

# A new global C-band vegetation optical depth product from ASCAT: Description, evaluation, and inter-comparison

Xiangzhuo Liu, Wigneron J.-P., Wolfgang Wagner, Frédéric Frappart, Lei Fan, Mariette Vreugdenhil, Nicolas Baghdadi, Mehrez Zribi, Thomas Jagdhuber, Shengli Tao, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Xiangzhuo Liu, Wigneron J.-P., Wolfgang Wagner, Frédéric Frappart, Lei Fan, et al.. A new global C-band vegetation optical depth product from ASCAT: Description, evaluation, and inter-comparison. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2023, 299, pp.113850. 10.1016/j.rse.2023.113850. hal-04246194

## HAL Id: hal-04246194 https://hal.science/hal-04246194v1

Submitted on 22 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425723004017 Manuscript\_5af29d71c1ff7700571d36e7f44ca09a

# A new global C-band vegetation optical depth product from ASCAT: description, evaluation, and inter comparison

4	Xiangzhuo Liu <sup>a</sup> , Jean-Pierre Wigneron <sup>a,*</sup> , Wolfgang Wagner <sup>b</sup> , Frédéric Frappart <sup>a</sup> , Lei Fan <sup>c</sup> ,
5	Mariette Vreugdenhil <sup>b</sup> , Nicolas Baghdadi <sup>d</sup> , Mehrez Zribi <sup>e</sup> , Thomas Jagdhuber <sup>f,g</sup> , Shengli Tao <sup>h</sup> ,
6	Xiaojun Li <sup>a</sup> , Huan Wang <sup>a,h</sup> , Mengjia Wang <sup>i</sup> , Xiaojing Bai <sup>j</sup> , B. G. Mousa <sup>k</sup> , Philippe Ciais <sup>1</sup>
7	a INRAE, UMR1391 ISPA, Université de Bordeaux, Villenave d'Ornon 33140, France
8	b Department of Geodesy and Geoinformation, Technische Universität Wien, Vienna 1040,
9	Austria
10	c Chongqing Jinfo Mountain Karst Ecosystem National Observation and Research Station,
11	School of Geographical Sciences, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China
12	d TETIS, Université de Montpellier, CIRAD/CNRS/INRAE, Montpellier 34093, France
13	e CESBIO, Université de Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/INRAE/IRD/UPS, Toulouse 31401, France
14	f Microwaves and Radar Institute, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Münchener Strasse 20,
15	82234 Weßling, Germany
16	g University of Augsburg, Institute of Geography, Augsburg 86159, Germany
17	h Key Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes of the Ministry of Education, Institute of
18	Ecology, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871,
19	China
20	i School of Geoscience and Technology, Zhengzhou University, Henan 450001, China
21	j School of Hydrology and Water Resources, Nanjing University of Information Science and
22	Technology, Nanjing 210044, China

k Department of Mining and Petroleum Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Al-Azhar
University, Cairo 11884, Egypt

25 l Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS26 UVSQ, Université Paris Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette 91191, France;

27 \*Corresponding Author: J.-P. Wigneron (jean-pierre.wigneron@inrae.fr)

28

#### 29 Abstract:

30 Active microwave measurements have the potential to estimate vegetation optical depth 31 (VOD), an indicator related to vegetation water content and biomass. The Advanced 32 SCATterometer (ASCAT) provides long-term C-band backscatter data at vertical-vertical 33 (VV) polarization from 2007. So far, very few studies have considered retrieving VOD from this active sensor. This study presents a new publicly released global long-term and 34 35 continuous (2007-2020) C-band VOD dataset retrieved from the ASCAT observations, named 36 the ASCAT INRAE-BORDEAUX or ASCAT IB VOD product. The retrieval algorithm is 37 based on the Water Cloud Model (WCM) including the Ulaby bare soil model. The algorithm takes advantage of a multi-temporal (MT) retrieval method relying on a cost function where 38 39 constraints to the retrieved parameters are implemented and a reanalysis soil moisture (SM) 40 dataset from ERA5-Land is used as an input. The performance of ASCAT IB VOD was 41 evaluated by inter-comparing it with ASCAT Technische Universität Wien (TUW), the 42 Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 2 (AMSR2), and VOD Climate Archive 43 (VODCA) VOD products (the last two products are estimated from passive microwave 44 observations). Results showed that ASCAT IB VOD presented the highest spatial correlation 45 with aboveground biomass (R~0.83) and with the Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation 46 (GEDI) canopy height (R~0.84-0.85). In terms of temporal performance, ASCAT IB VOD 47 had the highest correlation R values with leaf area index (LAI) and Normalized Difference

Water Index (NDWI) in most parts of the globe from 2013 to 2018. This contrasts with AMSR2 VODs which correlated better with Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). The new ASCAT-based VOD product on a global scale highlighted the potential benefit of combining active (namely ASCAT) and passive (namely AMSR2) VOD products for vegetation studies.

Keywords: VOD; biomass; vegetation phenology; ASCAT; Active microwave; multitemporal (MT); AMSR2

#### 55 **1. Introduction:**

56 Microwave vegetation optical depth (VOD) has proven to be a useful indicator for the study 57 of terrestrial ecosystems based on its sensitivity to vegetation biomass as well as water 58 content of vegetation (Chaparro et al., 2019; Frappart et al., 2020; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 59 2018a; Vittucci et al., 2019; Wigneron et al., 2021). To date, long-term VOD retrieved from 60 K-, X-, C- and L-band passive microwave brightness temperatures (Kerr et al., 2012; Konings 61 et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2011; Moesinger et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021a; Wigneron et al., 62 2017) have been used to monitor the global vegetation biomass changes (Liu et al., 2013), the 63 carbon dynamics in tropical and Siberian regions (Fan et al., 2022; Fan et al., 2019; Wigneron 64 et al., 2020), resilience of the Amazon rainforest (Boulton et al., 2022), vegetation dynamics 65 in drylands over West African Sahel (Tian et al., 2016) and vegetation phenology over the mid- and high-latitudinal Northern Hemisphere (Li et al., 2023). However, some deficiencies, 66 including the discontinuity in time series between observations from satellites and their 67 68 successor (the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS, AMSR-E, and the 69 Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 2, AMSR2), as well as data quality issues related 70 to radio frequency interference (Li et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021b), affect the quality of the 71 above-mentioned passive long-term VOD datasets. Most importantly, a long-term freely available global active VOD product is still lacking and very few studies have focused on this 72

topic. The development of a new active global product could be very interesting as it could
provide useful data to monitor the vegetation characteristics, in a way complementary to
passive VODs (Liu et al., 2021b).

76 The active microwave observations show a different sensitivity to vegetation and soil 77 compared with the passive ones (Link et al., 2021; Prigent et al., 2022). Using a discrete 78 radiative transfer model to simulate the relationship between active and passive signals in 79 three vegetation types (wheat, corn, and forest), Link et al. (2021) found the coupling between 80 active and passive signals decreases with increasing vegetation water content because of the 81 decreasing sensitivities of the radar (active) and radiometer (passive) observations to soil 82 moisture. The sensitivity of the radar systems to SM over vegetation areas decreases more 83 quickly than that of the radiometers due to the two-way vegetation attenuation (forward and 84 backward) (Piles et al., 2015) and active systems are more sensitive to the effects of the 85 vegetation structure (Ferrazzoli et al., 1989; Fung and Eom, 1985; Wigneron et al., 1999). Prigent et al. (2022) found the diurnal and seasonal cycles of backscatter and emissivity 86 collected from Global Precipitation Mission (GPM) at a  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  resolution tend to be in phase 87 88 opposition in the tropical forest region, while backscatter and emissivity vary more in phase 89 during the dry season in the less densely forested regions of southeast Amazon. The dissimilar 90 information obtained from active and passive microwave signals motivates us to explore the 91 retrieval of VOD from active microwave observations.

Active microwave data provide complementary observations to passive observations because 1) they are sensitive to specific vegetation characteristics, 2) are less affected by radio interference than passive observations (Liu et al., 2021b) and 3) can also provide long-term records, such as observations from three series of Advanced SCATterometer (ASCAT) Meteorological Operational (MetOp -A/B/C) satellites which start in 2007 and can be extended to the 2020s (Srivastava et al., 2016). Radar observations have been used in many

98 studies for vegetation monitoring (phenology, structure, or productivity) (Canisius et al., 2018; 99 Chang et al., 2022; Hosseini et al., 2015; Meroni et al., 2021; Neumann et al., 2010; Wagner 100 et al., 1999), while studies on active VOD retrievals are scarce and only conducted at the site 101 scale or over small regions (El Hajj et al., 2019a; Grippa and Woodhouse, 2003; Magagi and 102 Kerr, 1997; Quast et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2022). The first active VOD research at a 103 continental scale (Vreugdenhil et al., 2016) was conducted for assessing the vegetation 104 correction in the Technische Universität Wien (TUW) method of the C-band ASCAT soil 105 moisture retrieval (Wagner et al., 2013). The TUW VOD is retrieved by the change detection 106 method using the Water Cloud Model (WCM) (Attema and Ulaby, 1978). The TUW VOD 107 value is mainly dependent on two parameters (slope and curvature) which are the coefficients 108 of a second-order Taylor expansion function established to describe the angular ASCAT 109 backscatter dependency (Hahn et al., 2017). The preliminary TUW VOD data set did not 110 consider inter-annual variability as the climatology of slope and curvature are computed in the 111 algorithm (Vreugdenhil et al., 2016; Vreugdenhil et al., 2017). With a novel calculation of 112 slope and curvature, the updated TUW VOD can capture vegetation dynamics in Australia 113 (Vreugdenhil et al., 2017). However, it is not yet public and there are few studies on the 114 global assessment of TUW VOD (Teubner et al., 2018; Vreugdenhil et al., 2016).

115 Recently, INRAE-BORDEAUX (IB) developed a new active VOD product from ASCAT in 116 Africa (hereafter ASCAT IB V1 VOD) (Liu et al., 2021b). The algorithm is based on the 117 WCM integrated with the Ulaby bare soil scattering model (Ulaby et al., 1978) as these 118 simple models can be used efficiently to simulate the ASCAT backscattering signals (Lievens 119 et al., 2021; Santoro et al., 2022; Shamambo et al., 2019). To retrieve VOD from the VV 120 polarization and mono-angular (incidence angle at 40°) normalized ASCAT observations, the 121 ERA5-Land soil moisture (SM) dataset was used as an input to the algorithm. The evaluation 122 of ASCAT IB V1 VOD showed a high spatial correlation with Climate Change Initiative

123 (CCI) and Saatchi above-ground biomass (AGB) (Carreiras et al., 2017; Saatchi et al., 2011; 124 Santoro and Cartus, 2019), with R values generally higher than for ASCAT TUW VOD and 125 C-band passive VOD, namely the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer 2 (AMSR2) 126 and VOD Climate Archive (VODCA) VOD. ASCAT IB V1 VOD was also found to be 127 highly temporally correlated to Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) 128 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), and leaf 129 area index (LAI). However, the algorithm used in ASCAT IB V1 VOD has been applied 130 globally with imperfect results (Liu et al., 2021a), mainly because the hypothesis that the 131 vegetation scattering parameter ( $\omega$ ) consists globally of two constant values (distinguishing 132 very densely vegetated regions from the other vegetated regions) did not hold.

133 In the present study, to improve the quality of the global ASCAT IB VOD product, we 134 evaluated the possibility of retrieving VOD and  $\omega$  simultaneously from the ASCAT 135 normalized backscatter at 40° by adding constraints in the retrieval process. The first 136 constraint was applying a multi-temporal (MT) method which is generally based on the 137 assumption that VOD varies relatively slowly in time as was first developed by Wigneron et 138 al. (2000) for the SM and VOD retrieval algorithm of the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity 139 (SMOS) mission (Kerr et al., 2010). This assumption is now widely used in the development 140 of passive VOD retrievals. For instance, in the multi-temporal dual channel retrieval 141 algorithm (MT-DCA), VOD was assumed to be nearly constant between every two consecutive overpasses (Konings et al., 2016). Based on MT-DCA, (Konings et al., 2017; 142 143 2016) successfully retrieved VOD and SM from L-band Aquarius (with a seven-day revisit 144 time) and SMAP (with a three-day revisit time). This assumption was also adopted in the 145 different operational VOD and SM retrieval algorithms of the SMOS observations (Al Bitar et 146 al., 2017; Wigneron et al., 2021). Similarly in the active domain, El Hajj et al. (2019a) 147 assumed that VOD remains stable during four consecutive overpasses (18 days) of Sentinel-1

and hence obtained the very first retrievals of VOD from Sentinel-1 images in southernFrance.

150 Incorporating a *priori* information into the algorithm is another interesting way to constrain 151 the retrieved parameters. A key feature of the widely used L-band microwave emission of the 152 biosphere (L-MEB) inversion method is integrating a *priori* information into the retrieval 153 (Wigneron et al., 2021; Wigneron et al., 2017). In the SMOS-IC Version 2 algorithm 154 (Wigneron et al., 2021), by using the mean value of VOD retrieved in the previous ten days as 155 a first guess value of VOD for subsequent SM and VOD retrievals, results showed a 156 considerable improvement compared with the preceding versions. In the SMAP official SM 157 baseline algorithm (O'Neill et al., 2015), the MODIS NDVI was converted to vegetation water 158 content and then multiplied by a factor to represent VOD. Based on the optimization of the a 159 priori information, Li et al. (2022) developed a new SMAP SM and VOD product (called 160 SMAP-IB) using the L-MEB algorithm. In addition, Wang et al. (2021a) calibrated a 161 relationship between VOD and the Microwave Polarization Difference Index (MPDI) and 162 then used the VOD based on MPDI as the initial value to retrieve X-band VOD from the 163 AMSR2.

164 In this context, the main objective of this study is to retrieve simultaneously a global ASCAT 165 product including VOD and the vegetation scattering parameter ( $\omega$ ). The retrieval algorithm 166 used the model-based soil moisture (SM) data from the ERA5-Land product as it offers long-167 term data at a time close to the ASCAT satellite observations owing to its hourly availability. 168 The use of SM data from satellite missions (e.g. SMOS, SMAP and AMSR2) is more difficult: 169 observation time is not concurrent to the ASCAT observations; the time period is not long 170 enough; and accuracy may be limited for some satellite products in some areas (Liu et al., 171 2021b; Xing et al., 2021). To overcome the challenge of retrieving two parameters from a 172 single-angle and polarization ASCAT observation, the concept of "slow VOD time variations"

173 introduced previously and the *a priori* information obtained from ASCAT IB V1 VOD 174 retrievals over Africa were incorporated into the algorithm. Similar to previous VOD 175 assessment studies (Chaparro et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021b), thirteen years of 176 global ASCAT IB VOD (2007-2020) were retrieved and evaluated using different AGB, tree 177 height and optical vegetation index products. The key characteristics of the global ASCAT IB 178 VOD product are illustrated by performing comparisons with ASCAT TUW VOD and two 179 other passive C-band VOD products from the AMSR2 satellite, namely AMSR2 VOD and 180 VODCA VOD.

