

Short-term plasticity in the spinal nociceptive system Lou Cathenaut, Remy Schlichter, Sylvain Hugel

▶ To cite this version:

Lou Cathenaut, Remy Schlichter, Sylvain Hugel. Short-term plasticity in the spinal nociceptive system. Pain, 2023, 10.1097/j.pain.00000000002999 . hal-04238103

HAL Id: hal-04238103 https://hal.science/hal-04238103

Submitted on 11 Oct 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title:

Short-term plasticity in the spinal nociceptive system

Running title:

Short-term plasticity in the dorsal horn

Authors:

Lou CATHENAUT PhD¹, Rémy SCHLICHTER Professor¹, Sylvain HUGEL PhD^{*1,2}

* Corresponding author

Affiliation:

¹Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Strasbourg, Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, 67000 Strasbourg, France.

² Institute for Advanced Studies (USIAS), University of Strasbourg, 67000 Strasbourg, France.

Financial support:

This work was supported by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Strasbourg, and the French National Research agency (ANR) contract ANR-17-EURE-0022. LC was supported by EURIDOL Graduate School of Pain, Neuropole Strasbourg, and Société Française d'Etude et de Traitement de la Douleur (SFETD).

Authorship statement: SH, LC & RS wrote the manuscript

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Corresponding author:

Sylvain HUGEL

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Strasbourg, Institut des Neurosciences Cellulaires et Intégratives, 8 allée du Général Rouvillois, F-67000 Strasbourg

hugels@inci-cnrs.unistra.fr

Phone: +33388456666

Number of pages: 29. Number of tables: 3. Number of figures: 1.

Abstract

Somatosensory information is delivered to neuronal networks of dorsal horn (DH) of the spinal cord by the axons of primary afferent neurons that encode the intensity of peripheral sensory stimuli under the form of a code based on the frequency of action potential firing. The efficient processing of these messages within the DH involves synapses that are frequency-tuned, a phenomenon linked to their ability to display activity-dependent forms of short-term plasticity (STP). By affecting differently excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmissions, these STP properties allow a powerful gain control in DH neuronal networks that may be critical for the integration of nociceptive messages before they are forwarded to the brain where they may be ultimately interpreted as pain. Moreover, these STPs can be finely modulated by endogenous signaling molecules such as neurosteroids, adenosine or GABA. The STP properties of DH inhibitory synapses might also, at least in part, participate in the pain-relieving effect on non-pharmacological analgesic procedures such as Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS), electroacupuncture or Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS). The properties of target-specific STP at inhibitory DH synapses, and their possible contribution to electrical stimulation-induced reduction of hyperalgesic and/or allodynic states in chronic pain will be reviewed and discussed.

Keywords

Short-term plasticity, pain, nociception, spinal cord, information processing

Introduction

In sensory neurons, the average number of spikes per unit of time encodes the intensity of adequate stimuli [1; 2; 22; 146], and temporal summation in response to electrical, thermal or mechanical stimulation, is frequency-dependent [4; 97; 147]. As a consequence, the subjective magnitude of pain perceived by humans during intraneural stimulations of sensory fibers increases monotonously with the frequency of electrical impulses [97]. Among sensory neurons, C and Aδ primary afferents conveying nociceptive information project to networks within the DH (DH) of the spinal cord, and mainly to superficial-most layers (layers I-III) [27]. The impact of C and Aδ primary afferent recruitment by a natural stimulation at a given intensity is therefore expected to strongly depend on the frequency-response properties of synapses among neurons within these DH networks.

Indeed, in other structures of the nervous system, frequency-selective synapses have been involved in the processing of frequency-coded information. These synapses display shortterm plasticity processes changing dynamically their efficacy over time as a function of the time interval between presynaptic action potentials [21; 106]. Short-term plasticity can either correspond to a short-term potentiation or a short-term depression during repetitive activity. This kind of plasticity can therefore be expressed even in the case of a single pair of synaptic events: the first event impacting the efficacy of the second. In addition to changes occurring during repetitive activity, short-lasting changes following repeated activity are also recognized as a situation of short-term plasticity [159]. Activity-dependent short-term plasticity has been observed in numerous structures [55; 83; 86], including in the spinal nociceptive system. Here we review recent insights into the short-term plasticities expressed at synapses within DH networks as well as the involvement of endogenous modulators in these processes. The possible impact of these plasticities on the processing of nociceptive information within the DH as well as their possible contribution to the analgesic effects of non-pharmacological neuromodulatory spinal cord stimulation approaches will be discussed.

Methods

We searched electronic databases (PubMed, Sciencedirect, Web of science) using the terms: dorsal horn AND plasticity, or spinal cord AND plasticity, or spinal nociceptive system AND plasticity, or primary afferent fibers AND plasticity. All the articles were examined to define whether the plasticity processes they describe corresponded to short-term plasticity and whether they provided data relevant for the processing of nociceptive information. Since articles examining modulations of synaptic transmissions often use paired-pulse stimulation protocols we also searched for information on short-term plasticity in the spinal nociceptive system in articles describing modulations on dorsal-horn networks.

The electronic searches were supplemented by an extensive eclectic search using the references cited by the articles resulting from the electronic search, as well as the articles citing these articles.

Results

1. Nociceptive information and spinal nociceptive networks

1.1. Frequency coding of stimulation intensity by primary afferent fibers

In basal physiological conditions, nociceptors are not spontaneously active [4], but these can discharge action potentials despite the absence of nociceptive behavioral responses [51; 146]. For example, activity \leq 2 Hz occurs in vivo in rat C-fibers during non-noxious stimulations [51], indicating that downstream networks filter these low frequency inputs which are not relevant for nociception in control conditions. Upon stimulation with adequate stimuli, nociceptors discharge action potentials at frequencies correlated with stimulus intensities [1; 2; 22; 62; 146]. At stimulation onset, primary afferents display a dynamic response adapting more or less rapidly with time, depending on the type of primary afferent fiber. During the first hundreds of milliseconds, the instantaneous frequency of action potential discharges can reach several tens of Hz in C- fibers [40; 62; 118; 146] (see Table 1). Since C-fibers adapt rapidly, the frequency calculated over the first second drops to ca. 10 Hz or below [4; 22] (Table 1). The discharge frequency of C fibers reach a plateau of only a few Hertz when longer and/or later time windows are considered [2; 4; 22; 62]. However the lower frequencies observed during the plateau phase of C-fiber discharges are still correlated with the intensity of the applied stimulus [4; 62].

