First, we concentrate on the apparent exceptions to V2 loss, i.e., current disyllabic or polysyllabic words, to see what they reveal about the history of verb and noun formation. Then we examine a few forms that show relic traces of V2, whether full vowels (e.g. Babanki *wùlím* / *lú^mmá* 1/2 ‘man’) or merely tonal traces of the lost vowel (e.g. Kom *ntóm`* / *ntóm^ssá* 9/10 ‘message’). Finally, we pull together these processes and propose a general narrative.

2. Verbs

Proto-Niger-Congo verb forms likely had final vowels but the function of those vowels is unclear. At the Proto-Bantu-Grassfields stage, it is also uncertain whether these vowels should be considered as part of the verbal root or as extensions. Roughly half of the twenty-five non-Bantu Niger-Congo languages surveyed by Nurse (2008: 260-61) had suffixal vowel *-a*, as do nearly all Narrow Bantu languages. He concludes that “the final vowels [a], [i], and a vowel copy suffix are probably old Niger-Congo features inherited

by Bantu.” If so, they would also have been inherited by Proto-Grassfields. Good (2022) reviews Nurse’s conclusions and examines stem-final morphology in Bantu languages, especially in the north-western area. He suggests an initial stage “where there is no system of FVs, and verb roots may or may not end in a vowel” (224). The very widespread loss of final vowels in Grassfields languages appears to have been generally phonological, with morphological factors being likely contributors to preservation.

As a result of the loss of V2, the great majority of simplex (un-affixed) verbs in Grassfields languages are monosyllabic. In Babanki, for example, of 690 simplex verbs in Akumbu (2008), only 73 are disyllabic, and none are polysyllabic. In addition, a number of verbs have extensions that add a syllable of -CV shape. While the verb system of Grassfields Bantu (GB) languages has received less attention than the noun system, verbal extensions have been the focus of several studies (Leroy 1982, Mba & Chiatoh 2003, Tamanji & Mba 2003, Ngum 2004, Blench 2011, 2016, 2022, Hyman 2018, Akumbu 2020). The predominant Ring suffixes listed in Hyman (2018) are *-tV*, *-kV*, *-sV*, *-lV*, *-nV*, and *-mV* with varying semantics. Since most verbs must have become monosyllabic, the addition of these extensions to monosyllabic verb roots is responsible for many of the disyllabic verb stems found in Ring languages, as illustrated by the Babanki forms in Table 2.

Table 2: Babanki verbal extensions⁴

| root | <i>tə</i> ‘DIM’ | <i>kə</i> ‘REP’ | <i>sə</i> ‘CAUS’ | <i>lə</i> ‘ITER’ | <i>mə</i> ‘ASS’ | gloss |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| <i>tʃò</i> | <i>tʃò-tə</i> | <i>tʃò-kə</i> | <i>tʃò-sə</i> | <i>tʃò-lə</i> | <i>tʃò-mə</i> | pass |
| <i>tàyn</i> | <i>tàyn-tə</i> | <i>tàyn-kə</i> | <i>tàyn-sə</i> | <i>tàyn-lə</i> | <i>tàyn-mə</i> | fly |
| <i>kúʔ</i> | <i>kúʔ-tə</i> | - | <i>kúʔ-sə</i> | <i>kúʔ-lə</i> | <i>kúʔ-mə</i> | climb |
| <i>γóʔ</i> | <i>γóʔ-tə</i> | <i>γóʔ-kə</i> | <i>γóʔ-sə</i> | <i>γóʔ-lə</i> | <i>γóʔ-mə</i> | be(come) big |

DIM = diminutive, attenuative, REP = repetitive, multiplicity, CAUS = causative, ITER = iterative, ASS = associative

As seen in Table 2, extensions are underlyingly toneless and take the same tone as the root unless a conflicting suffix tone is assigned by a specific TAM (Akumbu, Hyman & Kießling 2020). For example, if the imperative final H tone is added to a L tone stem,

⁴ In a number of Central Ring languages there is an alternation between *f~p/b*, and *s/l~y* in coda position when -CV extensions are added, as in Babanki (Akumbu 2020: 142-145), Men (Mua 2015: 10), Kom (Shultz 1997: 9), and Kung (Kießling 2019: 151).

the final product will be L-H, e.g. *tʃò-sá* ‘allow to pass! (imperative)’ (cf. *tʃò-sà* ‘allow to pass (declarative)’).

Although specific meanings are identified for individual extensions in Table 2, there is synchronically no exclusive semantic value for any of them. As already pointed out by Hyman (2018: 185), “any of the six suffixes can be used with varying pluractional meanings”. For example, the so-called repetitive and iterative have overlapping semantics and even the frequent *-sà* which is usually causative sometimes has different meanings. While some *-sà* extensions have clear causative meaning, e.g. *dì-sà* ‘cause to cry’ < *dì* ‘cry’, *ɲóŋ-sá* ‘cause to suck (breast milk)’ < *ɲóŋ* ‘suck (breast milk)’, other meanings are possible, e.g. *tén-sá* ‘see off, accompany, refuse/deny’ < *tén* ‘push’, *gà-sà* ‘separate’ < *gà* ‘share, divide, distribute’. At the same time, some *-sà* extensions do not add any meaning to the root and may even be optional (in which case they are given in parentheses), e.g. *kyè(-sà)* ‘scrape’. The declining nature of the erstwhile causative extension is seen also in some Kom and Oku forms in Table 3, although the extended form has apparently completely replaced the simplex form in other languages.

Table 3: Decline of causative extension in some Ring languages

| Language | ‘try’ | ‘extinguish’ | ‘breathe’ |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Babanki | <i>mwòm(-sà)</i> | <i>lém(-sá)</i> | <i>zwi(-sà)</i> |
| Kom | <i>mwòm(-sɛ)</i> | <i>lúm(-sɛ)</i> | <i>zù-sí</i> |
| Oku | <i>mwòm(-sè)</i> | <i>līm(-sê)</i> | <i>zù-sè</i> |
| Mbizinaku | <i>mwòm-sà</i> | <i>lām-sâ</i> | <i>yùsà</i> |
| Men | <i>mwòm-sè</i> | <i>ndām-sê</i> | <i>zù-sè</i> |
| Bum | <i>mwòm-hì</i> | <i>līm-hî</i> | <i>yòx-nà</i> |
| Babessi | <i>mò-sâ</i> | <i>nzɔʔ-sâ</i> | <i>zà-sà</i> |
| Babungo | <i>mǒŋ</i> | <i>nú-sá</i> | <i>zwè-ná</i> |
| Bamessing | <i>mû</i> | <i>lúŋ-à</i> | <i>zwi-sâ</i> |

Also note that these suffixes are no longer productive, as none of them can be added to a neologized verb. In Babanki, for instance, new verbs are consistently made by means of the schwa suffix, e.g. *bíp-á* ‘beep’, *skán-á* ‘scan’, or *kòmɲè-è* ‘compare’ (where the schwa assimilates to the final root vowel *è*). None of these loan words can accommodate the established extensions, e.g. **bíp-tá*. As the system decayed, the number of extensions that individual verbs maintained apparently diminished and no affix was capable of attaching

to every verb. A few verbs in Ring languages actually accommodate only a single specific extension, as seen in the list from Babanki in (1).

(1) Some Babanki verbs that can accommodate only a single extension

- a. *bèm-tà* ‘ask many people, ask many times’ < *bèm* ‘ask’
- b. *zú-tá* ‘listen closely’ < *zú* ‘hear’
- c. *tfú?-lá* ‘heal many people, heal a person many times, heal a bit’ < *tfú?* ‘heal’
- d. *fóη-ká* ‘many people fall, fall many times’ < *fóη* ‘fall’
- e. *féyn-sá* ‘cause to run off’ < *féyn* ‘run off’
- f. *kòη-mà* ‘love each other, love one another, many people love one another/each other’ < *kòη* ‘love’

There are even a handful of Babanki verbs (complete list in 2) that do not accommodate any extension at all.

(2) Babanki verbs that do not accommodate any extension

- a. *bám* ‘abstain’
- b. *bás* ‘be sour’
- c. *kà?* ‘be awake, wait’
- d. *káη* ‘search, harvest, investigate’
- e. *kú* ‘give, offer’
- f. *lám* ‘dwell, settle’
- g. *làη* ‘forbid’
- h. *lí?* ‘poison someone’
- i. *mès* ‘blow nostril’
- j. *mà?* ‘put on clothes’
- k. *nè* ‘do’
- l. *tá?* ‘reward (gift)’
- m. *tám* ‘wear (loin), plan an event’
- n. *tím* ‘send’

The verb *tím* ‘send’ (PGr **túm*, PB **túm*) is a good example of a verb which semantically could easily have diminutive, causative, iterative or pluractional derivatives but does not. We can only conclude that the extension system stopped being productive in ways

that now seem unexpected. So, it is perhaps not surprising that many Ring verbs with extensions were also left isolated from their original base verbs.

Besides the Babanki cases where both a base verb and its derivation(s) with extension are attested, there are the 73 simplex verbs with disyllabic forms which need to be explained. We will see that almost all of these are likely to be verbs with frozen extensions, either of CV(C)-CV or CVC-V shape. Since verbal extensions reduced their role in the synchronic morphology and their semantic value was often bleached, forms with these extensions could easily be reanalyzed as distinct verbs independent of their original base verb, especially if the base verb disappeared.