181 **2. Data description** 

#### 182 2.1 ASCAT backscatter

183 The ASCAT sensors are equipped with fan-beam (mid, fore, and aft) antennas which conduct 184 VV backscatter observations with incidence angles varying from 25 to 65 degrees at a carrier 185 frequency of 5.255 GHz (C-band) covering two 550 km wide swaths separated by a gap of 186 about 360 km with a 30-50 km spatial resolution and twice a day temporal resolution (Figa-187 Saldaña et al., 2014). In the present study, we used the backscatter measurements normalized 188 at the standard reference angle  $(40^\circ)$  and the historically wettest measurements (referred to as 189 wet reference), which were extracted from the ASCAT Soil Moisture near-real time (NRT) 190 product at 12.5 km Swath Grid. This product provides 15 scene acquisitions per day and 191 covers the globe approximately every two days. The stack of the ASCAT backscatter data 192 used in this study consisted of all data acquired by MetOp-A descending orbits (9:30 am) 193 from 2007 to 2020. We used data measured at morning because vegetation water stress is 194 typically lower in the morning making "morning" VOD better suited for monitoring biomass 195 (Frappart et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021b). The data with good quality (probability flags for 196 frozen soil and snow equal to zero, topographic complexity flags and wetland probability flags lower than 30% and 10%, respectively) were resampled to a  $0.25^{\circ}$  grid (~ 25 km × 25 197

198 km) by the inverse distance weighting algorithm (Lievens et al., 2017). The ASCAT data is199 freely available at https://archive.eumetsat.int/.

#### 200 **2.2 ERA5-land data**

201 In this study, we used the 0-7 cm SM and soil temperature (ST) datasets of ERA5-Land 202 (Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021). This dataset is on a 0.1-degree grid with hourly time steps. The 203 new surface model (Carbon Hydrology-Tiled ECMWF Scheme for Surface Exchanges over 204 Land) used in ERA5-Land is able to better simulate the SM dynamics than the older scheme 205 (Wagner et al., 2022). The evaluation of ERA5-Land SM also shows good performance on the 206 global scale (Beck et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2021; Lal et al., 2022; Muñoz-Sabater et al., 207 2021). More importantly, as the ERA5-Land does not assimilate land surface observations 208 (whereas ERA5 assimilates the ASCAT SM), ERA5-Land SM and the ASCAT backscatter 209 measurements can be treated as quasi-independent variables (Wagner et al., 2022). In the 210 present study, ERA5-Land SM is used as an input to the WCM model to retrieve ASCAT IB 211 VOD, and ERA5-Land ST is used for simulating the Ulaby bare soil model parameters. 212 ERA5-Land SM and ST were aggregated to the 0.25° grid using area-weighted averaging, and 213 then temporally matched with the ASCAT descending (~9:30 local time) observations.

#### 214 **2.3 Vegetation variables for evaluating VOD**

Three kinds of vegetation variables widely used in VOD evaluation (Grant et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2018b; Tian et al., 2016) were used to evaluate the retrieved ASCAT IB VOD: AGB, canopy height, and optical vegetation indices. The rationale for using those parameters is that 1) there is no consensus on *in-situ* VOD reference values, so proxies of VOD as vegetation height and vegetation biomass are often used to evaluate the performance of the VOD retrieval algorithms (Li et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021); 2) VOD can provide information on AGB at the inter-annual scale and on the vegetation water status at the seasonal scale (Lyons et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023). Since total vegetation biomass (AGB)
is generally well related to vegetation height (Asner et al., 2012), utilizing canopy height may
confirm the anticipated relationship between VOD and AGB. We also refer the reader to the
inter-comparison study of nine commonly used VOD for more details (Li et al., 2021).

#### 226 2.3.1 AGB datasets

Three AGB products, the Saatchi AGB in 2015 (Carreiras et al., 2017; Saatchi et al., 2011),
the Climate Change Initiative (CCI) AGB dataset version 1 in 2017 (Santoro and Cartus,
2019) and the Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation (GEDI) L4B AGB in 2020
(Dubayah et al., 2022), were used to evaluate the ability of ASCAT IB VOD to monitor AGB.
Those three datasets are all at 1-km spatial resolution and were resampled to the 0.25° grid by
spatial averaging.

#### 233 2.3.2 Canopy height datasets

Two canopy height products (Lang et al., 2022; Potapov et al., 2020) used in this study were derived from the GEDI LIDAR measurement. The product developed by Potapov et al. (2020) is produced by extrapolating the GEDI footprint-level forest canopy height to a 30 m spatial resolution map using a regression tree algorithm and Landsat analysis-ready data for the year 2019. Lang et al. (2022) used the GEDI Level 1B waveforms and Bayesian deep learning algorithm to develop a global  $0.5^{\circ}$  resolution canopy height map, which has a lower root mean square error (RMSE = 2.7m) than the product (RMSE = 6.6m) from Potapov et al. (2020).

#### 241 2.3.3 Optical vegetation indices datasets

Three vegetation indices (VIs) products, the 10-day NDVI and LAI data from Copernicus Global Land Service (CGLS) (https://land.copernicus.eu/global/) and 8-day Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) calculated from the MODIS MOD09A1 product (Gu et al., 2008), were used to assess the temporal variations in ASCAT IB VOD. LAI and NDVI are good proxies of phenology and vegetation greenness. NDWI represents the vegetation water dynamics which is expected to be important in terms of temporal changes. Therefore, those three VIs can be used to test the ability of ASCAT IB VOD to monitor the seasonal and interannual variations of vegetation phenology and vegetation water status. After quality control, the dataset was resampled to a spatial resolution of a 0.25° grid (Fuster et al., 2020).

251 **2.4 Other C-band VOD products** 

To better illustrate the performance of the ASCAT IB VOD product, we inter-compared it with three other C-band VOD products, namely ASCAT TUW, AMSR2 and VODCA VOD.

254 ASCAT TUW VOD was provided by Vreugdenhil et al. (2016). TUW VOD is calculated 255 from the loss in sensitivity to soil moisture (i.e., attenuation of the bare soil backscatter). The sensitivity is calculated as the difference between the wet and dry reference, and more details 256 257 on the product are given in (Vreugdenhil et al., 2016; Vreugdenhil et al., 2017). AMSR2 and 258 VODCA VOD can be freely downloaded at the Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information 259 Services Center (GES DISC) website and the Zenodo repository (Moesinger et al., 2020). 260 These two products are retrieved from the passive sensor AMSR2 (providing data from July 261 2012) but with different algorithms. The AMSR2 VOD retrieval algorithm is based on the 262 Land Parameter Retrieval Model (LPRM) version 5 (Owe et al., 2008), while VODCA VOD 263 is retrieved via the LPRM version 6 and then a cumulative distribution function (CDF) 264 matching technique is used to scale VOD to the AMSR-E VOD (Moesinger et al., 2020). The 265 key differences between those three products and ASCAT IB VOD are presented in the 266 discussion section.

#### 267 **2.5 Ancillary datasets**

268 This study also utilized several ancillary datasets, including ISRIC SoilGrids250m data 269 (Hengl et al., 2017), Digital Elevation Model (DEM)-related data (Danielson and Gesch, 270 2011), and MODIS land cover map (Sulla-Menashe et al., 2019). The SoilGrids250m dataset 271 provides global standard numeric soil properties at seven standard depths with a 250 m spatial 272 resolution. DEM-related data were calculated from the 1km Global Multi-resolution Terrain 273 Elevation Data 2010 by using the System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) GIS 274 software (Conrad et al., 2015). The SoilGrids250m (15 types, in Table S1), and DEM-related 275 (9 types, in Table S2) data were used in the estimation of the soil parameters of the Ulaby 276 linear model (see Section 3.1). As several previous studies showed that VOD varies as a 277 function of the land cover (Li et al., 2021; Vreugdenhil et al., 2016), the land cover map 278 extracted from the MODIS MCD12Q1 product based on the International Geosphere-279 Biosphere Programme (IGBP) scheme was used to analyze the different VOD products.

#### 280 **3. Methodology**

281 The ASCAT IB retrieval approach is illustrated in the flowchart of Fig.1. The retrieval model 282 is based on a vegetation backscatter model (Water Cloud Model) integrated with the Ulaby 283 bare soil model (Section 3.1). Instead of the common procedure in which VOD and SM are 284 retrieved simultaneously, which may lead to an ill-posed problem (Wigneron et al., 2000), we 285 focused on retrieving the two vegetation parameters, VOD and vegetation scattering 286 parameter  $(\omega)$ , which can be assumed to be relatively constant over a short time-window, 287 while model-based dynamic SM data is used as an input in the retrieval algorithm. One 288 prototype study undertaken to retrieve VOD from AMSR2 in Africa supports the validity of 289 this idea (Wang et al., 2021a). The estimation of the Ulaby linear model parameters is 290 described in Section 3.2. The retrieval of the VOD and  $\omega$  from the integrated retrieval model 291 is presented in Section 3.3. The methods implemented to quantify the performance of ASCAT 292 IB VOD and to qualify the  $\omega$  parameter are described in Section 3.4.



**Fig. 1.** Flowchart presenting the development and assessment of global ASCAT IB VOD.

#### 295 **3.1. Vegetation backscatter model**

The radar backscatter from vegetation was described by the Water Cloud Model (WCM) (Attema and Ulaby, 1978). The sensor-received backscattering coefficient ( $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ , in m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>) at the incidence angle  $\theta$  (40° in this study) can be denoted as a combination of two components: the direct vegetation backscatter signal ( $\sigma_{veg}^{\circ}$ , in m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>) and the backscatter from the soil surface ( $\sigma_{soil}^{\circ}$ , in m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>) attenuated by the vegetation canopy ( $\gamma^{2}$ , called the two-way vegetation attenuation factor). This leads to the following equations:

 $302 \qquad \qquad \sigma_{obs}^{\circ} = \sigma_{veg}^{\circ} + \gamma^2 \sigma_{soil}^{\circ}$  (1)

303 
$$\sigma_{\text{veg}}^{\circ} = \omega \cdot \cos \theta \cdot (1 - \gamma^2)$$
 (2)

- 304  $\gamma^2 = \exp(-2\cdot\tau/\cos\theta)$  (3)
- 305 where  $\omega$  is the vegetation scattering parameter related to the single scattering albedo, and  $\tau$  is 306 the vegetation optical depth (VOD).
- 307 A simple linear approach (Eq. (4)) (Ulaby et al., 1978) was used to model the bare soil 308 backscatter ( $\sigma_{soil}^{\circ}$ , in dB) as a function of soil moisture (SM, in m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>):

309 
$$\sigma_{\text{soil}(\text{dB})}^{\circ} = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \sigma_{\text{soil}(\text{m}^2/\text{m}^2)}^{\circ} = C + D \cdot \text{SM}$$
(4)

where *C* is the radar backscatter of the very dry bare soil, *D* represents the radar backscatter
sensitivity to soil moisture changes, and SM comes from the ERA5-land SM product.

312 The Eqs. (2)- (4) were finally inserted into Eq. (1) to express  $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$  as a function of vegetation 313 optical depth ( $\tau$ ):

$$\sigma_{obs}^{\circ} = \omega \cdot \cos \theta \cdot (1 - \exp(-2 \cdot \tau/\cos\theta)) + \exp(-2 \cdot \tau/\cos\theta) \cdot 10^{0.1 \cdot (C + D \cdot SM)}$$
(5)

### 315 **3.2 Calibration of the model parameters**

The soil-vegetation radar model in Eq. (5) contains three parameters ( $\omega$ , *C* and *D*) that needed to be calibrated on each pixel in space and time. As there are no "true" VOD samples, the three parameters cannot be calibrated at the same time. In this study, we calibrated the bare soil parameters (*C* and *D*) and then retrieved  $\omega$  and VOD simultaneously.

The soil parameter calibration consisted of three steps (1) selecting so-called "bare soil" pixels globally where vegetation effects can be neglected during a specific period (which varies over each pixel) (2) computing the *C* and *D* parameters over the "bare soil" pixels during that specific period (3) training machine learning models by using the retrieved *C* and *D* values over "bare soil" pixels obtained in the step (2) and then estimating the two parameters (*C* and *D*) globally. These three steps are detailed below:

Step1: Globally selecting the "bare soil" pixels based on LAI and land cover data. Two kinds of "bare soil" pixels can be identified: i) vegetation-free pixels during the entire year (desert area), and ii) pixels with sparse vegetation (LAI<0.5) seasonally. Some "bare soil" pixels have very low moisture condition (< 0.05 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>) and almost stable  $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ . In those pixels, only *C* can be calculated. Therefore, we divided the "bare soil" pixels into two categories, namely category 1: where soil moisture and  $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$  show a significant correlation; category 2: where soil moisture is very low and there are very low time variations in  $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ . 333 *Step2:* calculating the parameters *C* and *D* over the "bare soil" pixels. For the pixels in 334 category 1, the *C* and *D* values were obtained with linear fitting on Eq. (4). For the pixels in 335 category 2, only the *C* value can be computed, and it was estimated from the averaged  $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ . 336 At the same time, the corresponding soil properties (Table S1) and DEM-related (Table S2) 337 data were extracted.

338 *Step3:* estimating *C* and *D* globally. The pixels in step 2 were used to train two random forest 339 (RF) regression models, in which the parameters *C* and *D* computed over the "bare soil" 340 pixels were treated as the target and the soil properties (Table S1) and DEM-related (Table S2) 341 data were selected as the predictors. More details about the implementation of the RF model 342 are presented in Appendix A. Then, the selected global predictors were used as input to the 343 trained RF models to estimate *C* and *D* globally.

Two years (2015-2016) of ASCAT  $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$  and ERA5-Land SM were used to select the "bare soil" pixels. For more details about each step, readers can refer to the same calibration work done in Africa (Liu et al., 2021b).

