Transfer Learning on Generalized Linear Regression

Mathias Bourel

Jairo Cugliari

Universidad de la Republica

Univ Lumière Lyon 2

August 7th 2023 JSM '23 – Toronto

Basic definitions

domain
$$\mathfrak{D} = {\mathfrak{C}, P(X)}$$
 where $X = {x_1, \dots, x_n} \in \mathfrak{C}^n$
task $\mathfrak{T} = {\mathfrak{Y}, f(\cdot)}$

[.] Pan, S.J., & Yang, Q. (2010). A Survey on Transfer Learning. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 22, 1345-1359.

Basic definitions domain $\mathfrak{D} = {\mathfrak{D}, P(X)}$ where $X = {x_1, \dots, x_n} \in \mathfrak{D}^n$ task $\mathfrak{T} = {\mathfrak{Y}, f(\cdot)}$

Given $\{\mathfrak{D}_S, \mathfrak{T}_S, \mathfrak{D}_T, \mathfrak{T}_T\}$, TL aims to help improve the learning of $f_T(\cdot)$ using information in $\mathfrak{D}_S, \mathfrak{T}_S$ where $\mathfrak{D}_S \neq \mathfrak{D}_T$ or $\mathfrak{T}_S \neq \mathfrak{T}_T$.

[.] Pan, S.J., & Yang, Q. (2010). A Survey on Transfer Learning. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 22, 1345-1359.

Basic definitions

domain
$$\mathfrak{D} = {\mathfrak{D}, P(X)}$$
 where $X = {x_1, \dots, x_n} \in \mathfrak{D}^n$
task $\mathfrak{T} = {\mathfrak{Y}, f(\cdot)}$

Given $\{\mathfrak{D}_S, \mathfrak{T}_S, \mathfrak{D}_T, \mathfrak{T}_T\}$, TL aims to help improve the learning of $f_T(\cdot)$ using information in $\mathfrak{D}_S, \mathfrak{T}_S$ where $\mathfrak{D}_S \neq \mathfrak{D}_T$ or $\mathfrak{T}_S \neq \mathfrak{T}_T$.

3 main research issues

what, how and <u>when</u> to transfer?

[.] Pan, S.J., & Yang, Q. (2010). A Survey on Transfer Learning. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 22, 1345-1359.

Basic definitions

lomain
$$\mathfrak{D} = {\mathfrak{D}, P(X)}$$
 where $X = {x_1, \dots, x_n} \in \mathfrak{D}^n$
task $\mathfrak{T} = {\mathfrak{Y}, f(\cdot)}$

Given $\{\mathfrak{D}_S, \mathfrak{T}_S, \mathfrak{D}_T, \mathfrak{T}_T\}$, TL aims to help improve the learning of $f_T(\cdot)$ using information in $\mathfrak{D}_S, \mathfrak{T}_S$ where $\mathfrak{D}_S \neq \mathfrak{D}_T$ or $\mathfrak{T}_S \neq \mathfrak{T}_T$.

3 main research issues

what, how and <u>when</u> to transfer?

settings

inductive, transductive & unsupervised TL

instance, feature, parameter, RK

. Pan, S.J., & Yang, Q. (2010). A Survey on Transfer Learning. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 22, 1345-1359.

approaches

The linear regression (LR) setting

Task : regression ($\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}^{D}$, $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, $f(\cdot) = E[Y|X = \cdot]$)

f(x) is an estimate of f(x)
 ŷ = f(x) is a prediction of y

•
$$\mathscr{R} = \mathbb{E}[(y - \hat{y})^2] (L_2 \operatorname{risk})$$

[.] Obst et al. (2022). Improved linear regression prediction by transfer learning. CSDA, vol. 174.

The linear regression (LR) setting

Task : regression ($\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}^{D}$, $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, $f(\cdot) = E[Y|X = \cdot]$)

•
$$\Re = \mathbb{E}[(y - \hat{y})^2] (L_2 \operatorname{risk})$$

Gain of using the alternative predictor $\hat{f}_{\Box}(x)$

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_T(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_\Box(x))^2]$$

[.] Obst et al. (2022). Improved linear regression prediction by transfer learning. CSDA, vol. 174.

