

# Green and innovative extraction of polyphenols from Rhamnus alaternus using natural deep eutectic solvents and evaluation of their bioactivities

Amine Nekkaa, Akila Benaissa, Abd El Djalil Lalaouna, François Dupire, Arnaud Risler, Fabrice Mutelet, Laetitia Canabady-Rochelle

## ▶ To cite this version:

Amine Nekkaa, Akila Benaissa, Abd El Djalil Lalaouna, François Dupire, Arnaud Risler, et al.. Green and innovative extraction of polyphenols from Rhamnus alaternus using natural deep eutectic solvents and evaluation of their bioactivities. Journal of Applied Research on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, 2023, 35, pp.100503. 10.1016/j.jarmap.2023.100503. hal-04248451

## HAL Id: hal-04248451 https://hal.science/hal-04248451

Submitted on 19 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Green and Innovative Extraction of Polyphenols from *Rhamnus alaternus* using Natural Deep Eutectic Solvents and Evaluation of their Bioactivities

5	Amine Nekkaa, <sup>[a,b]</sup> * Akila Benaissa, <sup>[c]</sup> Abd El Djalil Lalaouna, <sup>[d]</sup> François Dupire, <sup>[e]</sup> Arnaud
6	Risler, <sup>[e]</sup> Fabrice Mutelet, <sup>[a]</sup> Laetitia Canabady-Rochelle <sup>[a]</sup> *

8 [a] Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LRGP, F-54000 Nancy, France

9 [b] Process Engineering Laboratory for Sustainable Development and Health Products, National 10 Polytechnic School of Constantine, Constantine 25000, Algeria

- 11 [c] Drug and Sustainable Development Laboratory (ReMeDD), Faculty of Process Engineering,
- 12 University Salah Boubnider Constantine 3, Constantine 25000, Algeria
- 13 [d] Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University Salah Boubnider,
- 14 Constantine 3, Constantine 25000, Algeria
- 15 [e] Université de Lorraine, CNRS, L2CM, 54000 Nancy, France
- 16

4

- 17 \* Corresponding authors
- 18 \*Laetitia Canabady-Rochelle
- 19 E-mail: laetitia.canabady-rochelle@univ-lorraine.fr
- 20 Tel: +33(0) 3 72 74 38 86
- 21 \*Amine Nekkaa
- 22 E-mail: amine.nekkaa25@gmail.com
- 23 Tel: +33(0) 6 12 49 67 91
- 24

#### 25 Abstract

Phenolic compounds, naturally present in plants, are particularly relevant as nutraceutics for 26 27 their antioxidant activities. Here, choline chloride-based natural deep eutectic solvents 28 (NaDESs) were used for efficient extraction of total phenolic compounds (TPC) from leaves, 29 pods and roots of *Rhamnus alaternus* plant. In each extract, the polyphenols content and their antioxidant activities were evaluated. More, their antibacterial activity was carried out against 30 Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The investigated extracts presented antioxidant and 31 32 antimicrobial activities. The leaves, pods and roots extracts obtained using the choline chloride-33 glycerol (ChCl-Gly) mixture exhibited a high value of TPC comprised within 437.7 and 317.0 mg GAE/100 g d.w. and showed the best antioxidant properties with ABTS radical scavenging 34 capacity (IC<sub>50</sub>: from 44.55 to 53.56 µg/mL), DPPH assay (IC<sub>50</sub>: from 26.36 to 118.23 µg/mL), 35 36 reducing activity (EC<sub>50</sub>: from 77.53 to 85.05 µg/mL) and iron chelation activity (EC<sub>50</sub>: from 91.73 37 to 108.7 µg/mL). In contrast, choline chloride-urea (ChCl-Ur) extracts showed a low antioxidant capacity. Lastly, the best bioactive extracts were characterized using HPLC-MS/MS to determine 38 their bioactive compounds. The present study suggests that the DES-based method developed 39 was selective, efficient and sustainable for extraction of polyphenols. 40

41

Keywords: *Rhamnus alaternus*, natural deep eutectic solvents, green extraction, sustainable
process, bioactive compounds, antioxidant, antimicrobial activity.

44

#### 46 **1. Introduction**

Recently, the green extraction techniques have become of utmost importance in research 47 48 related to biomolecules production. Therefore, food, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries 49 operated a transition from conventional extraction to the green extraction processes, using alternative cost-effective and earth-friendly solvents (Nagarajan et al., 2019; Palos-Hernández 50 et al., 2022). Considering environmental protection and collective awareness, consumers are 51 more and more demanding for highly valued bio-based products (Bugge et al., 2016; Catone et 52 53 al., 2021). Plants are an important source of various bioactive molecules notably polyphenolic 54 compounds (Si et al., 2016), known for their pharmacological properties including antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and anticancer effects (Zhang et al., 2011). 55

Rhamnus alaternus, popularly called "Imlilesse", belongs to the Rhamnaceae family and the 56 Reynosia Genus. Widely distributed around the Mediterranean region, this plant has been 57 widely consumed as infusion for thousands of years, notably for treating dermatological 58 complications and for its hypotensive, laxative, purgative effect (Ben Ammar et al., 2007). 59 Recent investigations have demonstrated the health benefits and high functional activities of 60 61 bioactive compounds present in R. alaternus including antioxidant, antibacterial, antihyperlipidemic, antigenotoxic and antimutagenic activities (Bhouri et al., 2011; Tacherfiout 62 et al., 2018). The main bioactive substances identified in various parts of R. alaternus are 63 polyphenols, flavonoids, anthraquinones, coumarin and anthocyanins (Longo et al., 2005; 64 65 Moussi et al., 2015). Polyphenols are the most active constituents in secondary metabolites of 66 plants with high biological activities including antioxidant capacity (Quideau et al., 2011).

To date, the extraction of bioactive compounds from *R. alaternus* is largely carried out using 67 68 conventional solid-liquid extraction methods involving organic solvents such as ethanol, acetone and ethyl acetate (Boussahel et al., 2015). However, the consumption of such solvents 69 70 may contribute to environmental pollution due to their toxicity, volatility and flammability. In 71 this regard, at the beginning of the 2000's, Natural Deep Eutectic Solvents (NaDESs) emerged as alternative for green chemistry. NaDESs were simply prepared by mixing hydrogen bond donors 72 (HBD) and hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA) (Trivedi et al., 2016). Characterized by their low 73 toxicity, biodegradability, high solubility, biocompatibility, low vapor pressure and being eco-74 75 friendly, NaDESs are appropriate for substituting conventional solvents (Jeong et al., 2015). Furthermore, NaDESs were successfully applied for the extraction of bioactive compounds such 76 77 as polyphenols, flavonoids and saponins from natural resources (Dai et al., 2013; Sillero et al., 2021). 78

79 To the author's knowledge, the extraction of bioactive compounds from *R. alaternus* has never been explored using Natural Deep Eutectic Solvents. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate 80 the efficiency of three NaDESs, in order to develop green and sustainable process for the 81 82 extraction of high-value phenolic compounds, from various parts of R. alaternus (i.e., leaves, pods and roots) and to investigate the bioactive properties of collected extracts. To reach this 83 84 aim, three NaDESs were investigated and constituted of choline chloride as HBA and of glycerol, 85 ethylene glycol or urea, as HBD. Compared to conventional ethanol extraction, each extract was evaluated for its total polyphenol content (TPC) and its related bioactivities, notably its 86 antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. Finally, the most promising NaDES extract in terms of 87 88 TPC was characterized with LC-MS/MS analysis in order to identify its major constituents.

#### 89 2. Material and methods

#### 90 **2.1.** Plant materials, reagents, equipment and consumable

*R. alaternus* was collected from Beni Ourthilane region - Algeria (36°19'57"N,5°5'19"E) in July
2019. A voucher specimen (Ra-19-001) was kept in the laboratory of pharmacognosy, pharmacy
department, University Salah Boubnider - Constantine 3, Algeria. Dried at room temperature
under shadow, the leaves, pods and roots of *R. alaternus* were ground using a cutting mill
(SM100 RETSCH, Retsch, Haan, Germany) to obtain a fine powder, stored in controlled
atmosphere until use.

