

Proteomics and Immune Response Differences in Apis mellifera and Apis cerana Inoculated with Three Nosema ceranae Isolates

Thunyarat Chantaphanwattana, Camille Houdelet, Chainarong Sinpoo, Sébastien Voisin, Michel Bocquet, Terd Disayathanoowat, Panuwan Chantawannakul, Philippe Bulet

▶ To cite this version:

Thunyarat Chantaphanwattana, Camille Houdelet, Chainarong Sinpoo, Sébastien Voisin, Michel Bocquet, et al.. Proteomics and Immune Response Differences in Apis mellifera and Apis cerana Inoculated with Three Nosema ceranae Isolates. Journal of Proteome Research, 2023, 22 (6), pp.2030-2043. 10.1021/acs.jproteome.3c00095. hal-04248305

HAL Id: hal-04248305 https://hal.science/hal-04248305

Submitted on 18 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Proteomics and immune response differences in Apis mellifera and Apis
- 2 *cerana* inoculated by three *Nosema ceranae* isolates
- 3 Thunyarat Chantaphanwattana^{1,2}, Camille Houdelet^{3,4}, Chainarong Sinpoo¹, Sébastien
- 4 N. Voisin^{5,6}, Michel Bocquet⁷, Terd Disayathanoowat^{1,8*}, Panuwan Chantawannakul^{1,8†}
- 5 **Philippe Bulet**^{3,5*}
- ¹ Bee Protection Laboratory, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai
 ⁷ University, 50200, Thailand
- 8 ² Graduate School, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand
- ³ CR University Grenoble Alpes, Institute for Biosciences, Inserm U1209, CNRS UMR 5309,
 38000 Grenoble, France
- ⁴ UMR1419 Nutrition, Métabolisme, Aquaculture (NuMéA), Aquapôle INRAE, 64310 Saint
 Pée sur Nivelle, France
- ⁵ Plateforme BioPark d'Archamps, 218 avenue Marie Curie, 74160 Archamps, France
- ⁶ Phylogen S.A. 62 RN113, 30620 Bernis, France
- ⁷ APIMEDIA, 82 Route de Proméry, Pringy, 74370 Annecy, France
- ⁸ Research Center of Microbial Diversity and Sustainable Utilization, Chiang Mai University,
- 17 Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand
- ⁹ Environmental Science Research Center (ESRC), Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai
 University, 50200, Thailand
- 20 [†] Professor Panuwan Chantawannakul passed away in March 2022
- *Authors for correspondence: Terd Disayathanoowat, e-mail: terd.dis@gmail.com; Philippe
- 22 Bulet, e-mail: <u>philippe.bulet@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr</u>
- 23 E-mails:
- 24 Thunyarat CHANTAPHANWATTANA: thunyarat.chan@gmail.com
- 25 Chainarong SINPOO: chainarong.sp@gmail.com
- 26 Camille HOUDELET: camille.houdelet@outlook.com
- 27 Sébastien N. VOISIN: S.Voisin@phylogene.com
- 28 Michel BOCQUET: apimedia@aol.com
- 29 Terd DISAYATHANOOWAT: terd.dis@gmail.com
- 30 Philippe BULET: philippe.bulet@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
- 31
- 32

33 Abstract

34 Nosema ceranae infects midgut epithelial cells of Apis species and jumped from its original host A. cerana to A. mellifera worldwide asking questions on how the new host is 35 responding. We compared A. mellifera and A. cerana responses to N. ceranae isolates from 36 A. cerana, A. mellifera from Thailand and A. mellifera from France. Proteomics and 37 transcriptomics results were merged to deeper understand the impact on immunity of the two 38 species. This represents the first combination of omics analysis to evaluate the impact of N. 39 ceranae spores from different origin and provides new insights into the differential immune 40 responses in honeybees inoculated by N. ceranae from original A. cerana. No difference in 41 the antimicrobial peptides (AMP) was observed in A. mellifera, while these peptides were 42 altered in A. cerana when compared to controls. Inoculation of A. mellifera or A. cerana with 43 N. ceranae caused up-regulation of the AMP genes and cellular mediated immune genes but 44 did not greatly alter apoptosis-related gene expression. A. cerana showed a stronger immune 45 response than A. mellifera after different N. ceranae isolate inoculation. N. ceranae from A. 46 cerana caused a highly negative impact on A. mellifera and A. cerana health compared to 47 48 other Nosema isolates.

Keywords: honeybees, immune response, microsporidia, hemolymph, transcriptomics and
 proteomics

52 INTRODUCTION

The Western honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) and the Eastern one (*A. cerana*) have been promoted as economic pollinators for beekeeping in Asia¹. Nowadays honeybees face several harm factors (biotic or abiotic) including pesticides, lacking of food source and pathogens, resulting in colony losses across the globe^{2–5}. Among those factors, nosemosis is considered as one of the most serious diseases of adult honeybees and has been reported to decrease honeybee population^{6,7}.

Microsporidian parasites are obligated unicellular spore-forming fungal parasites 59 causing nosemosis or *Nosema* disease in honeybee population (*Apis* spp.)⁸. The disease is 60 caused by N. apis and N. ceranae originally affecting A. mellifera and A. cerana, 61 respectively⁹⁻¹¹. N. ceranae has been reported to infect A. mellifera rather recently^{12,13} and 62 now worldwide¹⁴. These obligate intracellular parasites infect the digestive tract of adult 63 honeybees where they can develop and multiply before being transmitted horizontally 64 65 through spore consumption from contaminated foods, via fecal-oral transmission or ingestion of contaminated water^{11,15,16}. A visual symptom of *Nosema* infection is diarrhea, while 66 microscopic observations reveal tissue lesions, spore accumulation and colonization by 67 68 Nosema mycelium in the gut tissue and Malpighian tubule system. In the adult honeybees, a Nosema infection gives rise to several behavioral and molecular alterations such as (i) 69 reduction of the lifespan of workers¹⁷; (ii) cognitive changes including acceleration of the 70 behavior-age polyethism¹⁸, change of the olfactory learning and memory^{17,19,20}; (iii) dysbiosis 71 of the endogenous microbial communities of the gut and impairment of the defense system of 72 the host^{21,22}; (iv) alteration of the hemolymph carbohydrate homeostasis²³, lipid $loss^{24}$; and 73 (v) dysfunction of the gut tissue 25 . 74

Like other insects, honeybees have evolved defense mechanisms against pathogens, helping
them to survive under biological stress, including *Nosema* invasion²⁶. The mechanisms of the

77 insect immune system against parasites and pathogens relies on both humoral and cellular responses²⁷. Recognition of foreign pathogens involves specific receptors for sensing 78 infection. These include β -glucan recognition proteins and peptidoglycan recognition 79 proteins, the activation of these receptors will turn on signaling pathways that activate 80 immune genes. Like all other insects, two key signaling pathways, Toll and immune 81 deficiency (Imd), regulate the induction of honeybee immune effectors that target microbial 82 pathogens²⁸. Amongst these effectors are antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) that are presumed to 83 be produced by the *nuclear factors kappa B* (NF-KB) Dorsal and *relish* from the Toll and Imd 84 pathways, respectively²⁹. Honeybees have an open circulatory system which contains 85 transparent or light yellowish hemolymph for molecular transport, offering a readout of the 86 humoral immune defenses e.g. synthesis by the fat body of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), 87 and physiological monitoring³⁰. Honeybee hemolymph contains high concentration of 88 inorganic ions, amino acids, sugars and proteins compared to vertebrate blood³¹. In addition 89 to the AMPs synthesis by the fat body and hemocytes of honeybees (such as Defensins, 90 Abaecin, Apidaecins and Hymenoptaecin)²⁸, the humoral immune response is also associated 91 with melanization mediated by the prophenoloxydase pathway³²⁻³⁴ and vitellogenin³⁵. 92 Vitellogenin (Vg) is a 180 kDa female-specific protein³⁶ that is synthetized by the fat body, 93 released into hemolymph and transported to ovaries and other tissues through receptor-94 mediated endocytosis³⁷. Vg also has functions in immune transport³⁸, immune priming³⁹ and 95 honeybee lifespan regulation⁴⁰. Nevertheless, several factors are able to alter the *vitellogenin* 96 levels in honeybees i.e., N. ceranae⁴¹ and insecticides⁴². Hemocytes are also participating to 97 the cellular responses through encapsulation and phagocytosis mechanisms⁴³. Lysozyme 98 (LSY) is also an important component of the innate immune system which varies depending 99 on the developmental stage and gender of the honeybees^{44,45}. Honeybees have three *lysozyme* 100 genes, two encoding c-type lysozymes and one encoding i-type lysozyme. Only the c-type lys-101

1 gene is up-regulated in infested bees^{26,28}. Apoptosis-related genes are also involved in the 102 fights against viruses and pathogens^{46,47} including *relish* (*REL*), *nuclear factor of activated* T 103 *cell (NFAT)* and *apoptosis regulator R1-like (R1)*⁴⁸. Previous study reported that apoptosis-104 related genes, NFAT, R1 and REL, expressions in Nosema inoculated honeybees (A. mellifera 105 and/or A. cerana) were significantly down-regulated⁴⁸, showing a common response to 106 against Nosema. Recent results also evidenced that the proteomic profiles of Nosema-infected 107 honeybees (A. mellifera) gut revealed that several new proteins were detected to be 108 implicated with host response mechanisms including metabolic, oxidative, and energetic 109 pathways, especially the collagen IV NC1 domain-containing protein⁴⁹. This protein has been 110 considered as an early prognostic marker of *Nosema* spp. infection in honeybees⁴⁹. Energetic 111 stress of honeybees was exhibited after Nosema infection causing behavioral and 112 physiological changes⁵⁰. The energetic pathway related proteins were up-regulated after N. 113 *ceranae* and *N. apis* infection which may affect honeybee health status⁵¹. 114

In the present study, we aim to compare the immune response of the original host, A. 115 cerana and the new host A. mellifera by proteomics and transcriptomics approaches. Both 116 species were submitted to three different N. ceranae isolates inoculations, one coming from 117 the original host [A. cerana from Thailand (NC1)], another coming from the new host but in 118 the original location [A. mellifera from Thailand (NC2)], and the third coming from the new 119 120 host in a very distant location [A. mellifera from France (NF)]. Proteomic analyses demonstrate that AMPs were up-regulated after *Nosema* inoculation, especially in *A. cerana*. 121 122 Moreover, the differential proteomics reveal that Ferritin may be involved in the defense mechanisms developed by A. mellifera and A. cerana in response to the inoculation of 123 Nosema spores, iron sequestration is a recognized innate immune mechanism. In addition, 124 our transcriptomic results suggest that in A. cerana, the immune response pathways were 125 more altered than in A. mellifera after inoculated with the different Nosema spore isolates. 126

