

Technical Note: An Autonomous Flow through Salinity and Temperature Perturbation Mesocosm System for Multi-stressor Experiments

Cale Miller, Pierre Urrutti, Jean-Pierre Gattuso, Steeve Comeau, Anaïs Lebrun, Samir Alliouane, Robert Schlegel, Frédéric Gazeau

▶ To cite this version:

Cale Miller, Pierre Urrutti, Jean-Pierre Gattuso, Steeve Comeau, Anaïs Lebrun, et al.. Technical Note: An Autonomous Flow through Salinity and Temperature Perturbation Mesocosm System for Multi-stressor Experiments. 2023. hal-04240387

HAL Id: hal-04240387 https://hal.science/hal-04240387

Preprint submitted on 13 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





1 2	Te Me	chnical Note: An Autonomous Flow through Salinity and Temperature Perturbation esocosm System for Multi-stressor Experiments
3 4 5 6	Au Le	Ithor list : Cale A. Miller ^{1,2*} , Pierre Urrutti ¹ , Jean-Pierre Gattuso ^{1,3} , Steeve Comeau ¹ , Anaïs brun ¹ , Samir Alliouane ¹ , Robert W. Schlegel ¹ , and Frédéric Gazeau ¹
6 7 8 9	1.	Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, 181 chemin du Lazaret, F-06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France
10 11 12	2.	Present address: Department of Earth Sciences, Geosciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
13 14 15	3.	Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations, Sciences Po, 27 rue Saint Guillaume, F-75007 Paris, France
16 17 18 19 20 21	*C	orrespondence to: Cale A. Miller (c.a.miller@uu.nl)
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		



56



34 Abstract

35	The rapid environmental changes in aquatic systems as a result of anthropogenic forcings are
36	creating a multitude of challenging conditions for organisms and communities. The need to
37	better understand the interaction of environmental stressors now, and in the future, is
38	fundamental to determining the response of ecosystems to these perturbations. This work
39	describes an <i>in situ</i> mesocosm perturbation system that can manipulate aquatic media in a
40	controlled setting on land. The employed system manipulated ambient water from Kongsfjorden,
41	(Svalbard) by increasing temperature and freshening the seawater to investigate the response of
42	mixed kelp communities to projected future Arctic conditions. This system manipulated
43	temperature and salinity in real-time as an offset from incoming ambient seawater to conditions
44	simulating future Arctic fjords. The system adjusted flow rates and mixing regimes of chilled,
45	heated, ambient seawater, and freshwater, based on continuously measured conditions in a total
46	of 12 mesocosms (1 ambient-control and 3 treatments, all in triplicates) for 54 days. System
47	regulation was robust as median deviations from setpoint conditions were < 0.15 for both
48	temperature (°C) and salinity across the 3 replicates per treatment. The implementation of this
49	system has a wide range of versatility and can be deployed in a range of conditions to test single
50	or multi-stressor conditions while maintaining natural variability.
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	





57 1 Introduction

58	The persistent burning of fossil fuels since the industrial revolution has radically increased
59	atmospheric CO ₂ . This has led to an enhanced greenhouse effect resulting in increasing sea
60	surface temperatures (Bindoff et al., 2019). In fjord systems, the confluence of increased fluvial
61	inputs, glacier and permafrost meltwater, stratification and water mass intrusion, as well as
62	increased sea surface temperatures can create periods of extreme physicochemical conditions for
63	nearshore benthic and pelagic marine communities (Bhatia et al., 2013; Poloczanska et al., 2016;
64	Divya and Krishnan, 2017; Bindoff et al., 2019). As ocean changes progress, the need to better
65	understand the effects of combined stressors (e.g., increased temperature and freshening) on
66	nearshore marine communities is essential to understand how community functions and species
67	richness will be affected as assemblages transition to new environmental conditions (Kroeker et
68	al., 2017; Wake, 2019; Orr et al., 2020). Assessing and characterizing the response of organisms
69	and community assemblages to future ocean change is often pursued by conducting ex situ
70	experiments, using natural analogues, or space-for-time substitution (when spatial phenomena
71	are used to model temporal changes); however, this can limit the ability to test the range and
72	dynamics of present and future physicochemical conditions (Blois et al., 2013; Rastrick et al.,
73	2018; Bass et al., 2021). The use of ex situ experimental systems that manipulate multiple
74	environmental conditions such as temperature and salinity can, thus, be a valuable tool to assess
75	the response to multi-stressors in a future ocean.
76	A principal challenge of conducting an ex situ multi-stressors experiment lies within the
77	ability to consistently modulate, replicate, and regulate the experimental conditions in real-time.
78	To date, the majority of experiments conducted on marine organisms and communities have

79 implemented only static changes to physical stressors with a limited capacity to induce





80	variability by either manually changing conditions at set time points or using coarse automation
81	with static setpoints and thresholds (Olariaga et al., 2014; Pansch and Hiebenthal, 2019; Kroeker
82	et al., 2020). Often, this can fail to capture the high frequency variability of <i>in situ</i> conditions.
83	When considering the dynamics of physicochemical conditions in nearshore systems that can
84	notably change within tidal cycles (Evans et al., 2015; Hales et al., 2016; Miller and Kelley,
85	2021; Fairchild and Hales, 2021), replication of these environmental scenarios necessitates the
86	development of an autonomous system in order to properly conduct experiments over various
87	periods of time. The advantages of implementing an automated system are that it can overcome
88	the need for capturing and measuring the abundant discrete measurements used to regulate the
89	experimental conditions. This can also remove the need for constant human observation which
90	may not be feasible in the long-term, but may be required to program new regulatory operations
91	and make rapid adjustments to the experimentally manipulated conditions.
92	Here, we describe an autonomous salinity and temperature experimental perturbation
93	mesocosm system (SalTExPreS) that can regulate salinity and temperature in real-time. This
94	system was employed outdoors, along with static light filters to mimic the increase in turbidity
95	and associated irradiance attenuation due to glacial melting to perform a two-month long
96	experiment in KongsFjorden, Svalbard, exposing mixed kelp communities to future Arctic
97	conditions. The rapidly changing conditions in Kongsfjorden are partly due to intrusion of
98	Atlantic water that increase sea surface temperature, as well as freshening from retreating sea-
99	terminating glaciers and enhanced terrestrial flow from proglacial streams (Tverberg et al.,
100	2019). Such a dynamic multi-stressor environment was ideal for the SalTExPreS deployment.
101	This study focuses on the stability and flexibility of SalTExPreS as an experimental tool to be