(2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic 97 ABTS acid)), DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl) and Trolox<sup>®</sup> were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Sodium 98 phosphate dibasic dihydrate and sodium phosphate monobasic dihydrate were provided from 99 VWR Chemicals (Leuven, Belgium). The ascorbic acid, potassium persulfate, ferrozine (3-(2-100 101 pycril)-5,6-diphenyl-1,2,4-triazine-4',4"-disulfonic acid sodium salt), iron (II) chloride, EDTA, Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent (2N), gallic acid, potassium ferricyanide (III), iron (III) chloride, 102 iron (III) ferrocyanide, trichloroacetic acid, sodium carbonate, ethylene glycol, choline chloride, 103 104 urea and glycerol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Methanol and ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich) were of analytical grade. Water was purified by Arum<sup>®</sup> 611 water 105 purification system (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). For the homogeneity of the unities, all 106 107 concentrations in the manuscript were presented in mass/volume. CAS number and purity of each chemical used are given in the Supplementary data (Table S1). For antioxidant tests, all 108 spectrophotometry experiments were carried out using Thermo Scientific Multiskan<sup>™</sup> 109 spectrophotometer and 96 wells-microplates (Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup>, Roskild, Denmark). 110

#### 111 2.2. Solid-liquid extraction

#### 112 **2.2.1.** Natural deep eutectic solvents preparation

Three natural deep eutectic solvents (NaDESs) were selected for the extraction of phenolic 113 compounds from R. alaternus's leaves, pods and roots: choline chloride-glycerol (ChCl-Gly), 114 115 choline-chloride-ethylene glycol (ChCl-EG) and choline chloride-urea (ChCl-Ur). These NaDESs were prepared as previously described (Tang & Row, 2020; Wan Mahmood et al., 2019). Briefly, 116 117 the hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) i.e., choline chloride (ChCl) was mixed either with the 118 hydrogen bond donor (HBD) *i.e.*, ethylene glycol (EG), glycerol (Gly) or with urea (Ur) at a molar 119 ratio 1:2. These solvents were prepared at 80 °C under stirring using a magnetic agitator (2mag MIX 15; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) until the formation of a transparent and homogenous 120 liquid (3 - 4 h). 121

The prepared NaDESs were mixed with water (8:2 v/v) to reduce their viscosity and change their polarity in order to increase the extraction efficiency by improving the solubility of TPC in the corresponding solvents (Chanioti & Tzia, 2018). Indeed, the high viscosity of NaDESs used for extraction process can reduce the mass transport efficiency (Dai et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2015). Yet, the water content mixed to NaDESs must not be too high since it could break the hydrogen bonds within the NaDESs constituents (Vilková et al., 2020).

128 **2.2.2. Extraction process** 

The phenolic compounds from various part of *R. alaternus* (*i.e.*, leaves, pods and roots) were separated by solid-liquid extraction (**SLE**). Briefly, 1 g of powder obtained from each part of the plant was dissolved in 10 mL of solvent, constituted of one NaDES mixed with water in a 8:2

(v/v) ratio. Each SLE experiment was led for 24 h at 30 °C using a thermostated waterbath, and 132 133 under stirring using a multipoint magnetic stirrer (2mag MIX 15, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) set at 350 rpm. After extraction, each sample was centrifuged (Hettich universal 320, Sérézin du 134 Rhône, France; 1008 g, 10 min) to remove any solid impurities. The collected supernatants were 135 then filtered through 0.45 µm filter (Millex<sup>®</sup> Syringe Filter, PTFE, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, 136 Germany) in order to eliminate any remaining particles before further analysis. Each extract 137 was named by its part of the plant (leaves, pods or roots) followed by the nature of the solvent 138 139 used for SLE extraction (ChCl-Gly, ChCl-EG, ChCl-Ur, or Ethanol). After extraction, each extract was diluted by successive tenfold dilution in ultrapure water until the final dilution factor of 140 1:1000 (v/v) and was used as initial extract for further analysis (polyphenols identification, 141 antioxidant and antimicrobial activity, and HPLC/MS/MS analysis). 142

#### 143 **2.3. Determination of the total phenolic content**

For all investigated extracts, the total phenolic content (**TPC**) was determined by Folin-Ciocalteu method adapted to a microplate assay (Singleton & Rossi, 1965). Then, 220  $\mu$ L of ultrapure water, 5  $\mu$ L of each diluted extract, 15  $\mu$ L of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (10 % v/v) and 60  $\mu$ L of aqueous sodium carbonate (7.5 % w/v) were mixed in each well. Finally, the microplate was stored in the dark for 60 min at ambient temperature, and analysed at 765 nm by spectrophotometry. The absorbance of each sample was measured five times.

The total phenolic compound was determined using a calibration curve performed with gallic acid as standard, in a concentration range varying from 0 to 35  $\mu$ g/mL (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.998). The results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent (mg **GAE**) per 100 grams of plant powder (d.w.).

#### 154 **2.4.** Determination of antioxidant capacity of the extracts

#### 155 **2.4.1. Radical scavenging activity**

**ABTS radical scavenging activity.** To evaluate the ability of various extracts from *R. alaternus* to 156 scavenge the ABTS<sup> $^{\circ}+</sup> radical, the method described by Re et al. (1999) was followed. Initially,</sup>$ 157 16.55 mg potassium persulfate was added to 25 mL of the ABTS solution (7 mM) prepared in 158 ultrapure water, allowing the mixture to stand for 12 to 16 h in the dark, at ambient 159 temperature. The concentrated ABTS<sup>°+</sup> solution was then diluted with 4 mM phosphate buffer 160 pH 7.4 to reach an absorbance value of 0.7  $\pm$  0.02 at 734 nm. Then, 150  $\mu L$  of ABTS  $^{^{\circ +}}$  diluted 161 solution was added to 150 µL of extracts, previously prepared at various concentrations (0 - 120 162  $\mu$ g/mL) in the phosphate buffer. After 10 min of incubation, the absorbance was measured at 163 734 nm. Each concentration was repeated five times. The Trolox<sup>®</sup> was investigated as reference 164 from 0 to 25 µg/mL in the 4 mM phosphate buffer. From the absorbance measurement, the 165 radical scavenging activity (%) was calculated as follows (Equation 1) in order to plot a 166 calibration curve (Radical scavenging activity (%) vs. Trolox<sup>®</sup> concentration): 167

$$Radical scavenging activity (\%) = \frac{A_{734 (control)} - A_{734 (sample)}}{A_{734 (control)}} \times 100$$
(1)

168 Where  $A_{734 \ (control)}$  is the absorbance of the control (initial ABTS<sup>\*+</sup> radical solution; the sample volume 169 was replaced by ultrapure water), and  $A_{734 \ (sample)}$  is the absorbance of the remaining radical in the 170 presence of biological extracts or Trolox<sup>\*</sup>.

DPPH radical scavenging activity. The ability of various extracts from *R. alaternus* to scavenge
 the 2,2-diphenyl-1 picrylhydrazyl free radical was evaluated by the DPPH *in vitro* assay similarly
 to Brand-Williams et al. (1995), with slight modifications. After extraction, each extract was first
 diluted in ultrapure water. Briefly, 150 μL of each diluted extract after solid-liquid extraction

(final investigated concentration: 0 to 100  $\mu$ g/mL) was added to 150  $\mu$ L of the methanolic DPPH solution (40  $\mu$ g/mL) daily prepared. Each investigated concentration was repeated 5 times. The mixture was homogenized vigorously using a micropipette for 1 min and stored in the dark at ambient temperature for 30 min. Then, the sample absorbance was read at 517 nm by spectrophotometry. Ascorbic acid (150  $\mu$ L) was investigated from 5 to 100  $\mu$ g/mL as standard for plotting the calibration curve. The radical scavenging activity (%) of each extract or standard solution was calculated as follows (**Equation 2**):

182 Radical scavenging activity (%) = 
$$\frac{A_{517 (control)} - A_{517 (sample)}}{A_{517 (control)}} \times 100$$
 (2)

183 Where  $A_{517 (control)}$  was the absorbance of the blank DPPH<sup>°</sup> reagent in the presence of methanol and 184  $A_{517 (sample)}$  the absorbance of the DPPH<sup>°</sup> reagent solution mixed either with the ascorbic acid or the 185 plant extracts.

