

# Thermal environment and ecological interactions modulate the importance of evolution in response to warming

Cara A Faillace, Soraya Álvarez-Codesal, Alexandre Garreau, Elvire Bestion, José M Montoya

### ▶ To cite this version:

Cara A Faillace, Soraya Álvarez-Codesal, Alexandre Garreau, Elvire Bestion, José M Montoya. Thermal environment and ecological interactions modulate the importance of evolution in response to warming. 2023. hal-04238286

## HAL Id: hal-04238286 https://hal.science/hal-04238286v1

Preprint submitted on 12 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Title: Thermal environment and ecological interactions modulate the importance of evolution in
- 2 response to warming
- 3 Running Head:
- 4
- 5 Cara A. Faillace<sup>1,\*</sup>, Soraya Álvarez-Codesal, Alexandre Garreau, Elvire Bestion, and José M. Montoya
- 6
- 7 Theoretical and Experimental Ecology Station
- 8 French National Centre of Scientific Research (CNRS)
- 9 2 Route du CNRS
- 10 09200 Moulis, France
- 11
- 12 <sup>1</sup>Current institution: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- 13
- 14 \*Correspond to: c.faillace@gmail.com

15

17

#### Abstract

18	Understanding the interaction between evolutionary history, the abiotic environment, and
19	biotic interactions is critical for a more nuanced understanding of how communities respond to
20	anthropogenic stressors. We leveraged a long term experiment manipulating temperature in
21	mesocosms containing communities of phytoplankton and zooplankton to examine how evolution in
22	response to long-term community warming could affect consumer-resource dynamics at different
23	temperatures. We showed that both the current thermal environment, as well as the presence of
24	interspecific interactions can affect the importance of prior evolution in response to warming, and that
25	this evolution also influences the outcomes of current ecological dynamics. For each consumer-resource
26	pair, the effects of evolution were temperature-dependent, but both the effects and the temperature
27	dependence itself additionally depended upon the identity of evolving species in each pair. The
28	evolution that we observed in one resource species, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, appeared to confer to
29	it a double advantage; it was more fit across all temperatures, while simultaneously reducing the
30	success of the consumer, but with the key result that fitness gains were largest at intermediate
31	temperatures when the consumer was present. Thus we found support for the "hotter is better"
32	hypothesis for this resource species, but especially at moderate or intermediate temperatures, and that
33	hotter was worse for the consumer. In the second species pair, patterns were more complex, but warm-
34	origin populations of both the resource and the consumer generally failed to show improved fitness.
35	Overall, our results show that evolution altered resource and consumer fitness, but that these effects
36	were dependent the current combination of abiotic and biotic conditions.

37

38 Key words: consumer-resource interactions, eco-evolutionary dynamics, global climate warming,

39 predator-prey interactions, temperature dependence, thermal adaptation

40

#### Introduction

41	Global climate change has emerged as a critical challenge to species and ecological communities
42	(Parmesan 2006, Radchuk et al. 2019). Multiple mechanisms by which species and communities respond
43	to increasing temperatures (e.g., range shifts, plastic changes to behavior, phenology, etc.) interact to
44	determine the degree to which species and communities are affected by climate change (O'Connor et al.
45	2012, Gaitán-Espitia and Hobday 2021). Because temperature represents a strong selective agent for
46	populations, evolution may constitute one important mechanism by which species respond to warming,
47	especially for dispersal-limited populations or species with rapid generation times (O'Donnell et al.
48	2018). Evolution in response to warming has been experimentally documented in a variety of organisms,
49	including for instance, green algae (Padfield et al. 2016, Schaum et al. 2017), arthropods (Gilchrist and
50	Huey 1999, Geerts et al. 2015, Hangartner and Hoffmann 2016), and larger organisms, such as lizards
51	(Logan et al. 2014). While less frequently studied, evolution in natural populations in response to
52	warming has been observed as well (Higgins et al. 2014, Geerts et al. 2015, Johansson et al. 2016,
53	Johansson and Laurila 2017, Diamond et al. 2017). Because evolution in response to abiotic factors, like
54	climate warming, is frequently explored in single populations, the implications of evolution in
55	communities responding to habitat warming remain understudied. Nonetheless, given that many of the
56	species most likely to evolve in response to warming are critical for ecosystem functioning and services
57	(e.g., water quality, carbon sequestration)(O'Donnell et al. 2018), we can expect that evolutionary
58	responses to warming will have important consequences for both ecological and human systems
59	(Rudman et al. 2017).

In general, intuition suggests that when evolution improves thermal performance at warm
temperatures, populations or species should be more successful in warmer environmental conditions
(Stoks et al. 2014) relative to colder conditions, although multiple hypotheses exist for how warming

evolution may alter the populations' thermal response curves [e.g., "hotter is better", "biochemical 63 64 adaptation", and "partial compensation" (Liu et al. 2022)]. Evidence from natural populations, however, 65 demonstrates that the performance of populations that evolve in response to warming can be variable. In some cases responses match theoretical expectations of shifts in thermal tolerance towards warmer 66 67 temperatures (Diamond et al. 2017), while in other cases they exhibit unexpected results, which can also 68 depend upon the life history parameter measured (Johansson et al. 2016). Such unexpected results may, 69 in part, be explained by life history tradeoffs that can emerge between coping with acute thermal stress 70 and chronic exposure (Rezende et al. 2014). For instance, evolution may favor increased survival under 71 chronic warm temperatures at the expense of critical thermal maximum temperature during acute 72 thermal stress (Johansson and Laurila 2017). Thus, both the type of thermal stress experienced by 73 populations (i.e., increasing mean temperature vs. frequent acute fluctuations), as well as current 74 thermal environment (e.g., temperature and duration of thermal experiments) may be important for 75 interpreting empirical patterns and understanding the implications of evolution that occurs in response 76 to warming. Physiological responses to temperature (e.g., changes to metabolism) provide an additional 77 clue to explain why variable responses may be observed in populations evolving to warming. In particular, many traits exhibit unimodal responses to temperature, such that effects of increased 78 79 temperature can appear vastly different depending upon where in the temperature response curve one 80 examines the response. Thus an additional wrinkle is introduced by the choices of the experimenter.

The presence of additional interacting species may introduce further factors influencing evolutionary outcomes. The effects of evolution can depend in important ways on the current ecological conditions in populations experiencing warming. When species are embedded in complex communities, the opportunities for additional costs, constraints, or benefits of evolution can emerge. For instance, there can be trait tradeoffs or novel selective pressures present that arise out of additional ecological (or evolutionary) changes that occur as communities respond to warming. Such trait tradeoffs can drive

differences in evolutionary responses compared to evolution in single populations (Van Doorslaer et al. 2009a, 2010). Selection that occurs within an ecological community is therefore likely to qualitatively change predictions about thermal adaptation derived from single populations (Angilletta Jr. et al. 2006), such that individual species' responses to temperature can be insufficient to explain changes to community composition (Tabi et al. 2020). For example, previous research has demonstrated that locally adapted populations of consumer and resource species from different latitudes have contrasting eco-evolutionary dynamics at two temperatures (De Block et al. 2013).