In A δ fibers, the instantaneous frequency at the stimulation onset exceeds 50 Hz [4; 62; 118]. Some A δ fibers adapt rapidly whereas other adapt much more slowly and the average frequencies measured over the first second of stimulation are comprised between 5 and 50 Hz [4]. Interestingly, regardless of the stimulation intensity, the final firing rate of A δ fibers approaches a common value of 5 Hz [62]. Therefore, in contrast with the initial dynamic phase of A δ fiber activity, the late plateau firing frequency of these fibers does not encode stimulus intensity.

Importantly, the frequency of inputs onto DH neurons might not be strictly correlated with the frequency of primary afferent discharge initially induced in the fiber at the peripheral nerve terminal following sensory transduction. Indeed, in 70% of C fibers and in 10 % of Aδ fibers recorded in vitro, there was at least one failure to trigger antidromic action potentials with 20 extracellular stimulations at 10 Hz [108], suggesting that failures of action potential propagation might occur, particularly in a large proportion of C fibers. The rate of failure in action potential propagation may even be larger when propagation from the periphery to the central ending of the primary afferent is considered. Indeed, the T-junction of sensory neurons connecting to their cell body acts as a low pass filter, particularly in C fibers [59].

In addition to propagation failures, repetitive stimulation of C-fibers results in a gradual decrease in action potential velocity termed activity-dependent slowing. This slowing can reach one third of the initial velocity after 20 s of activity at 20 Hz or 180 s at 2 Hz. This results in an increased response latency in both rodents and humans [58; 132; 143]. In inflammatory and neuropathic conditions, changes in the excitability of primary afferent fibers have been extensively described (reviewed in [3; 57; 63; 119; 150]) as well as changes in activity-dependent slowing [42]. These modifications occurring under inflammatory or neuropathic conditions can result in alterations of frequency coding.

The above presented experimental evidence suggests that (1) nociceptive stimulus intensity is encoded by an action-potential frequency code for both C and A δ fibers, with a possible exception for the late phase of A δ fibers activity and that (2) most neurons in DH networks might receive synaptic inputs at frequencies that can reach at least several tens of Hz during the initial phase of the nociceptive message.

1.2. Convergence of sensory afferents onto DH neurons

Most neurons in DH lamina II receive mono or polysynaptic inputs from C-primary afferents, with about three quarter receiving monosynaptic C-fibers inputs [61; 93]. Among these neurons, 30-80 % are directly contacted by TRPV1-expressing nociceptors [109; 112], and over 20% of GABAergic neurons in lamina II are contacted by Aδ fibers [93].

Moreover, primary afferent fibers converge onto DH neurons, including fibers having distinct conduction velocities and stimulation thresholds [61]. This convergence is particularly large for C-fibers contacting islet cells in lamina II [61].

The discharge frequency of primary afferents together with their convergence onto DH neurons, particularly in lamina II, indicate that these neurons can be activated at up to several tens of Hertz at the onset of nociceptive stimulations.

Neurons within these networks can discharge action potentials in response to the stimulation of these primary afferent inputs [93; 96]. Moreover, lamina II neurons can reliably discharge action potentials following the stimulation of excitatory inputs, at least up to frequencies of 50 Hz [24].

2. The wind-up phenomenon

The wind-up phenomenon was first characterized by Mendell and Wall who observed that repeated low-frequency stimulation of the sural nerve induced progressively longer bursts of action potentials in neurons projecting in the dorso-lateral column of the spinal cord [103]. More generally, the wind-up phenomenon corresponds to a progressive increase in action potential frequency response in spinal cord neurons during repetitive stimulation of primary afferent fibers at constant frequency [102; 103]. The stimulation used to induce wind-up is usually electrical, but this phenomenon can also be induced using repetition of more physiologically-relevant mechanical or thermal stimuli [30; 60; 64]. Such short-lasting changes induced in response to a repeated activity is considered as a type of homosynaptic activitydependent short-term plasticity [159]. Wind-up is therefore among the first short-term plasticities described in the in the spinal cord. The wind-up phenomenon is evoked by C-fiber stimulation and usually not by the stimulation of other types of primary afferents, although wind-up evoked by stimulation of A δ fibers has occasionally been reported [30; 77; 102; 103; 116]. The repetition rate at which primary afferent stimulation elicits wind up is relatively low, ranging from 0.33 Hz to - to 5 Hz [30; 116]. Since the early observation by Mendell and Wall, wind-up was reported from neurons localized in various areas of the dorsal and ventral spinalcord, including in motoneurons (reviewed in [7; 34; 48; 65; 136]).

In the DH, wind-up has been recorded in neurons localized in deep laminae (laminae V-VI) where this phenomenon was comprehensively examined. Some studies suggested that neurons localized in superficial laminae (laminae I-II) displayed little or no wind-up [39; 94; 156], whereas a few others observed it in these laminae [30; 73; 129; 134]. Hence, the DH neurons that are most likely to display a wind-up receive non-nociceptive and nociceptive inputs and are localized in the deep DH [129].

In all DH laminae, wind-up results from the summation of slow synaptic potentials evoked at low frequency of primary afferent stimulation. The mechanisms allowing such a summation have been characterized in neurons localized in laminae V-VI and in the ventral horn. Very early, the importance of NMDA receptors in the wind-up phenomenon has been highlighted [36; 38; 39]. In these neurons, summated excitatory postsynaptic potentials result in a cumulative depolarization leading to the removal of the voltage-dependent Mg²⁺ ions block of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. The progressive recruitment of NMDA receptors in excitatory postsynaptic potentials gradually increases the action potential response evoked by each stimulus in a train of stimulations [39; 144]. Interestingly, NMDA receptors localized

in superficial laminae of the dorsal horn inhibit wind-up in neurons located in deeper laminae, highlighting the complex role of these receptors in controlling the gain of wind-up [151]. In neurons localized in DH laminae, summated excitatory postsynaptic potentials can recruit L-type Ca²⁺ channels in concert with activation of NMDA receptors. The plateau potentials resulting from activation of L-type Ca²⁺ channels contribute the sustained and progressive development of the slow membrane depolarization observed during the course of a train of stimuli [105; 111; 122]. The joined contribution of L-type Ca²⁺ channels and NMDA receptors is critical for the wind-up phenomenon [52].

The summation of excitatory synaptic potentials leading to wind-up involve also the prolonged slow depolarization induced by the activation of G-protein coupled receptors such as substance P and calcitonin-gene-related peptide (CGRP) receptors [19; 28; 76; 141; 154]. These peptides are localized in dense-core vesicles of a subset of C-fibers [14]. These vesicles are expected to be released upon sustained electrical activity [18; 107].

