

Heather pollen is not necessarily a healthy diet for bumble bees

Clément Tourbez, Irène Semay, Apolline Michel, Denis Michez, Pascal Gerbaux, Antoine Gekière, Maryse Vanderplanck

► To cite this version:

Clément Tourbez, Irène Semay, Apolline Michel, Denis Michez, Pascal Gerbaux, et al.. Heather pollen is not necessarily a healthy diet for bumble bees. Peer Community In Ecology, 2023, 10.1101/2023.04.06.535809. hal-04240717

HAL Id: hal-04240717 https://hal.science/hal-04240717v1

Submitted on 13 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Heather pollen is not necessarily a healthy diet for bumble bees

3 Clément Tourbez^{1,*}, Irène Semay², Apolline Michel¹, Denis

4 Michez¹, Pascal Gerbaux², Antoine Gekière^{1,§}, Maryse

5 Vanderplanck^{3, §}

6

⁷ ¹ Laboratory of Zoology, Research Institute for Biosciences, University of Mons, 7000 Mons, Belgium

² Organic Synthesis and Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, Research Institute for Biosciences, University of Mons,
 7000 Mons, Belgium

10 ³ CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, 34293 Montpellier, France

- 1112 * Corresponding author
- 13 [§] Equivalent supervision of the present work.
- 14 Correspondence: clement.tourbez@umons.ac.be
- 15

16 Orcid and emails:

- 17 C. Tourbez: 0000-0003-1783-5824, clement.tourbez@umons.ac.be
- 18 I. Semay: 0000-0002-4197-0316, irene.semay@umons.ac.be
- 19 A. Gekière: 0000-0001-5337-1305, antoine.gekiere@umons.ac.be
- 20 P. Gerbaux: 0000-0001-5114-4352, pascal.gerbaux@umons.ac.be
- 21 D. Michez: 0000-0001-8880-1838, denis.michez@umons.ac.be
- 22 M. Vanderplanck: 0000-0002-0110-8019, maryse.vanderplanck@cefe.cnrs.fr
- 23

24 **Abstract**

25 There is evidence that specialised metabolites of flowering plants occur in both vegetative 26 parts and floral resources (i.e., pollen and nectar), exposing pollinators to their biological 27 activities. While such metabolites may be toxic to bees, it may also help them to deal with 28 environmental stressors. One example is heather nectar which has been shown to limit 29 bumble bee infection by a trypanosomatid parasite, Crithidia sp., because of callunene activity. Besides in nectar, heather harbours high content of specialised metabolites in pollen 30 31 such as flavonoids but they have been poorly investigated. In this study, we aimed to assess 32 the impact of Crithidia sp., heather pollen and its flavonoids on bumble bees using non-33 parasitised and parasitised microcolonies fed either control pollen diet (i.e., willow pollen), 34 heather pollen diet, or flavonoid-supplemented pollen diet. We found that heather pollen 35 and its flavonoids significantly affected microcolonies by decreasing pollen collection as well 36 as offspring production, and by increasing male fat body content while parasite exposure had 37 no significant effect except for an increase in male fat body. We did not highlight any 38 medicinal effect of heather pollen or its flavonoids on parasitised bumble bees. Our results 39 provide insight into the impact of pollen specialised metabolites in heather-bumble bee-40 parasite interactions. They underline the contrasting roles for bumble bees of the two floral resources and highlight the importance of considering both nectar and pollen when 41 addressing medicinal effects of a plant towards pollinators. 42

43

44 Keywords: Plant-pollinator interaction, Pollen specialised metabolite, Microcolony performance,

45 Bumble bee health, Parasite

Introduction

47 For their own subsistence and that of their offsprings, bee females mostly forage on two floral 48 resources, namely nectar as main source of carbohydrates (Nicolson & Thornburg, 2007), and pollen as 49 main source of proteins and lipids (Campos et al., 2008). Among these nutritional resources, the pollen 50 chemical composition is particularly complex and highly variable among plant species (Vaudo et al., 2020). 51 While pollen central metabolites, for instance, the protein-to-lipid ratio, play a crucial role in bee health, 52 development, and fitness (Di Pasquale et al., 2013), pollen also contains numerous specialised metabolites 53 (e.g., alkaloids, flavonoids and terpenoids, Irwin et al., 2014; Palmer-Young et al., 2019). The biological 54 activities of these metabolites are multiple so that they may be involved in protecting pollen from abiotic 55 factors, such as UVs (Li et al., 1993), but also from biotic factors, acting as antibacterial, antifungal or 56 insecticidal compounds (Pusztahelyi et al., 2015; Zaynab et al., 2018). When ingesting pollen, bees are then 57 exposed to all these biological activities that may be beneficial, for instance by reducing parasite load 58 through antimicrobial activities (Manson et al., 2010; Biller et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2015), but also 59 detrimental, for instance by impairing resource collection (Wang et al., 2019; Brochu et al., 2020), 60 decreasing offspring size and production (Arnold et al., 2014), inducing larvae or imago death (Hendriksma 61 et al., 2011; Weber, 2004), and altering immune system (Gekière et al., 2022a). Given these opposite 62 effects on bees, it is essential to question how specific specialised metabolites may impact bee health, 63 especially in a changing world with multiple environmental pressures.

64

65 In the current context of biodiversity erosion (Butchart et al., 2010), bees are unfortunately no 66 exception, and many threats have been pinpointed as responsible for their negative population trends 67 (Dicks et al., 2021) such as pesticide exposure (Sánchez-Bayo & Goka, 2014), metalloid pollution (Gekière 68 et al., 2023), habitat loss (Baude et al., 2016), resource scarcity (Naug, 2009), competition with 69 domesticated species (Mallinger et al., 2017), and diseases (Van Engelsdorp et al., 2009). Among 70 environmental challenges, bees indeed suffer from a high diversity of pathogens and parasites (Meeus et 71 al., 2011; Goulson & Hughes, 2015) of which effects vary from small ethological alterations of minor 72 consequences (Paris et al., 2018) to large reductions in host bee fitness (McMenamin & Genersch, 2015). 73 Social bee species such as bumble bees (Apidae; Bombus spp.) are particularly impacted by parasites, the 74 latter benefiting from their social system to readily infect numerous individuals (Folly et al., 2017). One of 75 the most prevalent parasites in wild bumble bee populations is the gut trypanosomatid Crithidia bombi 76 Lipa & Triggiani, 1980 (Euglenozoa: Trypanosomatidae; Schmid-Hempel, 2001). Despite its generally 77 moderate impacts, it can decrease foraging effectiveness (Otterstatter et al., 2005), offspring production 78 (Schmid-Hempel, 1998), queen survival through hibernation (Fauser et al., 2017), and increase mortality in 79 synergy with other stresses (Brown et al., 2000). To deal with such parasite pressure, bumble bees may 80 rely on specific floral resources displaying appropriate antimicrobial properties through their specialised 81 metabolites (Manson et al., 2010; Biller et al., 2015; Richardson et al., 2015; Fitch et al., 2022).