2.1 Simplex verbs with CVC-CV structure

Many disyllabic verbs in Ring languages are cases where a base form is not attested but the CVC-CV structure makes it clear that the disyllabic verb must have been derived by the addition of an extension. Babanki and other Ring languages show similar patterns, with the relevant forms of the extensions in those languages, as seen in Table 4.

Table 4: Ring verb stems seemingly derived from extensions

| Language | ‘help’ | ‘deceive’ | ‘crawl’ | ‘be short’ | ‘perspire’ |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Babanki | <i>gyàmtà</i> | <i>lɔptá</i> | <i>ɲàɲlà</i> | <i>témsá</i> | <i>ʒúʔsá</i> |
| Kom | <i>gàmtì</i> | <i>lɔptí</i> | <i>ɲàɲlì</i> | <i>túmsí</i> | <i>yōʔsí</i> |
| Oku | <i>gyàmtìn</i> | <i>lɔptê</i> | <i>ɲàɲlè</i> | <i>tāmsê</i> | <i>zvōksîn</i> |
| Men | <i>tsàmtè</i> | <i>lēsê</i> | <i>ɲàɲnè</i> | <i>tāmsê</i> | <i>zǒʔê</i> |
| Bum | <i>gàmtì</i> | <i>lēhî</i> | <i>ɲàɲlì</i> | <i>tūmhî</i> | <i>yūyhî</i> |
| Bamessing | <i>gàɲtâ</i> | <i>lútâ</i> | <i>ɲònâ</i> | <i>twíɲì</i> | <i>ʒwíʔì</i> |

There is a lot of variation in the morphophonology of the extensions. CC clusters are avoided in several cases, including the word for ‘deceive’ in Men, Bum, Bamessing and Aghem. We assume that these languages lost one of the consonants in the cluster in specific words at some stage since they retain CC clusters in several other words. Oku has added a final consonant to the -CV extension, such as in the words for ‘help’ and ‘perspire’. Instead of adding -tV, Bum and Men appear with the causative extension in the word for ‘deceive’, pointing to the semantic irregularity of the extensions.

Other verbs which have a CVC-CV structure but no surviving base verb in Babanki are given in (3).

(3) Babanki CVC-CV verbs without surviving base verb⁵

- a. *-tə*: *bàʔtə* ‘thresh, beat (maize)’, *kòntə* ‘stumble’, *ʃəʔtə* ‘tell, inform’
- b. *-sə*: *báŋsə* ‘frighten, cause to fear’, *bóʔsə* ‘get close, put together’, *bwómsə* ‘praise’, *náŋsə* ‘load (a gun), *sénsə* ‘plead, implore’, *tʃímsə* ‘condole, comfort’, *zàŋsə* ‘hurry, be fast’
- c. *-mə*: *gyénmə* ‘be childish, be foolish’, *táŋmə* ‘quarrel’, *tùʔmə* ‘look after, be careful’
- d. *-lə*: *báʔlə* ‘sell’, *bàŋlə* ‘evade, dodge’, *fwàʔlə* ‘be nasty’, *γímlə* ‘be ashamed’, *ŋàŋlə* ‘crawl’, *ŋàŋlə* ‘tickle’, *sáŋlə* ‘be(come) happy’, *tàblə* ‘struggle’
- e. *-kə*: *báŋkə* ‘be extraordinary, showing off’, *tàŋkə* ‘try, attempt’

Although there are now no base verbs (without extensions) for these disyllabic verbs in Babanki, the fact that they all have second syllables which correspond to verbal extensions is highly suggestive that they were built by affixing verbal extensions. Further research may reveal base forms in other languages, although the examples in Table 4 suggest that many of these extensions have been fossilized for a long time. Similar fossilized extensions are found in Obang (Menchum, Wide Grassfields), see Asohsi (2015: 117).

2.2 Verbs with CVCV shape

Contemporary verbs with the form CVCV could result from either the historical structure CV-CV or CVC-V.⁶ The first group is composed of verbs that mostly seem to have familiar Grassfields extensions added to open-syllable roots.

Table 5: CVCV verb stems

| Language | ‘resemble’ | ‘sleep’ ⁷ | ‘breathe’ | ‘rest’ |
|----------|------------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| PGr | *fú-Ci | *bÚni | *ywè(-ti) | *yÚti |
| Babanki | físə | bínə | ʒwì(sə) | ʒùtə |
| Kom | fūsá | būní | ʒùsí | ʒvùtə |

⁵ With or without extensions *s*, *m*, *n*, *ŋ*, and *ʔ* are possible in coda and word-final position in Babanki. In addition *f* is found in word-final position while *p* and *b* occur as codas.

⁶ In theory, CVCV could be a reduction of CVC-CV as seen in Table 4 above with Men *lē-sē* ‘deceive’ and *zōʔ-ē* ‘perspire’, but Babanki tolerates internal consonant clusters and there is no evidence of such reduction in Babanki.

⁷ The *-í* suffix is interpreted in Isu as a perfective suffix which has become incorporated into the verb stem via lexicalization (Kießling 2017: 4).

| | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|
| Oku | <i>fūsîm</i> | <i>bō:nê</i> | <i>zùsè</i> | <i>zɑ:tè</i> |
| Mbizinaku | <i>fū:sâ</i> | <i>bū:nâ</i> | <i>yùsà</i> | <i>zʋùtà</i> |
| Men | <i>fū(sê)</i> | <i>pwêîŋ</i> | <i>zùsè</i> | <i>zìtè</i> |
| Bum | <i>fo:nâ</i> | <i>bvunî</i> | <i>yòxnà</i> | <i>yùtì</i> |
| Babessi | <i>fî:nâ</i> | <i>bê</i> | <i>zàsà</i> | [<i>tsěŋû</i>] |
| Babungo | <i>fíná</i> | <i>bwéy</i> | <i>zwèná</i> | ? |
| Aghem | <i>féló</i> | <i>bwí:</i> | <i>zʋwî</i> | <i>zólò</i> |
| Bamessing | <i>fʰòsâ</i> | <i>bêîŋ</i> | <i>zʋwìsâ</i> | <i>zòtâ</i> |

Babanki verbs such as *fó-lá* ‘be crowded’ and *fó-sá* ‘make space tight for people, bear (maize)’ also do not have any known base form but they are clearly related, suggesting that such words might have had a CV base form at some stage, unless they are Babanki neologisms.

In addition, there are several vocalic suffixes that have been proposed for Bantoid languages and their descendants with causative, imperfective, perfective, stative or unknown functions, creating verbs with a CVC-V structure.

First is a group of verbs reconstructed for PGr with the structure CVC-*i*, e.g. **bèk-i* ‘carry’ (Babanki *byè?è*, Kom *bè?i*) and **kÛd-i* ‘pour’ (Babanki *kíná*, Men *kwîl*). The semantics of these verbs do not imply a clear value for the -*i* extension at the PGr stage. One possibility is a connection to the stative -*í* attested in Basaa, e.g. *béndí* ‘be stuck’ < *bánd*, *pùmì* ‘be dirty’ < *pùm* (Lemb de Gastines 1973) but the notion of stativity does not seem to be implied in the Ring examples. Another possible connection is to the causative **-i* known from PB. For PB, two causative extensions have been reconstructed with an original complementary distribution: **-i-* after C and **-ici-* after V (Schadeberg 2003: 73). The longer, more marked form **-ici-* is the cognate of the PGr causative extension **-sV*, which extended its range of environments and became widespread in both Bantu and Grassfields languages. The shorter causative form **-i-* has received less attention and was more vulnerable because of its brevity, but it is documented in the NW Bantu languages Tunen (A44), Nomaande (A46) and Gunu (A62) as well as Ejagham (Ekoid) (Hyman 2018: 181-182). Particularly relevant is the use of the morpheme in the Ring language Isu, e.g. *kùm* ‘arrive, reach’ > *kùm-ì* ‘bring’ and *ŋúŋ* ‘suck’ > *ŋúŋ-í* ‘breastfeed’ (Kießling 2004, 2012). Sometimes, the Isu causative suffix is accompanied by a palatal infix (also used with pluractionals and imperfectives), e.g. *bàŋ* ‘be red’ > *byàŋ-ì* ‘make red’. As with

other extensions, a semantic merger can happen so that the form with the causative suffix can unite both the intransitive and transitive meaning (and thus replace the base form), e.g. *dzwùʔì* ‘become loose, make loose, loosen’.

These CVC-*i* reconstructions might help account for many of the verbs with final vowels in Ring languages, including those in Table 6.

