

# A Molecular-Scale Analysis of Pressure-Dependent Sliding Shear Stresses

Nicholas Hopper, François Sidoroff, Juliette Cayer-Barrioz, Denis Mazuyer,

Wilfred T Tysoe

## ► To cite this version:

Nicholas Hopper, François Sidoroff, Juliette Cayer-Barrioz, Denis Mazuyer, Wilfred T Tysoe. A Molecular-Scale Analysis of Pressure-Dependent Sliding Shear Stresses. Tribology Letters, 2023, 71 (4), pp.121. 10.1007/s11249-023-01791-8. hal-04239544

# HAL Id: hal-04239544 https://hal.science/hal-04239544

Submitted on 13 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# A Molecular-Scale Analysis of Pressure-Dependent Sliding Shear Stresses

Nicholas Hopper,<sup>1</sup> François Sidoroff,<sup>2</sup> Juliette Cayer-Barrioz,<sup>2</sup> Denis Mazuyer<sup>2</sup> and Wilfred T Tysoe <sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53211, USA

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Tribologie et Dynamique des Systèmes, CNRS UMR5513, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, F-69134 Ecully cedex, France

#### Abstract

Stress-modified activated processes are analyzed using a model first proposed by Evans and Polanyi that uses transition-state theory to calculate the effect of some perturbation, described by an intensive variable, I, on the reaction rate. They suggested that the rate constant depended primarily on the equilibrium between the transition state and the reactant, which, in turn, depends on the effect of the perturbation I on the Gibbs free energy, G = U - TS + IC, where C is a variable conjugate to I. For example, in the case of a hydrostatic pressure P, the conjugate variable is the volume, -V. This allows a pressure-dependent rate to be calculated from the equilibrium constant between the reactant and transition state. Advantages to this approach are that the analysis is independent of the pathway between the two states and can simultaneously include the effect of multiple perturbations. These ideas are applied to the Prandtl-Tomlinson model, which analyses the force-induced transition rate over a surface energy barrier. The Evans-Polanyi analysis is independent of the shape of the sliding potential and merely requires the locations of the initial and transition states. It also allows the effects of both normal and shear stresses to be analyzed to identify the molecular origins of the well-known pressure-dependent shear stress:  $\tau = \tau_0 + \mu_L P$ , where  $\tau_0$  is a pressure-independent stress. The analysis reveals that  $\mu_L$  depends on the molecular corrugation of the potential and that  $\tau_0$  is velocity dependent, in accord with an empirical equation proposed by Briscoe and Evans.

Keywords: Evans-Polanyi perturbation theory, stress-induced processes, pressure-induced shear stress, thermodynamic analysis

\* Corresponding author: E-mail: wtt@uwm.edu

#### Introduction,

Identical physical principles underpin all stress-activated processes, for example, the Prandtl-Tomlinson model for friction [1-5], the Eyring model for viscosity [6, 7], and models for mechanoor tribochemical reaction rates [8]. They involve an applied force modifying the potential energy surface (PES) for the system, therefore changing the reaction and transition-state energies. This, in turn, changes the reaction activation energy and, as a result, influences the rate [9]. This central concept, in principle, allows the molecular mechanisms that describe macroscale phenomena such as friction, wear [10], viscosity, or mechanochemistry [8] to be identified. Such theories are invariably framed in terms of a reaction pathway linking the transition-state to the reactant. This pathway can be either in the form of a simple analytical function, often just a sinusoid [1-4, 7, 8, 11-14], or can be derived from a force-modified potential energy surface (FMPES) [15-19].

A process occurring in the absence of an imposed stress is usually taken to follow the steepestdescent pathway (SDP) from a transition state to a reactant [20, 21]. However, an advantage of transition-state theory is that the rates do not depend on the reaction pathway, just the energy difference between the initial and transition states and their partition functions [22]. Efficient methods have been developed to calculate the structure and properties of the metastable activated complex of a transition state [23-25].

An approach to calculating the effect of an external perturbation such as a pressure on a reaction rate constant has been proposed by Evans and Polanyi [26, 27] based on the concepts of transition-state theory. Note that this theory is different from the linear-free-energy relation, also named for Evans and Polanyi, that has been used to model catalytic reaction kinetics [28-30].

As conventionally analyzed, transition-state theory assumes that the reactant and the transitionstate (activated complex) structures are in thermodynamic equilibrium and uses statistical thermodynamics to calculate an equilibrium constant between them to obtain a reaction rate constant [22]. Instead, Evans and Polanyi used a thermodynamic analysis to calculate the effect of a perturbation such as hydrostatic pressure P on the rate constant [31, 32]. In the following, we review the analysis used by Evans and Polanyi to describe the effect of hydrostatic pressure on the rate of a chemical reaction. In the section following that, we extend the analysis to investigating the influence of a general applied stress on a reaction rate, and finally we apply the model to sliding friction to illustrate how it can provide deeper insights into the molecular origins of friction than more conventional Prandtl-Tomlinson-type models.

