

Condensate functionalization with microtubule motors directs their nucleation in space and allows manipulating RNA localization

Audrey Cochard, Adham Safieddine, Pauline Combe, Marie-noëlle Benassy,

Dominique Weil, Zoher Gueroui

▶ To cite this version:

Audrey Cochard, Adham Safieddine, Pauline Combe, Marie-noëlle Benassy, Dominique Weil, et al.. Condensate functionalization with microtubule motors directs their nucleation in space and allows manipulating RNA localization. EMBO Journal, 2023, 42 (20), pp.e114106. 10.15252/embj.2023114106 . hal-04244535

HAL Id: hal-04244535 https://hal.science/hal-04244535v1

Submitted on 17 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

 $\mathbf{EMB}^{\mathrm{THE}}$

IOURNAI

Condensate functionalization with microtubule motors directs their nucleation in space and allows manipulating RNA localization

SOURCE

DATA

FRANSPARENT

PROCESS

Audrey Cochard^{1,2}, Adham Safieddine², Pauline Combe¹, Marie-Noëlle Benassy², Dominique Weil² & Zoher Gueroui^{1,*}

Abstract

The localization of RNAs in cells is critical for many cellular processes. Whereas motor-driven transport of ribonucleoprotein (RNP) condensates plays a prominent role in RNA localization in cells, their study remains limited by the scarcity of available tools allowing to manipulate condensates in a spatial manner. To fill this gap, we reconstitute in cellula a minimal RNP transport system based on bioengineered condensates, which were functionalized with kinesins and dynein-like motors, allowing for their positioning at either the cell periphery or centrosomes. This targeting mostly occurs through the active transport of the condensate scaffolds, which leads to localized nucleation of phase-separated condensates. Then, programming the condensates to recruit specific mRNAs is able to shift the localization of these mRNAs toward the cell periphery or the centrosomes. Our method opens novel perspectives for examining the role of RNA localization as a driver of cellular functions

Keywords microtubule-based transport; motor condensates; Phase separation; RNA localization; RNP condensates

Subject Categories Cell Adhesion, Polarity & Cytoskeleton; Methods & Resources; RNA Biology

DOI 10.15252/embj.2023114106 | Received 23 March 2023 | Revised 24 August 2023 | Accepted 28 August 2023 | Published online 19 September 2023 The EMBO Journal (2023) 42: e114106

Introduction

The spatial organization of signaling networks and biochemical reactions is of vital importance for many cellular functions. To organize the cell's inner space, biomolecules and subcellular structures can be dispatched by active transport mechanisms. Long-range motorbased transport of cellular compartments along the cytoskeletal networks is essential for rapid reorganization of the cellular space in response to environmental changes (Barlan & Gelfand, 2017). Microtubule-based transport is, for instance, necessary for the endocytic pathway, for long-distance transport of mitochondria, and for lipid droplet contacts with organelles (Granger et al, 2014; Melkov & Abdu, 2018; Kilwein & Welte, 2019). Although less documented than membrane-bound organelles, biomolecular condensates are also prone to interact with cytoskeletal fibers in various ways. As the main microtubule organizing center, the centrosome can be viewed as a condensate facilitating microtubule nucleation by concentrating tubulins (Woodruff et al, 2017). Other examples include RNAcontaining condensates such as stress granules and P-bodies, whose growth by fusion and disassembly by fission involve by microtubule-based transport (Aizer et al, 2008; Chernov et al, 2009; Loschi et al, 2009; Tsai et al, 2009; Nadezhdina et al, 2010; Perez-Pepe et al, 2018). The functional importance of condensatemicrotubule interactions is also exemplified by the transport and localization of mRNAs through RNP granule transport.

Subcellular mRNA localization is a widespread process that involves mRNA transport as isolated molecules or as part of phaseseparated RNP condensates (Ryder & Lerit, 2018; Engel et al, 2020). This localization is vital for many developmental and cellular processes, from the establishment of embryo polarization to local protein synthesis at the synapses (Martin & Ephrussi, 2009; Eliscovich & Singer, 2017). Motor-based positioning of specific mRNAs and subsequent local translation have for instance been described during the establishment of asymmetrical processes such as morphogen gradients in developing embryos (Driever & Nüsslein-Volhard, 1988; Ephrussi et al, 1991; Zimyanin et al, 2008; Jambor et al, 2014), cell migration (Herbert & Costa, 2019), neural development, and synaptic plasticity (Holt et al, 2019; Dalla Costa et al, 2020). Additionally, disruption of axonal RNP granule transport is associated with a broad range of neurodegenerative diseases (Lin et al, 2020; Mofatteh, 2021). Localizing mRNAs and RNP granules, rather than proteins, into subcellular compartments before translation favors spatially restricted protein synthesis and provides "outposts" operating far from the soma (Jansen, 2001; Holt & Schuman, 2013). In addition, localizing mRNAs is likely to be more energy-efficient than moving each protein separately to the right location (Jansen, 2001).

*Corresponding author. Tel: +33 1 44 32 24 09; E-mail: zoher.gueroui@ens.fr

¹ Department of Chemistry, École Normale Supérieure, PSL University, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Paris, France

² Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine (IBPS), Laboratoire de Biologie du Développement, Paris, France

Due to the critical importance of RNA localization to cell fate determination, numerous methods have recently been developed to describe how RNAs find their way to distinct subcellular compartments and how this impacts RNA functions and processing. For example, the direct visualization of RNA molecules in living cells and organisms has been instrumental to elaborate our current understanding of RNA localization mechanisms (Weil et al, 2010; Pichon et al, 2018). Complementary to imaging approaches, transcriptomic RNA sequencing-based methods also describe a variety of RNAs enriched in specific subcellular areas (Lécuyer et al, 2007; Taliaferro et al, 2014; Fazal et al, 2019). Motor proteins from all three families, that is, kinesin, dynein, and myosin, have been identified as the drivers of short- and long-range mRNA transport along the cytoskeleton (Kanai et al, 2004; Gagnon & Mowry, 2011). Though further studies are necessary to decipher the building blocks required to recruit, direct, and release specific mRNAs to a particular destination, one recurrent scenario involves RNA binding proteins (RBP) and motor adaptors, linking mRNAs and motor proteins (Buxbaum, 2015; Baumann et al, 2020; Engel et al, 2020; Das et al, 2021; Rodrigues et al, 2021).

Besides its role in guiding long-range transport, the cytoskeleton also contributes to the mechanical integrity of cells. Due to its inherent heterogeneity and dynamic nature, determining how such a meshwork impacts RNP condensation remains difficult to quantify. Yet, some biophysical implications of the cytoskeleton meshwork on phase separation mechanisms have recently started to be investigated, both theoretically and experimentally. For example, the cytoskeleton modeled as an elastic meshwork and acting at length scales comparable to condensate sizes has been seen to modify nucleation and coarsening of phase separation systems (Rosowski et al, 2020; Vidal-Henriquez & Zwicker, 2021; Martin et al, 2022). In the very large Xenopus oocytes, the actin meshwork provides steric hindrance, limiting nucleolar fusion as well as counterbalancing sedimentation by gravity (Feric et al, 2013). In epithelial cells, it has been shown that weak and nonspecific interactions between cytoskeleton elements and the condensate surface may account for mutual influences (Böddeker et al, 2022). One missing element in this description is the effect of molecular motors on phase-separated condensates, to explore how transport could shape condensate formation and localization. To fill this gap and examine how motor proteins could impact RNP phase separation, we adopted an approach allowing the reconstitution in cells of motor-functionalized condensates.

Novel tools have been developed allowing the formation of artificial condensates with programmable properties in cells. Indeed, such technologies bring novel perspectives both for addressing new biological questions and for further biotechnological improvements (Shin *et al*, 2017; Bracha *et al*, 2018; Nakamura *et al*, 2018; Garcia-Jove Navarro *et al*, 2019; Reinkemeier *et al*, 2019; Zhao *et al*, 2019; Dzuricky *et al*, 2020; Heidenreich *et al*, 2020; Garabedian *et al*, 2021; preprint: Lasker *et al*, 2021; Yoshikawa *et al*, 2021; Cochard *et al*, 2022). In this context, we engineered artificial condensates made of protein scaffolds that are prone to phase separate and functionalized them to interact with microtubule-bound motor proteins. Our first aim was to examine how motor proteins would affect condensate formation and localization. A second goal was to build minimal RNP condensates recruiting a unique RNA, making it possible to explore condensatemediated RNA delocalization.

Condensates are thought to form through liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) induced by weakly interacting multivalent biomolecules. Based on this observation, our system relies on a selfinteracting multivalent protein driving the formation of the condensates and fused to microtubule-interacting domains (from either a motor protein or a motor adaptor). We previously developed the ArtiGranule system, which relies on multivalent cores of ferritin monomers cross-linked by the self-interacting domain F36M-FKBP (Fm) (Garcia-Jove Navarro et al, 2019; Cochard et al, 2022). Here, we replaced the ferritin core with a multimerization domain consisting of five consecutive Fm repeats (5Fm) (Rollins et al, 2000). We investigated two plus-end motors (KIF1A and KIF5B), one minus-end motor (KIFC1), and one adaptor of the dynein motor protein (BICD2). We first showed that the resulting scaffold proteins underwent LLPS in cells and that condensates functionalized with plus-end kinesins (thereafter called plus-end motor-condensates) were robustly positioned at the edge of cells. In contrast, condensates functionalized with the minus-end kinesin or the dynein adaptor (thereafter called minus-end motor-condensates) eventually formed a unique body at the centrosome. Interestingly, the localization of condensates was determined at the nucleation step. Our observations support a two-step process: first, motors moved quickly either toward the cell periphery or the centrosome, depending on the motor; then this led to the local accumulation of the multivalent protein on microtubules and eventually to the formation of asymmetrically positioned large condensates through phase separation. In the case of BICD2, we additionally observed some condensate nucleation throughout the cytosol, followed by their directed transport to the vicinity of the centrosome and their coalescence.