82

83 Among potential medicinal floral resources, the heather (Calluna vulgaris Hull. 1808), an Ericaceae 84 commonly foraged by bumble bees (Descamps et al., 2015), produces a nectar documented to affect C. 85 bombi (Koch et al., 2019). This effect has been attributed to the presence of callunene, a terpenoid that 86 induces the loss of *C. bombi* flagellum, preventing the parasite from settling in the bumble bee digestive 87 tract (Koch et al., 2019). Such medicative properties of heather nectar make heather-rich heathlands even 88 more valuable for these bumble bees (Descamps et al., 2015; Moquet et al., 2017). However, although 89 heather is a major resource for European bees, only a handful of studies have sought for specialised 90 metabolites with biological activities in heather pollen, showing a high prevalence of flavonoids (Gekière 91 et al., in prep.). Flavonoids can have very contrasting effects on insect-plant interactions and affect them 92 in multiple ways (Simmonds 2003; Onyilagha et al., 2012). Bees are attracted to some flavonoid compounds 93 (e.g. quercetin; Liao et al. 2017a) while others repel them (e.g. kaempferol, catechin; Detzel & Wink 1993; 94 Onkokesung et al., 2014). However, despite some deleterious effects on larval development (Wang et al., 95 2010), flavonoids are mainly not toxic molecules for insects (Detzel & Wink, 1993). Once ingested, 96 flavonoids can have antioxidant properties and are potentially beneficial for bees (e.g. quercetin; Treutter, 97 2005). They can stimulate the activation of detoxification enzymes (cytochrome P450 monooxygenase) and 98 enhance bee resistance to certain insecticides and acaricides (Scott et al., 1998; Johnson et al. 2012; Liao

99 et al., 2017b). The case of heather pollen flavonoids remains to be addressed and this incomplete picture 100 of the pollen side does not allow for fully arguing that heather is a bumble bee health-promoting plant. 101 Therefore, bioassays to determine heather pollen effects on bumble bee brood, bumble bee health, and 102 parasite dynamics are warranted. To fill this gap, we herein present a study that aimed to assess the effects 103 of heather pollen and its flavonoids on bumble bee health, at both individual and colony levels, considering 104 the bumble bee interaction with the parasite. We specifically addressed the following questions: (i) how 105 does the parasite influence the development of bumble bee microcolonies and individual 106 immunocompetence? (ii) do heather pollen and its flavonoids challenge bumble bees, impacting their 107 resource collection and offspring production? (iii) do heather pollen and its flavonoids affect the parasite 108 dynamic in infected bumble bee workers, or help bumble bees to counteract parasite effects? We expect 109 (i) a mild effect of the parasite on bumble bees reared in optimal conditions; (ii) detrimental effects of 110 flavonoids, and potentially of heather pollen on healthy bumble bees and microcolonies; and (iii) beneficial 111 effects of heather pollen, and potentially its flavonoids, on infected bumble bees by reducing parasite load.

112

Materials and methods

113 Bumble bee bioassays

114 Queenless microcolonies of five workers were exposed to specific diet treatments (Fig.1): control pollen 115 (i.e., willow pollen is used because artificial pollen is unsuitable for bumblebee development and because 116 its flavonoid profile does not overlap with any flavonoids found in heather pollen; Gekière et al., 2022b; 117 Gekière et al., in prep) containing either (i) parasitised or (ii) non-parasitised bumble bees; heather pollen 118 containing either (iii) parasitised or (iv) non-parasitised bumble bees; microcolonies fed with willow pollen 119 supplemented with extracts of flavonoids from heather pollen containing either (v) parasitised or (vi) non-120 parasitised bumble bees. Diets (i) and (ii) were used as controls as well as to assess the parasite impacts. 121 Diets (iii) and (v) were used to establish the effects of heather pollen or its flavonoids on infected 122 microcolonies. Diets (iv) and (vi) were used to establish the effects of heather pollen or its flavonoids on 123 uninfected microcolonies. For each treatment, ten microcolonies have been established using five different 124 queenright colonies (colonies from Biobest bvba; Westerlo, Belgium) (2 microcolonies per colony per 125 treatment). Colonies of the species Bombus terrestris were selected since this species is easy to rear and a 126 natural forager of heather pollen (Kleijn & Raemakers, 2008; Ballantyne et al., 2015). Faeces of queenright 127 colonies were observed under the microscope to confirm the absence of parasites (Nosema spp., Apicystis 128 spp. and Crithidia spp.) as guaranteed by the supplier. The microcolonies were kept in plastic boxes (10 x 129 16 x 16 cm; Regali & Rasmont, 1995) and reared at the University of Mons (Belgium, Mons, Campus de 130 Nimy, WGS84 50°27'54.9" N 3°57'24.9"E) in a dark room at 26-28°C and 65% of relative humidity. Bumble 131 bees were provided ad libitum with sugar syrup (water/sugar 35:65 w/w) and pollen candies (i.e., pollen 132 mixed with a 65% sugar solution) for 35 days, with pollen candies being freshly prepared and renewed 133 every two days. When workers died, they were discarded, weighed and replaced by a worker from the 134 same queenright colony, which was marked with a colour dot on the scutum. Larvae ejected from the 135 brood were also checked every day, counted and discarded from the microcolonies. Microcolonies were 136 handled under red light to minimise disturbance.



139

140

141

Figure 1. Bioassay design. Microcolonies initiated with five *B. terrestris* workers were fed for 35 days with one of three diets. For each diet, ten microcolonies contained parasitised individuals (*Crithidia* sp.), ten others were non-parasitised. Icon used for the figure: https://www.flaticon.com/. and author conception.

142 Diet preparation

143 Willow pollen batch (i.e., pollen loads from Apis mellifera L. 1758) was supplied by the commercial 144 company Ruchers de Lorraine (Nancy, France) while heather pollen batch was obtained from a private 145 beekeeper (Dittlo François, France, Gironde, Le Nizan). Although honey bee collected pollen loads may 146 contain parasites, analysis of faeces of uninfected microcolonies fed with this pollen diet were parasite 147 free. We then consider that no contamination occurred from the pollen batch. Pollen loads from the 148 heather batch were hand-sorted based on the colour after microscopical identification to ensure 149 monoflorality (800 g in total) (Sawyer & Pickard, 1981; Dafni et al., 2005). Each pollen batch was then 150 homogenised and crushed before being used for the experiments. Half of the sorted heather batch served 151 directly for the bioassays; the other half served for massive extraction of flavonoids. Flavonoids were 152 extracted using a Soxhlet extraction for approximately 40 cycles with methanol as solvent, at 100°C. Extract 153 was then vacuum filtered and evaporated to dryness (rotavapor IKA RV8). For flavonoid purification, 154 extract was solubilized in water with a minimal amount of methanol, and placed in a separatory funnel 155 with dichloromethane. The funnel was shaken and left to settle overnight before recovering the aqueous 156 phase. The purified extract was then dried using rotary evaporator and dissolved in aqueous ethanol 157 solution (1:1, v/v) before addition to the control diet to prepare a flavonoid-supplemented diet. Control 158 and heather pollen diets were also supplemented with a similar amount of ethanol to avoid any bias (for 159 details see Appendix A, Table S1).

160 Parasite inoculation

161 Multiple morphologically identical trypanosomes affect B. terrestris (Bartolomé et al., 2021). Although 162 Crithidia bombi is by far the most abundant in wild populations (Shykoff & Schmid-Hempel, 1991; Popp et 163 al., 2012), parasite identification will be limited to Crithidia sp. in this manuscript to avoid 164 misinterpretation. Parasite inoculation was performed using *Crithidia* sp. reservoirs maintained in the 165 laboratory (i.e., commercial colonies regularly renewed and repeatedly inoculated with contaminated 166 faeces in order to ensure a turnover of the available Crithidia sp. pool). Faeces from a total of 45 infected 167 workers were collected and pooled together to ensure multiple-strain inoculum (Gekière et al., 2022a). 168 The inoculum was homogenised, brought to 1 mL with 0.9% NaCl solution, and purified by a triangulation 169 method (Cole, 1970) adapted by Baron et al. (2014) and Martin et al. (2018). The concentration of Crithidia sp. cells was then estimated by counting with a Neubauer chamber, and the inoculum was diluted to 2,500 *Crithidia* sp. cells/μL with a 40% sugar solution. Workers allocated to the infected microcolonies were placed in individual Nicot® queen rearing cages and given 10μL of the inoculum (i.e., 25,000 *Crithidia* sp. cells; Logan et al., 2005) by letting them feed on the sugar solution in a glass microcapillary after a 5-hour starvation period. Workers allocated to uninfected microcolonies also underwent the same treatment (isolation, starvation) but with 10μL of sterile sugar solution.

176 Parameters evaluated

To investigate the impacts of pollen diet and parasite, several parameters in microcolonies were measured (Tasei & Aupinel, 2008), namely resource collection, reproductive success, stress response, and individual health through fat body content (i.e., immunocompetence proxy; Arrese & Soulages, 2010; Rosales, 2017; Vanderplanck et al., 2021) and parasite load measurements.