The Inhibitory Concentration 50 ( $IC_{50}$ ) – the concentration that scavenges 50 % of DPPH<sup>°</sup> free radicals ( $\mu$ g/mL) - was calculated for all *R. alaternus* extracts and compared with the one of ascorbic acid.

#### 189 2.4.2. Reducing power

The reducing capacity of each biological extract was investigated by the method described by Oyaizu. (1986), with slight modifications. Briefly, 70  $\mu$ L of various samples extracted and diluted in microplate from (0 to 250  $\mu$ g/mL) were prepared in phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and then added with 35  $\mu$ L of potassium ferricyanide (K3FeCN6; 1 % w/v). After 20 min of incubation at 50 °C, 135  $\mu$ L of ultrapure water was added in each well, followed by 33  $\mu$ L of trichloroacetic acid (10 % w/v) and 27  $\mu$ L of iron (III) chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>; 0.1 % w/v). After 10 min of incubation at 25 °C, the absorbance was measured at 700 nm. Ascorbic acid (70  $\mu$ L) was investigated as positive control from 5 to 70 µg/mL. Each concentration was repeated 5 times.
The reducing capacity of the various extracts was evaluated as follows:

199 Reducing capacity (%) = 
$$100 - \left(\frac{A_{700 (control)} - A_{700 (sample)}}{A_{700 (conrol)}} \times 100\right)$$
 (3)

200 Where:  $A_{700 (control)}$  is the absorbance of a 66  $\mu$ M-Prussian blue solution in the absence of ascorbic 201 acid, which was experimentally determined at 0.83 absorbance value at 700 nm,

And  $A_{700 (sample)}$  is the sample or ascorbic acid absorbance at 700 nm.

#### 203 **2.4.3.** Iron (II) chelating activity

The ability of various extracts from *R. alaternus* to chelate  $Fe^{2+}$  was evaluated using the method 204 205 described by Canabady-Rochelle et al. (2015) adapted from Decker & Welch. (1990). Briefly, 7.5  $\mu$ L of ferrous chloride solution (FeCl<sub>2</sub>, 253.4  $\mu$ g/mL) was mixed with 277.5  $\mu$ L of extract diluted 206 in order to investigate various calculated concentrations (from 0 to 300 µg/mL) in ultrapure 207 water. After 3 min of incubation at 25 °C, 15 µL of ferrozine solution (5 mM) was added in each 208 well. The microplate was shaken using the spectrophotometer agitator, and then incubated for 209 10 min at 25 °C before absorbance measurement at 562 nm. EDTA was investigated as 210 reference from 0 to 10 µg/mL. Each sample and standard were repeated (n = 5). The iron-211 chelating capacity (%) was calculated as follows (Equation 4): 212

$$Iron - chelation \ capacity \ (\%) = \frac{A_{562 \ (control)} - A_{562 \ (sample)}}{A_{562 \ (control)}} \times 100$$
(4)

213 Where the blank  $A_{562 (control)}$  and  $A_{562 (sample)}$  correspond to the absorbance of ultrapure water in 214 the absence of sample and to the absorbance of sample, both mixed with all reagents.

#### 216 **2.5. Antimicrobial activity of the plant extracts**

#### 217 **2.5.1.** Antimicrobial test

To evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the samples and NaDESs, extracts of *R. alaternus* and solvents alone were tested against two Gram+ bacteria (*i.e., Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 (Sa) and *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212 (Ef)) and three Gram- bacteria strains (*i.e., Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 (Pa), *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 (Ec) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 (Kp)). All strains were obtained from the L2CM laboratory (UMR 7053 CNRS-UL, France). The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) were determined (Section 22.5.3.).

#### 225 **2.5.2.** Preparation of the inoculum

Bacteria cells were grown  $18 \pm 2$  h at 35 °C in Mueller-Hinton broth, cation-adjusted (**MHB-CA**). They were then centrifugated (3000 g, 5 min) and collected pellets were diluted in 10 mL NaCl 0.85 % (w/v). The absorbance was recorded at 540 nm with a microplate reader (Thermo Multiskan FC). Bacteria cells were diluted in MHB-CA to 0.5 McFarland turbidity and further diluted to 1:100 to reach a final concentration of 1 [0.4 - 1.6].10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL.

#### 231 2.5.3. MIC determination

The MIC- defined as the lowest concentration of extract with no visible bacterial growth – was determined using the microdilution broth method in 96-well microplates (Eloff, 1998) following the standard (ISO 20776-1, 2019) guidelines with some adaptations.

First, solvents (Ethanol and NaDESs) or extracts were diluted in MHB-CA (final volume: 50  $\mu$ L). Then, 50  $\mu$ L of bacterial inoculum were added to each well for a total volume of 100  $\mu$ L per microplate well (final bacterial concentration: 5  $[2 - 8].10^5$  CFU/mL). Growth controls (*i.e.*, bacteria + MHB-CA), sterility control (medium without bacteria), and product control (medium without bacteria with solvents (*i.e.*, Ethanol, ChCl-Gly, ChCl-EG, ChCl-Ur) or extracts) were performed. Each test was repeated 8 times on the microplate. Plates were then incubated 18 ± 2 h at 35 °C. The MIC was expressed here as the % of extract (v/v) in the wells.

First, solvents were investigated alone at 25, 20, 15, 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.6 and 0.3 % to determine the maximal concentration to use without affecting the cell growth. Then, the extracts were studied from 1 % to 0.004 % (two-fold serial dilution) in a first set of experiments (n = 8). Last, the remaining extracts were investigated at 10, 5, 1, 0.5 and 0.25 % (n = 2 only) in a second series of experiments.

#### 247 **2.6.** Characterization of ChCl-Gly extracts by HPLC-MS/MS

The R. alaternus leaves, pods and roots extracts using ChCl-Gly were analyzed by mass 248 spectrometry (Bruker Daltonics - micrOTOF - Q LCMS/MS, Bremen, Germany) system. The 249 250 separation was performed using Hypersil gold C18 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 3 µm) at 40 °C. The mobile phase was composed of water containing 0.1% formic acid (solvent A) and 251 acetonitrile 100 % (solvent B). The elution program was set as follows: 95 % A/ 5 % B between 0 252 and 5 min, 1% A/ 99 % B between 5 and 40 min, stay at 99 % B between 40 and 45 min and 95 253 % A/ 5 % B between 45 and 55 min to reach the baseline. Before injection, all extracts were 254 filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter (J.T. Baker<sup>®</sup>, Strasbourg, France). Then, 2 µL of each 255 256 one were injected at 200 µL/min flow rate during the whole experiment.

The MS analysis was performed with negative and positive ion modes, scanned m/z from 100 to 1000 for the determination of phenolic compounds. Each data was calibrated with Sodium formate at 1 mM in water/isopropanol 1/1. The ESI source conditions were as follows: drying gas at 5 L/min, the nebulizer pressure at 4 bar, the capillary voltage at 4500 V, and the capillary temperature was set at 190 °C. Data acquisitions were executed in autoMSMS mode at 20 eV collision energy.