#### 347 **3.3 Retrieval of VOD and** ω

The simultaneous retrieval process of two variables, VOD and  $\omega$ , was performed by using a cost function (*CF*) (Eq. (6)). *CF* integrates the squared weighted differences between the backscatter simulations ( $\sigma_{sim}^{\circ}$ ) and the observations ( $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ ), taking into account the constraint information on the retrieved model parameters (Wigneron et al., 2007). This strategy was also implemented in the development of SMOS IC V2 and SMAP IB (Li et al., 2022; Wigneron et al., 2021).

354 
$$CF = \frac{\sum (\sigma_{obs}^{\circ} - \sigma_{sim}^{\circ})^{2}}{\operatorname{StdDev}(\sigma^{\circ})^{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\left(P_{i}^{ini} - P_{i}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\operatorname{StdDev}(P_{i})^{2}}$$
(6)

where the sum of the differences between observed ( $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ ) and simulated ( $\sigma_{sim}^{\circ}$ ) backscattering coefficient is computed from VV polarization observations over a *w*-day window. In this window, the value of retrieved  $P_i^*(VOD^* \text{ and } \omega^*, \text{ respectively for } i = 1, 2)$  are time-invariant. StdDev( $\sigma^{\circ}$ ) is the standard deviation associated with  $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ .  $P_i^{ini}$  (VOD<sup>ini</sup> and  $\omega^{ini}$ , respectively for i = 1, 2) is the initial value of VOD or  $\omega$  in the retrieval process and corresponds to a constraint information (or "*priori* information") estimate; StdDev(P<sub>i</sub>) is the standard deviation associated with this estimate.

362 Two sources of constraint information were used in the retrieval algorithm: (1) the ASCAT IB 363 V1 VOD developed in Africa (Liu et al., 2021b) was used to estimate the *a priori* information 364 on VOD, and (2) the wet reference of the ASCAT observations was used to estimate the a365 priori information on  $\omega$ . Specifically, due to the diversity of the vegetation conditions in Africa, the VOD<sup>ini</sup> and StdDev(VOD) parameters used in Eq. (6) were simply estimated for 366 367 the forest and non-forest regions in Africa. In this study, VOD<sup>ini</sup> was set to 0.87 and 0.16 for forest and no-forest pixels, respectively. StdDev(VOD) was set to 0.40 for forest pixels and 368 0.15 for no-forest pixels. According to the definition of  $\omega$ , the  $\omega^{ini}$  and StdDev( $\omega$ ) parameters 369 were calculated from the ASCAT wet reference  $(\sigma^{\circ}_{WetRef})$  data which is computed from the 370 371 maximum backscattering coefficient for each pixel during the peak growth season (Fig. 1 in 372 Steele-Dunne et al. (2019) and Fig. 2 in Vreugdenhil et al. (2016)) or during times with high values of ERA5-Land SM (Fig. S1).  $\sigma^{\circ}_{WetRef}$  is provided over each pixel and is almost constant 373 on a daily basis. The yearly mean value of  $\sigma^{\circ}_{WetRef}$  in each pixel was used to estimate  $\omega^{ini}$ . Like 374 375 the calculation of StdDev(VOD), StdDev( $\omega$ ) was estimated from the yearly mean value of 376  $\sigma^{\circ}_{WetRef}$  over the forested and non-forested areas. It was set to 0.01 for the forested areas and 377 0.03 for the non-forested areas.

378 In order to ensure a good quality of  $P_i^*$ (VOD and  $\omega$ ) and to consider that  $P_i^*$  did not change 379 much over the period of *w*-days, the *w* value cannot be too small or too large (Wigneron et al., 2021). An 18-day window was used in this study. This window size allows for robust results
with more than three consecutive observations and it corresponds to the time-period used for
Sentinel-1 VOD (El Hajj et al., 2019a). We also checked that this window size led to the best
results when evaluating the performance of ASCAT IB VOD against AGB (Table S3).

#### 384 **3.4. Evaluation metrics for ASCAT IB retrievals assessments**

385 Evaluating the ASCAT IB retrievals, we mainly focused on the VOD parameter as there are 386 few studies evaluating the ω parameter at a large scale (Du et al., 2016; Fernandez-Moran et 387 al., 2016; Konings et al., 2017; Vittucci et al., 2017). As in (Li et al., 2021), the global 388 ASCAT IB VOD retrievals were evaluated in time and space. For the temporal performance, 389 the Pearson correlation coefficient (R) between optical vegetation indices (i.e., LAI, NDVI 390 and NDWI and ASCAT IB VOD was calculated. To evaluate ASCAT IB VOD in terms of 391 spatial performance, we estimated the spatial correlation between ASCAT IB VOD and 392 different AGB products (Eq. (7)). Meanwhile, the spatial correlation between VOD-predicted-393 AGB and AGB was also computed: the aim is to evaluate the performance of ASCAT IB 394 VOD and other VOD in estimating AGB. To compute the VOD-predicted AGB as a function 395 of ASCAT IB VOD, two functions (Eq. (8)-(9)) were chosen to fit the relationship between 396 VOD and AGB (Li et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a). The root mean square deviation (RMSE) 397 between VOD-predicted-AGB and AGB was also calculated (Eq. (10)). The same calculation 398 was also done for ASCAT IB VOD and different canopy height products.

399
$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (VOD_i - \overline{VOD})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (VOD_i - \overline{VOD})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \overline{Y})^2}}$$
(7)

400 
$$Y = \frac{a}{1 + \exp(-b * (VOD - c))} + d$$
 (8)

401  $Y = a * \exp(b * VOD) + d$  (9)

402 
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - Y_i)^2}{n}}$$
(10)

where *Y* is AGB or canopy height, a, b, and c are best-fit parameters, *y* is the predicted AGB
or predicted canopy height, *n* is the valid number of pixels.

405 The spatial and temporal performance of three other C-VOD products were also evaluated for 406 inter-comparing with ASCAT IB VOD. In order to keep consistency for each product, the 407 following criteria were used: 1) for each product, we used VOD data from the same year (or 408 close in time) as the vegetation-related proxies; 2) since the VODCA data set is only available 409 until 2018 and AMSR2 provides data from July 2012, the temporal evaluation was made from 410 2013 to 2018; and 3) over each pixel and each year, the number of VOD data should be larger 411 than 60 per year to avoid statistical under-representation. The retrievals of the VOD and  $\omega$ 412 parameters were also analysed considering different classes of vegetation types defined by the 413 MODIS IGBP classification scheme.

#### 414 **4. Results**

#### 415 **4.1 Calibration results of the** *C* and *D* parameters

416 The "bare soil" pixels used for the computation of the C and D parameters of the Ulaby bare 417 soil scattering model (Eq. (4)) are presented in Fig. 2. The red pixels, corresponding to the 418 "bare soil" regions where the backscatter signals were observed in a prolonged state of 419 dryness, are in the desert area. For the blue pixels in non-desert regions, the C and D values 420 were computed from Eq. (4), keeping only pixels where the correlation coefficient (R) is 421 larger than 0.25. Those pixels are mainly distributed in the north, center, and south of Africa, 422 the south of Australia, south of Southern America, Central Asia, and the western regions of 423 the United States. The main land cover types associated with the blue pixels are grassland, 424 cropland, and open shrubland which have a clear seasonality. As a result, the C value could be

estimated over 23,482 pixels and the *D* value over 24,205 pixels. The corresponding soil properties (18 types) and terrain-related indexes (9 types) of these pixels were extracted, and then they were used as predictors to train two random forest models (referred to as the *C* and *D* models, respectively) for simulating, separately, the *C* and *D* parameters at the global scale.



429

430 **Fig.2.** Spatial distribution of the "bare soil" pixels (represented by points) and MODIS IGBP 431 land cover map. The "bare soil" pixels are shown in a  $2^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$  grid if the total number of *C* or 432 *D* pixels is larger than six.

433 In total, 18 predictor variables were selected to train the C model and 19 predicator variables 434 were selected to train the D model after applying the recursive feature elimination (RFE) 435 method. Fig. 3 (a-b) shows the variable importance of each C and D model. Soil property 436 indices (group SPI) played a key role in estimating the target variables in both the C and D437 models, with a total importance of 79.39 % and 65.67 %, respectively. The soil organic 438 carbon density (Ocdens) ranked first in SPI for both models. The terrain-related features 439 (group TI) contributed more in the C model than in the D model, which is consistent with the 440 results obtained in Africa (Liu et al., 2021b).



441

Fig.3. Predictive variable importance of the C (a) and D (b) model values. SPI and TI mean soil property indices (in orange) and terrain-related feature variables (in dark blue), respectively. The definition of each variable is given in Table S1 and S2.

445 The results of the 10-fold cross-validation of two RF models trained by the selected indices 446 (Fig. 4) are better than those obtained in Africa (Liu et al., 2021b). For the C model,  $R^2$ increased from 0.85 to 0.87, and RMSE decreased from 1.31 dB to 0.97 dB. The R<sup>2</sup> metric of 447 the D model improved from 0.61 to 0.79, but the RMSE showed a slight increase (from 448 2.37 dB/  $m^3 \cdot m^{-3}$  to 2.43 dB/  $m^3 \cdot m^{-3}$ ). The overestimation of the lower values and 449 450 underestimation of the higher values obtained in Africa still occurred for the two models 451 calibrated here at the global scale. This is likely due to the limitation of the RF regression 452 model that cannot be extrapolated; namely when the range of the test fold is not included in 453 the training fold, values beyond the range of the training data set will be overestimated or 454 underestimated.



456 **Fig. 4.** Performance of the C (a) and D (b) soil model based on the 10-fold cross-validation.

457 The spatial patterns of the global predicted C and D parameters are illustrated in Fig. 5. The 5<sup>th</sup>–95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the C and D values among all pixels varied from -18.05 dB to -11.82 458 dB, and from 5.12 dB/m<sup>3</sup>·m<sup>-3</sup> to 13.97 dB/m<sup>3</sup>·m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. These values are in the 459 460 usual range for C-band SAR observations (Baghdadi et al., 2008; Baghdadi et al., 2016; 461 Verhoest et al., 2008). The distribution of the D values is more discrete than that of the C462 values. The pixels with a value ranging from -15 dB to -10 dB account for 76.20 % of the 463 pixels in the C map, while 66.62 % of them are concentrated in the range of -15 dB to -12.5 dB. Comparatively, 75.26 % of the pixels have a D value in the 5-10 dB/  $m^3 \cdot m^{-3}$  range and 464 are evenly distributed in 5-7.5 dB/ m<sup>3</sup>·m<sup>-3</sup> (accounting for 38.79 %) and 7.5-10 dB/ 465  $m^3 \cdot m^{-3}$  (accounting for 36.46 %). In addition, the distribution of the pixels with a C value 466 467 lower than -15 dB in the C map matches well with the distribution of pixels with a D value larger than 10 dB/  $m^3 \cdot m^{-3}$  in the D map, especially in South and North America, Eastern 468 469 Europe, and Central Asia. Considering each IGBP landcover (Fig. 5 (c)), we can see that the 470 C and D parameters have a wide range of values in the low vegetation areas. A wide range of 471 C values can also be found in mixed forest (MFO). The median C values were similar across



472 all vegetation types, while the median *D* values varied across the different land cover types.
473 More details about the statistical description of *C* and *D* are given in Appendix Table 1-3.

#### 474

475 Fig. 5. Global maps of the *C* (a) and *D* (b) soil model parameters estimated from the RF
476 models and (c) their boxplots for different IGBP land types.

## 477 **4.2 Vegetation scattering parameter** (ω) retrieval

478 A global map of the thirteen-year time-average retrieved  $\omega$  (based on *w*=18 days) is shown in 479 Fig. 6 (a). The highest values ( $\omega > 0.32$ ) were obtained in Western Australia, northern 480 Mexico, northwest Canada, eastern Lena River in Russia, southern Somalia, western Arabian

481 Peninsula, southwest Iran, and northern Pakistan. Those regions are in areas with complex 482 terrain of hills and gullies. High values of  $\omega$  were also retrieved in most pixels of the tropical 483 regions. A clear gradient of increasing average  $\omega$  value from north to south can be seen across 484 the Sahel. The maximum values of  $\omega$  reach up to 0.97, and the pixels with values in the range 485 of 0.08-0.32 account for 97.36 % of all pixels. A boxplot of  $\omega$  for each land cover type sorted 486 by decreasing median values are shown in Fig 6 (c). Evergreen broadleaf forests (EBF) have 487 the highest  $\omega$  values, which is consistent with previous results from a calibration of the  $\omega$ 488 values over southern France (Shamambo et al., 2019). Interestingly, high  $\omega$  values were 489 obtained in the Cropland/Natural vegetation mosaics (CVM) where roughly half of the pixels 490 (53.48%) have a value greater than 0.24. The standard deviation of the  $\omega$  value in low 491 vegetation is larger than that in the forest and woody savannas areas.



493 **Fig. 6.** Global distribution of (a) temporal average and (b) standard deviation of the retrieved 494  $\omega$  parameter from 2008 to 2020, and (c) the mean value per vegetation type ordered by 495 decreasing values and the corresponding standard deviation.

- 496 **4.3 ASCAT IB VOD evaluation**
- 497 *4.3.1 Global spatial patterns*

The spatial distribution of the four VODs (Fig. 7) shows that the passive VOD products
(AMSR2 VOD and VODCA VOD) have a larger coverage as the active VOD products
(ASCAT IB VOD and ASCAT TUW VOD) failed to obtain values in some areas (algorithm)

501 failure). In the ASCAT IB VOD retrieval algorithm, we did not perform VOD retrievals in 502 bare soil areas when the bare soil fraction is greater than 99 % and in pixels where we failed 503 to simulate the bare soil backscatter (i.e. when the simulated bare soil backscatter value is 504 larger than the observed backscatter value). The retrieval of TUW VOD was not performed 505 when the difference between the wet and dry reference exceeds the maximum range in the 506 backscatter signal over bare soils (Vreugdenhil et al., 2016). The maximum values of all the 507 four VODs were retrieved in the tropics with VOD ~ 1.2 for AMSR2 and ASCAT TUW 508 VOD, ~1.0 for ASCAT IB VOD, and ~0.75 for VODCA VOD. The two passive VOD 509 products (AMSR2 and VODCA) have a similar spatial distribution, with higher values in the 510 boreal forests similar to those in the tropical region because they are retrieved from the same 511 sensor (AMSR2) but with a different version of the algorithm. The zonal averaged VOD per 512 latitude (Fig. 7 (e)) shows two peaks at the latitudes of ~  $0^{\circ}$ N and ~  $60^{\circ}$ N for all three VODs, 513 except for ASCAT TUW VOD. At the latitude of ~  $0^{\circ}$ N corresponding to regions of dense 514 tropical forests, both ASCAT VODs decrease rapidly from north to south, while both AMSR2 VODs show a plateau at ~  $0^{\circ}$ N and decrease from a latitude of about  $13^{\circ}$ S. 515



516

Fig. 7. Global distribution of time-averaged VOD maps over the period from years 20132018, (a) ASCAT IB, (b) ASCAT TUW, (c) AMSR2, (d) VODCA and (e) corresponding
zonal average.