Journal of Proteomics Research proposed for first submission

- 127 This will provide new insights into how gene and protein expressions are modulated in *Apis*128 host in response to *Nosema* infections.
- 129 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

130 Chemicals and Reagents

Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer was prepared as a 50X stock solution for laboratory 131 use. The Tetro[™] cDNA Synthesis kit and the SensiFAST[™] SYBR® No-ROX kit were from 132 Bioline (Meridian Life Science Inc, USA). TRIzol reagent was from Invitrogen, dithiothreitol 133 4-vinyl-pyridine (4-VP), hexofluoroisopropanol 134 (DTT), (HFIP), alphacyano-4hydroxycinnamic acid (4-HCCA), ammonium bicarbonate (NH₄HCO₃, ABC), phenylthiourea 135 (PTU, Sigma-Aldrich) and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, Sigma-Aldrich) were 136 reagent grade from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). RapiGest[™] SF surfactant was purchased 137 from Waters (Milford, USA). The LC-MS grade formic acid (FA), triluoroacetic acid (TFA) 138 and acetonitrile (ACN) were from Carlo-Erba Reagents (Val de Reuil, France). Sequencing-139 grade modified trypsin from Promega (Madison, WI) was used for in solution protein 140 digestion. Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) was from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, 141 MA, USA). Protein Calibration Standard I (ProtMix) was purchased from Bruker Daltonics 142 (Bremen, Germany) while APISCAL (composition detailed in Houdelet et al., 2020) is a 143 homemade calibration solution. All solutions were prepared in ultrapure MilliQ water (Merck 144 Millipore, Billerica, MA). 145

146 Honeybees

147 The asymptomatic western honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) and eastern honeybee (*A. cerana*) samples were collected from three different apiaries in Chiang Mai, Thailand. 149 Newly-emerged bees were randomly kept to a cage (three apiaries, three cages per apiary 150 total of 270 bees with 30 bees per cage and nine cages per experiment; **Figure 1**) and placed in a controlled incubator at 34° C with 60-70% relative humidity⁵². Honeybees were fed with a 50% sucrose solution (w/v) *ad libitum*.

153 Spore identification

Nosema spp. were confirmed using a light microscope (400X) and by using specific
primers for identification of microsporidia by PCR (**Table S1**). The PCR conditions were
95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 sec, 55°C for 30 sec and 68°C 30 sec⁵³.
The PCR products were resolved on 1% agarose gel in TAE buffer. The 100 bp DNA ladder
was used as a marker. The sequences have been deposited in NCBI GenBank under accession
numbers MZ671824 (NC1), MZ671825 (NC2), MZ671826 (NF) and MZ671827 (NA).

160 **Inoculum preparation**

A filtrated microsporidian suspension from each strain (Nosema spp.) was 161 individually fed to honeybees by a 50% (w/v) sucrose solution with a final spore 162 concentration of 10^5 spores/mL using homemade boxes as reported by Houdelet et al., 2021. 163 The A. mellifera and A. cerana experiments were divided into four experimental conditions 164 165 including (i) N. ceranae obtained from BioPark, France (NF), (ii) N. ceranae isolated from A. 166 cerana at Chiang Mai University, Thailand (NC1), (iii) N. ceranae isolated from A. mellifera at Chiang Mai University, Thailand (NC2) which feed on both bee host species, and (iv) the 167 control (non-experimentally inoculated). Dead honeybees were enumerated every days in 168 order to evaluate the survival rate. Honeybees were collected at different time intervals: day 169 4, 7 and 13 post inoculation (d.p.i.). 170

171 Hemolymph collection

Four days and thirteen days after *Nosema* spore inoculation, hemolymph from three (at 4 d.p.i.) and five honeybees (at 13 d.p.i.) per cage were collected (a total of 99 haemolymph samples and bled bees per condition at the end of the experiment; **Figure 1**). For this part, no individual was collected at 7 d.p.i. to ensure sufficient number for the end of

176 the experiment (13 d.p.i.). Honeybees were individually bled by piercing the abdomen dorsally between the second and third tergite using pulled glass capillaries (Sutter Instrument 177 Corp, Novato, California). The hemolymph (~5 µL) was transferred into a chilled LoBind 178 Protein microtube (Eppendorf, Germany) containing dried solutions of PTU at 20 µM and 179 PMSF at 5 mM to minimize melanization and proteolysis, respectively. The hemolymph 180 samples and the corresponding bled bodies were kept at -20°C until further use. Samples with 181 182 contamination from the gut or crop content were removed from the analyses.

183

MALDI-BeeTyping[®] mass spectrometry analysis

Each hemolymph sample was analyzed by MALDI-mass spectrometry (referred as 184 MALDI-BeeTyping[®]) after a 10-fold dilution in 5% TFA. One microliter of the sample was 185 spotted on a MALDI MTP 384 polished steel plate (Bruker Daltonics), dried for 15 min 186 under mild vacuum before adding 1µL of a 4-CHCA matrix solution (15 mg/mL in 70% 187 ACN and 2.5% TFA) on each individual spotted sample and dried again as mentioned above 188 189 before analysis. Each individual sample was spotted three times.

Spectra were acquired manually in a positive ion linear mode on a MALDI AutoFlex 190 III Smartbeam (Bruker Daltonics) using the software FlexControl 3.4 (Bruker Daltonics). 191 192 Instrument was calibrated using two distinct calibration sets (APISCAL and ProtMix) covering the dynamic range of interest. The following parameters were applied for spectral 193 acquisition: laser at 200 Hz with an overall attenuation adjusted so as to obtain a signal 194 intensity of 104 (arbitrary unit) for the majority of the samples, voltage of source 1 at 20 kV, 195 voltage of source 2 at 18.7 kV, voltage lens at 9.25 kV, linear detector voltage at 1.906 kV, 196 197 pulsed ion extraction times at 120 ns and detector window at 600 Da. The MALDI-MS spectra were acquired between 600 Da and 18 kDa by accumulating 2,000 laser shots. Each 198 spot (three spots per sample) was acquired three times, resulting in nine spectra per sample. 199 Data was visualized using FlexAnalysis 3.4 software. 200

Off-gel digestion & nano-LC-ESI-MS/MS, data searching and label free quantification (LFQ)

After MALDI acquisition, nine hemolymph samples from the same condition were 203 combined (resulting in three and five pools of nine samples per condition at 4 and 13 d.p.i, 204 respectively), treated for off-gel digestion bottom-up proteomics. Each pooled hemolymph 205 sample was suspended in 0.1% RapiGest[™] surfactant in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. 206 Proteins were reduced with DTT at 56°C and alkylated using 55 mM 4-VP at room 207 temperature, both reactions being performed in the dark. Digestion was proceeded overnight 208 at 37°C with 0.5 µg of trypsin. Digested samples were acidified with 5 µL of 20% ACN/10% 209 TFA to stop enzymatic digestion and neutralize the buffer. After a 45-min incubation at 210 37°C, samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 15,000 g and transferred into inserts for high-211 performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) autosampler vials for injection. 212

Digested hemolymph samples were loaded onto a Thermo Fisher Scientific Ultimate 213 214 3000 nano-HPLC equipped with an Acclaim C₁₈ PepMap100 column (3 μ m, 75 μ m × 250 mm). The tryptic-digested peptides were separated using a biphasic linear liquid 215 chromatography gradient of ACN in 0.1% formic acid of a 2-32% in 100 min, 32-65% in 5 216 min followed by a wash and a column equilibration between each injection. The eluted 217 peptides were online electrosprayed into a Thermo Fisher Scientific Q-Exactive Orbitrap 218 219 mass spectrometer and analyzed in a positive and data-dependent acquisition mode, using the m/z range 380-2,000, as previously described in Houdelet et al., 2021⁴⁹. The resulting 220 MS/MS-derived spectra were matched against a protein database, totaling 111,814 entries 221 from A. mellifera, Nosema species, viruses, and bacteria species related to A. mellifera, 222 downloaded from the UniProtKB/TrEMBL UniProt in January 2020. The mass spectrometry 223 proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE 224 225 partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD034645 and 10.6019/PXD034645

226 RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

227

Non-bled live bees from the 4 d.p.i. experiment, and randomly selected bled honeybee bodies kept after hemolymph collection at 4, 7 and 13 d.p.i., were individually crushed in 228 sterile mortar with liquid nitrogen (9 individuals per condition; Figure 1). Total RNA was 229 230 extracted using TRIzol reagent, following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA was removed using DNase I reaction follows 37°C for 1 h and 75°C for 10 min. First strand cDNA was 231 synthesized using Tetro[™] cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bioline), following manufacturer's protocol. 232 cDNA synthesis reaction followed: 10 min at 25°C and 30 min at 45°C for incubation, 233 terminated reaction at 85°C for 5 min and chilled on ice. 234

Real-time quantitative PCR 235

Total cDNA was used to quantify the levels of gene expression using SensiFAST[™] 236 SYBR® No-ROX Kit (Bioline) on a qTOWER³ thermal cycler. According to Sinpoo et al.⁴⁸, 237 primer sequences used in this study including AMP genes (defensin, abaecin, apidaecin and 238 hymenoptaecin), humoral immunity-related enzyme genes (eater gene (AmEater), glucose 239 dehydrogenase (GLD), lysozyme (LSY) and phenol oxidase (PO)), vitellogenin (Vg) as a 240 female-specific protein gene, apoptosis-related enzyme genes (nuclear factor of activated T 241 cell (NFAT), Relish (REL) and Apoptosis regulator R1-like (R1)), and two housekeeping 242 genes (*Rps5* and β -actin) (**Table S1**). The PCR conditions were 95°C for 2 min followed by 243 244 40 cycles of 95°C for 20 sec, 60°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min. A negative control (NTC) was included in each run. The relative quantification of gene expression was calculated using 245 the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method⁵⁴. 246

Statistical analysis 247

Differences in the survival rates and gene expression in both honeybees, A. mellifera 248 and A. ceranae, and gene expression levels were analyzed using SPSS program version 17.0 249 250 for Window (SPSS, Inc.). Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variances (ANOVAs). 251

252 Datasets obtained by MALDI-MS were analyzed with the ClinProTools 2.2 software (Bruker Daltonics). Spectra were submitted to a baseline subtraction and smoothing. The 253 average spectra and the peak picking were fixed at a signal/noise of 5. The post-processing 254 step involving spectra normalization and peak selection was performed with a 95% 255 confidence interval. The statistical test for nonparametric data, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney, 256 was applied to assess the differences between the mean intensities of the peaks selected for 257 principal component analysis (PCA). In the case of data respecting normality (Anderson-258 Darling test, p > 0.05), we retained the statistical value of the parametric T-test. 259

Datasets obtained by nano-LC-ESI-MS/MS were analysed as described by Houdelet et al., 2021. We fixed that the protein was up-regulated with a log2 fold-change up to 2 and down-regulated when value is under -2. The significance of deregulation is considered with p-values less than 0.05.