In addition to our assay based on constitutive interactions between condensates and microtubules, we also developed a system where condensate interactions with motors or dynein adaptors could be chemically triggered using a chemically inducible dimerization strategy (Fegan *et al*, 2010). Here, we observed that, upon induction of their interaction with the cytoskeleton, preformed condensates relocalized at the cell periphery or at the centrosomes, depending on the directionality of the motors.

Finally, we engineered motor condensates programmed to recruit either exogenous or endogenous mRNAs using the MS2-MCP (MS2 Coat Protein) system. We found that bi-functionalized condensates with both MCP and motor proteins (motor/MCP condensates) were asymmetrically positioned in cells and recruited heterologous MS2containing mRNAs. We then studied the ASPM mRNA, which normally localizes at the centrosome during mitosis (Sepulveda *et al*, 2018; Safieddine *et al*, 2021), and showed that our motor/MCP condensates successfully perturb the spatial distribution of endogenous MS2-tagged ASPM mRNA.

Results

Plus-end motor condensates localize at the periphery of cells

To build model condensates functionalized with plus-end kinesin motors in living cells, we generated a chimeric construct composed of two functional parts: a multivalent protein domain triggering LLPS in cells, fused to a kinesin motor domain to ensure trafficking along microtubule tracks. As a multivalent protein domain, we designed the 5Fm module, composed of five repetitions of the dimerizable mutant F36M of the FKBP protein (Fm) (Fig 1A) (Rollins et al, 2000). Expression of emGFP-5Fm and mCh-5Fm in HeLa cells for 24 h led to the formation of hybrid micrometric condensates composed of both emGFP and mCherry fusion proteins and randomly localized throughout the cytosolic space (Fig 1B, left panel). For the kinesin motor domain, we first considered a truncation of the human kinesin-3 KIF1A (aa 1-383), which ensures the processivity of the motor (Fig 1A) (Hammond et al, 2009; Nijenhuis et al, 2020). KIF1A(1-383) was fused to emGFP-5Fm (giving rise to the KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm plasmid), and the localization of the fusion protein was compared to the control LLPS scaffold emGFP-5Fm lacking any motor. Interestingly, when both the motor-LLPS scaffold (KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm) and the LLPS scaffold (mCh-5Fm) were coexpressed in HeLa cells during 24 h, chimeric condensates were mostly found localized in the vicinity of the cell periphery, next to the membrane. In these conditions, almost all cells displayed highly asymmetrical localization patterns, often consisting of 3-5 micrometric condensates per cell (Fig 1B, middle panel). To quantify the degree of asymmetry among cells, we measured the fraction of mCherry fluorescence, that is, of the nonmotor part of the scaffold, in the 25% peripheral area of the cells (I25) (Methods) (Nijenhuis et al, 2020). Using the motor-less scaffold, as expected, condensates did not display any asymmetrical positioning and gave a I₂₅ value of $20\% \pm 9\%$ (mean \pm SD, Fig 1C). In contrast, for the chimeric condensates containing the KIF1A(1-383) motor, the I25 value was higher $(34 \pm 9\%)$, in accordance with the visualization of asymmetric patterns. Therefore, KIF1A condensates are efficiently localized at the cell periphery.

In order to extend our assay, we next examined cells expressing a second plus-end directed motor domain fused to LLPS scaffolds, KIF5B(1–555)-emGFP-5Fm (Fig 1A). The KIF5B(1–555) truncated proteins contain the motor and neck domains and part of the coiledcoil domain of mouse KIF5B (Guardia *et al*, 2019). As with KIF1A-LLPS scaffolds, epifluorescence imaging of HeLa cells 24 h after cotransfection of KIF5B-LLPS scaffolds (KIF5B-emGFP-5Fm) and LLPS scaffolds (mCh-5Fm) showed an asymmetrical localization of condensates at the periphery of cells (Fig 1B, right panel). In accordance with these observations, the I₂₅ value was 32% \pm 8% (mean \pm SD, Fig 1C). Altogether, our data showed that plus-end kinesin condensates are robustly positioned at the edge of cells.

To verify that the motor domain needs to be part of the LLPS scaffold for the condensate to be relocated, we examined the localization of the nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffold (mCh-5Fm) in the presence of motor domains lacking the 5Fm multivalent domain (KIF1A-emGFP or KIF5B-emGFP). In these conditions, mCherry condensates were randomly dispersed throughout the cytosol (Fig EV1A, red), whereas the motor domains accumulated in some regions of the cell periphery as expected (Fig EV1A, green). As a second control, we assessed the importance of multivalent LLPS scaffold interactions in the localization of plus-end motor condensates. By design, condensates should be disrupted by FK506. This small cell-permeable molecule is known to bind to the Fm protein with a micromolar affinity and can be used as a competitive ligand to disrupt Fm-Fm dimerization and impair the capability of 5Fm to phase separate (Rollins et al, 2000). HeLa cells were transfected with KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm and mCh-5Fm, and FK506 was added at the micromolar range either directly after transfection (to probe condensate formation) or 24 h after transfection (to probe condensate dissociation). In both situations, after 26 h of transfection, we found an absence of condensates (Fig EV1B, red) and a motor domain signal that either formed a gradient of concentration toward some regions of the cell periphery or was homogeneously diffuse in the cytoplasm (Fig EV1B, green). Time-lapse microscopy following the addition of FK506 24 h after transfection showed a very fast dissolution of the condensates, with the LLPS scaffold (mCherry signal) diffusing in the whole cell in a few seconds and the motor-LLPS scaffold (emGFP signal) losing its condensed state but remaining at the cell periphery (Fig EV1C).

Our method thus allows for the controlled inhibition and disassembly of plus-end motor condensates upon drug addition.

Dynamics of formation and localization of plus-end motor condensates

While condensate positioning at the cell periphery is consistent with active transport mediated by plus-end motors along microtubules, the localization kinetics remain to be determined. One possible chronology is, first, condensate nucleation throughout the cytosol, followed by condensate transport along microtubules. Alternatively, transport of LLPS scaffolds powered by motors could first induce their accumulation at peripherical sites, which would then trigger local condensate nucleation. To distinguish between the two scenarios, we monitored the early times of condensate formation using time-lapse microscopy (Movie EV1). 4 h after cotransfection of KIF1A-LLPS and LLPS scaffolds (KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm and mCh-5Fm), we first noticed the strong accumulation of the motor scaffolds at the tips of the cells, illustrating the capacity of kinesins to localize within short time scales (Fig 1D). Very often, the fast peripheral nucleation of KIF1A condensates occurred as soon as fluorescent KIF1A-LLPS scaffolds became detectable in the cytosol (Fig 1E). These condensates tended to grow to eventually form large spherical bodies that could reach a few micrometers in diameter. In addition, nearby condensates tended to coalesce (Fig 1F).

Interestingly, we found that KIF1A-LLPS scaffolds condensed systematically ahead of LLPS scaffolds, with LLPS scaffolds then accumulating in preformed KIF1A condensates (Fig 1D). This contrasts with the intrinsic ability of LLPS scaffolds to form randomly localized condensates, as seen in cells expressing KIF1A-emGFP lacking the LLPS domain (Fig EV1A). To gain a better understanding of the interplay between functionalized and nonfunctionalized LLPS proteins, we monitored the accumulation of both proteins in the condensate as a function of time. The respective intensities of motor (KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm) and non-functionalized proteins (mCherry-5Fm) during condensate formation indicated that the nonfunctionalized ones were primarily enriched in a client-like manner, that is, partitioning without contributing to the formation (Fig EV1D). Altogether, these observations indicate that KIF1A condensates recruit the nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffolds, thus preventing their independent phase separation. In addition to peripheral condensate nucleation, we also observed rare events of long-ranged (2 to 5 µm/min) condensate transports toward the periphery (Fig EV1E).

The same dynamic characteristics were found when observing the formation of KIF5B condensates (KIF5B-emGFP-5Fm and



Figure 1. Functionalization of artificial condensates with plus-end motors drives their localization at the cell periphery.

- A Schematic of the expected peripheral localization of condensates following transfection of mCh-5Fm and KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm or KIF5B-emGFP-5Fm (Fm = F36M-FKBP) in HeLa cells.
- B Representative epifluorescence imaging of three cells expressing nonfunctionalized condensates (left panel) and KIF1A of KIF5B condensates (middle and right panels, respectively). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Grayscale inserts correspond to the red (mCh) and green (emGFP) channels of the regions delineated by dashed squares. Scale bar, 10 µm.
- C Distribution of the fraction of mCherry fluorescence in the peripheral 25% of the cell (I_{25}) for cells displaying nonfunctionalized condensates (left) and KIF1A or KIF5B condensates (middle and right, respectively), with each dot representing one cell (N = 87, 67, and 53, respectively, each from three independent experiments). Differences between no motor and KIF1A or KIF5B were statistically significant using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test (*****P*-values < 10⁻¹¹).
- D Time-lapse confocal imaging of the formation of KIF1A condensates in a cell (delineated by a dashed line), starting 4 h after transfection. The dashed squares indicate the region enlarged in the time-lapse images below (separate red and green channels). Scale bar, 20 µm.
- E Epifluorescence imaging of the early time points of KIF1A-LLPS scaffold expression. Scale bar, 10 μ m.
- F Confocal imaging of coalescence events of KIF1A condensates. Scale bar, 2 μm.
- G Epifluorescence imaging of the early time points of KIF5B-LLPS scaffold expression. Scale bar, 10 µm.
- H Same as (D) for KIF5B condensates. Scale bar, 20 μm.
- I $\,$ Confocal imaging of coalescence events of KIF5B condensates. Scale bar, 2 $\,\mu\text{m}.$

Source data are available online for this figure.

mCherry-5Fm) (Movie EV2). Early observations of KIF5B-LLPS scaffolds showed an immediate asymmetrical pattern, with a sharp gradient of fluorescence forming at the membrane and shortly preceding nucleation events (Fig 1G). The condensation of KIF5B-LLPS scaffolds at the cell periphery also occurred ahead, followed by the recruitment of LLPS scaffolds (Fig 1H). Condensates in close proximity tended to coalesce (Fig 1I). As for KIF1A condensates, rare directed transport events were observed (Fig EV1F and G).