94 In consequence, we expect that when shifts in thermal performance occur in traits that affect 95 how species interact, such as resource acquisition or metabolism, it could affect overall fitness of 96 populations that are simultaneously involved in interspecific interactions and responding to warming. 97 Specifically, 1) different life history traits within a species can have different thermal dependencies, 2) 98 consumer and resource species have documented differences in these thermal dependencies, and 3) 99 evolutionary potential can differ across trophic levels. Therefore, it follows that evolution in response to 100 warming has the potential to alter consumer-resource dynamics, while also being reciprocally influenced 101 by them, and that the effects of evolution may additionally depend upon current thermal environment. 102 If this prediction is correct, we expect more complex outcomes when considering consumer-resource 103 interactions where changes in thermal performance for both the consumer and the resource are 104 simultaneously possible. For example, one possibility that emerges is cryptic eco-evolutionary dynamics 105 where evolution in both species yields a "moving target" that mimics purely ecological dynamics. In this 106 case, evolution may alter the thermal performance of the interacting species in similar ways, such that 107 the outcomes of the interaction remain apparently unchanged, but are actually being maintained by the 108 evolutionary response. A second possibility is that the effects of the interaction on species' fitness may 109 depend upon the current thermal environment. This might occur if, for example, their thermal 110 tolerances evolve to different degrees or if only one interacting species evolves. The variety of possible

responses become complex, but studying evolutionary responses in this complexity is necessary to better understand how evolution can alter the responses of populations and communities to habitat warming. Additionally, although responses are sometimes examined at multiple temperatures, most studies are typically of short duration and do not consider the effects of evolution at longer timescales to quantify changes to fitness. Thus, considering evolutionary responses in more complex communities acknowledges importance of community ecology in driving evolutionary responses to anthropogenic environmental change.

118 To examine both the influence of ecological interactions on evolutionary responses to warming 119 as well as understanding the long-term effects of evolution on the ecology of interacting species, we 120 used populations of two resource species (i.e., freshwater green algae, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and 121 Desmodesmus sp.) and a consumer species (i.e., Daphnia pulex) differing in thermal origin. We leveraged 122 a long-term experiment of manipulated warming in mesocosm plankton communities to understand the 123 consequences for consumer-resource interactions of evolution in response to long-term warming in 124 communities. We hypothesized that the fitness effects of this evolution would be dependent upon 125 current thermal environment and the presence of ecological interactions. Specifically, we asked, 1) 126 whether biotic interactions affect the importance of evolutionary history across a range of 127 temperatures, and 2) whether temperature affects the importance of evolutionary history. 128 Methods Source and maintenance of organisms prior to experimentation 129 130 Organisms originated from a long-running mesocosm experiment in Dorset, England. This

131 mesocosm experiment has been the subject of multiple previous publications (Yvon-Durocher et al.

132 2015, Schaum et al. 2017). Briefly, at the time of sampling in 2019, open-air experimental ponds of 1 m<sup>3</sup>

133 water of mixed freshwater phytoplankton and zooplankton had been continuously maintained since

134	2005 (i.e., approximately 14 years). Half of the mesocosms were kept at ambient temperature
135	conditions, while half experienced a consistent temperature increase of +4 °C above ambient
136	temperatures (n = 8 for both at the time of our sampling). Temperature in all ponds fluctuated
137	seasonally. Mesocosm communities initially contained the same mixture of phytoplankton and
138	zooplankton, but previous work has demonstrated significant changes to community structure in the
139	warmed mesocosms, as well as evolution in thermal tolerance in warmed populations of at least one
140	phytoplankton species, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Yvon-Durocher et al. 2015, Schaum et al. 2017)(Fig.
141	1).

142 We sampled two phytoplankton resource species, the algae C. reinhardtii and Desmodesmus sp. 143 from each treatment in January 2019, as well as a consumer species, Daphnia pulex in September 2019 144 (for further details see Álvarez-Codesal et al. 2023). The discrepancy in dates occurred because we were 145 unsuccessful in isolation of consumer populations on the first attempt. Sorting flow cytometry isolated 146 single algal cells and PacBio Sequel 2 long-read sequencing using full-length 18S fusion primers (forward: 147 NSF4/18 = CTGGTTGATYCTGCCAGT; reverse: EukR = TGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTAC) confirmed species 148 identity and genotypic differences between ambient-origin and warm-origin populations of the algae 149 (Comeau et al. 2017). We identified one unique clone for each of the two species, C. reinhardtii and 150 Desmodesmus sp. The identity of the consumer populations originating from each of 5 individuals 151 originally isolated from the experiment was confirmed morphologically by a taxonomist (however, 152 genotypic differences were not verified by sequencing for the Daphnia). All species reproduced 153 asexually, enabling us to maintain clonal populations of each genotype (algae) or putative clone 154 (Daphnia) in semi-continuous laboratory batch cultures until the start of the experiments. To avoid 155 confounding effects of plasticity or maternal effects, we maintained populations of these species in 156 common garden conditions—20 °C with 12:12 light-dark cycle—for over six months (many generations) 157 prior to subsequent experimentation. All organisms grew in autoclave-sterilized COMBO growth

medium. *Daphnia* grew in modified low-phosphorus COMBO (Kilham et al. 1998) that reduces bacterial
load. Aeration maintained culture health and growth and algal populations were in the exponential
growth phase at the start of the experiment. Additionally, *D. pulex* grew on a laboratory culture of *Ankistrodesmus* sp. (sourced from Sciento UK) to ensure that daphnids were not acclimated to the algal
species used in the experiments. *Daphnia* cultures received 50 mL of dense *Ankistrodesmus* once per
week as a food source. Periodic filtering of adult and juvenile daphids into fresh medium removed
metabolic waste products and resting eggs.

#### 165

#### Experimental design

166 Our experimental design crossed long-term evolutionary history—either to "ambient" or 167 "warm" temperature conditions— of each consumer–resource pair with 4 growth temperatures (Fig. 1). 168 In this way, we sought to examine how the effects of evolution in response to warming in a consumer-169 resource pair depend upon the current thermal environment. The experiment occurred in 4 incubators 170 that varied in temperature: 14, 18, 22, and 26 °C. These temperatures encompass much of the observed 171 growing season activity range for the consumer from this system, with the presumed approximate 172 thermal optimum of reproduction of the ambient-origin population of 20 °C serving as the mid-point 173 across the range (Craddock 1976). Non-consumption controls additionally allowed us to verify the 174 importance of algal evolutionary history in the absence of consumers. We conducted the experiment for 175 each consumer-resource pair in two temporal blocks (for tractability and to avoid pseudoreplication at 176 the incubator level). Thus, we had 6 evolutionary history-consumption treatments crossed with 4 177 growth temperatures in each temporal block for each consumer-resource pair (n = 4 per treatment, 178 yielding a total of 96 microcosms within temporal block). At the start of each experimental block, we 179 estimated abundance of the ambient-origin and warm-origin resources using a hemocytometer to count 180 cells under a compound microscope (NIKON Eclipse Ni). We then standardized approximate starting 181 abundances of the two populations, however starting densities thus differed somewhat between blocks

To each sterile microcosm we added 100 mL of sterile COMBO medium and 100 mL of density-

within a resource species and between resource species. We randomized microcosm position within
each incubator. The experiment ran a total of 4 times (n = 8 for treatment across 2 temporal blocks,
yielding N = 192 per consumer–resource pair and N = 384 across both pairs).

186 standardized resource from either the ambient-origin or warm-origin population (either C. reinhardtii or 187 Desmodesmus sp.). To treatments containing Daphnia, we then added 5 adult daphnids either of 188 ambient-origin or warm-origin to each microcosm (previously starved for 24-hours, filtered, and rinsed 189 in sterile water to remove residual Ankistrodesmus), such that the evolutionary origin of the resource 190 was crossed with that of the consumer. Thus our evolutionary history-consumption treatments 191 repeated at 4 temperatures were: 1) ambient-origin resource alone, 2) warm-origin resource alone, 3) 192 both ambient-origin resource and consumer, 4) ambient-origin resource + warm-origin consumer, 5) 193 warm-origin resource + ambient-origin consumer, and 6) both warm-origin resource and consumer. 194 We confirmed the survival of the Daphnia on the second day of the experiment. The experiment 195 ran for 3 weeks, or approximately 42–100 generations of algae and 2–4 generations of Daphnia. A 196 failure in the first 24 hours of the experiment for 26 °C incubator resulted in the incubator temperature 197 declining to 1 °C overnight for the first temporal block using C. reinhardtii. We therefore discarded these 198 microcosms and reassembled them approximately 12 hours after the initial experimental set-up using 199 the same sources of algae and Daphnia.