520 In order to quantitatively describe the differences among the four VODs, we computed the 521 spatial correlation and residuals of the relationship between ASCAT VOD IB and the three 522 other VOD products (Fig 8). ASCAT IB VOD has the highest correlation with AMSR2 VOD,

followed by VODCA VOD and ASCAT TUW VOD. AMSR2 and VODCA VOD show a piecewise linear correlation with ASCAT IB VOD, while the correlation between TUW VOD and ASCAT IB VOD is quite low when the ASCAT IB VOD value is lower than 0.6. The residual plots show that the value of ASCAT IB VOD is lower than that of AMSR2 VOD in almost all pixels. Compared with VODCA VOD, ASCAT IB VOD values are larger when their values exceed 0.8. ASCAT IB VOD values are lower than the ASCAT TUW VOD values except for values in the range of 0.7-0.8.



Fig. 8. Density scatter plots and residuals of the spatial relationship between ASCAT VOD
IB and the three other VOD products. VOD is time-averaged over 2013-2018, with residuals
calculated as ASCAT IB VOD minus the three other VODs.

#### 534 4.3.2 Spatial correlation with aboveground biomass and canopy height

Fig. 9 shows the density scatter plots of the four VODs with the three aboveground biomass (AGB) products. The density of points in the scatter plots of GEDI AGB is lower than that of the two other AGB products because the range of GEDI biomass did not include areas with latitudes above 53.99°N. The distribution density plot of VOD versus AGB differs between 539 the ASCAT and AMSR2 VOD products. The shape of the density distribution of ASCAT VOD shows a gradual slope rise, while that of AMSR2 VOD presents first a slow slope 540 541 increase and then a steep increase for AGB greater than ~100 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, two different parameterization functions (Eq. (8) for ASCAT VOD; Eq. (9) for AMSR2 VOD) 542 543 were used to fit the relationship between VOD and AGB. The results show that ASCAT IB 544 VOD exhibits the best performance to predict all three AGB products, with a correlation of 545 0.83-0.87 and RMSE values (34.62-36.86 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) computed between reference and 546 predicted AGB. AMSR2 VOD achieved the second-best performance in predicting the 547 Saatchi and GEDI AGB. Similarly, ASCAT TUW VOD achieved the second-best performance in predicting CCI AGB. ASCAT TUW with Saatchi and GEDI AGB and 548 549 VODCA with CCI AGB presented the lowest correlation values (0.63-0.66). Note that there 550 is some scatter in these relationships and at low height levels, it cannot be concluded that the 551 C-band VOD product will be a good proxy of the vegetation biomass. In particular, further 552 studies based on specific data sets will be necessary to better evaluate the potential 553 capabilities of ASCAT IB VOD in low vegetation canopies as croplands and grasslands.



554

**Fig. 9.** Density scatter plots of the four global C-VOD (yearly averaged value) *vs* Saatchi AGB (first row), CCI AGB (second row) and GEDI AGB (third row) datasets.  $R_1$  is the spatial Pearson correlation coefficient calculated between C-VOD and AGB (Eq. (7)), while  $R_2$  is calculated between VOD-predicted AGB and AGB. The solid blue lines are the predictive fits obtained using Eqs. (8) or (9).

560 Considering the density scatter plot (Fig. 10) between VOD and the two GEDI canopy height 561 (CH) (Lang and Potapov) products, ASCAT IB VOD obtained the best correlation (R = 0.84-562 0.85). The saturation of VOD to CH is more serious for AMSR2 and VODCA VOD than for 563 ASCAT IB and TUW VOD. AMSR2 and VODCA VOD saturate at a value of CH exceeding 15 m, while ASCAT IB and TUW VOD saturate at a higher value (> 20 m). Regarding the 564 potential to predict canopy height, ASCAT IB VOD presents again the best results with the 565 two canopy height (CH) products at different spatial resolutions (Potapov: from 30 m 566 567 resampled to 0.25 degrees, Lang: 0.5 degrees). The lowest RMSE values were obtained in the

ASCAT IB VOD predictions of CH: RMSE = 4.07 m for Potapov's CH and RMSE = 4.38 m for Lang's CH. The R<sub>2</sub> value (computed using a predictive fit) is lower than the R<sub>1</sub> value for the ASCAT IB VOD. This is mainly because we forced the predictive fitted line to start from (0, 0). The quality of the relationship between VOD and CH is slightly weaker for the Lang product (Fig. 10) probably due to the coarse resolution of the latter product.



573

**Fig. 10.** Density scatter plots of the four global C-VOD (yearly value) vs the Potapov et al. canopy height (first row), and Lang et al. canopy height (second row) datasets. R<sub>1</sub> is the spatial Pearson correlation coefficient calculated between C-VOD and canopy height (CH) (Eq. (7)), while R<sub>2</sub> is calculated between VOD-predicted CH and CH. The solid blue lines are the fits obtained using Eq. (8) or (9).

#### 579 4.3.3 Temporal correlation with vegetation indices

The regions where the four VODs products presented the highest absolute temporal correlation with VIs are shown in Fig. 11. The two ASCAT VODs had more pixels with a higher correlation with LAI and NDWI, with ASCAT IB VOD showing the highest percentage. Passive VODs have a higher correlation with NDVI over more pixels, with AMSR2 VOD having the highest percentage. Similar features can be seen in Fig. 11 (a)-(c) 585 for the three vegetation indices, with ASCAT IB VOD obtaining the highest correlation 586 values over more pixels in the subtropics regions, same for TUW VOD in the eastern United 587 States, and same for the two AMSR2 VODs in Europe and Australia. The main differences 588 were found in Alaska and central and eastern Russia where ASCAT IB VOD vs. LAI (Fig. 11 589 (a)) and TUW VOD vs. NDWI (Fig. 11 (c)) showed the highest correlation values, while the 590 two AMSR2 VODs showed highest correlation values with NDVI (Fig. 11 (b)). In central Russia, the two AMSR2 VODs (Fig. 11 (b)-(c)) achieved the highest correlation values with 591 592 NDWI and NDVI, while the two ASCAT VODs showed the highest correlation values with 593 LAI (Fig. 11 (a)). The pixel-wise temporal correlations between the four VODs and the three 594 VIs are shown in Fig S2. Overall, AMSR2 VODs obtained significant correlations with VIs in 595 more pixels than ASCAT VODs. Specifically, more significant correlations were obtained (i) between AMSR2 VODs and NDVI, and (ii) between ASCAT VODs and LAI. Both the 596 597 ASCAT VOD and AMSR2 VOD have the lowest number of values significantly associated 598 with NDWI. The spatial distribution of correlation values between the two AMSR2 VODs 599 and the three different VIs is very similar, but the results for the active VODs are significantly 600 different. The correlation values between the two active VODs and the VIs are in opposite 601 directions in some specific regions. In the Amazon and Congo forest regions, ASCAT IB 602 VOD shows negative correlations with the three different VIs, while ASCAT TUW VOD 603 shows positive correlations. In the Central African Republic, northern Argentina and southern 604 Bolivia, ASCAT IB VOD correlates positively with the three different VIs, while ASCAT 605 TUW VOD correlates negatively with the same VIs. For further details, see Fig. S2



606 607 Fig. 11. Maps of the highest absolute temporal correlation (R) values between four VOD 608 datasets and three VIs based on six-year datasets (2013-2018) and corresponding percentage of coverage (bottom left). "Not significant," represented by shaded areas, means the P-value 609 610 of the correlation is larger than 0.05. White areas mean "no valid data."

611 To illustrate the seasonal dynamics of the active and passive VODs, the time series of VOD 612 and NDVI (LAI) are plotted over five pixels with relatively homogeneous land cover 613 conditions but different vegetation types (Fig. 12). The fractional coverage of the land cover 614 class and location information of each pixel is presented in Table S4. In the cropland site over 615 northern France, ASCAT IB VOD showed a negative correlation with NDVI and LAI, while 616 the three other VOD products showed the same seasonal dynamics as NDVI and LAI (the 617 ASCAT IB VOD changes were similar to the three other VODs and VIs only from May to 618 July). Fig. 12 (a) illustrates the negative temporal correlations obtained by ASCAT IB VOD 619 with all three VIs in Eastern Europe (Fig. S2). The soil moisture and backscattering 620 coefficient data for both periods (Feb.-May and Aug.-Nov.) were checked (Fig. S3). The 621 backscattering coefficient changes are in phase with the time changes in soil moisture. 622 However, the backscattering coefficient has a high value at the beginning of the vegetation 623 development and the end of senescence, even larger than the value at the time when the LAI 624 was at its peak. Shan et al. (2022) have also identified the same phenomenon that they 625 attributed to human activity before and after sowing and to vegetation senescence in 626 agricultural fields that increased soil roughness resulting in the high value of the observed 627 backscatter signals. In addition, previous studies have observed a decrease in the backscatter 628 signals as LAI increased in narrow-leaved crops (Fontanelli et al., 2013; Macelloni et al., 629 2001).



Fig. 12. Time series of the five VOD products (including the old ASCAT IB V1 version in
(d)), NDVI, and LAI over five types of vegetation cover in the period from January 2013 to
December 2018 (AMSR2 and VODCA VOD were smoothed by an 18-day moving window).

630

Interestingly, over the evergreen broadleaf forest site in southern Vietnam, ASCAT IB VOD presents large seasonal variations similar to those of LAI, while the three other VODs and NDVI showed slight time variations. In the high latitude grasslands site (Fig. 12 (c)), the temporal availability of ASCAT IB VOD is shorter than that of the passive VODs, but ASCAT IB VOD still monitored well the vegetation growth. The AMSR2 VODs have a 639 longer temporal availability than the active VODs in the high latitude regions, which is clearly 640 shown in the Hovmöller diagrams (Fig. S4). In the savanna site in Africa (Fig. 12 (d)), the 641 peak of ASCAT IB VOD is well synchronized with that of LAI, while the AMSR2 VODs and 642 NDVI are more synchronized. Compared to the ASCAT IB V1 VOD version (only available 643 in Africa) (Liu et al., 2021b), it can be clearly seen that the new global ASCAT IB VOD 644 product is smoother and solves the noise issue mentioned in the previous study in Africa. In 645 the open shrublands site (Fig. 12 (e)), the values of LAI and NDVI were higher in 2017 than 646 in the other three years, and ASCAT IB VOD also captured well this inter-annual variation in 647 the vegetation cycle. ASCAT TUW VOD presents weak temporal variations over all the 648 different vegetation types, because a time window ranging from 2 to 12 weeks were used to 649 calculate VOD (Vreugdenhil et al., 2016).

#### 650 **5. Discussion**

#### 651 **5.1 Uncertainty in the VOD retrieval**

652 There are three main uncertainties associated with ASCAT IB VOD. The first one is the 653 simplified scattering process considered in the retrieval algorithms, and the other two are the 654 soil parameters estimated from the random forest models and the ERA5-Land SM input. The 655 water cloud model that is used to simulate the backscattering coefficient in the present study 656 neglected the multiple scattering effects as it is hard to quantify this part in the model. Some 657 studies showed that the multiple scattering effects can be generally ignored for low levels of 658 the vegetation cover at the C- and L-bands (El Hajj et al., 2019b; Hosseini et al., 2015; Zribi 659 et al., 2019). One study (Li et al., 2020) confirmed also this conclusion by comparing the 660 VOD and SM parameters retrieved from L-band SMOS TB based on Tau-Omega and a 661 higher order radiative transfer model, named the Two-Stream emission model (Schwank et al., 2018), which holds a stronger physical background with consideration of multiple 662 663 scattering and reflection. However, the VOD values retrieved from the two models vary over 664 dense vegetation areas and boreal regions. A study that explored the contribution of double-665 bounce to the total signal of the P-, L- and C-bands in forest regions showed that the double-666 bounce component at C-band is lower than that at the L- and P-bands and accounts for a small 667 contribution (1%) to the total signal in forests (Freeman and Durden, 1993). Ouast et al. 668 (2019) used a generalization of the WCM that incorporates an estimate of first-order (i.e., 669 double-bounce) interaction-effects to simulate the ASCAT backscattering signals. The results 670 also showed the interaction-contributions are very small. In the passive domain, (it is likely it 671 is the same in the active domain), several studies showed that multiple scattering effects can be well accounted for by zero-order radiative transfer models that neglect multiple scattering 672 673 effects, provided that the model parameters (VOD and scattering parameter) are considered as 674 effective parameters (Kurum, 2013; Li et al., 2020). Here, we roughly simulated the effect of 675 neglecting multiple scattering, by accounting for the impact of a 0 to 5 % change in the total 676 signal (the value of 0 to 5 % was estimated from Freeman and Durden (1993) and Quast et al. 677 (2019)), on VOD retrievals for the three vegetation types (Fig. S5). Assuming multiple scattering accounts for 5 % of the total signal (worst-case scenario), the results showed that 678 679 the relative variation of VOD in the evergreen broadleaf forest reached 19 % when ignoring 680 multiple scattering. The relative change of VOD in grasslands also showed a higher value (17 681 %) than in savannah (12 %) even though their VOD value changed little (~ 0.02). These 682 results show that neglecting multiple scattering effects in ASCAT VOD retrievals has a non-683 negligible effect, potentially leading to a change in VOD values by around 10-20 % in the worst case and that better consideration of multiple scattering effects should be considered in 684 685 future improvements to our retrieval approach. However, the relative change in VOD caused 686 by neglecting multiple scattering is relatively small compared to the large uncertainties 687 associated with global AGB datasets: AGB values can vary by up to 50 % in some forest 688 regions for different AGB data sets (Araza et al., 2022; Urbazaev et al., 2018). Considering that there is no simple equation to quantitatively describe the multiple scattering effects in WCM, the traditional WCM equations were used in this study. Although there are some discrete models (Bracaglia et al., 1995; Ulaby et al., 1990) that considered the multiple scattering effects, it is difficult to apply these models over large regions as they need too many input parameters for a rigorous retrieval (Bai et al., 2018; Bai et al., 2022).