181

182 Resource collection was assessed by weighing every two days in each microcolony the syrup container, 183 as well as the recovered pollen candy and the newly introduced one. These data were corrected for 184 evaporation using controls, as well as divided by the total worker mass by microcolony to avoid bias due 185 to worker activity. To evaluate the reproductive success, all microcolonies were dissected at the end of the 186 experiment (Day 35) to weigh the total hatched brood mass, as well as the individual mass of each emerged 187 male used as reference of viable offspring at the end of development (Goulson, 2010). Offspring masses 188 were divided by the total worker mass by microcolony to avoid any bias due to worker care. Regarding 189 stress response, we assessed worker mortality, larval ejection, pollen dilution (ratio between the collection 190 of syrup and pollen) as well as pollen efficiency (ratio between offspring mass and pollen collection; Tasei 191 & Aupinel, 2008) that highlights when a micro-colony needs to consume more pollen to produce offspring.

192

193 For the individual health parameters, fat body content was measured at the end of the bioassays on 194 two males and two workers per microcolony (40 individuals per treatment) following Ellers (1996). The 195 abdomens were cut and dehydrated in an incubator at 70°C for three days before being weighed. They 196 were then placed for one day in 2mL of diethyl ether to solubilise lipids constituting the fat body. The 197 abdomens were then washed twice with diethyl ether, and incubated at 70°C for seven days before being 198 weighed. Fat body content was defined as the mass difference between dry abdomen before and after 199 lipid solubilisation, divided by the dry abdomen mass prior to solubilisation. Moreover, in infected 200 treatments, we repeatedly monitored the parasite load within microcolonies using the same marked 201 worker along the bioassays. The first measurement was made three days post-inoculation (day 4) to enable 202 Crithidia sp. to multiply and ensure its presence in the faeces (Logan et al., 2005). A total of seven further 203 measurements were taken to establish the infection curve of Crithidia sp., namely on days 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 204 20 and 35. Measurements were performed at larger intervals after day 12 because infection reached the 205 plateau phase (Schmid-Hempel & Schmid-Hempel, 1993; Otterstatter & Thomson, 2006). In practice, the 206 marked worker was held in a 50mL Falcon in the light until the faeces were expelled. Faeces were then 207 collected in a 10µL microcapillary tube and diluted two to ten times with distilled water to enable rational 208 cell counting. Parasite cells were then counted using a haemocytometer (Neubauer) under an inverted 209 phase contrast microscope (400X magnification, Eclipse Ts2R, Nikon). Uninfected microcolonies faeces 210 were checked to be free of parasites at the end of the experiment, and a marked worker was isolated at 211 days 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16, 20 and 35 in each uninfected microcolony to induce the same stress as in infected 212 treatments.

213 Data analysis and statistics

214 To detect a potential effect of pollen diet or parasite on resource collection, reproductive success, 215 stress response, and individual health, mixed models were fitted for each parameter using diet, parasite 216 and their interaction as fixed factors, and colony as random factor. Pollen collection, pollen efficacy, and 217 pollen dilution (log-transformed data) were analysed using a Gaussian distribution (i.e., normality of 218 residuals; shapiro.test function from the stats R-package v.4.1.0; R Core Team, 2021) (Imer function from 219 the nlme R package v.3.1.160; Pinheiro et al., 2022). Total hatched offspring mass, emerged male mass, 220 and fat body content (i.e., proportion data) were analysed using a Gamma distribution and a log link 221 function (glmmTMB function from the glmmTMB R-package v.1.1.4; Brooks et al., 2017). For fat body content, values were square root-transformed and sex was added as crossed-fixed effect. For emerged
 male mass and fat body content, microcolony was nested within colony in the random factor to deal with
 pseudo-replication (i.e., several measures per microcolony).

For larval ejection, a binomial distribution (ejected larvae and total number of living offspring produced as bivariate response) was used after checking for overdispersion and zero inflation (*testDispersion* and *testZeroInflation* functions from DHARMa R-package v.0.4.6; Hartig, 2022). For worker mortality, a Cox proportional hazard (mixed-effect) model was run with individuals alive at the end of the 35-day treatment assigned as censored, and those who died as uncensored (*coxme* function from the coxme R-package v.2.2.18.1; Therneau, 2022). For these two parameters, diet, parasite and their interaction were also used as fixed factors and colony was included as a random factor.

233

The last parameter measured was the parasite load at different time points within infected microcolonies. As infection dynamics is a discrete time series, it was analysed using a generalised additive mixed-effect model (GAMM; Wood, 2006). Parasite loads were square root-transformed and fitted using a Gaussian distribution with a log link. Diet and day were set as fixed factors and microcolony nested within colony as random factors. The model assumptions were tested using diagnostic graphs and tests.

240 Contrasts were then performed on the models to determine whether the uninfected control differed 241 from the infected control, and whether effects on uninfected or infected microcolonies differed among 242 diets (emmeans function from the emmeans R-package v.1.8.2; Lenth, 2022). For fat body content, data 243 were analysed separately for workers and males as a sex-significant effect was detected. Graphs and plots 244 were all performed using the R-package ggplot2 v.3.4.0 (Wickham, 2016) except the one referring to the 245 survival probability of the workers performed with the ggsurvplot function of the survminer R-package 246 v.0.4.9 (Kassambara et al., 2021). All the statistical analyses were done using the R software v.4.1.0 (R Core 247 Team, 2021). For all statistical analyses, p < 0.05 was used as a threshold for significance.

248

Results

249 Parasite impact

Comparison of microcolonies fed with control pollen between parasitised and non-parasitised treatments showed that *Crithidia* sp. infection did not impact the parameters related to resource collection (Fig 2A), reproductive success (Fig 2B-2C), or stress response (Fig 3A-C) (p > 0.05, Fig. 2 and 3). However, fat body content in newly emerged males was significantly higher with a mean that increased by 56% in infected microcolonies fed the control diet compared to uninfected ones fed the same diet (t = -3.828, p =0.0012; Fig. 4B). The estimates (mean ± standard error) of our variables for each treatment are available in the appendices (Appendix B, Table S2)

257

258 Effect of heather pollen and its flavonoids on healthy bumble bees

259 Regarding resource collection, total pollen collection was significantly lower in microcolonies fed the 260 supplemented diet compared to those fed the other diets (control vs supplemented: 43% less pollen 261 collected, t = -5.672, p < 0.001; heather vs supplemented: 33% less pollen collected, t = 3.924, p < 0.001; 262 Fig. 2A). With regards to the reproductive success, microcolonies fed the supplemented and heather diets 263 produced a significantly lower brood mass compared to microcolonies fed the control diet (control vs 264 supplemented: brood mass 52% lower, t = 3.890, p < 0.001; control vs heather: brood mass 32% lower, t =265 2.189, p = 0.0331; Fig. 2B), as well as significantly smaller emerged males (control vs supplemented: t =266 2.350, *p* = 0.0192; control *vs* heather: *t* = 2.925, *p* = 0.0036; Fig. 2C) 267

In terms of stress responses, pollen dilution was significantly higher in microcolonies fed the supplemented diet compared to those fed the other diets (control vs supplemented: t = 2.282, p = 0.0268; heather vs supplemented: t = -3.191, p = 0.0025; Fig. 3A). Microcolonies fed the heather or supplemented diets also displayed a lower pollen efficacy than the microcolonies fed the control diet (control vs supplemented t = -2.741, p = 0.0085; control vs heather: t = -3.025, p = 0.0039; Fig. 3B). On the contrary,

- 273 no significant difference was detected for larval ejection (p > 0.05) or for worker mortality (p < 0.05, Fig. 274 3C).
- 275

276 Regarding individual health, while no difference was detected in worker fat body content among diet 277 treatments (p > 0.05; Fig. 4A), fat body content in newly emerged males was significantly higher in 278 microcolonies fed the supplemented or heather diets compared to those fed the control diet (control *vs* 279 supplemented: fat body content 62% higher, t = -3.891, p = 0.0012; control *vs* heather: fat body content 280 41% higher, t = 2.850, p = 0.0223; Fig. 4B).