Table 6: CVC-*i* verb stems

| Language | ‘cough’ | ‘lie down’ | ‘stand’ | ‘yawn’ |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| PGr | * <i>kót-i</i> | * <i>nòŋ-i</i> | * <i>tém-i</i> | * <i>yát-i</i> |
| PB | * <i>kóc</i> | * <i>nàŋ</i> | * <i>tém</i> | * <i>jac</i> |
| Babanki | <i>késá</i> | <i>ɲàŋmà</i> | <i>tímá</i> | <i>zísá</i> |
| Kom | <i>kēsí</i> | <i>ɲìŋì</i> | <i>tīmê</i> | <i>yàsì</i> |
| Oku | <i>kē:sê</i> | <i>ɲi:m</i> | <i>tēm</i> | <i>yáyásé</i> |
| Mbizinaku | <i>kɛ:sâ</i> | <i>nàŋà</i> | <i>tɛ:mâ</i> | <i>yùasà</i> |
| Men | <i>kyāsê</i> | <i>ɲàŋl</i> | <i>tîm</i> | <i>zāfê</i> |
| Bum | <i>kēhî</i> | <i>ɲìŋì</i> | <i>tēmî</i> | <i>yēfî</i> |
| Babungo | <i>khísí</i> | <i>ɲǒŋ</i> | <i>té</i> | <i>yísá</i> |
| Isu | <i>kwárí</i> | <i>nàŋì</i> | <i>támí</i> | <i>zá</i> |

Not all PGr forms reconstructed with *-i* have V2 in Ring languages today, e.g. Babanki *kwíʔ* ‘attach’ (PGr **kúd-i*), Babanki *bwáʔ* ‘be tired’, Kom *ból* ‘be tired’ (PGr **bód-i*), Babanki *tjúŋ* ‘dig’ (PGr **túŋ-i* ‘bury’).

Another source of contemporary CVCV structures was apparently the reanalysis of stems built with the imperfective suffix PGr **-a* (Watters 2003: 245), as in Kuk where this derivational formation is done with the suffix *-ə* or a copy of the root vowel.

Table 7: Kuk imperfective formation by suffix *-ə* ~ *-V* (Kießling 2016: 36)

| Base | imperfective | meaning |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| <i>zəl</i> | <i>zələ</i> | ‘sweep’ |
| <i>tsóŋ</i> | <i>tsóŋə</i> | ‘pin’ |
| <i>tím</i> | <i>tímə</i> | ‘shoot; dig’ |
| <i>zúʔ</i> | <i>zúʔú</i> | ‘boil, heat’ |
| <i>ɲòʔ</i> | <i>ɲòʔə</i> | ‘roast’ |
| <i>bàʔ</i> | <i>bàʔə</i> | ‘push aside’ |

Of special interest are the bases for ‘boil’, ‘roast’ and ‘push aside’ ending in a glottal stop where the final vowel *-ə* undergoes “transglottal assimilation”, i.e. copying of the root vowel. Isu also forms imperfective stems by adding the suffix *-ə*, which likewise assimilates to form an “echo vowel” after the glottal stop and velar nasal, e.g. *tá?* / *táʔá* ‘take sides’ and *səŋ* / *səŋə* ‘be true’ (Anderson 2014: 11). For Aghem, the assimilation of the imperfective suffix *-a* happens after the glottal stop, velar nasal and alveolar nasal (Anderson 1979: 78-79). However, in the case of disyllabic bases, where there is already a final vowel, Kuk usually forms the imperfective stem by means of the *-kə* suffix replacing the final vowel.

Table 8: Kuk imperfective formation by suffix *-kə* (Kießling 2016: 36)

| base | imperfective | meaning |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| <i>màʔà</i> | <i>màʔkə</i> | ‘throw away’ |
| <i>bàʔà</i> | <i>bàʔkə</i> | ‘carry’ |
| <i>tóŋá</i> | <i>tóŋká</i> | ‘call’ |
| <i>kálí</i> | <i>kálkə</i> | ‘cough’ |
| <i>kàmə</i> | <i>kàməkə</i> | ‘cover with soil’ |
| <i>tsúlá</i> | <i>tsúlkə</i> | ‘sit down, become seated’ |

It is unclear where these disyllabic forms came from. In some cases, they may have had a disyllabic proto-history, e.g. PGr **bək-i* ‘carry’ > Kuk *bàʔà*, with parallel assimilation in the Babanki cognate *byèʔè*. But in other cases where we see a glottal stop and this same assimilation, we can suspect that the perfective bases were once imperfectives. The word ‘throw’ seems to have undergone this development following the steps in (4).

(4) Development of imperfectives

Step 1: imperfectives are created with **-a*, e.g. PGr **màk* ‘throw’ > PR perfective

**màk*, imperfective **màk-a*

Step 2: some imperfectives are reinterpreted as perfectives, e.g. imperfective **màk-*

a > Kuk perfective *màʔà*.

Step 3: new imperfectives are created by means of other suffixes, e.g. Kuk perfective

màʔà > imperfective *màʔkə*

Similarly, in Aghem, it has been proposed that the imperfective final vowel */-a/* was reanalyzed as part of the root in the case of Proto-West-Ring **sàj-à* ‘choose, select’ (PB **càd*) and **màj-a* ‘finish’ (PG **mÈt-ti*, PB **màd*), yielding Aghem perfectives *sà* and

mìà and thus generating a new imperfective /*sìaa*/ (Thormoset 2007: 133-4). The reinterpretation of suffixed forms as base forms (and especially the reinterpretation of imperfectives as perfectives) may be by analogy with inherited CVC-*i* forms.

As a result of step 2, Babanki now has a number of other perfective CV₁CV₁ verbs which show transglottal assimilation.

(5) Babanki CV₁C-V₁ verbs

- a. *màʔà* ‘throw away’ (Kom *màʔì*)
- b. *ʃíʔí* ‘descend away/down’ (Kom *sūʔí*)
- c. *byíʔí* ‘fold up’ (Kom *búʔní*)
- d. *tàʔà* ‘be stiff’
- e. *myàʔà* ‘blink’

The examples in (5a-b) seem to have a separative meaning, suggesting that these generalized vowel endings could be the result of the merger of some extensions that no longer exist. Support for frozen extensions being the source of some unusual vowels in V2 position comes from the word for ‘call’ which in some Central Ring languages has the frozen -*tV*: Babanki *tóʔtá*, Kom *tóʔtí*, Oku *tóʔté*, but -*V* in Aghem *tóʔó*, Kuk *tóʔá* (imperfective *tóʔká*), Men *tóʔâ*, and Bum *tóʔâ*. Notice that Men and Bum have final -*â*, rather than their typical vowels in extensions, i.e. Men -*Ce* and Bum -*Ci*.

Finally, there are a few remaining Babanki verbs with CVCV structure, where C₂V₂ corresponds to a verb extension without a current base for the suffixed form (6). The CVCV structure must be old for some verbs, as we can see from the Bantoid cognates of Babanki *bvìmà* ‘bury’: Kom *gvìmi*, Oku *gvàmā*, Bum *gùmà*, Kenyang *bhemé* (Mbuagbaw 1998), Fang *gúmá* (Mve 2013: 103). It is unclear whether these verbs have origins in CV + extension or CVC-*i* structures, old imperfectives, or some other unknown source.

(6) Some Babanki CVCV verbs without known CV or CVC base

- a. *bólá* ‘catch fire, blaze’
- b. *tyèmà* ‘choke’
- c. *flà* ‘thatch’
- d. *pfísá* ‘cover’
- e. *byátá* ‘reserve’
- f. *bútá* ‘be transformed mystically’
- g. *zímá* ‘shout’

- h. *lyèsà* ‘forget, hide’
- i. *gùkà* ‘be foolish’

Of these remaining Babanki CVCV verbs, there is only one case where C2 is a consonant other than the characteristic *k*, *l*, *m*, *n*, *s*, or *t* of verbal extensions, i.e., *tsúfá* ‘sharpen, bring to point (stick)’.

One exceptional form is Babanki *zís* ‘feed’, historically a causative based on *zi* ‘eat’. Unlike other Ring cognates, e.g. Kom *zúsí*, Oku *yíesê*, Bum *yāhî*, Men *zísê*, Babessi *zâ*, Babanki *zís* has a different V1 from the simplex and is missing V2. V1 appears to be an indication that the Babanki form preserves an early causative akin to the disyllabic stem of PB **dí-ic-* ‘feed’, although it seems that *zís* lost its final vowel, as also seen in the noun *kàkús(á) / àkús(á)* (7/8) ‘knot’ where the final vowel is now optional.

Overall, the evidence suggests that, after V2 was lost in inherited CVCV verbs, extensions continued to operate for a while as separate morphemes before becoming increasingly less productive and eventually frozen in most Ring languages and finally producing the distribution seen today:

(7) Evolution of Babanki verb forms

| Proto-Grassfields | Babanki |
|---------------------|---------|
| CV | CV |
| CVCV | CVC |
| CV + CV extension | CVCà |
| CVC- <i>i</i> | CVCà |
| CVCV + CV extension | CVCCà |

The most probable reason why the CVC-*i* verbs did not lose V2 is that the *-i* belonged to a separate morpheme, even if its origin is unclear.

3. Nouns

Since almost all noun roots that are reconstructed as disyllabic in PB and PBC have monosyllabic reflexes in Grassfields languages, there must have been a deletion rule at some stage. Synchronically only a few simplex noun roots are disyllabic in Grassfields languages. In Babanki, for example, only 86 of 833 simplex noun stems in Akumbu (2008) are disyllabic or polysyllabic, 17 of them being borrowed words or reduplicated forms. Some of these stems deserve explanation. Once again, we will start by looking at

forms with apparent affixes, especially those derived from a verb with an extension, e.g. *kànzìtə̀* (7) ‘beginning’ < *zì* ‘begin’ or *ntsèntá* (10) ‘unity’ < *tsèntə̀* ‘gather, unite’. We will eventually consider other explanations for cases where there is no synchronic verb root that is relevant, e.g. *kàyúmlá* / *ə̀yúmlá* (7/8) ‘lump (clay, mud)’.