#### 263 2.7. Statistical analysis

Data were collected and expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of three independent experiments and analysed for statistical significance from control, using the Dunnett test SPSS 11.5 Statistics Software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The criterion for significance was set at p < 0.05. The IC<sub>50</sub> values, from the in vitro data, were calculated by regression analysis.

#### 268 3. Results and discussion

#### 269 **3.1. Determination of total phenolic content**

270 In this study, three different NaDESs (*i.e.,* ChCl-Gly, ChCl-EG, and ChCl-Ur) were investigated for 271 the extraction of TPC from leaves, pods and roots of *R. alaternus* plant and compared to 272 ethanol as conventional solvent and used as reference (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 shows the TPC for various *R. alaternus* extracts according to the nature of the NaDES used in comparison to ethanol. Whatever the plant part (*i.e.*, leaves, pods and roots), the extracts obtained using NaDESs contains significantly more polyphenols (from 437.7 to 279.8 mg GAE/100 g d.w.) than those obtained using ethanol (from 250 to 222.6 mg GAE/100 g d.w.). Furthermore, the TPC are significantly more concentrated in leaves samples than in pods and

finally roots, whatever the solvents studied in this work. Such trend is the highest for ChCl-Gly 278 279 and the lowest for ethanol. Comparing the solvents efficiency on extraction process, the ChCl-Gly is more efficient than other solvents studied in this work. In ChCl-Gly, the highest TPC values 280 are determined at 437.7, 361.3 and 317.0 mg GAE/100 g d.w. for leaves, pods and roots, 281 282 respectively. The ChCl-Gly is followed by ChCl-EG (TPC varying from 344.2 to 304.8 mg GAE/100 g d.w.) and ChCl-Ur (TPC varying from 346.2 to 279.8 mg GAE/100 g d.w.), for leaves, pods and 283 roots, respectively. The lowest amounts of polyphenols determined for the ethanol extracts are 284 285 of 250.0, 237.8 and 222.6 mg GAE/100 g d.w. for leaves, pods and roots, respectively. In 286 general, this highest extraction efficiency of TPC with NaDESs comparing with ethanol is related to the H-bonding interactions between the polar compounds (polyphenols) and NaDESs as 287 previously reported (Duan et al., 2016). Duan and co-workers extracted polyphenols from 288 289 Chinese herbal using various deep eutectic solvents. Also, our results are in agreement with a 290 recent study reported in the literature by Islamčević Razboršek et al. (2020) in which the extraction of phenolic compounds from Aronia melanocarpa plant using NaDESs is studied. This 291 work found higher extraction yield of phenolic compounds with NaDESs than those observed 292 293 with ethanol.

294

#### [Fig. 1]

- **3.2. Determination of antioxidant capacity of the extracts**
- 296 **3.2.1. Radical scavenging activity**

ABTS radical scavenging activity. The capacity of extracts to scavenge ABTS free radicals was evaluated in this study to determine extracts with high radical scavenging capacity (lowest  $IC_{50}$ values). As shown in Fig. 2A, the NaDESs are more efficient than the ethanol, since they show

the lowest IC<sub>50</sub> values. The highest radical scavenging activity is detected with ChCl-Gly extracts 300 301 followed by ChCl-EG extracts > ChCl-Ur extracts > Ethanol extracts, respectively. When solvents are compared, extracts obtained using ChCl-Gly are more active with an IC<sub>50</sub> values ranging 302 from 44.55 to 53.56  $\mu$ g/mL, followed by ChCl-EG with IC<sub>50</sub> values varying from 55.47 to 58.71 303 304  $\mu$ g/mL, and finally ChCl-Ur extracts with IC<sub>50</sub> ranging from 60.22 to 63.72  $\mu$ g/mL. In comparison to NaDESs solvents, ethanol extracts present the lowest efficiency in terms of radical 305 scavenging activity with an IC<sub>50</sub> values comprised within 66.28 and 69.56  $\mu$ g/mL. Whatever the 306 307 plant's part (*i.e.*, leaves, pods or roots), the values of IC<sub>50</sub> and The Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant 308 Capacity (TEAC) values are close in the three parts (Fig. 2A, Table S2). These results confirm that the phenolic compounds extracted from different parts of *R. alaternus* using NaDESs present an 309 excellent antioxidant activity. 310

311

#### [Fig 2.]

**DPPH radical scavenging activity.** The DPPH radical scavenging capacity was evaluated for the 312 different solvent extracts and for various parts of plant (leaves, pods and roots; Fig. 2B). The 313 IC<sub>50</sub> values range from 26.36 µg/mL for leaves extracted by ChCl-Gly (the highest activity) to 314 118.23 µg/mL for pods extracted using ChCl-Ur (the lowest activity) (Table S3). For ChCl-EG 315 extracts, the IC<sub>50</sub> values range from 51.36 to 68.81  $\mu$ g/mL, with DPPH radical scavenging activity 316 rather similar to the ethanol extracts. On the whole, the IC<sub>50</sub> values increase in the following 317 order: ChCl-Gly extracts < ChCl-EG extracts < Ethanol extracts < ChCl-Ur extracts (Fig. 2B), 318 319 meaning the highest and the lowest DPPH radical scavenging activity are determined for the 320 ChCl-Gly and the ChCl-Ur extracts, respectively. All extracts obtained by using NaDESs present stronger DPPH<sup>°</sup> radical scavenging capacity than those obtained with ethanol, except ChCl-Ur. 321

Our results are similar to the study of Oliveira and co-workers (Oliveira et al., 2021) on the radical scavenging capacity of *Curcuma longa* L. plant. Former authors showed that *Curcuma longa* L. leaves extracts were better hydroxyl radical scavengers after deep eutectic solvents extraction notably for choline chloride / lactic acid (1:2) or choline chloride / acetic acid (1:2) in comparison to ethanol, used as reference solvent.

#### 327 3.2.2. Reducing power

The reducing power of a biological extract indicates its ability to reduce the Fe<sup>3+</sup>/ferricyanide 328 complex to the Fe<sup>2+</sup> form, which is checked by measuring the formation of Perl's Prussian blue 329 330 at 700 nm (Pan et al., 2010). The various NaDESs extracts from R. alaternus (i.e., leaves, pods and roots) were evaluated to determine the lowest  $EC_{50}$  values (Fig. 3, panel A). The nature of 331 solvent and the part of the plant also influence significantly the reducing capacity. The EC<sub>50</sub> 332 values of the investigated extracts increases which mean the decreases of reducing power in 333 the following order: ChCl-Gly extracts > Ethanol extracts > ChCl-EG extracts > ChCl-Ur extracts. 334 Compared to other solvent extracts, the ChCl-Gly extracts give the highest reducing power, 335 corresponding to the lowest  $EC_{50}$  concentrations ranging from 77.53 to 85.05 µg/mL. The 336 lowest reducing power was obtained in ChCl-Ur extracts, with EC<sub>50</sub> values ranging from 395.97 337 to 542.71 µg/mL (Table S4). This analysis suggests that ChCl-Gly extracts are the most efficient 338 ones in terms of reducing power. While, according to the study of Ozturk and co-authors were 339 studied the extraction of polyphenols from orange peel waste using various DESs, this may be 340 341 related to a more efficient extraction of polyphenol compounds with this former NaDES (Ozturk 342 et al., 2018). Indeed, the reducing power of R. alaternus may be due to their TPC presence,

which may contribute to the antioxidant capacity by different reaction mechanisms (Duh et al.,2001).

345

#### [Fig. 3]

346 **3.2.3.** Iron (II) chelating activity

Plant extracts enriched in polyphenols and other bioactive compounds may also present metal chelation properties including iron chelating capacity; this latter activity plays a central role in antioxidative mechanisms while inhibiting the formation of free radicals (Halliwell, 2007; Yoshino & Murakami, 1998).