Interestingly, when observed, the wind-up expressed in lamina I differs from that in deeper laminae of the DH. In lamina I, wind-up involving NMDA receptors is almost absent [131]. Moreover, there is a difference in the magnitude of wind-up observed in deep versus superficial DH lamine. Indeed, whereas in deep laminae of the DH wind-up correspond to a 10-20 fold increase in the frequency of action potential discharge, there is only a 2-3 fold increase in lamina I. Wind-up in this lamina is therefore often referred to as "reduced wind up" [140]. In addition, in lamina I, omission of one or more stimulations allows a fast recovery from wind-up termed referred as "wind-up reset" under basal physiological conditions [147]. This suggests that lamina I wind-up involves other mechanisms and/or that it is subjected to a control by another type of short-term plasticity [30].

The wind-up phenomenon can be recorded in spinalized animals as well as in acute spinal cord slices, and is therefore mostly a spinal mechanism [64; 65]. Nevertheless, this phenomenon is modulated by descending controls recruited by NK1-expressing neurons localized in the superficial DH horn. These controls facilitate wind-up by activating spinal 5-HT₃ receptors [142]. Other receptors can facilitate the wind-up phenomenon, such as sigma 1 receptors [101; 117; 120].

Protocols on human subjects consisting in repeated stimulation at constant intensity have been proposed to provide a behavioral correlate of wind-up. In these protocols, the declared

pain increases as the stimulation is repeated. This increase in perceived pain has been reported for electrical, [6; 97], mechanical [81; 137] and thermal stimuli [115; 147].

Importantly these temporal summations may engage different types of short-term plastities within networks of the central nervous system such as those described at synapses connecting DH neurons [24; 26; 50; 66; 68; 84; 158].

Although the exact relevance of wind-up is not straightforward [65], there are clear links between wind-up and nociceptive information processing. Together with other phenomena such as activity-dependent slowing in action potential propagation velocity [42; 133], windup represents an important phenomenon controlling dynamically action potential frequencycoding in the spinal nociceptive system. Wind-up is therefore often interpreted as an information processing operation allowing to amplify nociceptive inputs. This is consistent with data indicating an alteration of this process and its control during chronic pain states. For example, wind-up is enhanced in models of neuropathy or inflammatory pain [46; 78]. Moreover, dysfunction of the "wind-up reset" described in lamina I has been proposed to underlie the declared pain wind-up occurring in patients with chronic pain [30]. All these data indicate that although wind-up differs from central sensitization [152], it shares mechanisms with this long-term plasticity, and is considered as one among other initiators allowing this sensitization to take place [48; 110].

3. Short-term plasticity at primary afferent synapses

The short-term plasticity expressed by primary afferent connections onto DH neurons has been little examined (Table 2). In newborn rats, synapses from muscle and cutaneous primary afferents onto spino-parabrachial projection neurons of lamina I display a strong paired-pulse ratio (PPR) depression for stimulation intervals ranging between 75 and 500 ms. This depression of PPR is significantly larger at short stimulation intervals for connection from afferents innervating muscles indicating a larger probability of release at these synapses. Connections from both muscle and cutaneous territories displayed a similar and strong depression when stimulated at frequencies between 1 Hz a 10 Hz [89]. In lamina II and laminae III-IV of 2.5-3 weeks old rats, short-term depression is dominant at A δ connections for stimulation frequencies between 0.5 and 20 Hz. The magnitude of depression increases with frequency of stimulation owing to a presynaptic mechanism [148; 149]. In the same model, A β connections with neurons localized in laminae III-IV also exhibit an activitydependent depression that is particularly pronounced for the second excitatory synaptic current evoked during a train of four afferent stimulations at 10 Hz (Fig. 1A). This strong depression of the second synaptic current is due to the activation of presynaptic GABA_A receptors activated by the GABA released from inhibitory interneurons recruited upon primary afferent stimulation [16].

Schouenborg recorded the field potentials evoked by stimulation of cutaneous C and A δ fibers in the dorsal horn of adult rats anesthetized with halothane [128]. In lamina II but not lamina V, the amplitude of the C-fiber-evoked field potentials increased with repeated stimulations at frequencies between 0.2-10 Hz, with a maximal increase at 1-2 Hz [128]. This increase in field potential amplitude may result from the wind-up phenomenon since it is induced by similar stimulation parameters. Therefore, although depression dominates at A δ primary afferent connections in the DH, evidence indicates that field potential responses to C-fibers stimulation at low frequency can be potentiated in lamina II.

Electrical stimulation of C-fibers at low frequency (1 Hz) evokes calcium responses in both excitatory and inhibitory neurons localized in the superficial DH. When this stimulation is preceded by electrical stimulation of Aβ-fibers from adjacent segments at 50 Hz during 10 minutes, responses recorded in excitatory neurons are potentiated whereas those recorded in inhibitory neurons are depressed [49]. This differential modulation may at least in part involve short-term plasticities at primary afferent synapses, and results in a frequency-dependent and target-specific interaction between information conveyed by Aβ and C-fibers.

4. Short-term plasticity of excitatory synaptic transmission in the DH.

Paired-pulse ratio

The paired-pulse ratio (PPR) at excitatory synapses from local interneurons has been examined in lamina II of 2-6 weeks old rats [125] and in lamina II-V of 1-2.5 weeks old Syrian hamsters [158]. In both studies, excitatory synapses displayed paired-pulse depression (i.e. PPR < 1) at least for some inter-stimulus intervals (see below). However, the intervals at which paired-pulse depression occurred were very different in the two studies. In Santos et al (2009), PPR was significantly different from 1 for intervals of 320 ms and 640 ms, but not for shorter intervals. In Zhang & Schneider (2011), excitatory synapses displayed a strong paired-

pulse depression at a short stimulation interval of 25 ms, and a reduced depression at longer intervals. Indeed although reduced in magnitude, the depression remained significant at intervals of 500 ms. It is important to emphasize that these two studies not only used different the animal species (rat *vs* hamster), but also differed with respect to the age, the recording mode (current clamp vs. voltage clamp) and the frequency of paired-pulse stimulation (every 5 s versus every 10 s). Unfortunately, in both cases, the neurochemical identity of the postsynaptic neuron was not determined.

Trains of action potentials

The short-term synaptic plasticity expressed in the DH by excitatory connections from DH interneurons during trains of stimulation has been little examined. STP evoked during 10 Hz stimulation of presynaptic glutamatergic synapses is illustrated in Zhang & Schneider (2011), however without detailed analysis. It appears that at least some excitatory synaptic connections display short-term depression, reaching a maximal amplitude of depression after the 3rd stimulation. It must be emphasized, that given the high repetition rate of the stimulation trains (every 10 seconds) tonic modulations might be engaged. These studies nevertheless concur in showing that excitatory connections within the DH displayed mainly short-term depression during paired-pulse or stimulation trains experiments.