Taken together, our observations showed that condensate positioning at the cell periphery occurred predominantly by nucleating phase separation directly at the final sites rather than by transporting already formed condensates to their destination.

Minus-end motor condensates localize at the centrosomes

We next examined the positioning of condensates using minus-end motors conjugated to LLPS scaffolds. We first used the human KIFC1(125-673) truncation that includes the coiled coil and motor domains required for motor processing (Guardia et al, 2019). KIFC1 (125-673) was fused to emGFP-5Fm, and the resulting emGFP-5Fm-KIFC1 scaffold was cotransfected along with the LLPS scaffold (mCh-5Fm) in HeLa cells (Fig 2A). Strikingly, after 24 h of expression, most cells displayed a single condensate in the cytosol near the nucleus (mean number of condensates per cell = 1.4 ± 0.9 , Fig 2B, middle panel, and Fig 2C). This contrasted with control cells transfected only with LLPS scaffolds (emGFP-5Fm and mCh-5Fm), which displayed on average 4 condensates per cell (mean \pm SD = 4.0 ± 3.0 , Fig 2B, left panel, and Fig 2C). As an alternative to the minus-end kinesin motor, we also assessed the mouse dynein adaptor BICD2 (aa 15-595) (Guardia et al, 2019). We cotransfected BICD2-emGFP-5Fm along with the LLPS scaffold (mCh-5Fm) in HeLa cells (Fig 2A). As observed with KIFC1, most cells displayed after 24 h a single condensate localized near the nucleus (mean number per cell = 1.2 ± 0.6 , Fig 2B, right panel, and Fig 2C). Interestingly, for both KIFC1 and BICD2, the single condensates docked at the centrosomes, as demonstrated by immunostaining of pericentrin (Fig 2D). Altogether, minus-end motor functionalization of the LLPS scaffold robustly led to the formation of a single condensate at the centrosome.

As expected, the control coexpression of motor constructs lacking the LLPS multivalent domain (emGFP-KIFC1 or BICD2-

emGFP) and a nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffold (mCh-5Fm) led to cells displaying mCherry condensates randomly distributed through the cytosol (Fig EV2A). Of note, unlike the efficient peripheric localization of KIF1A-emGFP and KIF5B-emGFP, we observed little centrosomal accumulation of emGFP-KIFC1 or BICD2-emGFP. As for the plus-end kinesin scaffolds, adding the Fm competitor ligand FK506 immediately or 24 h after transfection suppressed condensates (Fig EV2B). These controls demonstrated the requirement for motor-LLPS scaffolds to localize condensates, as well as the need for a multivalent scaffold to trigger LLPS.

Dynamics of formation and localization of minus-end motor condensates

To examine the pathway leading to the emergence of single condensates at the centrosomes, we monitored the early steps of their formation, starting 4 h after transfection of emGFP-5Fm-KIFC1 or BICD2-emGFP-5Fm along with mCh-5Fm (Movies EV3 and EV4). In both cases, we found that condensates primarily nucleated in the vicinity of the nucleus (Fig 2E and F). This led to the emergence of a single condensate that kept on growing, including by coalescence of smaller condensates appearing nearby. As observed with the plusend motors, the nonfunctionalized scaffold accumulated exclusively at the site of motor condensates (Fig 2E and F). In the case of BICD2 condensates, we also observed long-range transport of condensates nucleated far from the nucleus, coalescing into one large condensate during transport (Figs 2F and EV2C).

Monitoring the accumulation of functionalized (BICD2-emGFP-5Fm) and nonfunctionalized (mCherry-5Fm) proteins revealed a client-like enrichment of the second one, as for plus-end motor condensates (Fig EV2D).

Taken together, our observations showed that minus-end motor condensates mainly nucleate at the vicinity of the nucleus, and then recruit nonfunctionalized scaffolds.

Characterization of the timing of nonfunctionalized scaffolds in motor condensates

One interesting feature shared by the four motor condensates is their ability to capture the nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffolds. Yet, the intracellular space being very heterogenous in term of physical properties, such as crowding and geometry, condensates' subcellular location may impact some of their characteristics. To examine this aspect, we studied more closely the enrichment of nonfunctionalized scaffolds into condensates depending on their localization in cells. We quantified the delay between the initial nucleation of motor condensates and the first discernible enrichment of the nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffold. We found that colocalization occurred after 1–2 h using the plus-end motors (mean 83 min with



Figure 2.

Figure 2. Functionalization of artificial condensates with a minus-end motor or a dynein adaptor drives their localization at the centrosome.

- A Schematic of the expected centrosomal localization of condensates following transfection of mCh-5Fm and emGFP-5Fm-KIFC1 or BICD2-emGFP-5Fm (Fm = F36M-FKBP) in HeLa cells.
- B Representative epifluorescence imaging of three cells expressing nonfunctionalized condensates (left panel) and KIFC1 or BICD2 condensates (middle and right panels, respectively). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Grayscale inserts correspond to the red (mCh) and green (emGFP) channels of the region delineated by dashed squares. Scale bar, 10 µm.
- C Percentage of cells displaying 1, 2, 3, and 4 or more than 5 condensates for cells expressing nonfunctionalized condensates (left, N = 89 cells from three independent experiments) and KIFC1 or BICD2 condensates (middle, N = 85, and right, N = 73, respectively, each from three independent experiments). Differences between no motor and KIFC1 or BICD2 were statistically significant using a Pearson's chi-squared test (*****P*-values < 10⁻²⁵).
- D Epifluorescence imaging of cells displaying a KIFC1 or BiCD2 functionalized condensate (red, left, and right, respectively) after immunostaining of pericentrin (green) as a centrosome marker. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 10 μ m.
- E Time-lapse confocal imaging of the formation of a KIFC1 condensate in a cell, starting 4 h after transfection. The dashed squares indicate the region enlarged on the right. Scale bar, 10 μ m.
- F Same as (E) for a BICD2 condensate. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Source data are available online for this figure.

a coefficient of variation CV of 40% and 74 min with a CV of 39% for KIF1A and KIF5B, respectively, Fig 3A and B), contrasting with less than 20 min using the minus-end motors (mean 8 min with a CV of 163% and 18 min with a CV of 89% for KIFC1 and BICD2, respectively, Fig 3A and C). Therefore, the delay of LLPS scaffold enrichment into preformed motor condensates was much longer for plus-end than minus-end motors. This difference in temporality may result from a variation in the pool of available LLPS. Indeed, the analysis of the mCherry-5fm signal throughout the cytoplasm revealed a larger pool of scaffolds in proximity to the nucleus compared to the cell periphery, as expected from the cell geometry (Figs EV3 and 3D).

Chemical induction of condensate transport and localization

Several endogenous condensates were found to interact and undergo transport along the cytoskeleton tracks (Rajgor & Shanahan, 2014). Our motor-LLPS scaffolds could, by design, constitutively interact with microtubule fibers as soon as they are translated. We thus sought to examine the consequences of a sudden induction of the interaction between condensates randomly distributed through the cytosol and molecular motors. To this end, we devised an assay based on the rapamycin-dependent heterodimerization of FRB and FKBP, a system that has been successfully used in similar strategies, notably for inducing the repositioning of organelles in cells (Fig 4A) (Kapitein et al, 2010; Passmore et al, 2021). On one side, we fused plus-end and minus-end motors to mCh-FRB (giving rise to KIF1A-mCh-FRB and BICD2-mCh-FRB, respectively) (Fig 4A). On the other side, we fused our LLPS scaffold emGFP-5Fm to FKBP (FKBP-emGFP-5Fm) (Fig 4A). We first analyzed the behavior of these proteins in the absence of rapamycin. After 24 h coexpression of FKBP-emGFP-5Fm and either KIF1A-mCh-FRB or BICD2-mCh-FRB, cells displayed several FKBP condensates, randomly dispersed in the cytosol and coexisting without interactions with FRB-fused motors (Fig 4B). In some cells, KIF1A-mCh-FRB accumulated at the cell periphery, while no particular enrichment of BICD2-mCh-FRB could be observed close to the nucleus (Fig 4B).

We then added rapamycin (24 h after transfection) to induce interaction between the FKBP-condensates and KIF1A-mCh-FRB, and monitored the consequences using time-lapse microscopy. Within a couple of minutes, we could observe some events of longrange condensate transport towards the cell periphery. On Fig 4C, we report an example of converging motions of condensates, which coalesced together at the cell extremity within a few minutes (Fig 4D). The other cells, however, did not display obvious transport of condensates, which may be explained if initially the distribution of KIF1A motors was highly polarized toward the plasma membrane, making them unavailable for interaction with disperse condensates.