#### Summary of Evans-Polanyi Analysis of Pressure-Dependent Reaction Rates

The Evans-Polanyi analysis is based on the idea that the equilibrium constant of chemical reaction, *K*, can be obtained from the standard Gibbs free energy change per mole for the process,  $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ , as:

$$\Delta G^{\ominus} = -RT lnK \tag{1},$$

where *R* is the gas constant and *T* is the absolute temperature [33]. Note that, because the number of moles of reactant and product are equal, *K* is unitless. Since  $\Delta G = \Delta U + P\Delta V - T\Delta S$ , the variation in equilibrium constant with (hydrostatic) pressure is given by  $\frac{\partial lnK}{\partial P}\Big|_T = -\frac{\Delta V}{RT}$ , where here  $\Delta V$  has the units of volume per mole; it corresponds to some molar volume change between the reactant and the product. Evans and Polanyi argued that, rather than using statistical thermodynamics to calculate the equilibrium constant between the transition state and the reactant [22], classical thermodynamic concepts could be used instead. As a result, a similar equation could be written for a rate constant *k* as:  $\frac{\partial lnk}{\partial P}\Big|_T = -\frac{\Delta V^{\ddagger}}{RT}$ , where  $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$  is known as an activation volume, measured for one mole under standard conditions. It has the units of volume per mole (or per molecule) and is formally a volume difference between the activated complex and the reactant. The physical interpretation of this volume change will be clarified below. Evans and Polanyi also pointed out that this idea could be extended to analyzing the effect of any external potential, f, on the rate constant k of a chemical reaction [26, 27], and showed that  $\frac{dlnk}{df} = -\frac{(\alpha - \alpha')}{RT}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are factors such that  $(\alpha - \alpha')f$  is the energy change of the system in passing from the initial to the transition state. That is,  $\alpha$  is the intensive variable conjugate to f. For example, if f = P, the hydrostatic pressure, the conjugate variable is -V. Thus, the variable conjugate to an imposed stress has the units of volume.

If the rate constant under a standard pressure is  $k_0$ , then  $k(P) = k_0 exp\left(-\frac{P\Delta V^{\dagger}}{RT}\right)$ . This has been called the Bell equation [34] and was originally applied to analyzing cell adhesion. Using the Arrhenius form of the rate constants shows that  $E_{act}(P) = E_{act}^0 + P\Delta V^{\dagger}$ , where  $E_{act}^0$  is the activation barrier in the absence of an applied pressure and  $E_{act}(P)$  is the pressure-dependent barrier. Thus, a decrease in volume of the activated complex compared to the reactant causes  $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ to be negative, so that increasing the pressure reduces the activation barrier and increases the reaction rate. Conversely, a positive activation volume results in a decrease in rate with increasing pressure.

There are several advantages to using such an approach compared to those that use a forcemodified potential energy surface [15, 35] or a one-dimensional periodic function. First, as a consequence of Hess' law [33], such a thermodynamic analysis does not depend on the pathway between the activated complex and the reactant [17]. This provides a significant advantage for applications to real systems, because calculating the potential-energy surface is tedious, while obtaining just the reactant and transition-state energies and structures and their properties is much simpler [23-25, 36]. Second, analyses such as those used to describe the molecular origins of friction and viscosity invariably only use a single force, while both normal and shear stresses are invariably applied at the same time in real experiments. The Evans-Polanyi perturbation model can easily be extended to describe the effect of a combination of stresses as well as including the effects of other perturbations. This approach facilitate linking macroscale sliding phenomena to the molecular origins that underpin them.

Thus, the central concept that underpins Evans-Polanyi (E-P) perturbation theory is that the Gibbs Free Energy *G* of a system can include the effect of some perturbation of the system described by an intensive variable, *I*, by using an associated extensive conjugate variable, *C*, where *IdC* equals the reversible work, so that G = U - TS + IC [37]. In the case of a chemical process in which there are two states, we can define two values of the Gibbs free energies for each state under standard conditions of pressure and temperature so that  $\Delta G^{\ominus} = G_2^{\ominus} - G_1^{\ominus}$ . For constant values of temperature *T* and *I*,  $\Delta G^{\ominus} = \Delta U^{\ominus} - T\Delta S^{\ominus} + I\Delta C^{\ominus}$ . This equation can be used to calculate the way in which the equilibrium constant (*K*) or the rate constant (*k*) of a chemical process depends on the perturbation *I*. Note that, in many cases, the value of the conjugate variable, *C*, can itself depend on *I*, as was alluded to by Evans and Polanyi [26, 27], so that the equation for the standard Gibbs free energy change becomes:

$$\Delta G^{\ominus} = \Delta U^{\ominus} - T \Delta S^{\ominus} + I \Delta C^{\ominus}(I)$$
(2).

 $\Delta C^{\ominus}(I)$  can most conveniently be evaluated by carrying out a Taylor series expansion. The rate constant *k* is given by:

$$lnk(I) = lnk_0 - \frac{\Delta C^{\ddagger}}{RT}I$$
(3),

where again  $k_0$  is the rate constant for the process in the absence of the perturbation. In the following analysis, we will apply this general method to examining stress-modified surface

processes but will neglect the variation of the variable *C*, and this will be discussed in more detail elsewhere. The method will be used to analyze the molecular origins of pressure-dependent shear stresses within the general framework of the Prandtl-Tomlinson friction model [3, 4, 11, 38].

# Application of Evans-Polanyi Perturbation Theory to Stress-Modified Processes

In a reactive process, whether a chemical reaction or a sliding contact, the atoms located at some initial, stable configuration,  $r_i(Reactant)$ , i = 1 to N, where N is the number of atoms in the molecular assembly, undergo a transformation by transiting an energy barrier which has a transition-state structure (an activated complex) with atomic positions,  $r_i(TS)$ , to yield a product with different atomic position vectors,  $r_i(Product)[22]$ . The reactants and products have local minima with positive Hessians, while the transition-state is metastable with one negative Hessian [25]. In this analysis, we are interested in calculating the Gibbs free energy change between the transition state and the reactant with a view to evaluating the stress dependence of the reaction rate constant using the E-P perturbation method described above. The reaction involves motion of the  $i^{th}$  atom given by  $r_i(TS) - r_i(Reactant)$ . These structures and their position vectors can be calculated using quantum mechanics [23-25, 36, 39].

