

Posets, their Incidence Algebras and Relative Operads, and the Cohomology Comparison Theorem

Vane Jacky I I I Batkam Mbatchou, Frédéric Patras, Calvin Tcheka

▶ To cite this version:

Vane Jacky I I I Batkam Mbatchou, Frédéric Patras, Calvin Tcheka. Posets, their Incidence Algebras and Relative Operads, and the Cohomology Comparison Theorem. 2023. hal-04239617

HAL Id: hal-04239617 https://hal.science/hal-04239617

Preprint submitted on 12 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Posets, their Incidence Algebras and Relative Operads, and the Cohomology Comparison Theorem

Batkam Mbatchou V. Jacky III¹, Frédéric Patras², Calvin Tcheka³.

October 12, 2023

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science-University of Dschang Campus Box (237)67 Dschang, Cameroon E-mail address: batkamjacky3@yahoo.com

> ² Université Côte d'Azur et CNRS UMR 7351 LJAD, Parc Valrose, 06000 Nice, France E-mail address: patras@unice.fr

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science-University of Dschang Campus Box (237)67 Dschang, Cameroon E-mail address: calvin.tcheka@univ-dschang.org

Abstract

Motivated by various developments in algebraic combinatorics and its applications, we investigate here the fine structure of a fundamental but little known theorem, the Gerstenhaber and Schack cohomology comparison theorem. The theorem classically asserts that there is a cochain equivalence between the usual singular cochain complex of a simplicial complex and the relative Hochschild complex of its incidence algebra, and a quasi-isomorphism with the standard Hochschild complex. Here, we will be mostly interested in its application to arbitrary posets (or, equivalently, finite topologies) and their incidence algebras. We construct various structures, classical and new, on the above two complexes: cosimplicial, differential graded algebra, operadic and brace algebra structures and show that the comparison theorem preserves all of them. These results provide non standard insights on links between the theory of posets, incidence algebras, endomorphism operads and finite and combinatorial topology. By *non standard*, we refer here to the use of *relative* versions of Hochschild complexes and operads.

Keywords: Poset, finite topology, nerve, simplicial set, brace algebra, operad, Hochschild complex, coalgebra, cohomology.

MSC: 16N60, 18N50, 18G31, 19D23, 18M05, 57T99.

1 Introduction

Various recent results involve or develop connexions between posets, finite topologies, operads, brace algebras, coalgebras, Hopf algebras and algebraic topology. Among those, we may mention here as directly relevant for the present work, Foissy's works on the combinatorial and brace algebras structures on operads [7], advances on the algebraic structures on finite topologies, posets and quasi-posets [8, 5, 6, 9] and various works in the combinatorics of free probability, among which [4].

The purpose of the present paper is to get new insights on these connexions using a theorem of Gerstenhaber and Schack (the cohomology comparison theorem, CCT). The CCT asserts that, for a given triangulated topological space with associated incidence algebra A, there exists a quasi-isomorphism of cochain complexes between a certain relative cohomological Hochschild complex of A and the singular cochain complex of that topological space [10, 11], and a quasi-isomorphism with the standard Hochschild complex [10], [3, Th. 1.3]. When extended to arbitrary posets and finite topologies, the theorem allows to connect two families of objects of different nature: an operadic-type object of a non standard type (the relative Hochschild complex of an incidence algebra) and a classical object in combinatorial topology (the singular cochain complex associated to the nerve of a poset). Our results investigate how algebraic structures on these objects transport under the CCT: differential brace algebras (following an unpublished paper by one of us [20]) and various algebraic and topological structures associated to operads (that are investigated more generally in recent work by two of us [1]). The equivalence of categories between finite (T_0) topologies and posets is the prototype for more complex duality phenomena such as Stone duality. We hope our results will also lead to developments in that direction.

The article also features the meaningfulness of the notion of relative calculus and operads, introduced here and abstracted from the notion of relative Hochshield cochains by extending the scope of the usual links between Hochschild complexes and endomorphism operads.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 recollects basic constructions on posets (incidence algebra, nerve, cochain algebra structure). Section 3 develops various constructions around the incidence algebra of a poset, recalls the notion of relative Hochschild cochains and the CCT. In the process constructions underlying the CCT are introduced at the dual (chain, homological) level and a dual homological comparison theorem is proved. Section 4 extends the CCT at the cosimplicial level. Section 5 introduces an operadic structure on relative Hochschild cochains and shows that the CCT holds at operadic level. Section 6 features the idea that constructions on posets are best understood using relative constructions. This is illustrated by the introduction of relative operads, a notion also meaningful for operator-valued probability. The article concludes by showing that the CCT also holds at the level of brace differential graded algebras.

Remark 1.1 We conclude this introduction with a remark on finite topologies. The article will be mostly written in the language of posets, however its deeper meaning is best understood when having in mind the connexion between the latter and finite topologies. There is indeed a bijection between finite topological spaces T satisfying the T_0 separation axiom (given two points x, y in T there should always exist an open set that contains one point but not the other) and finite posets. Any finite topological space is (finitely) homotopy equivalent to a finite T_0 space, so that the separation assumption is not a serious restriction. It follows in particular that all constructions in the article have a direct topological spaces and of the bibliography in the subject, we refer to [8].

Acknowledgements: FP acknowledges support from the ANR project Algebraic Combinatorics, Renormalization, Free probability and Operads – CARPLO (Project-ANR-20-CE40-0007) and from the ANR – FWF project PAGCAP.

Conventions and notation

In the sequel,

• \mathbb{K} denotes an arbitrary field. Vector spaces, tensor products and linear maps are defined over \mathbb{K} unless otherwise stated.

The linear span of a set X is denoted K(X), the cardinality of X is denoted |X|.
If V is a graded object, then the suspension of V is defined as follows: (sV)_n = V_{n-1}.

An element $x \in V_n$ is of degree n and we write |x| = n.

• If $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is a vector space map then the dual map is denoted: $B^* \xrightarrow{f^*} A^*$.

• The Kronecker symbol is written $\partial_{x,y}$ (recall it is equal to 1 if x = y and 0 else).

2 Posets, nerves, chains and cochains

Let (Σ, \leq) be a finite poset (that is, a finite ordered set, where the order is not strict in general). An increasing sequence in Σ , $(\sigma_0 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_n)$, is called a *n*-chain (or simply a chain). It is a strict (or nondegenerate) chain if and only if the sequence is strictly increasing $(\sigma_0 < \cdots < \sigma_n)$.