- 102 utilized under extreme and dynamic conditions to test the effects of physicochemical multi-
- 103 stressors on marine organisms and communities.
- 104
- 105 **2 Methods**
- 106 **2.1 Experimental design**

107 Three experimental treatments representing expected future conditions in Kongsfjorden were 108 considered to examine potential changes in the productivity, survival, and growth of mixed kelp 109 communities present at a 7 m depth in the fjord. This involved manipulating multi-stressor 110 combinations: temperature, freshening, and irradiance (Table 1). The response of communities 111 was determined by conducting weekly assessments of growth and metabolism via closed system 112 incubations (Miller et al., in prep). The temperature anomalies used for this experiment represent 113 future Arctic sea surface temperatures projected following scenarios SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 114 (Meredith et al., 2019; Overland et al., 2019). The salinity offsets were based on correlations 115 between in situ temperature and salinity during the 2020 Kongsfjorden summer (Gattuso et al., 116 2023), weeks 22 to 35 (Fig. A1). This correlation was then used to estimate salinity offsets 117 derived from the temperature offset values extrapolated from a linear fit for treatments 1 and 2 118 (Table 2). In the third treatment, only temperature was manipulated as a way to decouple the 119 multi-stressor system and evaluate a temperature only stress representing coastal areas not 120 affected by glacial melt. The effect of turbidity for treatments 1 and 2 was simulated as a decrease in surface irradiance (i.e., ~ 30 % and ~ 50 % from ambient irradiance at 7 m and 121 122 corresponding spectra) by the application of a combination of neutral light and spectral filters 123 (Lee[©] Filters) placed as static fixtures overtop the mesocosms (Fig. S2).





124	Exposed kelp communities were reconstructed in each experimental unit (i.e., a single
125	mesocosm) to represent the species composition found at ~ 7 m depth (Hop et al., 2012; Bartsch
126	et al., 2016; Paar et al., 2019). The biomass of the selected species totaled $\sim 4 \text{ kg}$ (wet weight)
127	and was composed of Alaria esculanta (1 kg), Saccharina latissima (1.5 kg), and Laminaria
128	digitata (1.5 kg). Fauna for each mesocosm was limited to the most abundant organisms found in
129	the field: sea urchins (Strongylocentrotus pallidus, Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis), snails
130	(Margarites spp.), and brittle stars (Ophiopholis aculeata) with total biomass weights ~ 400 g for
131	urchins and < 150 g for snails and sea stars at the start of the experiment.
132 133 134	2.2 Experimental system
135	Twelve circular mesocosms (3 treatments and 1 control, all in 3 replicates) of 1 m ³ (diameter
136	extending from 1.0 at the bottom to 1.2 m at the top, and ~1.2 m high) equipped with a
137	submersible mixing pump, a temperature-conductivity probe, and an optical oxygen sensor (see
138	parts list in Table A1) operated as individual experimental units for the entirety of the 54-day
139	experiment. The determined temperature and salinity offsets applied to treatments $1-3$ followed
140	a dynamic deviation tracking the control condition. The control condition was designed to
141	represent the actual in situ condition in Kongsfjorden at the approximate kelp community depth
142	(7 m). A submersible pump (NPS© Albatros F13T) was deployed at a 10 m depth, 300 m
143	offshore tapped into an underwater intake valve that was plumbed into the header tank of the
144	Kings Bay Marine Laboratory in Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard. Pumped ambient seawater was split into
145	3 sub-header tanks within the marine lab where temperature was manipulated to obtain: ambient
146	seawater, chilled seawater at 0 °C, and warmed seawater at 15 °C. Each sub-header tank was
147	plumbed to supply a constant flow of manipulated fjord water to each mesocosm placed on an
148	open outdoor platform. The control mesocosms received a mix of chilled and ambient seawater





149	to compensate for any potential warming that could occur from transit to the header tank, and
150	finally to the mesocosms. The three experimental treatments received a mix of ambient and
151	warmed water in order to achieve the projected future temperature offsets (Fig 1). Each
152	mesocosm received 0.5 $m^3\ h^{\text{-1}}$ of seawater in an open cycle which equated to a flow rate of $7-8$
153	L min ⁻¹ . The flow was maintained throughout the experiment with the exception of periods when
154	flow to each mesocosm was suspended for 3 h during weekly whole-system incubations for
155	community metabolism determination.
156	The main inflow pipes (ambient, chilled, and warmed) were plumbed in combination
157	with a freshwater tap line (Fig. 1) into a control manifold that mixed the manipulated media
158	regulating flow rates using a continuous monitoring system and a series of controlled valves
159	(Fig. 2). Continuous minutely monitoring of the inflow pressure (pre-mixing) and outflow rates
160	to each mesocosm provided high frequency logging and observation of mesocosm condition.
161	
162	2.3 Parameter regulation
163	2.3.1 Temperature and salinity
164	The control from which temperature and salinity regulation were automated was based on hourly
165	in situ readings from the AWIPEV (Alfred Wegener Institute and Institute Paul Emile Victor)
166	FerryBox which is part of the COSYNA underwater observatory (<u>https://dashboard.awi.de/</u>). The
167	inlet which is located ~ 400 m from the submersible pump was at 11 m depth. Control conditions
168	were set hourly by the logged COSYNA data, referred to henceforth as the FerryBox. Each
169	treatment condition was retained via manually programmed offsets from the control condition set
170	in the software interface (Section 2.5). The program automatically calculated the salinity offset
171	based on the preset temperature offsets (Table 1). Regulation was maintained via regulation flow