281 Effect of heather pollen and its flavonoids on parasitised bumble bees

282 Similarly to previous results with uninfected microcolonies, total pollen collection was significantly 283 lower in infected microcolonies fed the supplemented diet than in microcolonies fed the control diet (36% 284 less pollen collected, t = -4.414, p < 0.001), but also in infected microcolonies fed heather diet compared 285 to those fed the control diet (16% less pollen collected, t = -2.866, p = 0.0061) (Fig. 2A). Regarding the 286 reproductive success, as observed in uninfected microcolonies, microcolonies fed the supplemented and 287 heather diets produced a significantly lower brood mass compared to microcolonies fed the control diet 288 (control vs supplemented: brood mass 51% lower, t = 3.784, p < 0.001; control vs heather: brood mass 289 41% lower, t = 3.551, p < 0.001; Fig. 2B). However, no significant difference was detected for the mass of 290 newly emerged males among diet treatments (p < 0.05; Fig. 2C).

291

292 Regarding stress responses, pollen dilution was significantly higher in microcolonies fed the 293 supplemented diet than in microcolonies fed the other diets (control vs supplemented: t = 2.111, p =294 0.0398; heather vs supplemented: t = -2.120, p = 0.0390; Fig. 3A). Microcolonies fed the heather or 295 supplemented diets also displayed a lower pollen efficacy than those fed the control diet (control vs 296 supplemented: t = -3.684, p < 0.001; control vs heather: t = -4.904, p < 0.001; Fig. 3B). While no significant 297 difference was detected for larval ejection (p > 0.05), the worker survival probability was significantly 298 reduced in infected microcolonies fed the heather diet compared to those fed either the control or 299 supplemented diets (heather vs control: t = -2.265, p = 0.0235; heather vs supplemented: t = -3.331, p < -1000300 0.001; Fig. 3C).

301

In terms of individual health, no difference was detected in fat body content of workers or newly emerged males among diet treatments (p > 0.05; Figs. 4A and 4B). Regarding the parasite load, the infection dynamic was more gradual in infected microcolonies fed the control diet compared to those fed the other diets which supported a parasite load peak around day 20 before a decrease up to the end of treatment (supplemented vs control: t = 2.893, p = 0.0126; heather vs control: t = 2.328, p = 0.0313; Fig. 4C).



Figure 2. Resource collection and reproductive success. (A) Total mass of collected pollen; **(B)** Total mass of hatched offspring produced; and **(C)** Individual mass of emerged males. Each coloured data point represents a microcolony (in A and B) or an individual (in C), diamonds are mean values of each treatment, and error bars indicate the standard error. For **(C)**, means and error bars have been shifted in the graph to improve readability. Letters indicate significance at p < 0.05 (pairwise comparisons within uninfected treatments in black, and pairwise comparisons within infected treatments in black, and pairwise comparisons for the control diet between infection treatments (i.e., parasite effect). Symbol caption is in the grey zone.



Figure 3. Stress responses. (A) Pollen dilution, defined as the ratio between syrup and pollen collection; **(B)** Pollen efficacy, defined as the ratio between total mass of hatched offspring and pollen collection; and **(C)** Worker survival probability over time. For **(A)** and **(B)**, each coloured data point represents a microcolony, diamonds are mean values of each treatment, and error bars indicate the standard error. Letters indicate significance at p < 0.05 (pairwise comparisons within uninfected treatments in black, and pairwise comparisons within infected treatments in blue); n.s., non-significant. Arrows indicate the pairwise comparisons for the control diet between infection treatments (i.e., parasite effect). Symbol caption is in the grey zone.



Figure 4. Health parameters. (A) Worker fat body content, and **(B)** Male fat body content; each coloured data point represents a microcolony, diamonds are mean values of each treatment, and error bars indicate the standard error. Means and error bars have been shifted in the graphs to improve readability. **(C)** Parasite load over time. Generalized additive mixed-effect models (in C) were used to fit smoothers to the data showing mean trends [±95 % confidence intervals, light coloured bands] over time. Here, each dot represents one data point (i.e., parasite load for the monitored worker for each time point and each microcolony). Letters indicate significance at p < 0.05 (pairwise comparisons within uninfected treatments in black, and pairwise comparisons within infected treatments (i.e., parasite effect). Symbol caption is in the grey zone.

Discussion

343 Parasite effect on bumble bee

344 The parasite had no impact neither on larval ejection, total mass of offspring produced, nor on 345 individual mass of newly emerged males. Such results suggest that infection is unlikely to reduce colony 346 and offspring fitness, or dissemination success, which are related to individual size (Greenleaf et al., 2007; 347 Amin et al., 2012). The limited effects of Crithidia sp. on the reproductive success of bumble bees herein observed are in line with the literature (Brown et al., 2003; Goulson et al., 2018; Gekière et al., 2022a). This 348 349 absence of impacts on development performance and offspring fitness may stem from the fact that the 350 parasite only infects the adult stage (i.e., Crithidia sp. does not develop in bumble bee larvae, Folly et al., 351 2017). 352

353 Besides our results showed that Crithidia sp. induced larger fat body content in males emerged in 354 infected microcolonies compared to those that emerged in uninfected ones, whereas it has no impact on 355 worker fat body content. We propose two rationales to explain such a Crithidia-induced difference in fat 356 body content only in newly emerged males and not in workers. First, newly emerged males and workers 357 were likely not inoculated at the same age. Indeed, workers developed in healthy colonies and were 358 inoculated at the adult stage (most likely > 2 days old) for the establishment of infected microcolonies. 359 However, males (most likely one day old) developed in infected microcolonies and ingested Crithidia sp. 360 cells upon emergence resulting in the infection of up to 90% of them (Gekière et al., 2021, unpublished 361 results). Second, while the difference in male fat body content between infected and uninfected 362 microcolonies is unlikely to have arisen from a difference in brood care (i.e., no significant difference in 363 pollen efficacy), we cannot rule out that infected workers displayed specific brood caring behaviour. For 364 instance, they could have added peculiar nutrients or microorganisms to larval food from their 365 hypopharyngeal and mandibular glands and/or stomach to prepare their offspring to face infection (e.g., 366 addition of sterols, Svoboda et al., 1986). Such an increase in offspring fat body content through adapted 367 larval feeding by workers could be interpreted as a trans-generational prophylactic behaviour. Indeed, 368 enhanced fat body content has been assumed to correspond to a specific allocation of resources to 369 counteract parasites by mounting an immune response (Brown et al., 2003). It would be interesting to test 370 whether infected workers provide their larvae with specific central and specialised metabolites. We should 371 however underline that the relationship between fat body content and immunity has become controversial 372 since some results have been contradictory (e.g., Brown et al., 2000, Gekière et al., 2022a).

373

Although *Crithidia* sp. only showed mild effects in our experiment and in previous laboratory experiments (Brown et al., 2003; Goulson et al., 2018; Gekière et al., 2022a), it is important to keep in mind that interpretation of results observed in laboratory conditions must be interpreted with caution as controlled conditions are often not representative of natural constraints encountered in the field such as for instance, predation, flight, and foraging. For example, infection by *Crithidia* sp. has been shown to impair pollen foraging (Shykoff & Schmid-Hempel, 1991; Otterstatter et al., 2005; Gegear et al., 2006), but such effects cannot be fully comprehended under laboratory conditions.