The linear regression (LR) setting

Task : regression ($\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}^{D}$, $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, $f(\cdot) = E[Y|X = \cdot]$)

•
$$\Re = \mathbb{E}[(y - \hat{y})^2]$$
 (L₂ risk)

Gain of using the alternative predictor $\hat{f}_{\Box}(x)$

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_T(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_{\Box}(x))^2]$$

Linear model $(f(x) = x^{\top}\beta$, fixed design)

Data : $(X_{\nu,i}, Y_{\nu,i}), i = 1, ..., N_{\nu}$ with $\nu \in \{S, T\}$ and $N_T \ll N_S$ $Y_{\nu} = X_{\nu}\beta_{\nu} + \epsilon_{\nu}, \qquad \mathbb{E}\epsilon_{\nu} = 0, \mathbb{E}\|\epsilon_{\nu}\|^2 = \sigma_{\nu}^2, \qquad \hat{\beta}_{\nu} = (X_{\nu}^{\top}X_{\nu})^{-1}X_{\nu}^{\top}Y_{\nu}, \qquad \nu \in S, T$

[.] Obst et al. (2022). Improved linear regression prediction by transfer learning. CSDA, vol. 174.

Ex. 1) Non-adaptive transfer : $\hat{f}_{\Box}(x) = \hat{f}_{S}(x)$

What happens if we estimate $\hat{f}_{S}(x) = x^{\top}\hat{\beta}_{S}$ and predict $\hat{y}_{T} = \hat{f}_{S}(x)$?

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_T(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_\Box(x))^2]$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{T}}(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\Box}(x))^2]$$

= $x^{\top} (\underbrace{\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{T}}^\top X_{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} - \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{S}}^\top X_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1} - (\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})(\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})^{\top}}_{:=H})x$

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_T(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_\Box(x))^2]$$

= $x^\top (\underbrace{\sigma_T^2 (X_T^\top X_T)^{-1} - \sigma_S^2 (X_S^\top X_S)^{-1} - (\beta_T - \beta_S)(\beta_T - \beta_S)^\top}_{:=H}) x$

• Gain for any new x if H is known!!!

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{T}}(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\Box}(x))^2]$$

= $x^{\top} (\underbrace{\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} X_{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} - \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{S}}^{\top} X_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1} - (\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})(\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})^{\top}}_{:=H})x$

- Gain for any new x if H is known!!!
- Since *H* is a quadratic form, the eigen structure $(\lambda_j, e_j, j = 1, ..., D)$ is key

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{T}}(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\Box}(x))^2]$$

= $x^{\top} (\underbrace{\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} X_{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} - \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{S}}^{\top} X_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1} - (\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})(\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})^{\top}}_{:=H})x$

- Gain for any new x if H is known!!!
- Since *H* is a quadratic form, the eigen structure $(\lambda_i, e_i, j = 1, ..., D)$ is key
 - [max|min] gain = $[\lambda_{max}|\lambda_{min}]$ eigen value of H in the direction of $[e_{max}|e_{min}]$

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{T}}(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_{\mathcal{T}} - \hat{f}_{\Box}(x))^2]$$

= $x^{\top} (\underbrace{\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{T}}^{\top} X_{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} - \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^2 (X_{\mathcal{S}}^{\top} X_{\mathcal{S}})^{-1} - (\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})(\beta_{\mathcal{T}} - \beta_{\mathcal{S}})^{\top}}_{:=H}) \times$

- Gain for any new x if H is known!!!
- Since *H* is a quadratic form, the eigen structure $(\lambda_j, e_j, j = 1, ..., D)$ is key
 - [max|min] gain = $[\lambda_{max}|\lambda_{min}]$ eigen value of H in the direction of $[e_{max}|e_{min}]$
 - No possible positive transfer if λ_{max} < 0, while if λ_{min} > 0 transfer is positive $\forall x$

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_T(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_\Box(x))^2]$$

= $x^\top (\underbrace{\sigma_T^2 (X_T^\top X_T)^{-1} - \sigma_S^2 (X_S^\top X_S)^{-1} - (\beta_T - \beta_S)(\beta_T - \beta_S)^\top}_{:=H}) x$