Recall that the category Δ is the category whose objects are the $[n] := \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and whose morphisms are the weakly increasing maps between them. The set of morphisms of Δ is generated (under the composition product) by the face maps $d_i^n : [n-1] \to [n], \ 0 \le i \le n$ and the degeneracy maps $s_i^n : [n+1] \to [n], \ i = 0, \ldots, n$. Here, d_i^n is the unique injective weakly increasing map from [n-1] to [n] such that i is not in its image and s_i^n the unique surjective weakly increasing map that maps i and i + 1 to i. The face and degeneracy maps satisfy identities such as

$$s_{j-1}^n \circ s_i^{n+1} = s_i^n \circ s_j^{n+1}, \ 0 \le i < j \le n+1$$

that can be used alternatively to define simplicial sets, see [18] for details.

A simplicial (resp. cosimplicial) set is a contravariant (resp. covariant) functor from Δ to **Set**, the category of sets. The nerve of Σ , denoted $\hat{\Sigma}$, is the simplicial set whose n-simplices are ordered morphisms (weakly increasing maps) from [n] to Σ or equivalently the chains in Σ , $\sigma_0 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_n$. As some authors use different conventions, notice that, in our terminology, chains correspond to weakly increasing maps from [n] to Σ whereas strictly increasing maps correspond to strict chains.

Remark 2.1 A particular case is the one where Σ is a subset of the set of subsets of an arbitrary non empty finite set S. Then, Σ is a finite simplicial complex if, for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the set of non empty subsets of σ is a subset of Σ . If $|\sigma| = n + 1$, σ is called a n-simplex of Σ . Elements of Σ are ordered by inclusion and in particular Σ endowed with the inclusion order denoted \leq , can be viewed as a poset. In that case, the simplicial set $\hat{\Sigma}$ is also named barycentric subdivision of Σ , its homology and cohomology compute the simplicial homology and cohomology of Σ .

The simplical structure on $\hat{\Sigma} := {\{\hat{\Sigma}_n\}}_{n \ge 1}$ is constructed as follows: for $n \ge 1$ and $0 \le i \le n$,

1. The face morphism d_i^n , from $\hat{\Sigma}_n$ to $\hat{\Sigma}_{n-1}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n \xrightarrow{d_i^{\circ}} \hat{\Sigma}_{n-1}
A \longmapsto (\sigma_0 \le \sigma_1 \le \sigma_2 \le \cdots \le \sigma_{i-1} \le \sigma_{i+1} \le \cdots \le \cdots \le \sigma_n)$$

for $A := (\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_{i-1} \leq \sigma_i \leq \sigma_{i+1} \leq \cdots \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_n)$ or equivalently $d_i^n(A) = (\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_{i-1} \leq \hat{\sigma}_i \leq \sigma_{i+1} \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_n)$, where the notation $\hat{\sigma}_i$ means that the vertex is omitted.

2. The degeneracy morphism s_i^n duplicates the simplex σ_i at the position i of the sequence:

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n \xrightarrow{s_i^n} \hat{\Sigma}_{n+1} A \longmapsto (\sigma_0 \le \sigma_1 \le \dots \le \sigma_{i-1} \le \sigma_i \le \sigma_i \le \sigma_{i+1} \le \dots \le \sigma_n)$$

It is an easy and standard exercise to show that these face and degeneracy maps define a simplicial set structure on $\hat{\Sigma}$ [18].

The simplicial vector space $\mathbb{K}(\hat{\Sigma})$ generated by $\hat{\Sigma}$ is denoted $C_*(\hat{\Sigma})$ and called the singular chain complex of Σ . It is obtained by applying the functor $X \to \mathbb{K}(X)$ to $\hat{\Sigma}$.

Recall (see e.g. [18]) that

Proposition 2.2 The singular chain complex, $C_*(\hat{\Sigma}) = \{C_n(\hat{\Sigma})\}_{n\geq 0}$, is naturally endowed by the Alexander-Whitney map with a differential graded coalgebra structure.

The coproduct is given by:

$$C_{n}(\hat{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} C_{j}(\hat{\Sigma}) \otimes C_{n-j}(\hat{\Sigma})$$
$$u \longmapsto \Delta(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Delta_{j,n-j}(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \widetilde{d}_{n}^{n-j}(u) \otimes \widetilde{d}_{0}^{j}(u)$$

where $\widetilde{d}_n^{n-j} = \underbrace{d_{j+1}^{j+1} d_{j+2}^{j+2} \cdots d_n^n}_{n-jtimes}$ and $\widetilde{d}_0^j = \underbrace{d_0^{n-j+1} \cdots d_0^n}_{jtimes}$ with $\widetilde{d}_0^0 = Id = \widetilde{d}_n^0$

and the boundary operator by: $\partial_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i^n$. The coaugmentation map is the map that sends all 0-simplices to 1 and higher dimensional simplices to 0.

Dualising, one gets a cosimplicial structure on the singular cochain complex $C^*(\hat{\Sigma}) = \{C^n(\hat{\Sigma}) := Hom(\hat{\Sigma}_n, \mathbb{K})\}_{n>1}$ whose coface and codegeneracy maps are:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{n-1}(\hat{\Sigma}) & \stackrel{\mathfrak{F}_{i}^{n-1}}{\longrightarrow} & C^{n}(\hat{\Sigma}) \\ f & \longmapsto & \mathfrak{F}_{i}^{n-1}(f) = f \circ d_{i}^{n} \\ C^{n}(\hat{\Sigma}) & \stackrel{\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{n}}{\longrightarrow} & C^{n-1}(\hat{\Sigma}) \\ f & \longmapsto & \mathfrak{D}_{i}^{n}(f) = f \circ s_{i}^{n-1}. \end{array}$$

The Alexander-Whitney coproduct dualizes to the cup product of cochains:

Proposition 2.3 The singular cochain complex, $C^*(\hat{\Sigma})$ is naturally endowed by the cup product with a differential graded algebra structure.

Concretely, the cup product is given, for $f \in C^p(\hat{\Sigma})$, $g \in C^q(\hat{\Sigma})$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{p+q}$ by

$$f \cup g(\sigma) := (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta_{p,q}(\sigma)$$

The unit of the cup product is the counit of Δ : the cochain in $C^0(\hat{\Sigma})$ that maps each 0-simplex to 1.