200

185

#### Estimation of equilibrium densities and interaction strength

Responses included equilibrium density (per ml) of the resource species, as well as a census of
 adult and larval *Daphnia* present in each microcosm. After 3 weeks, we first filtered and preserved all
 *Daphnia* adults and juveniles from a microcosm in 95% ethanol for later enumeration under a dissecting
 scope in two size categories, adults and juveniles. We next preserved two 150 µL samples of well-mixed

205	algae suspension from each microcosm using 15 $\mu$ L of a 1% sorbitol solution in 96-well plates. Incubation
206	for 1 hour in the dark ensured sorbitol penetration to prevent cell lysis before sample storage at - 80 °C.
207	Flow cytometry (BD FACSCanto II high-throughput sampler) then estimated cell densities. We used
208	averaged $log_{10}$ -transformed densities of the two technical flow cytometry replicates measured from
209	each microcosm in further analyses (see below). Parameters of flow cytometric lasers were as follows:
210	FSC: 136, SSC: 258, FITC: 484, PE: 432, PerCP-Cy5: 297, PE-Cy7: 570, APC: 440, APC-H7: 472, V450: 373
211	and V500: 484. For <i>Desmodesmus</i> sp., because flow cytometry measures particles, we report our results
212	as coenobia (i.e., small colonial cellular aggregates) rather than converting from aggregates to cells.
213	Coenobia are the units with which consumers interact, making them an appropriate unit for this species.
214	Safranin (Sigma-Aldrich) stained the Daphnia for simpler visualization of the preserved samples during
215	counting under a stereo microscope (Leica S9i), which ensured that molts were not counted
216	erroneously.
217	We used interaction strength to quantify the effect of consumers on resource abundance. To

We used interaction strength to quantify the effect of consumers on resource abundance. To calculate interaction strength (IS), using Equation 1 we calculated an inverse form of the log-response ratio (also called the Dynamic Index) (Berlow et al. 2003; Schaum et al. 2018):

220 
$$IS = \frac{ln(\frac{\overline{N}}{D_i})}{t}$$
 Equation 1

221 Where  $\overline{N}$  is the final mean algal abundance of the no consumption (algae-alone) controls for each 222 population, ambient-origin or warm-origin, for each resource species, *C. reinhardtii* or *Desmodesmus* 223 sp.,  $D_i$  is the final algal abundance of replicate *i* from a given population in the presence of consumers, 224 and *t* is the length of the experiment (equal to 21 days for our experiment). With the inverse log-225 response ratio, larger values of IS indicate stronger effects of consumers on resource abundance.

226

Analyses

227 We  $log_{10}$ -transformed abundances to better meet assumptions of normality in the subsequent 228 analyses. In all models, a block effect and all block interactions accounted for differences related to 229 temporal blocks. We conducted analyses to examine how current thermal environment and biotic 230 interactions determined the importance of past evolutionary history to warming in governing fitness (as 231 assessed by abundance across multiple generations of interactions) of resources and consumers. A 232 single MANOVA including evolutionary history treatments with and without consumers present would 233 obfuscate differences that might occur in the interaction between evolutionary history and temperature 234 when the algae grew alone or with consumers (in part through spurious significance related to the 235 presence or absence of *Daphnia* as a response in the two types of treatments). Therefore, to examine 236 how current biotic interactions impact the importance of evolutionary history, we conducted separate 237 analyses on the  $log_{10}$ -transformed abundance per ml of algae when consumers were present or absent. 238 In all models, the interaction between the main effects (evolutionary history and temperature) assessed 239 whether the effects or importance of evolutionary history depend upon current thermal environment. 240 Thus, we first conducted an analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each algal species grown alone (because 241 they were tested independently). These analyses tested whether algal evolutionary history interacted 242 significantly ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) with temperature when consumers were absent. The model included the 243 evolutionary history (2 levels—ambient-origin or warm-origin resources) and temperature (4 levels— 244 14, 18, 22, and 26 °C test temperature) and the interaction, in addition to the block effect and block 245 interactions, with final algal density as the response.

We next conducted a separate multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) for each consumerresource pair. Responses included the log<sub>10</sub>-transformed abundance per ml of the resource, interaction strength, and the log<sub>10</sub>-transformed abundances per microcosm of adult and juvenile *Daphnia*. Main effects of the model included evolutionary history treatment (4 levels—ambient-origin resource and consumers, ambient-origin resource and warm-origin consumers, warm-origin resource + ambient-

251	origin consumers, and warm-origin resource and consumers), temperature (4 levels—14, 18, 22, and 26
252	°C test temperature), and the interaction between temperature and evolutionary history. Univariate
253	tests assessed significance at $\alpha$ = 0.05 for individual responses. Orthogonal contrasts further
254	disentangled the drivers underlying the evolutionary history treatment and determined specifically if 1)
255	resource evolution, 2) consumer evolution, or 3) the interaction between evolutionary history of the
256	resource and consumer (i.e., coevolution) affected responses. All analyses were conducted in SAS 9.4
257	(SAS Institute 2011).
258	Results
259	Evolutionary history in response to warming in complex communities, current temperature, and
260	their interaction had highly significant effects for both consumer-resource pairs, but the relative
261	importance of resource, consumer, or coevolution differed between the pairs (see Tables 1 and 2 for
262	specific results from algae-alone ANOVAs and consumer-resource MANOVAs). We describe specific
263	effects below using results from ANOVAs for each response variable.
264	Current thermal environment influences the importance of prior resource evolution in response to
265	warming
266	Resource evolution in warmed complex communities affected both algal species when grown
267	alone, but the effects of evolutionary history were opposite in direction and differed in strength (Table
268	1). Warm-origin Chlamydomonas reinhardtii had higher abundance than the ambient-origin genotype at
269	all temperatures with no significant interaction between resource evolutionary history and current
270	thermal environment (effect of evolutionary history in algae-alone ANOVAs: $F_1$ = 158.47, p < 0.0001;
271	interaction between evolutionary history and temperature: NS) (Fig. 2A). For Desmodesmus sp., the
272	effect of evolutionary history depended upon temperature, with higher abundance of the warm-origin
273	genotype at 14 °C, but lower abundance than the ambient-adapted genotype at the remaining three

- temperatures (effect of evolutionary history in algae-alone ANOVAs:  $F_1 = 7.02$ , p = 0.0109; interaction
- between evolutionary history and temperature:  $F_3 = 5.86$ , p = 0.0017) (Fig. 2B).
- 276 Interspecific interactions modulate how current thermal environment interacts with prior evolution in
- 277

response to warming

278 Effects of interspecific interactions on resource abundance

279 The presence of the consumer *Daphnia pulex* altered the effects of resource evolutionary 280 history for both resources, but in opposite directions (Tables 2–4). For C. reinhardtii, where no 281 significant interaction between resource evolutionary history and temperature occurred when grown 282 alone, the presence of the consumer resulted in a significant evolutionary history by temperature 283 interaction driven by resource evolution (consumer-resource ANOVA resource evolution contrast:  $F_1$  = 284 318.47, p < 0.0001). Specifically, when consumers were present, the importance of resource evolutionary history in driving differences in algal abundance was higher at intermediate temperatures 285 286 (18 and 22 °C) than at the two temperature extremes (14 and 26 °C)—in other words, while 287 temperature did not affect the direction of the response to evolutionary history, it increased the 288 magnitude of the effect size at intermediate compared to extreme temperatures (effect of evolutionary 289 history in consumer–resource ANOVA:  $F_3 = 107.54$ , p < 0.0001; interaction between evolutionary history 290 and temperature:  $F_9 = 4.93$ , p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2C). On the other hand, for *Desmodesmus* sp., the presence 291 of consumers rendered the interaction between resource evolutionary history and temperature non-292 significant (effect of evolutionary history in consumer–resource ANOVA:  $F_3 = 3.54$ , p = 0.0175; 293 interaction between evolutionary history and temperature: NS) (Fig. 2D). Here, when consumers were 294 present, resource evolution decreased algal abundance, reversing the pattern seen at 14 °C in the 295 absence of consumers (consumer–resource ANOVA resource evolution contrast:  $F_1 = 10.57$ , p = 0.0016) 296 (Fig. 2D).