The capacity of *R. alaternus* extracts to chelate  $Fe^{2+}$  enabled the calculation of EC<sub>50</sub> value, which 351 is the maximal effective concentration of extracts to obtain 50 % of iron chelating activity (Table 352 S5). The decrease of EC<sub>50</sub> values meaning an increase the iron chelating activity. Hence, the 353 iron-chelation properties of the various extracts (Fig. 3, panel B) evolve in the following order: 354 355 ChCl-Gly extracts > Ethanol extracts > ChCl-EG extracts > ChCl-Ur extracts. The ChCl-Gly extracts have the highest iron-chelating activity (smallest EC<sub>50</sub>) with values ranging from 91.73 to 108.7 356  $\mu$ g/mL. Besides, the ChCl-Ur exhibits the lowest iron-chelating properties with EC<sub>50</sub> values 357 varying from 138.20 to 215.70  $\mu$ g/mL. Furthermore, the Ethanol extracts chelate Fe<sup>2+</sup> more 358 efficiently than ChCl-EG and ChCl-Ur ones. Hence, the ability of *R. alaternus* extracts to complex 359 iron is attributed to the presence of polyphenols, as previously reported in other plant study 360 361 (Oliveira et al., 2021). Indeed, extracts from various parts of Curcuma longa L. (i.e., leaves, rhizome and flowers) present chelating properties after solid-liquid extraction carried out using 362 DESs choline chloride-based solvents or ethanol as reference. In accordance with ABTS<sup>°+</sup>, DPPH 363

radical scavenging capacity and reducing power tests, ChCl-Ur is the less efficient solvent for
 producing extracts endowed with the radical scavenging capacity and reducing capacity.

#### 366 **3.3. Antimicrobial activity**

367 First, the antimicrobial activity of the solvents itself (Ethanol or NaDESs) was evaluated alone 368 against five bacterial strains of clinical interest. Although few MICs were obtained, in most 369 cases, the bacterial growth was affected by the solvents, even without reaching a MIC (Table 370 S6). Then, the microplates wells were gently homogenized and the OD540 was recorded. We arbitrarily defined that a bacterial growth showing an optical density  $OD \ge 75$  % of the OD of 371 the growth control indicates few or no effect of the solvent. In some case, 1.3 % of the solvent 372 373 must be used as maximum value to avoid a negative effect on the bacterial growth (Table S7). 374 Our results also show the solvent negative effect on the bacterial growth in agreement with the literature (Percevault et al., 2021). Former authors demonstrated that DES (choline chloride / 375 ethylene glycol (1:2) and betaine / citric acid (2:3)) are appropriate for the storage of bioactive 376 compounds to avoid microbial contamination, which could be promising for food and 377 pharmaceutical applications. 378

Therefore, the MIC of the extracts was determined against the bacteria with at most 1 % of extract, in order to avoid any interference with the solvent activity. Only the extract of Roots-ChCl-Gly showed a MIC at 0.5 % against *E. faecalis*. All other combinations showed no visible effect. Hence, in a second series of experiments, higher concentrations of extracts (10, 5, 1, 0.5, 0.25 %) were investigated with care of the solvent potential effect (Table 1).

Among the Gram- bacteria, only the Leaves-ChCl-Ur extract has an effect on *E. coli* (MIC reached at 10 % without solvent interference). In three cases (*i.e.*, Roots-ChCl-Gly vs. *P. aeruginosa*, Leaves-ChCl-Ur and Pods-ChCl-Ur against *K. pneumoniae*), a MIC value of 10 % is obtained, but at such concentration, the solvent fragilizes the cells (< 75 % of the growth control determined for the solvent alone) and is thus, a result of impaired bacteria.

On the other hand, the two Gram+ bacteria are more sensitive to the extracts. The Leaves-ChCl-Ur and Pods-ChCl-Gly extracts vs. *S. aureus*, and the Pods-Ethanol and Pods-ChCl-Gly extracts vs. *E. faecalis* show a MIC at 10 %, yet with solvent interference. Only the extracts Leaves-Ethanol, Leaves-ChCl-Gly and Leaves-ChCl-EG show no effect on *E. faecalis*. While, on all other combinations, MICs are defined between 0.5 and 10 %, without solvent interference on this strain.

Roots extracts (whatever the solvent) seems to have the best antimicrobial activity, with MICs 395 on both Gram+ bacteria (i.e., Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecalis) without solvent 396 397 interference. This antimicrobial activity towards Gram+ bacteria may be related to their absence of outer membrane, which could render them less resistant against extracts rich in 398 bioactive molecules compared to Gram- bacteria (Brul & Coote, 1999). Our results are in 399 agreement with Zhao and colleagues (Zhao et al., 2015), who found that extracts from Sophora 400 japonica using DESs choline chloride-based (i.e., choline chloride / ethylene glycol (1:2), choline 401 chloride / glycerol (1:2) and choline chloride / urea (1:2)) presented antimicrobial properties 402 403 against gram+ bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus).

406

- 407
- 408

	Gram+		Gram-				
Extract	Staphylococcus aureus (Sa)	Enterococcus faecalis (Ef)	Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa)	Escherichia coli (Ec)	Klebsiella pneumoniae (Kp)		
Leaves-Ethanol	5% <sup>+</sup>	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Leaves-ChCl-Gly	$5\%^+$	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Leaves-ChCl-EG	$5\%^+$	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Leaves-ChCl-Ur	10%**	10%+	≥ 10 %	$10\%^+$	10%**		
Pods-Ethanol	10% <sup>+</sup>	10%+	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Pods-ChCl-Gly	10%**	10%**	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Pods-ChCl-EG	5% <sup>+</sup>	10%+	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Pods-ChCl-Ur	<b>5%</b> ⁺	<b>5%</b> ⁺	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	10%**		
Roots-Ethanol	5% <sup>+</sup>	5% <sup>+</sup>	10 %*	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Roots-ChCl-Gly	5% <sup>+</sup>	0,5% <sup>+</sup>	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Roots-ChCl-EG	5% <sup>+</sup>	5% <sup>+</sup>	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		
Roots-ChCl-Ur	<b>5%</b> ⁺	10%+	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %	≥ 10 %		

409 **Table 1**. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of the extracts against the five bacterial strains.

410 (+): weak or no effect of the solvent; (++): combined effect of the solvent; (\*): strong effect of the

411 solvent;  $\geq$  10 %: no effect.

#### 412 **3.4.** Characterization of ChCl-Gly extracts by HPLC-MS/MS

- 413 The qualitative determination of bioactive compounds extracted from three different extracts
- 414 of *R. alaternus* (*i.e.*, leaves, pods and roots) with ChCl-Gly corresponding to the best extract in
- 415 term of biological activities were analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS (Table 2). Twenty-one compounds

were determined in the extracts. Among them, twelve molecules were newly identified in this 416 417 plant comparing to the literature (Nekkaa et al., 2021), including tyrosine (2.5 min), dihydroquercetine pentoside glucoside (9.1 min), tryptophane (9.8 min), aloesin (11.3 min), 418 aloesol 7-glucoside (11.5 min), tectoridin (12.8 min), glucuronic acid (12.6/13.7 min), 7-hydroxy-419 420 3-(2-hydroxypropyl)-5-methylisochromen-1-one (15.3 min), aloesol (15.4 min), piperonylidene acetone (16.4 min), (R)-linalyl beta-vicianoside (18.1 min), neryl rhamnosyl-glucoside (18.3 421 422 min). Furthermore, six compounds *i.e.*, aloesin (11.3 min), aloesol glucoside (11.6 min), aloesol 423 7-glucoside (11.5 min), 7-hydroxy-3-(2 hydroxypropyl)-5-methylisochromen-1-one (15.3 min), 424 aloesol (15.4 min) and emodin (25.1 min) were common to the three extracts collected from the various part of plant. Based on Table 2, the leaves provide the richest part of *R. alaternus* in 425 426 term of number of bioactive compounds identified in this extraction comparing to other parts, 427 and is followed by pods than roots. On the other hand, these finding evidences that the R. 428 alaternus plant presents total phenolic compounds with high antioxidant effect (i.e., dihydroquercetine pentoside glucoside, kaempferol, dihydroquercetin 3-O-glucoside, 429 430 kaempferide 3-glucoside and tectoridin), and organic acids (i.e., malic acid, citric acid and glucuronic acid), in addition to amino acids including tyrosine and tryptophan. 431

The ChCl-Gly (1:2) may have a better affinity towards bioactive compounds through hydrogen
bonding interactions, which would favour the antioxidant effects of extracts.