With BICD2-mCh-FRB, upon addition of rapamycin, we first observed the recruitment of the FRB-fused motor on the surface of the FKBP-emGFP-5Fm condensates, with a distinct mCherry corona forming in less than 1 min (Fig 4E). Then, BICD2-mCh-FRB diffused towards the inner part of the FKBP condensates, driven by an internal mixing of the components, which occurred within 10–30 min (depending on the condensate size) (Fig 4E and F). Subsequently, two types of directed motions toward the cell center were observed: some condensates were transported in a few minutes with no morphological change (Fig 4G), while others underwent a striking deformation consistent with the rheological properties of a cytoplasm acting as a stiff and porous meshwork (Fig 4H) (Moeendarbary *et al*, 2013).

In conclusion, this assay allowed to chemically induce the rapid transport of condensates to either the cell periphery or the cell center.

Localizing exogenous RNAs through the spatial positioning of condensates

The coassembly of RNAs and RBPs into membrane-less organelles could potentially play a role in RNA trafficking to specific subcompartments or distal positions. Using a biomimetic approach, we thus sought to localize mRNAs by engineering motor condensates programmed to recruit a specific mRNA. Our strategy consisted of fusing MCP to our LLPS scaffold to enable the recruitment of RNAs with MS2 stem loops (Fig 5A). MCP scaffolds (MCP-5Fm) were then cotransfected with motor-LLPS scaffolds (KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm or BICD2-emGFP-5Fm), and with a plasmid expressing an RNA containing four MS2 repeats (RNA-MS2) (Fig 5A).

We found that after 24 h of expression, bi-functionalized motor/ MCP condensates were efficiently positioned at the cell periphery or at the centrosome, depending on the motor's directionality. Using





- A Top: Delays (with means \pm SD) between nucleation of the motor-LLPS scaffold and first detectable nonfunctionalized scaffold (mCherry signal) enrichment in condensates for plus-end motors KIF1A (N = 12 cells) and KIF5B (N = 5) minus-end motor KIFC1 (N = 12) and dynein adaptor BICD2 (N = 6). Measurements were carried out on at least three independent experiments for each condition. Differences between plus-end motors and minus-end motor/motor adaptor were statistically significant using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test (** $P < 10^{-2}$; *** $P < 10^{-4}$). Bottom: Schematic of the subcellular location of condensates at the centrosome and at the cell periphery.
- B Time lapse epifluorescence images of the delayed enrichment of mCh-5Fm in KIF1A condensates in a representative cell. The green and red arrows correspond to the nucleation of the condensate and the first visible enrichment of mCh-5Fm, respectively. Scale bar, 10 μm.
- C $\,$ Same as (B) for a KIFC1 condensate. Scale bar, 10 $\,\mu\text{m}.$

Source data are available online for this figure.

single-molecule FISH (smFISH), we demonstrated the recruitment of RNA-MS2 molecules in the motor condensates (each Cy3 dot corresponds to an individual RNA molecule) (Fig 5B). As specificity controls, the endogenous β -actin mRNA lacking MS2 stem loops was not recruited to MCP condensates (Fig 5C), and the RNA-MS2 was not recruited to condensates lacking MCP (Fig 5D). Therefore, condensates formed using a combination of motor- and MCP-LLPS scaffolds efficiently and specifically recruit MS2-containing RNAs.

Overall, these results demonstrate the specific localization of RNA via artificial condensates.

Delocalizing endogenously tagged ASPM mRNA using motor condensates

To highlight a second application of our condensates, we aimed to use them as a tool to alter the subcellular localization of an endogenous RNA. To this end, we used a HeLa cell line in which 24 MS2 repeats were inserted in the 3'UTR of the Abnormal Spindle-like Microcephaly associated (*ASPM*) gene using CRISPR-Cas9 (HeLa/ASPM-MS2). The resulting clone thus expresses the ASPM-MS2 mRNA in a stable manner, under the control of its endogenous promoter, which can be visualized by smFISH using a probe directed against the MS2 sequence



Figure 4.

Figure 4. Chemically induced binding of nonfunctionalized condensates to molecular motors led to their repositioning in cells.

- A Schematic of the expected transport of FKBP condensates upon induction of their interaction with plus-end (KIF1A) or minus-end motors (dynein through BICD2) using Rapamycin (RAP) in HeLa cells.
- B Representative epifluorescence imaging of cells expressing the FKBP-emGFP-SFm LLPS scaffold and KIF1A-mCh-FRB (left) or BICD2-mCh-FRB (right) in the absence of rapamycin. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Grayscale inserts correspond to the green (emGFP) and red (mCh) channels of the region delineated by dashed squares. Scale bar, 10 μm.
- C Time-lapse epifluorescence imaging of FKBP-emGFP-5Fm condensates undergoing transport toward the cell periphery and coalescence after addition of rapamycin at time 0. Scale bar, 10 μm.
- D For the cell shown in (C), kymograph analysis along the 200 px-wide strip delineated by the arrows (~ 21.7 µm), showing the coalescence of condensates over time. Scale bar, 2 µm.
- E Epifluorescence imaging of the recruitment of BICD2-mCh-FRB (top) around a FKBP condensate (bottom), followed by progressive mixing of the two components. The black arrow corresponds to where the profile plots in (F) were plotted. Scale bar, 2 µm.
- F Evolution of the mCherry intensity along the black arrow in E over time.
- G Epifluorescence imaging of the transport of two FKBP condensates in a cell expressing BICD2-mCh-FRB after addition of rapamycin. Scale bar, 5 μm.
- H Epifluorescence imaging of the recruitment and incorporation of BICD2-mCh-FRB (top) in a FKBP condensate (bottom) after addition of rapamycin, followed by transport toward the nuclear envelope with a liquid-like behavior. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Source data are available online for this figure.

(Safieddine *et al*, 2021). Like untagged ASPM mRNA, ASPM-MS2 mRNA was weakly expressed during interphase and its expression increased during mitosis, with the mRNA localizing to centrosomes, particularly from early mitotic stages till metaphase (Fig 6A) (Chouaib *et al*, 2020; Safieddine *et al*, 2021). This created a striking local concentration of ASPM-MS2 mRNA on centrosomes making it an ideal candidate for delocalization attempts.

To test this delocalization, we transiently transfected HeLa/ ASPM-MS2 cells with our MCP and KIF1A scaffolds (MCP-5Fm with KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm). Remarkably, the KIF1A/MCP condensates successfully delocalized ASPM-MS2 mRNAs away from centrosomes toward the cell membrane across mitosis (Fig 6B). As a negative control, we expressed condensates without MCP (5Fm only) functionalized with the KIF1A motor (KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm). In this condition, condensates localized at the cell periphery without recruiting ASPM-MS2 mRNA (Fig 6C and D), thus confirming the specificity of the system. Moreover, we observed three patterns of KIF1A condensates in mitotic cells: local clustering of condensates at the membrane (i); or condensates distributed under the cell membrane producing either a half (ii) or a full (iii) crown pattern (Fig EV4). Interestingly, the ASPM-MS2 mRNA tended to distribute like the condensates, demonstrating the robustness of this tool (Fig EV4).

Conversely, we tested the possibility of forcing centrosomal localization of ASPM-MS2 mRNA in interphasic cells. First, as expected, condensates without motor (formed using emGFP-5Fm) were randomly localized in the cytoplasm and were able to recruit ASPM-MS2 mRNAs only in the presence of MCP-5Fm (Fig EV5A and B). In contrast, the BICD2 scaffold (BICD2-emGFP-5Fm) led to a single condensate at the centrosome, which was able to artificially localize some ASPM-MS2 mRNAs in the vicinity of centrosomes during interphase (Fig EV5C and D), at a time where the mRNA should not localize there.

Taken together, motor condensates are a versatile tool for altering the subcellular localization of RNA in living cells.

Discussion

How is the spatial positioning of biomolecular condensates orchestrated in cells? Whereas many mechanisms of spatial regulation have been described for membrane-bound organelles and other cargos, much less is known for condensates. Yet, despite the diversity of cytoplasmic RNP condensates, including RNA transport granules, stress granules, and P-bodies, one common feature relies on their interactions with microtubule-based cytoskeleton. In this study, we engineered artificial condensates functionalized with kinesin motor and dynein adaptor domains in order to examine their interplay with microtubules and its consequences on condensate formation and localization. We found that motor condensates were robustly positioned at the periphery of cells or at the vicinity of the centrosomes, as predicted from the direction of processivity of the motors. Next, we asked whether one could reconstitute a minimal RNP transport system to localize RNAs in cells. By incorporating MCP proteins into our motor condensates, we succeeded in recruiting MS2-tagged RNAs in asymmetrically positioned condensates.

In a first setting, LLPS scaffolds were directly fused to plus-end motors (KIF1A or KIF5B) or to minus-end motor/motor adaptor (KIFC1 or BICD2) and constitutively expressed in cells. Using this approach, we could investigate the formation of condensates made of proteins prone to phase separate while interacting with microtubule fibers.

Indeed, at an early stage, motor-LLPS scaffolds underwent phase separation on microtubule fibers due to motor accumulation at the cell periphery or near the centrosome. One hypothesis is that the accumulation of motor-LLPS scaffolds on microtubules increases their local concentration, which may account for their local condensation on the microtubule surface lattice. The cooperative binding of the motor-LLPS scaffolds, could therefore favor prewetting on microtubules and phase separation below the expected saturation concentration (Wiegand & Hyman, 2020). This process has recently been proposed for Tau and TPX2, two microtubule-associated proteins involved in the stabilization and nucleation of microtubule fibers (Wiegand & Hyman, 2020), or in a different context for the condensation of the transcription factor Klf4 on DNA molecules (Morin *et al*, 2022).