### 297 Effects of evolutionary history on interaction strength

298	The importance of resource evolutionary history on algae abundance was reflected in the
299	changes to interaction strength for each consumer-resource pair (Tables 3 and 4). For the D. pulex-C.
300	reinhardtii pair, resource evolution reduced interaction strength (consumer-resource ANOVA resource
301	evolution contrast: $F_1$ = 54.90, p < 0.0001), but primarily at intermediate temperatures (interaction
302	between evolutionary history and temperature: $F_9 = 5.16$ , p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2E). For the <i>D. pulex</i> -
303	Desmodesmus sp. pair, however, resource evolution increased interaction strength, independent of
304	temperature (consumer–resource ANOVA resource evolution contrast: $F_1 = 5.86$ , p = 0.0174; interaction
305	between evolutionary history and temperature: NS) (Fig 2F).
306	Consumer responses to evolutionary history and temperature
307	For the consumer, Daphnia pulex, the effects of evolutionary history differed depending upon
308	with which resource it was paired. When paired with C. reinhardtii, resource evolution significantly
309	reduced the abundance of adult and juvenile <i>D. pulex</i> (adult resource evolution contrast: $F_1 = 5.50$ , $p =$
310	0.0211; juvenile resource evolution contrast: $F_1$ = 5.07, p = 0.0266; Table 2, Fig. 3A,B). In this pairing,
311	neither consumer evolution nor coevolution affected the abundance of <i>D. pulex</i> and the effects of
312	resource evolution were independent of temperature (consumer-resource ANOVA interaction between
313	evolutionary history and temperature: NS).
314	When growing instead on the resource <i>Desmodesmus</i> sp., the effects of consumer evolution
315	became apparent. In this case, warm-origin consumers had overall lower abundance than the ambient-
316	origin population (adult consumer evolution contrast: $F_1$ = 15.87, p = 0.0001; juvenile consumer
317	evolution contrast: F <sub>1</sub> = 9.77, p = 0.0024; Table 4, Fig. 3C,D). There was no direct effect of <i>Desmodesmus</i>
318	evolution, but coevolution between the resource and consumer affected consumer abundance (adult

319	coevolution contrast: $F_1$ = 8.52, p = 0.0044; juvenile coevolution contrast: $F_1$ = 12.55, p = 0.0006). The
320	effects of evolutionary history were also dependent upon current thermal environment when growing
321	on Desmodesmus sp. (evolutionary history-temperature interaction for adult and juvenile daphnids
322	respectively: $F_9 = 2.09$ , p = 0.0380 and $F_9 = 2.21$ , p = 0.0281; Table 4, Fig. 3C,D). For <i>D. pulex</i> growing on
323	Desmodesmus sp., the effects of consumer evolution were most evident at 14 °C, where evolved D.
324	pulex adults ("Evolved consumer" and "Both evolved" treatments) had significantly reduced abundance
325	regardless of Desmodesmus evolutionary history compared to the ambient-origin D. pulex ("Neither
326	evolved" and "Evolved resource" treatments). The effects of coevolution between the consumer and
327	resource were strongest at 26 °C, with warm-adapted adult <i>D. pulex</i> abundance being significantly
328	reduced only in the presence of warm-adapted <i>Desmodesmus</i> sp. ("Both evolved" treatment) (Fig. 3B).
329	In contrast to the temperature-dependence observed for the resource, C. reinhardtii, evolutionary
330	history was less important for consumer abundance at intermediate temperatures.
331	Discussion

332 Understanding the interaction between evolutionary history, the abiotic environment, and 333 biotic interactions is critical for a more nuanced understanding of how communities respond to 334 anthropogenic stressors. Here we showed that both the current thermal environment, as well as the 335 presence of interspecific interactions can affect the importance of prior evolution in response to 336 warming in complex communities, and that this evolution also influences the outcomes of current 337 ecological dynamics. For each consumer-resource pair, the effects of evolution were temperature-338 dependent, but both the effects and the temperature-dependence itself additionally depended upon 339 the identity of evolving species in each pair.

340

The importance of evolutionary history depends upon current thermal environment

341 Evolution in warmed communities had significant effects on two algal resource species when 342 grown alone, but these effects were opposite in direction and differed in magnitude. In one species, C. 343 reinhardtii, prior evolution in warmed communities increased fitness (as assessed by abundance) across 344 all temperatures, a result that is broadly consistent with the "hotter is better" hypothesis (Liu et al. 345 2022), although our tested temperature range (determined by the thermal tolerance and activity of the 346 consumer) precluded us from definitively evaluating competing proposed evolutionary responses. The 347 positive direction of change for *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* agrees with a previous experiment 348 examining the short-term effects of thermal evolution of C. reinhardtii independently isolated from 349 these same mesocosms (Schaum et al. 2017). In the second alga, *Desmodesmus* sp., the effects of 350 evolutionary history were dependent upon the current thermal environment. For this species, fitness 351 was lower in the warm-origin genotype at three of the four tested temperatures, but the pattern was 352 reversed at 14 °C, the lowest temperature in our range. This reversal of direction of effect across 353 temperatures suggests the possibility of a response that is harmful in part of the thermal range, but 354 possibly advantageous in another. The pattern of the result is surprising however, as the expectation is 355 that warm-origin populations would perform better at warm temperatures compared to colder temperatures if the evolutionary response was directly driven by the experimentally imposed 356 357 temperature treatment.

Evaluating the mechanisms underlying this result was impossible given that we only successfully isolated one genotype for each species from each of the ambient and warm mesocosms, however, these results may be consistent with one or more aspects of our system. First, our algal genotypes were isolated from the experimental ponds during the winter season, so this particular genotype may be more successful in the winter conditions experienced by the experimentally warmed ponds. Typical winter conditions in Dorset ranged from approximately 3–10 °C with a mean of around 6 °C (Yvon-Durocher et al. 2015), thus the additional 4 °C of warming experimentally imposed upon these ponds

365 raised the winter temperature to within a few degrees of our 14 °C treatment. We did not examine the 366 effects of evolutionary history at any temperature lower than 14 °C, however, so we are unable to compare the relative fitness of each genotype at typical winter temperatures compared to 14 °C. 367 368 Conversely, the warm-origin genotype that we isolated may be maladaptive, with lower fitness than the 369 ambient-origin genotype under most conditions. Anthropogenic warming is anticipated to create an 370 extinction debt in many communities (Cotto et al. 2017), with poorly adapted species expected to be 371 lost over time, especially as a result of stochastic processes combined with low dispersal (Kuussaari et al. 372 2009). It is possible that we have captured part of this process, with a maladapted genotype "trapped" 373 in the warm mesocosms. Another possibility is that the selection occurred in response to an agent that 374 emerged secondary to the experimentally imposed temperature treatment. Our manipulative approach 375 deconfounds other climatic variables that may affect evolutionary trajectory in natural populations, but 376 our populations were nonetheless potentially evolving in response to a number of factors (e.g., 377 community composition) that had diverged over the course of this long-running experiment (Yvon-378 Durocher et al. 2011, 2015, Dossena et al. 2012). Thus, while we can ultimately link our responses to the 379 experimentally-imposed warming treatment, populations are potentially evolving to a number of 380 simultaneous and related stressors (terHorst et al. 2018). While this situation reflects the expectation for natural communities responding to habitat warming—namely that responses will encompass altered 381 382 biotic interactions as well as direct responses to changed abiotic factors—it is therefore impossible for 383 us to definitively disentangle whether the lowered fitness that we observed in warm-origin populations 384 of *Desmodesmus* sp. was the result of maladaptive evolutionary response or evolutionary response to 385 conditions not captured in our subsequent experiments.