381 Heather pollen quality: the case of flavonoids

382 Heather pollen harbours kaempferol flavonoids linked to one/two coumaroyl groups which are also 383 linked to one/two hexosides (Gekière et al., in prep). Herein, we have shown that these heather flavonoids 384 reduced the total offspring production, as indicated by a decreased pollen collection and a lower pollen 385 efficacy, as well as a reduced mass of newly emerged males, thereby altering microcolony performance. 386 Indeed, drone mass is known to impact flight distances, but also reproductive abilities, affecting the 387 dissemination and reproductive success of bumble bee populations (Greenleaf et al., 2007; Amin et al., 388 2012). Such poor quality of heather pollen for the maintenance of buff-tailed bumble bee microcolonies 389 has already been pinpointed (Vanderplanck et al., 2014). While it was partly attributed to its nutritional 390 content (i.e., low concentration of amino acids and abundance of δ -7-avenasterol and δ -7-stigmasterol, 391 Huang et al., 2011, Vanderplanck et al., 2014), our study demonstrated that specialised metabolites may 392 also impact heather pollen quality, regardless of its nutritional content (i.e., central metabolites). 393

394 Both heather pollen and its flavonoids showed detrimental effects (i.e. reduction of offspring 395 production, pollen efficacy). However, heather flavonoids seemed to induce a higher stress response than 396 heather pollen as dilution behaviour was significantly higher in microcolonies fed the supplemented diet 397 compared to those fed the control diet (i.e., mixing behaviour to mitigate unfavourable diet properties, 398 Berenbaum & Johnson, 2015; Vanderplanck et al., 2018) while such a difference was not observed for 399 microcolonies fed the heather diet. The reason for this discrepancy is not obvious, as both diets harbour 400 the same flavonoids and should therefore lead to similar dilution behaviour. Two hypotheses could be 401 proposed to explain this difference: (i) flavonoids were more bioavailable in the supplemented diets 402 (outside pollen grains after the chemical extraction) and then more easily absorbed by the workers, which 403 ultimately reduced the diet palatability (Wang et al., 2019); and (ii) as flavonoid extract was added to the 404 control diet (i.e., willow pollen) that already contained flavonoids, the supplemented diet was richer in 405 flavonoids than the other diets, reaching a threshold that ultimately reduced the diet palatability. 406 Unfortunately, it is not possible to unravel these hypotheses without additional experiments. Another 407 observation supporting the potential toxicity of heather flavonoids is the increase in fat body content in 408 males emerging from microcolonies fed heather and supplemented diets compared to those emerging in 409 microcolonies fed the control diet. Indeed, such an increase could be interpreted as a specific allocation of 410 resources to the fat body for performing a detoxification activity (Li et al., 2019). In that way, flavonoid 411 assimilation is known to induce the activation of defence mechanisms based on cytochrome P450 412 monooxygenase, a molecule that is highly active in the fat body (Scott et al., 1998). This increase in fat 413 body content was not observed in workers, which could be explained by the different exposure to 414 flavonoids during their life stages. Indeed, workers within microcolonies mainly fed on syrup, while males 415 fed on pollen during their whole larval development and were then more exposed to specialised 416 metabolites. Moreover, it is highly possible that sensitivity to pollen-specialised metabolites is higher in 417 larvae than in adults, as already demonstrated in honey bees (Lucchetti et al., 2018).

418 The complex response of parasitised bumble bees to heather pollen and its flavonoids

419 Flavonoids were associated with an increase in parasite load, which has been also observed for other 420 classes of specialised metabolites (Thorburn et al., 2015; Gekière et al., 2022a). Therefore, by contrast to 421 our expectations based on previous studies (Baracchi et al., 2015, Koch et al., 2019), the detrimental effects 422 of heather pollen flavonoids on bumble bees were not balanced by any therapeutic effect against the 423 parasite Crithidia sp. These results suggest a potential additive effect between phytochemical and parasite 424 stress as previously described (Thorburn et al., 2015), with the diet effect mostly overriding the parasite 425 effect in bumble bees in the case of heather as already shown for the sunflower (Gekière et al., 2022a). 426 The nutritional stress due to heather pollen feeding could then increase the effect of *Crithidia* sp. which 427 could be more effective under stressful conditions (Brown et al., 2000; Brown et al., 2003).

428

429 We found that mortality in infected microcolonies was lower in microcolonies fed the heather diet 430 compared to those fed the control diet. Although infection was not shown to have a significant impact on 431 mortality in microcolonies fed the control diet, heather pollen could then increase host tolerance to the 432 parasite but this effect is unlikely due to its flavonoid content as mortality in infected workers fed the 433 supplemented diet did not significantly differ from those fed the control diet. Regarding fat body content 434 in newly emerged males, males that emerged in microcolonies fed the heather or supplemented diets 435 displayed higher fat body content than those that emerged in microcolonies fed the control diet, but this 436 diet effect was not significant anymore in infected microcolonies, probably because, as discussed before, 437 the parasitic stress also increased fat body content in microcolonies fed the control diet.

438

Conclusion

How heather pollen and its specialised metabolites impact the buff-tailed bumble bee, and how they modulate the interaction with its obligate gut parasite *Crithidia* sp. are complex questions given the diversity of specialised metabolites found in the floral resources of this species. Previous studies have found that heather nectar does not contain any flavonoids (Gekière et al., in prep) but protected the pollinator from its parasite *Crithidia* sp. through callunene activity (Koch et al., 2019). In this study, we found that the occurrence of flavonoids in heather pollen reduced its collection, as well as the bumble bee fitness. Moreover, heather pollen did not help to counteract the parasite but rather appeared to induce an additional stress that could potentially increase the parasite effect. Actually, our results complete the understanding of the bumble bee-heather-parasite relationship by underlining that heather pollen is not suitable for buff-tailed bumble bee performance and does not display any therapeutic effect. This study highlights the complexity of the plant-pollinator interaction by illustrating the distinct roles and effects of specialised metabolites found either in nectar or pollen. We strongly encourage the consideration of these two floral resources in future studies investigating the medicinal effects of plant species, especially when

452 defining pollinator conservation strategies.

453

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank D. Evrard and L. Marin for their help in colony and microcolony maintenance, as well as the numerous people that helped with microcolony dissection. We are very grateful to the Laboratory of Cellular Biology (UMons) and the Laboratory of Therapeutic Chemistry and Pharmacognosy (UMons) for their advice and the access to the device needed for the microscope analyses and the diet preparation. We also thank François Dittlo for providing us with heather pollen, as well as the family of the first author (I., C., C., and C. Tourbez) for their help.

460

Funding

This study was funded by the 'METAFLORE,2019–2023' project, one ARC 'Actions de Recherche Concertées' project. C.T. PhD grant is funded by the University of Mons (UMONS). A.G. is supported by a F.R.S.-FNRS PhD grant 'Aspirant'. The PhD grant of I.S. is supported by the ARC project METAFLORE.

464 Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, M.V.; chemical analyses and extraction, I.S. and P.G.; bioassays, C.T., with help from
A.M. and A.G.; resources, D.M. and P.G.; writing—original draft preparation, C.T., with help from A.G. and
M.V.; supervision, A.G. and M.V.; funding acquisition, D.M., P.G. and M.V. All authors have read and agreed
to the published version of the manuscript.

469 Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation to the content of the article.