172	valves utilizing minutely measurements of temperature and salinity inside each mesocosm
173	making instantaneous adjustments. This was accomplished using an analog three-way mixing
174	valve for temperature and two-way valve for salinity (BELIMO© R3015-10-S2 with LR24A-SR
175	motor). Each valve was plumbed within the manifold and applied to each mesocosm flow line
176	where its open-position was adjusted (using a PID regulator) to reach the temperature and
177	salinity setpoint value.
178	Accurate temperature and salinity regulation was obtained using a software PID
179	controller on the corresponding Programmable Logic Controller (PLC), in PoE mode
180	(proportional on error). The PID controller measures the difference between the measure and the
181	setpoint (i.e., the error), and calculates how the valve opening should be adjusted by multiplying
182	the error, which is the integral of the error and the derivative of the error, by previously
183	determined coefficients Kp (proportional gain), Ki (integral gain) and Kd (derivative gain),
184	respectively. These coefficients were obtained experimentally using the empiric method of
185	Ziegler & Nichols (1943), and may differ from one condition to another.
186	
187 188	2.3.2 Pressure and flow regulation
189	Each main inflow line of ambient, cold and warmed seawater had its own pressure regulation
190	system established to maintain equivalent pressure levels, which aided in adjusting the flow rates
191	to the mesocosms. The system consisted of an analog pressure sensor (Siemens© 7MF1567-
192	3BE00-1AA1) and a two-way analog valve (BELIMO© R2025-10-S2 with LR24A-SR motor).
193	A pressure setpoint for all three sensors (Kp, Ki, Kd in software interface) was applied to adjust
194	the valve opening in order to regulate the pressure to the defined setpoint. Each water line with





195	post-mixed seawater flowing to each mesocosm was then manually adjusted and controlled with
196	a hand-crank valve. An analog flow rate sensor (IFM© SV3150) was placed in-line with the
197	piping going to each mesocosm located directly before each hand-crank valve. This provided up-
198	to-date logged flow rate values (updating every 30 sec), which could then be monitored to set
199	incoming flow to each mesocosm.
200 201	2.4 Automation
202	The automation was performed using 4 Industrial Arduino-based PLCs (Industrial shields \bigcirc
203	Mduino-42+), with a single PLC regulating the control and each treatment $1 - 3$. Each PLC was
204	responsible for data logging and the regulation of a specific scenario. The PLC regulating the
205	control condition-identified as the Head PLC-was the primary device responsible for
206	communication with the Branched PLCs and the monitoring computer (Fig. A2). All monitoring
207	was performed on a PC Windows application (Section 2.5) and responsible for: (1) reading data
208	received from the PLCs, (2) reading in situ data received from the internet, (3) displaying live
209	data, (4) logging data and sending to an FTP server, and (5) sending settings and commands to
210	the PLCs. Communication between the PLCs and the PC was made using http WebSocket
211	protocol on RJ45 ethernet cables, while communication between the PLCs and the conductivity-
212	temperature and oxygen sensors, flow rate sensors, and regulation valves was executed using
213	half duplex RS485 (2 wires) protocol, an analog 4-20mA signal, and analog 0-10V signal,
214	respectively. All PLCs and wired communication lines were housed in an electrical box installed
215	to an IP68 Fibox enclosure with a 400 V (3P+N+E) 32 A security switch. All the automation
216	elements use low tension (12 Vdc or 24 Vdc) through circuit breakers and fuses. The electrical
217	box was protected with a 220 V socket.





219 **2.5 Software**

220	The code for the application was written in C/C++, and developed using Visual Studio
221	community (2019 edition) with the vMicro extension and Arduino 1.8.13. The code uses publicly
222	available Arduino libraries (https://www.arduino.cc/reference/en/libraries/) as well as originally
223	designed libraries. All code is available on Github (<u>https://github.com/purrutti/FACEIT</u>). The
224	code is divided into two pathways: 'Master.ino' for the Head PLC, and 'Regul_condition.ino' for
225	the Branched PLCs. A description of the main functions applied in the code for programming the
226	system regulation and features are listed in Table A3.
227	The program application has a user-friendly interface designed to allow real-time
228	monitoring and parameterization of regulation processes (Fig. A3). From the interface, the user
229	sets the temperature condition and associated salinity offset, IP address and logging parameters,
230	sensor calibration settings, and pressure setpoints (Fig. A4). The main window displays each
231	mesocosm condition (temperature, salinity, % O2, and flow rate), their piping connections, a
232	connection status for each PLC informing proper communication, date and time of the last
233	received communication packet from the Head PLC, and the experiment status (e.g., started or
234	stopped). The interface also displays the valve-open percentage along with the pressure setpoints
235	and actual measured value for each main inlet.
236	In addition, the <i>in situ</i> data (temperature and salinity) received from the FerryBox is displayed
237	with the date of the last logged value utilized as the programmed real-time condition for the
238	control. Sensor readings of flow rate (L min ⁻¹), O ₂ concentration (% saturation), salinity, and
239	temperature (°C) are shown for each mesocosm in conjunction with the treatment setpoints (i.e.,
240	temperature, and salinity when relevant). While measured data is stored through the server
241	connection, there is a backup microSD card on the Head PLC that logs data from all mesocosms





242	every 5 se	c. The microSD should not be removed before the end of the experiment if possible. If
243	communic	cation fails between the Head PLC and the interfaced computer, data will not be
244	retrieved b	by the PC during the communication break, but will be retained by the microSD card.
245		
246	2.5.1 Men	u bar
247	Within the	e menu bar several tabs permit the setup of the project: file, settings, maintenance, and
248	data. Unde	er 'file' the system can be manually connected to, or disconnected from, the PLCs.
249	Connectio	n is usually maintained automatically. There is also an exit button that closes the
250	application	n. The 'settings' tab displays the application and experimental setting options (Fig. A4
251	a – c). All	the settings of the project are stored on the computer (found in 'application settings')
252	that is run	ning the application, which include:
253	i.	Master IP address: The IP Address of the Master PLC (centralizing all the data).
254	ii.	Data Query Interval: Frequency of queries from the application to the master PLC.
255	iii.	Data Log Interval: Number of minutes between logs to file.
256	iv.	Data Base File Path: Directory and base filename of the csv data files.
257	v.	FTP Username, Password, Path: FTP settings for sending the data file every hour.
258	vi.	InfluxDB Settings: For Live Monitoring and local storage of the data.
259	Under 'ex	perimental settings', the programmed specificities and regulation of the treatment
260	conditions	can be adjusted. This includes programming the setpoints for pressure (all main
261	inflow line	es), temperature and the salinity-temperature relational equation (on a different tab
262	selected fr	rom dropdown), as well as adjusting the Kp, Ki & Kd coefficients for the regulation
263	(see sectio	on 2.3.1). The temperature setpoint is provided by the data received from the ferry-box,
264	however the	his can be overridden if needed. The « Save to PLC » button sends the values to the