Thermomechanical properties are analyzed using continuum mechanics by distorting some reference configuration (typically the reactant state) so that it undergoes a transformation x = x(X), to give the position x of the particle with original position X. This mapping is assumed to be continuous, differentiable and invertible. The transformation is described by the deformation gradient tensor F, where  $F_{ij} = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j}$ , and is represented by a 3 × 3 matrix. The deformation of a

reference configuration with volume  $V_0$  into a new configuration with volume V is given by  $V = V_0 \det(\mathbf{F}) = V_0 J$ , where  $J = \det(\mathbf{F})$  is the determinant of the deformation gradient tensor [40].

In order to implement the Evans-Polanyi perturbation method for mechanically induced processes, it is necessary to define the relevant conjugate variables *C* (strain) and *I* (stress). Using the convention used in the analysis of the mechanical properties of materials of using a reference density  $\rho_0$ , the internal work per unit mass is given by:  $\overline{\omega}_0^{int} dt = \rho_0 dW_0 = IdC = JT$ :  $dF F^{-1} = \Pi$ : dF = S: dE, where  $\overline{\omega}_0^{int}$  is the stress power per unit reference volume [37] and *T*,  $\Pi$  and **S** are the Cauchy and first and second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensors, while *E* is the Green-Lagrange deformation tensor [40]. Here the double dot : denotes the standard scalar product of two tensors;  $A: B = tr(AB^T) = A_{ij}B_{ij}$ .

In the case of a hydrostatic pressure, *P*, the Cauchy stress  $\mathbf{T} = -P\mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the unit tensor, and the internal work reduces to  $\rho_0 dW_0 = J \mathbf{T}$ :  $d\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^{-1} = -P J tr(d\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^{-1}) = -P dJ = -P dV$ , which is used in the original E-P paper [26]. Here, the conjugate pair, (C, I) = (-V, P). Unfortunately, this is the only case in which the Cauchy stress tensor can be used to model stressinduced processes.

From the above equation, two candidates for the combinations of strain and stress are  $(F, \Pi)$ and (S, E). The first conjugate pair is generally not appropriate because the elastic constitutive equation,  $\Pi(F)$  cannot be inverted to yield  $F(\Pi)$ , thus making it impossible to define a Gibbs free energy  $G(\Pi)$ . In contrast, (C, I) = (E, S) can be inverted and can thus provide an appropriate conjugate pair to define a Gibbs free energy change occurring during a chemical transformation. The disadvantage to this choice is that, while the Green-Lagrange strain tensor, E, has a clear physical meaning, the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, S, does not.



For the sake of simplicity in the following, it will be assumed that the deformation is constrained to have an invariant plane coincident with the sliding plane. Other deformations can be included quire easily, if necessary. However, this is the most common occurrence in tribological problems, where a normal stress is exerted

along the perpendicular  $x_3$  (z) direction combined with shear within the  $x_1x_2$  (xy) plane so that the transformation is:  $x_1 = X_1$ ,  $x_2 = X_2$ ,  $x_3 = \gamma_1 X_1 + \gamma_2 X_2 + f_3 X_3$  (Figure 1) and the gradient tensor is given by  $F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \gamma_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & f_3 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $detF = J = f_3$ . Its inverse is  $F^{-1} =$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\gamma_1/f_3 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma_2/f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/f_3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ so that } \boldsymbol{dFF}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & d\gamma_1 \\ 0 & 0 & d\gamma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & df_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\gamma_1/f_3 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma_2/f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/f_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & d\gamma_1/f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & d\gamma_2/f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & df_3/f_3 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ In } \boldsymbol{f}_{1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & d\gamma_1/f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & d\gamma_1/f_3 \\ 0 & 0 & df_3/f_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

the case of a general Cauchy stress  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \tau_{12} & \tau_{13} \\ \tau_{12} & \sigma_2 & \tau_{23} \\ \tau_{13} & \tau_{23} & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is appropriate to use molar

quantities in the case of a molecular transformation so that the work is given by  $\frac{dW_0}{V_0} = JT$ :  $dFF^{-1} = \tau_{13}d\gamma_1 + \tau_{23}d\gamma_2 + \sigma_3df_3$ , where V<sub>0</sub> is the molar volume in the reactant state which, in the following, is taken to be the reference configuration. This leads to the conjugate pair  $I = (\sigma_3, \tau_{13}, \tau_{23})$  and  $C = V_0(f_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ , and the resulting Gibbs free energy change between the transition state and the reactant under standard conditions is:

$$\Delta G^{\ominus} = \Delta U^{\ominus} - T\Delta S^{\ominus} + V_0(\tau_{13}d\gamma_1 + \tau_{23}d\gamma_2 + \sigma_3 df_3)$$
(4).

We note that the same result could have been obtained more directly by calculating the work done by a force  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3)$  exerted on an invariant surface,  $x_3 = \text{Constant}$ . This, in fact, also corresponds to the alternative form  $\Pi$ : dF since in this case  $\Pi_{i3} = T_{i3}$ ,  $i = 1 \dots 3$ . More generally, it can also be shown that, after some computation using the particular structure of the deformation gradient, the C - I term in the Gibbs free energy can equally well be written as  $IC = \tau_{13}\gamma_1 + \tau_{23}\gamma_2 + \sigma_3 f_3 = F$ :  $\Pi = S$ : E.