3 Incidence algebras, relative Hochschild chains and cochains

In this section, we recall some classical definitions (incidence algebras, relative Hochschild cochains) and extend them, featuring in particular how the idea of *relativity* (to a separable subalgebra) can be developed systematically to better account of the properties of posets and their nerves. We state and prove an homology comparison theorem and recall the classical CCT.

Definition 3.1 The incidence algebra I_{Σ} of the poset Σ is the associative unital \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by the pairs of simplices (σ, σ') with $\sigma \leq \sigma'$. The product of two pairs (σ, σ') and (β, β') is (σ, β') if $\sigma' = \beta$ and 0 else. The unit of the algebra 1_I is the sum $\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (\sigma, \sigma)$.

The incidence algebra I_{Σ} has a (separable) commutative unital subalgebra S_{Σ} generated as a \mathbb{K} -algebra by the pairs (σ, σ) .

Remark 3.2 The category **BM** of S_{Σ} -bimodules (that is, left and right modules over S_{Σ} , where the right and left action can be different) is a (nonsymmetric) tensor category for the tensor product:

$$M \otimes_{BM} N := M \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} N,$$

where $M \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} N$ is the quotient S_{Σ} -bimodule of $M \otimes N$ by the relations $ma \otimes n - m \otimes an$ for $m \in M, n \in N$ and $a \in S_{\Sigma}$. The same definition will apply to tensor products of bimodules over an arbitrary ring.

The incidence algebra I_{Σ} can then be better understood as an associative unital algebra in the tensor category **BM**. Notice in particular that the algebra product from $I_{\Sigma} \otimes I_{\Sigma}$ to I_{Σ} factorises through the canonical projection from $I_{\Sigma} \otimes I_{\Sigma}$ to $I_{\Sigma} \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} I_{\Sigma}$.

Definition 3.3 The barycentric incidence algebra BI_{Σ} of the poset Σ is the associative unital K-algebra generated by the n-simplices of $\hat{\Sigma}$, $(\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_n)$, $n \ge 0$ with the product $(\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_n) \cdot (\sigma_{n+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+k})$ equal to $(\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+k})$ if $\sigma_n = \sigma_{n+1}$ and 0 else. The unit of the algebra is the sum $\sum_{n \ge 1} (\sigma)$.

Lemma 3.4 The barycentric incidence algebra identifies with the tensor algebra $T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma}) := \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} n}$ over I_{Σ} in the category of S_{Σ} -bimodules, where we set $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} 0} := S_{\Sigma}$.

Proof. Indeed, direct inspection shows that $I_{\Sigma} \otimes_{BM} I_{\Sigma}$ has as a basis the tensor products $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and more generally $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} n}$ has as a basis the tensor products $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n)$. The isomorphism between BI_{Σ} and the free associative algebra over I_{Σ} in **BM** is then obtained as:

$$(\sigma_0) \longmapsto (\sigma_0, \sigma_0),$$

and for n > 0,

$$(\sigma_0,\ldots,\sigma_n)\longmapsto (\sigma_0,\sigma_1)\otimes_{BM}\cdots\otimes_{BM}(\sigma_{n-1},\sigma_n).$$

Remark 3.5 Notice that the map

$$(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n) \longrightarrow (\sigma_0, \sigma_n)$$

is a map of associative unital algebras from $T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma})$ to I_{Σ} .

Remark 3.6 Notice also that the arguments above show that there is a canonical retract from $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}$ to $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_n}$ given, in the natural basis of $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}$ by:

 $(\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n) \longmapsto (\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n).$

Definition 3.7 The S_{Σ} -relative Hochschild chain complex of I_{Σ} is the S_{Σ} bimodule $T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma})$ equipped with

• a simplicial structure by the face maps

$$d_i^n(A) \longmapsto (\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_{i+1}) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n),$$

for 0 < i < n, with

$$d_0^n(A) \longmapsto (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n),$$

$$d_n^n(A) \longmapsto (\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1}),$$

and the degeneracy maps

$$s_i^n(A) \longmapsto (\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_i) \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_i, \sigma_i) \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}) \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})$$

where $A := (\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})$ and $i = 0, \cdots, n$.

• It is equipped with a differential coalgebra structure by the coproduct

$$I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n} \hookrightarrow I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n} \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes i} \otimes I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n-i} \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}i} \otimes I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n-i},$$

where we use the retraction of $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{\Sigma} n+1}$ into $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n+1}$, together with the differential induced by the simplicial structure, $\partial_n := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i d_i^n$.

Proof. The linear isomorphism from $C_*(\hat{\Sigma})$ to $T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma})$,

$$(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_n)\longmapsto((\sigma_0,\sigma_1)\otimes_{BM}\cdots\otimes_{BM}(\sigma_{n-1},\sigma_n))$$

induces (by structure transportation through the isomorphism) a simplicial and differential coalgebra structure on $T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma})$. It is an easy exercise to check that it identifies with the structures given in the Definition.

We get as a corollary an homological version of the CCT (the latter to be stated below). Strangely enough, this Theorem does not seem to have been observed and stated in the literature, at our best knowledge, although allowing to directly connect the homology of (finite) topological spaces to Hochschild homology.

Theorem 3.8 (Homology comparison theorem) Given a finite topological space \mathcal{T} with associated poset Σ , there exists an isomorphism of simplicial vector spaces

$$C_*(\hat{\Sigma}) \cong T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma})$$

which induces an isomorphism in homology (with arbitrary coefficients)

$$H_*(\mathcal{T}) \cong H_*(\hat{\Sigma}) \cong H_*(T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma})).$$

Let us turn now to the dual, cohomological, side of these questions.

Definition 3.9 (Relative Hochschild cochain complex) The n-cochains of the Hochschild cochain complex of I_{Σ} relative to S_{Σ} are the elements of $Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}, I_{\Sigma})$. The relative Hochschild cochain complex is a differential algebra. The coboundary map (the differential) is obtained (by right composition of morphisms) from the boundary map of the Hochschild chain complex. The cup product is obtained (also by duality) from the coalgebra structure of the Hochschild chain complex.

Closed formulas will be given below for the differential. Notice that this is the usual formula for the Hochschild coboundary, extended to the relative setting.