Although data on trains of action potentials are scarce, data on paired-pulse ratio suggest that a fraction of excitatory connections of superficial DH laminae depress only at low frequency of activity. Such short-term plasticity would allow controlling the gain of sensory information by filtering stimulations at low intensity.

5. Short-term plasticity of inhibitory synaptic transmission in the DH

Paired-pulse ratio

The paired-pulse ratio of inhibitory synapses between DH neurons has been examined only in a small number of studies [24; 25; 66; 68; 79; 158]. In spinal acute slices of adult rats, minimal electrical stimulation within lamina I evoked glycingergic inhibitory postsynaptic currents (eIPSCs) in lamina I neurons. When paired stimulations were applied, the second glycinergic eIPSC was smaller in amplitude with a maximal depression of about 50% for interstimulus intervals of 150-200 ms [25] (Fig. 1B). Should this short-term plasticity apply to trains of activity, it would allow reducing the inhibition in lamina I at frequencies of activity corresponding to low intensities of sensory stimulations. In GAD65-expressing neurons of lamina II, glycinergic eIPSCs showed a paired-pulse facilitation (PPR of 1.2) for inter-stimulus intervals of 50 ms [79]. In laminae II-V of 1-2.5 weeks old Syrian hamsters [158], evoked inhibitory postsynaptic potentials displayed preferentially paired-pulse facilitation but pairedpulse depression was sometimes observed [158]. At facilitating synapses, facilitation was significant for 50-100 ms intervals but not for longer intervals; at depressing synapses, depression was significant at 50 ms intervals but not for longer intervals [158]. In primary cultures of superficial DH neurons from postnatal rats, paired-pulse depression of GABAergic eIPSCs was preferentially observed (84 %), but paired-pulse facilitation was also occasionally present (16 %) [66].

In all these studies, the repetition rate of paired-pulse stimulation might speculatively engage tonic modulations, particularly for repetitions at short intervals (3.3 s in Chery and De Koninck [25], 5 s in Zhang and Schneider [158]).

Paired-pulse ratios of GABAergic connections recorded in non-identified neurons of laminae I-II of P3-P21 neonatal rats were similar at all ages, displaying a moderate facilitation at 100 ms of inter-stimulation interval (ISI) [68]. Paired-pulse ratio of GABAergic connections onto inhibitory or excitatory neurons was assessed in lamina II neurons from acute slices prepared from adult GAD65-eGFP mice [24]. Inhibitory connections onto excitatory or inhibitory neurons displayed either paired-pulse facilitation or paired-pulse depression, but the proportion of connections showing facilitation or depression depended on the ISI and on the excitatory or inhibitory nature of the postsynaptic neuron. Most GABAergic connections onto excitatory neurons displayed a paired-pulse facilitation, particularly at short ISIs (25-50 ms). No preferential plasticity was displayed by GABAergic connections onto inhibitory neurons for ISIs up to 100 ms, but depression was dominant for longer ISIs (200-300 ms) [24]. These results indicate that in some instances the short-term plasticity engaged during paired stimulations might be target specific.

Trains of action potentials

The short-term plasticity of inhibitory synaptic transmission in the DH evoked during trains of activity has been assessed in few works, using frequencies of stimulation ranging from 2.5 Hz to 50 Hz [24; 26; 50; 66; 68; 84; 158] (Table 3).

In laminae I-II of P3-P21 neonatal rats, GABAergic connections depressed in a frequencydependent manner during trains at 1-20 Hz [68]. Similarly, in primary cultures of superficial DH neurons from postnatal rats, amplitudes of GABAergic eIPSCs depressed during trains at 2.5 Hz [66].

The impact of trains at 5 Hz has been assessed on GABAergic transmission between DH excitatory or inhibitory neurons. GABAergic neurons of lamina II simultaneously contacted excitatory and inhibitory neurons, but these connections displayed very different frequency-dependent short-term plasticities. Connection onto excitatory interneurons displayed no plasticity during 5 Hz trains, whereas connections onto inhibitory ones displayed a strong depression (Fig. 1C) [24].

Zhang and Schneider (2011), have stimulated inhibitory synapses in laminae II-V of Syrian hamsters with trains at 10 Hz and noticed that eIPSPs either potentiated or depressed. However, it cannot be excluded that these data were impacted by intra-train shifts in intracellular concentrations of Cl⁻, as shown by Cordero-Erausquin et al. (2005), since animals used in that work were quite young.

In lamina II of adult mice, Labrakakis et al. (2009) examined the plasticity expressed during trains at 20 Hz delivered to a presynaptic inhibitory neuron synapsing onto a postsynaptic inhibitory neuron [84]. The amplitude of the 1st eIPSC of the 1st train was significantly smaller than that of the 1st eIPSC in subsequent trains, indicating the engagement of a train-to-train plasticity. However, the authors did not distinguish between glycinergic or GABAergic components or nature of eIPSCs Therefore, the facilitation in the first train might speculatively involve glycingergic component which has been shown to display strong paired-pulse facilitation [79]. Within individual trains, short-term plasticity followed opposite trends, depending whether the 1st eIPSC of the train was potentiated or not (larger or not than that from isolated eIPSCs): potentiated 1st eIPSCs were followed by a depression during the train. Therefore, first trains usually had a non-potentiated 1st eIPSC followed by potentiated eIPSCs whereas subsequent trains had potentiated 1st eIPSCs followed by a short-term depression of subsequent trains.

During trains at 50 Hz, GABAergic connections onto excitatory neurons in lamina II displayed no plasticity, allowing a time-dependent summation, whereas connections onto GABAergic neurons displayed strong depression and a moderate time dependent summation (Fig. 1C) [24].

These results indicated that inhibitory connections onto lamina II neurons displayed different forms of activity-dependent plasticities. These plasticities appeared to differ as a function of the neurotransmitter involved: glycinergic connections onto inhibitory neurons displayed a strong paired-pulse potentiation at short ISIs whereas GABAergic connections onto inhibitory neurons displayed little or no potentiation at these intervals. This suggests an increased disinhibition in lamina II involving glycinergic transmission at high intensities of sensory stimulations.

These plasticities also depended on the postsynaptic target: GABAergic connections onto excitatory neurons showed potentiation at short ISIs and during trains of stimulation, whereas GABAergic connections onto inhibitory neurons displayed little paired-pulse plasticity and depressed during trains of stimulation.