To infer how the nucleation of condensates was dependent on the capacity of the scaffolds to interact with microtubules, we monitored both motor-functionalized and nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffolds. Interestingly, we found that the condensation of the two LLPS scaffolds was sequential, with motor-LLPS scaffolds condensing systematically ahead of nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffolds. Nonfunctionalized



Figure 5. Motor/MCP condensates recruit RNA-MS2 and are efficiently positioned at the cell periphery or at the centrosome.

- A Schematic of the formation of KIF1A/MCP condensates able to recruit the heterologous RNA-MS2.
- B Representative epifluorescence imaging of cells containing KIF1A/MCP or BICD2/MCP condensates (green, left, and right panels, respectively) following RNA-MS2 analysis by smiFISH (Cy3 probe, red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). The red channel setup allows for the visualization of dispersed RNA molecules while saturating the signal in the condensate. Grayscale inserts (1) correspond to the nonsaturated green (emGFP) and red (Cy3) channels of the regions delineated by dashed squares. Insert 2 shows isolated RNA-MS2 molecules. Scale bar, 10 µm.
- C Epifluorescence imaging of cells containing MCP condensates (green) following β-actin mRNA analysis by smiFISH (Cy3 probe, red). Scale bar, 10 μm.
- D Epifluorescence imaging of cells containing condensates lacking MCP (green) following RNA-MS2 analysis by smiFISH (Cy3 probe, red). Scale bar, 10 μ m.

Source data are available online for this figure.

scaffolds predominately accumulate at the sites of preformed motor condensates (Figs 1D and F, and 2E and F).

Classical nucleation theory predicts that phase-separated condensates can either form with no specific localization or, in contrast, at specific sites acting as seeds, overcoming the kinetic barrier of nucleation. Recent studies showed how specific biomolecules can act as seeds and govern condensate nucleation at specific sites, such as DNA break sites (Altmeyer *et al*, 2015), the membrane (Banjade & Rosen, 2014; Ma & Mayr, 2018; Snead *et al*, 2022), or the apical side of the nucleus for the nucleolus (Falahati *et al*, 2016). Our study provides an alternative scheme for the spatial positioning of nucleation. Here, we highlighted the positioning of condensates at polarity sites powered by microtubule-based motor proteins. In our system, condensate positioning occurred predominantly by nucleating phase separation at the destination sites of transported molecules rather than by transporting already formed condensates to their destination. This suggests a two-step mechanism (Fig 7A): (i) active transport of the condensate scaffolds leading to their localization at polarity sites (microtubule extremities), (ii) nucleation of motor condensates through a mechanism possibly mediated by



Figure 6.

Figure 6. KIF1A condensates can efficiently delocalize ASPM-MS2 RNA toward the cell membrane during mitosis.

- A Epifluorescence imaging of HeLa/ASPM-MS2 cells at different stages of mitosis after immunostaining of FOP (green) as a centrosome marker. The RNA was revealed by smFISH using a MS2 probe (red). DNA was stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 10 μm. White arrows point to centrosomal mRNA accumulation.
- B Epifluorescence imaging of HeLa/ASPM-MS2 containing KIF1A/MCP condensates at different stages of mitosis. Middle and bottom panels show the ASPM-MS2 mRNA revealed by smFISH (red channel) and the GFP condensates (green channel), respectively. Upper panels show the merged channels with DAPI-stained DNA in blue. Scale bar, 10 μm. Squares depicting areas near the cell membrane where granules and RNA co-localize are enlarged below.
- C Epifluorescence imaging of prometaphase cells untransfected or expressing KIF1A condensates, with or without MCP. Left panels show the merged channels with DAPI-stained DNA in blue. Middle and right panels show the ASPM-MS2 mRNA revealed by smFISH (red channel) and the GFP condensates (green channel), respectively. Scale bar, 10 μ m. Arrows point to centrosomal mRNA accumulation. Scale bar, 10 μ m. The boxed area where the RNA and granules co-localize at the cell membrane, is enlarged below. Scale bar, 1 μ m.
- D For the two conditions shown in (C), bar graph representing the % of mitotic cells with ASPM-MS2 mRNA localized at the cell periphery, dispersed in the cytoplasm, or localized on centrosome (N = 31 and 15 cells, as indicated, each from two independent experiments).

Source data are available online for this figure.

prewetting or cooperative binding. The pathway to such condensate localization is similar for the four motor domains studied. However, and in contrast to kinesin condensates, we also observed some events of nucleation of BICD2 condensates dispersed throughout the cytosol, which were then transported to the cell centrosome to eventually coalesce into a large condensate (Figs 2F and EV2C). This formation of BICD2 condensates in the cytosol prior to their transportation may be due to the requirement to assemble a high number of dyneins on the condensate surface to generate large collective forces and efficient transport (Rai et al, 2013). Subsequently, recruitment of nonfunctionalized scaffolds in the preformed condensates could be observed. As a perspective, it would be highly relevant to investigate how the condensation of motor proteins, through multivalent interactions, may contribute to physiological or pathological mechanisms. For instance, a recent study demonstrated that the ALS-linked KIF5A ΔExon27 mutant, which enhances multimeric self-interactions of KIF5A proteins, leads to its accumulation in neurotoxic micrometric aggregates localized at the cell periphery (Pant et al, 2022).

The coalescence of smaller BICD2 condensates into one larger condensate is reminiscent of the coalescence of stress granules upon transport along microtubules mediated by the dynein adaptor BICD1 (Chernov et al, 2009; Loschi et al, 2009; Tsai et al, 2009; Perez-Pepe et al, 2018). Previous studies on stress granules emphasized that their assembly follows distinct temporal steps, with first the formation of stable cores through multiple stable interactions, and second evolution into larger assemblies by recruiting a less dense shell (Wheeler et al, 2016). Here, our studies highlight a simple mechanism based on LLPS where the compositional complexity of granules builds during assembly processes in a sequential fashion. In our system, the localized nucleation of motor condensates provides a platform for the subsequent enrichment of nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffolds. This is reminiscent of the sequential localization of mRNAs observed during P-body formation in yeast (Simpson et al, 2014). Interestingly, in our system, the timing of enrichment of nonfunctionalized scaffolds into motor condensates depends on their localization in cells (Fig 3). Therefore, site-specific nucleation combined with sequential enrichment provides a simple mechanism to build, in an ordered fashion, multicomponent condensates.

Over the last few years, several chemical and optogenetic tools have been developed to perturb and control organelle positioning, interactions, and trafficking. Here, inspired by repositioning assays of membrane-bound organelles using a chemically induced dimerization strategy (Passmore *et al*, 2021), we extended our system to

chemically trigger the interactions between dispersed condensates and microtubule motor proteins. With this approach, we obtained a temporal control of the induction of condensate transport and localization at the cell periphery or in the vicinity of the centrosome (Fig 4). Harnessing the trafficking of artificial condensates is a first step toward the assembly of a biomimetic RNP transport system in cells.

Many RNAs are found localized in specific areas of cells, and local translation is thought to participate in many functions dictating cell fate (Ryder & Lerit, 2018). Complementary mislocalization of RNA is reported to be associated with disease development (Lin et al, 2020). There is consequently a strong emphasis to enlarge the current toolbox to analyze and study RNA localization and translation. The methodologies developed so far range from the visualization of RNP transport and translation with single-molecule resolution, to spatial transcriptomics to map RNP interactomes (Weil et al, 2010; Pichon et al, 2018; Turner-Bridger et al, 2018; Fazal et al, 2019; Holt et al, 2019; preprint: Cassella & Ephrussi, 2021). In this context, we extended our assay to use it for the spatial manipulation of RNAs in cells. We showed that artificial condensates, functionalized with both motor domains and MCP proteins could be used as minimal RNP condensates recruiting a unique RNA, making it possible to explore condensate-mediated RNA delocalization. As a first proof-of-concept, we demonstrated the efficient recruitment of exogenous RNAs on motor condensates that were positioned at the cell periphery or at the centrosome depending on the motor directionality (Fig 5). Combined with a temporal control of assembly and disassembly, one could anticipate future developments, where these artificial structures could act as platforms for organizing biochemistry in space and time.

Then, we demonstrated the ability of our system to strongly perturb the spatial distribution of endogenously tagged mRNAs. Artificial condensates drove the delocalization of individual ASPM-MS2 mRNAs at the cell periphery (Figs 6 and 7B). This demonstrates how our system could outperform endogenous mRNA localization mechanisms, by rewiring the transport machinery between the cytoplasm, the centrosome and the cell periphery. Competing with endogenous ASPM mRNA localization using artificial condensates provides interesting insights. On the one hand, it has been shown that the ASPM RNA (as well as other centrosomal transcripts) naturally localizes to centrosomes through an active transport mechanism involving the microtubules and molecular motors (Sepulveda *et al*, 2018; Safieddine *et al*, 2021). This trafficking is dependent on the encoded nascent peptide and occurs rapidly at the onset of



Figure 7.

Figure 7. Localized nucleation and growth of condensates allowing for delocalization of ASPM-MS2 mRNA.

A Stepwise formation of motor-functionalized condensates: (1) motor-LLPS scaffolds accumulate at the microtubule end, until (2) they reach a local concentration sufficient to promote condensates nucleation; then (3), nonfunctionalized LLPS scaffolds accumulate in the preformed condensates.

B The centrosomal localization of ASPM-MS2 mRNA during mitosis can be counteracted by recruitment in condensates functionalized with both a plus-end motor and MCP.

mitosis: within a couple of minutes, scattered RNA readily concentrates on centrosomes, as revealed by live imaging (Safieddine *et al*, 2021). On the other hand, KIF1A condensates traffic away from the centrosomes and thus drag ASPM-MS2 mRNA. Since both the natural and artificial transport systems share microtubules for transit, the location where the RNA ends up provides an estimation of which localization process is more efficient. In most cells, the artificial condensates won the contest.