Direct inspection shows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{\Sigma} n}, I_{\Sigma})$, is generated linearly (over the ground field) by the maps sending a given tensor product $((\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \otimes_{BM} \cdots \otimes_{BM} (\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n))$ to (σ_0, σ_n) .

Observe also that the incidence algebra, I_{Σ} , is a triangular algebra. The Hochschild cohomology of such algebras can be computed explicitly by means of a spectral sequence, introduced by S. Dourlens [3]. We refer from now on to [11] and [3] for the general properties of the Hochschild cohomology of triangular and incidence algebras.

A key Theorem, due to Gerstenhaber and Schack [10, 11] shows the key role of the relative Hochschild complex in relating the cohomology of topological spaces with Hochschild cohomology. Although little attention seems to have been paid to these results, they appear to us as a key ingredient of the program of a noncommutative geometry (although the latter program has been developed historically in another direction).

Theorem 3.10 (Gerstenhaber-Schack) The relative Hochschild cochain complex, written $C^*_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma})$, computes $HH^*(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma})$, the usual Hochschild cohomology of I_{Σ} .

Theorem 3.11 (Cohomology comparison theorem (CCT)) There is a cochain complex isomorphism ι , that preserves the cup product, between the singular cochain complex of $\hat{\Sigma}$, $C^*(\hat{\Sigma})$ and the relative Hochschild cochain complex of the incidence algebra I_{Σ} ,

$$C^*_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma}) := \{Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} n}, I_{\Sigma})\}_{n \ge 1}.$$

The isomorphism is given by:

 $\iota(f)((\sigma_0,\sigma_1)\otimes_{BM}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\otimes_{BM}\cdots\otimes_{BM}(\sigma_{n-1},\sigma_n)) := f(\sigma_0 \le \sigma_1\sigma \le \cdots \le \sigma_n) \cdot (\sigma_0,\sigma_n).$ for $f \in C^n(\hat{\Sigma}_n)$. In particular, by Thm 3.10,

$$HH^*(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma}) \cong H^*(\Sigma, \mathbb{K}).$$

Proof. A proof will follow from the finer cosimplicial comparison theorem to be stated in the next section of the article. The original proof of the Theorem (in this form, as there are various variants of the CCT in Gerstenhaber and Schack's works) can be found in [11, Thm 138, Section 15]. See the same article for details, generalizations, applications (in geometry and deformation theory) and a survey of the history of this theorem and its various variants. ■

4 The cosimplicial comparison theorem

In this section, we prove that the CCT can be enhanced to the cosimplicial level. For completeness sake we give some details on the proof, as the result also implies the classical CCT. Recall that the maps d_i^n , s_i^n endow $T_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma})$ with a simplicial structure. By duality, this yields a cosimplicial structure on the relative Hochschild cochain complex $C_{S_{\Sigma}}^*(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma})$ whose coface and codegeneracy maps are :

$$\begin{split} F_i^n &: Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n-1}, I_{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}, I_{\Sigma}) \\ & f \longmapsto F_i^n(f) = f \circ d_i^n \\ D_i^n &: Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}, I_{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n-1}, I_{\Sigma}) \\ & f \longmapsto D_i^n(f) = f \circ^I s_i^n. \end{split}$$

Let us explicitly check one of the cosimplicial identities. For $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $f \in Hom_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}, I_{\Sigma})$, we have

$$\begin{split} D_i^{n-1}D_j^n(f) &= D_i^{n-1}(f \circ s_j^n) = (f \circ s_j^n) \circ s_i^{n-1};\\ &= f \circ (s_j^n \circ s_i^{n-1}) = f \circ (s_i^n \circ s_{j-1}^{n-1});\\ &= (f \circ s_i^n) \circ s_{j-1}^{n-1} = D_{j-1}^{n-1}(f \circ s_i^n);\\ &= D_{j-1}^{n-1}D_i^n(f). \end{split}$$

Theorem 4.1 (Cosimplicial comparison theorem) The cochain complexes isomorphism $\iota: C^*(\hat{\Sigma}) \longrightarrow C^*_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma})$ preserves the cosimplicial structures.

Proof. Let us explicitly check for example that the map ι is compatible with the degeneracy operators, that is that the diagrams below are commutative

$$\begin{array}{c|c} C^{n}(\hat{\Sigma}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{n}} & C^{n-1}(\hat{\Sigma}) \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ C_{S_{\Sigma}}^{n}(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma}) & \xrightarrow{D_{i}^{n}} & C_{S_{\Sigma}}^{n-1}(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma}) \\ & & \\ & \\ & &$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_i^n \circ \iota_n(f))((\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} \cdots \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1})) &= D_i^n(\iota_n(f))((\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} \cdots \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1})) \\ &= (\iota_n(f) \circ d_i^n)((\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} \cdots \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1})) \\ &= \iota_n(f)((\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} \cdots \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_i) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_i, \sigma_i) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} \cdots \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1})) \\ &= f(\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_{i-1} \leq \sigma_i \leq \sigma_i \leq \sigma_{i+1} \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_{n-1}) \\ (\sigma_0, \sigma_{n-1}) \\ &= (f \circ d_i^n)(\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_{i-1} \leq \sigma_i \leq \sigma_{i+1} \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_{n-1}) \\ (\sigma_0, \sigma_{n-1}) \\ &= \iota_{n-1}(f \circ d_i^n)((\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} \cdots \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1})) \\ &= (\iota_{n-1} \circ \mathfrak{D}_i^n)(f)((\sigma_0, \sigma_1) \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} \cdots \otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} (\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Operadic comparison theorem $\mathbf{5}$

Definition 5.1 A nonsymmetric operad (or operad for short, in this article) over the category of \mathbb{K} -vector spaces is a collection of vector spaces $\{\mathcal{O}(k) \mid k \geq 1\}$ together with composition products:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}(n_k) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}} & \mathcal{O}(n_1 + \cdots + n_k) \\ & x \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k & \longmapsto & \gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x; x_1, \cdots, x_k) \end{array}$$

which are:

1. associative in the sense that

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x;x_{1},\cdots,x_{k});y_{1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}}) = \gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x;\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x_{1};y_{1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}}),\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x_{2};y_{n_{1}+1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}+n_{2}}))$$
$$\cdots,\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x_{k};y_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k-1}+1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k-1}+n_{k}})),$$

2. there is an identity element $1_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathcal{O}(1)$, also called simply the unit of the operad, such that