386

Current ecological context modulates the temperature-dependence of the effects of evolutionary history

387 Our understanding of how evolution is altered in complex communities is still limited. However, 388 previous studies suggest that evolution to environmental stressors, like habitat warming, that occurs in 389 populations embedded within communities can yield different outcomes compared to evolution in 390 single populations (Van Doorslaer et al. 2009b, 2010, terHorst et al. 2018, Hiltunen et al. 2018, De 391 Meester et al. 2019). Our experiment complements a growing body of evidence that shows that 392 evolutionary responses to warming do occur in complex communities (De Meester et al. 2019), and that 393 such evolution has important consequences for both the direct responses to evolutionary stressors as 394 well as for the interspecific interactions that ultimately shape communities.

395 The inclusion of consumer-resource interactions also introduced an additional layer of 396 complexity necessary to understand how the importance of evolutionary history is affected by 397 temperature. Organisms exhibit a mixture of unimodal and linear responses of traits to temperature, 398 and interacting organisms differ in both the ecological and evolutionary responses of these traits. The 399 interactions themselves may therefore depend upon temperature, influencing the outcomes of 400 evolutionary change evaluated across temperatures (Barton and Yvon-Durocher 2019). For both 401 consumer-resource pairs in our study system, the presence of the consumer altered the interaction 402 between evolutionary history and temperature, indicating that examining the effects of past evolution 403 in response to environmental change can be highly dependent on the current ecological conditions.

In the case of the *Daphnia pulex–C. reinhardtii* pair, the importance of evolutionary history was only temperature-dependent when the consumer was present. For this pair, the magnitude of the effect evolutionary history on algal abundance was higher at intermediate temperatures compared to temperature extremes, adding nuance to the result that "hotter is better", making it instead "hotter is best at moderate or intermediate temperatures". Consumer evolution was unimportant when *D. pulex* was paired with *C. reinhardtii*—warm-origin *C. reinhardtii* significantly reduced the abundance of the

410 consumer regardless of temperature. Thus, "hotter is better" for this resource translates into "hotter is 411 worse" for the consumer. We noted that the warm-origin genotype exhibited a mucilaginous extra-412 cellular matrix that might be expected to reduce ingestion rates for filter-feeding consumers like D. 413 pulex. These results are also consistent with observations of an increased carbon to phosphorus ratio 414 (i.e., reduced resource quality) observed previously in warm-adapted C. reinhardtii independently 415 isolated from these experimental mesocosms (Yvon-Durocher et al. 2017). Such a reduction in resource 416 quality would be expected to negatively affect consumer performance (Leal et al. 2017), but an analysis 417 of nutrient content of the algae was outside the scope of our experiment. It is noteworthy, however, 418 that despite the lack of a significant interaction between evolutionary history and temperature, the 419 importance of resource evolution was strongest at 22 °C, the temperature at which D. pulex abundance 420 was highest, and weakest at 26 °C, where the daphnids struggled to persist. The evolution that we 421 observed in C. reinhardtii thus appeared to confer a double advantage to the resource, making it both 422 more fit, while simultaneously reducing the success of the consumer, possibly the reason why we 423 observed larger effect sizes for resource evolutionary history in the presence of the consumer, especially 424 at intermediate temperatures (i.e., effect size more than doubled on a log<sub>10</sub> scale). The effects of consumers for Desmodesmus sp. were opposite to those for C. reinhardtii. Here, 425 426 the presence of the consumer eliminated the temperature-dependence seen when *Desmodesmus* sp. 427 grew alone, and even reversed the direction of the effect of evolutionary history at 14 °C. When paired 428 with the consumer, this subtlety across temperatures was lost, and warm-origin *Desmodesmus* sp. 429 underperformed relative to ambient-origin Desmodesmus regardless of temperature. One possible 430 explanation for the apparently reduced fitness of the warm-origin genotype could be that it served as a 431 superior food source for the Daphnia. The two Desmodesmus sp. lineages have different propensities to 432 remain as single cells or aggregate into 2, 3, or 4-celled coenobia, with the warm-origin lineage occurring 433 more frequently as 1 or 2-cell aggregates and the ambient-origin lineage more frequently as larger

434 aggregates (unpublished data). This difference in average particle size could affect the filtering efficiency 435 of the Daphnia, making the warm-origin genotype potentially more accessible to the consumer and 436 intensifying the fitness disadvantage of the warm-origin genotype in the presence of consumers. If this 437 were the case, we would expect to see that the Daphnia achieved higher abundances when consuming 438 the warm-origin algae. In fact, Desmodesmus sp. evolutionary history had contrasting effects on the 439 consumer's fitness depending upon consumer thermal origin (i.e., the effect of coevolution on D. pulex 440 abundance in this pair). Ambient-origin consumers exhibited a trend towards slightly higher fitness with 441 warm-origin resource, but warm-origin Daphnia had lowest fitness when paired with warm-origin 442 Desmodesmus. Thus, the effect does not appear to be consistent with increased consumption by the 443 Daphnia. Additionally, in this pair, the Daphnia experienced temperature-dependent effects of 444 evolutionary history. Here, effects were strongest at the two temperature extremes, with warm-origin 445 Daphnia having lowest abundance at these temperatures, and especially at 26 °C and when paired with 446 warm-origin Desmodesmus sp.

447 We examined the temperature-dependent consequences of evolution for two consumer-448 resource pairs over multiple generations of interactions and found evidence for adaptive evolution in 449 response to warming in one of the two species pairs examined. For the D. pulex-C. reinhardtii pair, we observed adaptive evolution consistent with the "hotter is better" hypothesis for the resource, but at 450 451 the same time observed that hotter was worse for the consumer, likely due to the fact that hotter was 452 worse for the interaction from the perspective of the consumer. This result may seem surprising, but not only is evolutionary response to warming not expected in all species (Hoffmann and Sgró 2011), it also 453 454 remains possible that the fitness consequences of the response depend upon the length of the 455 temperature exposure. We focused on the effects of constant temperature over multiple generations, 456 however, the semi-natural mesocosms from which we isolated these genotypes additionally fluctuate in 457 temperature both diurnally and seasonally. These fluctuations may be an important component of the

selection imposed by the experimental warming treatment (Diamond 2017), and organisms in warmed
ponds may experience more frequent and intense, but shorter, periods of thermal stress. A more
complete understanding of the interaction between current thermal environment and evolutionary
history, therefore, will require an improved understanding of how populations respond to fluctuating
temperatures.

463

#### Conclusions

464 Our work demonstrates that local thermal environment and biotic interactions can together 465 modulate the importance of past thermal evolutionary history. For example, in some cases, evolution in 466 response to warming can be beneficial for resources but detrimental for consumers, suggesting that we 467 must account for the effects of warming on ingestion rates (see e.g., Barneche et al. 2021). In other 468 cases, the picture is more complex and changes across a temperature range. This topic deserves further 469 attention to improve our understanding of how populations and communities evolve to habitat 470 warming, and how this then feeds back to drive the effects of the evolution. We have built upon 471 previous studies that have primarily used space-for-time latitudinal substitutions in comparisons of 472 different thermally adapted populations (e.g., Sanford et al. 2003, De Block et al. 2013, Dinh Van et al. 473 2013, Tran et al. 2016) and argue that future studies should consider both the current biotic and thermal 474 context of their experiments, while definitely attributing evolutionary response to a manipulated factor of interest. These community properties can affect outcomes, not just in terms of the magnitude of the 475 476 effect size, but even in direction of the effect. It is therefore of the utmost importance that we account for these interactions in our collective understanding. Evolution can drive responses in unexpected 477 478 directions, but it is essential that we consider evolutionary responses to anthropogenic change in the 479 context of both the current abiotic and biotic conditions to understand the full extent and direction of 480 its effects.