Transcriptomic section, the normal distribution and homogeneity of variances data were assessed to conform to the assumptions to parametric tests or non-parametric tests using SPSS program version 17.0 for Window (SPSS, Inc.). For parametric tests, one-way ANOVAs were performed with Tukey-HSD test, comparison with an alpha value (*p*) of 0.05. For genes that conform to the consumption of non-parametric tests, the statistical significances were analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis tests with a Bonferroni correction. Overall mean transcript levels across all treatments are presented.



272

Figure 1: Experimental design. Three apiaries of *Apis mellifera* and *A. cerana* were used.
The number in brackets represented the total number of bees collected by days, the numbers
in yellow and in green corresponded to the three apiaries and the three cages per apiaries,
respectively. MS: mass spectrometry analyses, GE: gene expression analyses, d.p.i.: days
post inoculation, NC1: *N. ceranae* from *A. cerana* from Thailand, NC2: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from Thailand, NF: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from France, C: control bees that
were not inoculated by spores.

280

281 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

282 Survival rate of inoculated versus control honeybees

The effect of *Nosema* spp. isolates collected from different origins [*N. ceranae* from France (NF) and from *A. cerana* from Thailand (NC1), and (*N. ceranae* isolated from *A. mellifera* in Thailand (NC2)] were tested on the survival of *Apis mellifera* and *A. cerana* hosts by individual *per os* inoculation of 10⁵ spores/mL filtrated microsporidian suspensions from each strain (*Nosema* spp.). Non-experimentally infected bees were used as control and the absence of spore was confirmed by observation of crushed gut under microscope. The survival rate was followed every days during the 13 days of experiment.



290 Figure 2: Survival rate and spore loads of honeybees exposed to spore populations from 291 different origins. (A) Survival of Apis mellifera (solid line) and A. cerana (dashed line) when 292 infected with N. ceranae. Honeybees were infected with N. ceranae from A. cerana from 293 Thailand (NC1, blue); N. ceranae from A. mellifera from Thailand (NC2, green); N. ceranae 294 295 from A. mellifera from France (NF, red) and non-experimentally infected bees as control (C, yellow). (B) Boxplot of the Nosema spore loads per honeybee in A. mellifera and A. cerana. 296 NC1, N. ceranae from A. cerana from Thailand; NC2, N. ceranae from A. mellifera from 297 298 Thailand; NF, N. ceranae from A. mellifera from France.

299 The statistical analysis of honeybee global survival rate (Figure 2A) showed that the different N. ceranae inoculums were pathogenic for both A. mellifera and A. cerana hosts 300 (ANOVA factor '*Nosema* species' χ^2 , 126.262, d.f. 7, p < 0.0001). During the 13 days of the 301 experiment, three main events were observed. A first distinction of the survival curves was 302 observed at 3-4 d.p.i. between non-inoculated and inoculated bees, supporting an early impact 303 of N. ceranae isolates. A second separation was occurred at 6 d.p.i. where NF inoculated A. 304 mellifera host showed lower survival rate than A. cerana. Finally, from 8-9 d.p.i. another 305 306 separation came between the Nosema originated from A. mellifera (NC2 and NF), and those originated from A. cerana (NC1) that were more virulent both for A. mellifera and A. cerana 307 (Figure 2A). In parallel to the follow-up of the survival rate, Nosema spore loads in the 308 different sets of A. mellifera and A. cerana were monitored [NC1: 25 x 10⁶ spores/bee, NC2: 309 46 x 10^6 spores/bee, and NF: 24 x 10^6 spores/bee for A. mellifera and NC1: 30 x 10^6 310 spores/bee, NC2: 30 x 10⁶ spores/bee, and NF: 21 x 10⁶ spores/bee (Figure 2B)]. Nosema 311 spore loads in A. mellifera from A. cerana from Thailand (NC1) was significantly higher than 312 for other isolates (F = 104.06, p < 0.0001) (Figure 2B). For A. cerana, results revealed that 313

314 N. ceranae from A. cerana from Thailand (NC1) and N. ceranae from A. mellifera from Thailand (NC2) were significantly higher than the third isolate (NF) (F = 39.86, p < 0.0001) 315 (Figure 2B). This suggest that N. ceranae from its original host A. cerana caused negative 316 317 impact on both Apis hosts compared to N. ceranae from A. mellifera. At 13 d.p.i. (end point of our experiment), we observed that all NC2 inoculated A. mellifera bees had died. N. 318 ceranae infection led to higher prevalence and spore loads than N. apis in both A. mellifera 319 and A. cerana due to high proliferation 13,48 . Our results support a previous study from Sinpoo 320 et al. (2018)⁴⁸, which compared the A. mellifera and A. cerana mortality with Nosema spp. 321 322 cross-infection, and found that N. ceranae could successfully infect both Apis hosts and cause colony mortality. 323

Potential impact of *Nosema* spp. on *A. mellifera* and *A. cerana* evaluated by MALDIBeeTyping® approaches

To measure the impact of different isolates of Nosema spores (NF, NC1 and NC2) on 326 the Western and Asian honeybees, a total of 27 and 45 individual hemoplymphs were 327 collected at 4 and 13 d.p.i, respectively (Figure 1). Each hemolymph sample was analyzed by 328 MALDI-BeeTyping[®] to visualize the potential impact of Nosema spp. inoculations on the 329 330 hemolymph peptidome profile (MFPs) as a read out of the immune status of bees. To this end, the MFPs of hemolymph collected on non-treated control bees and *per os* infected bees 331 were compared (i.e., bees kept for 4 and 13 d.p.i.). We focused on the antimicrobial peptides 332 (AMPs) known to circulate in bee hemolymph in response to an immune challenge, namely 333 Apidaecin 1A (m/z 2107, accession Q06602 · APD73_APIME), Abaecin (m/z 3878, 334 accession P15450 · ABAE_APIME) and Defensin 1A (m/z 5519, accession P17722 · 335 DEFI_APIME). Hymenoptaecin (m/z 10270, accession Q10416 · HYTA_APIME) was 336 hardly detectable in our MALDI-BeeTyping® conditions. All these AMPs are known to be 337 weapons of the bee immune system to protect honeybees from invading microorganisms. 338



339

For A. mellifera (Figure 3A, 3B) no significant difference in the MFPs between 345 controls and inoculated honeybees were observed at 4 d.p.i. At 13 d.p.i., a part of the bees 346 inoculated by NF and NC2 isolates does not show the same MFP profiles than the ones from 347 control honeybees (Table S2). This suggests that immune related peptide/proteins other than 348 the referenced AMPs (Apidaecins, Abaecin, Defensins) are responsible for the observed 349 differences in the MFPs recorded in A. mellifera after N. ceranae inoculation. For example, 350 N. ceranae is able to induce energy metabolism proteins in A. mellifera midguts due to 351 352 energetic stress at high Nosema infection levels e.g. cytochrome C oxidase subunit 6A1, and

<sup>Figure 3: Principal component analyses of the repartition of the molecular mass fingerprints
generated by MALDI-BeeTyping® of hemolymph samples of</sup> *A. mellifera* (A and B) and *A. cerana* (C and D) at 4 (A and C) and 13 d.p.i. (B and D). In blue, NC1: *N. ceranae* from *A. cerana* from Thailand, in green NC2: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from Thailand, in red NF: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from France, in yellow C: not inoculated control bees.

ATP synthase subunit beta⁵¹. For A. cerana (Figure 3C, 3D), different PCA profiles were 353 obtained. At 4 d.p.i, the MFPs recorded from bees inoculated by NC1 or NC2 were detached 354 from the non-infected hemolymph spectra (Figure 3C). The statistical analyses revealed 14, 355 356 17 and 2 molecular ions with a statistical difference of intensity between controls and the NC1, NC2 and NF condition, respectively (Table S2). The two molecular ions at m/z 2112.59 357 (Apidaecin) and 5515.07 (Defensin), had a higher intensity on spectra of bees infected by 358 NC1 and NC2, compared to control bees (Table S2). The intensity of the Apidaecin 359 molecular ion was found also higher in bee hemolymph collected from individuals infected 360 by NF (Table S2). While still observed at 13 d.p.i., the difference was less visible on PCA 361 (Figure 3D). Moreover, the spectra recorded on the hemolymph of bees inoculated by NC1 362 were separated in two opposite clusters (Figure 3D). Among the 12 molecular ions 363 364 statistically different between NC1 infected and control bees, the molecular ion of Apidaecin was at a higher intensity in the infected individuals. The same observation was done after the 365 NC2 inoculation. Additionally, a higher intensity was observed for the molecular ions of 366 367 Defensin and Abaecin at m/z 5515.89 and m/z 3903.45, respectively. At 13 d.p.i., a slight increase of intensity of the Defensin molecular ion was observed after NF inoculation. The 368 peptide profiles revealed that Apidaecin, Defensin and Abaecin, were up-regulated after N. 369 ceranae inoculation in A. cerana, suggesting that turning on the immune response as 370 "imaged" by the presence of circulating AMPs may prevent microsporidian infection more 371 efficiently in *A. cerana* than in *A. mellifera*⁵⁵. 372

Bottom-up proteomics analysis of the hemolymph of honeybee in response to different *Nosema* inoculums

To further investigate the molecular impact of *Nosema* inoculation on the hemolymph proteome, the hemolymph samples were pooled according to the MALDI-BeeTyping® MFPs 377 recorded per type of experiment. These pools were subjected to an off-gel digestion in the presence of 0.1% RapiGest[™] surfactant and the digests were analysed by LC-ESI-MS/MS 378 bottom-up proteomics. Label-free quantitative (LFQ) proteomics were conducted on the 379 380 hemolymph pools based on the relative abundance of the proteins. Fold-change ratios were calculated as a measurement of the protein regulation in the infectious over the control 381 conditions. In A. mellifera samples, 382 and 262 proteins at 4 d.p.i. and 13 d.p.i. were 382 identified, respectively, while in A. cerana only 185 and 159 proteins were identified at 4 383 d.p.i. and 13 d.p.i., respectively. Within those protein lists, we highlight differentially 384 abundant proteins in the context of A. mellifera and A. cerana infected by various N. ceranae 385 isolates and those of non-infected bees (Figure 4; Table 1). 386



Figure 4: PCA based on LC-ESI-MS/MS and clustering of hemolymph of *A. mellifera* (A and B) and *A. cerana* (C and D) at 4 (A and C) and 13 d.p.i. (B and D). Each dot is representing a pool of individuals. In blue, NC1: *N. ceranae* from *A. cerana* from Thailand, in green NC2: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from Thailand, in red NF: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from France, in yellow C: control bees that were not inoculated by spores.