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x;\underbrace{1_{\mathcal{O}},\cdots,1_{\mathcal{O}}}_{k \ times}) = x = \gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(1_{\mathcal{O}};x).$$

Definition 5.2 Let \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' be two Σ -operads with respective composition products $\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\gamma^{\mathcal{O}'}$ and respective associated units $1_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{O}'}$. A morphism of operads $\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}'$ is a collection $\{f_n : \mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}'(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of vector space morphisms such that:

- 1. $f(1_{\mathcal{O}}) = 1_{\mathcal{O}'};$
- 2. $f_j(\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n)) = \gamma^{\mathcal{O}'}(f_n(x_0) \otimes f_{i_1}(x_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes f_{i_n}(x_n))$ with $j = i_1 + i_2 + \ldots + i_n$.
- **Remark 5.3** 1. Equivalently an operad can also be defined by the so called partial compositions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(m)\otimes\mathcal{O}(n) & \stackrel{\circ_i}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{O}(m+n-1) \\ & x\otimes y & \longmapsto & x\circ_i y \end{array}, 1\leq i\leq m$$

satisfying some properties inherited from Definition 5.1 (see e.g. [2, 17] for explicit axioms).

The two definitions are related as follows:

(1-i)
$$x \circ_i y = \gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x; \overbrace{id, \cdots, y_i}^{m-tuple}, \cdots, id); \qquad 1 \le i \le m.$$

(1-ii) $\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x; y_1, \cdots, y_m) = (\cdots (((x \circ_m y_m) \circ_{m-1} y_{m-1}) \cdots) \circ_1$

2. An operad, \mathcal{O} , is said to be **multiplicative** if there exists $m \in \mathcal{O}(2)$ such that $m \circ_1 m = m \circ_2 m$.

 y_1

An operad O is said to be unitary if the unit morphism η : K → O(1) is an isomorphism in which case the unit element of O will be denoted: 1₁ = 1_O = η(1_K) ∈ O(1).

Example 5.4 The fundamental example of an operad is the operad \mathcal{L}_V of multilinear endomorphisms of a vector space V, called endomorphism operad and defined by: for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{L}_V(n) = Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(V^{\otimes n}, V)$. The composition product is obtained from the composition of multilinear maps. The associated unit is the identity map: $V \xrightarrow{id_V} V$.

Example 5.5 The fundamental example of a multiplicative operad is the operad \mathcal{L}_A of multilinear endomorphisms of an associative algebra A: for all $n \geq 1$, we have again $\mathcal{L}_A(n) = Hom_{\mathbb{K}}(A^{\otimes n}, A)$. The associated unit is the identity map, the multiplication m is the algebra product.

Multiplication is essential for our forthcoming developments. For example, the existence of the product m is what allows the definition of a differential on the Hochschild cochain complex of an associative algebra, or the definition of the cup product.

Theorem 5.6 Let $S_{\Sigma} - Hom(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}, I_{\Sigma})$ to be the S_{Σ} -bimodule of S_{Σ} -bimodule morphisms from $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}$ to I_{Σ} that factorize through $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes S_{\Sigma}n}$. We set:

$$End_{I_{\Sigma},S_{\Sigma}}(n) := S_{\Sigma} - Hom(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}, I_{\Sigma}).$$

The family $(End_{I_{\Sigma},S_{\Sigma}}(n))_{n\geq 1}$ is a suboperad of the endomorphism operad $\mathcal{L}_{I_{\Sigma}}$.

In the Theorem, the S_{Σ} -bimodule structure of $I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}$ is given by:

 $(\beta,\beta)\cdot((\sigma_0,\sigma_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes(\sigma_{n-1},\sigma_n))\cdot(\gamma,\gamma):=\partial_{\beta,\sigma_0}\partial_{\sigma_n,\gamma}(\sigma_0,\sigma_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes(\sigma_{n-1},\sigma_n),$

and the factorization property means that a $\mu \in Hom(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}, I_{\Sigma})$ is required to factorize as:

$$\mu: I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n} \to I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}} n} \to I_{\Sigma}.$$

Proof. The space $End_{I_{\Sigma},S_{\Sigma}}(n)$ is spanned by the maps:

$$\mu_{(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_n)}:((\beta_0,\beta_1)\otimes(\beta_1',\beta_2)\otimes\cdots\otimes(\beta_{n-1}',\beta_n))\longmapsto\partial_{\beta_1,\beta_1'}\cdots\partial_{\beta_{n-1},\beta_{n-1}'}\partial_{(\beta_0,\cdots,\beta_n),(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_n)}\cdot(\sigma_0,\sigma_n).$$

The first component $End_{I_{\Sigma},S_{\Sigma}}(1)$ contains in particular $\sum_{\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \in \Sigma} \mu_{(\sigma_0,\sigma_1)}$, which is the identity of I_{Σ} . Moreover, given $\mu_{(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_n)}$ and $\mu_{(\beta_0,\cdots,\beta_k)}$, we have

$$\mu_{(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_n)} \circ_i \mu_{(\beta_0,\cdots,\beta_k)} = \partial_{\sigma_{i-1},\beta_0} \partial_{\sigma_i,\beta_k} \mu_{(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_{i-1}=\beta_0,\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_k=\sigma_i,\sigma_{i+1},\cdots,\sigma_n)}, \quad (1)$$

where \circ_i stands for the composition product in $\mathcal{L}_{I_{\Sigma}}$, which concludes the proof.

Example 5.7 (Cochain operads) The simplicial cochain complex $C^*(\hat{\Sigma})$ has an operad structure defined by [12]:

$$\gamma: C^k(\hat{\Sigma}) \otimes C^{n_1}(\hat{\Sigma}) \otimes \cdots \otimes C^{n_k}(\hat{\Sigma}) \to C^{n_1 + \dots + n_k}(\hat{\Sigma})$$

$$\gamma((\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_k)^*\otimes(\beta_0^1,\cdots,\beta_{n_1}^1)^*\otimes\cdots\otimes(\beta_1^k,\cdots,\beta_{n_k}^k)^*)(\gamma_0,\cdots,\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_k})$$

 $:=\partial_{(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_k),(\gamma_0,\gamma_{n_1},\cdots,\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_k})}\partial_{(\gamma_0,\cdots,\gamma_{n_1}),(\beta_0^1,\cdots,\beta_{n_1}^1)}\cdots\partial_{(\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_{k-1}},\cdots,\gamma_{n_1+\cdots+n_k}),(\beta_1^k,\cdots,\beta_{n_k}^k)},$

where $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_k)^*$ denotes the k-cochain whose value on $(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_k)$ is $\partial_{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_k), (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_k)}$. The unit of the operad is $\sum_{\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1} (\sigma_0, \sigma_1)^*$.