In addition, train repetition had an impact on the plasticity expressed during the trains.

Such combinations of plasticities at different repetition frequencies, and time scales has been observed in other CNS structures such as hippocampal networks, and may represent a relatively common phenomenon [5].

Short-term plasticities and Cl⁻-extrusion capability.

Rapid changes in the equilibrium potential of Cl⁻ ions can be involved in STP at GABAergic synapses. In lamina I neurons recorded in acute slices from immature rats (before 3 weeks), Cordero-Erausquin et al (2005) examined the eIPSCs evoked by trains of 20 local stimulations applied at various frequencies (20, 40 and 100 Hz). In a proportion of neurons responding to single stimulations by outward eIPSCs, the direction of eIPSCs changed from outward to inward during the train. The proportion of neurons displaying such a shift in eIPSCs direction during the train increased with the frequency of stimulation. This shift in eIPSCs direction during sustained activity is due to reduced Cl⁻-extrusion capability by immature DH neurons [26]. Recent work by the same team indicated that, in lamina I, repeated activity of inhibitory synapses was sufficient to induce a significant shift in Cl⁻ equilibrium potential, even in adult

mice [50]. Such a shift accounted for one quarter of the eIPSC amplitude decrease observed at the end of 25 stimulations at 20 Hz, and was only observed in lamina I and not lamina II neurons [50]. These data indicate that even in adult animals, the Cl⁻-extrusion capability can be challenged at physiologically relevant frequencies in lamina I. Importantly, reduced Cl⁻ extrusion capability is also observed in neuropathic conditions [29]. Experimental and modeling studies suggest that gradients of intracellular concentrations of Cl⁻ can exist between the cell bodies and the dendrites of the same neurons [44; 135]. These results support the idea that different short-term plasticities occur at somatic and dendritic inhibitory synapses due to differences in local changes of intracellular Cl⁻-concentrations in these distinct compartments. Importantly, inhibition mediated by $GABA_A$ and glycine receptors involve an hyperpolarizing shift of membrane potential with respect to action potential threshold and an electrical shunting of membrane resistance that reduces the impact of incoming excitatory postsynaptic potentials. Interestingly, these mechanisms operate at distinct spatial and temporal scales [72]. Therefore, the outcome of depolarizing IPSCs results from the complex interplay between parameters including shunting (inhibitory) and depolarizing (excitatory) effects of Cl⁻-conductance and driving-force, as well as the frequency of activity [17]. Consequently, depolarizing IPSPs occurring at low frequency produce an excitatory effect by dragging the membrane potential to the threshold for spikes, whereas high frequencies of depolarizing IPSPs result in an inhibitory effect owing to the temporal summation of shunting conductances [17].

Discussion

6. Target-specific short-term plasticities and their possible impacts

The characteristics of short-term plasticities has been shown to depend on the nature of either pre- and/or postsynaptic neurons [11; 15; 100] with some exceptions [43]. GABAergic interneurons from lamina II simultaneously contact excitatory and inhibitory interneurons from the same lamina, and these connections display very distinct short-term plasticities ([24], Fig. 1C, see above). These connections may correspond to synapses from islet cells onto excitatory and inhibitory central cells which are the most likely inhibitory connections recorded under our experimental conditions [96]. Importantly, such a differential target-specific short-term plasticity involving synapses contacting excitatory and inhibitory neurons directly impacts the dynamic properties of networks and their excitation/inhibition balance

[13; 20; 80; 95; 104; 114; 121]. We have shown that in lamina II of the adult mouse spinal cord, stimulation of GABAergic presynaptic neurons at frequencies comparable to those of primary nociceptor discharges triggered by low intensity sensory stimulation will induce short-term depression at synapses with postsynaptic inhibitory (GABAergic) neurons whereas the inhibitory control onto excitatory neurons will not display short-term plasticity. However, at frequencies corresponding to sensory fiber activity at high intensity of sensory stimulation, the inhibitory control onto excitatory neurons will be increased owing to time-dependent summation whereas it will remain unaffected at inhibitory synapses onto inhibitory neurons. Interestingly, vivo imaging experiments in mice indicate that inhibitory neurons in lamina l-IIo display a linear increase in intracellular calcium as a function of mechanical stimulation intensity whereas increase in intracellular calcium in excitatory neurons reach an asymptote for mechanical stimulations above 8 psi [139]. This difference may involve the stronger inhibitory control targeted on excitatory neurons involved in the processing of mechanical information. This work also indicates that increase in intracellular calcium following thermal stimuli are larger in excitatory than in inhibitory laminae I-IIo neurons [139].

Inhibitory neurons display a tonic action-potential firing profile upon membrane depolarization [96]. It follows that these inhibitory neurons can probably follow excitatory synaptic inputs at relatively high frequencies. Therefore, in order to secure an efficient control of synaptic excitation in these neurons there must be a reliable and stable inhibitory control of these neurons in GABAergic inputs. It is therefore important that the GABAergic inputs to these inhibitory neurons displays no (or very limited) frequency depression.

To summarize, under basal physiological conditions, both target-specific STP characteristics and the firing properties of excitatory *vs* inhibitory postsynaptic neurons will concur to favor an inhibitory control of nociceptive messages in Lamina II of the DH, in particular at high intensity of sensory stimulation [24]. Hence, by affecting differently excitatory and inhibitory neurons, these STP properties allow a powerful gain control in lamina II that may be critical for the integration of nociceptive messages.

7. Modulation of short-term plasticities

Short-term plasticities are subjected to modulations and controls by both presynaptic and postsynaptic mechanisms. Some of these modulations and controls, such as descending

controls, may be altered in ex-vivo preparations. These modulations of short-term plasticities may be engaged during physiological or pathological pain, but also during electrical stimulation procedures for alleviating pain.

Frequency dependent short-term plasticities and deactivation kinetics of postsynaptic currents

Temporal summation of postsynaptic currents, and of the corresponding changes in membrane potential can lead to short-term plasticity. This short-term plasticity depends on the degree of saturation of postsynaptic receptors by the synaptically released neurotransmitter [153]. A summation of synaptic currents can occur during trains of activity when the current has not fully returned to baseline at the onset of the following stimulation. The required conditions for a summation to occur will depend on both the frequency of stimulation and the deactivation kinetics of the synaptic currents.

Interestingly, GABAergic eIPSCs recorded in lamina II inhibitory neurons have been shown to display slower decay kinetics than those recorded in excitatory neurons [85]. Such slower kinetics should allow a better temporal summation of eIPSCs during trains of activity. Nevertheless, at high frequency, summated eIPSCs recorded in excitatory neurons reached larger amplitudes than summated eIPSCs in inhibitory neurons, indicating that differences in decay kinetics can be compensated/counterbalanced by other target-specific properties of GABAergic synapses [24], and might possibly involve distinct degrees of postsynaptic receptors saturation.