434 **4. Conclusion and Perspectives** 

For the first time, three choline chloride-based NaDESs (choline chloride-glycerol (ChCl-Gly),
choline-chloride-ethylene glycol (ChCl-EG) and choline chloride-urea (ChCl-Ur)) were used for

the extraction of bioactive compounds from various parts of R. alaternus plant (i.e., leaves, 437 438 pods and roots). Among all the studied extracts, the ChCl-Gly extracts from *R. alaternus'* leaves presents the best content of total phenolic compounds and provides the highest antioxidant 439 activity compared to other investigated solvents such as NaDESs and ethanol, used in 440 reference. All NaDESs extracts show interesting antimicrobial activity with significant inhibitory 441 442 potential against Gram+ strains. Furthermore, the leaves, roots and pods extract carried out 443 using ChCl-Gly were characterized and quantified by HPLC-MS/MS, which confirms that the phenolic compounds and flavonoids are the main antioxidant compounds. The in vitro 444 biological analysis of extracts suggests that ChCl-Gly is an efficient solvent for the extraction of 445 secondary metabolite from leaves, pods and roots of *R. alaternus*. These bio-based sustainable 446 and green processes present a great potential for pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food 447 applications. 448

449

450

Table 2. Identification of bioactive compounds present in leaves, pods and roots of *R. alaternus* extracted using NaDES (ChCl-Gly) 452 analysed by LC-MS/MS.

				[M + H] <sup>-</sup>			[M + H] <sup>+</sup>			
N°	Compound name	Molecular formula	tr (min)	Measured <i>m/z</i> (Da) [M + H] <sup>-</sup>	Error (ppm)	MS/MS Fragmentation	Measured <i>m/z</i> (Da) [M + H] <sup>+</sup>	Error (ppm)	MS/MS Fragmentation	plant part
1	Dihydroquercetine pentoside glucoside	$C_{26}H_{30}O_{16}$	9.1	597.151	8.5	417.085 [M-hexose-H] <sup>-</sup> 285.038 [M-hexose - pentosyl - H] <sup>-</sup>	599.162	1.6	n.d	leaves
2	Kaempferol	$C_{15}H_{10}O_{6}$	19.3	285.039	3.5	239.032 [М-НСООН-Н] <sup>-</sup> 229.049 [М-СО-СО-Н] <sup>-</sup> 185.058 [М-СО-СО-СО <sub>2</sub> +Н] <sup>+</sup>	287.056	3.5	285.052 $[M-CHO+H]^{+}$ 241.051 $[M-HCOOH+H]^{+}$ 231.072 $[M-CO-CO+H]^{+}$ 213.050 $[M-CO-CO-H_2O+H]^{+}$ 185.058 $[M-CO-CO-H_2O-CO+H]^{+}$	Pods
3	Dihydroquercetin 3-O- glucoside	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{12}$	9.5	465.108	8.5	285.041 [M-hexose-2H] <sup>-</sup>	467.116	8.5	305.065 $[M-sucrose+H]^+$ 181.014 $[M-sucrose-C_6H_4CH]^+$ 181.014 $[C_8H_5O_5]^+$	leaves
4	Kaempferide 3- glucoside	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	14.6	n.d	n.d	n.d	463.125	4.3	301.069 [M-hexosyl+H] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods
5	Tectoridin	$C_{22}H_{22}O_{11}$	12.8	461.118	19.5	n.d	463.125	4.3	283.057 [M-hexose] <sup>+</sup> 255.063 [M-hexose-CO₂] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods
6	Emodin	$C_{15}H_{10}O_5$	25.1	269.045	3.7	241.047 [M-CO-H] <sup>-</sup> 255.053 [M-CO <sub>2</sub> -H] <sup>-</sup> 210.029 [M-CO <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub> -H] <sup>-</sup>	271.058	7.3	229.046 [M-CH₂CO+H] <sup>+</sup> 225.051 [M-H₂O-CO+H] <sup>+</sup> 1978.059 [M-H₂O-2CO+H] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods, roots
7	Malic acid	$C_4H_6O_5$	1.8	133.015	7.5	114.004 [M-H <sub>2</sub> O-H] <sup>-</sup> 71.021 [M-H2O-CO <sub>2</sub> -H] <sup>-</sup>	n.d	n.d	n.d	Leaves, pods
8	Citric acid	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	2.1	191.015	10.5	129.014 [M-H <sub>2</sub> O-CO <sub>2</sub> -H <sup>]-</sup> 111.007 [M-2H <sub>2</sub> O-CO <sub>2</sub> -H] <sup>-</sup> 87,011 [M-H <sub>2</sub> O-CO <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> CO-H] <sup>-</sup>	193.033	5.2	n.d	Leaves, roots
9	Tyrosine	$C_9H_{11}NO_3$	2.5	n.d	n.d	n.d	182.080	5.5	165.083 [M-OH+H] <sup>+</sup> 135.077 [M-HCOOH+H] <sup>+</sup> 119.057 [M-HCOOH-NH <sub>3</sub> +H] <sup>+</sup>	pods
10	Tryptophan	$C_{11}H_{12}N_2O_2$	9.8	203.078	2.4	142.062 [M-CO <sub>2</sub> -NH <sub>3</sub> -H] <sup>-</sup> 116,050 [M-CO <sub>2</sub> -NH <sub>3</sub> -CN-H] <sup>-</sup>	205.095	9.7	188.078 [M-NH <sub>3</sub> +H] <sup>+</sup> 144.080 [M-NH <sub>3</sub> -CO <sub>2</sub> +H] <sup>+</sup> 118.068 [M-NH <sub>3</sub> -CO <sub>2</sub> -CN+H] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods
11	Aloesol 7-glucoside	$C_{19}H_{24}O_{9}$	11.5	395.135	0.0	351.103 [M-C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> O-H] <sup>-</sup> 231.063 [M-glycosyl-H] <sup>-</sup> 203.064 [M-glycosyl-CO-H] <sup>-</sup>	397.149	0.0	233.077 $[M-glycosyl+H]^{+}$ 215.066 $[M-glycosyl-H_2O + H]^{+}$ 203.066 $[M-glycosyl-CH_2O + H]^{+}$	Leaves, pods, roots