481	Acknowledgements
482	The French ANR through LabEx TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41) and the FRAGCLIM Consolidator Grant,
483	funded by the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
484	innovation programme (Grant Agreement Number 726176) supported this research. We thank X
485	anonymous referees for their feedback.
486	Author contributions
487	CAF and JMM conceived and designed the study. CAF, SAC, AG, and EB isolated organisms and
488	collected data. CAF analyzed the data. CAF wrote the first draft of the manuscript. CAF, JMM, SAC, and
489	EB jointly revised the manuscript. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

100	۱
<u>4</u> 41	

#### References

491 /	Álvarez-Codesal	l, S., C. A. Failla	ce, A. Garreau	, E. Bestion,	A. D. Synod	inos, and J. M.	Montoya. 2023.
-------	-----------------	---------------------	----------------	---------------	-------------	-----------------	----------------

- 492 Thermal mismatches explain consumer–resource dynamics in response to environmental
- 493 warming. Ecology and Evolution 13:e10179.
- 494 Angilletta Jr., M. J., A. F. Bennett, H. Guderley, C. A. Navas, F. Seebacher, and R. S. Wilson. 2006.
- 495 Coadaptation: A unifying principle in evolutionary thermal biology. Physiological and
  496 Biochemical Zoology 79:282–294.
- 497 Barneche, D. R., C. J. Hulatt, M. Dossena, D. Padfield, G. Woodward, M. Trimmer, and G. Yvon-Durocher.
- 498 2021. Warming impairs trophic transfer efficiency in a long-term field experiment. Nature
  499 592:76–79.
- 500 Barton, S., and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2019. Quantifying the temperature dependence of growth rate in
- 501 marine phytoplankton within and across species. Limnology and Oceanography 64:2081–2091.
- 502 Comeau, A. M., G. M. Douglas, and M. G. I. Langille. 2017. Microbiome Helper: a Custom and

503 Streamlined Workflow for Microbiome Research. mSystems.

- 504 Cotto, O., J. Wessely, D. Georges, G. Klonner, M. Schmid, S. Dullinger, W. Thuiller, and F. Guillaume.
- 505 2017. A dynamic eco-evolutionary model predicts slow response of alpine plants to climate
- 506 warming. Nature Communications 8:15399.
- 507 Craddock, D. R. 1976. Effects of increased water temperature on *Daphnia pulex*. Fishery Bulletin 74:403–
  508 408.
- 509 De Block, M., K. Pauwels, M. Van Den Broeck, L. De Meester, and R. Stoks. 2013. Local genetic
- 510 adaptation generates latitude-specific effects of warming on predator-prey interactions. Global
- 511 Change Biology 19:689–696.

512	De Meester, L., K. I. Brans, L. Govaert, C. Souffreau, S. Mukherjee, H. Vanvelk, K. Korzeniowski, L.
513	Kilsdonk, E. Decaestecker, R. Stoks, and M. C. Urban. 2019. Analysing eco-evolutionary
514	dynamics—The challenging complexity of the real world. Functional Ecology 33:43–59.
515	Diamond, S. E. 2017. Evolutionary potential of upper thermal tolerance: biogeographic patterns and
516	expectations under climate change. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1389:5–19.
517	Diamond, S. E., L. Chick, A. Perez, S. A. Strickler, and R. A. Martin. 2017. Rapid evolution of ant thermal
518	tolerance across an urban-rural temperature cline. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society
519	121:248–257.
520	Dinh Van, K., L. Janssens, S. Debecker, M. De Jonge, P. Lambret, V. Nilsson-Örtman, L. Bervoets, and R.
521	Stoks. 2013. Susceptibility to a metal under global warming is shaped by thermal adaptation
522	along a latitudinal gradient. Global Change Biology 19:2625–2633.
523	Dossena, M., G. Yvon-Durocher, J. Grey, J. M. Montoya, D. M. Perkins, M. Trimmer, and G. Woodward.
524	2012. Warming alters community size structure and ecosystem functioning. Proceedings of the
525	Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279:3011–3019.
526	Gaitán-Espitia, J. D., and A. J. Hobday. 2021. Evolutionary principles and genetic considerations for
527	guiding conservation interventions under climate change. Global Change Biology 27:475–488.
528	Geerts, A. N., J. Vanoverbeke, B. Vanschoenwinkel, W. Van Doorslaer, H. Feuchtmayr, D. Atkinson, B.
529	Moss, T. A. Davidson, C. D. Sayer, and L. De Meester. 2015. Rapid evolution of thermal tolerance
530	in the water flea <i>Daphnia</i> . Nature Climate Change 5:665.
531	Gilchrist, G. W., and R. B. Huey. 1999. The direct response of Drosophila melanogaster to selection on
532	knockdown temperature. Heredity 83:15–29.
533	Hangartner, S., and A. A. Hoffmann. 2016. Evolutionary potential of multiple measures of upper thermal
534	tolerance in Drosophila melanogaster. Functional Ecology 30:442–452.

535	Higgins, J. K.	, H. J. MacLean	, L. B. Buckley	, and J. G. Kin	gsolver. 2014.	Geographic differences and	ł
-----	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------------------	---

536 microevolutionary changes in thermal sensitivity of butterfly larvae in response to climate.

537 Functional Ecology 28:982–989.

- Hiltunen, T., J. Cairns, J. Frickel, M. Jalasvuori, J. Laakso, V. Kaitala, S. Künzel, E. Karakoc, and L. Becks.
- 539 2018. Dual-stressor selection alters eco-evolutionary dynamics in experimental communities.
  540 Nature Ecology and Evolution 2:1974–1981.
- 541 Hoffmann, A. A., and C. M. Sgró. 2011. Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. Nature 470:479–
- 542 485.
- 543 Johansson, M. P., F. Ermold, B. K. Kristjánsson, and A. Laurila. 2016. Divergence of gastropod life history
- 544 in contrasting thermal environments in a geothermal lake. Journal of Evolutionary Biology
  545 29:2043–2053.
- Johansson, M. P., and A. Laurila. 2017. Maximum thermal tolerance trades off with chronic tolerance of
- 547 high temperature in contrasting thermal populations of *Radix balthica*. Ecology and Evolution
  548 7:3149–3156.
- 549 Kuussaari, M., R. Bommarco, R. K. Heikkinen, A. Helm, J. Krauss, R. Lindborg, E. Öckinger, M. Pärtel, J.
- 550 Pino, F. Rodà, C. Stefanescu, T. Teder, M. Zobel, and I. Steffan-Dewenter. 2009. Extinction debt:
- 551 a challenge for biodiversity conservation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 24:564–571.
- Leal, M. C., O. Seehausen, and B. Matthews. 2017. The Ecology and Evolution of Stoichiometric
- 553 Phenotypes. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 32:108–117.
- Liu, K., B. Chen, and H. Liu. 2022. Evidence of partial thermal compensation in natural phytoplankton
- assemblages. Limnology and Oceanography Letters 7:122–130.
- Logan, M. L., R. M. Cox, and R. Calsbeek. 2014. Natural selection on thermal performance in a novel
- 557 thermal environment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 558 America 111:14165–9.