- Gain for any new x if H is known!!!
- Since *H* is a quadratic form, the eigen structure $(\lambda_j, e_j, j = 1, ..., D)$ is key
 - [max|min] gain = $[\lambda_{max}|\lambda_{min}]$ eigen value of H in the direction of $[e_{max}|e_{min}]$
 - No possible positive transfer if λ_{max} < 0, while if λ_{min} > 0 transfer is positive $\forall x$
 - Let $\operatorname{Tr}(A)$: trace of A, $\Sigma_{\nu} = (1/N_{\nu})X_{\nu}^{\top}X_{\nu}$, then positive gain if

$$N_{S} \geq \frac{\sigma_{S}^{2} \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma_{S}^{-1})}{(\sigma_{T}^{2}/N_{T}) \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma_{T}^{-1}) - \|\beta_{S} - \beta_{T}\|^{2}}$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_T(x))^2] - \mathbb{E}[(y_T - \hat{f}_\Box(x))^2]$$

= $x^\top (\underbrace{\sigma_T^2 (X_T^\top X_T)^{-1} - \sigma_S^2 (X_S^\top X_S)^{-1} - (\beta_T - \beta_S)(\beta_T - \beta_S)^\top}_{:=H}) \times$

- Gain for any new x if H is known!!!
- Since *H* is a quadratic form, the eigen structure $(\lambda_j, e_j, j = 1, ..., D)$ is key
 - [max|min] gain = $[\lambda_{max}|\lambda_{min}]$ eigen value of H in the direction of $[e_{max}|e_{min}]$
 - No possible positive transfer if λ_{max} < 0, while if λ_{min} > 0 transfer is positive $\forall x$
 - Let $\operatorname{Tr}(A)$: trace of A, $\Sigma_{\nu} = (1/N_{\nu})X_{\nu}^{\top}X_{\nu}$), then positive gain if

$$N_{S} \geq \frac{\sigma_{S}^{2} \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma_{S}^{-1})}{(\sigma_{T}^{2}/N_{T}) \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma_{T}^{-1}) - \|\beta_{S} - \beta_{T}\|^{2}}$$

In general H will be indefinite and its spectral components difficult to estimate

A test of positive gain : \mathfrak{H}_o) $\Delta \mathfrak{R}(x) \leq 0$ vs \mathfrak{H}_a) $\Delta \mathfrak{R}(x) > 0$

Result

Let $\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^2 = \|Y_{\nu} - X_{\nu}\hat{\beta}_{\nu}\|^2 / (N_{\nu} - D)$ be the estimator of noise variances σ_{ν}^2 . Consider $\hat{\rho}$ an estimator of the the quantity $\rho \geq \|\beta_T - \beta_S\| / \sigma_T$. Then, the following test is of approximate level *a* to test \mathcal{H}_o) against \mathcal{H}_a)

$$\mathbb{1}\left(\psi(x):=\frac{\hat{\sigma}_T^2}{\hat{\sigma}_S^2}\frac{x^\top(X_T^\top X_T-\hat{\rho})^{-1}x}{x^\top(X_S^\top X_S)^{-1}x}>q^{1-a}\right),$$

where q^{1-a} is the quantile of order 1 - a of r.v. $F \sim \mathcal{F}_{N_T - D, N_S - D}$. The associated p-value is

$$p(x) = \mathbb{P}(F > \psi(x))$$

Ex. 2) Transfer by fine-tuning : $\hat{f}_{\Box}(x) = \Lambda(\hat{f}_{S}(x))$

- We use batch gradient descent (GD) of step size α on the $\{\mathcal{D}_T, \mathcal{T}_t\}$ and L_2 error
- At iteration k, the estimator of β can be written as (compare to Chen et al.))

$$\hat{\beta}_k = A^k \hat{\beta}_S + (I - A^k) \hat{\beta}_T, \qquad A = (I - \alpha \Sigma_T), \text{ with } \Sigma_T = X_T^\top X_T$$

• Define $\Omega_k = \alpha^{-1} \Sigma_T^{-1} (I_D - A^k)$ and $B = (\beta_T - \beta_S) (\beta_T - \beta_S)^{\top}$, then the gain is

$$\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = x^\top \left(\sigma_T^2 (\Sigma_T^{-1} - \alpha^2 \Omega_k \Sigma_T \Omega_k) - \sigma_S^2 A^k \Sigma_S^{-1} A^k - A^k B A^k \right) x := x^\top H_{\alpha,k} x$$

with a corresponding expression for the test.