### Molecular Origins of Sliding Friction; Evans-Polanyi Analysis of the Prandtl-Tomlinson Friction

The above concept will be used to calculate the friction force within the framework of the Prandtl-Tomlinson model for constant-force sliding, but could readily be extended to analyzing compliant sliding in atomic-force microscopy (AFM) and nanoscale [41] friction measurements. Furthermore, we will initially ignore the effect of an applied stress on the activation volume; this is formally equivalent to the Bell model in mechanochemistry [34].

Experimentally, the shear stress  $\tau$  has been found to have a contribution that depends on the normal stress (the contact pressure *P*) and a term that is independent of the normal stress [42-45]:

$$\tau = \tau_0 + \mu_L P \tag{5},$$

where  $\tau_0$  is a pressure-independent stress. The associated friction coefficient  $\mu = \frac{\tau}{p} = \frac{\tau_0}{p} + \mu_L$ , and if  $\tau_0$  is small, the friction coefficient obeys Amontons' law [46]. Both macroscopic and microscopic explanations have been proposed for this behavior. For example, Barquins proposed that the friction stress is the sum of adhesive and ploughing contributions [47], while an alternative postulate suggests that, according to Greenwood and Williamson theory [48], when randomly rough surfaces contact only at the tips of the highest asperities, the real contact area increases in direct proportion to the normal applied load to give a load-dependent shear stress [48]. The effect of roughness on the pressure-dependent contribution to friction stress was also highlighted and discussed in Ref. [44] where the coupling with the molecular architecture of the adsorbed nanometric layers was investigated. Derjaguin ascribed the  $\tau_0$  to adhesion between the contacting surfaces [49, 50] and Briscoe and Evans [51] proposed a formula for the velocity and temperature dependences of self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) that agreed well with experiment [52, 53]. It has also recently been suggested that the relative values of  $\tau_0$  and  $\mu_L$ , and thus how well a system obeys Amontons' law, depends on the scale of the contact [54].

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Velocity Dependence of Shear-Modified Processes**

As explained by Prandtl [1] and by Eyring [7, 14], the velocity dependence of sliding friction is established by equating the stress-dependent rate constant for the transit over an activation barrier,  $k(\sigma)$ , to the velocity-dependent transit time,  $t = \frac{\Delta x^{\ddagger}}{v}$ , where the distance from the reactant to the activated complex along the sliding direction is an activation length,  $\Delta x^{\ddagger}$ , and v is the sliding velocity. This yields the equation  $k(\sigma) = v/\Delta x^{\ddagger}$  where  $k(\sigma)$  is calculated using Evans-Polanyi perturbation theory.

#### **Normal-Stress-Dependent Shear Stresses**

The following illustrates the ability of Evans-Polanyi perturbation theory to simultaneously analyze the effects of normal and shear stresses on Prantl-Tomlinson friction. We analyze the effect of a combined normal stress,  $\sigma_z \equiv P$  for sliding along the *x* direction with a shear stress  $\tau_{xz} \equiv \tau$ . Note here that  $\sigma_z$  and the *z* axis of  $\tau_{xz}$  are directed along +*z*. This yields a Cauchy stress tensor:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau_{xz} \\ \tau_{zx} & \sigma_z \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}.$$



The energy profile depicted in Figure 2(A)shows that the initial (reactant) state is located at  $(x_I, z_I)$  and the transition state is at  $(x_T, z_T)$ . That is, going from the initial- to the transition-state involves motion both along the x and z directions. Note that the value of the energy barrier is not required for the analysis, just the rate in the absence of a stress,  $k_0$ . Considering a volume element of initial thickness  $h_0$  and an area  $A_c$  over which the stresses act, the components of the  $(2 \times 2)$  deformation gradient tensor, **F**, as illustrated in Figure 2(B), are given by F = $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Delta x^{\dagger}/h_0 \\ 0 & 1 + \Delta z^{\dagger}/h_0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Applying the results obtained above where  $I = (\sigma_z, \tau_{xz})$  and C =

 $V_0 (1 + \Delta z/h_0, \Delta x^{\ddagger}/h_0)$  finally yields:  $G = \Delta U - T\Delta S + V_0 (\tau_{xz} \left(\frac{\Delta x^{\ddagger}}{h_0}\right) + \sigma_z \left(\frac{\Delta z^{\ddagger}}{h_0}\right))$ . This

emphasizes the idea that the activation volume depends on the direction of the stress relative to the surface. If we take the  $V_0$  to be the initial volume of the system so that  $\frac{V_0}{h_0} = A_c$ , the activation volumes in the *x* and *z* directions can be written as  $\Delta V_x^{\ddagger} = A_c \Delta x^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta V_z^{\ddagger} = A_c \Delta z^{\ddagger}$ , in accord with a proposal made by Stearn and Eyring [14]. These have the units of volume and are the product of an area ( $A_c$ ) and a displacement along *x* and *z* given by  $\Delta x^{\ddagger} = x_T - x_I$  and  $\Delta z^{\ddagger} = z_T - z_I$ . This formula for the stress-dependent Gibbs free energy change between the reactant and

transition state can be used to calculate a stress-dependent rate constant. The change in the rate constant due to pressure and shear is given by:  $\delta lnk(\tau, P) = \frac{\partial lnk(\tau, P)}{\partial \sigma_z} \Big|_{\tau_{XZ}} \delta \sigma_z + \frac{\partial lnk(\tau, P)}{\partial \tau_{XZ}} \Big|_{\sigma_z} \delta \tau_{XZ}.$ 