12	7-hydroxy-3-(2- hydroxypropyl)-5- methylisochromen-1- one	$C_{13}H_{14}O_4$	15.3	233.078	17	189.051 [М-СН <sub>3</sub> СОН-Н] <sup>-</sup> 159.036 [М-СН <sub>3</sub> СОН-СН <sub>2</sub> О-Н] <sup>-</sup>	235.095	4.2	217.077 [M-H₂O+H] <sup>+</sup> 191.068 [M-CH₃COH+H] <sup>+</sup> 176.046 [M-CH₃COH-CH₃+H] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods, roots
13	Piperonylidene acetone	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	16.4	189.051	26	174.030 [M-CH <sub>3</sub> -H] <sup>-</sup> 159,042 [M-CH <sub>2</sub> COH-H] <sup>-</sup> 146.034 [M-CH <sub>2</sub> COH-CH <sub>3</sub> -H] <sup>-</sup>	191.069	5.2	176.047 [M-CH₃+H] <sup>+</sup> 151.037 [M-H₂O-CH₂CO+H] <sup>+</sup> 148.050 [M-CH₃-CO+H] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods
14	(R)-Linalyl beta- vicianoside	$C_{21}H_{36}O_{10}$	18.1	449.233	9.1	447.231 [M-H] <sup>-</sup> 315.179 [M-pentosyl-H] <sup>-</sup> 161.042 [M-pentosyl-C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>17</sub> OH-H] <sup>-</sup>	471.216	8.5	333.079 $[M-C_{10}H_{18}+Na]^+$	leaves
15	Neryl rhamnosyl- glucoside	$C_{22}H_{38}O_{10}$	18.3	507.251	16	461.246 [M-H] <sup>-</sup> 315.178 [M-Dehydrohexosyl-H] <sup>-</sup> 141.042 [M-Dehydrohexosyl- C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>17</sub> OH-H] <sup>-</sup>	485.236	0.0	347.093 [M-C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> +Na] <sup>+</sup> 204.040 [M-C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> - dehydrohexosyl+Na] <sup>+</sup>	leaves
16	Glucuronic acid	$C_{16}H_{20}O_{10}$	12.6/1 3.7	371.098	0.2	249.062 [M-benzoic acid-H] <sup>-</sup> 175.019 [M-benzoic acid-glycerol-H] <sup>-</sup> 121.029 [benzoic acid-H] <sup>-</sup>	373.111	5.3	n.d	leaves
17	Aloesol di glycoside	$C_{25}H_{34}O_{14}$	10.3	n.d	n.d	n.d	559.202	0.0	397.151 [M-Hexosyl+H] <sup>+</sup> 233.074 [M-2Hexosyl+H] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods
18	Aloesin–glycoside	$C_{25}H_{32}O_{14}$	10.1	555.180	13	n.d	557.189	3.6	395.139 [M-Hexosyl+H] <sup>+</sup> 233.078 [M-2Hexosy+H] <sup>+</sup>	pods
19	Aloesin	$C_{19}H_{22}O_{9}$	11.3	393.121	5.1	273.079 [M-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> CO-H] <sup>-</sup> 231.061 [M-glycosyl - H] <sup>-</sup> 203.068 [M-glycosyl-CO - H] <sup>-</sup>	395.135	3.5	233.077 $[M-glycosyl + H]^{+}$ 215.067 $[M-glycosyl-H_2O + H]^{+}$ 203.065 $[M-glycosyl-CH_2O + H]^{+}$	Leaves, pods, roots
20	Aloesol-glucoside	$C_{19}H_{24}O_9$	11.6	395.135	2.5	275.089 [M-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> CO-H] <sup>-</sup> 231.066 [M-glycosyl - H] <sup>-</sup> 203.068 [M-glycosyl-CO - H] <sup>-</sup>	397.151	6.0	233.077 [M-glycosyl + H] <sup>+</sup> 215.067 [M-glycosyl-H <sub>2</sub> O + H] <sup>+</sup> 203.066 [M-glycosyl-CH <sub>2</sub> O + H] <sup>+</sup>	Leaves, pods, roots
21	Aloesol	$C_{13}H_{14}O_4$	15.4	233.078	60	189.051 [M-C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> O - H] <sup>-</sup>	235.094	8.5	217.077 $[M-H_2O+H]^+$ 191.068 $[M-C_2H_4O+H]^+$ 176.047 $[M-C_2H_4O-CH_3+H]^+$	Leaves, pods, roots

454 n.d: not determined

## 458 Acknowledgements

This research work was supported by PHC-Tassili (Code 20MDU917). Besides, the authors
acknowledge the support of the "Laboratoire Réactions et Génie des Procédés" by the "Impact
Biomolecules" project of the "Lorraine Université d'Excellence" (Investissements d'avenir – ANR
project number 15-004).

#### 463 **References**

- Ben Ammar, R., Kilani, S., Bouhlel, I., Skandrani, I., Naffeti, A., Boubaker, J., Ben Sghaier, M., Bhouri, W.,
  Mahmoud, A., Chekir-Ghedira, L., & Ghedira, K. (2007). Antibacterial and cytotoxic activities of
  extracts from (Tunisian) *Rhamnus alaternus* (Rhamnaceae). *Annals of Microbiology*, *57*(3), 453–
  460. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03175089
- Bhouri, W., Ben Sghaier, M., Kilani, S., Bouhlel, I., Dijoux-Franca, M. G., Ghedira, K., & Ghedira, L. C. 468 469 (2011). Evaluation of antioxidant and antigenotoxic activity of two flavonoids from Rhamnus 470 alaternus L. (Rhamnaceae): Kaempferol 3-O-β-isorhamninoside and rhamnocitrin 3-O-β-471 isorhamninoside. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 49(5), 1167-1173. 472 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2011.02.011
- Boussahel, S., Speciale, A., Dahamna, S., Ferlazzo, G., Harzallah, D., Amar, Y., Bonaccorsi, I., Cacciola, F.,
  Cimino, F., Donato, P., & Cristani, M. (2015). Flavonoid profile, antioxidant and cytotoxic activity
  of different extracts from Algerian *Rhamnus alaternus* L. bark. *Pharmacognosy Magazine*, *11*(42),
  102. https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-1296.157707
- 477 Brand-Williams, W., Cuvelier, M. E., & Berset, C. (1995). Use of a free radical method to evaluate
  478 antioxidant activity. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, *28*(1), 25–30.
  479 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(95)80008-5
- Brul, S., & Coote, P. J. (1999). Preservative agents in foods mode of action and microbial resistance
  mechanisms. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 50(1–2), 1–17.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(99)00072-0
- Bugge, M., Hansen, T., & Klitkou, A. (2016). What is the bioeconomy? A review of the literature. *Sustainability*, 8(7), 691. https://doi.org/10.3390/su8070691
- Canabady-Rochelle, L. L. S., Harscoat-Schiavo, C., Kessler, V., Aymes, A., Fournier, F., & Girardet, J.-M.
  (2015). Determination of reducing power and metal chelating ability of antioxidant peptides:
  Revisited methods. *Food Chemistry*, *183*, 129–135.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.02.147
- Catone, C. M., Ripa, M., Geremia, E., & Ulgiati, S. (2021). Bio-products from algae-based biorefinery on
   wastewater: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 293, 112792.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112792
- Chanioti, S., & Tzia, C. (2018). Extraction of phenolic compounds from olive pomace by using natural
  deep eutectic solvents and innovative extraction techniques. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 48,* 228–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2018.07.001

- Dai, Y., van Spronsen, J., Witkamp, G.-J., Verpoorte, R., & Choi, Y. H. (2013). Natural deep eutectic
  solvents as new potential media for green technology. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, *766*, 61–68.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2012.12.019
- 498 Decker, E. A., & Welch, B. (1990). Role of ferritin as a lipid oxidation catalyst in muscle food. *Journal of* 499 Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 38(3), 674–677. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00093a019
- Duh, P.-D., Yen, G.-C., Yen, W.-J., & Chang, L.-W. (2001). Antioxidant effects of water extracts from barley
   (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) prepared under different roasting temperatures. *Journal of Agricultural* and Food Chemistry, 49(3), 1455–1463. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0008821
- Eloff, J. (1998). A sensitive and quick microplate method to determine the minimal inhibitory
   concentration of plant extracts for bacteria. *Planta Medica*, *64*(08), 711–713.
   https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-957563
- Halliwell, B. (2007). Biochemistry of oxidative stress. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, 35(5), 1147–1150.
  https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0351147
- Islamčević Razboršek, M., Ivanović, M., Krajnc, P., & Kolar, M. (2020). Choline chloride based natural
   deep eutectic solvents as extraction media for extracting phenolic compounds from chokeberry
   (Aronia melanocarpa). Molecules, 25(7), 1619. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25071619
- ISO 20776-1, :2019. (2019). Susceptibility testing of infectious agents and evaluation of performance of
  antimicrobial susceptibility test devices—Part 1: Broth micro-dilution reference method for
  testing the in vitro activity of antimicrobial agents against rapidly growing aerobic bacteria
  involved in infectious diseases. 2019. https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:20776:-1:ed-2:
  v1:en.
- Jeong, K. M., Zhao, J., Jin, Y., Heo, S. R., Han, S. Y., Yoo, D. E., & Lee, J. (2015). Highly efficient extraction
  of anthocyanins from grape skin using deep eutectic solvents as green and tunable media. *Archives of Pharmacal Research*, 38(12), 2143–2152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12272-015-06784
- Longo, L., Vasapollo, G., & Rescio, L. (2005). Identification of anthocyanins in *Rhamnus alaternus* L.
   berries. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53(5), 1723–1727.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048253p
- Moussi, K., Nayak, B., Perkins, L. B., Dahmoune, F., Madani, K., & Chibane, M. (2015). HPLC-DAD profile
   of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of leaves extract of *Rhamnus alaternus* L.
   *Industrial Crops and Products*, 74, 858–866. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.06.015