265	corresponding PLC and saves the data, while the « Load from PLC » button loads the settings
266	from the PLC. Loading should be done automatically but this button serves as a way to verify
267	that settings were saved properly. For the purposes of this experiment, the salinity setpoint was
268	calculated based on a delta salinity for treatments 1 and 2 which were derived from the linear
269	relationship with temperature (see section 2.3.1). This can also be overridden if needed by
270	selecting the manual override box.
271	The 'maintenance' tab is where sensor calibration and communication 'Debug'
272	operations can be executed (Fig. A4 d, e). Calibration can be performed for each sensor deployed
273	in each mesocosm, and uses a 2-point calibration for temperature and % oxygen. The salinity
274	calibration is done by setting the conductivity value corresponding to a temperature of 25 $^{\circ}$ C
275	rather than the <i>in situ</i> measured temperature. The conductivity value is programmed as μ S cm ⁻¹ .
276	The communication process for sensor calibration is between 5 to 10 seconds. The final option in
277	the menu is the 'data' tab which displays the historical and live data. The historical data can be
278	interfaced to an html site if desired.
279	
280 281 282	Results
282 283	3.1 Regulation of the control condition
284 285 286	The control condition from which all treatment conditions were offset tracked ambient fjord
287	temperature well over the experimental period deviating on average across replicates < 0.3 °C
288 289	(Table 2, Fig. 3). The overall quality of the regulation was based on the ability for the system to
290	read the measured data from the FerryBox, or to be overridden when communication was
291	interrupted and acknowledged by the user. During the experiment, the FerryBox went
292	intermittently offline for 24 % of the time. During these periods the real-time dashboard





293	populated by the COSYNA underwater observatory ceased updating and resulted in a break of
294	communication to the PLCs. There were two main disruptions in the regulation of the control
295	conditions resulting from the main seawater pump failure and a disconnection from the
296	FerryBox. On 2021-07-14 ~21:00 UTC the pump deployed in the fjord suffered a motor
297	malfunction causing a spike in ambient temperatures due to suspended incoming flow of water to
298	the main header tank (Figs. A5, A6). An alternative deep-water pump (90 m) was used as a
299	replacement until the pump at 10 m depth could be repaired on 2021-07-26 13:49 UTC. During
300	this period, the control condition was colder than the target fjord temperature at 7 to 10 m depth
301	by > 1 °C (Figs. 3, 4) until the proper pump depth was reestablished. The average deviation
302	(Table 2) excluded this period as the control condition was unable to be warmed as only cold and
303	ambient water lines were plumbed to the control condition (see section 2.2). The other instance
304	occurred on 2021-08-24 04:47 UTC when setpoint values did not retain the last FerryBox
305	reading and dropped to 0 °C. This issue was quickly resolved (< 8 h) by resetting the PLC.
306 307	3.2 Temperature and salinity regulation
308 309	Regulation of the temperature and salinity treatment conditions was maintained for 54 days (i.e.
307	Regulation of the temperature and samily reaction conditions was maintained for 54 days (i.e.,
310	entirety of the experiment) $(2021-07-03 - 2021-08-26)$ by the Sal1ExPreS: the entirety of the
311	planned experiment. All mesocosm conditions were held at ambient temperature and salinity for
312	the first 6 days dedicated as an acclimation period before proceeding with an incremental rise in
313	temperature over a 5-day period starting on day 7 (2021-07-10 12:00 UTC). Treatment 1
314	temperature increased by 0.55 °C d ⁻¹ , while treatment 2 and 3 increased by 0.88 °C d ⁻¹ (Figs. 3,
315	A5). The temperature offsets achieved final values at ~ 2021-07-15 21:00 UTC, 4 h after the
316	final incremental increase (Fig. 3, A5). Due to technical issues with the incoming FerryBox data,





318	incremental temperature increase on 2021-07-10 12:00 UTC because the programmed setpoint
319	based on the linear relationship with temperature was set as a manual override (Fig. 4).
320	The regulation of temperature and salinity was well maintained over the duration of the
321	experiment as the difference between the measured and setpoint value was < 0.3 °C and < 0.36
322	salinity across the three replicates per treatment (Table 2). Stochastic periods of deviations that
323	were greater than the mean value (Table 2) was due to various anomalies. In the event of a
324	communication break between the FerryBox and the Head PLC, the system is programmed to
325	automatically retain the last value read. Thus, regulation would be maintained based on this last
326	in situ temperature, unless a manual value was programmed as an override. On 2021-08-24 04:47
327	UTC, when connection with the FerryBox was interrupted, the last value was not retained and
328	resulted in strong deviations in the regulation until a manual value could be implemented (Fig.
329	A5). This happened on only one occasion and was resolved by rerunning the program code. The
330	disconnection from the FerryBox was due to interrupted logging by the FerryBox on the
331	COSYNA dashboard (https://dashboard.awi.de/), and was not a result of programming
332	miscommunication. The only lasting miscommunication error between the program and PLCs
333	occurred from 2021-07-21 to 2021-07-26 where data logging ceased, however, regulation was
334	maintained. The result was a loss of all measured data during this time period, but resulted in an
335	addition to the programming code which provided a 'disconnection pop-up window' henceforth.
336	Connection could easily be reestablished by resetting the master PLC (i.e., pressing the reset
337	button or cycling the power).
338	The salinity regulation for treatments 1 and 2 across replicates experienced a sudden
339	freshening on 2021-08-03 07:30 UTC resulting in an upward shift of the mean offset value (Fig.

A5). This event was quickly resolved by a system reset and lasted for only 2 h. Deviations in one