393 For *A. mellifera*, at 4 d.p.i. and 13 d.p.i. no important difference were observed between the

four condition (Figure 4A). Actually, the LFQ results revealed that two proteins were 394 statistically down-regulated in the NC2 condition: Ferritin and GMC_OxRdtase_N domain-395 396 containing protein (**Table 1**). Ferritin, a protein that plays a pivotal role in the regulation of iron content, has been reported to be indispensable for iron metabolism and iron homeostasis 397 through the storage and release of iron ions, especially Fe+^{56,57}. Iron sequestration is a well-398 recognized innate immune mechanism referred as nutritional immunity developed by the host 399 against invading pathogens^{58,59}. To sequester iron from pathogens, the host relies on several 400 iron-binding proteins, among which Transferrin members and Ferritin frequently play a 401 pivotal role⁵⁸. In insects, Ferritin is found mainly in the ER and nuclear envelope and serve as 402 an iron storage protein⁶⁰. Then, Ferritin is circulating in the hemolymph and functions in iron 403 transport⁶¹. In honeybee, Ferritin was found to be highly detected in newly-emerged 404 honeybee hemolymph⁵⁷ and its gene expression considerably increased after an exposure to 405 imidaclopride⁶². The modulation of iron sequestration may improve the survival of N. 406 407 ceranae-infected bees as it was demonstrated for Transferrin 1 in Drosophila faced to pathogen infection⁶³. GMC_OxRdtase_N domain-containing protein is a part of the glucose 408 oxidase complex that is involved in catalyzing the oxidation of glucose into hydrogen 409 peroxide for its antibacterial activity⁶⁴. Our results confirm that the GMC_OxRdtase_N 410 domain-containing protein was found in the hemolymph of non-infected A. mellifera. This is 411 suggesting that Ferritin and GMC_OxRdtase_N domain-containing protein down-regulation 412 is the consequence of the honeybee immune system reaction to the microsporidian infection. 413 At 13 d.p.i., differences were only observed for the NF infection with a lower abundance of 414 415 the IU_nuc_hydro domain-containing protein and the ML domain-containing protein. ML

416 domain-containing protein is classified to the group of genes associated with pathogen recognition, immune response, and lipid metabolism^{65,66}. This matches well with previous 417 tick study demonstrating that ML protein, detected in gut, salivary glands and hemolymph, is 418 involved in the tick innate immunity⁶⁷. For A. cerana, the obtained PCAs shown a 419 discrimination between the protein content profiles of inoculated bees and control bees 420 (Figure 4C, 4D). At 4 d.p.i. of spores of *N. ceranae* isolated from Thailand (NC1 and NC2), 421 Apidaecin type 73 was upregulated (Table 2). For NC2, Apidaecins and Glyco_18 domain-422 containing protein were upregulated while Ferritin and two uncharacterized proteins were 423 downregulated (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S3). The results are in the same trend with 424 the hemolymph results obtained with MALDI-BeeTyping[®], showing that AMPs, especially 425 426 Apidaecin, were upregulated in A. cerana hosts after 4 d.p.i. of NC1 and NC2 inoculation. 427 Ferritin may be involved in the defense mechanisms in honeybees, which downregulated in both A. mellifera and A. cerana host after 4 d.p.i. of N. ceranae from A. mellifera from 428 Thailand (NC2) inoculation. Ferritin was also downregulated at 13 d.p.i. for conditions NC1 429 430 and NF. Moreover, odorant binding proteins (OBPs) were suppressed in A. cerana immune response after NC1 and NF inoculation at 13 d.p.i., especially OBP13 (Table 2). OBPs, water 431 soluble proteins, are in charge of mediation of volatile organic compounds to the odorant 432 receptors^{68,69} but more recently, OBPs were discovered in non-sensory organs and so 433 associated with other physiological processes⁷⁰⁻⁷². In 2022, Zhang and collaborators⁷¹ 434 demonstrated the relation of OBPs with Toll pathway innate immunity of locusts. In A. 435 mellifera and A. cerana, 21 and 17 OBPs were identified, respectively that are related with 436 olfactory system and physiological functions. The OBP13 was previously detected in 437 different stages of both A. mellifera without any threats^{57,73,74} and A. cerana⁷³. Thus, it was 438 inferred that the odor perception of insect was harm by *N. ceranae*. 439

To sum up, *A. mellifera* shows no or little response at 4 and 13 d.p.i., with the different isolates of *Nosema ceranae*. In contrast, *A. ceranae* shows an early response with the upregulation of Apidaecin at 4 d.p.i., for Thai isolates of *Nosema* (NC1 and NC2), and no response to the French isolate (NF). At 13 d.p.i., the response is more complex, with multiple proteins involves up or down regulated, and always the presence of Ferritin.

Table 1. List of dysregulated proteins in pools of hemolymph of *A. mellifera* at four- and thirteen-days post-inoculation. In red, downregulated proteins. NC1: *N. ceranae* from *A. ceranae* from Thailand, NC2: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from Thailand, NF: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from *A. mellifera* from France, C: control bees that were not inoculated by spores.

Nosema isolates	Day post inoculation	Description	Accession	Peptides	Coverage [%]	Ratio Exp/Ctrl	p-value	Biological Process	
NC1	4	Not significant							
	13	Not significant							
NC2		Ferritin	A0A087ZXW2	6	52	0.19	0.042	cellular iron ion homeostasis	
	4	GMC_OxRdtase_N domain- containing protein	A0A087ZVX2	1	4	0.04	0.016	Unknown	
	13	Not significant							
	4	Not significant							
NF	13	IU_nuc_hydro domain-containing protein	A0A087ZSJ3	3	17	0.08	0.002	nucleobase-containing compound metabolic process	
		ML domain-containing protein	A0A088A045	6	47	0.12	0.000	intracellular cholesterol transport	

Table 2. List of dysregulated proteins in pools of hemolymph of *A. cerana* at four- and thirteen-days post-inoculation. In red, downregulated proteins and in green, upregulated proteins. NC1: *N. ceranae* from *A. cerana* from Thailand, NC2: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from Thailand, NF: *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* from France, C: control bees that were not inoculated by spores. Uncharacterized proteins have been removed from this table, but the complete table is available in the supplementary **Table S3**.

Nosema isolates	Day post inoculation	Description	Accession	Peptides	Coverage [%]	Ratio Exp/Ctrl	p-value	Biological Process
	4	Apidaecins type 73	Q06602	3	58	6.00	0.044	innate immune response
		COesterase domain-containing protein	A0A088ABF6	2	6	20.11	0.002	metabolic process
		Defensin	B9UKF1	2	27	3.21	0.034	defense response;response to stimulus
		IRP30	G9F9Z6	6	19	28.84	0.009	
		Anarchy 1	Q4PKR8	1	3	0.45	0.006	
		Ferritin	A0A087ZXW2	4	45	0.10	0.010	cellular homeostasis;metabolic process;transport
NC1	13	GMC_OxRdtase_N domain-containing protein	A0A087ZVX2	1	2	0.12	0.009	metabolic process
		ML domain-containing protein	A0A087ZRW9	1	7	0.12	0.010	transport
		ML domain-containing protein	A0A088A045	4	38	0.14	0.018	transport
		OBP13	Q1W641	5	36	0.21	0.023	
		OBP21	Q1W633	1	7	0.18	0.009	
		PA2c domain-containing protein	A0A088A4R3	1	7	0.29	0.023	metabolic process;transport
		SHSP domain-containing protein	A0A088APK1	1	8	0.34	0.002	
		SVWC domain-containing protein	A0A088APR8	3	19	0.17	0.000	
		TIL domain-containing protein	A0A088AF72	1	15	0.07	0.005	
	4	Apidaecin	B9UKF6	7	52	7.60	0.022	Unknown
		Apidaecins type 73	A0A088AIG0	3	58	6.80	0.017	Unknown
		Glyco_18 domain-containing protein	A0A087ZUC1	1	4	10.45	0.017	metabolic process
NC2		Ferritin	A0A087ZXW2	3	20	0.08	0.022	cellular homeostasis;metabolic process;transport
NC2		COesterase domain-containing protein	A0A088ABF6	6	2	32.59	0.000	metabolic process
	13	Defensin	B9UKF1	27	2	5.88	0.022	defense response;response to stimulus
		IRP30	G9F9Z6	19	6	29.86	0.036	
		SVWC domain-containing protein	A0A088APR8	19	3	0.43	0.027	
	4			Not signi	ficant			
		Alpha-glucosidase	Q25BT7	1	2	7.99	0.022	metabolic process
		Apidaecin	B9UN 18	6	46	10.26	0.001	
	13	Apidaecin	B9UN24	6	46	15.36	0.001	
		Apolipophorin-III-like protein	BOLUE8	3	30	0.14	0.009	transport
		Chitin-binding type-2 domain- containing protein	A0A088AG75	1	32	0.02	0.000	metabolic process
NF		Ferritin	A0A087ZXW2	4	45	0.17	0.044	cellular homeostasis;metabolic process;transport
		Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	A0A087ZPQ8	11	45	0.18	0.004	metabolic process
		ML domain-containing protein	A0A088A045	4	38	0.02	0.001	transport
		OBP13	Q1W641	5	36	0.02	0.000	
		Omega-conotoxin-like protein 1	H9KQJ7	1	31	0.05	0.020	defense response;response to stimulus
		SHSP domain-containing protein	A0A088APK1	1	8	0.37	0.009	
		SVWC domain-containing protein	A0A088APR8	3	19	0.20	0.000	
		TIL domain-containing protein	A0A088AF72	1	15	0.03	0.001	

457

458

460 Tanscriptomics analysis of the hemolymph of honeybee in response to different *Nosema* 461 inoculums

The body of *A. mellifera* and *A. cerana* honeybees used for proteomic analyses were sampled in order to study the modulation of expression of immune related genes after *Nosema* inoculation. For this part, another time point at 7 d.p.i. was added to complete the dynamic of genes expression during infection. We focused on the genes coding AMPs (*abaecin*, *apidaecins*, *defensin* and *hymenoptaecin*) and on the genes involved in cellular defense reactions (**Figure 5**). The level of expression of the 12 targeted immune genes was examined in response to the different *N. ceranae* isolates inoculated to *A. mellifera* and *A. cerana* at 4, 7



469 and 13 days post inoculation (d.p.i.).