- Nagarajan, J., Krishnamurthy, N. P., Nagasundara Ramanan, R., Raghunandan, M. E., Galanakis, C. M., &
   Ooi, C. W. (2019). A facile water-induced complexation of lycopene and pectin from pink guava
   byproduct: Extraction, characterization and kinetic studies. *Food Chemistry*, *296*, 47–55.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.05.135
- Nekkaa, A., Benaissa, A., Mutelet, F., & Canabady-Rochelle, L. (2021). *Rhamnus alaternus* plant:
   Extraction of bioactive fractions and evaluation of their pharmacological and phytochemical
   properties. *Antioxidants*, 10(2), 300. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10020300
- 533 Oliveira, G., Marques, C., de Oliveira, A., de Almeida dos Santos, A., do Amaral, W., Ineu, R. P., Leimann, 534 F. V., Peron, A. P., Igarashi-Mafra, L., & Mafra, M. R. (2021). Extraction of bioactive compounds 535 from Curcuma longa L. using deep eutectic solvents: In vitro and in vivo biological activities. 536 Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, 70, 102697. 537 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2021.102697
- Oyaizu, M. (1986). Studies on products of browning reaction. Antioxidative activities of products of
   browning reaction prepared from glucosamine. *The Japanese Journal of Nutrition and Dietetics*,
   44(6), 307–315. https://doi.org/10.5264/eiyogakuzashi.44.307
- Ozturk, B., Parkinson, C., & Gonzalez-Miquel, M. (2018). Extraction of polyphenolic antioxidants from
   orange peel waste using deep eutectic solvents. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *206*, 1–
   13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.05.052
- 544 Palos-Hernández, A., Gutiérrez Fernández, M. Y., Escuadra Burrieza, J., Pérez-Iglesias, J. L., & González-545 Paramás, A. M. (2022). Obtaining green extracts rich in phenolic compounds from 546 underexploited food by-products using natural deep eutectic solvents. Opportunities and 547 29, 100773. challenges. Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy, 548 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scp.2022.100773
- Pan, Y., He, C., Wang, H., Ji, X., Wang, K., & Liu, P. (2010). Antioxidant activity of microwave-assisted
  extract of *Buddleia officinalis* and its major active component. *Food Chemistry*, *121*(2), 497–502.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.12.072
- Percevault, L., Limanton, E., Nicolas, P., Paquin, L., & Lagrost, C. (2021). Electrochemical determination
   and antioxidant capacity modulation of polyphenols in deep eutectic solvents. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 9(2), 776–784. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c07023
- Quideau, S., Deffieux, D., Douat-Casassus, C., & Pouységu, L. (2011). Plant polyphenols: Chemical
   properties, biological activities, and synthesis. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, *50*(3),
   586–621. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201000044

- Re, R., Pellegrini, N., Proteggente, A., Pannala, A., Yang, M., & Rice-Evans, C. (1999). Antioxidant activity
   applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 26(9–10), 1231–1237. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(98)00315-3
- Si, X., Chen, Q., Bi, J., Wu, X., Yi, J., Zhou, L., & Li, Z. (2016). Comparison of different drying methods on
  the physical properties, bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of raspberry powders:
  Effect of drying method on properties of raspberry powders. *Journal of the Science of Food and Aqriculture*, *96*(6), 2055–2062. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7317
- Sillero, L., Prado, R., Welton, T., & Labidi, J. (2021). Extraction of flavonoid compounds from bark using
  sustainable deep eutectic solvents. *Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy*, *24*, 100544.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scp.2021.100544
- 568 Singleton, V. L., & Rossi, J. A. (1965). *Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-*569 *phosphotungstic acid reagents*. *16*(3), 144–158.
- Tacherfiout, M., Petrov, P. D., Mattonai, M., Ribechini, E., Ribot, J., Bonet, M. L., & Khettal, B. (2018).
  Antihyperlipidemic effect of a *Rhamnus alaternus* leaf extract in Triton-induced hyperlipidemic
  rats and human HepG2 cells. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 101, 501–509.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.02.106
- Tang, W., & Row, K. H. (2020). Design and evaluation of polarity controlled and recyclable deep eutectic
   solvent based biphasic system for the polarity driven extraction and separation of compounds.
   *Journal of Cleaner Production, 268,* 122306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122306
- 577 Trivedi, T. J., Lee, J. H., Lee, H. J., Jeong, Y. K., & Choi, J. W. (2016). Deep eutectic solvents as attractive
  578 media for CO <sub>2</sub> capture. *Green Chemistry*, *18*(9), 2834–2842.
  579 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5GC02319J
- 580 Vilková, M., Płotka-Wasylka, J., & Andruch, V. (2020). The role of water in deep eutectic solvent-base
  581 extraction. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 304, 112747.
  582 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112747
- Wan Mahmood, W. M. A., Lorwirachsutee, A., Theodoropoulos, C., & Gonzalez-Miquel, M. (2019).
   Polyol-based deep eutectic solvents for extraction of natural polyphenolic antioxidants from
   *Chlorella vulgaris. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 7(5), 5018–5026.
   https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b05642
- 587 Wei, Z., Qi, X., Li, T., Luo, M., Wang, W., Zu, Y., & Fu, Y. (2015). Application of natural deep eutectic 588 solvents for extraction and determination of phenolics in *Cajanus cajan* leaves by ultra

589	performance liquid chromatography. Separation and Purification Technology, 149, 237-244.
590	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.05.015

- Yoshino, M., & Murakami, K. (1998). Interaction of iron with polyphenolic compounds: Application to
  antioxidant characterization. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 257(1), 40–44.
  https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1997.2522
- Zhang, L., Ravipati, A. S., Koyyalamudi, S. R., Jeong, S. C., Reddy, N., Smith, P. T., Bartlett, J., Shanmugam,
   K., Münch, G., & Wu, M. J. (2011). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of selected
   medicinal plants containing phenolic and flavonoid compounds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(23). https://doi.org/10.1021/jf203146e

- 612
- 613
- 614

615	Figure captions	
-----	-----------------	--

Fig. 1. Total phenolic content (TPC) of *R. alaternus's* leaves, pods and roots extracted with natural deep eutectic solvents (NaDESs: ChCl-Gly, ChCl-EG, ChCl-Ur) and compared to Ethanol as reference.

Fig. 2. Radical scavenging activity of different parts from *R. alaternus* extracted using natural
deep eutectic solvents (NaDESs): ChCl-Gly, ChCl-EG, ChCl-Ur in reference to Ethanol. (A) ABTS
test and (B) DPPH test.

Fig. 3. Reducing activity (A) and Iron chelating capacity (B) of different parts from *R. alaternus* extracted using natural deep eutectic solvents (NaDESs); ChCl-Gly, ChCl-EG, ChCl-Ur and Ethanol.

- 625
- 626
- 627
- 628
- 629

### 636 Figure graphics

**Fig. 1** 









Α