363



341	mesocosm (1st replicate of treatment 2) occurred intermittently from 2021-07-26 to 2021-08-03
342	due to a recurring communication issue with the treatment 2 branched-PLC. A fast reset
343	temporarily fixed the issue, but a reprogramming was required to properly resolve the issue. The
344	mean salinity offset during this time ranged from -7.0 to -2.5 (Fig. 4) compared to the set value
345	of c.a. -4.5 , which was the primary contributor to the final value for the standard deviation (SD).
346	The main cause of temporary, but frequent, setpoint deviations larger than the mean value
347	was due to low flow rates (Fig. A6). Stochastically throughout the experiment, flow rates
348	averaging 7 to 8 L min ⁻¹ would vary as a result of partial clogging, concomitant use of header
349	tank water from other parties in the marine lab, or occasional communication errors leading to
350	erroneous temperature setpoints that increased the ambient or heated seawater flow in a
351	mesocosm to outpace the filling of the respective header tanks. These instances were few,
352	however, and were not a result of the programmed control regulation of the SalTExPreS. Several
353	deviations > 2.0 salinity or °C could be explained by flow rates dropping to values $< 2 L \text{ min}^{-1}$
354	(Fig. 4, 5). These low flow rates accounted for ~ 20 % of the large deviations in temperature and
355	salinity regulation. Occasions when flow rates were $< 2 L \text{ min}^{-1}$ appeared to be minor in duration
356	and typically self-resolved (Fig. A6).
357	When accounting for the various incidences of improper regulation, the SalTExPreS was
358	able to simultaneously regulate 12 mesocosms comprised of 4 different conditions (1 control and
359	3 treatments) with an accuracy that deviated > 0.5 °C or 0.5 in salinity from the setpoint ≤ 20 %
360	and \leq 30 % of the time, respectively, when flow rates were above 2 L min ⁻¹ and excluding the
361	period of 90 m pump use (Fig. 5). Due to an erroneous control value during the 90 m usage,
362	these times were excluded. The % time deviation from a temperature setpoint > 1 $^{\circ}$ C reduced to

< 11 % for all treatments, and \leq 20 % for all salinity treatments with the exception of the 1st





364	replicate for treatment 2. Regulation of temperature resulted in a higher accuracy than regulation
365	over salinity. This higher accuracy was trivial, however, as the average difference between
366	temperature and salinity accuracy as % time > 0.5 was ~ 1.5 % higher for temperature when
367	excluding the 1 st replicate for treatment 2.
368 369 370	Discussion
371	The inaugural application of the fully autonomous SalTExPreS demonstrated the capacity for
372	this system to be successfully utilized for conducting in situ experiments on organisms or
373	communities exposed to multiple environmental drivers with a very good stability of treatment
374	conditions and an excellent match of the real-time natural variability. The versatility of the
375	system not only permits the manipulation of temperature and salinity, but could incorporate other
376	factors such as CO ₂ or nutrient concentrations (Gazeau et al., <i>in prep</i>). The automated
377	component of the system can reduce logistical hurdles needed to perform high precision
378	replication and regulation of experimental conditions that track real-time system variability.
379	While the use of such a system can reduce user oversight and limitations, there is still a need for
380	diligent handling.
381	Some of the operational challenges encountered during the 2-month experiment in
382	Svalbard were able to be mitigated or resolved henceforth. The use of pop-up alert windows
383	when a lapse in connection occurred, or when data is not logging, along with secondary coding
384	instructions (as fail-safe instructions) ensuring that the last received in situ data were maintained
385	are examples how improvements made during the experiment facilitate a more robust
386	deployment for the future. These improvements are now incorporated into the available code for
387	programming of the SalTExPreS. These new editions were implemented during a second





388	deployment that occurred in the summer of 2022 under a similar experimental design, and
389	resulted in fewer lapses and frequencies of mis-regulation (Fig. 6). Further, we forewent
390	attempting to regulate the control condition (i.e., mixing chilled seawater with ambient to
391	account for unintended warming during transit from fjord to header tank to mesocosm) during
392	this second deployment as transit time and distance from pumped fjord water was substantially
393	less than this first application. The result of this decision was the complete resolution of
394	connection issues that regulate the control setpoint. As for some of the more common disruptions
395	that can occur during long-term experimental setups using raw seawater such as pump failure
396	and clogging, both of which impacted the performance of the SalTExPreS, were extraneous
397	instances that are not relevant to its direct performance. Other issues such as a sudden glitch in
398	the programming which resulted in a sudden freshening on 2021-08-03 07:30 UTC, or the
399	persistent miscommunication with the treatment 2 branched-PLC from 2021-07-26 to 2021-08-
400	03 UTC, could have been reduced by more fastidious monitoring of the SalTExPreS regulation.
401	We note that some of these issues were easily resolvable by resetting the Head PLC or cycling
402	the power of the system. In short, efficient user operation could further reduce deviations and
403	increase the accuracy of the SalTExPreS regulation.
404	This first and initial deployment of the SalTExPreS used to conduct a multi-stressor
405	experiment provided robust results for determining mixed kelp community metabolic responses
406	to future Arctic conditions (Miller et al., in prep). Many research endeavors and implementations
407	by the SalTExPreS have the potential to conduct a larger range of experimental settings that

407 by the SalTExPreS have the potential to conduct a larger range of experimental settings that

- 408 pertain to environmental perturbation associated with climate change or other anthropogenic
- 409 forcings. The operational data produced are reliable, easily quantifiable, and provide the highest
- 410 degree of frequency for the monitoring of experimental conditions. The operation of such a





- 411 system in extreme environmental conditions has shown the durability of the manifold to endure
- 412 an adverse Arctic summer and still respond without mechanical failures. With proper operation
- 413 and user proficiency, this proves to be a highly sophisticated and powerful tool to be utilized for
- 414 aquatic perturbation experiments.
- 415 **Data availability**
- 416 The recorded parameters presented in this paper will be posted to Pangea and are available for
- 417 access.

418 Acknowledgements

- 419 This study is part of the FACE-IT Project (The Future of Arctic Coastal Ecosystems -
- 420 Identifying Transitions in Fjord Systems and Adjacent Coastal Areas). The authors thank Philipp
- 421 Fischer for access to the AWIPEV data as well as AWIPEV and Kings Bay staff for helping with
- 422 logistical details, shipping, and access to marine lab facilities.
- 423

424 Author contributions

- 425 C.M. and F.G. conceptualized the frame of the paper while F.G, S.C, and P.U. designed the
- 426 experimental system. C.M. wrote the manuscript and constructed the data analysis figures and
- 427 tables while P.U. designed the diagram figures. All authors revised, commented, and edited
- 428 during revision.

429

430 Financial support

- 431 FACE-IT has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
- 432 innovation programme under grant agreement no. 869154. Partial financial support was provided
- 433 by IPEV, The French Polar Institute.