694 Another possible uncertainty associated with ASCAT IB VOD is related to the fact we 695 ignored the subsurface scattering in the simplified Ulaby soil model. Wagner et al. (2022) 696 showed that the backscattering coefficient negatively correlates with SM when subsurface 697 scattering is the main contribution of the soil backscatter and positively correlates with SM 698 when the surface scattering effects dominate. When both types of scattering are present, the 699 backscattering coefficient will exhibit a negative correlation with SM in dry conditions and a 700 positive correlation as SM gradually increases, showing a U-shape (Liu et al., 2016; Wagner 701 et al., 2022). Based on the correlation with AGB, the ASCAT IB VOD retrieved using the soil 702 parameters predicted by different models trained with different positive correlation thresholds 703 were evaluated, with the threshold R > 0.25 achieving the best results (Table S5). When a 704 higher threshold value is selected, pixels with mixed scattering that may be U-shaped with a 705 lower R-value are excluded from the model training, resulting in more errors in the soil 706 parameters prediction and finally causing errors in the ASCAT IB VOD retrieval. Although 707 some studies have showed that the subsurface scattering effects usually happen in dry desert 708 areas (McColl et al., 2014), the correlation values between the ASCAT backscatter 709 measurements and ERA5-land SM revealed that pixels with negative correlation values are 710 also located in some sparsely vegetated areas (Fig. 1 in (Wagner et al., 2022)). Because of the negative correlation, the  $\sigma^{\circ}_{WetRef}\,$  parameter fails to represent the time period when SM values 711 712 are high (Fig. S1). Therefore, neglecting subsurface scattering in soil scattering modeling and in estimating initial values of the vegetation parameter from  $\sigma^\circ_{WetRef}$  will introduce 713

uncertainty to the ASCAT IB VOD retrievals, especially when the subsurface effects aredominant.

716 Random forest models were used in this study to simulate the global C and D soil model 717 parameters. The underestimation of the high values and overestimation of the low values of 718 the soil parameters (C and D) can be noted in the 10-fold cross-validation (Fig. 4 (a)-(b)). 719 This is caused by the limitations of the tree-based (e.g. random forest) models to perform 720 extrapolations (Hengl et al., 2018). The predictions for test data outside the range of training 721 data are often underestimated or overestimated. During the 10-fold cross-validation, the data 722 are divided into ten groups with 9 groups used for training and 1 group for testing, and each 723 group is tested once for the model. The range of data in the training and testing folds may be 724 similar if the data has a normal distribution, where the mean is equal to the median value. In 725 the histogram of the C and D values extracted in "bare soil" pixels (Fig. S6), there is a larger 726 difference between the averaged and median value of the D values than for the C values. As a result, the underestimation and overestimation of the D model are more severe than that of the 727 728 C model (Fig. 4 (a)-(b)). The effect of the uncertainty associated with the parameters C and D 729 on the VOD retrievals was evaluated on three vegetation types based on RMSE in Fig. 4. The 730 results (Fig. S7) show that the most significant impact is on grasslands, followed by savannas 731 and evergreen broadleaf forests. Following the method of Liu et al. (2021b), the uncertainty associated with ERA5-Land's SM on the VOD retrievals was analyzed by examining changes 732 733 in SM values in relation to relative changes in VOD values over three vegetation types. The 734 selected change in SM value, derived from an estimate of ERA5-Land's ubRMSE, is 0.05 735  $m^3/m^3$ . The results (Fig. S8) show that the relative change in VOD values is  $\pm 17$  % on 736 grassland,  $\pm 8$  % on savannah and  $\pm 3$  % on evergreen broadleaf forest when SM values vary 737 by  $\pm 0.05 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3$ . As expected, the impact of errors on SM is weaker as VOD values increase.

These results are similar to the sensitivity analysis of the uncertainty associated with ERA5Land SM on ASCAT IB V1 VOD retrievals (Liu et al., 2021b).

#### 740 **5.2 Inter-comparison of the active and passive VOD**

741 The assessment and comparison results indicate that there are some discrepancies between 742 ASCAT IB VOD and AMSR2 VODs. Regarding spatial relationships, ASCAT IB VOD 743 showed the highest correlation with AGB and canopy height (Fig. 9-10). It was also found 744 that ASCAT IB VOD had the best temporal correlation with LAI and NDWI for most of the 745 pixels at the global scale, while AMSR2 VODs showed best correlation with NDVI (Fig. 11 746 (b)). The statistics of the temporal correlation between VODs and VIs in each land cover type 747 show similar results (Table S6), especially for short vegetation. Physical radiative transfer 748 mechanisms may help to understand why LAI and NDWI have a better spatial and temporal 749 correlation with active ASCAT IB VOD than passive AMSR2 VODs. As active microwave 750 sensors emit energy, the observed backscattering coefficient is a measurement of the 751 microwave radiation scattered in a single backward direction, while the passive microwaves 752 sensors detect the naturally emitted microwave energy which corresponds to the integration of 753 the bi-static coefficient considering the incident radiations over the whole hemisphere 754 (Ferrazzoli et al., 1989; Wigneron et al., 1999). Therefore, compared to passive observations, 755 active observations are more sensitive to volume scattering within the canopy. This is because 756 passive microwave remote sensing integrates over all of the possible directions of incident 757 radiation, while active microwave remote sensing only samples a single direction, resulting in 758 less averaging of coherent effects. Consequently, active microwave remote sensing is more 759 sensitive to vegetation canopy structure and specifically temporal changes in leaf water and 760 orientation than passive microwave remote sensing.

Find the Even though ASCAT IB VOD performed well in space and time when compared with thethree other C-band VOD products, we should be aware that the algorithms and input datasets

763 of those four products differ and also make the VOD values and ranges different (Fig. 8). We 764 summarized these main differences in Table 1. For the two active VOD products, the retrieval 765 algorithms are both based on the water cloud model, but the inputs are different. TUW VOD 766 was retrieved by using the ASCAT dry and wet references which are the mean value of the 10% 767 highest and lowest normalized backscatter data at 25° and 40° (Pfeil et al., 2018), while the ASCAT normalized backscatter data at 40° was used to retrieve ASCAT IB VOD. The 768 769 hypotheses made in the two active VOD retrieval algorithms are also very different. In the 770 ASCAT IB VOD retrieval algorithm, the vegetation scattering  $(\omega)$  and VOD parameters were 771 assumed to be constant within a period of 18 days and retrieved together using a model-based 772 SM as input to the algorithm. In contrast, the TUW VOD retrieval algorithm assumes that the 773 maximum range of backscatter values (- 6.68 dB) over bare soil is the same around the world, 774 excluding desert areas. The auxiliary data used by both algorithms mainly concern the 775 modelling of soil scattering. The ASCAT IB VOD retrieval algorithm employed many 776 ancillary data sets (e.g. SoilGrids250m and DEM-related datasets) for calibrating the 777 parameters of the soil model and used a modelled reanalysis SM product from ERA5-land as 778 input to the soil model. Conversely, the TUW VOD retrieval algorithm utilized only the 779 Koppen-Geiger climate classification data to model the bare soil scattering. The different 780 ancillary data used in the two algorithms will affect the VOD retrievals. The most obvious 781 difference is that the two active VODs are retrieved using ancillary information for soil 782 moisture, while VOD and SM are simultaneously retrieved in the passive domain (Owe et al., 783 2008; Wigneron et al., 2017). The two passive VOD are retrieved from AMSR2 brightness 784 temperature measurements at 55° (Imaoka et al., 2012) but with different versions of the 785 LPRM algorithm. VODCA VOD was retrieved based on LPRM version 6 (van der Schalie et 786 al., 2017) which update the setting of the effective scattering albedo and of the soil roughness 787 parameter, compared with LPRM version 5 used in the AMSR2 VOD retrievals. Those

- 788 discrepancies among the four VOD products may make the interpretation of the inter-
- 789 comparison more challenging.
- 790 **Table 1.** Summary of the key differences in the retrieval algorithms of the active ASCAT
- 791 VODs (ASCAT IB, TUW) and the passive VODs (AMSR2 and VODCA).

Algorithm	ASCAT IB	ASCAT TUW (Vreugdenhil et al., 2016)	LPRM version 5 (AMSR2 VOD) (Owe et al., 2008)	LPRM version 6 (VODCA VOD) (Moesinger et al., 2020; van der Schalie et al., 2017)
Observations	ASCAT $\sigma_{obs}^{\circ}$ at VV polarization	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	AMSR2 T <sub>B</sub> at dual (V, H) polarization	AMSR2 at dual (V, H) polarization
Vegetation scattering modelling	Water cloud model (Attema and Ulaby, 1978)	Water cloud model (Attema and Ulaby, 1978)	$\tau$ - $\omega_p$ model (Mo et al., <u>1982</u> ) $\omega_p$ = 0.05	$\tau$ - $\omega_p$ model (Mo et al., <u>1982</u> ) $\omega_p$ = 0.075
Soil scattering modelling	Ulaby linear model (Ulaby et al., 1978)	$\Delta \sigma_s^\circ$ : maximum range in backscatter values over bare soils	H-Q-N modelling (Wang and Choudhury, 1981) H <sub>R</sub> = 0.09	H-Q-N modelling (Wang and Choudhury, 1981) H <sub>R</sub> = 1.2*(1-2*SM)
Hypothesis	VOD is the same over a short time window (18 days)	$\Delta \sigma_s^{\circ}$ is the same everywhere in the world, except in desert areas.	VOD is the same at H and V polarizations	VOD is the same at H and V polarizations
Ancillary data	SoilGrids250m (Hengl et al., 2017) and DEM-related data (Danielson and Gesch, 2011) are used to estimate the soil parameters of the Ulbay linear model; ERA5-Land SM (Muñoz-Sabater et al., 2021) is used as input to simulate the bare soil backscatter coefficient	Koppen–Geiger climate classification was used to set the $\Delta \sigma_s^{\circ}$ parameter	Vertically polarized Ka- band (36.5 GHz) observations from AMSR2 are used to derive the effective temperature (Holmes et al., 2009); Soil texture (clay, silt and sand) from FAO is used to estimate the soil dielectric constant (Wang and Choudhury, 1981)	Same with LPRM version 5
VOD retrieval	VOD is retrieved simultaneously with the vegetation scattering parameter (ω)	Only VOD is retrieved	VOD is retrieved simultaneously with SM	VOD is retrieved simultaneously with SM, VOD is re-scaled to AMSR-E VOD by a CDF matching technique

Limitations	The multi-temporal	The use of a kernel	The global constant value	Same with LPRM version
	method may result in	smoother with a half-	of $\omega_p$ and H <sub>R</sub> may cause	5
	VOD not being	width window of 21	uncertainties in VOD	
	sensitive to dry-down	days (Vreugdenhil et	retrieval (Baur et al.,	
	periods (Li et al.,	<u>al., 2020)</u> when	<u>2019)</u> .	
	2021) and has larger	calculating $\sigma^{\circ}_{WetRef}$		
	uncertainties over	and $\sigma^{\circ}_{DrvRef}$ decrease		
	grasslands and	the seasonal		
	croplands where	amplitude of VOD;		
	vegetation growth can	$\sigma^{\circ}_{WetRef}$ and $\sigma^{\circ}_{DrvRef}$		
	be very fast (Jackson	are not optimized for		
	<u>et al., 2004).</u>	regional conditions		
		(Pfeil et al., 2018).		

792  $T_B$  = brightness temperature;  $\omega_P$  = Effective scattering albedo;  $H_R$  = roughness parameter

#### 793 **6. Conclusion and Outlook**

794 The objective of this work was to retrieve the first global ASCAT IB VOD product and the vegetation scattering parameter ( $\omega$ ) from the single-channel (VV) active microwave 795 796 observations of ASCAT. The spatial distribution of the retrieved  $\omega$  map matches well 797 vegetation distribution, which is in line with the previous findings of the literature. When 798 compared to the other C-VOD products, ASCAT IB VOD had a competitive advantage. In 799 spatial terms, ASCAT IB VOD presented the highest correlation values with AGB and tree 800 height, with R values of  $\sim 0.83$  and  $\sim 0.84$ , respectively. In comparison with the previous 801 ASCAT IB V1 VOD developed only over Africa, the global ASCAT IB VOD has shown 802 strong improvement in terms of temporal evolution which are much less noisy pixel-based 803 time-series than those of the previous version. Some interesting discrepancies were also 804 observed between ASCAT and AMSR2 VODs. The non-linear density distribution presented 805 by ASCAT VOD and AGBs is different from that presented by AMSR2 VOD. ASCAT 806 VODs have a linear spatial relationship with LAI and a non-linear one with NDWI and NDVI, 807 while AMSR2 VODs presented opposite results (Fig. S9). Similar results were obtained in 808 terms of temporal variations: ASCAT VODs show greater synchronization with LAI and 809 NDWI, while AMSR2 VODs are more closely aligned with NDVI. So, the different 810 characteristics of the two ASCAT VODs (more closely related to changes in LAI) and the two 811 AMSR2 VODs (more closely related to changes in NDVI and NDWI) showed appealing 812 complementarity for conducting joint vegetation studies.

813 The strong ability of ASCAT observations to predict AGB as found in this work and Santoro 814 et al. (2022) encourages us to apply this long-term VOD to investigate the time changes in 815 aboveground biomass at continental scales since 2007. Recently, Tao et al. (2022) developed 816 the first global C-band scatterometer dataset which dates back to 1992. Applying the new 817 ASCAT IB algorithm to this dataset would allow obtaining a 30-year data set of the VOD 818 parameter which is very promising for studies of vegetation resilience (Forzieri et al., 2022). 819 Considering that global ASCAT IB VOD showed a good sensitivity to NDWI, some 820 applications (like wildfire prediction or post-fire recovery) related to vegetation water content 821 can be explored in the future (Bousquet et al., 2022; Fan et al., 2018). Furthermore, a specific 822 version of our algorithm could be developed for Sentinel-1 (S1): less uncertainty in the 823 retrievals could be obtained by combining the S1 observations at the two VV and VH polarisations, instead of using only one (VV) for ASCAT. In addition, improved bare soil 824 825 models and the use of machine learning methods that can be extrapolated (e.g. ensemble RF, 826 Cubist) (Lin et al., 2017; Pouladi et al., 2019) could lead to a decrease in the uncertainty 827 associated with the simulation of the bare soil backscattering.

## 828 Data availability

Global ASCAT IB VOD and vegetation scattering parameter (ω) can be downloaded from the
INRAE Bordeaux remote sensing lab website (https://ib.remote-sensing.inrae.fr) after
registration.