- 472 Data, script, and code information availability
- 473 Datasets and R script are available on a Zenedo repository: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7804841
- 474

References

- Amin, M. R., Bussière, L. F., & Goulson, D. (2012). Effects of male age and size on mating success in the
 bumblebee Bombus terrestris. *Journal of insect behavior*, 25(4), 362-374.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-011-9306-4
- Arnold, S. E., Idrovo, M., Arias, L. J. L., Belmain, S. R., & Stevenson, P. C. (2014). Herbivore defence
 compounds occur in pollen and reduce bumblebee colony fitness. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *40*(8),
 878-881. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-014-0467-4
- 482 Arrese, E. L., & Soulages, J. L. (2010). Insect fat body: energy, metabolism, and regulation. *Annual review* 483 of entomology, 55, 207-225. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085356
- Ballantyne, G., Baldock, K. C., & Willmer, P. G. (2015). Constructing more informative plant–pollinator
 networks: visitation and pollen deposition networks in a heathland plant community. Proceedings of
 the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 282(1814), 20151130. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1130
- Baracchi, D., Brown, M. J., & Chittka, L. (2015). Behavioural evidence for self-medication in bumblebees?.
 F1000Research, *4*. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6262.3
- Baron, G. L., Raine, N. E., & Brown, M. J. (2014). Impact of chronic exposure to a pyrethroid pesticide on
 bumblebees and interactions with a trypanosome parasite. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *51*(2), 460-469.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12205
- Bartolomé, C., Jabal-Uriel, C., Buendía-Abad, M., Benito, M., Ornosa, C., De la Rúa, P., ... & Maside, X.
 (2021). Wide diversity of parasites in Bombus terrestris (Linnaeus, 1758) revealed by a high-throughput
 sequencing approach. Environmental Microbiology, 23(1), 478-483. https://doi.org/10.1111/14622920.15336
- Baude, M., Kunin, W. E., Boatman, N. D., Conyers, S., Davies, N., Gillespie, M. A., ... & Memmott, J. (2016).
 Historical nectar assessment reveals the fall and rise of floral resources in Britain. *Nature*, *530*(7588),
 85-88. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16532
- 499 Berenbaum, M. R., & Johnson, R. M. (2015). Xenobiotic detoxification pathways in honey bees. *Current* 500 *opinion in insect science*, *10*, 51-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.03.005
- Biller, O. M., Adler, L. S., Irwin, R. E., McAllister, C., & Palmer-Young, E. C. (2015). Possible synergistic effects
 of thymol and nicotine against Crithidia bombi parasitism in bumble bees. *PLoS one*, *10*(12), e0144668.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144668
- Brochu, K. K., van Dyke, M. T., Milano, N. J., Petersen, J. D., McArt, S. H., Nault, B. A., ... & Danforth, B. N.
 (2020). Pollen defenses negatively impact foraging and fitness in a generalist bee (Bombus impatiens: Apidae). *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58274-2
- Brooks, M. E., Kristensen, K., Van Benthem, K. J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A., ... & Bolker, B. M.
 (2017). glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear
 mixed modeling. *The R journal*, 9(2), 378-400. https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000240890
- 510 Brown, M. J. F., Loosli, R., & Schmid-Hempel, P. (2000). Condition-dependent expression of virulence in a 511 trypanosome infecting bumblebees. *Oikos*, *91*(3), 421-427. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-512 0706.2000.910302.x
- Brown, M. J. F., Moret, Y., & Schmid-Hempel, P. (2003). Activation of host constitutive immune defence by
 an intestinal trypanosome parasite of bumble bees. *Parasitology*, *126*(3), 253-260. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182002002755
- Butchart, S. H., Walpole, M., Collen, B., Van Strien, A., Scharlemann, J. P., Almond, R. E., ... & Watson, R.
 (2010). Global biodiversity: indicators of recent declines. *Science*, *328*(5982), 1164-1168.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1187512
- Campos, M. G., Bogdanov, S., de Almeida-Muradian, L. B., Szczesna, T., Mancebo, Y., Frigerio, C., & Ferreira,
 F. (2008). Pollen composition and standardisation of analytical methods. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 47(2), 154-161. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2008.11101443
- Cole, R. J. (1970). The application of the "triangulation" method to the purification of Nosema spores from
 insect tissues. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, *15*(2), 193-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022 2011(70)90233-8
- 525 Dafni, A., Kevan, P. G., & Husband, B. C. (2005). Practical pollination biology. *Practical pollination biology*.

- Descamps, C., Moquet, L., Migon, M., & Jacquemart, A. L. (2015). Diversity of the insect visitors on Calluna
 vulgaris (Ericaceae) in Southern France heathlands. *Journal of Insect Science*, 15(1), 130.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iev116
- Descamps, C., Moquet, L., Migon, M., & Jacquemart, A. L. (2015). Diversity of the insect visitors on Calluna
 vulgaris (Ericaceae) in Southern France heathlands. Journal of Insect Science, 15(1), 130.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iev116
- 532 Detzel, A., & Wink, M. (1993). Attraction, deterrence or intoxication of bees (Apis mellifera) by plant 533 allelochemicals. Chemoecology, 4, 8-18. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01245891
- Dicks, L. V., Breeze, T. D., Ngo, H. T., Senapathi, D., An, J., Aizen, M. A., ... & Potts, S. G. (2021). A global scale expert assessment of drivers and risks associated with pollinator decline. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 5(10), 1453-1461. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01534-9
- 537 Ellers, J. (1996). Fat and eggs: an alternative method to measure the trade-off between survival and 538 reproduction in insect parasitoids. *Netherlands Journal of Zoology*, *46*, 227-235.
- Van Engelsdorp, D., Evans, J. D., Saegerman, C., Mullin, C., Haubruge, E., Nguyen, B. K., ... & Pettis, J. S.
 (2009). Colony collapse disorder: a descriptive study. *PloS one*, 4(8), e6481. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006481
- Fauser, A., Sandrock, C., Neumann, P., & Sadd, B. M. (2017). Neonicotinoids override a parasite exposure
 impact on hibernation success of a key bumblebee pollinator. *Ecological Entomology*, *42*(3), 306-314.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12385
- Fitch, G., Figueroa, L. L., Koch, H., Stevenson, P. C., & Adler, L. S. (2022). Understanding effects of floral
 products on bee parasites: mechanisms, synergism, and ecological complexity. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*, 17, 244-256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2022.02.011
- Folly, A. J., Koch, H., Stevenson, P. C., & Brown, M. J. (2017). Larvae act as a transient transmission hub for
 the prevalent bumblebee parasite Crithidia bombi. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, *148*, 81-85.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2017.06.001
- Gegear, R. J., Otterstatter, M. C., & Thomson, J. D. (2006). Bumble-bee foragers infected by a gut parasite
 have an impaired ability to utilize floral information. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273(1590), 1073-1078. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3423
- Gekière, A., Semay, I., Gérard, M., Michez, D., Gerbaux, P., & Vanderplanck, M. (2022a). Poison or Potion:
 Effects of Sunflower Phenolamides on Bumble Bees and Their Gut Parasite. *Biology*, *11*(4), 545.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11040545
- Gekière, A., Michez, D., & Vanderplanck, M. (2022b). Bumble Bee Breeding on Artificial Pollen Substitutes.
 Journal of Economic Entomology, 115(5), 1423-1431. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toac126
- Gekière, A., Semay, I., Michel, A., Tourbez, C., Gerbaux, P., Michez, D., Vanderplanck, M. Plant mediation:
 The delicate balance between herbivore deterrence and pollinator attraction. *In preparation*.
- 561Gekière, A., Vanderplanck, M., & Michez, D. (2023). Trace metals with heavy consequences on bees: A562comprehensive review. Science of The Total Environment, 165084.563https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165084
- 564 Goulson, D. (2010). *Bumblebees: behaviour, ecology, and conservation*. Oxford University Press on 565 Demand.
- Goulson, D., & Hughes, W. O. (2015). Mitigating the anthropogenic spread of bee parasites to protect wild
 pollinators. *Biological Conservation*, 191, 10-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.06.023
- Goulson, D., O'CONNOR, S. T. E. P. H., & Park, K. J. (2018). The impacts of predators and parasites on wild
 bumblebee colonies. *Ecological Entomology*, 43(2), 168-181. https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12482
- 570 Greenleaf, S. S., Williams, N. M., Winfree, R., & Kremen, C. (2007). Bee foraging ranges and their 571 relationship to body size. *Oecologia*, *153*(3), 589-596. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0752-9
- Hartig, F. (2022). DHARMa: residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level/mixed) regression models. *R package version 0.3, 3.*
- Hendriksma, H. P., Härtel, S., & Steffan-Dewenter, I. (2011). Testing pollen of single and stacked insectresistant Bt-maize on in vitro reared honey bee larvae. *PLoS One*, 6(12), e28174.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028174
- Huang, T., Jander, G., & de Vos, M. (2011). Non-protein amino acids in plant defense against insect
 herbivores: representative cases and opportunities for further functional analysis. *Phytochemistry*,
 72(13), 1531-1537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.03.019