Using Evans and Polanyi perturbation theory gives  $\frac{\partial \ln (k(\tau, P))}{\partial \tau_{xz}}\Big|_{T, \sigma_z} = -\frac{A_C \Delta x^{\dagger}}{k_B T}$  and

$$\frac{\partial \ln (k(\tau, P))}{\partial \sigma_z}\Big|_{T, \tau_{XZ}} = -\frac{A_C \Delta z^{\dagger}}{k_B T} \text{ so that } \delta lnk(\tau, P) = -\frac{A_C \Delta z^{\dagger}}{k_B T} \delta \sigma_z - \frac{A_C \Delta x^{\dagger}}{k_B T} \delta \tau_{XZ}. \text{ Putting } \sigma_z = -P \text{ and}$$

 $\tau_{xz} = -\tau$  and integrating gives:  $lnk(\tau, P) = lnk_0 + \frac{A_C \Delta z^{\ddagger}}{k_B T}P + \frac{A_C \Delta x^{\ddagger}}{k_B T}\tau$ . This enables a value of the rate constant as a function of shear stress and pressure,  $k(\tau, P)$  to be calculated and equated to the sliding velocity  $\nu$  as:

$$k(\tau, P) = k_0 \exp\left(+\frac{A_c(\tau \Delta x^{\ddagger} + P \Delta z^{\ddagger})}{k_B T}\right) = \nu / \Delta x^{\ddagger}$$
(7),

for sliding along the x direction, where the transition rate is dictated by the time for the system to move from the initial state to the transition state. Straightforward manipulation of this equation gives:

$$\tau = -\left(\frac{\Delta V_z^{\dagger}}{\Delta V_x^{\dagger}}\right) P - \frac{k_B T}{\Delta V_x^{\dagger}} ln\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_0}\right) \tag{8},$$

where  $v_0 = k_0 \Delta x^{\ddagger}$ . Comparison with Eqn. (5) reveals that:

$$\tau_0 = -\frac{k_B T}{\Delta v_x^{\ddagger}} ln\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right) \qquad \text{and} \quad \mu_L = -\left(\frac{\Delta V_Z^{\ddagger}}{\Delta v_x^{\ddagger}}\right) \tag{9},$$

so that  $\tau_0$  depends on temperature and the sliding velocity, while  $\mu_L$  depends only on the nanoscale topography of the sliding interface through the ratio of the activation volumes for motion in the *z* direction relative to the motion along the *x* direction. The activation volume along the *x* direction,  $\Delta V_x^{\ddagger}$  should be negative for the shear stress to reduce the energy barrier to yield the same temperature dependences as found for an analysis of the Prandtl-Tomlinson model for constant-force sliding using a sinusoidal potential [9]. It should be mentioned that other temperature the

existence of dependences have been found experimentally but have been ascribed to additional effects such as an activated attachment of the tip and surface [55], by unusual properties of a compliant atomic force microscope tip Manzi [11], or the formation thermally activated capillary bridges between the tip and substrate [56]. Note that here  $k_0$  is a rate constant and thus depends on the activation energy,  $E_{act}$ , of the process, however, the Evans-Polanyi perturbation method directly calculates a rate constant. This depends on the activation energy and the temperature, T through the Arrhenius equation:  $k_0 = Aexp(-E_{act}/k_BT)$ , where A is a pre-exponential factor and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant. Substituting for  $k_0$  in the formula for  $v_0$  yields the following form of Eqn. 8:

$$\tau = -\frac{k_B T}{\Delta V_x^{\dagger}} ln \left(\frac{v}{v_C}\right) - \frac{E_{act}}{\Delta V_x^{\dagger}} - \left(\frac{\Delta V_z^{\dagger}}{\Delta V_x^{\dagger}}\right) P$$
(10),

where now  $v_c = A\Delta x^{\ddagger}$ . It is instructive to compare this equation with that derived and compared with experiment by Briscoe and Evans for SAM friction [51], where we maintain their nomenclature as:

$$\tau = \frac{k_B T}{\phi} ln\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right) + \frac{1}{\phi} (Q' + P\Omega)$$
(11),

where  $\phi$  is known as the stress activation volume and  $\Omega$  is the pressure activation volume. Q' is the barrier height and  $V_0$  is a velocity factor. It is clear that the equation derived from Evans-Polanyi theory and that obtained semi-empirically and confirmed experimentally by Briscoe and Evans are identical;  $\phi \equiv \Delta V_x^{\dagger} = A_c \Delta x^{\dagger}$ ,  $\Omega = -\Delta V_z^{\dagger} = A_c \Delta z^{\dagger}$  so that ratio  $\Omega/\phi$  is expected to be a constant and quite small. Briscoe and Evans found it to be 0.038 for stearic acid (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>37</sub>COOH) and 0.036 for behenic acid (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>43</sub>COOH). The velocity factor  $V_0 = v_c = A\Delta x^{\dagger}$ .

The stress activation volume  $\phi$  has been interpreted as the volume of molecules that are moved during shear [57-61] but the above analysis indicates that it is due to the lateral motion of the system during sliding. This comparison shows how an Evans-Polanyi analysis, in this case of Prandtl-Tomlinson sliding, can reveal the nature of the molecular-scale processes that lead to the appearance of macroscale tribological phenomena.

Similar pressure-dependent shear stresses have been found for solid sliding [43] and in particular for thin potassium chloride films on various metal substrates [62, 63], where the properties of the film were analyzed using density functional theory (DFT) [64]. This revealed that the friction coefficient did depend on the contact pressure and correlated with the corrugation of the surface topology, i.e.,  $\Delta z^{\ddagger}$ , calculated by DFT in accord with the model outlined here [65, 66].