Several nonexclusive processes that all rely on the capacity of KIF1A condensates to generate mechanical forces could account for ASPM mRNA delocalization at the cell periphery: (i) Pulling forces applied by the condensates on individual ASPM mRNAs accumulated at the centrosome, allowing to convey RNAs along microtubule tracks. This suggests KIF1A forces are stronger than the cohesive forces bridging ASPM mRNA to centrosomal material. (ii) A tug-of-war between the KIF1A condensates and the endogenous transport machinery of ASPM mRNA to the centrosomes. For instance, KIF1A condensates can link individual RNAs to many more motors than a single nascent peptide or an endogenous adapter canonically would. They can be seen as a transport particle pulled by several molecular motors in a cooperative manner, allowing them to surpass the natural mechanism of ASPM mRNA transport. (iii) The direct capture and transportation of freely diffusing ASMP RNAs by KIF1A condensates, upstream of their transport to the centrosome.

Interestingly, ASMP mRNA delocalization experiments provide a first benchmarking of the performance of our artificial condensates. This approach could open novel perspectives to examine the importance of RNA localization for cellular functions and may be extended to rewire the trafficking of other biomolecules of interest.

Materials and Methods

Experimental model

Human epithelioid carcinoma HeLa cells (ATCC, ccl-2) were kept in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (with 4.5 g/l D-glucose, HyClone) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, 10,270,106) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Sigma, P4333), at 37°C in a 5% CO₂-humidified atmosphere. Tests for mycoplasma contamination were routinely carried out.

Plasmids

To generate the constructs containing five repeats of FKPB-F36M, a first plasmid, puCIDT-Amp-5Fm, was designed containing five repeats of FKBP-F36M separated by sequences coding for linkers of four GGS repeats (12 amino acids total). To avoid recombination, degenerate repeats were used. The first repeat was preceded by an Nhe and an AfeI restriction sites and the last one

was followed by a Xba1 restriction site. This plasmid was purchased from IDT. To obtain the pcDNA3.1-5Fm plasmid (called hereafter 5Fm), puCIDT-Amp-5Fm was digested with NheI and XbaI, and the 5Fm-containing fragment was subcloned between NheI and XbaI sites in the pcDNA3.1 (+) vector (Invitrogen). pcDNA3.1-emGFP-5Fm, pcDNA3.1-mCh-5Fm (called hereafter mCh-5Fm), and pcDNA3.1-MCP-5Fm (called hereafter MCP-5Fm) were then obtained by inserting emGFP, mCherry, or a tandem MCP coding sequence, respectively, between HindIII and AfeI restriction sites.

Coding sequences for human KIF1A(1-383), mouse KIF5B(1-555), human KIFC1(125-673), and mouse BICD2(15-595) were obtained from Addgene (plasmids #133242, #120170 #120169, and #120168 respectively) (Chiba et al, 2019; Guardia et al, 2019). KIF1A and KIF5B coding sequences were inserted in pcDNA3.1-5Fm between NheI and AfeI restriction sites, with EcoR1 and Not1 restriction sites, respectively, ahead of AfeI for subsequent subcloning. Then emGFP was inserted in pcDNA3.1-KIF1A-5Fm between EcoRI and AfeI and in pcDNA3.1-KIF5B-5Fm between NotI and AfeI restriction sites. KIFC1 coding sequence was inserted in pcDNA3.1-emGFP-5Fm between the XbaI and AgeI restriction sites. pcDNA3.1-BICD2-emGFP-5Fm plasmid was obtained by adding a NheI restriction site ahead of emGFP in pcDNA3.1-emGFP-5Fm, and inserting the BICD2 coding sequence between HindIII and NheI restriction sites. The four pcDNA3.1 constructs KIF1A-emGFP-5Fm, KIF5B-emGFP-5Fm, emGFP-KIFC1-5Fm, and BICD2-emGFP-5Fm, are hereafter called the motor-LLPS scaffolds.

Transfection

For imaging after cell fixation, HeLa cells were cultured on 22 × 22 mm glass coverslips (VWR) in 6-well plates (Falcon, 3.5×10^5 cells per well). For live imaging, HeLa cells were seeded on 35-mm dishes with a polymer coverslip bottom (Ibidi, 1.5×10^5 cells per dish). For both, cells were transfected 24 h later using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For fixed cell imaging, cells were transfected with a 2:1:1 ratio of 5Fm, mCh-5Fm, and motor-LLPS scaffold (2 µg total per well). The same conditions were followed for control experiments with motors lacking the LLPS 5Fm domain. In the case of KIFC1, cells were transfected with a modified ratio of 2.5:1:0.5. For live imaging (formation, dissolution, and induction acquisitions), cells were transfected with a 1:1 ratio of motor-LLPS and 5Fm scaffolds (800 ng total per µ-dish). For smFISH experiments, cells were transfected with a 1:1:2 ratio of motor-5Fm scaffold, 5Fm, and MCP-5Fm (2 µg total per well) and 50 ng of RNA-MS2 plasmid. The ratio was modified to 0.5:1.5:2 in the case of KIFC1.

To probe condensate inhibition and dissolution (Figs EV1 and EV2), FK506 (Sigma, F4679) was used at 2.5 μ M. For chemical induction experiments of condensate transport (Fig 3), rapamycin was used at 0.4 μ M.

ASPM-MS2 cell line generation

HeLa Kyoto cells were transfected with a combination of plasmids expressing the Cas9-nickase protein, two guide RNAs targeting the end of the ASPM gene, and a repair template harboring 500 nucleotide homology arms. Homology arms flanked 3 HA tags, a stop codon, 24 MS2 repeats, and an IRES-NeoR-stop codon sequence. This repair template was designed to allow insertion at the endogenous ASPM stop codon. Following neomycin selection at 400 μ g/ml for 7–10 days, clones were isolated and characterized by PCR genotyping and smFISH to ensure proper cassette insertion and edited RNA localization. The used ASPM-MS2 clone is heterozygous, with at least 1 WT and 1 edited alleles as described in detail in Safieddine *et al* (2021). The sequences targeted by the guide RNAs are: TCTCTTCTCAAAACCCAATCtgg for guide 1 and GCAAGCTATT-CAAATGGTGAtgg for guide 2, where lowercase corresponds to PAM sequences.

Single-molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization

Single RNA molecule detection of the heterelogously expressed RNA-MS2 was performed according to the previously described smiFISH (single-molecule inexpensive FISH) method (Tsanov *et al*, 2016). Briefly, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min at RT, and permeabilized with 70% ethanol in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) at 4°C overnight. A mix of gene-specific (described previously (Cochard *et al*, 2022)) and Cy3 FLAP probes in hybridization buffer (50 µl/coverslip) was used for overnight hybridization at 37°C in a humidity chamber. After washing twice for 30 min at 37°C in 15% formamide in SSC buffer and rinsing twice in PBS, cells were either mounted with VECTASHIELD mounting medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories, H-1200) or processed through immunofluorescence steps.

smFISH against the MS2 sequence in HeLa/ASPM-MS2 cells was done using a single probe (25 ng of probe per 100 µl of hybridization mixture) that had the following sequence: 5'AT*GTCGACCTGCAGACAT*GGGTGATCCTCAT*GTTTTCTAGGC-AATT*A where * denotes a thymidine conjugated with a Cy3 molecule. Hybridization was done on cells grown on a glass coverslip in a buffer containing 20% formamide (Sigma-Aldrich), 1x SSC, 0.34 mg/ml tRNA (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM VRC (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.2 mg/ml RNAse-free bovine serum albumin (BSA, Roche Diagnostics) and 10% dextran sulfate (MP Biomedicals). Hybridization was done overnight at 37°C and coverslips were washed the next day in a 20% formamide 1x SSC solution twice, each at least for 40 min at 37°C. Coverslips were then mounted using VECTASHIELD containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories, H-1200).

Immunofluorescence

For centrosome imaging in Fig 2, cells were fixed 24 h after transfection in methanol at -20°C for 10 min. They were then permeabilized with a solution of 0.2% Triton X-100 and 0.1% BSA in PBS for 30 min, incubated for 1 h with the primary antibody (rabbit antipericentrin, Covance PRB-432C, 1:500 dilution), washed three times with PBS at RT for 5 min, incubated for 1 h with the secondary antibody (AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488

dye), washed three times with PBS at RT for 5 min, and finally mounted with VECTASHIELD containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories, H-1200).

Imaging

For live imaging, cells were imaged on a Zeiss LSM 710 META laser scanning confocal microscope using an x63 oil-immersion objective (PlanApochromatic, numerical aperture (NA) 1.4), at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 -humidified atmosphere, starting 4 h after transfection (formation). Microscope hardware and image acquisition were controlled with LSM Software Zen 2012. For fixed experiments, cells were imaged using an IX81 microscope (Olympus) and 60× oil immersion objective (PlanApo, NA 1.42), equipped with a CMOS camera, Orca-Fusion (Hamamatsu, Corporation), and a LED system of illumination (Spectra X, Lumencor). Microscope settings were controlled using Micro-manager on ImageJ. Images were analyzed using Fiji.