- Irwin, R. E., Cook, D., Richardson, L. L., Manson, J. S., & Gardner, D. R. (2014). Secondary compounds in
 floral rewards of toxic rangeland plants: impacts on pollinators. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 62(30), 7335-7344. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf500521w
- Johnson, R. M., Mao, W., Pollock, H. S., Niu, G., Schuler, M. A., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2012). Ecologically
 appropriate xenobiotics induce cytochrome P450s in Apis mellifera. PloS one, 7(2), e31051.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031051
- 586 Kassambara, A., Kosinski, M., Biecek, P., & Fabian, S. (2021). Survminer: Drawing Survival Curves Using 587 Ggplot2. 2021. URL https://CRAN. R-project. org/package= survminer. R package version 0.4, 9.
- 588 Kleijn, D., & Raemakers, I. (2008). A retrospective analysis of pollen host plant use by stable and declining
 589 bumble bee species. Ecology, 89(7), 1811-1823. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1275.1
- Koch, H., Woodward, J., Langat, M. K., Brown, M. J., & Stevenson, P. C. (2019). Flagellum removal by a
 nectar metabolite inhibits infectivity of a bumblebee parasite. *Current Biology*, *29*(20), 3494-3500.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.037
- Lenth, R. (2022). emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. R package version 1.4. 7.
 2020.
- Li, J., Ou-Lee, T. M., Raba, R., Amundson, R. G., & Last, R. L. (1993). Arabidopsis flavonoid mutants are hypersensitive to UV-B irradiation. *The Plant Cell*, *5*(2), 171-179. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.5.2.171
- Li, S., Yu, X., & Feng, Q. (2019). Fat body biology in the last decade. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *64*, 315 333. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011118-112007
- Liao, L. H., Wu, W. Y., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2017a). Behavioral responses of honey bees (Apis mellifera) to
 natural and synthetic xenobiotics in food. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 15924.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15066-5
- Liao, L. H., Wu, W. Y., & Berenbaum, M. R. (2017b). Impacts of dietary phytochemicals in the presence and
 absence of pesticides on longevity of honey bees (Apis mellifera). *Insects*, 8(1), 22.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8010022
- Logan, A., Ruiz-González, M. X., & Brown, M. J. F. (2005). The impact of host starvation on parasite
 development and population dynamics in an intestinal trypanosome parasite of bumble bees.
 Parasitology, *130*(6), 637-642. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182005007304
- Lucchetti, M. A., Kilchenmann, V., Glauser, G., Praz, C., & Kast, C. (2018). Nursing protects honeybee larvae
 from secondary metabolites of pollen. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
 285(1875), 20172849. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2849
- 611 Mallinger, R. E., Gaines-Day, H. R., & Gratton, C. (2017). Do managed bees have negative effects on wild 612 А bees? systematic review of the literature. PloS one, 12(12), e0189268. 613 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189268
- Manson, J. S., Otterstatter, M. C., & Thomson, J. D. (2010). Consumption of a nectar alkaloid reduces
 pathogen load in bumble bees. *Oecologia*, *162*(1), 81-89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-009-1431-9
- 616 Martin, C. D., Fountain, M. T., & Brown, M. J. (2018). Bumblebee olfactory learning affected by task 617 not trypanosome parasite. Scientific allocation but by а reports, 8(1), 1-8. 618 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24007-9
- McMenamin, A. J., & Genersch, E. (2015). Honey bee colony losses and associated viruses. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 8, 121-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.01.015
- Meeus, I., Brown, M. J., De Graaf, D. C., & Smagghe, G. U. Y. (2011). Effects of invasive parasites on bumble
 bee declines. *Conservation Biology*, 25(4), 662-671. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2011.01707.x
- Moquet, L., Bacchetta, R., Laurent, E., & Jacquemart, A. L. (2017). Spatial and temporal variations in floral
 resource availability affect bumblebee communities in heathlands. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 26(3),
 687-702. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1266-8
- Naug, D. (2009). Nutritional stress due to habitat loss may explain recent honeybee colony collapses.
 Biological Conservation, 142(10), 2369-2372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.04.007
- Nicolson, S. W., & Thornburg, R. W. (2007). Nectar chemistry. In *Nectaries and nectar* (pp. 215-264).
 Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5937-7_5
- Onkokesung, N., Reichelt, M., van Doorn, A., Schuurink, R. C., van Loon, J. J., & Dicke, M. (2014). Modulation
 of flavonoid metabolites in Arabidopsis thaliana through overexpression of the MYB75 transcription
- 632 factor: role of kaempferol-3, 7-dirhamnoside in resistance to the specialist insect herbivore Pieris
- brassicae. Journal of experimental botany, 65(8), 2203-2217. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru096

- Onyilagha, J. C., Gruber, M. Y., Hallett, R. H., Holowachuk, J., Buckner, A., & Soroka, J. J. (2012). Constitutive
 flavonoids deter flea beetle insect feeding in Camelina sativa L. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*,
 42, 128-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2011.12.021
- Otterstatter, M. C., Gegear, R. J., Colla, S. R., & Thomson, J. D. (2005). Effects of parasitic mites and protozoa
 on the flower constancy and foraging rate of bumble bees. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *58*(4),
 383-389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-005-0945-3
- 640 Otterstatter, M. C., & Thomson, J. D. (2006). Within-host dynamics of an intestinal pathogen of bumble 641 bees. *Parasitology*, 133(6), 749-761. https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118200600120X
- Palmer-Young, E. C., Farrell, I. W., Adler, L. S., Milano, N. J., Egan, P. A., Junker, R. R., ... & Stevenson, P. C.
 (2019). Chemistry of floral rewards: intra-and interspecific variability of nectar and pollen secondary
 metabolites across taxa. *Ecological Monographs*, *89*(1), e01335. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1335
- 645 Paris, L., El Alaoui, H., Delbac, F., & Diogon, M. (2018). Effects of the gut parasite Nosema ceranae on honey 646 bee physiology and behavior. Current opinion in insect science, 26, 149-154. 647 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.02.017
- bi Pasquale, G., Salignon, M., Le Conte, Y., Belzunces, L. P., Decourtye, A., Kretzschmar, A., ... & Alaux, C.
 (2013). Influence of pollen nutrition on honey bee health: do pollen quality and diversity matter? *PloS one*, *8*(8), e72016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072016
- Pinheiro, J., & Bates, D. (2022). R Core Team (2022) nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R
 package version 3.1–160.
- Popp, M., Erler, S., & Lattorff, H. M. G. (2012). Seasonal variability of prevalence and occurrence of multiple
 infections shape the population structure of Crithidia bombi, an intestinal parasite of bumblebees (B
 ombus spp.). MicrobiologyOpen, 1(4), 362-372. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.35
- Pusztahelyi, T., Holb, I. J., & Pócsi, I. (2015). Secondary metabolites in fungus-plant interactions. *Frontiers in plant science*, *6*, 573. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00573
- R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
 Computing, Vienna, Austria. *http://www. R-project. org/*.
- Regali, A., & Rasmont, P. (1995). Nouvelles méthodes de test pour l'évaluation du régime alimentaire chez
 des colonies orphelines de Bombus terrestris (L)(Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Apidologie, 26*(4), 273-281.
 https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:19950401
- 663 Richardson, L. L., Adler, L. S., Leonard, A. S., Andicoechea, J., Regan, K. H., Anthony, W. E., ... & Irwin, R. E. 664 (2015). Secondary metabolites in floral nectar reduce parasite infections in bumblebees. Proceedings 665 of the Royal B: Biological Sciences, 282(1803), 20142471. Society 666 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2471
- de Roode, J. C., & Hunter, M. D. (2019). Self-medication in insects: when altered behaviors of infected
 insects are a defense instead of a parasite manipulation. *Current opinion in insect science*, *33*, 1-6.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.12.001
- Rosales, C. (2017). Cellular and molecular mechanisms of insect immunity. *Insect Physiology and Ecology*.
 InTeach Publicaiton. CCBY, 179-212. https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/67107
- Sánchez-Bayo, F., & Goka, K. (2014). Pesticide residues and bees–a risk assessment. *PloS one*, *9*(4), e94482.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094482
- 674 Sawyer, R., & Pickard, R. S. (1981). *Pollen identification for beekeepers*. University College Cardiff Press.
- Schmid-Hempel, P., & Schmid-Hempel, R. (1993). Transmission of a pathogen in Bombus terrestris, with a
 note on division of labour in social insects. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *33*, 319-327.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00172930
- 678 Schmid-Hempel, P. (1998). Parasites in social insects. Princeton University Press.
- Schmid-Hempel, P. (2001). On the evolutionary ecology of host–parasite interactions: addressing the
 question with regard to bumblebees and their parasites. *Naturwissenschaften*, *88*(4), 147-158.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s001140100222
- Scott, J. G., Liu, N., & Wen, Z. (1998). Insect cytochromes P450: diversity, insecticide resistance and
 tolerance to plant toxins. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Pharmacology, Toxicology and Endocrinology, 121*(1-3), 147-155. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-8413(98)10035-X
- Shykoff, J. A., & Schmid-Hempel, P. (1991). Incidence and effects of four parasites in natural populations of
 bumble bees in Switzerland. *Apidologie*, *22*(2), 117-125. https://doi.org/10.1051/apido:19910204