Figure 5: Heat map of immune regulation between both *A. mellifera* and *A. cerana* during *Nosema* inoculation at 4 days post-inoculation (4 d.p.i.), 7 d.p.i. and 13 d.p.i. In green,
upregulated gene expression and in red, downregulated gene expression. *AmEater: eater gene, Vg: vitellogenin, PO: phenol oxidase, GLD: glucose dehydrogenase, LSY: lysozyme, R1: apoptosis regulator R1-like, REL: relish, NFAT: nuclear factor of activated T cell.*

475 Antimicrobial peptide genes

For *Apis mellifera*, the number of *abaecin* and *hymenoptaecin* transcripts were lower at 4 d.p.i. with NC1 and NC2 isolates than in non-experimentally challenged bees with microsporidian spores (ANOVA, p < 0.005 for *abaecin* and p < 0.001 *hymenoptaecin*) (Figure 5, Figure S1). The number of transcripts of the *defensin* gene was decreased after

NC2 inoculation at 4 and 7 d.p.i. (ANOVA, p < 0.001; Figure 5, Figure S1). Chaimanee and 480 colleagues⁷⁵ also observed a significant decrease of *apidaecin* and *defensin* gene expression 481 three days after an inoculation of 10^6 spores/ml of *N. ceranae*. From 7 d.p.i., all genes coding 482 for the AMPs from Nosema-inoculated A. mellifera were up regulated which is similar to 483 previous studies on Nosema-infected A. mellifera^{48,55}. After 13 d.p.i. of NF inoculation, the 484 mRNA level of *abaecin* significantly increased (ANOVA, p < 0.005; Figure 5, Figure S1). 485 In A. cerana, the apidaecin gene expression was upregulated after NC1 inoculation at 4 d.p.i. 486 (ANOVA, p < 0.05; Figure 5, Figure S2). The *abaecin*, *defensin* and *hymenoptaecin* gene 487 expressions were significantly increased after NF inoculation rather than NC2 inoculation at 488 13 d.p.i. (ANOVA, p < 0.005, p < 0.001 and p < 0.001 for the defensin and hymenoptaecin 489 genes, respectively; Figure 5, Figure S2). Interestingly, the apidaecins responses to N. 490 491 ceranae inoculated A. cerana was marked by the upregulation of the gene which is the same trend with our proteomic results of Apidaecins. We further discovered that defensin of A. 492 cerana at 13 days post NC2 inoculation was suppressed which is similar response to that 493 494 proteomic study. In A. cerana, AMPs were increased after N. ceranae from A. cerana (NC1) inoculation whereas *abaecin* and *defensin* gene expressions were down regulated after N. 495 ceranae from A. mellifera (NC2) inoculation, suggesting that N. ceranae from non-original 496 host has less of an impact on A. cerana. The abaecin and defensin genes are correlated with 497 MyD88 an adaptor protein in the *Toll* signaling pathway⁷⁶. This result demonstrated that *Toll* 498 pathway regulation was inhibited during NC2 inoculation in A. cerana. 499

500 Cellular response genes

501 In *A. mellifera*, the mRNA levels of the genes coding for *AmEater*, *PO* and *GLD* were 502 significantly decreased after NF inoculation compared to NC1 inoculation at 13 d.p.i. 503 (ANOVA, p < 0.01, p < 0.001 and p < 0.001 respectively; **Figure 5, Figure S3**). This showed 504 that *N. ceranae* from *A. mellifera* reduced cellular immune responses in *A. mellifera* host

505 while cellular immune genes were induced after 7 d.p.i. of N. ceranae from A. cerana. This pattern suggested that humoral and cellular defense mechanisms were suppressed in A. 506 mellifera after N. ceranae from A. mellifera inoculation. Seven days after NC1 inoculation, 507 508 there was a significant increase in the gene expression coding for PO) compared to control A. *cerana* (ANOVA, *p* < 0.001; Figure 5, Figure S4). *PO* is responsible for melanization which 509 is an important defense mechanism against fungi pathogens⁷⁷. LSY mRNA level was 510 significantly showed down-regulated after NC1 and NC2 compared to the control experiment 511 in all A. cerana treatments (4, 7 and 13 d.p.i.) (ANOVA, p < 0.001, p < 0.001 and p < 0.001512 513 respectively; Figure 5, Figure S4).

514 Apoptosis related and vitellogenin genes

The expression of the Apoptosis-related genes (NFAT, R1 and REL) significantly 515 516 decreased at 13 d.p.i. in A. mellifera after NF inoculation compared to NC1 (ANOVA, p < p0.01, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001 respectively; Figure 5, Figure S5). Vg gene expression of A. 517 *mellifera* significantly increased after NF inoculation at 4 d.p.i. (ANOVA, p < 0.01; Figure 518 5, Figure S5). The vitellogenin protein is a metabolic regulator of stress responses and cell 519 damage recognition^{78,79}. At 7 and 13 d.p.i., *vitellogenin* gene expression was significantly 520 521 down regulated after NF inoculation compared to NC1 inoculation (ANOVA, p < 0.01 and p< 0.01 respectively; Figure 5, Figure S5). A decrease in Vg expression was found in all A. 522 mellifera and A. cerana treatments, except NC1 inoculated A. mellifera, in which Vg 523 expression increased after 7 days. This finding is consistent with previous studies showing 524 that N. ceranae inoculation lead to reduced honeybee host lifespan with Vg gene 525 suppression^{80,81}. In A. cerana, NF inoculation showed significant suppression of NFAT at 7 526 d.p.i. compared to control (ANOVA, p < 0.01; Figure 5, Figure S6). Apoptosis regulator R1 527 mRNA showed significant down-regulation after NC2 inoculation in both A. mellifera and A. 528 cerana at 4 d.p.i. and 7 d.p.i., respectively (ANOVA, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001 respectively; 529

530 Figure 5, Figure S6). We found an increase in the REL transcript in A. cerana after N. ceranae (NC1, NC2 and NF) inoculation, which is contrast with Sinpoo et al.48. The 531 apoptosis-related immune up-regulation supports the hypothesis that host cell defense 532 533 mechanism leads the programmed cell death pathway, provide a means for infected cell to limit the spread of pathogens by protecting the integrity cells⁸². Moreover, NFAT gene 534 expressions was reduced in all A. cerana treatments. The NFAT and REL belong to the REL 535 family, which was involved in the NF- κ B pathway, that will allow the host cell to survive 536 under biological stress⁸³. The NF-kB pathway is involved in numerous biological processes 537 including cell apoptosis⁸⁴. These results imply that this pathway was suppressed following 538 different N. ceranae isolate inoculations in both A. mellifera and A. cerana. 539

540 Contributions of the proteo-transcriptomics to the understanding of nosemosis in A. 541 *mellifera* and A. cerana

The results of our multiomics approach that combined MALDI-BeeTyping®, LC-ESI-542 MS/MS and qPCR were compared focusing on the dynamics of the two major AMPs 543 (Apidaecin and Defensin) observed in our study. Both methods are not reflecting the same 544 phenomenon. First, MALDI-BeeTyping® reflected the presence of mature peptides 545 546 circulating in honeybee's hemolymph, through a molecular mass fingerprint without absolute quantitation of them⁸⁵. Second, LC-ESI-MS/MS allowed the characterization of proteins and 547 peptides contained in the hemolymph samples and their quantification by LFQ. Third, qPCR 548 is used to estimated mRNA of specific genes in all body individuals. For A. mellifera, the 549 comparison was impossible because of no difference observed with proteomics tools. 550 However, for A. cerana the results from the three approaches were in the same trend except 551 for the *defensin* which was downregulated after inoculation of NC2 (at 4 and 13 d.p.i.; Figure 552 6), reflecting an earlier stage which does not pretend to measure the effective quantity of 553

peptide. Nevertheless, this may highlight that NC2, non-original isolate, has a lower ability to
alter *defensin* in *A. mellifera*.

These synthetic results showed that for *A. mellifera*, there is no or little reaction at the early stages of the infection, only *apidaecin* was up-regulated for NC1 and NF (**Figure 6**). At day 13 d.p.i. both *apidaecin* and *defensin* were up-regulated, whereas the proteins were not significantly deregulated (MS analyses). For *A. ceranae*, on the contrary an early response was observed at 4 d.p.i., for both genes and proteins expression, excepted for NC2. At 13 d.p.i., genes and proteins were still up-regulated, with differences among MALDI-BeeTyping® and LC-ESI-MS/MS technologies (**Figure 6**).



563

Figure 6: Comparison of the results of proteomics and transcriptomics approaches in the case of Apidaecin and Defensin. For qPCR, the value is the ratio between the mean $2^{\Delta\Delta CT}$ of the experimental condition by the mean $2^{\Delta\Delta CT}$ of the control. For MALDI-BeeTyping[®], the result, is the ratio between the mean intensity of the experimental condition by the mean intensity of the control. For LC-ESI-MS/MS, the value is the ratio of the abundance of experimental condition by the abundance of the control measures by LFQ. A red or a green arrow reveals a decrease or an increase of the molecules, respectively.