3.1 Derivatives from verbs

Like Bantu and other related languages, the Grassfields languages had and have a number of deverbative processes (Akumbu & Wills 2022). Either a prefix, suffix or both may be added to a verb base to derive disyllabic noun stems. For example, agent nouns can be derived from verbs by prefixation of N-, as in Babanki (8) and Kom (9).

(8) Babanki agent nouns (Akumbu & Wills 2022)

- a. *mbáʔlə̀* ‘seller’ < *báʔlá* ‘sell’
- b. *mbwə̀ŋsə̀* ‘saviour’ < *bwə̀ŋ* ‘be safe’, *bwə̀ŋsə̀* ‘save’
- c. *ŋʃə̀ʔtə̀* ‘prophet, pastor’ < *ʃə̀ʔtə̀* ‘tell, inform’
- d. *ndzìmtə̀* ‘follower, disciple’ < *dzìm* ‘chase’, *dzìmtə̀* ‘follow’
- e. *ndzèʔtə̀* ‘prostitute’ < *dzèʔ* ‘walk’, *dzèʔtə̀* ‘walk around’

(9) Kom agent nouns (Loh 2001: 104)

- a. *ŋzìtə̀* ‘beginner’ < *zìtə̀* ‘start’
- b. *ŋgwòsì* ‘separator’ < *gwòsì* ‘separate’
- c. *m̀b̀d̀esì* ‘saviour’ < *b̀d̀esì* ‘save’
- d. *ŋgàmtə̀* ‘helper’ < *gàmtə̀* ‘help’

Various nouns of processes, results or instrumentals are also derived from verbs, as seen in Babanki.

(10) Babanki processes, results or instrumentals

- a. *əlómá* / *təlómá* (3~5/13) ‘frontier (of ethnic area)’ < *ló* ‘mark frontier’
- b. *mbwáʔmə̀* (9) ‘peace, blessing’ < *bwáʔmá* ‘be soft’, *bwáʔsá* ‘bless’
- c. *ntsèntá* (9) ‘unity’ < *tsèntə̀* ‘gather, unite’
- d. *nsìsá* (9) ‘debt’ < *sìsə̀* ‘pay debt’
- e. *kànzúŋsə̀* (7) ‘burden’ < *zúŋ* ‘wrap’, *zúŋsá* ‘disturb’
- f. *mbvìmə̀* (1) ‘cemetery’ < *bvìmə̀* ‘bury’
- g. *m̀àtífə̀* (6a) ‘account (report)’ < *tífə̀* ‘report’
- h. *kàmfénsə̀* (7) ‘blackener’ < *fén* ‘be black’, *fénsá* ‘blacken’

i. *kàḥwàptà* / *àḥwàptà* (7/8) ‘fan’ < *wàf* ‘fan’

Due to other tonal processes not considered here⁸, the tones on the final syllable of several derivatives do not correspond with those of the verb bases from which they are derived, e.g. *nsìsá* (9) ‘debt’ < *sìsà* ‘pay debt’, *ntsèntá* (9) ‘unity’ < *tsèntà* ‘gather, unite’, *màtífà* (6a) ‘account (report)’ < *tífá* ‘report’, *kàḅzúḅsà* (7) ‘burden’ < *zúḅsá* ‘disturb’, *kàmfénsà* (7) ‘blackener’ < *fénsá* ‘blacken’.

Deverbatives for processes, results or instrumentals are common in other Bantoid languages e.g. Western Grassfields: Kung (Kießling 2019: 150): *ūtóf* ‘sense, knowledge, intelligence’ < *tóf* ‘be sweet’, *īḥinnà* ‘game’ < *ḥinnà* ‘play’, *īḥú* ‘purging’ < *ḥú* ‘purge’, *īḥí* ‘bitterness’ < *ḥí* ‘be bitter’, *kāḥḥḥfā* ‘sweet thing’ < *tóf* ‘be sweet’, *kāzúḥsā* ‘sweat, heat’ < *zúḥsá* ‘sweat’, *mḥí* ‘bile’ < *ḥí* ‘be bitter’, Kuk: *kàsà?* ‘sifter’ < *sà?* ‘sift’, *kàsù* ‘soap’ < *sù* ‘wash’; Eastern Grassfields: Mankon (Leroy 2003) *ḥḥḥḥ?* ‘pushing’ < *tḥḥḥ?* ‘push’, *mḥḥḥ?* ‘breaking’ < *bḥḥ?* ‘break’, *ḥḥḥḥ?* ‘crushing’ < *ḥḥḥ?* ‘crush’, Bafut (Tamanji 2009) *ḥḥḥ* ‘jumping’ < *ḥḥ* ‘jump’, *mḥḥḥ* ‘sleeping’ < *bḥḥ* ‘sleep’, *ḥḥḥḥ* ‘falling’ < *wḥḥ* ‘fall’; Beboïd: Nchane (Boutwell 2020: 106) *kìntḥḥḥ* ‘argument’ < *tḥḥḥ* ‘argue’, *ḥḥḥḥḥ* ‘story’ < *tḥḥ*: ‘tell’.

3.2 Incorporation of class markers

Insofar as nouns in Ring languages typically have different segmental affixes in the singular and plural, these class markers can be perceived as inflectional and are easily distinguishable from stems. So in considering disyllabic noun stems, we have not included the typical noun forms composed of a monosyllabic stem with a class prefix or suffix, such as Babanki *kà-kwóḥ* / *à-kwóḥ* (7/8) ‘bone(s)’ or *ḥà-nyín* / *ḥà-nyín* (19/6a) ‘bird(s)’, because these affixes are well-known parts of the active inflectional morphology.

Two-syllable surface forms for the singular of certain class 9 nouns where the initial nasal class marker was lost suggests that V2 was preserved.⁹ Although ‘snake’ has been reconstructed as a monosyllable in PGr **yól* (PB **yókà*), there is reason to think that Ring inherited V2, e.g. Aghem *zúyó*, Oku *zūò*, Kuk *zùgù*, Bamessing *zūà*. Likewise, in the singular of ‘goat’ (PGr **bÚÌ*, PB **búdi*) we see two-syllable reflexes like Lamnso *bvâi*, Oku *bvâi*. Even some of the one-syllable forms seem not to have lost the inherited V2 but

⁸ These may be cases of distinct derivational suffixes that install their own tone – contrary to verbal extensions which take the tone of the verb root as argued in Section 2 above.

⁹ For the patterns and reasons of loss of initial nasals in Ring languages, see Akumbu & Wills (2022).

rather became monosyllabic through glide formation of V1 or vowel-merger: Babanki *byí*, Aghem *dzí*, Weh *dzi*, Isu *bí*, Babungo *bí*.

It is not unusual for class markers to be re-analyzed and incorporated into the noun stem, e.g. the initial nasals in class 1, 9 and 10 in Kom *mbàʔ/mbàʔ-sí* ‘cloud(s), *ɲgvī/ɲgvī-sī* ‘chicken(s); Men *mbàʔ/sé-mbàʔ* ‘cloud(s), *mbvā/sé-mbvā* ‘chicken(s); Bafanji (Hamm 2011) *ɲgɔ̄ /pà-ɲgɔ̄* 1/2 ‘stranger’. Occasionally, however, the incorporation of historical class markers (sometimes in associative concords or as enclitics) at the end of the noun stem is also attested, yielding a form with an additional syllable. This could most easily happen in nouns of a single class where there was no inflectional variation, often due to a meaning of liquid, mass, or plural tantum. An example of incorporation is seen in the Bantoid words for the body area ‘breast’, which in English can be a countable noun meaning a ‘mammary’ or indicate the whole chest in the phrase ‘beat their breast’. In most Ekoid languages the word for ‘chest’ has singular and plural forms, e.g. Etung *ɲ-gǎɲ/ɔ̄-* (9/14) (Crabb 1965: 60), but in Ring languages the inherited class 9 nasal has been incorporated into the root, e.g. Babanki *tà-ɲgáɲ* ‘chest’ where the noun has been reassigned to the invariable class 13 with the *tà-* prefix. But in other Ring languages this word for ‘chest’ shows an additional syllable *-tV* which corresponds to the class 13 marker seen in Babanki, e.g. Kom *à-ɲgàɲtì* (7), Bum *à-ɲgàɲtù/ù-* (7/8), Men *à-ɲgàɲtè/è-* (7/8).¹⁰ These languages have apparently incorporated the old class 13 enclitic marker into the stem and made a singular-plural distinction possible again by reassigning the word to classes 7/8. For a similar process where the same marker is seen in both a prefixed and suffixed form, consider the Babanki noun *àtɔ̄ / tətɔ̄* (5/13) ‘hut(s)’ which has a diminutive *fətótà / mətótà* (19/6a) ‘tiny hut(s)’. It is not clear why the class 13 plural was the basis for the diminutive — perhaps, simply that it was the more common form.