The scale of the contact has been suggested to influence the relative sizes of  $\tau_0$  and  $\mu_L$  [54] with nanoscale contacts suggested to have  $\tau_0 < \mu_L P$  and macroscale contacts are proposed to have the opposite behavior, of  $\tau_0 < \mu_L P$ . Eqn. 10 predicts that  $\mu_L$  depends only on materials properties while  $\tau_0$  also depends on the experimental conditions (sliding velocity and temperature). Thus, Eqn. 10 predicts that the lower sliding velocities used for nanoscale experiments would lead to relatively lower the value of  $\tau_0$ , while experiments at the macroscale should have larger  $\tau_0$  values. This may suggest that an alternative explanation may be due to disparities in the sliding velocity between the regimes. Other interpretations based on the location of the shear plane in the contact might also explain this contradiction [45].

#### Conclusion

This work illustrates the use of a perturbation method developed by Evans and Polanyi to analyze the rates of stress-accelerated processes using the Prandtl-Tomlinson model, initially focusing on analyzing the molecular origins of friction. The approach consists of a thermodynamic analysis of the way in which the equilibrium constant between the initial state and the transition state (activated complex) in transition-state theory is influenced by an external perturbation, here an applied stress. An important property of such a thermodynamic analysis is that it is independent of the path between the two states and only depends on the locations of the transition state relative to the reactant. This makes the approach straightforward to apply to real systems because the energies, positions and properties of the initial state and the transition state are relatively straightforward to calculate [23-25].

We note that there have been previous attempts to carry out thermodynamic analyses of friction and wear [67, 68] using the concepts of non-equilibrium thermodynamics [69]. Since tribo- and mechanochemical processes occur by an applied stress modifying the activation energy [9], we contend that the Evans-Polanyi perturbation method is a more appropriate method for analyzing these processes,

The method is illustrated for sliding friction that allows the molecular-scale energy dissipation processes that control friction to be identified. We analyze the effect of combined normal and shear stresses to identify the molecular origins of the pressure-dependent shear stress using just the linear stress-dependent change in Gibbs free energy, which depends on the volume difference between the reactant and transition state structures. We show that the calculated activation volume is consistent with a proposal made by Stearn and Eyring [14] and equals the distance from the initial to the transition state along the sliding direction (an activation length), multiplied by the area over which the stress acts, and thus has the requisite units of volume. The analysis leads to a value of  $\tau_0$  that depends on the temperature and sliding velocity, while a  $\tau_0$  that is due to adhesion should be independent of both parameters. Such analyses can be straightforwardly extended to more complex sliding interfaces; to those in which the initial- and transition-states are compliant, and to other dissipation processes such as fluid shear or tribochemistry.

### **Author contributions**

All authors contributed equally to the paper.

### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# **Data availability**

Data sharing not applicable since the article describes entirely theoretical research.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Civil, Mechanical and Manufacturing Innovation (CMMI) Division of the National Science Foundation under grant number 2020525 for support of this work. This work was also supported by the French Agency for Ecological Transition (ADEME) through the IMOTEP project. WTT thanks Drs. J. P. Bonavia, B. P. Buggy and C. K. Rokkas without whom this work would not have been possible.

#### References

 Prandtl, L. Ein Gedankenmodell zur kinetischen Theorie der festen Körper. Z Angew Math Mech 8:85 (1928).

 [2] Furlong, O.J., Manzi, S.J., Pereyra, V.D., Bustos, V., Tysoe, W.T. Monte Carlo Simulations for Tomlinson Sliding Models for Non-Sinusoidal Periodic Potentials. Tribology Letters 39:177-180 (2010).

[3] Müser, M. Velocity dependence of kinetic friction in the Prandtl-Tomlinson model. Physical Review B 84:125419 (2011).

[4] Gnecco, E., Roth, R., Baratoff, A. Analytical expressions for the kinetic friction in the Prandtl-Tomlinson model. Physical Review B 86:035443 (2012).

[5] Furlong, O., Manzi, S., Martini, A., Tysoe, W. Influence of Potential Shape on Constant-Force Atomic-Scale Sliding Friction Models. Tribology Letters 60:1-9 (2015).

[6] Eyring, H. Viscosity, Plasticity, and Diffusion as Examples of Absolute Reaction Rates. The Journal of Chemical Physics 4:283-291 (1936).

[7] Kauzmann, W., Eyring, H. The Viscous Flow of Large Molecules. Journal of the American Chemical Society 62:3113-3125 (1940).

[8] Tysoe, W. On Stress-Induced Tribochemical Reaction Rates. Tribology Letters 65:48 (2017).

[9] Spikes, H., Tysoe, W. On the Commonality Between Theoretical Models for Fluid and Solid Friction, Wear and Tribochemistry. Tribology Letters 59:1-14 (2015).

[10] Jacobs, T.D.B., Carpick, R.W. Nanoscale wear as a stress-assisted chemical reaction. Nat Nano 8:108-112 (2013).

[11] Manzi, S., Tysoe, W., Furlong, O. Temperature Dependences in the Tomlinson/PrandtlModel for Atomic Sliding Friction. Tribology Letters 55:363-369 (2014).

[12] Manzi, S.J., Carrera, S.E., Furlong, O.J., Kenmoe, G.D., Tysoe, W.T. Prandtl–Tomlinson-Type Models for Molecular Sliding Friction. Tribology Letters 69:147 (2021).