## 832 Appendix A

#### 833 A.1 Random forest model

834 In this study, the random forest (RF) regression model was used to simulate the global soil 835 parameters (C and D) of the Ulaby bare soil model. RF is a tree-based machine learning 836 method and has been widely adopted in many geographic-related studies (Liao et al., 2022; 837 Wang et al., 2022). RF works by training many regression trees and reporting the mean 838 response over all the trees. In each tree, approximately two-thirds of the samples in the dataset 839 are used for training and the remaining third (called the out-of-bag data (OOB)) are used for 840 internal validation of the model. The r-square values  $(R^2)$  and RMSE calculated from the 841 OOB samples are used to assess the model performance. The python sklearn package 842 (Pedregosa et al., 2011) was used for the implementation of RF, including the establishment 843 of the model, the selection of the predictor of the model, and the validation of the model.

844 The 'RandomForestRegressor' was used as the estimator and its two parameters 845 (n estimators and max features) were optimized by the 'GridSearchCV' function. The 846 'random state' has been defined to guarantee the reproducibility of the model. The predictor 847 variables of the model were selected using a recursive feature elimination (RFE) method 848 (Guyon et al., 2002). We first fitted the model with all the predictors and then removed the 849 least important predictor from the model. This process was iterated until only one predictor 850 variable was left. The selected predictors are those that lead to the highest  $R^2$  and lowest 851 RMSE. Finally, the 10-fold cross-validation (CV) (Stone, 1974) was used as an independent validation to assess the accuracy of the selected model. The mean value of the  $R^2$  and RMSE 852 853 of 10-fold CV and the importance of each predictor were reported.

#### 854 Appendix Table 1

#### 855 Statistics of *C* and *D* at the global scale.

Parameter	Max	95th percentiles	Min	5th percentiles	Mean	Median
C(dB)	-7.60	-11.82	-26.01	-18.05	-14.27	-13.92
$D (dB/m^3 \cdot m^{-3})$	34.12	13.97	1.75	5.12	8.46	9.76

#### 856 Appendix Table 2

#### 857 Statistics of *C* and *D* over different ranges of values.

Parameter	Range [Min, Max]	Percentage	Mean	Median
C (dB)	[-25,-20]	1.51 %	-21.05	-20.78

	[-20,-15]	21.98 %	-16.64	-16.38
	[-15,-12.5]	66.62 %	-13.73	-13.72
	[-12.5,-10]	9.58 %	-11.65	-11.85
	[-10,-5]	0.31 %	-9.53	-9.70
	[0,5]	3.96 %	4.57	4.67
D	[5,7.5]	38.79 %	6.38	6.43
D (dP/m <sup>3</sup> ·m <sup>-3</sup> )	[7.5,10]	36.47 %	8.63	8.59
	[10,15]	17.31 %	11.74	11.47
	[15,25]	3.39 %	17.67	16.95
	[25,35]	0.08 %	26.92	26.32

#### **Appendix Table 3** 858

859 Statistics of C and D for different IGBP land cover classes.

IGBP	Min		Max		Mean		Median		Percentage
land cover	С	D	С	D	С	D	С	D	
ENF	-16.48	3.88	-9.83	12.88	-13.12	7.12	-13.34	6.83	2.17 %
EBF	-16.81	4.52	-9.79	16.14	-14.02	8.86	-14.21	8.84	7.32 %
DBF	-17.28	4.10	-10.09	18.00	-13.80	8.59	-13.90	8.51	1.80 %
DNF	-15.34	5.42	-12.35	12.42	-13.90	9.11	-13.92	8.86	0.11 %
MFO	-16.53	3.83	-9.91	16.82	-13.42	8.82	-13.43	8.34	4.84 %
CSH	-17.39	4.08	-10.76	13.19	-14.51	7.22	-14.55	6.64	0.23 %
OSH	-21.04	1.75	-9.17	30.59	-13.85	6.76	-13.44	6.38	13.15 %
WSA	-20.09	4.13	-9.90	16.91	-13.69	8.21	-13.69	8.07	10.03 %
SAV	-19.61	3.05	-10.25	17.46	-13.82	8.04	-13.78	7.95	13.44 %
GRA	-26.01	2.06	-8.72	34.12	-14.43	9.02	-14.01	8.00	23.48 %
CRO	-22.01	2.81	-1.08	26.35	-14.67	10.88	-14.53	10.86	9.64 %
CVM	-18.40	4.63	-12.31	22.20	-14.34	9.84	-14.17	9.65	0.61 %
BSV	-20.43	2.23	-7.60	24.42	-15.76	7.81	-15.99	7.31	13.18 %

#### References 860

861 Al Bitar, A., Mialon, A., Kerr, Y.H., Cabot, F., Richaume, P., Jacquette, E., Quesney, A., Mahmoodi, A., Tarot, 862 S., Parrens, M., Al-Yaari, A., Pellarin, T., Rodriguez-Fernandez, N., & Wigneron, J.-P. (2017). The global 863 SMOS Level 3 daily soil moisture and brightness temperature maps. Earth System Science Data, 9, 293-315

864 Araza, A., de Bruin, S., Herold, M., Quegan, S., Labriere, N., Rodriguez-Veiga, P., Avitabile, V., Santoro, M., 865 Mitchard, E.T.A., Ryan, C.M., Phillips, O.L., Willcock, S., Verbeeck, H., Carreiras, J., Hein, L., Schelhaas, M.-866 J., Pacheco-Pascagaza, A.M., da Conceição Bispo, P., Laurin, G.V., Vieilledent, G., Slik, F., Wijaya, A., Lewis, 867 S.L., Morel, A., Liang, J., Sukhdeo, H., Schepaschenko, D., Cavlovic, J., Gilani, H., & Lucas, R. (2022). A 868 comprehensive framework for assessing the accuracy and uncertainty of global above-ground biomass maps. 869 Remote Sensing of Environment, 272

870 Asner, G.P., Clark, J.K., Mascaro, J., Galindo García, G.A., Chadwick, K.D., Navarrete Encinales, D.A., Paez-871 Acosta, G., Cabrera Montenegro, E., Kennedy-Bowdoin, T., Duque, Á., Balaji, A., von Hildebrand, P., Maatoug, 872 L., Phillips Bernal, J.F., Yepes Quintero, A.P., Knapp, D.E., García Dávila, M.C., Jacobson, J., & Ordóñez, M.F. 873 (2012). High-resolution mapping of forest carbon stocks in the Colombian Amazon. Biogeosciences, 9, 2683-874 2696

875 Attema, E.P.W., & Ulaby, F.T. (1978). Vegetation modeled as a water cloud. Radio Science, 13, 357-364

- 876 Baghdadi, N., Cerdan, O., Zribi, M., Auzet, V., Darboux, F., El Hajj, M., & Kheir, R.B. (2008). Operational
- 877 performance of current synthetic aperture radar sensors in mapping soil surface characteristics in agricultural
- 878 environments: application to hydrological and erosion modelling. *Hydrological Processes*, 22, 9-20

Baghdadi, N.N., El Hajj, M., Zribi, M., & Fayad, I. (2016). Coupling SAR C-Band and Optical Data for Soil
Moisture and Leaf Area Index Retrieval Over Irrigated Grasslands. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 9, 1229-1243

- Bai, X., Zeng, J., Chen, K.-S., Li, Z., Zeng, Y., Wen, J., Wang, X., Dong, X., & Su, Z. (2018). Parameter
  Optimization of a Discrete Scattering Model by Integration of Global Sensitivity Analysis Using SMAP Active
  and Passive Observations. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 1-16
- Bai, X., Zheng, D., Liu, X., Fan, L., Zeng, J., & Li, X. (2022). Simulation of Sentinel-1A observations and
  constraint of water cloud model at the regional scale using a discrete scattering model. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 283
- 888 Beck, H.E., Pan, M., Miralles, D.G., Reichle, R.H., Dorigo, W.A., Hahn, S., Sheffield, J., Karthikeyan, L.,
- Balsamo, G., Parinussa, R.M., van Dijk, A.I.J.M., Du, J., Kimball, J.S., Vergopolan, N., & Wood, E.F. (2020).
- 890 Evaluation of 18 satellite- and model-based soil moisture products using in situ measurements from 826 sensors
- Boulton, C.A., Lenton, T.M., & Boers, N. (2022). Pronounced loss of Amazon rainforest resilience since the
   early 2000s. *Nature Climate Change*, *12*, 271-278
- Bousquet, E., Mialon, A., Rodriguez-Fernandez, N., Mermoz, S., & Kerr, Y. (2022). Monitoring post-fire
  recovery of various vegetation biomes using multi-wavelength satellite remote sensing. *Biogeosciences*, 19, 3317-3336
- Bracaglia, M., Ferrazzoli, P., & Guerriero, L. (1995). A fully polarimetric multiple scattering model for crops.
   *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 54, 170-179
- 898 Canisius, F., Shang, J., Liu, J., Huang, X., Ma, B., Jiao, X., Geng, X., Kovacs, J.M., & Walters, D. (2018).
- Tracking crop phenological development using multi-temporal polarimetric Radarsat-2 data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 210, 508-518
- 901 Carreiras, J.M.B., Quegan, S., Le Toan, T., Ho Tong Minh, D., Saatchi, S.S., Carvalhais, N., Reichstein, M., &
- Scipal, K. (2017). Coverage of high biomass forests by the ESA BIOMASS mission under defense restrictions.
   *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 196, 154-162
- Chang, Q., Zwieback, S., DeVries, B., & Berg, A. (2022). Application of L-band SAR for mapping tundra shrub
   biomass, leaf area index, and rainfall interception. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 268
- Chaparro, D., Duveiller, G., Piles, M., Cescatti, A., Vall-llossera, M., Camps, A., & Entekhabi, D. (2019).
  Sensitivity of L-band vegetation optical depth to carbon stocks in tropical forests: a comparison to higher
- 908 frequencies and optical indices. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 232*
- Chen, Y., Feng, X., & Fu, B. (2021). An improved global remote-sensing-based surface soil moisture (RSSSM)
  dataset covering 2003–2018. *Earth System Science Data*, 13, 1-31
- 911 Conrad, O., Bechtel, B., Bock, M., Dietrich, H., Fischer, E., Gerlitz, L., Wehberg, J., Wichmann, V., & Böhner,
- 912 J. (2015). System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA) v. 2.1.4. Geoscientific Model Development, 8, 1991-2007

- 914 Danielson, J.J., & Gesch, D.B. (2011). *Global multi-resolution terrain elevation data 2010 (GMTED2010)*. US
   915 Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey
- 916 Du, J., Kimball, J.S., & Jones, L.A. (2016). Passive Microwave Remote Sensing of Soil Moisture Based on 917 Dynamic Vegetation Scattering Properties for AMSR-E. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*,
- 918 54, 597-608
- Dubayah, R.O., Armston, J., Healey, S.P., Yang, Z., Patterson, P.L., Saarela, S., Stahl, G., Duncanson, L., &
  Kellner, J.R. (2022). GEDI L4B Gridded Aboveground Biomass Density, Version 2. In: ORNL Distributed
  Active Archive Center
- El Hajj, M., Baghdadi, N., Wigneron, J.-P., Zribi, M., Albergel, C., Calvet, J.-C., & Fayad, I. (2019a). First
  Vegetation Optical Depth Mapping from Sentinel-1 C-band SAR Data over Crop Fields. *Remote Sensing*, 11
- El Hajj, M., Baghdadi, N., & Zribi, M. (2019b). Comparative analysis of the accuracy of surface soil moisture
  estimation from the C- and L-bands. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*,
  82
- 927 Fan, L., Wigneron, J.-P., Ciais, P., Chave, J., Brandt, M., Sitch, S., Yue, C., Bastos, A., Li, X., Qin, Y., Yuan,
- 928 W., Schepaschenko, D., Mukhortova, L., Li, X., Liu, X., Wang, M., Frappart, F., Xiao, X., Chen, J., Ma, M.,
- 929 Wen, J., Chen, X., Yang, H., van Wees, D., & Fensholt, R. (2022). Siberian carbon sink reduced by forest
- 930 disturbances. *Nature Geoscience*
- Fan, L., Wigneron, J.P., Ciais, P., Chave, J., Brandt, M., Fensholt, R., Saatchi, S.S., Bastos, A., Al-Yaari, A.,
  Hufkens, K., Qin, Y., Xiao, X., Chen, C., Myneni, R.B., Fernandez-Moran, R., Mialon, A., Rodriguez-
- Hufkens, K., Qin, Y., Xiao, X., Chen, C., Myneni, R.B., Fernandez-Moran, R., Mialon, A., Rodriguez Fernandez, N.J., Kerr, Y., Tian, F., & Penuelas, J. (2019). Satellite-observed pantropical carbon dynamics. *Nat*
- 934 *Plants*, *5*, 944-951
  - Fan, L., Wigneron, J.P., Xiao, Q., Al-Yaari, A., Wen, J., Martin-StPaul, N., Dupuy, J.L., Pimont, F., Al Bitar, A.,
    Fernandez-Moran, R., & Kerr, Y.H. (2018). Evaluation of microwave remote sensing for monitoring live fuel
    moisture content in the Mediterranean region. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 205, 210-223
- Fernandez-Moran, R., Wigneron, J.P., De Lannoy, G., Lopez-Baeza, E., Mialon, A., Mahmoodi, A., Parrens, M.,
  Al Bitar, A., Richaume, P., & Kerr, Y. (2016). Calibrating the Effective Scattering Albedo in the Smos
  Algorithm: Some First Results. 2016 Ieee International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (Igarss),
  826-829
- Ferrazzoli, P., Luzi, G., Paloscia, S., Pampaloni, P., Schiavon, G., & Solimini, D. (1989). Comarison between
  the microwave emissivity and backscatter coefficient of crops. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 27, 772-778
- Figa-Saldaña, J., Wilson, J.J.W., Attema, E., Gelsthorpe, R., Drinkwater, M.R., & Stoffelen, A. (2014). The
  advanced scatterometer (ASCAT) on the meteorological operational (MetOp) platform: A follow on for
  European wind scatterometers. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, 28, 404-412
- 948 Fontanelli, G., Paloscia, S., Zribi, M., & Chahbi, A. (2013). Sensitivity analysis of X-band SAR to wheat and 949 barley leaf area index in the Merguellil Basin. *Remote Sensing Letters*, *4*, 1107-1116
- 950 Forzieri, G., Dakos, V., McDowell, N.G., Ramdane, A., & Cescatti, A. (2022). Emerging signals of declining 951 forest resilience under climate change. *Nature*

