



HAL
open science

Does visual experience influence proprioception? A comparison of passive arm matching between blind and sighted participants.

Najib Abi Chebel, Florence Gaunet, Pascale Chavet, Christine Assaiante, Christophe Bourdin, F R Sarlegna

► To cite this version:

Najib Abi Chebel, Florence Gaunet, Pascale Chavet, Christine Assaiante, Christophe Bourdin, et al.. Does visual experience influence proprioception? A comparison of passive arm matching between blind and sighted participants.. Meeting of the Neural Control of Movement society, Apr 2023, Victoria (B.C.), Canada. hal-04245286

HAL Id: hal-04245286

<https://hal.science/hal-04245286>

Submitted on 20 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Does visual experience influence arm proprioception and its lateralization? Evidence from passive matching performance in congenitally-blind and sighted adults.

N. Abi Chebel, F. Gaunet, P. Chavet, C. Assaiante, C. Bourdin, Fabrice Sarlegna

Marseille, France

Neurosci Lett. 2023 doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2023.137335

Introduction

Proprioception is key to perception and action, but how can it be improved?

Here we tested the hypothesis that vision calibrates proprioception. If so, one could predict that lack of vision impairs proprioception.

However, there is evidence of compensatory, cross-modal plasticity in blind individuals:

supranormal auditory perception, supranormal tactile perception ... One could thus predict that lack of vision improves proprioception.

Proprioception is not homogenous across the body:

for instance, proprioception of the non-dominant arm is often more accurate/precise than proprioception of the dominant arm.

Considering that lateralization differs between blind and sighted, we predicted that visual experience would influence the lateralization of arm proprioception.


Methods: two groups of right-hand adults

➤ Sighted individuals (n=8; 3 females); Age 43 ± 17 years

➤ Congenitally blind (n=8; 3 females); Age 43 ± 13 years

Blind subject	Etiology	Independence in orientation & mobility	Age	Sex	Occupation
1	Congenital glaucoma	Low	21	Male	Student
2	Congenital eye malformation	Very low	26	Male	Job seeker
3	Congenital glaucoma	High	44	Male	Association volunteer
4	Congenital glaucoma	Moderate	45	Male	Technology instructor for blind
5	Leber congenital amaurosis	High	49	Female	Engineer
6	Congenital glaucoma	High	50	Female	Association volunteer
7	Congenital glaucoma	Moderate	53	Female	Association volunteer
8	Congenital glaucoma	High	61	Male	Piano tuner and repairer

Methods: Passive, ipsilateral matching task



Blindfolded participants
Slow motion (speed < 5 deg/s)

Non-dominant or dominant arm
Elbow or wrist joint


6 trials/condition, in random order

Data analysis

Mean signed error, mean absolute error
Variable (SD) signed error, absolute error

2 Groups x 2 Arms x 2 Joints

Results on proprioceptive perception



Take-home

- Proprioceptive perception is more precise for the non-dominant arm of healthy individuals, a robust finding likely related to limb/hemispheric specialization.
- Proprioceptive lateralization is influenced by lack of early visual experience.
- Visual feedback specifically improves non-dominant arm proprioception in healthy individuals.