Importantly, since summation resulted from an interplay between the frequency and synaptic currents deactivation kinetics, modulators inducing changes in these kinetics may impact the frequency-dependence of this type of short-term plasticity. This may be particularly relevant for GABAergic eIPSCs in lamina II neurons, the decay kinetics of which are prolonged by locally synthetized 3 α 5 α -reduced neurosteroids during early postnatal developmental stages as well as following peripheral inflammation, this phenomenon having an antihyperalgesic effect [69; 75; 113; 127].

Activity-dependent activation of GABA_B receptors

Several studies have indicated that synaptically released GABA can modulate synaptic transmission by activating GABA_B receptors. In lamina I of the DH, minimal electrical stimulation-evoked synaptic GABA release can preferentially activate presynaptic GABA_B

autoreceptors leading to a paired-pulse depression of glycingergic IPSCs that can amount 50 % of reduction in IPSC amplitude at ISIs of 150-200 ms. This depression involved presynaptic GABA_B autoreceptors activated by the GABA coreleased with glycine, but that was not codetected by the postsynaptic neuron [25] (Fig. 1B).

In cultured DH neurons, presynaptic GABA_B autoreceptors control a subset of GABAergic synapses in an activity-dependent manner [66]. At synapses displaying a GABA/ATP cotransmission, the four last eCPSIs of the train (8 stimulations with an ISI of 400 ms (2.5 Hz)) were under phasic inhibitory control by GABA_B and A1 receptors. By contrast, GABAergic-only synapses were under tonic inhibition involving GABA_B receptors only. Both effects involved at least presynaptic GABA_B and A1 autoreceptors [66], but recording conditions may have precluded the observation of postsynaptic effects.

Our recent results also indicate that in lamina II of control adult mice, GABA_B receptor are also involved in a phasic modulation of GABAergic connections between lamina II neurons. This involvement of GABA_B receptors is target- and frequency-specific: it only occurs at synapses between two inhibitory neurons and at low stimulation frequency [24].

In addition to these phasic actions of endogenously released GABA on GABA_B receptors, a tonic activation GABA_B receptors by ambient extracellular GABA has been reported. Importantly, affinity of GABA_B receptors for GABA is higher than that of GABA_A receptors. Therefore GABA_B receptors might be the main target at low ambient GABA concentrations [70; 155].

Activation of spinal muscarinic receptors evokes the release of GABA [8], which can activate GABA_B receptors localized on presynaptic terminals of glutamatergic neurons and inhibit the release of glutamate [88]. Inhibition of GAT-3 transporters in the DH induces antinociception in control rats by a mechanism involving at least in part the activation GABA_B receptors [74]. It has also been shown that antagonists of GABA_B receptors increase the frequency of glutamatergic EPSCs recorded in vivo in rats [56] and that glutamate release from Aβ fibers in deep laminae of rat DH is placed under a tonic inhibition by presynaptic GABA_B receptors [124].

Interestingly, injection of GABA_B antagonists in the DH is pronociceptive in rats *in vivo* [98]. Moreover, in monkey spinothalamic neurons, GABA_B receptor antagonists increase spontaneous action potential discharge activity as well as action potential firing following cutaneous stimulation [90].

These results indicate that GABA_B receptors can be tonically activated in the DH under basal physiological conditions, as suggested by the fact that mice lacking the obligatory GABA_B1 subunit of GABA_B receptors are hyperalgesic [130].

Tonic activation of GABA_B receptors by endogenous GABA can be increased by positive allosteric modulators of these receptors such as 5,7-ditert-butyl-3-hydroxy-3- (trifluoromethyl)-1-benzofuran-2-one (rac-BHFF) which has analgesic effects on control but not on neuropathic mice [157]. This is in line with previous work suggesting that the GABA tone in the DH may be reduced in neuropathic conditions [87], whereas it is increased in inflammatory conditions [23]. This situation could in part explain why GABA_B agonists are apparently ineffective to alleviate nociception in inflammatory pain models whereas these are analgesic in most neuropathic pain models.

Activity dependent activation of adenosine receptors

Endogenous levels of adenosine can phasically or tonically modulate synaptic transmission. Under basal physiological conditions, the estimated extracellular concentration of adenosine in the striatum of awake rats ranges from 40 to 460 nM [10]. At such concentrations, adenosine can significantly activate A1 and A2A receptors, and this could account for the inhibitory effect of adenosine, and the excitatory effect of A1 and A2A antagonists, which have been reported in various models [47]. Adenosine may speculatively be linked to circadian changes in pain sensitivity observed in humans and various animal models (see for example [123]). Indeed, regulation of ATP release from astrocytes is regulated by glucocorticoids and this release impacts pain sensitivity [82].

In lamina II of the DH, glutamatergic transmission is under an inhibitory tone of endogenous adenosine [145]. Interestingly, the data presented in this work do not fully support a modulation of mEPSCs by adenosine tone, and may suggest that spontaneous activity is required to reveal this tone.

In cultured DH neurons, presynaptic A1 autoreceptors control a subset of GABAergic synapses in an activity dependent manner [66]. These synapses are also under the inhibitory control of GABA_B autoreceptors; both signaling pathways engaged by A1 and GABA_B receptors being convergent [66]. Interestingly, the synapses modulated by A1 receptors coreleased GABA and ATP.

Recent results indicate that in lamina II of control adult GAD65-eGFP mice, A1 receptors tonically inhibit GABAergic connections onto excitatory neurons by 50% when trains at 5 Hz and 50 Hz are applied. This tonic control was only engaged when trains were repeated every 20 s and not every 60 s, indicating that the tone of adenosine controlling GABAergic connections onto excitatory neurons was linked to the level of activity of the modulated synapse. Adenosine also tonically controlled GABAergic connections onto inhibitory neurons, but this effect was still present when trains were repeated every 60 s. These results indicated that A1 receptors could tonically inhibit GABAergic connections in lamina II. This tonic inhibition was stronger on connections onto excitatory neurons and only experimentally detected when trains were repeated at short intervals [24].

Electrical stimulation procedures for alleviating pain: possible contribution of activitydependent tones of adenosine and GABA controlling short-term plasticities

By applying repeated stimulations to the spinal nociceptive system, non-pharmacological procedures used to alleviate pain such as Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS), electroacupuncture or Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) engage activity dependent processes, which could in part correspond to the short-term plasticities presented above.