- 952 Frappart, F., Wigneron, J.-P., Li, X., Liu, X., Al-Yaari, A., Fan, L., Wang, M., Moisy, C., Le Masson, E., Aoulad
- 953 Lafkih, Z., Vallé, C., Ygorra, B., & Baghdadi, N. (2020). Global Monitoring of the Vegetation Dynamics from
- 954 the Vegetation Optical Depth (VOD): A Review. *Remote Sensing*, 12
- Freeman, A., & Durden, S.L. (1993). Three-component scattering model to describe polarimetric SAR data. In,
   *Radar Polarimetry* (pp. 213-224): SPIE
- Fung, A., & Eom, H. (1985). A Comparison between Active and Passive Sensing of Soil Moisture from
  Vegetated Terrains. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *GE-23*, 768-775
- Fuster, B., Sánchez-Zapero, J., Camacho, F., García-Santos, V., Verger, A., Lacaze, R., Weiss, M., Baret, F., &
  Smets, B. (2020). Quality Assessment of PROBA-V LAI, fAPAR and fCOVER Collection 300 m Products of
- 961 Copernicus Global Land Service. *Remote Sensing*, 12
- Grant, J.P., Wigneron, J.P., De Jeu, R.A.M., Lawrence, H., Mialon, A., Richaume, P., Al Bitar, A., Drusch, M.,
  van Marle, M.J.E., & Kerr, Y. (2016). Comparison of SMOS and AMSR-E vegetation optical depth to four
  MODIS-based vegetation indices. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *172*, 87-100
- 965 Grippa, M., & Woodhouse, I.H. (2003). Retrieval of bare soil and vegetation parameters from wind scatterometer measurements over three different climatic regions. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 84, 16-24
- Gu, Y., Hunt, E., Wardlow, B., Basara, J.B., Brown, J.F., & Verdin, J.P. (2008). Evaluation of MODIS NDVI
  and NDWI for vegetation drought monitoring using Oklahoma Mesonet soil moisture data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35
- Guyon, I., Weston, J., Barnhill, S., & Vapnik, V. (2002). Gene selection for cancer classification using support
   vector machines. *Machine Learning*, 46, 389-422
- Hahn, S., Reimer, C., Vreugdenhil, M., Melzer, T., & Wagner, W. (2017). Dynamic Characterization of the
  Incidence Angle Dependence of Backscatter Using Metop ASCAT. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied*
- 974 Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 10, 2348-2359
- 975 Hengl, T., Mendes de Jesus, J., Heuvelink, G.B., Ruiperez Gonzalez, M., Kilibarda, M., Blagotic, A.,
- Shangguan, W., Wright, M.N., Geng, X., Bauer-Marschallinger, B., Guevara, M.A., Vargas, R., MacMillan,
  R.A., Batjes, N.H., Leenaars, J.G., Ribeiro, E., Wheeler, I., Mantel, S., & Kempen, B. (2017). SoilGrids250m:
  Global gridded soil information based on machine learning. *PLoS One*, *12*, e0169748
- Hengl, T., Nussbaum, M., Wright, M.N., Heuvelink, G.B.M., & Graler, B. (2018). Random forest as a generic
  framework for predictive modeling of spatial and spatio-temporal variables. *PeerJ*, 6, e5518
- Hosseini, M., McNairn, H., Merzouki, A., & Pacheco, A. (2015). Estimation of Leaf Area Index (LAI) in corn
  and soybeans using multi-polarization C- and L-band radar data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 170, 77-89
- 983 Imaoka, K., Maeda, T., Kachi, M., Kasahara, M., Ito, N., & Nakagawa, K. (2012). Status of AMSR2 instrument
- 984 on GCOM-W1. In, *Earth Observing Missions and Sensors: Development, Implementation, and Characterization* 985 *II* (p. 852815): International Society for Optics and Photonics
- Kerr, Y.H., Waldteufel, P., Richaume, P., Wigneron, J.P., Ferrazzoli, P., Mahmoodi, A., Al Bitar, A., Cabot, F.,
  Gruhier, C., Juglea, S.E., Leroux, D., Mialon, A., & Delwart, S. (2012). The SMOS Soil Moisture Retrieval
- 987 Gruhier, C., Juglea, S.E., Leroux, D., Mialon, A., & Delwart, S. (2012). The SMOS Soil Moisture Retrieval 988 Algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *50*, 1384-1403

- 989 Kerr, Y.H., Waldteufel, P., Wigneron, J.-P., Delwart, S., Cabot, F., Boutin, J., Escorihuela, M.-J., Font, J., Reul,
- 990 N., Gruhier, C., Juglea, S.E., Drinkwater, M.R., Hahne, A., Martín-Neira, M., & Mecklenburg, S. (2010). The
- SMOS Mission: New Tool for Monitoring Key Elements of the Global Water Cycle. *Proceedings of the IEEE*,
   98, 666-687
- Konings, A.G., Piles, M., Das, N., & Entekhabi, D. (2017). L-band vegetation optical depth and effective
   scattering albedo estimation from SMAP. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 198, 460-470
- Konings, A.G., Piles, M., Rötzer, K., McColl, K.A., Chan, S.K., & Entekhabi, D. (2016). Vegetation optical
  depth and scattering albedo retrieval using time series of dual-polarized L-band radiometer observations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 172, 178-189
- Kurum, M. (2013). Quantifying scattering albedo in microwave emission of vegetated terrain. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 129, 66-74
- Lal, P., Singh, G., Das, N.N., Colliander, A., & Entekhabi, D. (2022). Assessment of ERA5-Land Volumetric
   Soil Water Layer Product Using In Situ and SMAP Soil Moisture Observations. *Ieee Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 19, 1-5
- 0 , , , -
- Lang, N., Kalischek, N., Armston, J., Schindler, K., Dubayah, R., & Wegner, J.D. (2022). Global canopy height
   regression and uncertainty estimation from GEDI LIDAR waveforms with deep ensembles. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 268
- Li, X., Wigneron, J.-P., Fan, L., Frappart, F., Yueh, S.H., Colliander, A., Ebtehaj, A., Gao, L., Fernandez-Moran,
  R., Liu, X., Wang, M., Ma, H., Moisy, C., & Ciais, P. (2022). A new SMAP soil moisture and vegetation optical
- 1008 depth product (SMAP-IB): Algorithm, assessment and inter-comparison. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 271
- 1009 Li, X.J., Al-Yaari, A., Schwank, M., Fan, L., Frappart, F., Swenson, J., & Wigneron, J.P. (2020). Compared 1010 performances of SMOS-IC soil moisture and vegetation optical depth retrievals based on Tau-Omega and Two-
- 1010 performances of SiviOS-rC son moisture and vegetation optical depth retrievals based on 1 1011 Stream microwave emission models. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 236
- 1012 Li, X.J., Wigneron, J.P., Frappart, F., Fan, L., Ciais, P., Fensholt, R., Entekhabi, D., Brandt, M., Konings, A.G.,
- 1013 Liu, X.Z., Wang, M.J., Al-Yaari, A., & Moisy, C. (2021). Global-scale assessment and inter-comparison of
- 1014 recently developed/reprocessed microwave satellite vegetation optical depth products. *Remote Sensing of*
- 1015 Environment, 253
- Li, Y., Zhang, W., Schwalm, C.R., Gentine, P., Smith, W.K., Ciais, P., Kimball, J.S., Gazol, A., Kannenberg,
  S.A., Chen, A., Piao, S., Liu, H., Chen, D., & Wu, X. (2023). Widespread spring phenology effects on drought
  recovery of Northern Hemisphere ecosystems. *Nature Climate Change*
- Liao, Z., Liu, X., van Dijk, A., Yue, C., & He, B. (2022). Continuous woody vegetation biomass estimation
  based on temporal modeling of Landsat data. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 110*
- Lievens, H., Brangers, I., Marshall, H.-P., Jonas, T., Olefs, M., & De Lannoy, G. (2021). Sentinel-1 snow depth
   retrieval at sub-kilometer resolution over the European Alps
- Lievens, H., Martens, B., Verhoest, N.E.C., Hahn, S., Reichle, R.H., & Miralles, D.G. (2017). Assimilation of
   global radar backscatter and radiometer brightness temperature observations to improve soil moisture and land
   evaporation estimates. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 189*, 194-210
- Lin, W., Wu, Z., Lin, L., Wen, A., & Li, J. (2017). An Ensemble Random Forest Algorithm for Insurance Big
  Data Analysis. *IEEE Access*, 5, 16568-16575

- 1029 Link, M., Jagdhuber, T., Ferrazzoli, P., Guerriero, L., & Entekhabi, D. (2021). Relationship Between Active and 1030 Passive Microwave Signals Over Vegetated Surfaces. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 1-1031 15
- 1032 Liu, P.-W., Judge, J., DeRoo, R.D., England, A.W., Bongiovanni, T., & Luke, A. (2016). Dominant 1033 backscattering mechanisms at L-band during dynamic soil moisture conditions for sandy soils. Remote Sensing 1034 of Environment, 178, 104-112
- 1035 Liu, X., Wigneron, J.P., Frappart, F., Baghdadi, N., Zribi, M., Jagdhuber, T., Ciais, P., Li, X., Wang, M., Fan, L., 1036 Ygorra, B., Ma, H., Xing, Z., Al-Yaari, A., Fernandez-Moran, R., & Moisy, C. (2021a). First Retrievals of 1037 ASCAT IB VOD (Vegetation Optical Depth) at Global Scale. In, 2021 IEEE International Geoscience and 1038 Remote Sensing Symposium IGARSS (pp. 6403-6406)
- 1039 Liu, X.Z., Wigneron, J.P., Fan, L., Frappart, F., Ciais, P., Baghdadi, N., Zribi, M., Jagdhuber, T., Li, X.J., Wang,
- 1040 M.J., Bai, X.J., & Moisy, C. (2021b). ASCAT IB: A radar-based vegetation optical depth retrieved from the
- 1041 ASCAT scatterometer satellite. Remote Sensing of Environment, 264
- 1042 Liu, Y.Y., de Jeu, R.A.M., McCabe, M.F., Evans, J.P., & van Dijk, A.I.J.M. (2011). Global long-term passive 1043 microwave satellite-based retrievals of vegetation optical depth. Geophysical Research Letters, 38, n/a-n/a
- 1044 Liu, Y.Y., van Dijk, A.I.J.M., McCabe, M.F., Evans, J.P., & de Jeu, R.A.M. (2013). Global vegetation biomass 1045 change (1988-2008) and attribution to environmental and human drivers. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 22, 1046 692-705
- 1047 Lyons, D.S., Dobrowski, S.Z., Holden, Z.A., Maneta, M.P., & Sala, A. (2021). Soil moisture variation drives 1048 canopy water content dynamics across the western U.S. Remote Sensing of Environment, 253
- 1049 Macelloni, G., Paloscia, S., Pampaloni, P., Marliani, F., & Gai, M. (2001). The relationship between the 1050 backscattering coefficient and the biomass of narrow and broad leaf crops. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience 1051 and Remote Sensing, 39, 873-884
- 1052 Magagi, R.D., & Kerr, Y.H. (1997). Retrieval of soil moisture and vegetation characteristics by use of ERS-1 1053 wind scatterometer over arid and semi-arid areas. Journal of Hydrology, 188-189, 361-384
- 1054 McColl, K.A., Entekhabi, D., & Piles, M. (2014). Uncertainty Analysis of Soil Moisture and Vegetation Indices 1055 Using Aquarius Scatterometer Observations. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 52, 4259-
- 1056 4272
- 1057 Meroni, M., d'Andrimont, R., Vrieling, A., Fasbender, D., Lemoine, G., Rembold, F., Seguini, L., & 1058 Verhegghen, A. (2021). Comparing land surface phenology of major European crops as derived from SAR and 1059 multispectral data of Sentinel-1 and -2. Remote Sens Environ, 253, 112232
- 1060 Moesinger, L., Dorigo, W., de Jeu, R., van der Schalie, R., Scanlon, T., Teubner, I., & Forkel, M. (2020). The 1061 global long-term microwave Vegetation Optical Depth Climate Archive (VODCA). Earth System Science Data,
- 1062 12.177-196
- 1063 Muñoz-Sabater, J., Dutra, E., Agustí-Panareda, A., Albergel, C., Arduini, G., Balsamo, G., Boussetta, S., 1064 Choulga, M., Harrigan, S., Hersbach, H., Martens, B., Miralles, D.G., Piles, M., Rodríguez-Fernández, N.J., 1065 Zsoter, E., Buontempo, C., & Thépaut, J.-N. (2021). ERA5-Land: a state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset for 1066 land applications. Earth System Science Data, 13, 4349-4383