- 559 O'Connor, M. I., E. R. Selig, M. L. Pinsky, and F. Altermatt. 2012. Toward a conceptual synthesis for 560 climate change responses. Global Ecology and Biogeography 21:693–703.
- 561 O'Donnell, D. R., C. R. Hamman, E. C. Johnson, C. T. Kremer, C. A. Klausmeier, and E. Litchman. 2018.
- 562 Rapid thermal adaptation in a marine diatom reveals constraints and trade-offs. Global Change
- 563 Biology 24:4554–4565.
- Padfield, D., G. Yvon-Durocher, A. Buckling, S. Jennings, and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2016. Rapid evolution of
   metabolic traits explains thermal adaptation in phytoplankton. Ecology Letters 19:133–142.
- 566 Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change. Annual Review of
- 567 Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 37:637–669.
- 568 Radchuk, V., T. Reed, C. Teplitsky, M. van de Pol, A. Charmantier, C. Hassall, P. Adamík, F. Adriaensen, M.
- 569 P. Ahola, P. Arcese, J. Miguel Avilés, J. Balbontin, K. S. Berg, A. Borras, S. Burthe, J. Clobert, N.
- 570 Dehnhard, F. de Lope, A. A. Dhondt, N. J. Dingemanse, H. Doi, T. Eeva, J. Fickel, I. Filella, F.
- 571 Fossøy, A. E. Goodenough, S. J. G. Hall, B. Hansson, M. Harris, D. Hasselquist, T. Hickler, J. Joshi,
- 572 H. Kharouba, J. G. Martínez, J.-B. Mihoub, J. A. Mills, M. Molina-Morales, A. Moksnes, A. Ozgul,
- 573 D. Parejo, P. Pilard, M. Poisbleau, F. Rousset, M.-O. Rödel, D. Scott, J. C. Senar, C. Stefanescu, B.
- 574 G. Stokke, T. Kusano, M. Tarka, C. E. Tarwater, K. Thonicke, J. Thorley, A. Wilting, P. Tryjanowski,
- 575 J. Merilä, B. C. Sheldon, A. Pape Møller, E. Matthysen, F. Janzen, F. S. Dobson, M. E. Visser, S. R.
- 576 Beissinger, A. Courtiol, and S. Kramer-Schadt. 2019. Adaptive responses of animals to climate
- 577 change are most likely insufficient. Nature Communications 10:3109.
- 578 Rezende, E. L., L. E. Castañeda, and M. Santos. 2014. Tolerance landscapes in thermal ecology.
- 579 Functional Ecology 28:799–809.
- Rudman, S. M., M. Kreitzman, K. M. A. Chan, and D. Schluter. 2017. Evosystem Services: Rapid Evolution
  and the Provision of Ecosystem Services. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 32:403–415.

- 582 Sanford, E., M. S. Roth, G. C. Johns, J. P. Wares, and G. N. Somero. 2003. Local selection and latitudinal
- 583 variation in a marine predator- prey interaction. Science 300:1135–1137.
- 584 SAS Institute. 2011. The SAS system for Windows. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- 585 Schaum, C.-E., S. Barton, E. Bestion, A. Buckling, B. Garcia-Carreras, P. Lopez, C. Lowe, S. Pawar, N.
- 586 Smirnoff, M. Trimmer, and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2017. Adaptation of phytoplankton to a decade of
- 587 experimental warming linked to increased photosynthesis. Nature Ecology and Evolution 1:94.
- 588 Schaum, C.-E., R. Ffrench-Constant, C. Lowe, J. S. Ólafsson, D. Padfield, and G. Yvon-Durocher. 2018.
- 589 Temperature-driven selection on metabolic traits increases the strength of an algal-grazer
- 590 interaction in naturally warmed streams. Global Change Biology 24:1793–1803.
- 591 Stoks, R., A. N. Geerts, and L. De Meester. 2014. Evolutionary and plastic responses of freshwater
- 592 invertebrates to climate change: Realized patterns and future potential. Evolutionary
- 593 Applications 7:42–55.
- Tabi, A., F. Pennekamp, F. Altermatt, R. Alther, E. A. Fronhofer, K. Horgan, E. Mächler, M. Pontarp, O. L.
- 595 Petchey, and S. Saavedra. 2020. Species multidimensional effects explain idiosyncratic responses
- 596 of communities to environmental change. Nature Ecology and Evolution:1–8.
- terHorst, C. P., P. C. Zee, K. D. Heath, T. E. Miller, A. I. Pastore, S. Patel, S. J. Schreiber, M. J. Wade, and
- 598 M. R. Walsh. 2018. Evolution in a community context: Trait responses to multiple species
  599 interactions. The American Naturalist 191:368–380.
- 500 Tran, T. T., L. Janssens, K. V. Dinh, L. Op de Beeck, and R. Stoks. 2016. Evolution determines how global
- 601 warming and pesticide exposure will shape predator–prey interactions with vector mosquitoes.
- 602 Evolutionary Applications 9:818–830.
- Van Doorslaer, W., R. Stoks, C. Duvivier, A. Bednarska, and L. De Meester. 2009a. Population dynamics
   determine genetic adaptation to temperature in *Daphnia*. Evolution 63:1867–1878.

Van Doorslaer, W., R. Stoks, I. Swillen, H. Feuchtmayr, D. Atkinson, B. Moss, and L. De Meester. 2010.

606	Experimental thermal microevolution in community-embedded Daphnia populations. Climate
607	Research 43:81–89.
608	Van Doorslaer, W., J. Vanoverbeke, C. Duvivier, S. Rousseaux, M. Jansen, B. Jansen, H. Feuchtmayr, D.
609	Atkinson, B. Moss, R. Stoks, and L. De Meester. 2009b. Local adaptation to higher temperatures
610	reduces immigration success of genotypes from a warmer region in the water flea Daphnia.
611	Global Change Biology 15:3046–3055.
612	Yvon-Durocher, G., A. P. Allen, M. Cellamare, M. Dossena, K. J. Gaston, M. Leitao, J. M. Montoya, D. C.
613	Reuman, G. Woodward, and M. Trimmer. 2015. Five years of experimental warming increases
614	the biodiversity and productivity of phytoplankton. PLoS Biology 13:e1002324.
615	Yvon-Durocher, G., J. M. Montoya, M. Trimmer, and G. Woodward. 2011. Warming alters the size
616	spectrum and shifts the distribution of biomass in freshwater ecosystems. Global Change
617	Biology 17:1681–1694.
618	Yvon-Durocher, G., CE. Schaum, and M. Trimmer. 2017. The temperature dependence of
619	phytoplankton stoichiometry: investigating the roles of species sorting and local adaptation.

620 Frontiers in Microbiology 8:2003.

- Table 1. Results from univariate ANOVAs (n = 8) examining the effects of resource evolution when
- 625 growing alone for *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Desmodesmus* sp. The models included an evolution
- treatment and temperature as two main effects, as well as a temporal blocking variable and all
- 627 interactions. Here, the evolution treatment included just the evolutionary history of the resource
- 628 species, either ambient-origin or warm-origin. The interaction between evolution treatment and
- 629 temperature evaluated any temperature-dependence of the effects of evolution. Significant results are
- 630 in bold.

C. reinhardtii										
	d.f.	F-value	p-value							
Evolution	1	158.47	< 0.0001							
Temperature	3	12.56	< 0.0001							
Temporal block	1	54.12	< 0.0001							
Evolution-temperature	3	0.67	0.5776							
Temperature-block	3	15.43	< 0.0001							
Evolution treatment- block	1	127.63	< 0.0001							
Evolution treatment-temperature-block	3	5.96	0.0015							
Desmodesmus sp.										
d.f. F-value p-v										
Evolution	1	7.02	0.0109							
Temperature	3	47.41	< 0.0001							
Temporal block	1	3.94	0.0529							
Evolution-temperature	3	5.86	0.0017							
Temperature-block	3	5.19	0.0035							
Evolution treatment-block	1	42.75	< 0.0001							
Evolution treatment-temperature-block	3	3.10	0.0353							

632	Table 2. MANOVA ( $n = 8$ ) results examining the effects of evolution of both the consumer and the
633	resource in complex communities in response to warming for two consumer–resource pairs, C.
634	reinhardtii and D. pulex, and Desmodesmus sp. and D. pulex. The models included an evolution
635	treatment and temperature as two main effects, as well as a temporal blocking variable and all
636	interactions. Here, the evolution treatment included the four possible combinations crossing the
637	ambient- or warm-origin populations of both resources and consumers, but these analyses did not
638	include algae-alone controls. The interaction between the evolution treatment and temperature
639	evaluated any temperature-dependence of the effects of evolution. Orthogonal contrasts evaluated the
640	effects of 1) resource evolution, 2) consumer evolution, and 3) coevolution between the resource and
641	the consumer. Reported are Wilks' Lambda F-values and associated p-values. Significant results are in

642 bold.