The analgesia induced by TENS is blocked by caffeine at concentrations that may be reached by dietary sources [99]. The primary targets of caffeine are A1 and A2A receptors; other effects of caffeine such as inhibition of phosphodiesterases, release of Ca²⁺ from internal stores, etc. occur at higher concentrations [54]. This suggests that stimulations at standard TENS frequencies induce the activation of adenosine receptors with antinociceptive properties. This is in line with data from *in vivo* recordings in the cat showing that vibrations in the periphery induce adenosine-mediated inhibitory potentials in the DH [37]. Dietary caffeine consumption may therefore interfere with this mechanism, but this has not been confirmed by preliminary clinical investigations [41]. Interestingly, TENS frequencies are in the same range as those inducing an adenosine-dependent inhibition of GABAergic transmission on neurons of lamina II [24]. As indicated in the previous paragraph, this inhibition and the conditions of its engagement depend on the excitatory or inhibitory nature of the postsynaptic neuron [24]. More generally, endogenous adenosine has been involved in the modulation and/or the effect of various pharmacological antinociceptive agents (this

point has been extensively reviewed in [126]). Spinal adenosine is also involved in the analgesic effects of electroacupuncture in a rodent model of neuropathic pain [35].

Since endogenous adenosine acting on A1 receptors seems to be a major player in endogenous antinociceptive mechanisms, the use of A1 receptors positive allosteric modulators appear as a promising antinociceptive strategy [53]. Nevertheless, the development of such clinically-relevant A1 modulators proved to be challenging, although recent advances are promising [12; 45; 67; 71].

Interestingly, the analgesic effect of spinal cord stimulation is potentiated by intrathecal injection of GABA_B and A1 agonists whereas it is inhibited by antagonists of these receptors [31; 91; 92]. Thus one could speculate that activation of these receptors by GABA and adenosine released in an activity-dependent manner might play a key role in the analgesia mediated by spinal cord stimulation. This is in agreement with experimental evidence showing that spinal cord stimulation increased the release of GABA in the spinal cord of responding animals [9; 31-33; 138].

The combination of non-pharmacological neuromodulation approaches together with pharmacological modulations targeting $GABA_B$ and A1 receptors may therefore represent a promising strategy for pain alleviation.

8. A possible role for certain short-term plasticities

A precise role has been proposed for some of the short-term plasticities described in the spinal nociceptive system. For example, the wind-up phenomenon is usually considered as involved in the increase of declared pain during repeated nociceptive stimulations [6; 81; 97; 115; 137; 147], and the wind-up "reset" phenomenon as a mechanism allowing to discriminate changes in this repetition [30]. The short-term synaptic depression at primary afferent synapses with deep DH neurons has been suggested to enhance the sensitivity of postsynaptic neurons to changes in the frequency of primary afferent discharge. This would allow the postsynaptic neuron to reliably detect a subsequent sensory stimulus [149]. Within lamina I, the short-term plasticity of glycinergic transmission may allow reducing inhibition at frequencies of activity corresponding to low intensities of sensory stimulations [25] and would therefore represent a gain control mechanism. Within lamina II, short-term plasticities affecting differently excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmissions has been proposed to represent a gain control affecting the inhibitory/disinhibitory balance in DH neuronal

networks [24]. This short-term plasticity would allow controlling the gain of sensory information by filtering stimulations at low intensity.

More generally, short-term plasticity is the major type of operation involved in the processing frequency coded information [21; 106]. Overall, this type of plasticity is therefore not restricted to a peculiar function in the processing of sensory information, and is expected to be engaged in the processing of any afferent sensory information to the spinal nociceptive system. Understanding and modeling the overall processing of nociceptive inputs will require to take this type of plasticity into account.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université de Strasbourg, and the French National Research agency (ANR) contract ANR-17-EURE-0022. LC was supported by EURIDOL Graduate School of Pain, Neuropole Strasbourg, and Société Française d'Etude et de Traitement de la Douleur (SFETD).

References

- [1] Adrian ED. The recovery process of excitable tissues: Part I. J Physiol 1920;54(1-2):1-31.
- [2] Adrian ED, Zotterman Y. The impulses produced by sensory nerve endings: Part 3. Impulses set up by Touch and Pressure. J Physiol 1926;61(4):465-483.
- [3] Alles SRA, Smith PA. Peripheral Voltage-Gated Cation Channels in Neuropathic Pain and Their Potential as Therapeutic Targets. Frontiers in pain research (Lausanne, Switzerland) 2021;2:750583.
- [4] Andrew D, Greenspan JD. Peripheral coding of tonic mechanical cutaneous pain: comparison of nociceptor activity in rat and human psychophysics. J Neurophysiol 1999;82(5):2641-2648.
- [5] Anwar H, Li X, Bucher D, Nadim F. Functional roles of short-term synaptic plasticity with an emphasis on inhibition. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2017;43:71-78.
- [6] Arendt-Nielsen L, Petersen-Felix S, Fischer M, Bak P, Bjerring P, Zbinden AM. The effect of Nmethyl-D-aspartate antagonist (ketamine) on single and repeated nociceptive stimuli: a placebo-controlled experimental human study. Anesth Analg 1995;81(1):63-68.
- [7] Aziz Q, Botha C, Willert R. Pharmacology of visceral pain: central factors. Dig Dis 2009;27 Suppl 1:31-41.
- [8] Baba H, Kohno T, Okamoto M, Goldstein PA, Shimoji K, Yoshimura M. Muscarinic facilitation of GABA release in substantia gelatinosa of the rat spinal dorsal horn. J Physiol 1998;508 (Pt 1):83-93.
- [9] Baba H, Yoshimura M, Nishi S, Shimoji K. Synaptic responses of substantia gelatinosa neurones to dorsal column stimulation in rat spinal cord in vitro. J Physiol 1994;478 (Pt 1):87-99.