571 CONCLUSIONS

Nosema-inoculated honeybees revealed different survival rates according to the *N*. *ceranae* isolate. Especially, *N. ceranae* from *A. cerana* from Thailand (NC1) induced the

highest mortality rate in both A. mellifera and A. cerana hosts. This study suggests that N. 574 ceranae, an isolate from A. cerana from Thailand (NC1) is the most virulent isolated tested in 575 our experimental conditions. Our study does not only confirm that AMPs are engaged in the 576 host response to N. ceranae inoculation⁷⁵, it also indicates that GMC OxRdtase N domain-577 containing, Ferritin and Odorant Binding Protein 13 are likely involved as a defense 578 mechanism in A. mellifera and A. cerana hosts. Immune-related genes that were studied here 579 displayed varied expression levels in both A. mellifera and A. cerana host after different N. 580 ceranae isolates inoculation, with some immune pathways shown to be down-regulated, 581 582 likely suppressed by the inoculated Nosema. Nevertheless, A. cerana showed a stronger immune response than A. mellifera after different N. ceranae isolate inoculation. This may 583 also explain why A. cerana appears to be less affected by N. ceranae inoculation than A. 584 585 mellifera. One hypothesis could be that A. cerana, as in contact with N. ceranae for long time acquired a good ability to fight against this pathogen, but for this reason is selecting Nosema 586 of high virulence for him and A. mellifera. On the contrary, A. mellifera, and even more in 587 distant locations from the origin, has less performant response, and may not select the most 588 virulent strains, so when in contact with A. cerana, gives little damages to it. This can explain 589 differential responses among the two bee species in the places where they coexist, but also 590 gives perspectives in territorial approach of Nosema isolates with different variants more or 591 592 less virulent. This may orient further research on the factors of virulence in Nosema itself 593 what stays difficult while we have difficulties to cultivate N. ceranae in vitro. The differential microbiota of both bee species may play a role in this game. 594

595 Supporting information

List and sequences of the primers (**Table S1**); Relative mean intensities of molecular ions (**Table S2**); Complete list of dysregulated proteins in pools of hemolymph of *A. cerana* and *A. mellifera* at four- and thirteen-days post-inoculation (**Table S3**); Antimicrobial peptide gene expression in *Nosema* spp. infected *A. mellifera* (**Figure S1**); Antimicrobial peptide gene expression in *Nosema* spp. infected *A. cerana* (**Figure S2**); The cellular gene expression in *Nosema* spp. infected *A. mellifera* (Figure S3); The cellular gene expression in *Nosema*spp. infected *A. cerana* (Figure S4); Apoptosis and vitellogenin gene expression in *Nosema*spp. infected *A. mellifera* (Figure S5); Apoptosis and vitellogenin gene expression in *Nosema* spp. infected *A. cerana* (Figure S6)

605 Accession codes

- 606 The mass spectrometry proteomics data generated in this study have been deposited in the
- 607 ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE^{86,87} partner repository with the dataset 608 identifiers PXD034645. The MALDI-MS data is available at 609 https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20122220.

610 ORCID IDs

- 611 T.C.: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3383-6528, C.S.: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6155-6764,
- 612 C.H.: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0836-5202, S.N.V.: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5897-
- 613 333X, M.B.: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1584-1481, T.D.: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6416-
- 614 2266, P.C.: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9299-2777, P.B.: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9016-
- 615 265X

616 Authors Contribution

P.C., C.H., P.B., and M.B., conceived the studies. T.C., C.H., S.V., and C.S. performed the formal analysis and the methodology. T.C., P.C., P.B., C.H., C.S., and T.D. drafted the manuscript and all authors contributed to the writing and review of the manuscript. All authors commented the manuscript at different stages and accepted the final version of the manuscript.

622 Competing interests

623 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

624 Acknowledgments

The research leading to these results received funding from the Chiang Mai University (Thailand) and Campus France for its financial support of our PHC-SIAM N°42829YF. We thank the Chiang Mai University and the Association Plateforme BioPark d'Archamps (PBA, France) for technical facility supports and PBA supporting part of this research through its Research & Development program.

631 **REFERENCES**

(1)Akratanakul, P.; Saen, K.; Pathom, N. Beekeeping in Asia. Assessment. 1990. 632 (2)vanEngelsdorp, D.; Hayes, J.; Underwood, R. M.; Caron, D.; Pettis, J. A Survey of 633 Managed Honey Bee Colony Losses in the USA, Fall 2009 to Winter 2010. J. Apic. 634 Res. 2011, 50 (1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.50.1.01. 635 Di Pasquale, G.; Salignon, M.; Le Conte, Y.; Belzunces, L. P.; Decourtye, A.; (3) 636 Kretzschmar, A.; Suchail, S.; Brunet, J.-L.; Alaux, C. Influence of Pollen Nutrition on 637 Honey Bee Health: Do Pollen Quality and Diversity Matter? PLoS One 2013, 8 (8), 638 e72016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072016. 639 Zhu, W.; Schmehl, D. R.; Mullin, C. A.; Frazier, J. L. Four Common Pesticides, Their (4) 640 641 Mixtures and a Formulation Solvent in the Hive Environment Have High Oral Toxicity to Honey Bee Larvae. PLoS One 2014, 9 (1), e77547. 642 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077547. 643 Hristov, P.; Shumkova, R.; Palova, N.; Neov, B. Factors Associated with Honey Bee 644 (5) Colony Losses: A Mini-Review. Vet. Sci. 2020, 7 (4). 645 https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci7040166. 646 Higes, M.; Martín-Hernández, R.; Garrido-Bailón, E.; González-Porto, A. V; García-(6) 647 Palencia, P.; Meana, A.; Del Nozal, M. J.; Mayo, R.; Bernal, J. L. Honeybee Colony 648 Collapse Due to Nosema ceranae in Professional Apiaries. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 649 **2009**, *1* (2), 110–113. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00014.x. 650 Paxton, R. J. Does Infection by Nosema Ceranae Cause "Colony Collapse Disorder" in 651 (7)652 Honey Bees (Apis mellifera)? J. Apic. Res. 2010, 49 (1), 80-84. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.49.1.11. 653 Tsai, S.-J.; Lo, C.-F.; Soichi, Y.; Wang, C.-H. The Characterization of Microsporidian (8) 654 Isolates (Nosematidae: Nosema) from Five Important Lepidopteran Pests in Taiwan. J. 655 Invertebr. Pathol. 2003, 83 (1), 51–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2011(03)00035-656 657 1. (9) Zander, E. Tierische Parasiten Als Krankenheitserreger Bei Der Biene. Münchener 658 659 Bienenzeitung 1909, 31, 196–204. Matheson, A. World Bee Health Update 1996. Bee World 1996, 77 (1), 45-51. 660 (10)https://doi.org/10.1080/0005772X.1996.11099281. 661 Fries, I.; Feng, F.; da Silva, A.; Slemenda, S. B.; Pieniazek, N. J. Nosema ceranae n. (11)662 sp. (Microspora, Nosematidae), morphological and molecular characterization of a 663 microsporidian parasite of the Asian honey bee Apis cerana (Hymenoptera, Apidae). 664 Eur. J. Protistol. 1996, 32 (3), 356-365. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0932-665 4739(96)80059-9. 666 (12)Higes, M.; Martín, R.; Meana, A. Nosema ceranae, a New Microsporidian Parasite in 667 Honeybees in Europe. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 2006, 92 (2), 93-95. 668 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2006.02.005. 669 (13)Huang, W.-F.; Jiang, J.-H.; Chen, Y.-W.; Wang, C.-H. A Nosema ceranae Isolate from 670 the Honeybee Apis mellifera. Apidologie 2007, 38 (1), 30-37. 671 https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2006054. 672

673 674 675 676	(14)	Martín- Hernández, R.; Botías, C.; Bailón, E. G.; Martínez- Salvador, A.; Prieto, L.; Meana, A.; Higes, M. Microsporidia Infecting <i>Apis mellifera</i> : Coexistence or Competition. Is <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Replacing <i>Nosema apis</i> ? <i>Environ. Microbiol.</i> 2012 , <i>14</i> (8), 2127–2138. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02645.x.
677 678	(15)	Fries, I. Nosema ceranae in European Honey Bees (Apis mellifera). J. Invertebr. Pathol. 2010, 103, S73–S79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.017.
679 680 681	(16)	Paxton, R. J.; Klee, J.; Korpela, S.; Fries, I. <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Has Infected <i>Apis mellifera</i> in Europe since at Least 1998 and May Be More Virulent than <i>Nosema apis</i> . <i>Apidologie</i> 2007 , <i>38</i> (6), 558–565. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:2007037.
682 683 684	(17)	Goblirsch, M.; Huang, Z.; Spivak, M. Physiological and Behavioral Changes in Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) Induced by <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Infection. <i>PLoS One</i> 2013 , <i>8</i> , e58165. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058165.
685 686 687	(18)	Lecocq, A.; Jensen, A. B.; Kryger, P.; Nieh, J. C. Parasite Infection Accelerates Age Polyethism in Young Honey Bees. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 2016 , <i>6</i> (1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22042.
688 689 690 691	(19)	Gage, S.; Kramer, C.; Calle, S.; Carroll, M.; Heien, M.; DeGrandi-Hoffman, G. <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Parasitism Impacts Olfactory Learning and Memory and Neurochemistry in Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>). <i>J. Exp. Biol.</i> 2017 , <i>221</i> . https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.161489.
692 693 694 695 696 697	(20)	El Khoury, S.; Rousseau, A.; Lecoeur, A.; Cheaib, B.; Bouslama, S.; Mercier, PL.; Demey, V.; Castex, M.; Giovenazzo, P.; Derome, N. Deleterious Interaction Between Honeybees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) and Its Microsporidian Intracellular Parasite <i>Nosema</i> <i>ceranae</i> Was Mitigated by Administrating Either Endogenous or Allochthonous Gut Microbiota Strains. <i>Front. Ecol. Evol.</i> 2018 . <i>6</i> (58). https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00058.
698 699 700	(21)	Alberoni, D.; Gaggìa, F.; Baffoni, L.; Di Gioia, D. Beneficial Microorganisms for Honey Bees: Problems and Progresses. <i>Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i> 2016 , <i>100</i> (22), 9469–9482. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7870-4.
701 702 703 704	(22)	Rubanov, A.; Russell, K. A.; Rothman, J. A.; Nieh, J. C.; McFrederick, Q. S. Intensity of <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Infection Is Associated with Specific Honey Bee Gut Bacteria and Weakly Associated with Gut Microbiome Structure. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 2019 , <i>9</i> (1), 3820. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40347-6.
705 706 707 708	(23)	Aliferis, K. A.; Copley, T.; Jabaji, S. Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry Metabolite Profiling of Worker Honey Bee (<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.) Hemolymph for the Study of <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Infection. <i>J. Insect Physiol.</i> 2012 , <i>58</i> (10), 1349–1359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.07.010.
709 710 711 712	(24)	Li, W.; Chen, Y.; Cook, S. C. Chronic <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Infection Inflicts Comprehensive and Persistent Immunosuppression and Accelerated Lipid Loss in Host <i>Apis mellifera</i> Honey Bees. <i>Int. J. Parasitol.</i> 2018 , <i>48</i> (6), 433–444. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.11.004.
713 714 715 716	(25)	Houdelet, C.; Arafah, K.; Bocquet, M.; Bulet, P. Molecular Histoproteomy by MALDI Mass Spectrometry Imaging to Uncover Markers of the Impact of <i>Nosema</i> on <i>Apis mellifera</i> . <i>Proteomics</i> 2022 , No. December 2021, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.202100224.