Many other class 19/6a diminutives in Central Ring languages show unexpected suffixes that create disyllabic stems, as can be seen in Table 7 taken from Akumbu & Kießling (2020: 266-267).

Table 7: Central Ring diminutive derivation in class 19/6a and suffixation

| Base | diminutive 19/6a + suffixation |
|------|--------------------------------|
|------|--------------------------------|

¹⁰ For the phenomenon of enclitic markers on nouns in Ring languages, see Kießling (2010).

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| Babanki | <i>wàn</i> (1/2) ‘child’, pl. <i>vúná</i> <i>àfwín</i> (5/6) ‘leg’, pl. <i>àfwín</i> <i>kàjí</i> (7/8) ‘piece’, pl. <i>àjí</i> | <i>fàwàntà</i> ‘little child’, pl. <i>màwàntà</i> <i>fàfwíntà</i> ‘small leg’, pl. <i>màfwíntà</i> <i>fàfílà~fàfínà</i> ‘tiny piece’, pl. <i>màfílà~màfínà</i> |
| Kom | <i>wáin</i> (1/2) ‘child’, pl. <i>wóindā</i> <i>īsáŋ</i> (5/6) ‘corn’, pl. <i>āsáŋ</i> <i>ātú</i> (7/8) ‘head’, pl. <i>ātú</i> | <i>fēwáin(tì)</i> ‘little child’, pl. <i>māwáin(tì)</i> <i>fīsáŋ(lê)</i> ‘small corn’, pl. <i>mīsáŋ(lê)</i> <i>fītú(nì)</i> ‘small head’, pl. <i>mītú(nì)</i> |
| Kung | <i>iyāŋ</i> (5/10) ‘root’, pl. <i>sāyāŋ</i> <i>kābê</i> (7/4) ‘thigh’, pl. <i>ibê</i> <i>sāf</i> (9/10) ‘maize’, pl. <i>sāsāf</i> | <i>fāyāŋâ</i> ‘small root’, pl. <i>māyāŋâ</i> <i>fābê(là)</i> ‘tiny feeble thigh’, pl. <i>mābêlâ</i> <i>fāsāblâ</i> ‘tiny feeble maize plant’, pl. <i>māsāblâ</i> |
| Kuk | zūyù (9/10) ‘snake’, pl. <i>sázūyù</i> <i>ŋām</i> (9/10) ‘animal’, pl. <i>sāŋām</i> <i>īsāb</i> (5/6) ‘maize cob’, pl. <i>āsāb</i> | <i>fāzūglâ</i> ‘smallish snake’, pl. <i>māzūglâ</i> <i>fāŋāmâ</i> ‘small animal’, pl. <i>māŋāmâ</i> <i>fāsāb(lâ)</i> ‘smallish maize cob’, pl. <i>māsāblâ</i> |
| Men | <i>āfiá</i> (7/8) ‘thing’, pl. <i>ēfiá</i> <i>váin</i> (1/2) ‘child’, pl. <i>āyóin</i> <i>tsàm</i> (9/10) ‘dream’, pl. <i>sētsàm</i> | <i>fēfiâ</i> ‘small thing’, pl. <i>māfiâ</i> <i>fēyóintâ</i> ‘little child’, pl. <i>māyóintâ</i> <i>fātsámtâ</i> ‘small dream’, pl. <i>mātsámtâ</i> |
| Oku | <i>ābkún</i> (3/6a) ‘bed’, pl. <i>āmkún</i> <i>kētíe</i> (7/8) ‘chair’, pl. <i>ābtíe</i> <i>ntòn</i> (9/10) ‘pot’, pl. <i>ntònsè</i> | <i>fēkún(tè)</i> ‘small bed’, pl. <i>mēkún(tè)</i> <i>fētíe(lé)</i> ‘small chair’, pl. <i>mētíe(lé)</i> <i>fèntòn(nè)</i> ‘small pot’, pl. <i>mèntòn(nè)</i> |

The variety and optionality of incorporated affixes, both across and inside languages, makes it clear that we are not dealing with a single derivative process. Rather it suggests that there were byforms (possibly already old diminutives) that were used as the basis for the diminutive gender 19/6a. The existence, or at least independence from gender 19/6a, of these suffixed byforms can be seen in the Kuk suffix *-lâ* which Kießling (2016: 13) points out “is crucially involved in deriving *iyâ?lâ* ‘wing’ (pl. *sāyâ?lâ*) from *iyâ?à* ‘upper arm’ (pl. *sāyâ?à*).” The particular shapes of the frequent affixes *-lV* (cf. PB class 11 **du* and class 5 pre-prefix **dl-*) and *-tV* (cf. PB diminutive class 13 **tù-* (Gibson, Guérois & Marten 2017)) suggest that these suffixes have an origin in the noun class system, and we will briefly consider those two affixes.¹¹

The structure with suffixed *-lâ* (or reduced variants) appears to be an inheritance, both because of its relic status in some Ring languages and because the same pattern is found

¹¹ Relic noun suffixes in Eastern Grassfields, including “evidence of a class 5 *-li* suffix” are discussed in Elias, Leroy & Voorhoeve (1984: 38-39).

in some Yemne-Kimbi languages which share the Lower Fungom region with the Central Ring language Kung. Koshin has numerous diminutives of this sort, e.g. *ndì* ‘water’ ~ *fā-ndì-là* ‘small water’, *kā-fwá* ‘snail’ ~ *fā-fwá-lá* ‘small snail’ (Ousmanou 2014: 88-90). In the Mungbam lects, there is only one noun class marked by a circumfix (variously called class 13, 7a, 27): a plural class with prefix *ki-* and suffix *-lə* (or phonological variants), e.g. *ì-jǎ* / *kí-jǎ-lə* (5/13) ‘bee’, *ì-dzām* / *kí-dzām-nə* ‘back’ (Lovegren 2013: 137-141; Hombert 1980: 93). This class 13 often appears as the plural of class 5, which would explain the origin of the suffix. The Mungbam concords are never suffixal and as prefixes they are identical with the head noun prefixes, so it would not be surprising that the cl. 5 concord **-IV* was left orphaned and became reanalyzed with a different function.

In most cases, there is no obvious reason why a united diminutive gender should incorporate (i.e. be derived from) a singular class suffix or enclitic rather than a plural one and the specifics probably are to be found in the semantics and history of each word. We regularly see circumfixal variation of singular and plurals in Tiv, e.g. *í-ǰí-ǰ’* (7) ‘face’ with plurals *m-ǰí-m* (6a) and *í-ǰí-v’* (8) (Angitso 2020: 217), but it was easily levelled in most Bantoid languages, surviving in Bu (Yemne-Kimbi) only occasionally, e.g. *kādzákpā* / *bīdzáptā*. But, as stated before, collectives or mass nouns which exist in only a single class present no problem, and it seems that class 13 once had some of those attributes.¹² For example, note the presence in classes 19 and 13 of mass nouns like Moghamo (Momo) *tí-fóm* (13) ‘fat’ and *fí-ŋgwéŋ* (19) ‘salt’ and Babanki *tà-byú* (13) ‘excreta’ and *fā-mbváj* (19) ‘salt’. Stallcup (1980: 209) states that in Moghamo (Momo), besides being a diminutive gender, 19/13 “also contains a number of items which occur generally in profusion – ‘star, fly, bird’, etc.” A possible account is that PGr class 13 marker **tí* was losing its diminutive value in favor of a collective or profusional meaning and was replaced for that purpose by the collective class 6a; this led to **tí* becoming an unmarked plural in WGB and being entirely lost in EGB. The original diminutive meaning of **tí* only survives in the prefix of a few Moghamo relics and in these Ring suffixes. In the Yemne-Kimbi languages, Bu has a *-tə* suffix for its regular class 13 (= 27) plurals, e.g. *yān* / *kī-yān-tə* (3 or 5/13) ‘tail’, *tím* / *kī-tím-tə* (5/13) ‘axe’. Fang, another language in the Lower Fungom region, also sometimes has *tə* as the class

¹² See Hepburn-Gray (2020: 171) for the singular ‘liquid diminutive’ function of class 13 in the Bantu languages KiYombe and Luganda.

13 plural marker, both as a prefix and a suffix, e.g. *tīm* / *tà-tīm-kpā* (6/13) ‘axe’, *gwān* / *tà-gwān-tà* (6/13) ‘feather’; along with other circumfixal patterns (Mve et al. 2019: 173).

Looking beyond the nominal affixes, Lovegren (2013: 138-141) considers some overlaps in the formation of plurals in class 13 and that of deverbal adjectives in Mungbam, and Mve et al. (2019) note the parallels between Fang plural suffixes and imperfective verbal morphology.¹³ Hyman (2018: 194) thinks it is possible that the diminutive/attenuative **-tV* verbal suffix might have been influenced by the nominal suffix. Akumbu & Kießling (2020: 269) also remark that the Ring diminutive suffixes *-tV* and *-IV* “resemble the verbal extensions *-tV*, and *-IV* commonly found in Bantoid and in Grassfields,” but propose the opposite direction of influence — that the nominal suffixes are a spillover from attenuative verbal suffixes. Such unusual verbal crossovers would need to have been very productive to affect basic concrete nouns like Babanki *fà-jìlè* ‘small eye’ (<*ə-jì* (5/6) ‘eye’), and in this case it seems simpler to derive the suffix from an old class 5 concord **lə* in the same way that the class 10 suffix *-sə* developed. A detailed account of diminutive derivation and suffixation in Central Ring languages is found in Akumbu & Kießling (2020: 265-271).