Data analysis

To quantify the degree of asymmetry of the condensate distribution, the fraction of mCh-5Fm fluorescence in the peripheral 25% of the cells (I25) was measured by adapting a previously published method (Nijenhuis et al, 2020). For each cell, a first circle encompassing the entire cell and having as its center a point in the nucleus was drawn. A concentric circle with a 10-pixel diameter was then drawn, from which a series of concentric circles were derived by iteratively enlarging the diameter by 10 pixels until reaching the first circle size. 5-pixel rings were then built by subtracting each circle to the next one in the series. The final regions of interest (ROI) were defined as the overlap between each ring and the cytoplasm. The images were subsequently processed by applying Gaussian blur (5pixel radius) to eliminate strong local variations in intensity. For each ROI, the area and the mean and minimal intensities were measured. Minimal intensities were subtracted from mean values to remove background, and integrated intensities were calculated for each ROI. The peripheral 25% of a cell was defined as the sum of consecutive ROIs starting from the most peripheral one and reaching 25% of the total cell area. For each cell, I25 was obtained by calculating the ratio between the integrated fluorescence of the mCherry signal in the peripheral 25% and the integrated fluorescence of the entire cell.

Statistical analysis

For Figs 1C and 3A, Wilcoxon rank-sum tests (nonparametric test to compare two distributions) were performed using the ranksum MATLAB function (MathWorks). For Fig 2C, Pearson's chi-squared tests (nonparametric test for nominal variables) were performed using the Python's chi2_contingency function.

Data availability

This study includes no data deposited in external repositories.

Expanded View for this article is available online.

Acknowledgments

We thank M.A. Plamont for her help along the project and C. Amari for his help in the implementation of the quantification process. We thank A. Meunier for providing the pericentrin antibodies and E. Bertrand for sharing HeLa/ASPM-MS2 cells. AC was supported by an IPV-SU PhD fellowship and ARC fellowship (ARCDOC42021020003470). AS was supported by ANR (ANR-19-CE12-0024-01). PC was supported by a FRM (MND202003011470) grant. This work was supported by the centre national de la recherche scientifique, Ecole Normale Supérieure, and ARC (20181208003) to ZG, FRM (MND202003011470) and iBio (SU) to ZG and DW, and ANR (ANR-19-CE12-0024-01) to DW.

Author contributions

Audrey Cochard: Conceptualization; data curation; investigation; methodology; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.
Adham Safieddine: Conceptualization; resources; data curation; investigation; methodology; writing – review and editing. Pauline Combe: Conceptualization; data curation; investigation; methodology; writing – review and editing. Marie-Noëlle Benassy: Resources; investigation.
Dominique Weil: Conceptualization; resources; funding acquisition; methodology; writing – review and editing. Zoher Gueroui: Conceptualization; resources; data curation; supervision; funding acquisition; methodology; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.

Disclosure and competing interests statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Aizer A, Brody Y, Ler LW, Sonenberg N, Singer RH, Shav-Tal Y (2008) The dynamics of mammalian p body transport, assembly, and disassembly *in vivo. Mol Biol Cell* 19: 4154–4166
- Altmeyer M, Neelsen KJ, Teloni F, Pozdnyakova I, Pellegrino S, Grøfte M, Druedahl Rask M-B, Streicher W, Jungmichel S, Lund Nielsen M et al (2015) Liquid demixing of intrinsically disordered proteins is seeded by poly(ADP-ribose). Nat Commun 6: 8088
- Banjade S, Rosen MK (2014) Phase transitions of multivalent proteins can promote clustering of membrane receptors. *Elife* 3: e04123
- Barlan K, Gelfand VI (2017) Microtubule-based transport and the distribution, tethering, and organization of organelles. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 9: a025817
- Baumann S, Komissarov A, Gili M, Ruprecht V, Wieser S, Maurer SP (2020) A reconstituted mammalian APC-kinesin complex selectively transports defined packages of axonal mRNAs. *Sci Adv* 6: eaaz1588
- Böddeker TJ, Rosowski KA, Berchtold D, Emmanouilidis L, Han Y, Allain FHT, Style RW, Pelkmans L, Dufresne ER (2022) Non-specific adhesive forces between filaments and membraneless organelles. *Nat Phys* 2022: 1–8
- Bracha D, Walls MT, Wei M-T, Avalos JL, Toettcher JE, Correspondence CPB, Zhu L, Kurian M, Brangwynne CP (2018) Mapping Local and Global Liquid Phase Behavior in Living Cells Using Photo-Oligomerizable Seeds. *Cell* 175: 1467–1480
- Buxbaum AR (2015) In the right place at the right time: visualizing and understanding mRNA localization. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 16: 95–109
- Cassella L, Ephrussi A (2021) Subcellular spatial transcriptomics identifies three mechanistically different classes of localizing RNAs. *bioRxiv* https:// doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.05.471303 [PREPRINT]
- Chernov KG, Barbet A, Hamon L, Ovchinnikov LP, Curmi PA, Pastré D (2009) Role of microtubules in stress granule assembly: Microtubule dynamical

- Chiba K, Takahashi H, Chen M, Obinata H, Arai S, Hashimoto K, Oda T, Mckenney RJ, Niwa S (2019) Disease-associated mutations hyperactivate KIF1A motility and anterograde axonal transport of synaptic vesicle precursors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 116: 18429–18434
- Chouaib R, Safieddine A, Pichon X, Imbert A, Kwon OS, Samacoits A, Traboulsi AM, Robert MC, Tsanov N, Coleno E *et al* (2020) A dual protein-mRNA localization screen reveals compartmentalized translation and widespread co-translational RNA targeting. *Dev Cell* 54: 773–791
- Cochard A, Garcia-Jove Navarro M, Piroska L, Kashida S, Kress M, Weil D, Gueroui Z (2022) RNA at the surface of phase-separated condensates impacts their size and number. *Biophys J* 121: 1675–1690
- Dalla Costa I, Buchanan CN, Zdradzinski MD, Sahoo PK, Smith TP, Thames E, Kar AN, Twiss JL (2020) The functional organization of axonal mRNA transport and translation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 22: 77–91
- Das S, Vera M, Gandin V, Singer RH, Tutucci E (2021) Intracellular mRNA transport and localized translation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 22: 1–22
- Driever W, Nüsslein-Volhard C (1988) The bicoid protein determines position in the Drosophila embryo in a concentration-dependent manner. *Cell* 54: 95–104
- Dzuricky M, Rogers BA, Shahid A, Cremer PS, Chilkoti A (2020) De novo engineering of intracellular condensates using artificial disordered proteins. *Nat Chem* 12: 814–825
- Eliscovich C, Singer RH (2017) RNP transport in cell biology: the long and winding road. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 45: 38–46
- Engel KL, Arora A, Goering R, Lo HYG, Taliaferro JM (2020) Mechanisms and consequences of subcellular RNA localization across diverse cell types. *Traffic* 21: 404–418
- Ephrussi A, Dickinson LK, Lehmann R (1991) oskar organizes the germ plasm and directs localization of the posterior determinant nanos. Cell 66: 37-50
- Falahati H, Pelham-Webb B, Blythe S, Wieschaus E (2016) Nucleation by rRNA dictates the precision of nucleolus assembly. *Curr Biol* 26: 277–285
- Fazal FM, Han S, Parker KR, Kaewsapsak P, Xu J, Boettiger AN, Chang HY, Ting AY (2019) Atlas of subcellular RNA localization revealed by APEX-Seq. *Cell* 178: 473–490
- Fegan A, White B, Carlson JCT, Wagner CR (2010) Chemically controlled protein assembly: techniques and applications. *Chem Rev* 110: 3315-3336
- Feric M, Brangwynne CP, Cell N, Author B (2013) A nuclear F-Actin scaffold stabilizes RNP droplets against gravity in large cells. *Nat Cell Biol* 15: 1253–1259
- Gagnon JA, Mowry KL (2011) Molecular motors: directing traffic during RNA localization. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 46: 229–239
- Garabedian MV, Wang W, Dabdoub JB, Tong M, Caldwell RM, Benman W, Schuster BS, Deiters A, Good MC (2021) Designer membraneless organelles sequester native factors for control of cell behavior. *Nat Chem Biol* 17: 998–1007
- Garcia-Jove Navarro M, Kashida S, Chouaib R, Souquere S, Pierron G, Weil D, Gueroui Z (2019) RNA is a critical element for the sizing and the composition of phase-separated RNA–protein condensates. *Nat Commun* 10: 3230
- Granger E, McNee G, Allan V, Woodman P (2014) The role of the cytoskeleton and molecular motors in endosomal dynamics. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 31: 20–29
- Guardia CM, de Pace IR, Sen Id A, Saric Id A, Jarnik M, Kolin DA, Kunwarid A, Bonifacinoid JS (2019) Reversible association with motor proteins (RAMP):

a streptavidin-based method to manipulate organelle positioning. PLoS Biol 17: 1-27