Theorem 5.8 (Operadic comparison theorem) The map

$$(\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_k)^* \longmapsto \mu_{(\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_k)}$$

induces an isomorphism of operads

$$C^*(\hat{\Sigma}) \cong End_{I_{\Sigma},S_{\Sigma}}(*).$$

Proof. We indeed have

$$(\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_n)^* \circ_i (\beta_0, \cdots, \beta_k)^* =$$

$$\gamma((\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_n)^* \otimes (\sum_{\gamma_0 \le \gamma_1 \in \Sigma} (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \sum_{\gamma_0 \le \gamma_1 \in \Sigma} (\gamma_0, \gamma_1) \otimes (\beta_0, \cdots, \beta_{n_i})^* \otimes$$

$$\sum_{\gamma_0 \le \gamma_1 \in \Sigma} (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \sum_{\gamma_0 \le \gamma_1 \in \Sigma} (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)^*)$$

$$= \partial_{\sigma_{i-1}, \beta_0} \partial_{\sigma_i, \beta_k} (\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_{i-1}, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{n_i-1}, \sigma_i, \cdots, \sigma_k)^*,$$

that agrees with Eq. (1). \blacksquare

Corollary 5.9 There is a canonical embedding of the cochain operad of a poset into the endomorphism operad of its incidence algebra:

$$C^*(\hat{\Sigma}) \hookrightarrow \{Hom(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes *}, I_{\Sigma})\}.$$

6 Relative operads

The previous operadic framework can be naturally refined to the relative setting that, we claim, is the right one to study poset combinatorics and its links to topology and homological algebra. We let B be an associative unital algebra.

Definition 6.1 A B-relative operad (relative operad, for short) is a collection of B-bimodules $\{\mathcal{O}(k) \mid k \geq 1\}$ together with B-bimodule morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \gamma^{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O}(k) & \to & Hom_{B-BiMod}(\mathcal{O}(n_1) \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B \mathcal{O}(n_k), \mathcal{O}(n_1 + \cdots + n_k)) \\ x & \longmapsto & (x_1 \otimes_B \cdots \otimes_B x_k \longmapsto \gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x; x_1, \cdots, x_k)) \end{array}$$

which are:

1. associative in the sense that

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x;x_{1},\cdots,x_{k});y_{1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}}) = \gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x;\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x_{1};y_{1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}}),\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x_{2};y_{n_{1}+1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}+n_{2}}),\cdots,\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x_{k};y_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k-1}+1},\cdots,y_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k-1}+n_{k}})),$$

2. there is an identity element $1_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathcal{O}(1)$, also called simply the unit of the operad, such that

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(x; \underbrace{1_{\mathcal{O}}, \cdots, 1_{\mathcal{O}}}_{k \ times}) = x = \gamma^{\mathcal{O}}(1_{\mathcal{O}}; x).$$

Example 6.2 (Relative endomorphism operad) Let A be an associative unital algebra and B a subalgebra. The B-relative endomorphism operad of A is the relative operad defined by:

$$\{Hom_{B-BiMod}(A^{\otimes_B n}, A), \ n \ge 1\}$$

with structure operator γ defined by the composition of multilinear B-bimodules morphisms.

Example 6.3 (Operator-valued probability operad) A particularly interesting example of relative operad originates in noncommutative probability that aims at encoding a notion of conditional probability suited for operator-valued random variables, see e.g. [19].

In that case, we consider

$$\{Hom_{B-BiMod}(A^{\otimes_B n}, B) | n \ge 1\}.$$

It is obviously a suboperad of the relative endomorphism operad of A: the operadic composition of B-valued morphisms is a B-valued morphism.

Example 6.4 The most meaningful example for our purposes originates in the relative Hochschild complex. We consider here:

$$\{Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}, I_{\Sigma})|n \ge 1\}.$$

The previous computations in the article show that the structure map defining the operadic structure on $\{S_{\Sigma} - Hom(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}, I_{\Sigma})\}_{n \geq 1}$ go over and also define an operadic structure on

$$\{Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes_{S_{\Sigma}}n}, I_{\Sigma})|n \ge 1\}.$$

Using the CCT isomorphism ι , the same construction can also be performed at the cochain algebra level (we leave the exercise to the reader).

7 Brace differential graded algebras

Let us introduce now BDGAs. These algebras first appeared in the work of Getzler-Jones on algebras up to homotopy (without a specific name) as a particular case of B_{∞} -algebras, associated in particular to Hochschild complexes of associative algebras, see [14, Sect. 5.2]. When Gerstenhaber and Voronov studied them more in detail [12, 23, 22], they decided to call these algebras homotopy *G*-algebras. However, this terminology appeared to be a misleading one after Tamarkin had shown that the name *G*(erstenhaber)-algebra up to homotopy should be naturally given to another class of algebras [21]. We call them by a name that reflects their properties and should not create confusion, namely: brace differential graded algebras.

The basic idea is that BDGAs are associative differential graded algebras together with extra (brace) operations that behave exactly as the Kadeishvili-Getzler brace operations on the Hochschild cohomological complex of an associative algebra [15, 13]. We write, as usual, B(A) for the cobar coalgebra over a differential graded algebra (DGA) A, where the product is written \cdot and the differential (of degree +1) δ . That is, B(A) is the cofree graded coalgebra $T(A[1]) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A[1]^{\otimes n}$ over the desuspension A[1] of $A(A[1]_n := A_{n+1})$. We use the bar notation and write $[a_1|...|a_n]$ for $a_1 \otimes ... \otimes a_n \in A[1]^{\otimes n}$. In particular, the coproduct on T(A[1]) is given by:

$$\Delta[a_1|...|a_n] := \sum_{i=0}^n [a_1|...|a_i] \otimes [a_{i+1}|...|a_n].$$

There is a differential coalgebra structure on B(A) induced by the DGA structure on A. In fact, since B(A) is cofree as a graded coalgebra, the properties of the cofree coalgebra functor imply that, in general, a coderivation $D \in Coder(B(A))$ is entirely determined by the composition (written as a degree 0 morphism):