A rare noun where an added syllable similar to a class marker is so pervasive that it has been reconstructed for Proto-Grassfields is the word for ‘ear’: PGr **túŋ-li*, Kom *ā-túŋlǐ*, Oku *kē-tó:lé*, Bum *ā-túŋló*, Men *ā-túŋná*, Isu *ká-túŋi*, Zoa *ká-tóŋgò*, Lamnso *kì-túʔúr*; Bafou (EG-Bamileke) *lè-tùŋj*, Bambalang (EG-Nun) *túná* / *pà-túná* (Babanki uses a different lexeme *kà-títítí* for this meaning). Likewise, PGr **búb-li* ‘dust’ yields Babungo *būtē*, Bu *ká-válá*, and PGr **bàb-li* ‘wing’ yields Babungo *γālè* and a different ending in Babessi *bòʔtə*. There is also a disyllabic reconstruction for PGr **gómí* ‘locust’, which continues into Ring: Babanki *ŋgùmá* / *ŋgùmáʔsá* (9/10), Kom *ŋgōmí*, Oku *ŋgō:mé*, as well as PGr **gŭlì* ‘feather’: Bafmeng *ēwúlà*, Aghem *íyúð* / *táyúð* (5/13).

An inherited suffix is also the likely explanation for the V2 in Babanki *kà-lyímá* (7) ‘tongue’ and its cognates in Ring and Momo: Kom *ī-lémí* (5), Oku *kā-lé:mê* (7), Mbizinaku

¹³ The authors are particularly curious about the similarity of the labio-velar suffix *-kpə* in some verbal imperfectives and plural nouns in Fang. But the suffix only occurs after stems ending in *-m*, which apparently labialized the **-kV* verbal iterative suffix and the **ki* or **kv* nominal class markers. One indication that the suffix was phonotactically determined at some stage is that it occurs as *-ŋkə* after nasals. In any case, the suffix is also seen as a singular element in Yemne-Kimbi, cf. ‘mouth’: Fang *dzye* / *bə-dzə-ŋkə* (7/8) and Bu *kī-dzə-kpə* / *bī-dzə-ptə*.

ī-lú:mâ, Lamnso' *kì-límí* (7) Babungo *ndílì*, Moghamo *í-némí*, Oshie *è-mèní*. Although not seen in West Ring or Eastern Grassfields, a final vowel is also present in Noni (Beboid) *lāmá* / *ē-lām* (5/6) or *jī-lāmá*, a language which usually loses V2 in nouns. A helpful clue to the origin of this V2 comes from Busam (SW Grassfields): *ī-léhní* 'tongue', where the final CV parallels that are found in *ī-tóhní* 'ear' and *a-báblì* 'wing' (Blench 2010), suggesting *-lV as the probable source of the Babanki V2, cognate with the prefix of PB **dù-dímì* 11 'tongue' (with class 5 byforms).

Some other Central Ring nouns look like they might include a suffix. However, it is difficult to tell whether the nouns in (11) have any verbal origin although they seem to have various extensions. Alternatively, it might be that some of the suffixes are class affixes.

(11) Babanki nouns with V2 or final CV of unknown origin

- a. *kà-γú?á* / *à-γú?á* (7/8) 'cowry' (also Kom *ā-γú?á*, Oku *kē-γékáí*, Men *ā-γá?á*, Bu *ká-γá?á*)
- b. *ndzù* / *và-ndzù* 'cane rat' (1) (also Kom *ndzìlì*, Oku *ndzìwìl*, Bum *ā-ndzìlì*, Men *ndzìlè*, Bu *ndzóelì*)
- c. *kà-ηγóηlá* (7) 'ant' (also Kom *fī-ηγóηlē*, Men *ā-ηγóηné*, Isu *vá-vóηō*)
- d. *kà-záηsá* / *à-záηsá* (7/8) 'stem, stalk (of maize, millet)' (also Kom *ā-yāηsá* 'sugarcane')
- e. *fà-ηγó?sà* / *mà-ηγó?sà* (19/6a) 'charm'
- f. *mbàsà* / *và-mbàsà* (1/2) 'soup, broth, vegetable'
- g. *ηkímà* / *và-ηkímà* (1/2) 'saucer'

We suspect that some of the nouns in (11) are borrowings or neologisms, but overall the origin of the nouns is unclear.

3.3 Allomorphic variation

In Ring languages, there are a few nouns in gender 1/2 with allomorphic variation between the singular and plural. They seem to preserve a historical disyllabic root in the plural. Class 1 nouns are usually marked by a zero morpheme in Ring languages, e.g. Babanki *ø-tsòη* / *và-tsóη* (1/2) 'thief /thieves', but a few have the rare *w-* singular prefix (from PWG **u-*). The most prominent example is 'person'.

(12) Forms of 'person' (1/2) in some Ring languages

- a. Central Ring: Babanki *wìʔ* / *víʔí*, Oku *wíl* / *ɣʔlí*, Kom *̄wúl* / *̄ɣéʔlá*
- b. West Ring: Aghem *wù* / *áyí*, Weh *wù* / *áyâ*
- c. East Ring: Lamnso' *wîr* / *wʔrí*

From the Central and East Ring forms, we might reconstruct the Proto-Ring (PR) forms as **ù-VC* / **bVCV* ‘person(s)’, with paradigmatic levelling or loss of V2 in the plural of the West Ring languages.

Although the PEG form has been reconstructed as **m̀* (Elias, Leroy & Voorhoeve 1984), some confirmation of a preserved V2 in Eastern Grassfields also comes from the Bamileke group: Bazou and Balengou *m̀ntà*, and Bangwa *m̀ntà* — forms that parallel PB **m̀-nt̀*.¹⁴ If we reconstruct PGr **m̀-nt̀V* / **bá-nt̀V*, the question becomes how various Ring languages ended up with one more syllable in cl. 2 forms than in cl. 1 forms. Do we need to explain the preservation of V2 in the plural or the reduction in the singular? Was the pattern of one-two syllable variation seen in Babanki *tsòŋ* / *v̀-tsòŋ* ‘thief /thieves’ extended to ‘person(s)’? Did the replacement of the PGr cl. 1 prefix **m̀-* with PWG **ù-* somehow lead to a resyllabification that created a three-syllable singular subject to reduction (e.g. **u-ntV* > **u-ŋ-tV* > **u-ŋ-t* > **wVt*)? In any case, it is not surprising to see an exceptional treatment of this common word which is often irregular cross-linguistically.

The word for ‘child’ (reconstructed roots PGr **áǹ* (1/2), PB **áǹ*) has irregular Ring forms with some variation in the number of syllables between the singular and plural. The final vowel is only preserved in Babanki *ẁǹ* (variant *ẁyǹ*) / *v̀ǹ* and Obang *yén* / *b̀ǹ*, in contrast to other Ring forms, e.g. Oku *ẁn* / *ɣ̀n*, Men *v̀yn* / *ɣ̀yn*. The non-downgliding L tone in Babanki *ẁǹ* ‘child’ is due to a final floating H which prevents the L tone from falling. The final floating tone must have resulted from the loss of V2, confirming that the final vowel in the Babanki plural is a preservation of a Proto-Grassfields V2.

As shown in (13), Babanki derives the words for ‘man’ and ‘woman’ from the word for ‘person’.

(13) Babanki derivation of ‘man’ and ‘woman’ from ‘person’

¹⁴ These conservative Grassfields forms in *-ntV* do not appear to be due to contact, at least not with the neighboring narrow Bantu languages, e.g., Tunen (A44) *m̀nd*, Nyokon (A45) *v̀s*, Yambeta (A462) *m̀̀t*, Tuki (A601) *m̀to*, Basaa (A43a) *mur* (Grollemund 2015).

- a. *wù lím* / *lí^umá* (1/2) ‘man’ < *wì?*¹⁵ à *lím* [‘person of male/husband’]
cf. *lím* / *və-lím* (1/2) ‘husband, male’ and *lòmà* / *və-lòmà* (1/2) ‘brother’, (PGr *lúm*,
PB **dómè* 1/2, adj)
- b. *wù wì°* / *kíí* (1/2) ‘woman’ < *wì?* à *wì* [‘person of wife] cf. *wì°* / *kíí* (1/2) ‘wife’ (PGr
**g(w)é*, PB **kádí* 1/2)

These nouns are unique in the language for not having class 2 forms beginning in *və-* or *v-*. Perhaps because of high frequency, these noun phrases were reduced to just the modifying second word (male, female) without any class marker, i.e. **ví?í vó vəlímV* > *lí^umá* and **ví?í vó kíí* > *kíí*. Or possibly the class marker in these plurals had become a suffix (which was later lost), since in the word for ‘man’ in some other Ring languages a nasal suffix is seen which looks like a marker of class 1 incorporated into the singular, e.g. Lamnso’ *lúmèn* / *vì-lúm* (1/2), which was sometimes generalized also to the plural, e.g. Kom *wú lùmnà* / *γà lúmnà* (1/2), Oku *èb-lómèn* / *è-lómèn* (1/2). In summary, the V2 in the word for ‘man’ in some Ring languages (like that seen in PB **dómè*) was preserved either due to the general pattern of two-syllable cl. 2 forms in these languages, or due to the loss or incorporation of a class marker or enclitic. Accordingly, it seems one should also reconstruct a V2 for PGr **lúmV̂*.