[.] Chen, A., Owen, A.B., & Shi, M. (2013). Data Enriched Linear Regression. Electronic Journal of Statistics, 9, 1078-1112.

The new model is $y = \Psi(x^{\top}\beta) + \epsilon$. We have to choose,

• a probability distribution for y,

• a loss function (needed for GD, risk),

• an expression of $\Delta \mathcal{R}$.

The new model is $y = \Psi(x^{\top}\beta) + \epsilon$. We have to choose,

• a probability distribution for y, $y \sim f_{\theta} \in \mathcal{EF}$, the exponential family,

$$\mathcal{EF} = \{f_{\theta} : f_{\theta}(y) = \exp(\theta y - \Psi(\theta))\}$$

• a loss function (needed for GD, risk),

• an expression of $\Delta \mathcal{R}$.

The new model is $y = \Psi(x^{\top}\beta) + \epsilon$. We have to choose,

• a probability distribution for y, $y \sim f_{\theta} \in \mathcal{EF}$, the exponential family,

$$\mathcal{EF} = \{f_{\theta} : f_{\theta}(y) = \exp(\theta y - \Psi(\theta))\}$$

• a loss function (needed for GD, risk),

 $B_{\Phi}(\mathsf{p},\mathsf{q}) = \Phi(\mathsf{p}) - \Phi(\mathsf{q}) - \langle
abla_{\Phi}(\mathsf{q}),\mathsf{p}-\mathsf{q}
angle$

• an expression of $\Delta \mathcal{R}$.

The new model is $y = \Psi(x^{\top}\beta) + \epsilon$. We have to choose,

• a probability distribution for y, $y \sim f_{\theta} \in \mathcal{EF}$, the exponential family,

$$\mathcal{EF} = \{f_{\theta} : f_{\theta}(y) = \exp(\theta y - \Psi(\theta))\}$$

- a loss function (needed for GD, risk), $B_{\Phi}(p,q) = \Phi(p) \Phi(q) \langle \nabla_{\Phi}(q), p q \rangle$
 - an expression of $\Delta \mathcal{R}$.

Difference of deviances

Example : the logistic regression

Task : regression ($\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{R}^{D}$, $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, $f(\cdot) = E[\Psi(Y)|X = \cdot]$), Ψ the logit link function

- $\hat{f}(x)$ is an estimate of f(x)
- $\hat{y} = \hat{f}(x)$ is a prediction of y

• $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{E}[I(y, \hat{y})]$, with *I* the negative binary entropy)

Example : the logistic regression

Task : regression ($\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{R}^{D}$, $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, $f(\cdot) = E[\Psi(Y)|X = \cdot]$), Ψ the logit link function

- $\hat{f}(x)$ is an estimate of f(x)
- $\hat{y} = \hat{f}(x)$ is a prediction of y

• $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{E}[l(y, \hat{y})]$, with *l* the negative binary entropy)

Gain of using the alternative predictor $\hat{f}_{\Box}(x)$

 $\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[I(y_T, \hat{y}_T)] - \mathbb{E}[I(y_T, \hat{y}_{\Box}(x))]$

Example : the logistic regression

Task : regression ($\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{R}^{D}$, $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, $f(\cdot) = E[\Psi(Y)|X = \cdot]$), Ψ the logit link function

- $\hat{f}(x)$ is an estimate of f(x)
- $\hat{y} = \hat{f}(x)$ is a prediction of y

• $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{E}[l(y, \hat{y})]$, with *l* the negative binary entropy)

Gain of using the alternative predictor $\hat{f}_{\Box}(x)$

 $\Delta \mathcal{R}(x) = \mathbb{E}[I(y_T, \hat{y}_T)] - \mathbb{E}[I(y_T, \hat{y}_{\Box}(x))]$

Generalized Linear model $(f(x) = \Psi(x^{\top}\beta)$, fixed design)

Estimation : use IWLS on source and GD (neg binary entropy) on target

Simulated dataset



Conclusion

- The framework for testing transferability is adaptable to generalized linear regression models.
- Strong use of the connection between Exponential Family and Bernstein divergences.
- Further work : gain insight on the gain to obtain a test.