[13] Johnson, K.L., Tevaarwerk, J.L.: Shear Behaviour of Elastohydrodynamic Oil Films.(1977)

[14] Stearn, A.E., Eyring, H. Pressure and Rate Processes. Chemical Reviews 29:509-523(1941).

[15] Subramanian, G., Mathew, N., Leiding, J. A generalized force-modified potential energy surface for mechanochemical simulations. The Journal of Chemical Physics 143:134109 (2015).

[16] Konda, S.S.M., Brantley, J.N., Bielawski, C.W., Makarov, D.E. Chemical reactions modulated by mechanical stress: Extended Bell theory. The Journal of Chemical Physics 135:164103-164108 (2011).

[17] Avdoshenko, S.M., Makarov, D.E. Reaction Coordinates and Pathways ofMechanochemical Transformations. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 120:1537-1545(2016).

[18] Quapp, W., Bofill, J.M., Ribas-Ariño, J. Analysis of the Acting Forces in a Theory of
Catalysis and Mechanochemistry. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 121:2820-2838 (2017).
[19] Quapp, W., Bofill, J.M. Mechanochemistry on the Müller–Brown surface by Newton

trajectories. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 118:e25522 (2018).

[20] Pechukas, P. On simple saddle points of a potential surface, the conservation of nuclear symmetry along paths of steepest descent, and the symmetry of transition states. The Journal of Chemical Physics 64:1516-1521 (1976).

[21] Miller, W.H., Handy, N.C., Adams, J.E. Reaction path Hamiltonian for polyatomic molecules. The Journal of Chemical Physics 72:99-112 (1980).

[22] Eyring, H. The Activated Complex in Chemical Reactions. The Journal of Chemical Physics 3:107-115 (1935).

[23] Henkelman, G., Uberuaga, B.P., Jonsson, H. A climbing image nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. The Journal of Chemical Physics 113:9901-9904 (2000).

[24] Henkelman, G., Jónsson, H. Improved tangent estimate in the nudged elastic band method for finding minimum energy paths and saddle points. The Journal of Chemical Physics 113:9978-9985 (2000). [25] Henkelman, G., Jóhannesson, G., Jónsson, H.: Methods for Finding Saddle Points and Minimum Energy Paths. In: Schwartz SD (ed.) Theoretical Methods in Condensed Phase Chemistry, pp. 269-302. Springer Netherlands, Place Springer Netherlands (2002)
[26] Evans, M.G., Polanyi, M. Some applications of the transition state method to the calculation of reaction velocities, especially in solution. Transactions of the Faraday Society 31:875-894 (1935).

[27] Evans, M.G., Polanyi, M. Further considerations on the thermodynamics of chemical equilibria and reaction rates. Transactions of the Faraday Society 32:1333-1360 (1936).

[28] Logadottir, A., Rod, T.H., Nørskov, J.K., Hammer, B., Dahl, S., Jacobsen, C.J.H. The Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi Relation and the Volcano Plot for Ammonia Synthesis over Transition Metal Catalysts. Journal of Catalysis 197:229-231 (2001).

[29] Cheng, J., Hu, P., Ellis, P., French, S., Kelly, G., Lok, C.M. Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi Relation of Multistep Reactions and Volcano Curve in Heterogeneous Catalysis. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 112:1308-1311 (2008).

 [30] van Santen, R.A., Neurock, M., Shetty, S.G. Reactivity Theory of Transition-Metal Surfaces: A Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi Linear Activation Energy–Free-Energy Analysis.
 Chemical Reviews 110:2005-2048 (2010).

[31] Asano, T., Le Noble, W.J. Activation and reaction volumes in solution. Chemical Reviews 78:407-489 (1978).

[32] Drljaca, A., Hubbard, C.D., van Eldik, R., Asano, T., Basilevsky, M.V., le Noble, W.J. Activation and Reaction Volumes in Solution. 3. Chemical Reviews 98:2167-2290 (1998).

[33] Hill, T.L.: An Introduction to Statistical Thermodynamics. Dover Publications, Place Dover Publications (2012)

[34] Bell, G. Models for the specific adhesion of cells to cells. Science 200:618-627 (1978).

[35] Makarov, D.E. Perspective: Mechanochemistry of biological and synthetic molecules. The Journal of Chemical Physics 144:030901 (2016).

[36] Peters, B.: Chapter 8 - Saddles on the energy landscape. In: Peters B (ed.) Reaction RateTheory and Rare Events Simulations, pp. 183-208. Elsevier, Place Elsevier (2017)

[37] Hill, R. On constitutive inequalities for simple materials—I. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 16:229-242 (1968).

[38] Prandtl, L. Ein Gedankenmodell zur kinetischen Theorie der festen Körper. ZAMM -Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics / Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik 8:85-106 (1928).

[39] Sheppard, D., Henkelman, G. Paths to which the nudged elastic band converges. Journal of Computational Chemistry 32:1769-1771 (2011).

[40] Wallace, D.C. Thermoelasticity of Stressed Materials and Comparison of Various Elastic Constants. Physical Review 162:776-789 (1967).

[41] Crespo, A., Mazuyer, D., Morgado, N., Tonck, A., Georges, J.M., Cayer-Barrioz, J.Methodology to Characterize Rheology, Surface Forces and Friction of Confined Liquids at theMolecular Scale Using the ATLAS Apparatus. Tribology Letters 65:138 (2017).

[42] Carpick, R.W., Salmeron, M. Scratching the surface: fundamental investigations of tribology with atomic force microscopy. Chemical Reviews 97:1163-1194 (1997).