C. reinhardtii–D. pulex									
	d.f.	F-value	p-value						
Evolution	12, 243.7	70495.6	< 0.0001						
Temperature	12, 243.7	45724.4	< 0.0001						
Temporal block	4, 92	1.82E10	< 0.0001						
Evolution-temperature	36, 346.5	1163.05	< 0.0001						
Temperature-block	12, 243.7	51809.9	< 0.0001						
Evolution treatment- block	12, 243.7	63495.4	< 0.0001						
Evolution treatment-temperature-block	36, 346.5	1863.05	< 0.0001						
Resource evolution contrast	4, 92	4.93E10	< 0.0001						
Consumer evolution contrast	3, 93	1.72	0.1683						
Coevolution contrast	3, 93	1.05 0.3729							
Desmodesmus spD. pulex									
d.f. F-value p-value									
Evolution	12, 243.7	578.58	< 0.0001						
Temperature	12, 243.7	563.64	< 0.0001						
Temporal block	4, 92	2818757	< 0.0001						
Evolution-temperature	36, 346.5	56.96	< 0.0001						
Temperature-block	12, 243.7	363.01	< 0.0001						
Evolution treatment- block	12, 243.7	633.42	< 0.0001						
Evolution treatment-temperature-block	36, 346.5	61.15	< 0.0001						
Resource evolution contrast	4, 92	55119.7	< 0.0001						
Consumer evolution contrast	3, 93	52771.4	< 0.0001						
Coevolution contrast	3, 93	55945.4	< 0.0001						

Table 3. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Daphnia pulex* univariate ANOVA (n = 8) results examining the effects of evolution of both the consumer and the resource in complex communities in response to warming. The model included an evolution treatment and temperature as two main effects, as well as a temporal blocking variable and all interactions. Here, the evolution treatment included the four possible combinations crossing the ambient- or warm-origin populations of both resources and consumers, but these analyses did not include algae-alone controls. The interaction between the evolution treatment and temperature evaluated any temperature-dependence of the effects of evolution. Orthogonal contrasts evaluated the effects of 1) resource evolution, 2) consumer evolution, and 3) coevolution between the resource and the consumer. Reported are Type III SS F-values and p-values for the model (due to one missing value causing a slightly unbalanced design). Significant results are in bold.

	<i>C. reinhardtii</i> log <sub>10</sub> abundance			Interaction strength			<i>D. pulex adult</i> log <sub>10</sub> abundance			<i>D. pulex</i> juvenile log <sub>10</sub> abundance		
	d.f.	F-value	p-value	d.f.	F-value	p-value	d.f.	F-value	p-value	d.f.	F-value	p-value
Evolution	3	107.54	< 0.0001	3	19.64	< 0.0001	3	2.35	0.0772	3	1.80	0.1529
Temperature	3	17.48	< 0.0001	3	48.61	< 0.0001	3	6.37	0.0006	3	7.48	0.0001
Temporal block	1	162.18	< 0.0001	1	359.69	< 0.0001	1	0.10	0.7532	1	0.00	0.9543
Evolution-temperature	9	4.39	< 0.0001	9	5.16	< 0.0001	9	1.20	0.3067	9	0.53	0.8469
Temperature-block	3	12.52	< 0.0001	3	25.83	< 0.0001	3	5.08	0.0026	3	1.55	0.2062
Evolution-block	3	4.00	0.0099	3	11.85	< 0.0001	3	3.51	0.0183	3	2.31	0.0815
Evolution-temperature-block	9	1.63	0.1170	9	3.52	0.0009	9	1.40	0.2010	9	1.07	0.3949
Resource evolution contrast	1	318.47	< 0.0001	1	54.90	< 0.0001	1	5.50	0.0211	1	5.07	0.0266
Consumer evolution contrast	1	1.36	0.2456	1	1.36	0.2456	1	1.48	0.2262	1	0.29	0.5904
Coevolution contrast	1	2.53	0.1150	1	2.53	0.1150	1	0.01	0.9339	1	0.00	0.9740

Table 4. *Desmodesmus* sp. and *Daphnia pulex* univariate ANOVA (n = 8) results examining the effects of evolution of both the consumer and the resource in complex communities in response to warming. The model included an evolution treatment and temperature as two main effects, as well as a temporal blocking variable and all interactions. Here, the evolution treatment included the four possible combinations crossing the ambient- or warm-origin populations of both resources and consumers, but these analyses did not include algae-alone controls. The interaction between the evolution treatment and temperature evaluated any temperature-dependence of the effects of evolution. Orthogonal contrasts evaluated the effects of 1) resource evolution, 2) consumer evolution, and 3) coevolution between the resource and the consumer. Reported are Type III SS F-values and p-values for the model (due to one missing value causing a slightly unbalanced design). Significant results are in bold.

	Desmodesmus sp. log <sub>10</sub>			Interaction strength			D. pulex adult log <sub>10</sub>			D. pulex juvenile log <sub>10</sub>		
	abundance						abundance			abundance		
	d.f.	F-value	p-value	d.f.	F-value	p-value	d.f.	F-value	p-value	d.f.	F-value	p-value
Evolution	3	3.54	0.0175	3	2.50	0.0645	3	8.26	< 0.0001	3	8.66	< 0.0001
Temperature	3	11.26	< 0.0001	3	13.15	< 0.0001	3	14.08	< 0.0001	3	33.57	< 0.0001
Temporal block	1	47.32	< 0.0001	1	0.88	0.3513	1	17.64	< 0.0001	1	83.67	< 0.0001
Evolution-temperature	9	0.73	0.6804	9	0.77	0.6466	9	2.09	0.0380	9	2.21	0.0281
Temperature-block	3	5.39	0.0018	3	5.96	0.0009	3	4.62	0.0046	3	54.08	< 0.0001
Evolution-block	3	14.12	< 0.0001	3	10.25	< 0.0001	3	2.66	0.0528	3	4.92	0.0032
Evolution-temperature-block	9	0.45	0.9066	9	0.33	0.9636	9	1.33	0.2309	9	2.10	0.0368
Resource evolution contrast	1	10.57	0.0016	1	5.86	0.0174	1	0.51	0.4752	1	3.61	0.0605
Consumer evolution contrast	1	0.07	0.7925	1	1.16	0.2846	1	15.87	0.0001	1	9.77	0.0024
Coevolution contrast	1	0.01	0.9385	1	0.58	0.4496	1	8.52	0.0044	1	12.55	0.0006

Figure 1. Experimental design in which populations from two resource species and one consumer species were first collected from a long-running warming experiment using complex communities of plankton in outdoor mesocosms. After isolation and a period of laboratory common garden, for each consumer–resource pair, subsequent experiments examined the interaction between evolutionary history of resources and consumers and current thermal environment on population abundance.



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.559813; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Figure 2A–F. The effects of evolution in warmed communities on the  $log_{10}$ -abundance of *C. reinhardtii* and *Desmodesmus* sp. depend upon current thermal environment and whether consumers are absent (A, B) or present (C, D), with related effects to the consumer–resource interaction strength (E, F). Evolutionary history treatments are shown on the x-axis and color of the line indicates the test temperature. Circles are the means (n = 8) of each treatment with the error bars showing ± the SEM.



Figure 3A–D. The effects of evolution in warmed communities on the  $log_{10}$ -abundance of *D. pulex* juveniles (A) and adults (B) growing on *C. reinhardtii* and on *Desmodesmus* sp. (C, D) depend upon current thermal environment. In all plots, evolutionary history treatments are shown on the x-axis and color of the line indicates the test temperature. Circles are the means (n = 8) of each treatment with the error bars showing ± the SEM.