$$\tilde{D}: B(A) \xrightarrow{D} B(A)[1] \xrightarrow{p} A[2],$$

where p is the natural projection. In particular, the differential d on B(A) is induced by the maps:

$$\delta: A[1] \longrightarrow A[2],$$

and

$$u: A[1] \otimes A[1] \longrightarrow A[2],$$

where $\mu(a,b) := (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot b$. The algebra A is a BDGA if it is provided with a set of extra-operations called the braces:

$$B_k: A[1] \otimes A[1]^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow A[1], \ k \ge 1,$$

satisfying certain relations. These relations express exactly the fact that the braces have to induce a differential Hopf algebra structure on B(A). Explicitly, the relations satisfied by the braces are then [14, Sect. 5.2] and [16, 22] (we use Getzler's notation: $v\{v_1, ..., v_n\} := B_n(v \otimes (v_1 \otimes ... \otimes v_n))$):

1. The brace relations (the associativity relations for the product on B(A)).

$$(v\{v_1, ..., v_m\})\{w_1, ..., w_n\} = \sum_{0 \le i_1 \le j_1 \le ... \le i_m \le j_m \le n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^m (|v_k| - 1)(\sum_{l=1}^{i_k} (|w_l| - 1))}$$

 $v\{w_1, ..., w_{i_1}, v_1\{w_{i_1+1}, ..., w_{j_1}\}, w_{j_1+1}, ..., v_m\{w_{i_m+1}, ..., w_{j_m}\}, w_{j_m+1}, ..., w_n\},$ with the usual conventions on indices: for example, an expression such as $v_5\{w_7, ..., w_6\}$ has to be read $v_5\{\emptyset\} = v_5$. 2. The distributivity relations of the product w.r. to the braces.

$$(v \cdot w)\{v_1, ..., v_n\} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{|w| \sum_{p=1}^k (|v_p|-1)} v\{v_1, ..., v_k\} \cdot w\{v_{k+1}, ..., v_n\},$$

3. The boundary relations.

$$\begin{split} \delta(v\{v_1,...,v_n\}) &- \delta v\{v_1,...,v_n\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|v|+|v_1|+...+|v_{i-1}|-i+1} v\{v_1,...,\delta v_i,...,v_n\} \\ &= (-1)^{|v|(|v_1|-1)} v_1 \cdot (v\{v_2,...,v_n\}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|v|+|v_1|+...+|v_i|-i-1} v\{v_1,...,v_i \cdot v_{i+1},...,v_n\} \\ &+ (-1)^{|v|+|v_1|+...+|v_{n-1}|-n} (v\{v_1,...,v_{n-1}\}) \cdot v_n. \end{split}$$

Example 7.1 There is a BDGA structure on the Hochschild cochain complex $C^*(A, A)$ of an associative algebra A over a commutative unital ring k [12]. Recall that $C^n(A, A) = Hom_k(A^{\otimes n}, A)$. The brace operations on $C^*(A, A)$ are the multilinear operators defined for $x, x_1, ..., x_n$ homogeneous elements in $C^*(A, A)$ and $a_1, ..., a_m$ elements of A by:

$$\{x\}\{x_1, ..., x_n\}(a_1, ..., a_m) := \sum_{\substack{0 \le i_1 \le i_1 + |x_1| \le i_2 \le ... \le i_n + |x_n| \le n \\ x(a_1, ..., a_{i_1}, x_1(a_{i_1+1}, ..., a_{i_1+|x_1|}), ..., a_{i_n}, x_n(a_{i_n+1}, ..., a_{i_n+|x_n|}), ..., a_m). }$$

The other operations defining the BDGA structure, δ and \cdot are, respectively, the Hochschild coboundary and the cup product.

Proposition 7.2 The canonical embedding of S_{Σ} -Hom $(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}, I_{\Sigma}) \cong$ Hom_{BM} $(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes s_{\Sigma}n}, I_{\Sigma})$ into Hom $(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes n}, I_{\Sigma})$ induces a BDGA structure on the relative Hochschild cochain complex $\{Hom_{BM}(I_{\Sigma}^{\otimes s_{\Sigma}n}, I_{\Sigma})\}_{n\geq 1}$.

The Proposition follows from the observation that S_{Σ} -equivariance properties are preserved by the brace operations, that are obtained by iterated compositions of S_{Σ} -equivariant morphisms.

Example 7.3 There is a BDGA structure on the cochain complex of a simplicial set [14, 12]. Recall that a simplicial set is a contravariant functor from the category Δ of finite sets $[n] = \{0, ..., n\}$ and increasing morphisms to **Set**. For a simplicial set $S : \Delta \longrightarrow$ **Set**, for $\sigma \in S_n := S([n])$, and for a strictly increasing sequence $0 \le a_0 < ... < a_m \le n$, we write $\sigma(a_0, ..., a_m)$ for $S(i_a)(\sigma) \in S_m$, where i_a is the unique map from [m] to [n] sending [m] to $\{a_0, ..., a_m\}$. Define a map $\Delta_{1,r}$ from the singular complex of Σ , $C_*(\hat{\Sigma})$ to $C_*(\hat{\Sigma}) \otimes C_*(\hat{\Sigma})^{\otimes r}$ as follows. For $\sigma \in \hat{\Sigma}_n$, set:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{1,r}(\sigma) &:= \sum_{0 \le b'_1 \le b_1 \le \dots \le b'_r \le b_r \le n} (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^r ((b_k - b'_k)b'_k)} \\ \sigma(0, 1, \dots, b'_1, b_1, b_1 + 1, \dots, b'_2, b_2, \dots, b'_r, b_r, \dots, n-1, n) \\ \otimes (\sigma(b'_1, \dots, b_1) \otimes \sigma(b'_2, \dots, b_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma(b'_r, \dots, b_r)). \end{split}$$

Dualizing $\Delta_{1,r}$, we get a map from $C^*(\hat{\Sigma}) \otimes C^*(\hat{\Sigma})^{\otimes r}$ to $C^*(\hat{\Sigma})$. By analogy with the case of Hochschild cochains, we write $\sigma\{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_r\}$ for $\Delta_{1,r}^*(\sigma \otimes (\sigma_1 \otimes ... \otimes \sigma_r))$. These brace operations on cochains, together with the simplicial coboundary and the cup product induce a BDGA structure on the bar coalgebra on $C^*(\hat{\Sigma})$.