717 718 719	(26)	Evans, J. D.; Spivak, M. Socialized Medicine: Individual and Communal Disease Barriers in Honey Bees. <i>J. Invertebr. Pathol.</i> 2010 , <i>103</i> , S62–S72. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2009.06.019.
720 721	(27)	Rosales, C.; Vonnie, S. Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of Insect Immunity. <i>Insect Physiol. Ecol.</i> 2017 , 179–212. https://doi.org/10.5772/67107.
722 723 724 725	(28)	Evans, J. D.; Aronstein, K.; Chen, Y. P.; Hetru, C.; Imler, JL.; Jiang, H.; Kanost, M.; Thompson, G. J.; Zou, Z.; Hultmark, D. Immune Pathways and Defence Mechanisms in Honey Bees <i>Apis mellifera</i> . <i>Insect Mol. Biol.</i> 2006 , <i>15</i> (5), 645–656. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00682.x.
726 727 728 729	(29)	Lourenço, A. P.; Florecki, M. M.; Simões, Z. L. P.; Evans, J. D. Silencing of Apis Mellifera Dorsal Genes Reveals Their Role in Expression of the Antimicrobial Peptide Defensin- 1. <i>Insect Mol. Biol.</i> 2018 , <i>27</i> (5), 577–589. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12498.
730 731 732	(30)	Chan, Q. W. T.; Howes, C. G.; Foster, L. J. Quantitative Comparison of Caste Differences in Honeybee Hemolymph. <i>Mol. Cell. Proteomics</i> 2006 , <i>5</i> (12), 2252–2262. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M600197-MCP200.
733 734 735	(31)	Wilson-Rich, N.; Dres, S. T.; Starks, P. T. The Ontogeny of Immunity: Development of Innate Immune Strength in the Honey Bee (<i>Apis mellifera</i>). J. Insect Physiol. 2008, 54 (10–11), 1392–1399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2008.07.016.
736 737 738	(32)	Decker, H.; Jaenicke, E. Recent Findings on Phenoloxidase Activity and Antimicrobial Activity of Hemocyanins. <i>Dev. Comp. Immunol.</i> 2004 , <i>28</i> (7–8), 673–687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2003.11.007.
739 740 741 742 743	(33)	Kocks, C.; Cho, J. H.; Nehme, N.; Ulvila, J.; Pearson, A. M.; Meister, M.; Strom, C.; Conto, S. L.; Hetru, C.; Stuart, L. M.; Stehle, T.; Hoffmann, J. A.; Reichhart, JM.; Ferrandon, D.; Rämet, M.; Ezekowitz, R. A. B. Eater, a Transmembrane Protein Mediating Phagocytosis of Bacterial Pathogens in <i>Drosophila. Cell</i> 2005 , <i>123</i> (2), 335–346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.08.034.
744 745 746	(34)	Hancock, R. E. W.; Brown, K. L.; Mookherjee, N. Host Defence Peptides from Invertebrates–Emerging Antimicrobial Strategies. <i>Immunobiology</i> 2006 , <i>211</i> (4), 315– 322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2005.10.017.
747 748	(35)	Eleftherianos, I.; Revenis, C. Role and Importance of Phenoloxidase in Insect Hemostasis. <i>J. Innate Immun.</i> 2011 , <i>3</i> (1), 28–33. https://doi.org/10.1159/000321931.
749 750 751	(36)	Wheeler, D. E.; Kawooya, J. K. Purification and Characterization of Honey Bee Vitellogenin. <i>Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.</i> 1990 , <i>14</i> (4), 253–267. https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.940140405.
752 753 754	(37)	Amdam, G. V.; Omholt, S. W. The Hive Bee to Forager Transition in Honeybee Colonies: The Double Repressor Hypothesis. <i>J. Theor. Biol.</i> 2003 , <i>223</i> (4), 451–464. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5193(03)00121-8.
755 756 757	(38)	Salmela, H.; Amdam, G. V; Freitak, D. Transfer of Immunity from Mother to Offspring Is Mediated via Egg-Yolk Protein Vitellogenin. <i>PLoS Pathog.</i> 2015 , <i>11</i> (7), e1005015. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005015
758 759	(39)	Harwood, G.; Amdam, G.; Freitak, D. The Role of Vitellogenin in the Transfer of Immune Elicitors from Gut to Hypopharyngeal Glands in Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>).

760		J. Insect Physiol. 2019, 112, 90–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2018.12.006.
761 762 763 764	(40)	Amdam, G. V; Norberg, K.; Omholt, S. W.; Kryger, P.; Lourenço, A. P.; Bitondi, M. M. G.; Simões, Z. L. P. Higher Vitellogenin Concentrations in Honey Bee Workers May Be an Adaptation to Life in Temperate Climates. <i>Insectes Soc.</i> 2005 , <i>52</i> , 316–319. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-005-0812-2.
765 766 767 768	(41)	Antúnez, K.; Mendoza, Y.; Santos, E.; Invernizzi, C. Differential Expression of Vitellogenin in Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) with Different Degrees of <i>Nosema ceranae</i> Infection. <i>J. Apic. Res.</i> 2013 , <i>52</i> (5), 227–234. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.5.09.
769 770 771 772	(42)	Christen, V.; Fent, K. Exposure of Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) to Different Classes of Insecticides Exhibit Distinct Molecular Effect Patterns at Concentrations That Mimic Environmental Contamination. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 2017 , <i>226</i> , 48–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.003.
773 774 775	(43)	Cox-Foster, D. L.; Schonbaum, C. P.; Murtha, M. T.; Cavener, D. R. Developmental Expression of the Glucose Dehydrogenase Gene in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> . <i>Genetics</i> 1990 , <i>124</i> (4), 873–880. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/124.4.873.
776 777 778 779	(44)	Daffre, S.; Kylsten, P.; Samakovlis, C.; Hultmark, D. The Lysozyme Locus in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> : An Expanded Gene Family Adapted for Expression in the Digestive Tract. <i>Mol. Gen. Genet.</i> 1994 , <i>242</i> (2), 152–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00391008.
780 781 782	(45)	Lavine, M. D.; Strand, M. R. Surface Characteristics of Foreign Targets That Elicit an Encapsulation Response by the Moth <i>Pseudoplusia includens</i> . <i>J. Insect Physiol.</i> 2001 , 47 (9), 965–974. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(01)00071-3.
783 784 785	(46)	Galluzzi, L.; Brenner, C.; Morselli, E.; Touat, Z.; Kroemer, G. Viral Control of Mitochondrial Apoptosis. <i>PLoS Pathog.</i> 2008 , <i>4</i> (5), e1000018. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000018.
786 787 788 789	(47)	Higes, M.; Juarranz, Á.; Dias- Almeida, J.; Lucena, S.; Botías, C.; Meana, A.; García- Palencia, P.; Martín- Hernández, R. Apoptosis in the Pathogenesis of <i>Nosema</i> <i>ceranae</i> (Microsporidia: Nosematidae) in Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>). <i>Environ.</i> <i>Microbiol. Rep.</i> 2013 , <i>5</i> (4), 530–536. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12059.
790 791 792 793 794	(48)	Sinpoo, C.; Paxton, R. J.; Disayathanoowat, T.; Krongdang, S.; Chantawannakul, P. Impact of <i>Nosema ceranae</i> and <i>Nosema apis</i> on Individual Worker Bees of the Two Host Species (<i>Apis cerana</i> and <i>Apis mellifera</i>) and Regulation of Host Immune Response. J. Insect Physiol. 2018 , 105, 1–8. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2017.12.010.
795 796 797 798 799	(49)	Houdelet, C.; Sinpoo, C.; Chantaphanwattana, T.; Voisin, S. N.; Bocquet, M.; Chantawannakul, P.; Bulet, P. Proteomics of Anatomical Sections of the Gut of Nosema-Infected Western Honeybee (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) Reveals Different Early Responses to <i>Nosema</i> Spp. Isolates. <i>J. Proteome Res.</i> 2021 , <i>20</i> (1), 804–817. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00658.
800 801 802 803	(50)	Vidau, C.; Panek, J.; Texier, C.; Biron, D. G.; Belzunces, L. P.; Le Gall, M.; Broussard, C.; Delbac, F.; El Alaoui, H. Differential Proteomic Analysis of Midguts from <i>Nosema ceranae</i> -Infected Honeybees Reveals Manipulation of Key Host Functions. <i>J. Invertebr. Pathol.</i> 2014 , <i>121</i> , 89–96.

804		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.07.002.
805 806 807	(51)	Kurze, C.; Routtu, J.; Moritz, R. F. A. Parasite Resistance and Tolerance in Honeybees at the Individual and Social Level. <i>Zoology</i> 2016 , <i>119</i> (4), 290–297. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2016.03.007.
808 809 810 811	(52)	Fries, I.; Chauzat, MP.; Chen, YP.; Doublet, V.; Genersch, E.; Gisder, S.; Higes, M.; McMahon, D. P.; Martín-Hernández, R.; Natsopoulou, M.; Paxton, R. J.; Tanner, G.; Webster, T. C.; Williams, G. R. Standard Methods for <i>Nosema</i> Research. <i>J. Apic. Res.</i> 2013 , <i>52</i> (1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.52.1.14.
812 813 814 815	(53)	Chen, Y.; Evans, J. D.; Smith, I. B.; Pettis, J. S. Nosema Ceranae Is a Long-Present and Wide-Spread Microsporidian Infection of the European Honey Bee (<i>Apis</i> <i>mellifera</i>) in the United States. <i>J. Invertebr. Pathol.</i> 2008 , <i>97</i> (2), 186–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2007.07.010.
816 817 818	(54)	Livak, K. J.; Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT Method. <i>methods</i> 2001 , <i>25</i> (4), 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.
819 820 821 822	(55)	Doublet, V.; Poeschl, Y.; Gogol-Döring, A.; Alaux, C.; Annoscia, D.; Aurori, C.; Barribeau, S. M.; Bedoya-Reina, O. C.; Brown, M. J. F.; Bull, J. C. Unity in Defence: Honeybee Workers Exhibit Conserved Molecular Responses to Diverse Pathogens. <i>BMC Genomics</i> 2017 , <i>18</i> (1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3597-6.
823 824 825	(56)	Harrison, P. M.; Arosio, P. The Ferritins: Molecular Properties, Iron Storage Function and Cellular Regulation. <i>Biochim. Biophys. Acta</i> 1996 , <i>1275</i> (3), 161–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728(96)00022-9.
826 827 828 829	(57)	Erban, T.; Harant, K.; Kamler, M.; Markovic, M.; Titera, D. Detailed Proteome Mapping of Newly Emerged Honeybee Worker Hemolymph and Comparison with the Red-Eye Pupal Stage. <i>Apidologie</i> 2016 , <i>47</i> (6), 805–817. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13592-016-0437-7.
830 831	(58)	Cassat, J. E.; Skaar, E. P. Iron in Infection and Immunity. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> 2013 , <i>13</i> (5), 509–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.04.010.
832 833	(59)	Soares, M. P.; Weiss, G. The Iron Age of Host – Microbe Interactions. 2015 , <i>16</i> (11), 1482–1500. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201540558.
834 835 836	(60)	Pham, D. Q. D.; Winzerling, J. J. Insect Ferritins: Typical or Atypical? <i>Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Gen. Subj.</i> 2010 , <i>1800</i> (8), 824–833. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2010.03.004.
837 838 839	(61)	Keim, C. N.; Cruz-Landim, C.; Carneiro, F. G.; Farina, M. Ferritin in Iron Containing Granules from the Fat Body of the Honeybees <i>Apis mellifera</i> and Scaptotrigona Postica. <i>Micron</i> 2002 , <i>33</i> (1), 53–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-4328(00)00071-8.
840 841 842 843	(62)	He, B.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Cheng, L.; Qing, Q.; Duan, J.; Xu, J.; Dang, X.; Zhou, Z.; Li, Z. Imidacloprid Activates ROS and Causes Mortality in Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) by Inducing Iron Overload. <i>Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.</i> 2021 , 228, 112709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112709.
844 845 846	(63)	Rodríguez-García, C.; Heerman, M. C.; Cook, S. C.; Evans, J. D.; DeGrandi-Hoffman, G.; Banmeke, O.; Zhang, Y.; Huang, S.; Hamilton, M.; Chen, Y. P. Transferrin-Mediated Iron Sequestration Suggests a Novel Therapeutic Strategy for Controlling