434

435 Competing interest

- 436 The authors declare no competing interests exist.
- 437

438 References

- 439 Bartsch, I., Paar, M., Fredriksen, S., Schwanitz, M., Daniel, C., Hop, H., and Wiencke, C.:
- 440 Changes in kelp forest biomass and depth distribution in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, between 1996–
- 441 1998 and 2012–2014 reflect Arctic warming, Polar Biol, 39, 2021–2036,
- 442 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-015-1870-1, 2016.
- 443 Bass, A., Wernberg, T., Thomsen, M., and Smale, D.: Another Decade of Marine Climate
- Change Experiments: Trends, Progress and Knowledge Gaps, Frontiers in Marine Science, 8,2021.
- 446 Bhatia, M. P., Kujawinski, E. B., Das, S. B., Breier, C. F., Henderson, P. B., and Charette, M. A.:
- 447 Greenland meltwater as a significant and potentially bioavailable source of iron to the ocean,
- 448 Nature Geosci, 6, 274–278, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1746, 2013.
- 449 Bindoff N. L., Cheung W. W. L., Kairo J. G., Arístegui J., Guinder V. A., Hallberg R., Hilmi N.,
- 450 Jiao N., Karim M. S., Levin L., O'Donoghue S., Purca Cuicapusa S. R., Rinkevich B., Suga T.,
- 451 Tagliabue A. & Williamson P., 2019. Changing ocean, marine ecosystems, and dependent
- 452 communities. In: Pörtner H.-O., Roberts D., Masson-Delmotte V. & Zhai P. (Eds.), Special
- Report on Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate, pp. 447-587. Cambridge: Cambridge
 University Press.
- 454 455
- 456 Blois, J. L., Williams, J. W., Fitzpatrick, M. C., Jackson, S. T., and Ferrier, S.: Space can
- 457 substitute for time in predicting climate-change effects on biodiversity, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
- 458 A, 110, 9374–9379, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1220228110, 2013.
- 459 Divya, D. T. and Krishnan, K. p.: Recent variability in the Atlantic water intrusion and water
- 460 masses in Kongsfjorden, an Arctic fjord, Polar Science, 11, 30–41,
- 461 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2016.11.004, 2017.
- 462 Evans, W., Mathis, J. T., Ramsay, J., and Hetrick, J.: On the frontline: Tracking ocean
- 463 acidification in an Alaskan shellfish hatchery, PLOS ONE, 10, e0130384,
- 464 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130384, 2015.
- 465 Fairchild, W. and Hales, B.: High-Resolution Carbonate System Dynamics of Netarts Bay, OR
- 466 From 2014 to 2019, Frontiers in Marine Science, 7, 2021.





- 467 Gattuso, J.-P., Alliouane, S., and Fischer, P.: High-frequency, year-round time series of the
- 468 carbonate chemistry in a high-Arctic fjord (Svalbard), Earth System Science Data Discussions, 469 1-24, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2023-92, 2023.
- 470
- Hales, B., Suhrbier, A., Waldbusser, G. G., Feely, R. A., and Newton, J. A.: The Carbonate 471 Chemistry of the "Fattening Line," Willapa Bay, 2011–2014, Estuaries and Coasts, 1–14,
- 472 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-016-0136-7, 2016.
- 473 Hop, H., Wiencke, C., Vögele, B., and Kovaltchouk, N. A.: Species composition, zonation, and
- 474 biomass of marine benthic macroalgae in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, Botanica Marina, 55, 399-
- 475 414, https://doi.org/10.1515/bot-2012-0097, 2012.
- 476 Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., and Harley, C. D. G.: Embracing interactions in ocean
- 477 acidification research: confronting multiple stressor scenarios and context dependence, Biology 478
- Letters, 13, 20160802, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0802, 2017.
- 479 Kroeker, K. J., Bell, L. E., Donham, E. M., Hoshijima, U., Lummis, S., Toy, J. A., and Willis-
- 480 Norton, E.: Ecological change in dynamic environments: Accounting for temporal environmental
- 481 variability in studies of ocean change biology, Global Change Biology, 26, 54-67,
- 482 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14868, 2020.
- 483 Meredith, M., Sommerkon, M., Cassotta, S., Derksen, C., Ekaykin, A., Hollowed, A., Kofinas, 484 G., Mackintosh, A., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Muelbert, M. M. C., Ottersen, G., Ptitchard, H., and 485 Schuur, E. A. G.: Chapter 3: Polar regions — Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a
- 486 Changing Climate, IPCC, 2019.
- 487 Miller, C. A. and Kelley, A. L.: Seasonality and biological forcing modify the diel frequency of 488 nearshore pH extremes in a subarctic Alaskan estuary, Limnology and Oceanography, 66, 1475-489 1491, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11698, 2021.
- 490 Olariaga, A., Guallart, E. F., Fuentes, V., López-Sanz, A., Canepa, A., Movilla, J., Bosch, M.,
- 491 Calvo, E., and Pelejero, C.: Polyp flats, a new system for experimenting with jellyfish polyps,
- with insights into the effects of ocean acidification, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 12, 492
- 493 212-222, https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.212, 2014.
- 494 Orr, J. A., Vinebrooke, R. D., Jackson, M. C., Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Mantyka-Pringle,
- 495 C., Van den Brink, P. J., De Laender, F., Stoks, R., Holmstrup, M., Matthaei, C. D., Monk, W.
- 496 A., Penk, M. R., Leuzinger, S., Schäfer, R. B., and Piggott, J. J.: Towards a unified study of
- 497 multiple stressors: divisions and common goals across research disciplines, Proceedings of the
- 498 Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 287, 20200421, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0421, 2020.
- 499
- 500 Overland, J., Dunlea, E., Box, J. E., Corell, R., Forsius, M., Kattsov, V., Olsen, M. S., Pawlak, J.,
- Reiersen, L.-O., and Wang, M.: The urgency of Arctic change, Polar Science, 21, 6-13, 501
- 502 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2018.11.008, 2019.