3.4 Other explanations

Most of the disyllabic noun forms in Babanki have been accounted for by the proposal of likely or possible suffixes, but a few other forms that denote living creatures remain. A particular subset are those in (14) formed by reduplication of noun stems.

(14) Babanki reduplicated noun stems

- a. *fə-wùfəwùfə* / *mə-wùfəwùfə* (19/6a) ‘fruit bat’
b. *fə-zùlàzùlà* / *mə-zùlàzùlà* (19/6a) ‘earthworm’
c. *kə-ŋgóngóŋ* / *ə-ŋgóngóŋ* (7/8) ‘ant’
d. *ə-kálákálá* / *tə-kálákálá* (5/13) ‘mud wasp’
e. *fə-njìnjì* / *mə-njìnjì* (19/6a) ‘fly’ (PGr **njì*, PB **gìngì* (1a/2, 9/10))

¹⁵ In Babanki noun phrases, the noun *wì?* ‘person’ regularly loses the glottal stop with modification of the vowel, cf. *wù búm* / *wì? ə̀ búm*/ (1) ‘hunter’ (i.e., ‘the person of the hunt’). The vowels might have undergone coalescence and it appears that the glottal stop is lost like certain coda consonants (specifically *ŋ* and *n*) when followed by a suffix, a clitic, or the prefix of a following word (Akumbu 2016). However, it is unclear why the glottal stop is preserved in the plural cl. 2 form *ví?í búm* / *ví?í ə̀ búm*/ (2) ‘hunters’.

These reduplicated nouns do not have a verbal origin, yet they have longer CV structures than the typical CVCV nouns reconstructed for PBC or PB and so it would not be surprising if they escaped whatever rule applied to the loss of V2 from CVCV nouns. Also, some of these are likely to have developed after the PR stage anyway, e.g. *kà-tí?ítí?í / à-tí?ítí?í* (7/8) ‘ear’, which is a Babanki neologism rather than the inherited PR **tuŋ-IV*.

In addition, there are only a few other nouns with final syllables which are not accounted for by any of the above processes. It is noteworthy that they are names of animals or insects, which often have long (expressive) stems in Bantu and other languages (Werner 1911, Walker 2008).

(15) Babanki nouns with final syllables of unknown sources

- a. *t̀̀l̀̀k̀̀í / v̀̀t̀̀l̀̀k̀̀í* (1/2) ‘tortoise’ (cf. Mmen *t̀̀l̀̀k̀̀í* (9))¹⁶
- b. *ŋgõŋkì / v̀̀ŋgõŋkì* (1/2) ‘crocodile’ (cf. PB *gòndé* 9)
- c. *k̀̀nt̀̀óf̀̀ù?* (7) ‘spider’
- d. *f̀̀s̀̀ònt̀̀f̀̀?* (19) ‘millepede’
- e. *k̀̀l̀̀àt̀̀ó / àl̀̀àt̀̀ó* (7/8) ‘dove’
- f. *t̀̀úb̀̀ù / v̀̀t̀̀úb̀̀ù* (1/2) ‘flea, jigger’

Finally, there is a group of disyllabic or polysyllabic stems ending in nasals, which do not have final vowels, but they are worth mentioning to complete the survey of Babanki nouns that are not monosyllabic.

(16) Babanki nouns with final nasal

- a. *ŋ̀̀ìŋ̀̀g̀̀òŋ̀̀ / v̀̀-ŋ̀̀ìŋ̀̀g̀̀òŋ̀̀* (1/2) ‘God, god’ East Grassfields: Bamoun *ŋ̀̀ìŋ̀̀* (or *m̀̀-ŋ̀̀ìŋ̀̀*) / *p̀̀àŋ̀̀ìŋ̀̀* (or *ŋ̀̀íŋ̀̀*) (1/2 or 4)
- b. *à̀̀b̀̀ìk̀̀áŋ̀̀ / v̀̀-à̀̀b̀̀ìk̀̀áŋ̀̀* (1/2) ‘lion’
- c. *t̀̀ám̀̀ág̀̀úŋ̀̀ / v̀̀-à̀̀t̀̀ám̀̀ág̀̀úŋ̀̀* (1/2) ‘kingfisher’
- d. *t̀̀óm̀̀ánd̀̀z̀̀íŋ̀̀ / v̀̀-à̀̀t̀̀óm̀̀ánd̀̀z̀̀íŋ̀̀* (1/2) ‘dragonfly’
- e. *t̀̀ít̀̀ẁ̀àn / v̀̀-à̀̀t̀̀ít̀̀ẁ̀àn* (1/2) ‘flag’
- f. *ŋ̀̀gẁ̀àl̀̀áŋ̀̀ / ŋ̀̀gẁ̀àl̀̀áŋ̀̀-^us̀̀* (9/10) ‘sword’
- g. *b̀̀ǎ̀l̀̀àŋ̀̀* (1) ‘groundnut, peanut’ (also Kom *b̀̀ǎ̀l̀̀èŋ̀̀*, Oku *b̀̀íl̀̀èŋ̀̀*, Men *p̀̀ě̀l̀̀àŋ̀̀*, East Grassfields: Bamoun *p̀̀í̀r̀̀én*, Baleng *b̀̀í̀ỳ̀áŋ̀̀* (PGr **b̀̀í̀ỳ̀áŋ̀̀ ~ *b̀̀íl̀̀áŋ̀̀*)

¹⁶ This word seems to be much more widespread and probably borrowed from Cameroon Pidgin English.

These polysyllabics may be compounds, which is why they do not have the V2 discussed in the rest of the paper.

4. Summary

The loss of V2 in inherited roots is shared by all Western Grassfields languages, so a common historical development seems to have been involved. The development must have stopped or was constrained at some later stage, due to morphological processes or borrowing, because there are a number of exceptions in today's languages, as evident in Babanki. In this paper, we have examined all the categories and proposed explanations for the contemporary forms in Ring languages.

The varying treatment of certain nouns in different languages suggests that the process of V-2 deletion might have still been active until recently, or perhaps more likely that similar vowel loss could have been applied at multiple times. The counterbalancing incorporation of nominal and verbal affixes, which added new final vowels, probably also happened in more than one way. The entire process was lengthy and quite complex, but we can sketch out some of what might have happened:

- i. Grassfields inherited monosyllabic, disyllabic and possibly trisyllabic or reduplicated roots. As Kießling (2019: 140) observes for Kung, “while the overwhelming majority of nominal roots is monosyllabic, their tone pattern reflects an earlier disyllabic pattern in that two lexical tones are usually associated with a segmental root structure which basically provides only one TBU. As a consequence, the terminal tone comes to act like a floating tone....” Floating tones resulting from the loss of TBUs are present all over the area and are central to the analysis of Grassfields Bantu languages (Hyman & Tadadjeu 1976, Hyman 1979). The Ring words for ‘person’ and ‘male’ suggest that more roots should be reconstructed with full V2 at the PGr stage and that the development to floating tones or loss of V2 should be seen as later in a number of cases. For verbs, the roots might have been monosyllabic but they regularly had TAM suffixes yielding disyllabic or polysyllabic surface forms with V2, even without extensions.
- ii. At an early stage (during PGr, PWG?), there was widespread reduction or deletion of V2 which needs to be clarified. This seems to have been a phonological process,

but the generalization of morphemes as monosyllabic may also have played a role. Sometimes C2 is also deleted.

- iii. There are restrictions on the transition to monosyllabic stems, as verbal extensions (apparently separate morphemes not counting previously as part of the phonological word) and certain noun affixes become part of the phonological word. For example, the addition of -Cə extensions to CVC roots puts pressure on the vowel in the extension not to delete, as this would otherwise produce final CC sequences. Perhaps this accounts for the preservation of -Cə even after some CV roots. The results are disyllabic or polysyllabic stems with V2.
- iv. Deverbatives continue to be formed from disyllabic verbs (the result of extensions).
- v. Verbal extensions cease to be productive.
- vi. At the contemporary state, most nouns and verbs have monosyllabic stems, but disyllabic stems exist due to the incorporation of what were once verbal and nominal affixes.

References

- Akumbu, Pius W. 2016. Babanki coda consonant deletion and vowel raising: A case of allomorphy. *Proceedings of the 42nd annual meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*, 3–20.
- Akumbu, Pius W. 2020. Babanki verbal extensions. In Eno-Abasi Urua, Francis Egbokhare, Oluseye Adesola & Harrison Adeniyi (eds.), *African languages in time and space: A festschrift in honour of Akinbiyi Akinlabi*. Ibadan: Zenith BookHouse Publishers, 137–147.
- Akumbu, Pius W. & Roland Kießling. 2020. The expression of diminutivity in Central Ring Grassfields Bantu. *Afrika und Übersee* 93(1), 257–280. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15460/auue.2020.93.1.203>.
- Akumbu, Pius W. & Jeffrey Wills. 2022. Remnants of nasal prefixes in Western Grassfields Bantu. *Africana Linguistica*. 28: 3–23. Doi: 10.2143/AL.28.0.3291206
- Anderson, Stephen C. 1979. Verb structure [of Aghem]. In Larry M. Hyman (ed.), *Aghem grammatical structure*, 73–136. Southern California Occasional Papers in Linguistics, 7, part 2.
- Anderson, Stephen C. 2014. *A phonological sketch of Isu*. Yaoundé: Ministry of Scientific and Technical Research and SIL Cameroon.