847 848		Nosema Disease in the Honey Bee, Apis mellifera. PLOS Pathog. 2021, 17 (2), e1009270. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009270.
849 850 851 852	(64)	Bucekova, M.; Valachova, I.; Kohutova, L.; Prochazka, E.; Klaudiny, J.; Majtan, J. Honeybee Glucose Oxidase - Its Expression in Honeybee Workers and Comparative Analyses of Its Content and H2O2-Mediated Antibacterial Activity in Natural Honeys. <i>Naturwissenschaften</i> 2014 , <i>101</i> , 661–670. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-014-1205-z.
853 854 855 856	(65)	Marchler-Bauer, A.; Anderson, J. B.; DeWeese-Scott, C.; Fedorova, N. D.; Geer, L. Y.; He, S.; Hurwitz, D. I.; Jackson, J. D.; Jacobs, A. R.; Lanczycki, C. J. CDD: A Curated Entrez Database of Conserved Domain Alignments. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 2003 , <i>31</i> (1), 383–387. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg087.
857 858 859	(66)	Letunic, I.; Doerks, T.; Bork, P. SMART 6: Recent Updates and New Developments. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 2009 , <i>37</i> (suppl_1), D229–D232. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn808.
860 861 862 863	(67)	Horáčková, J.; Rudenko, N.; Golovchenko, M.; Havlíková, S.; Grubhoffer, L. IrML–a Gene Encoding a New Member of the ML Protein Family from the Hard Tick, Ixodes Ricinus. <i>J. Vector Ecol.</i> 2010 , <i>35</i> (2), 410–418. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1948-7134.2010.00100.x.
864 865 866	(68)	Pevsner, J.; Hou, V.; Snowman, A. M.; Snyder, S. H. Odorant-Binding Protein. Characterization of Ligand Binding. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 1990 , <i>265</i> (11), 6118–6125. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)39300-7.
867 868 869	(69)	Pelosi, P.; Calvello, M.; Ban, L. Diversity of Odorant-Binding Proteins and Chemosensory Proteins in Insects. <i>Chem. Senses</i> 2005 , <i>30</i> (suppl_1), i291–i292. https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjh229.
870 871 872 873	(70)	Zhang, Y.; Gao, S.; Xue, S.; Zhang, K.; Wang, J.; Li, B. Odorant-Binding Proteins Contribute to the Defense of the Red Flour Beetle, Tribolium Castaneum, against Essential Oil of <i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> . <i>Front. Physiol.</i> 2020 , <i>11</i> , 819. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00819.
874 875 876 877	(71)	Zhang, W.; Xie, M.; Eleftherianos, I.; Mohamed, A.; Cao, Y.; Song, B.; Zang, LS.; Jia, C.; Bian, J.; Keyhani, N. O. An Odorant Binding Protein Is Involved in Counteracting Detection-Avoidance and Toll-Pathway Innate Immunity. <i>J. Adv. Res.</i> 2022 . https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2022.08.013.
878 879	(72)	Rihani, K.; Ferveur, JF.; Briand, L. The 40-Year Mystery of Insect Odorant-Binding Proteins. <i>Biomolecules</i> 2021 , <i>11</i> (4), 509. https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11040509.
880 881 882 883	(73)	Feng, M.; Ramadan, H.; Han, B.; Fang, Y.; Li, J. Hemolymph Proteome Changes during Worker Brood Development Match the Biological Divergences between Western Honey Bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) and Eastern Honey Bees (<i>Apis cerana</i>). <i>BMC Genomics</i> 2014 , <i>15</i> (1), 563. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-563.
884 885 886	(74)	Zheng, A.; Li, J.; Begna, D.; Fang, Y.; Feng, M.; Song, F. Proteomic Analysis of Honeybee (<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.) Pupae Head Development. <i>PLoS One</i> 2011 , <i>6</i> (5), e20428. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020428.
887 888 889	(75)	Chaimanee, V.; Chantawannakul, P.; Khongphinitbunjong, K.; Kamyo, T.; Pettis, J. S. Comparative Pesticide Exposure to <i>Apis mellifera</i> via Honey Bee-Collected Pollen in Agricultural and Non-Agricultural Areas of Northern Thailand. <i>J. Apic. Res.</i> 2019 , <i>58</i>

890 (5), 720-729. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2019.1637224. 891 (76)Aronstein, K. A.; Murray, K. D.; Saldivar, E. Transcriptional Responses in Honey Bee Larvae Infected with Chalkbrood Fungus. BMC Genomics 2010, 11, 391. 892 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-391. 893 894 (77)Cerenius, L.; Lee, B. L.; Söderhäll, K. The ProPO-System: Pros and Cons for Its Role in Invertebrate Immunity. Trends Immunol. 2008, 29 (6), 263-271. 895 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2008.02.009. 896 Amdam, G. V; Fennern, E.; Havukainen, H. Vitellogenin in Honey Bee Behavior and 897 (78)Lifespan BT - Honeybee Neurobiology and Behavior: A Tribute to Randolf Menzel; 898 Galizia, C. G., Eisenhardt, D., Giurfa, M., Eds.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 899 **2012**, 17–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2099-2_2. 900 901 (79)Havukainen, H.; Münch, D.; Baumann, A.; Zhong, S.; Halskau, Ø.; Krogsgaard, M.; Amdam, G. V. Vitellogenin Recognizes Cell Damage through Membrane Binding and 902 Shields Living Cells from Reactive Oxygen Species. J. Biol. Chem. 2013, 288 (39), 903 904 28369-28381. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.465021. Antúnez, K.; Martín-Hernández, R.; Prieto, L.; Meana, A.; Zunino, P.; Higes, M. 905 (80)Immune Suppression in the Honey Bee (Apis mellifera) Following Infection by 906 Nosema ceranae (Microsporidia). Environ. Microbiol. 2009, 11 (9), 2284–2290. 907 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01953.x. 908 Natsopoulou, M. E.; McMahon, D. P.; Paxton, R. J. Parasites Modulate Within-Colony 909 (81) Activity and Accelerate the Temporal Polyethism Schedule of a Social Insect, the 910 Honey Bee. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 2016, 70 (7), 1019-1031. 911 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-015-2019-5. 912 Birge, R. B.; Ucker, D. S. Innate Apoptotic Immunity: The Calming Touch of Death. 913 (82) Cell Death Differ. 2008, 15 (7), 1096–1102. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2008.58. 914 (83) Aramburu, J.; Drews-Elger, K.; Estrada-Gelonch, A.; Minguillón, J.; Morancho, B.; 915 Santiago, V.; López-Rodríguez, C. Regulation of the Hypertonic Stress Response and 916 Other Cellular Functions by the Rel-like Transcription Factor NFAT5. Biochem. 917 Pharmacol. 2006, 72 (11), 1597–1604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2006.07.002. 918 919 (84)Hayden, M. S.; Ghosh, S. NF-KB, the First Quarter-Century: Remarkable Progress and Outstanding Questions. Genes Dev. 2012, 26 (3), 203-234. 920 https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.183434.111. 921 (85) Arafah, K.; Voisin, S. N.; Masson, V.; Alaux, C.; Le Conte, Y.; Bocquet, M.; Bulet, P. 922 MALDI-MS Profiling to Address Honey Bee Health Status under Bacterial Challenge 923 924 through Computational Modeling. Proteomics 2019, 19 (23), 1900268. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201900268. 925 926 (86) Perez-Riverol, Y.; Bai, J.; Bandla, C.; García-Seisdedos, D.; Hewapathirana, S.; 927 Kamatchinathan, S.; Kundu, D. J.; Prakash, A.; Frericks-Zipper, A.; Eisenacher, M.; Walzer, M.; Wang, S.; Brazma, A.; Vizcaíno, J. A. The PRIDE Database Resources in 928 929 2022: A Hub for Mass Spectrometry-Based Proteomics Evidences. Nucleic Acids Res. 930 **2022**, 50 (D1), D543–D552. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1038. Deutsch, E. W.; Bandeira, N.; Sharma, V.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Carver, J. J.; Kundu, D. 931 (87) 932 J.; García-Seisdedos, D.; Jarnuczak, A. F.; Hewapathirana, S.; Pullman, B. S.; Wertz,

933	J.; Sun, Z.; Kawano, S.; Okuda, S.; Watanabe, Y.; Hermjakob, H.; MacLean, B.;
934	MacCoss, M. J.; Zhu, Y.; Ishihama, Y.; Vizcaíno, J. A. The ProteomeXchange
935	Consortium in 2020: Enabling 'Big Data' Approaches in Proteomics. Nucleic Acids
936	Res. 2020, 48 (D1), D1145–D1152. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz984.
937	