Proposition 7.4 The isomorphism ι commutes with the action of the brace operations on $C^*(\hat{\Sigma})$ and $C^*_{S_{\Sigma}}(I_{\Sigma}, I_{\Sigma})$.

Proof. Indeed, let $f, f_1, ..., f_k$ belong respectively to $C^n(\hat{\Sigma}), C^{n_1}(\hat{\Sigma}), ..., C^{n_k}(\hat{\Sigma})$. Let $(\sigma_0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \ldots \leq \sigma_{m-1} \leq \sigma_m) \in \hat{\Sigma}_m$, where $m := n + n_1 + \ldots + n_k - k$. Let us also introduce the following useful convention. Let e.g. $(\sigma_{i_0}, \sigma_{i_1}, k_1, k_2, \sigma_{i_3}, ..., \sigma_{i_a}, k_p)$ be any sequence, the elements of which are either scalars (the k_i s), either simplices of Σ (the σ_i s), and assume that ($\sigma_{i_0} \leq \sigma_{i_1} \leq ... \leq \sigma_{i_q}$) is a simplex of $\hat{\Sigma}$. Then, we write $f(\sigma_{i_0}, \sigma_{i_1}, k_1, k_2, \sigma_{i_3}, ..., \sigma_{i_q}, k_p)$ for $(\prod_{i=1}^p k_i) \cdot f(\sigma_{i_0} \leq \sigma_{i_1} \leq ... \leq \sigma_{i_q})$. We have, according to the definition of the braces (we omit the signs for lisibility,

following a standard practice in algebraic topology):

 $f\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}(\sigma_0 \le \sigma_1 \le \dots \le \sigma_m)$

$$= \sum \pm f(\sigma_0, ..., \sigma_{i_1}, f_1(\sigma_{i_1} \le ... \le \sigma_{i_1+n_1}), \sigma_{i_1+n_1}, ..., \sigma_{i_k},$$
$$f_k(\sigma_{i_k} \le ... \le \sigma_{i_k+n_k}), \sigma_{i_k+n_k}, ..., \sigma_m).$$

Therefore:

and the proof of the proposition follows. \blacksquare

Theorem 7.5 The morphism ι is an isomorphism of BDGAs between the singular cochain complex of the barycentric subdivision of a finite simplicial complex Σ and the S_{Σ} -relative Hochschild cochain complex of the incidence algebra of Σ .

In particular, as the embedding of the latter complex into the classical Hochschild cochain complex of the incidence algebra of Σ is also a morphism of BDGAs, besides being a quasi-isomorphism, the cohomology comparison theorem of Gerstenhaber and Schack relating singular cohomology and Hochschild cohomology can be realized, at the cochain level, as a quasi-isomorphism of BDGAs.

References

- [1] Batkam Mbatchou V. Jacky III, Calvin Tcheka, Simplicial Structure on Connected Multiplicative Operads (in preparation).
- [2] Bremner M. R., Dotsenko V., Algebraic Operads: An Algorithmic Companion, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2016.

- [3] Dourlens, S. On the Hochschild cohomology of triangular algebras. Communications in Algebra, Volume 31, 2003 - Issue 10, 4871–4897.
- [4] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Foissy, J. Kock and F. Patras. Operads of (noncrossing) partitions, interacting bialgebras, and moment-cumulant relations. Adv. Math. 369 (2020).
- [5] F. Fauvet, L. Foissy and D. Manchon. The Hopf algebra of finite topologies and mould composition, en collaboration avec Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 67 no. 3, 911-945 (2017).
- [6] F. Fauvet, L. Foissy and D. Manchon. Operads of finite posets. Electron. J. Combin. 25(1) (2018).
- [7] L. Foissy, Algebraic structures associated to operads, arXiv:1702.05344.
- [8] L. Foissy, C. Malvenuto and F. Patras, Infinitesimal and B_{∞} -algebras, finite spaces, and quasi-symmetric functions. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 no. 6, 2434-2458 (2016).
- [9] L. Foissy, C. Malvenuto and F. Patras. A theory of pictures for quasi-posets. J. Algebra 477, 496-515 (2017).
- [10] Gerstenhaber, M.; Schack, S. D. Simplicial cohomology is Hochschild cohomology. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 30, 143-156 (1983).
- [11] Gerstenhaber, M.; Schack, S. D. Algebraic cohomology and deformation theory. Deformation theory of algebras and structures and applications, Nato Adv. Study Inst., Castelvecchio-Pascoli/Italy 1986, Nato ASI Ser., Ser. C,11-264 (1988)
- [12] Gerstenhaber, M.; Voronov, A. A. Homotopy G-algebras and moduli space operad. Int. Math. Res. Not. 1995, No.3, 141-153 (1995).
- [13] Getzler, E. Cartan homotopy formulas and the Gauss-Manin connection in cyclic homology. Isr. Math. Conf. Proc. 7, 65-78 (1993).
- [14] Getzler, E.; Jones J.D.S. Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals. hep-th/9403055
- [15] Kadeishvili, T.V. Structure of the $A(\infty)$ -algebra and the Hochschild and Harrison cohomologies. Tr. Tbilis. Mat. Inst. Razmadze 91, 19-27 (1988)
- [16] Khalkhali, M. Operations on cyclic homology, the X complex, and a conjecture of Deligne. Commun. Math. Phys. 202, No.2, 309-323 (1999)
- [17] Loday, J.-L.; Vallette, B., Algebraic Operads, Springer, 2012.
- [18] May, Simplicial objects in algebraic topology, University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- [19] Mingo, J. A.; Speicher, R., Free Probability and Random Matrices, Springer, 2017.
- [20] F. Patras, Brace algebras and the cohomology comparison theorem, arxiv:math/0112177v2.
- [21] Tamarkin, D.E. Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem. math.QA/9803025

- [22] Voronov, A. A. Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras. Dito, Giuseppe (ed.) et al., Conférence Moshé Flato 1999: Quantization, deformations, and symmetries, Dijon, France, September 5-8, 1999. Volume II. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. Math. Phys. Stud. 22, 307-331 (2000).
- [23] Voronov, A.A.; Gerstenhaber, M. Higher operations on the Hochschild complex. Funct. Anal. Appl. 29, No.1, 1-5 (1995)