- Neumann, M., Ferro-Famil, L., & Reigber, A. (2010). Estimation of Forest Structure, Ground, and Canopy Layer
   Characteristics From Multibaseline Polarimetric Interferometric SAR Data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience*
- 1069 and Remote Sensing, 48, 1086-1104
- 1070 O'Neill, P.E., Njoku, E.G., Jackson, T.J., Chan, S., & Bindlish, R. (2015). SMAP Algorithm Theoretical Basis
   1071 Document: Level 2 & 3 Soil Moisture (Passive) Data Products. In
- 1072 Owe, M., de Jeu, R., & Holmes, T. (2008). Multisensor historical climatology of satellite-derived global land 1073 surface moisture. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *113*
- Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M., Prettenhofer, P.,
  Weiss, R., Dubourg, V., Vanderplas, J., Passos, A., Cournapeau, D., Brucher, M., Perrot, M., & Duchesnay, E.
  (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, *12*, 2825-2830
- Pfeil, I., Vreugdenhil, M., Hahn, S., Wagner, W., Strauss, P., & Blöschl, G. (2018). Improving the Seasonal
  Representation of ASCAT Soil Moisture and Vegetation Dynamics in a Temperate Climate. *Remote Sensing*, 10,
  1788
- Piles, M., McColl, K.A., Entekhabi, D., Das, N., & Pablos, M. (2015). Sensitivity of Aquarius Active and
  Passive Measurements Temporal Covariability to Land Surface Characteristics. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 53, 4700-4711
- Potapov, P., Li, X., Hernandez-Serna, A., Tyukavina, A., Hansen, M.C., Kommareddy, A., Pickens, A.,
  Turubanova, S., Tang, H., Silva, C.E., Armston, J., Dubayah, R., Blair, J.B., & Hofton, M. (2020). Mapping
- 1085 global forest canopy height through integration of GEDI and Landsat data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*
- Pouladi, N., Møller, A.B., Tabatabai, S., & Greve, M.H. (2019). Mapping soil organic matter contents at field
  level with Cubist, Random Forest and kriging. *Geoderma*, 342, 85-92
- Prigent, C., Jimenez, C., Dinh, L.A., Frappart, F., Gentine, P., Wigneron, J.P., & Munchak, J. (2022). Diurnal
  and Seasonal Variations of Passive and Active Microwave Satellite Observations Over Tropical Forests. *Journal*of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 127
- 1091 Quast, R., Albergel, C., Calvet, J.-C., & Wagner, W. (2019). A Generic First-Order Radiative Transfer
   1092 Modelling Approach for the Inversion of Soil and Vegetation Parameters from Scatterometer Observations.
   1093 *Remote Sensing*, 11
- Rodríguez-Fernández, N.J., Mialon, A., Mermoz, S., Bouvet, A., Richaume, P., Al Bitar, A., Al-Yaari, A.,
  Brandt, M., Kaminski, T., Le Toan, T., Kerr, Y.H., & Wigneron, J.-P. (2018a). An evaluation of SMOS L-band
  vegetation optical depth (L-VOD) data sets: high sensitivity of L-VOD to above-ground biomass in Africa. *Biogeosciences*, 15, 4627-4645
- Rodríguez-Fernández, N.J., Mialon, A., Mermoz, S., Bouvet, A., Richaume, P., Al Bitar, A., Al-Yaari, A.,
  Brandt, M., Kaminski, T., Le Toan, T., Kerr, Y.H., & Wigneron, J.-P. (2018b). The high sensitivity of SMOS LBand vegetation optical depth to biomass. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 1-20
- 1101 Saatchi, S.S., Harris, N.L., Brown, S., Lefsky, M., Mitchard, E.T., Salas, W., Zutta, B.R., Buermann, W., Lewis,
- S.L., Hagen, S., Petrova, S., White, L., Silman, M., & Morel, A. (2011). Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks
   in tropical regions across three continents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *108*, 9899-9904
- 1105 In tropical regions across three continents. *Froe Wall Actual Sci U S A*, 106, 9895-990
- 1104 Santoro, M., & Cartus, O. (2019). ESA Biomass Climate Change Initiative (Biomass\_cci): Global datasets of 1105 forest above-ground biomass for the year 2017, v1. In: Centre for Environmental Data Analysis

- 1106 Santoro, M., Cartus, O., Wegmüller, U., Besnard, S., Carvalhais, N., Araza, A., Herold, M., Liang, J., Cavlovic,
- 1107 J., & Engdahl, M.E. (2022). Global estimation of above-ground biomass from spaceborne C-band scatterometer
- 1108 observations aided by LiDAR metrics of vegetation structure. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 279
- Schwank, M., Naderpour, R., & Mätzler, C. (2018). "Tau-Omega"- and Two-Stream Emission Models Used for
   Passive L-Band Retrievals: Application to Close-Range Measurements over a Forest. *Remote Sensing*, 10
- Shamambo, D.C., Bonan, B., Calvet, J.-C., Albergel, C., & Hahn, S. (2019). Interpretation of ASCAT Radar
  Scatterometer Observations Over Land: A Case Study Over Southwestern France. *Remote Sensing*, 11
- Shan, X., Steele-Dunne, S., Huber, M., Hahn, S., Wagner, W., Bonan, B., Albergel, C., Calvet, J.-C., Ku, O., &
  Georgievska, S. (2022). Towards constraining soil and vegetation dynamics in land surface models: Modeling
  ASCAT backscatter incidence-angle dependence with a Deep Neural Network. *Remote Sensing of Environment*,
  279
- Srivastava, P.K., Pandey, V., Suman, S., Gupta, M., & Islam, T. (2016). Available Data Sets and Satellites for
   Terrestrial Soil Moisture Estimation, 29-44
- 1119 Steele-Dunne, S.C., Hahn, S., Wagner, W., & Vreugdenhil, M. (2019). Investigating vegetation water dynamics
- 1120 and drought using Metop ASCAT over the North American Grasslands. Remote Sensing of Environment, 224,
- 1121 219-235
- Stone, M. (1974). Cross-Validatory Choice and Assessment of Statistical Predictions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological), 36,* 111-133
- Sulla-Menashe, D., Gray, J.M., Abercrombie, S.P., & Friedl, M.A. (2019). Hierarchical mapping of annual
  global land cover 2001 to present: The MODIS Collection 6 Land Cover product. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 222, 183-194
- Tao, S., Chave, J., Frison, P.L., Le Toan, T., Ciais, P., Fang, J., Wigneron, J.P., Santoro, M., Yang, H., Li, X.,
  Labriere, N., & Saatchi, S. (2022). Increasing and widespread vulnerability of intact tropical rainforests to
  repeated droughts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *119*, e2116626119
- Teubner, I.E., Forkel, M., Jung, M., Liu, Y.Y., Miralles, D.G., Parinussa, R., van der Schalie, R., Vreugdenhil,
  M., Schwalm, C.R., Tramontana, G., Camps-Valls, G., & Dorigo, W.A. (2018). Assessing the relationship
  between microwave vegetation optical depth and gross primary production. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 65, 79-91
- Tian, F., Brandt, M., Liu, Y.Y., Verger, A., Tagesson, T., Diouf, A.A., Rasmussen, K., Mbow, C., Wang, Y., &
  Fensholt, R. (2016). Remote sensing of vegetation dynamics in drylands: Evaluating vegetation optical depth
  (VOD) using AVHRR NDVI and in situ green biomass data over West African Sahel. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 177, 265-276
- Ulaby, F., Batlivala, P., & Dobson, M. (1978). Microwave Backscatter Dependence on Surface Roughness, Soil
  Moisture, and Soil Texture: Part I-Bare Soil. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience Electronics*, *16*, 286-295
- 1140 Ulaby, F.T., Sarabandi, K., McDonald, K., Whitt, M., & Dobson, M.C. (1990). Michigan microwave canopy 1141 scattering model. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *11*, 1223-1253
- 1142 Urbazaev, M., Thiel, C., Cremer, F., Dubayah, R., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., & Schmullius, C. (2018).
- 1143 Estimation of forest aboveground biomass and uncertainties by integration of field measurements, airborne
- 1144 LiDAR, and SAR and optical satellite data in Mexico. *Carbon Balance Manag, 13*, 5

- 1145 van der Schalie, R., de Jeu, R.A.M., Kerr, Y.H., Wigneron, J.P., Rodríguez-Fernández, N.J., Al-Yaari, A.,
- 1146 Parinussa, R.M., Mecklenburg, S., & Drusch, M. (2017). The merging of radiative transfer based surface soil
- 1147 moisture data from SMOS and AMSR-E. Remote Sensing of Environment, 189, 180-193

1148 Verhoest, N.E., Lievens, H., Wagner, W., Alvarez-Mozos, J., Moran, M.S., & Mattia, F. (2008). On the Soil 1149 Roughness Parameterization Problem in Soil Moisture Retrieval of Bare Surfaces from Synthetic Aperture 1150 Radar. Sensors (Basel), 8, 4213-4248

- 1151 Vittucci, C., Ferrazzoli, P., Richaume, P., & Kerr, Y. (2017). Effective Scattering Albedo of Forests Retrieved 1152 by SMOS and a Three-Parameter Algorithm. Ieee Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 14, 2260-2264
- 1153 Vittucci, C., Vaglio Laurin, G., Tramontana, G., Ferrazzoli, P., Guerriero, L., & Papale, D. (2019). Vegetation
- 1154 optical depth at L-band and above ground biomass in the tropical range: Evaluating their relationships at 1155 continental and regional scales. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 77, 151-161
- 1156
- 1157 Vreugdenhil, M., Dorigo, W.A., Wagner, W., de Jeu, R.A.M., Hahn, S., & van Marle, M.J.E. (2016). Analyzing 1158 the Vegetation Parameterization in the TU-Wien ASCAT Soil Moisture Retrieval. IEEE Transactions on
- 1159 Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 54, 3513-3531
- 1160 Vreugdenhil, M., Hahn, S., Melzer, T., BauerMarschallinger, B., Reimer, C., Dorigo, W.A., & Wagner, W. 1161 (2017). Assessing Vegetation Dynamics Over Mainland Australia With Metop ASCAT. IEEE Journal of
- 1162 Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 10, 2240-2248
- 1163 Wagner, W., Hahn, S., Kidd, R., Melzer, T., Bartalis, Z., Hasenauer, S., Figa-Saldaña, J., de Rosnay, P., Jann, 1164 A., Schneider, S., Komma, J., Kubu, G., Brugger, K., Aubrecht, C., Züger, J., Gangkofner, U., Kienberger, S., 1165 Brocca, L., Wang, Y., Blöschl, G., Eitzinger, J., & Steinnocher, K. (2013). The ASCAT Soil Moisture Product: 1166 A Review of its Specifications, Validation Results, and Emerging Applications. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 22, 1167 5-33
- 1168 Wagner, W., Lemoine, G., Borgeaud, M., & Rott, H. (1999). A study of vegetation cover effects on ERS 1169 scatterometer data. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 37, 938-948
- 1170 Wagner, W., Lindorfer, R., Melzer, T., Hahn, S., Bauer-Marschallinger, B., Morrison, K., Calvet, J.-C., Hobbs, 1171 S., Quast, R., Greimeister-Pfeil, I., & Vreugdenhil, M. (2022). Widespread occurrence of anomalous C-band
- 1172 backscatter signals in arid environments caused by subsurface scattering. Remote Sensing of Environment, 276
- 1173 Wang, H., Wigneron, J.-P., Ciais, P., Yao, Y., Fan, L., Liu, X., Li, X., Green, J.K., Tian, F., Tao, S., Li, W., 1174 Frappart, F., Albergel, C., Wang, M., & Li, S. (2023). Seasonal variations in vegetation water content retrieved 1175 from microwave remote sensing over Amazon intact forests. Remote Sensing of Environment, 285
- 1176 Wang, M., Fan, L., Frappart, F., Ciais, P., Sun, R., Liu, Y., Li, X., Liu, X., Moisy, C., & Wigneron, J.-P. 1177 (2021a). An alternative AMSR2 vegetation optical depth for monitoring vegetation at large scales. Remote 1178 Sensing of Environment, 263
- 1179 Wang, M., Wigneron, J.-P., Sun, R., Fan, L., Frappart, F., Tao, S., Chai, L., Li, X., Liu, X., Ma, H., Moisy, C., & 1180 Ciais, P. (2021b). A consistent record of vegetation optical depth retrieved from the AMSR-E and AMSR2 X-1181 band observations. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 105
- 1182 Wang, N., Peng, J., Xue, J., Zhang, X., Huang, J., Biswas, A., He, Y., & Shi, Z. (2022). A framework for 1183 determining the total salt content of soil profiles using time-series Sentinel-2 images and a random forest-
- 1184 temporal convolution network. Geoderma, 409

- 1185 Wigneron, J.-P., Li, X., Frappart, F., Fan, L., Al-Yaari, A., De Lannoy, G., Liu, X., Wang, M., Le Masson, E., &
- 1186 Moisy, C. (2021). SMOS-IC data record of soil moisture and L-VOD: Historical development, applications and
- 1187 perspectives. Remote Sensing of Environment, 254
- Wigneron, J.P., Fan, L., Ciais, P., Bastos, A., Brandt, M., Chave, J., Saatchi, S., Baccini, A., & Fensholt, R.
  (2020). Tropical forests did not recover from the strong 2015-2016 El Nino event. *Sci Adv*, *6*, eaay4603
- Wigneron, J.P., Ferrazzoli, P., Calvet, J.C., & Bertuzzi, P. (1999). A parametric study on passive and active
  microwave observations over a soybean crop. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *37*, 27282733
- Wigneron, J.P., Jackson, T.J., O'Neill, P., De Lannoy, G., de Rosnay, P., Walker, J.P., Ferrazzoli, P., Mironov,
  V., Bircher, S., Grant, J.P., Kurum, M., Schwank, M., Munoz-Sabater, J., Das, N., Royer, A., Al-Yaari, A., Al
  Bitar, A., Fernandez-Moran, R., Lawrence, H., Mialon, A., Parrens, M., Richaume, P., Delwart, S., & Kerr, Y.
  (2017). Modelling the passive microwave signature from land surfaces: A review of recent results and
  application to the L-band SMOS & SMAP soil moisture retrieval algorithms. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *192*, 238-262
- Wigneron, J.P., Kerr, Y., Waldteufel, P., Saleh, K., Escorihuela, M.J., Richaume, P., Ferrazzoli, P., de Rosnay,
  P., Gurney, R., Calvet, J.C., Grant, J.P., Guglielmetti, M., Hornbuckle, B., Mätzler, C., Pellarin, T., & Schwank,
  M. (2007). L-band Microwave Emission of the Biosphere (L-MEB) Model: Description and calibration against
  experimental data sets over crop fields. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *107*, 639-655
- 1202 experimental data sets over crop neids. *Kemole sensing of Environment*, 107, 039-055
- Wigneron, J.P., Waldteufel, P., Chanzy, A., Calvet, J.C., & Kerr, Y. (2000). Two-Dimensional Microwave
  Interferometer Retrieval Capabilities over Land Surfaces (SMOS Mission). *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 73, 270-282
- Xing, Z., Fan, L., Zhao, L., De Lannoy, G., Frappart, F., Peng, J., Li, X., Zeng, J., Al-Yaari, A., Yang, K., Zhao,
  T., Shi, J., Wang, M., Liu, X., Hu, G., Xiao, Y., Du, E., Li, R., Qiao, Y., Shi, J., Wen, J., Ma, M., & Wigneron,
  J.-P. (2021). A first assessment of satellite and reanalysis estimates of surface and root-zone soil moisture over
  the permafrost region of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 265
- 1210 Zhou, Z., Fan, L., De Lannoy, G.D., Liu, X., Peng, J., Bai, X., Frappart, F., Baghdadi, N., Xing, Z., Li, X., Ma,
- 1211 M., Li, X., Che, T., Geng, L., & Wigneron, J.-P. (2022). Retrieval of High-Resolution Vegetation Optical Depth 1212 from Sentinel-1 Data over a Grassland Region in the Heihe River Basin. *Remote Sensing*, 14
- 1213 Zribi, M., Muddu, S., Bousbih, S., Al Bitar, A., Tomer, S.K., Baghdadi, N., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2019).
- 1214 Analysis of L-Band SAR Data for Soil Moisture Estimations over Agricultural Areas in the Tropics. *Remote* 1215 Sensing, 11
- 1216