[43] Piétrement, O., Troyon, M. Study of the Interfacial Shear Strength Pressure Dependence by Modulated Lateral Force Microscopy. Langmuir 17:6540-6546 (2001).

[44] Abouhadid, F., Crespo, A., Morgado, N., Mazuyer, D., Cayer-Barrioz, J. Friction Laws for Saturated/Unsaturated Fatty Acid Layers. Tribology Letters 69:46 (2021). [45] Georges, J.M., Mazuyer, D. Pressure effects on the shearing of a colloidal thin film. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 3:9545 (1991).

[46] Amontons, G. De la résistance causée dans les machines. Mémoires de l'Académie RoyaleA:257–282 (1699).

[47] Barquins, M. La tribologie - I. La science pour comprendre et maîtriser le frottement et l'usure. Bulletin de l'Union des Physiciens 88:30 (1994).

[48] Greenwood, J.A., Williamson, J.B.P. Contact of Nominally Flat Surfaces. Proceedings of

the Royal Society of London Series A Mathematical and Physical Sciences 295:300-319 (1966).

[49] Derjaguin, B. Molekulartheorie der äußeren Reibung. Zeitschrift für Physik 88:661-675(1934).

[50] Gao, J., Luedtke, W.D., Gourdon, D., Ruths, M., Israelachvili, J.N., Landman, U. Frictional Forces and Amontons' Law: From the Molecular to the Macroscopic Scale. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 108:3410-3425 (2004).

[51] Briscoe, B.J., Evans, D.C.B. The Shear Properties of Langmuir-Blodgett Layers.Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A Mathematical and Physical Sciences 380:389-407 (1982).

[52] Hsu, C.-C., Peng, L., Hsia, F.-C., Weber, B., Bonn, D., Brouwer, A.M. Molecular Probing of the Stress Activation Volume in Vapor Phase Lubricated Friction. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces (2023).

[53] Mazuyer, D., Cayer-Barrioz, J., Tonck, A., Jarnias, F. Friction Dynamics of Confined Weakly Adhering Boundary Layers. Langmuir 24:3857-3866 (2008). [54] He, X., Liu, Z., Ripley, L.B., Swensen, V.L., Griffin-Wiesner, I.J., Gulner, B.R., et al. Empirical relationship between interfacial shear stress and contact pressure in micro- and macroscale friction. Tribol Int 155:106780 (2021).

[55] Barel, I., Urbakh, M., Jansen, L., Schirmeisen, A. Unexpected temperature and velocity dependencies of atomic-scale stick-slip friction. Physical Review B 84 (2011).

[56] Greiner, C., Felts, J.R., Dai, Z., King, W.P., Carpick, R.W. Controlling Nanoscale Friction through the Competition between Capillary Adsorption and Thermally Activated Sliding. ACS Nano 6:4305-4313 (2012).

[57] Drummond, C., Israelachvili, J. Dynamic Behavior of Confined Branched Hydrocarbon Lubricant Fluids under Shear. Macromolecules 33:4910-4920 (2000).

[58] Sills, S., Overney, R.M. Creeping Friction Dynamics and Molecular Dissipation Mechanisms in Glassy Polymers. Physical Review Letters 91:095501 (2003).

[59] Bouhacina, T., Aimé, J., Gauthier, S., Michel, D., Heroguez, V. Tribological behavior of a polymer grafted on silanized silica probed with a nanotip. Physical Review B 56:7694-7703 (1997).

[60] Cayer-Barrioz, J., Mazuyer, D., Tonck, A., Yamaguchi, E. Frictional Rheology of a Confined Adsorbed Polymer Layer. Langmuir 25:10802-10810 (2009).

[61] Delamarre, S., Gmür, T., Spencer, N.D., Cayer-Barrioz, J. Polymeric Friction Modifiers:Influence of Anchoring Chemistry on Their Adsorption and Effectiveness. Langmuir 38:11451-11458 (2022).

[62] Gao, F., Furlong, O., Kotvis, P.V., Tysoe, W.T. Pressure dependence of shear strengths of thin films on metal surfaces measured in ultrahigh vacuum. Tribology Letters 31:99-106 (2008).

[63] Wu, G., Gao, F., Kaltchev, M., Gutow, J., Mowlem, J.K., Schramm, W.C., et al. An investigation of the tribological properties of thin KCl films on iron in ultrahigh vacuum: modeling the extreme-pressure lubricating interface. Wear 252:595-606 (2002).

[64] Garvey, M., Furlong, O.J., Weinert, M., Tysoe, W.T. Shear properties of potassium chloride films on iron obtained using density functional theory. Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter 23 (2011).

[65] Garvey, M., Weinert, M., Tysoe, W.T. On the Pressure Dependence of Shear Strengths in Sliding, Boundary-Layer Friction. Tribology Letters 44:67-73 (2011).

[66] Gao, H., Tysoe, W.T., Martini, A. Identification of the Shear Plane During Sliding of SolidBoundary Films: Potassium Chloride Films on Iron. Tribology Letters 62:4 (2016).

[67] Osara, J.A., Lugt, P.M., Bryant, M.D., Khonsari, M.M. Thermodynamic Characterization of Grease Oxidation–Thermal Stability via Pressure Differential Scanning Calorimetry. Tribol Trans 65:542-554 (2022).

[68] Bryant, M.D., Khonsari, M.M.: Application of Degradation-Entropy Generation Theorem toDry Sliding Friction and Wear. pp. 1-3. Place (2008)

[69] Prigogine, I.: Introduction to thermodynamics of irreversible processes. IntersciencePublishers, New York (1968)