- 503Paar, M., de la Vega, C., Horn, S., Asmus, R., and Asmus, H.: Kelp belt ecosystem response to a504changing environment in Kongsfjorden (Spitsbergen), Ocean & Coastal Management, 167, 60–505504
- 505 77, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.09.003, 2019.
- 506 Pansch, C. and Hiebenthal, C.: A new mesocosm system to study the effects of environmental
- 507 variability on marine species and communities, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 17,
- 508 145–162, https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10306, 2019.
- 509 Poloczanska, E. S., Burrows, M. T., Brown, C. J., García Molinos, J., Halpern, B. S., Hoegh-
- 510 Guldberg, O., Kappel, C. V., Moore, P. J., Richardson, A. J., Schoeman, D. S., and Sydeman, W.
- 511 J.: Responses of Marine Organisms to Climate Change across Oceans, Frontiers in Marine
- 512 Science, 3, 2016.
- 513 Rastrick, S. S. P., Graham, H., Azetsu-Scott, K., Calosi, P., Chierici, M., Fransson, A., Hop, H.,
- 514 Hall-Spencer, J., Milazzo, M., Thor, P., and Kutti, T.: Using natural analogues to investigate the
- 515 effects of climate change and ocean acidification on Northern ecosystems, ICES Journal of
- 516 Marine Science, 75, 2299–2311, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsy128, 2018.
- 517 Tverberg, V., Skogseth, R., Cottier, F., Sundfjord, A., Walczowski, W., Inall, M. E., Falck, E.,
- 518 Pavlova, O., and Nilsen, F.: The Kongsfjorden Transect: Seasonal and Inter-annual Variability in
- 519 Hydrography, in: The Ecosystem of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, edited by: Hop, H. and Wiencke,
- 520 C., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 49–104, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46425-
- 521 1_3, 2019.
- 522 Wake, B.: Experimenting with multistressors, Nat. Clim. Chang., 9, 357–357,
- 523 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0475-z, 2019.
- 524 Ziegler, J. G. and Nichols, N. B.: Optimum Settings for Automatic Controllers, Transactions of
- the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 64, 759–765, https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4019264,
 1943.
- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530
- 531
- 532
- 533
- 534





535 Tables

- 536 **Table 1.** Experimental treatments with given temperature and calculated salinity offsets based on
- 537 linear correlation with temperature (Fig. A1).

	Treatment	Temperature	Salinity	PAR
	Control	Ambient	Ambient	Ambient
	Trt 1	+ 3.3 °C	$\Delta 2.5 - 3.0 y = 0.546*T + 0.490$	$\sim 30\%$ decrease from ambient
	Trt 2	+ 5.3 °C	$\Delta 5.0 - 5.5$ y = 0.877*T + 0.089	$\sim 50\%$ decrease from ambient
538	Trt 3	+ 5.3 °C	Ambient	Ambient
539				
540				
541				
542				
543				
544				
545				
546				
547				
548				
549				
550				
551				
552				
553				





- 554 **Table 2.** Absolute mean difference between measured temperature and salinity against setpoints
- 555 plus or minus the standard deviation. A weighted average was used for treatments 1 3 to
- account for the 5-day incremental increase. Cntrl. is the control and Trt. is treatment 1 3 with
- 557 replicates a c.

	T	Mean diff	Mean diff		Manipula	ited water	
	Treatment	$Abs(T_{meas.} - T_{set})$	Abs(S _{meas.} - S _{set})	Cold	Ambient	Warm	Fresh
	Cntrl. a	0.275 ± 0.39	_	х	х		
	Cntrl. b	0.291 ± 0.36	-	х	х		
	Cntrl. c	0.223 ± 0.36	-	х	х		
	Trt. 1a	0.126 ± 0.31	0.116 ± 0.31		х	х	х
	Trt. 1b	0.142 ± 0.29	0.148 ± 0.22		х	х	x
	Trt. 1c	0.145 ± 0.33	0.171 ± 0.33		х	х	x
	Trt. 2a	0.111 ± 0.29	0.357 ± 0.74		х	x	х
	Trt. 2b	0.133 ± 0.29	0.149 ± 0.26		х	х	х
	Trt. 2c	0.196 ± 0.38	0.128 ± 0.25		Х	х	х
	Trt. 3a	0.109 ± 0.27	-		х	х	
	Trt. 3b	0.112 ± 0.27	-		х	x	
558	Trt. 3c	0.106 ± 0.28	-		Х	х	
560 561 562 563 564 565 566							
567							
568							
570							
571							





572 Figures



574 (max flow 6 m³ h









- 586 Figure 2. Visual diagram of manifold layout and actual application at the experimental site in
- 587 Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard.
- 588
- 589





590





Figure 3. Regulation of the temperature offset during the experimental period for the mean value of all treatment conditions and ambient-control. The purple shaded region around the mean is the standard deviation and the heatmap isoclines are the instances when flow rates $\leq 2 \text{ L min}^{-1}$.

595 Dashed black lines indicate periods when the pump at 10 m depth and 90 m depth were used to

- 596 feed header tanks.
- 597
- 598 599
- 595
- 600





602





Figure 4. Regulation of the mean salinity offset (Δ salinity) during the experimental period for treatments 1 and 2. The purple shaded region around the mean is the standard deviation and the heatmap isoclines are the instances when flow rates $\leq 2 \text{ L} \text{ min}^{-1}$. Dashed black lines indicate

607 periods when the pump at 10 m depth and 90 m depth were used to feed header tanks.

- 608
- 609
- 610 611
- 011
- 612
- 613





614





616 Figure 5. Percent time each mesocosm had a deviation > (black bars) or < (colored) 0.5 (Δ S; a)

617 or 0.5 °C (Δ T; **b**) when flow rates were above 2 L min⁻¹ spanning 42 days out of the 54 days of

618 the experiment: excluding the time when using the 90 m pump as regulation setpoints were

- 619 invalid due to an erroneous control.
- 620
- 621
- 622
- 623
- 624
- 625







Figure 6. Regulation of the mean temperature offset (Δ temperature) during the 2nd deployment of SalTExPreS in the summer of 2022 in Tromsø (Norway) performing a variation of heatwave scenarios with three experimental treatments 1 – 3. The purple shaded region around the mean is the standard deviation.

