

Numerical and Theoretical Study of Patterns in a Chemotaxis Model

Maxime Payan, Maxime Breden

▶ To cite this version:

Maxime Payan, Maxime Breden. Numerical and Theoretical Study of Patterns in a Chemotaxis Model. SIAM Conference on Applications of Dynamical Systems (DS23), May 2023, Portland (OR), United States. hal-04247634

HAL Id: hal-04247634 https://hal.science/hal-04247634v1

Submitted on 18 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

NUMERICAL AND THEORETICAL STUDY OF PATTERNS IN A CHEMOTAXIS MODEL

Maxime Payan

(1)

supervised by Maxime Breden



PDE of Keller-Segel type: Cross-diffusion and logistic reaction

We are interested in the system (cf. [3, 5]):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta(\gamma(v)u) + \sigma u(1-u), & x \in (0,1), \ t > 0\\ \partial_t v = \Delta v + & u - v, & x \in (0,1), \ t > 0\\ \partial_x u(x,t) = 0, & \partial_x v(x,t) = 0, \ x \in \{0,1\}, \ t > 0 \end{cases}$$

Here u and v represent the density of cells and of a chemical, which is produced by the cells. We with assume $\sigma \ge 0$ and that $\gamma > 0$ is decreasing, to model that the cells are attracted to the chemical.

As a first step to better understand the long-term behavior of solutions, we study the stationary system associated with (1). **We will study and look for non trivial stationary states.** The approach used is a computer assisted proof method applied to a fixed point problem. This guarantees the existence of solutions to (1) and gives an explicit numerical approximation with a quantified error.

Use of Fourier Series

The Neumann boundary conditions lead us to consider solutions in the form:

$$u(x) = u_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} u_k \cos(k\pi x) \text{ and } v(x) = v_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} v_k \cos(k\pi x) .$$

According to [1], we choose



How to choose A

(5)

 $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right) \approx \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{w}^{11} & \mathbf{w}^{12} \\ \hline \mathbf{w}^{21} & \mathbf{w}^{22} \end{array} \right) \ast \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) & \boldsymbol{\gamma}'(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \ast \bar{\mathbf{u}} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right)$

and A^{ij} are from the inverse of a finite dimensional projection of $DF(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$.

Here, the choice of *A* is balanced between **what can be known by approximation** (the information given by the computer) and **what must be known by estimation** (the information provided by the mathematician).

How to manage γ

In the literature [1, 2, 4, 5] we are interested in several types of γ . We want to manage all these cases. In order to obtain (2), we need to answer the following questions:

Let's pose $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \dots) = (\mathcal{F}(u)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, idem $\mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{F}(v)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\gamma(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathcal{F}(\gamma \circ v)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let v > 1 and $\ell_v^1 = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \mid ||\mathbf{u}||_v := |u_0| + 2\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |u_k| v^k < +\infty \right\}$.

Provided with the discrete convolution *, ℓ_v^1 is a Banach algebra.

Through ℓ_v^1 , the stationary equation associated to (1) can be written as $F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$.

We consider $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$ an approximate solution with a finite number of Fourier modes to the root-finding problem above. Starting from it, we justify the existence of a true solution in ℓ_v^1 in a quantified neighborhood of $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$.

The Newton-Kantorovitch Theorem

Considering a numerical approximation $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}), v > 1, r^* > 0$ and A an approximated inverse of $DF(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$ injective, combining approximated terms and estimated terms. **Assume** there exist constants Y, Z_1 , and Z_2 satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} AF(\bar{\mathbf{u}},\bar{\mathbf{v}})||_{\nu} &\leq Y \\ I - ADF(\bar{\mathbf{u}},\bar{\mathbf{v}})||_{\nu} &\leq Z_{1} \\ AD^{2}F(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})||_{\nu} &\leq Z_{2}, \quad \forall (\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\nu}((\bar{\mathbf{u}},\bar{\mathbf{v}}),r^{*}) \end{aligned}$$
(2a)
(2b)
(2b)
(2c)

and

$$Z_1 < 1$$

2 $YZ_2 < (1 - Z_1)^2$.

Then for any *r* satisfying

$$\frac{1-Z_1-\sqrt{(1-Z_1)^2-2YZ_2}}{Z_2} \le r < \min(r^*, \frac{1-Z_1}{Z_2}),$$
(4)

there is a unique fixed-point $(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*)$ of I - AF in $\mathcal{B}_{\nu}((\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}), r)$ the closed ball of radius r centered on $(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$ in $\ell_{\nu}^1 \times \ell_{\nu}^1$.

• Given an expression of γ , can we find a "good" approximation of $\gamma(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$, in ℓ_v^1 for any $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ finite?

• Given $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$, can we estimate the error $||\gamma(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) - \bar{\mathbf{a}}||_{\nu}$? Can we bound $||\gamma(\mathbf{v})||_{\nu}$ for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{B}_{\nu}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}, r)$?

| Analytical γ | $\bar{\mathbf{a}}\approx\gamma(\bar{\mathbf{V}})$ | Error estimated | Local bound |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| $P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} p_k X^k$ | $\sum_{k=0}^{K} p_k \bar{\mathbf{v}}^{*k}$ | 0 | $ P (\bar{\mathbf{v}} _{v}+r) := \sum_{k=0}^{K} p_{k} (\bar{\mathbf{v}} _{v}+r)^{k}$ |
| $\exp(\alpha \cdot)$ | $\sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{\alpha^{k}}{k!} \bar{\mathbf{v}}^{*k}$ | $ \alpha ^{\kappa} \frac{ \bar{\mathbf{v}} _{\nu}^{\kappa}}{\kappa!} \exp(\alpha \bar{\mathbf{v}} _{\nu})$ | $\exp(\boldsymbol{\alpha} (\bar{\mathbf{v}} _{\nu} + r))$ |
| $x \mapsto \frac{1}{g(x)}$ | $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ s.t. $\bar{\mathbf{a}} * g(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) \approx 1$ | $ \bar{\mathbf{a}} _{\nu} \frac{ \bar{\mathbf{a}} * g(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) - 1 _{\nu}}{1 - \bar{\mathbf{a}} * g(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) - 1 _{\nu}}$ | $\frac{ \bar{\mathbf{a}} _{\nu}}{1- \bar{\mathbf{a}} _{\nu} g' (\bar{\mathbf{v}} _{\nu}+r)r- \bar{\mathbf{a}}*g(\bar{\mathbf{v}})-1 _{\nu}}$ |

With such tools, we can manage any product and division of power series!

Method to get theorems of existence of solution

Methods to get numerical approximations

Algorithm to check numerical approximation

- Linearization around a trivial homogeneous state, study of instability
- Numerical continuation based on Bifurcation Theory
- Something you know it's a good approximation
- Given a point (ū, v) finite.
 Build the object A
 Compute Y, Z₁, Z₂ from (2a-c)
- 4. Are (3a-b), (4) satisfied? Conclude.

Moreover, $(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*)$ is a solution of $F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$. The functions (u^*, v^*) described by Fourier's sequences $(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*)$ are solutions of (1).

Some results and several theorems for $\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^9}$

(3a)

(3b)