- 687 Simmonds, M. S. (2003). Flavonoid–insect interactions: recent advances in our knowledge. *Phytochemistry*,
 688 64(1), 21-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(03)00293-0
- Svoboda, J. A., Herbert Jr, E. W., Thompson, M. J., & Feldlaufer, M. F. (1986). Selective sterol transfer in the
 honey bee: Its significance and relationship to other hymenoptera. *Lipids*, *21*(1), 97-101.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02534310
- Tasei, J. N., & Aupinel, P. (2008). Validation of a method using queenless Bombus terrestris micro-colonies
 for testing the nutritive value of commercial pollen mixes by comparison with queenright colonies.
 Journal of Economic Entomology, 101(6), 1737-1742. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-101.6.1737
- Therneau, T. M. (2022). Mixed Effects Cox Models [R package coxme version 2.2-18.1].
- Thorburn, L. P., Adler, L. S., Irwin, R. E., & Palmer-Young, E. C. (2015). Variable effects of nicotine, anabasine,
 and their interactions on parasitized bumble bees. *F1000Research*, *4*.
- Treutter, D. (2005). Significance of flavonoids in plant resistance and enhancement of their biosynthesis.
 Plant biology, 7(06), 581-591. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2005-873009
- Vanderplanck, M., Moerman, R., Rasmont, P., Lognay, G., Wathelet, B., Wattiez, R., & Michez, D. (2014).
 How does pollen chemistry impact development and feeding behaviour of polylectic bees?. *PloS one*,
 9(1), e86209. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086209
- Vanderplanck, M., Decleves, S., Roger, N., Decroo, C., Caulier, G., Glauser, G., ... & Michez, D. (2018). Is nonhost pollen suitable for generalist bumblebees?. *Insect Science*, 25(2), 259-272.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12410
- Vanderplanck, M., Michez, D., Albrecht, M., Attridge, E., Babin, A., Bottero, I., ... & Gérard, M. (2021).
 Monitoring bee health in European agro-ecosystems using wing morphology and fat bodies. *One Ecosystem, 6*, e63653. https://doi.org/10.3897/oneeco.6.e63653
- Vaudo, A. D., Tooker, J. F., Patch, H. M., Biddinger, D. J., Coccia, M., Crone, M. K., ... & Grozinger, C. M.
 (2020). Pollen protein: lipid macronutrient ratios may guide broad patterns of bee species floral
 preferences. *Insects*, *11*(2), 132. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11020132
- Wang, S. D., Liu, W., Xue, C. B., & Luo, W. C. (2010). The effects of luteolin on phenoloxidase and the growth
 of Spodoptera exigua (Hübner) larvae (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Pesticide Science, 35(4), 483 487. https://doi.org/10.1584/jpestics.G10-24
- Wang, X. Y., Tang, J., Wu, T., Wu, D., & Huang, S. Q. (2019). Bumblebee rejection of toxic pollen facilitates
 pollen transfer. *Current Biology*, *29*(8), 1401-1406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.023
- Weber, M. (2004). Alkaloids and Old Lace: Pollen Toxins Exclude Generalist Pollinators From Death Camas.
 https://doi.org/10.26076/dc24-fa2f
- Wickham, H. (2016). Data analysis. In *ggplot2* (pp. 189-201). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978 3-319-24277-4_9
- Wood, S. N. (2006). Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R., (Chapman and Hall: CRC Press,
 Boca Raton, FL.).
- Zaynab, M., Fatima, M., Abbas, S., Sharif, Y., Umair, M., Zafar, M. H., & Bahadar, K. (2018). Role of secondary
 metabolites in plant defense against pathogens. *Microbial pathogenesis*, *124*, 198-202.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.08.034

Appendix A – Supplemented diet

Total flavonoid content of heather bee pollen, as well as its associated dried extract, were analysed in triplicates by HPLC-MS/MS (triplicates of 20 - 40 mg) for quantification (expressed as quercetin equivalent, QE). Based on these analyses, the supplementation formula was established to have a similar amount of ethanol and willow pollen in candies for all diets, as well as flavonoid concentrations in the supplemented diet mimicking natural concentration found on average in bee pollen candies, namely 12.08 mg QE/heather candy on average (14.73 ± 1.69 mg QE/g for heather bee pollen) (Table S1). We found that heather bee pollen extract contained 40.63 ± 0.72 mg QE/g (209.79 g extract).

735 **Table S1.** Diet compositions.

Diets	Ethanol (μL/g candy)	Pollen (g/g candy)	Flavonoids (mg/g candy)
Control diet	17.02	0.68	11.89
Heather pollen-supplemented diet	19.80	0.67	11.85 ¹
Heather diet	20.50	0.82	12.08

¹ The concentration indicated for supplemented diets does not take into account the flavonoid concentration already present in the control diet (11.89 mg/g candy).

738

727

739

740

Appendix B – Variable estimates

741

Table S2. Mean ± standard error (SE) values of the variable used to describe parasite and diet effects.

Variable	Uninfected			Infected		
	Control	Supplemented	Heather	Control	Supplemented	Heather
Pollen collection	12.7 ± 1.21	7.27 ± 0.85	11.0 ± 0.67	11.7 ± 0.70	7.53 ± 0.81	9.01 ± 1.01
Total mass of hatched offspring	7.16 ± 0.58	3.43 ± 0.46	4.87 ± 0.40	7.04 ± 0.36	3.46 ± 0.50	3.64 ± X0.67
Individual mass of emerged drone	0.259 ± 0.005	0.213 ± 0.006	0.201 ± 0.007	0.252 ± 0.005	0.226 ± 0.009	0.215 ± 0.006
Pollen dilution	6.14 ± 0.40	8.08 ± 1.00	5.58 ± 0.34	6.29 ± 0.37	7.87 ± 0.61	6.62 ± 0.86
Pollen efficacy	0.581 ± 0.030	0.459 ± 0.038	0.447 ± 0.032	0.605 ± 0.022	0.442 ± 0.032	0.388 ± 0.037
Larval ejection	0.984 ± 0.006	0.970 ± 0.010	0.999 ± 0.001	0.969 ± 0.007	0.889 ± 0.053	0.990 ± 0.007
Worker fat body content	0.131 ± 0.008	0.159 ± 0.009	0.147 ± 0.006	0.138 ± 0.007	0.166 ± 0.009	0.131 ± 0.006
Male fat body content	0.119 ± 0.016	0.193 ± 0.022	0.168 ± 0.020	0.186 ± 0.017	0.213 ± 0.021	0.173 ± 0.021