- 632
- 633
- 634 635
- 636
- 637
- 638





639 Appendix A

640 **Table A1.** Functions used for programming of software

LUICTON	Operation	Ancinary frem o ender 1D	$\pi ncnury frem Command \pi$
RTC.read()	The PLCs are equipped with a RTC chip and battery to keep track of the date. Once set on commissioning, RTC read() returns the current date and time.		
	This functions loops through each sensor connected on the RS485 bus. Each Mesocosm has two sensors (O2 and Conductivity/Salinity), so each PLC has 6 sensors connected on its bus.		
readMBSensors()	 - O2 sensors have addresses ranging from 10 to 12, for mesocosms 0 to 2 of the scenario, respectively. - PC4E sensors have addresses ranging from 30 to 32, for mesocosms 0 to 2 of the scenario, respectively. - Sensors are requested individually and in sequence. A request is made every 200 ms. 		
webSocket.loop()	This is a callback function responsible for dealing with the WebSocket communication. The master PLC is the WebSocket server. It listens to slave PLCs requests and to the monitoring PC requests. Requests are JSON formated. They always contain <i>anciallry fields:</i> senderD (ID of the entity sending the request), condID (ID of the quested entity, command type of the request). They optionally can also contain a « time » field: Univ-like timestamp (number of seconds since 01-01-1970)	Head PLC (ID = 0) Branched PLCs (ID = 1–3) Monitoring PC (ID = 4)	Request params: scepoints, PID settings (# = 0) Request data: measurement values, regulation outputs (# = 1) Send Data: response to a < request data > request (# = 2) Send Data: response to a < request data > request (# = 3) Calibrate sensor: request for calibrating sensor to specified value (# = 4) Request Head data: specific data measured by Head PLC (pressure & flowrates) (# = 5) Send Head data: a response to a < request Head data > request (# = 6)
regulationTemperature()	This function is responsible for the temperature regulation of the mesocosm. It sets the corresponding three- way valve position using 0-10V analog signal. The function first checks if the regulations is in « manual override » mode. If so, it applies the override septoint I float, it reads the temperature messure in the mesocosm, compared it with the septoint, and uses the PID settings to set the valve position.		
checkMesocosmes()	This functions loops through every mesocosm every 200 ms and reads analog signals (i.e., flowrates and pressure readings).		
regulationPression() Only for HEAD PLC	This function is responsible for the pressure regulation of the mesocosm. It sets the corresponding three- way valve position using a 0-10V analog signal. The function first checks if the regulation is in a manual override s model. If so, it applies the override setpoint. If not, it reads the pressure measure in the mesocosm, compares it with the setpoint, and uses the PID settings to set the valve position.		
printToSD() Only for HEAD PLC	Master PLC is equipped with a microSD card, on which data from all mesocosms is logged every 5 seconds, in one esv file per day. This is for security only, as the microSD card is not easy to remove from the PLC casing. It should not be removed before the end of the experiment		
regulationSalinite() Only for Branched PLCs	This function is responsible for the salinity regulation of the mesocosm. It sets the corresponding three-way valve position using a 0-10V snalog signal. The function first checks if the regulation is in « manual override » mode. If so, it tapplies the override setpoint. If not, it reads the salinity measure in the mesocosm, compares it with the setpoint, and uses the PID settings to set the valve position.		







Figure A1. Relationship between temperature and salinity in summer 2020 weeks 22 – 35 in NyÅlesund, Svalbard. (a) Relationship extrapolated using temperature offsets for projected 2100
SSP scenarios. (b) Applied salinity offsets based on relationship with temperature used for
treatments 1 and 2 (Table 1).

648

- 649
- 650
- 651







654 Figure A2. Diagram and flow-chart of the automation system.





FACE-IT Meso

osm App



Figure A3. Application interface displaying real-time monitoring of ambient conditions as well
and control (Cntrl.), and treatment (Trt.) conditions for each replicate (Rep.) in each mesocosm.



Manual Override 0 % Manual Override 0 % Carcel		Pressure setpoint 0.00 Temperature setpoint 3.75	Control Condition v (b) Pressure regulation	Experiment Settings	Image: Section of Amage:
Centre Response received: Control Contro Contro Control	Please be partient. The collivation process can take a while, If the measure is not supdated after 30 seconds, you are allowed to re-clut as the button. Sometimus a double clut data the trick)	Calibration standard 1 Sec.Com.et al. Modely a value between 0°C and 3°C Calibration standard 2 Sec.Sec.Sec.Sec.Sec.Sec.Sec.Sec.Sec.Sec.	0 v Monormati 377%	× Culture (d)	× Image: Condition 1 -

EGUsphere print repository

9.3133)],"regul\$";{"cons";0.3},"regulT";{"cons";3.75}}





- **Figure A4.** Operation windows for the application and experimental settings (**a-c**). These
- 673 windows are found under the 'settings' tab. Operation windows for sensor calibration and
- 674 debugging (**d**, **e**). These are found under the 'maintenance' tab.







697 Figure A5. Mean temperature (a) and salinity (b)over the entire operation of the experimental

698 system.





707





Figure A6. Flow rates for ambient-control, and treatments 1-3 for the entirety of the system

710 operation. Black isoclines are when incubations were performed and the system was shut-off for

711 a period of 3 h. Flow rates went to zero at these times.

712







- 714
- 715 Figure A7. Electrical cabinet used for SalTExPreS
- 716
- 717
- 718
- 719





- D1: Alim 24Vdc D2: Alim 12Vdc D1 D2 Alim 24V Alim 12V 721 24V 12V 24V 12V ٥١, 722 Vers rangée 3 723 724 725 726
- 720 **Figure A8.** Electrical schematic for wiring within the electrical box.







730 **Figure A9.** PLC controller diagram for Head (a) and Branched (b) operations.

731





Group	Item	Supplier/manufacturer	Model / details	Quantity
Hydraulic	e system			
	Mesocosms	home made	1000 L fiber glass	12
	Seawater pump	NPS	Albatros F13T	1
	PVC-U tubing and fittings		20mm, 32mm & 50mm diameter	-
	Insulated flexible hose		19mm diameter	100 m
Sensors				
	Conductivity / temperature	Aqualabo	PC4E	12
	Oxygen	Aqualabo	PODOC	12
	Pressure	Siemens	7MF1567-3BE00- 1AA1	3
	Flow rate	IFM	SV3150	12
Actuators				
	Pressure regulation valves	BELIMO	R2025-10-S2 with LR24A-SR motor	3
	Temperature regulation valves	BELIMO	R3015-10-S2 with LR24A-SR motor	12
	Salinity regulation valves	BELIMO	R2015-10-S2 with LR24A-SR motor	6
Automati	on cabinet			
	Cabinet	Fibox	FIB8120017N	1
	Security switch	KRAUS-NAIMER	KNA002245	1
	12 vdc power supply	Lambda	LAMDRL30-12-1	1
	24vdc power supply	Lambda	LAMDRB240-24-1	1
	PLC	Industrial shields	Mduino-42+	4
	Ethernet switch	HIRSCHMANN-INET	HIR942132002	1

733 Table A2. Parts list with manufacturer model numbers.