- Hammond JW, Cai D, Blasius TL, Li Z, Jiang Y, Jih GT, Meyhofer E, Verhey KJ (2009) Mammalian Kinesin-3 motors are dimeric in vivo and move by processive motility upon release of autoinhibition. *PLoS Biol* 7: e72
- Heidenreich M, Georgeson JM, Locatelli E, Rovigatti L, Nandi SK, Steinberg A, Nadav Y, Shimoni E, Safran SA, Doye JPK *et al* (2020) Designer protein assemblies with tunable phase diagrams in living cells. *Nat Chem Biol* 16: 939–945
- Herbert SP, Costa G (2019) Sending messages in moving cells: mRNA localization and the regulation of cell migration. *Essays Biochem* 63: 595–606
- Holt CE, Schuman EM (2013) The central dogma decentralized: new perspectives on RNA function and local translation in neurons. *Neuron* 80: 648–657
- Holt CE, Martin KC, Schuman EM (2019) Local translation in neurons: visualization and function. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 26: 557–566
- Jambor H, Mueller S, Bullock SL, Ephrussi A (2014) A stem-loop structure directs oskar mRNA to microtubule minus ends. *RNA* 20: 429–439
- Jansen RP (2001) mRNA localization: message on the move. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2: 247-256
- Kanai Y, Dohmae N, Hirokawa N (2004) Kinesin transports RNA: Isolation and characterization of an RNA-transporting granule. *Neuron* 43: 513–525
- Kapitein LC, Schlager MA, Van Der Zwan WA, Wulf PS, Keijzer N, Hoogenraad CC (2010) Probing intracellular motor protein activity using an inducible cargo trafficking assay. *Biophys J* 99: 2143–2152
- Kilwein MD, Welte MA (2019) Lipid droplet motility and organelle contacts. Contact 2: 1–13
- Lasker K, Boeynaems S, Lam V, Stainton E, Jacquemyn M, Daelemans D, Villa E, Holehouse AS, Gitler AD, Shapiro L (2021) A modular platform for engineering function of natural and synthetic biomolecular condensates. *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.03.429226 [PREPRINT]
- Lécuyer E, Yoshida H, Parthasarathy N, Alm C, Babak T, Cerovina T, Hughes TR, Tomancak P, Krause HM (2007) Global analysis of mRNA localization reveals a prominent role in organizing cellular architecture and function. *Cell* 131: 174–187
- Lin JQ, van Tartwijk FW, Holt CE (2020) Axonal mRNA translation in neurological disorders. *RNA Biol* 18: 936–961
- Loschi M, Leishman CC, Berardone N, Boccacio GL (2009) Dynein and kinesin regulate stress-granule and P-body dynamics. *J Cell Sci* 122: 3973–3982
- Ma W, Mayr C (2018) A membraneless organelle associated with the endoplasmic reticulum enables 3'UTR-mediated protein-protein interactions. *Cell* 175: 1492–1506
- Martin KC, Ephrussi A (2009) mRNA localization: gene expression in the spatial dimension. *Cell* 136: 719–730
- Martin DR, Banu Ozkan S, Matyushov DV, Ahmadi Toussi C, Soheilifard R, Ogilvie SP, Large MJ (2022) Liquid demixing in elastic networks: cavitation, permeation, or size selection? *Europhys Lett* 137: 67001
- Melkov A, Abdu U (2018) Regulation of long-distance transport of mitochondria along microtubules. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 75: 163–176
- Moeendarbary E, Valon L, Fritzsche M, Harris AR, Moulding DA, Thrasher AJ, Stride E, Mahadevan L, Charras GT (2013) The cytoplasm of living cells behaves as a poroelastic material. *Nat Mater* 12: 253–261
- Mofatteh M (2021) Neurodegeneration and axonal mRNA transportation. Am $_{J}$ Neurodegener Dis 10: 1–12
- Morin JA, Wittmann S, Choubey S, Klosin A, Golfier S, Hyman AA, Jülicher F, Grill SW (2022) Sequence-dependent surface condensation of a pioneer transcription factor on DNA. *Nat Phys* 18: 271–276

- Nadezhdina ES, Lomakin AJ, Shpilman AA, Chudinova EM, Ivanov PA (2010) Microtubules govern stress granule mobility and dynamics. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* 1803: 361–371
- Nakamura H, Lee AA, Afshar AS, Watanabe S, Rho E, Razavi S, Suarez A, Lin YC, Tanigawa M, Huang B *et al* (2018) Intracellular production of hydrogels and synthetic RNA granules by multivalent molecular interactions. *Nat Mater* 17: 79–88
- Nijenhuis W, van Grinsven MMP, Kapitein LC (2020) An optimized toolbox for the optogenetic control of intracellular transport. *J Cell Biol* 219: e201907149
- Pant DC, Parameswaran J, Rao L, Loss I, Chilukuri G, Parlato R, Shi L, Glass JD, Bassell GJ, Koch P *et al* (2022) ALS-linked KIF5A ΔExon27 mutant causes neuronal toxicity through gain-of-function. *EMBO Rep* 23: e54234
- Passmore JB, Nijenhuis W, Kapitein LC (2021) From observing to controlling: Inducible control of organelle dynamics and interactions. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 71: 69–76
- Perez-Pepe M, Fernández-Alvarez AJ, Boccaccio GL (2018) Life and work of stress granules and processing bodies: new insights into their formation and function. *Biochemistry* 57: 2488–2498
- Pichon X, Lagha M, Mueller F, Bertrand E (2018) A growing toolbox to image gene expression in single cells: sensitive approaches for demanding challenges. *Mol Cell* 71: 468–480
- Rai AK, Rai A, Ramaiya AJ, Jha R, Mallik R (2013) Molecular adaptations allow dynein to generate large collective forces inside cells. *Cell* 152: 172–182
- Rajgor D, Shanahan CM (2014) RNA granules and cytoskeletal links. *Biochem* Soc Trans 42: 1206–1210
- Reinkemeier CD, Estrada Girona G, Lemke EA (2019) Designer membraneless organelles enable codon reassignment of selected mRNAs in eukaryotes. *Science* 363: eaaw2644
- Rodrigues EC, Grawenhoff J, Baumann SJ, Lorenzon N, Maurer SP (2021) Mammalian neuronal mRNA transport complexes: the few knowns and the many unknowns. *Front Integr Neurosci* 15: 13
- Rollins CT, Rivera VM, Woolfson DN, Keenan T, Hatada M, Adams SE, Andrade LJ, Yaeger D, van Schravendijk MR, Holt DA *et al* (2000) A ligand-reversible dimerization system for controlling protein-protein interactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97: 7096–7101
- Rosowski KA, Sai T, Vidal-Henriquez E, Zwicker D, Style RW, Dufresne ER (2020) Elastic ripening and inhibition of liquid–liquid phase separation. *Nat Phys* 16: 422-425
- Ryder PV, Lerit DA (2018) RNA localization regulates diverse and dynamic cellular processes. *Traffic* 19: 496–502
- Safieddine A, Coleno E, Salloum S, Imbert A, Traboulsi AM, Kwon OS, Lionneton F, Georget V, Robert MC, Gostan T *et al* (2021) A choreography of centrosomal mRNAs reveals a conserved localization mechanism involving active polysome transport. *Nat Commun* 12: 1–21
- Sepulveda G, Antkowiak M, Brust-Mascher I, Mahe K, Ou T, Castro NM, Christensen LN, Cheung L, Jiang X, Yoon D *et al* (2018) Co-translational protein targeting facilitates centrosomal recruitment of PCNT during centrosome maturation in vertebrates. *Elife* 7: e34959
- Shin Y, Berry J, Pannucci N, Haataja MP, Toettcher JE, Brangwynne CP (2017) Spatiotemporal control of intracellular phase transitions using lightactivated optoDroplets. *Cell* 168: 159–171
- Simpson CE, Lui J, Kershaw CJ, Sims PFG, Ashe MP (2014) mRNA localization to P-bodies in yeast is bi-phasic with many mRNAs captured in a late Bfr1p-dependent wave. J Cell Sci 127: 1254–1262
- Snead WT, Jalihal AP, Gerbich TM, Seim I, Hu Z, Gladfelter AS (2022) Membrane surfaces regulate assembly of ribonucleoprotein condensates. *Nat Cell Biol* 24: 461–470

Taliaferro JM, Wang ET, Burge CB (2014) Genomic analysis of RNA localization. *RNA Biol* 11: 1040–1050

- Tsai N-P, Tsui Y-C, Wei L-N (2009) Dynein motor contributes to stress granule dynamics in primary neurons. *Neuroscience* 159: 647–656
- Tsanov N, Samacoits A, Chouaib R, Traboulsi A-M, Gostan T, Weber C, Zimmer C, Zibara K, Walter T, Peter M *et al* (2016) smiFISH and FISH-quant – a flexible single RNA detection approach with superresolution capability. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44: e165
- Turner-Bridger B, Jakobs M, Muresan L, Wong HHW, Franze K, Harris WA, Holt CE (2018) Single-molecule analysis of endogenous β-Actin mRNA trafficking reveals a mechanism for compartmentalized mRNA localization in axons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: E9697–E9706
- Vidal-Henriquez E, Zwicker D (2021) Cavitation controls droplet sizes in elastic media. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118: e2102014118
- Weil TT, Parton RM, Davis I (2010) Making the message clear: visualizing mRNA localization. *Trends Cell Biol* 20: 380–390

- Wheeler JR, Matheny T, Jain S, Abrisch R, Parker R (2016) Distinct stages in stress granule assembly and disassembly. *Elife* 5: e18413
- Wiegand T, Hyman AA (2020) Drops and fibers how biomolecular condensates and cytoskeletal filaments influence each other. *Emerg Top Life Sci* 4: 247–261
- Woodruff JB, Ferreira Gomes B, Widlund PO, Mahamid J, Honigmann A, Hyman AA (2017) The centrosome is a selective condensate that nucleates microtubules by concentrating tubulin. *Cell* 169: 1066–1077
- Yoshikawa M, Yoshii T, Ikuta M, Tsukiji S (2021) Synthetic protein condensates that inducibly recruit and release protein activity in living cells. J Am Chem Soc 143: 6434–6446
- Zhao EM, Suek N, Wilson MZ, Dine E, Pannucci NL, Gitai Z, Avalos JL, Toettcher JE (2019) Light-based control of metabolic flux through assembly of synthetic organelles. *Nat Chem Biol* 15: 589–597
- Zimyanin VL, Belaya K, Pecreaux J, Gilchrist MJ, Clark A, Davis I, St Johnston D (2008) In vivo imaging of oskar mRNA transport reveals the mechanism of posterior localization. *Cell* 134: 843–853