- Asohisi, Melvice. 2015. *Structural and typological approaches to Obang grammar*. Köln: Köppe.
- Bastin, Yvonne, André Coupez, Evariste Mumba, & Thilo C. Schadeberg (eds.). 2002. *Reconstructions lexicales bantoues 3 / Bantu Lexical Reconstructions 3*. Tervuren: Musée Royal de l’Afrique Centrale.
https://www.africamuseum.be/en/research/discover/human_sciences/culture_society/blr (last updated November 2005).
- Blench, Roger M. 2010. The Momo and ‘Western Momo’ languages: Branches of Grassfields. Ms. Kay Williamson Educational Foundation.
- Blench, Roger M. 2011. Ngiemboon verbal extensions: A new analysis. Ms. Kay Williamson Educational Foundation.
- Blench, Roger M. 2016. Lamnso’ verb extensions. Ms. University of Cambridge.
- Blench, Roger M. 2022. The relevance of Bantoid for the reconstruction of Proto-Bantu verbal extensions. In Koen Bostoen, Gilles-Maurice de Schryver, Rozenn Guérois & Sara Pacchiarotti (eds.), *On reconstructing Proto-Bantu grammar*. Berlin: Language Science Press, 235–280.
- Crabb, David W. 1965. *Ekoid Bantu Languages of Ogoja, Eastern Nigeria: Part I: Introduction, Phonology and Comparative Vocabulary*. (Westafrican Linguistic Monographs, 4.) Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Elias, Philip, Jacqueline Leroy & Jan Voorhoeve. 1984. Mbam-Nkam or Eastern Grassfields. *Afrika & Übersee* 48: 31–107.
- Gibson, Hannah, Rozenn Guérois & Lutz Marten. 2017. Patterns and developments in the marking of diminutives in Bantu. *Nordic Journal of African Studies* 26(4). 344–383.
- Good, Jeff. 2022. Reconstructing the development of the Bantu final vowels. In Koen Bostoen, Gilles-Maurice de Schryver, Rozenn Guérois & Sara Pacchiarotti (eds.), *On reconstructing Proto-Bantu grammar*. Berlin: Language Science Press, 173–234.
- Grollemund, Rebecca, Simon Branford, Koen Bostoen, Andrew Meade, Chris Venditti & Mark Pagel. 2015. Bantu expansion shows that habitat alters the route and pace of human dispersals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112(43): 13296–13301.

- Hepburn-Gray, Robert. 2020. *Niger-Congo Noun Classes: Reconstruction, Historical Implications, and Morphosyntactic Theory*. Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at Buffalo.
- Hombert, J.-M. 1980. Noun classes of the Beoid languages. In L.M. Hyman (ed.), *Noun Classes in the Grassfields Bantu Borderland*. SCOPIL 8. Los Angeles: University of Southern California, 83-98.
- Hyman, Larry M. 2018. Common Bantoid verb extensions. In John R. Watters (ed.), *Eastern Benue-Congo: Nouns, pronouns and verbs*. Berlin: Language Science Press, 173–198.
- Hyman, Larry M. 1979a. Proto-Grassfields Bantu roots.
<http://comparalex.org/?w1=133>.
- Hyman, Larry M. 1979b. Tonology of the Babanki noun. *Studies in African Linguistics* 10: 159–178.
- Hyman, Larry M. & Maurice Tadadjeu. 1976. Floating tones in Mbam-Nkam. In Larry M. Hyman (ed.), *Studies in Bantu tonology*, SCOPIL 3. Los Angeles: University of Southern California, 57–111.
- Kießling, Roland. 2004. Kausation, Wille und Wiederholung in der verbalen Derivation der westlichen Ring-Sprachen (Weh, Isu). In Raimund Kastholz & Anne Storch (eds.), *Sprache und Wissen in Afrika*. Köln: Köppe, 159–181.
- Kießling, Roland. 2010. Focalisation and defocalisation in Isu. In Ines Fiedler & Anne Schwarz (eds.), *The expression of information structure*, 145–163. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Kießling, Roland. 2012. High vowel reduplication and infix genesis in Isu (West Ring). In Pius N. Tamanji & Gabriel M. Mba (eds.), *Aspects of reduplication in languages of Cameroon and Senegal*. München: LINCOM, 6-31.
- Kießling, Roland. 2016. Kuk. Ms, University of Hamburg.
- Kießling, Roland. 2017. A (morpho-(tonological and)) semantic perspective on the tense system of Isu (Grassfields Bantu, Cameroon). In Arne Krause, Gesa Lehmann, Winfried Thielmann & Caroline Trautmann (eds.), *Form und Funktion. Festschrift für Angelika Redder zum 65. Geburtstag*, 243–258. Tübingen: Stauffenburg.
- Kießling, Roland. 2019. Salient features of the noun class system of Kung in a Ring perspective. In Pius W. Akumbu & Esther P. Chie (eds.), *Engagement with Africa: Linguistic essays in honor of Ngessimo M. Mutaka*. Köln: Köppe, 139–161.

- Lemb, Pierre & François de Gastines. 1973. *Dictionnaire basaa-français*, Douala: Collège Libermann.
- Leroy, Jacqueline. 1982. Extensions en mankon. In Gabriel Nissim, Gladys Guarisma & Jan Voorhoeve (eds.), *Le verbe bantou*. Paris: LACITO, 125–138.
- Leroy, Jacqueline. 2003. *Grammaire du mankon: langue du bantou des grassfields, parlée dans la province nordouest du cameroun*. Thèse de Doctorat d'Etat, Université Paris III.
- Loh, Christopher N. 2001. Tonological processes in the Kom verb phrase. MA thesis, University of Yaoundé 1.
- Lovegren, Jesse. 2013. *Mungbam grammar*. Doctoral Dissertation, State University of New York at Buffalo.
- Mba, Gabriel & Blasius Chiatoh. 2003. Verbal extensions in Kom. In Daniel F. Idiata & Gabriel Mba (eds.), *Studies on voice through verbal extensions in nine Bantu languages spoken in Cameroon, Gabon, DRC and Rwanda*. München: Lincom, 81–112.
- Mbuagbaw, Tanyi Eyong. 2000. *Kenyang segmental phonology*. Yaoundé: Cameroon Association for Bible Translation & Literacy.
- Möller, Mirjam. 2012. The noun and verb in Mmen a Center Ring Grassfields Bantu language. Yaoundé: SIL.
- Mve, Patrick, Nelson C. Tschonghonge, Pierpaolo Di Carlo & Jeff Good. 2019. Cultural distinctiveness and linguistic esoterogeny – The case of the Fang language of Lower Fungom, Cameroon. In Pius W. Akumbu & Esther P. Chie (eds.), *Engagement with Africa: Linguistic essays in honor of Ngessimo M. Mutaka*. Köln: Köppe, 163–178.
- Mve, Patrick. 2013. *Aspects of the phonology of Fáj*. Master's thesis. University of Yaoundé I.
- Ngum, Comfort Che. 2004. *Verbal extensions in Meta'*. Maîtrise thesis, University of Yaoundé I.
- Nurse, Derek. 2008. *Tense and Aspect in Bantu*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ousmanou. 2014. *How to Disclose the Environment through Linguistic Description: A Basic Linguistic Analysis of Koshin ["Beboid" Bantu, Cameroon]*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Yaoundé I.
- Schadeberg, Thilo C. 2003. Derivation, in Derek Nurse & Gérard Philipson (eds.), *The Bantu Languages*. New York: Routledge, 71–89.

- Stallcup, Kenneth Lyell. 1980. The Momo languages. In Luc Bouquiaux, Larry M. Hyman & Jan Voorhoeve (eds.), *Les classes nominales dans le bantou des Grassfields = L'expansion bantoue: actes du colloque international du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Viviers 4-16 avril 1977*, 193–224. Paris: SELAF.
- Tamanji, Pius N. 2009. *A descriptive grammar of Bafut*. Cologne: Köppe.
- Tamanji, Pius & Gabriel Mba. 2003. A morphological study of verbal extension in Bafut. In Daniel Franck Idiata & Gabriel Mba (eds.), *Studies on voice through verbal extensions in nine Bantu languages spoken in Cameroon, Gabon, DRC and Rwanda*. München: Lincom, 15–38.
- Thormoset, David. 2007. Phonological reconstruction and the Aghem central vowels. MA thesis, University of Calgary.
- Walker, Clive. 2008. *Signs of the world: A field guide to the spoor & signs of the mammals of Southern Africa*. Durban: Struik Publishers.
- Watters, John. 2003. Grassfields Bantu. In Derek Nurse & Gérard Philipson (eds.), *The Bantu languages*. New York: Routledge, 225–56.
- Werner, Alice. 1911. The names of animals in the Bantu languages. *Revue d'Ethnographie et de la Sociologie*, 19–25.