- [10] Ballarin M, Fredholm BB, Ambrosio S, Mahy N. Extracellular levels of adenosine and its metabolites in the striatum of awake rats: inhibition of uptake and metabolism. Acta Physiol Scand 1991;142(1):97-103.
- [11] Bao J, Reim K, Sakaba T. Target-dependent feedforward inhibition mediated by short-term synaptic plasticity in the cerebellum. J Neurosci 2010;30(24):8171-8179.
- [12] Baraldi PG, Zaid AN, Lampronti I, Fruttarolo F, Pavani MG, Tabrizi MA, Shryock JC, Leung E, Romagnoli R. Synthesis and biological effects of a new series of 2-amino-3-benzoylthiophenes as allosteric enhancers of A1-adenosine receptor. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2000;10(17):1953-1957.
- [13] Bartley AF, Dobrunz LE. Short-term plasticity regulates the excitation/inhibition ratio and the temporal window for spike integration in CA1 pyramidal cells. Eur J Neurosci 2015;41(11):1402-1415.
- [14] Battaglia G, Rustioni A. Coexistence of glutamate and substance P in dorsal root ganglion neurons of the rat and monkey. J Comp Neurol 1988;277(2):302-312.
- [15] Beierlein M, Gibson JR, Connors BW. Two dynamically distinct inhibitory networks in layer 4 of the neocortex. J Neurophysiol 2003;90(5):2987-3000.
- [16] Betelli C, MacDermott AB, Bardoni R. Transient, activity dependent inhibition of transmitter release from low threshold afferents mediated by GABAA receptors in spinal cord lamina III/IV. Mol Pain 2015;11:64.
- [17] Branchereau P, Cattaert D, Delpy A, Allain AE, Martin E, Meyrand P. Depolarizing GABA/glycine synaptic events switch from excitation to inhibition during frequency increases. Sci Rep 2016;6:21753.
- [18] Brezina V, Church PJ, Weiss KR. Temporal pattern dependence of neuronal peptide transmitter release: models and experiments. J Neurosci 2000;20(18):6760-6772.
- [19] Budai D, Larson AA. Role of substance P in the modulation of C-fiber-evoked responses of spinal dorsal horn neurons. Brain Res 1996;710(1-2):197-203.
- [20] Buonomano DV. Decoding temporal information: A model based on short-term synaptic plasticity. J Neurosci 2000;20(3):1129-1141.
- [21] Buonomano DV. The biology of time across different scales. Nat Chem Biol 2007;3(10):594-597.
- [22] Campero M, Serra J, Ochoa JL. C-polymodal nociceptors activated by noxious low temperature in human skin. J Physiol 1996;497 (Pt 2):565-572.
- [23] Castro-Lopes JM, Tavares I, Tolle TR, Coito A, Coimbra A. Increase in GABAergic Cells and GABA Levels in the Spinal Cord in Unilateral Inflammation of the Hindlimb in the Rat. Eur J Neurosci 1992;4(4):296-301.
- [24] Cathenaut L, Leonardon B, Kuster R, Inquimbert P, Schlichter R, Hugel S. Inhibitory interneurons with differential plasticities at their connections tune excitatory/inhibitory balance in the spinal nociceptive system. Pain 2022;163(5):e675-e688.
- [25] Chery N, De Koninck Y. GABA(B) receptors are the first target of released GABA at lamina I inhibitory synapses in the adult rat spinal cord. J Neurophysiol 2000;84(2):1006-1011.
- [26] Cordero-Erausquin M, Coull JA, Boudreau D, Rolland M, De Koninck Y. Differential maturation of GABA action and anion reversal potential in spinal lamina I neurons: impact of chloride extrusion capacity. J Neurosci 2005;25(42):9613-9623.
- [27] Cordero-Erausquin M, Inquimbert P, Schlichter R, Hugel S. Neuronal networks and nociceptive processing in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Neuroscience 2016;338:230-247.
- [28] Coste J, Voisin DL, Miraucourt LS, Dallel R, Luccarini P. Dorsal horn NK1-expressing neurons control windup of downstream trigeminal nociceptive neurons. Pain 2008;137(2):340-351.
- [29] Coull JA, Boudreau D, Bachand K, Prescott SA, Nault F, Sik A, De Koninck P, De Koninck Y. Transsynaptic shift in anion gradient in spinal lamina I neurons as a mechanism of neuropathic pain. Nature 2003;424(6951):938-942.
- [30] Craig AD, Andrew D. Responses of spinothalamic lamina I neurons to repeated brief contact heat stimulation in the cat. J Neurophysiol 2002;87(4):1902-1914.

- [31] Cui JG, Meyerson BA, Sollevi A, Linderoth B. Effect of spinal cord stimulation on tactile hypersensitivity in mononeuropathic rats is potentiated by simultaneous GABA(B) and adenosine receptor activation. Neurosci Lett 1998;247(2-3):183-186.
- [32] Cui JG, O'Connor WT, Ungerstedt U, Linderoth B, Meyerson BA. Spinal cord stimulation attenuates augmented dorsal horn release of excitatory amino acids in mononeuropathy via a GABAergic mechanism. Pain 1997;73(1):87-95.
- [33] Cui JG, Sollevi A, Linderoth B, Meyerson BA. Adenosine receptor activation suppresses tactile hypersensitivity and potentiates spinal cord stimulation in mononeuropathic rats. Neurosci Lett 1997;223(3):173-176.
- [34] D'Mello R, Dickenson AH. Spinal cord mechanisms of pain. Br J Anaesth 2008;101(1):8-16.
- [35] Dai QX, Huang LP, Mo YC, Yu LN, Du WW, Zhang AQ, Geng WJ, Wang JL, Yan M. Role of spinal adenosine A1 receptors in the analgesic effect of electroacupuncture in a rat model of neuropathic pain. J Int Med Res 2020;48(4):300060519883748.
- [36] Davies SN, Lodge D. Evidence for involvement of N-methylaspartate receptors in 'wind-up' of class 2 neurones in the dorsal horn of the rat. Brain Res 1987;424(2):402-406.
- [37] De Koninck Y, Henry JL. Peripheral vibration causes an adenosine-mediated postsynaptic inhibitory potential in dorsal horn neurons of the cat spinal cord. Neuroscience 1992;50(2):435-443.
- [38] Dickenson AH. A cure for wind up: NMDA receptor antagonists as potential analgesics. Trends Pharmacol Sci 1990;11(8):307-309.
- [39] Dickenson AH, Sullivan AF. Evidence for a role of the NMDA receptor in the frequency dependent potentiation of deep rat dorsal horn nociceptive neurones following C fibre stimulation. Neuropharmacology 1987;26(8):1235-1238.
- [40] Dickhaus H, Pauser G, Zimmermann M. Tonic descending inhibition affects intensity coding of nociceptive responses of spinal dorsal horn neurones in the cat. Pain 1985;23(2):145-158.
- [41] Dickie A, Tabasam G, Tashani O, Marchant P, Johnson MI. A preliminary investigation into the effect of coffee on hypolagesia associated with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging 2009;29(4):293-299.
- [42] Dickie AC, McCormick B, Lukito V, Wilson KL, Torsney C. Inflammatory Pain Reduces C Fiber Activity-Dependent Slowing in a Sex-Dependent Manner, Amplifying Nociceptive Input to the Spinal Cord. J Neurosci 2017;37(27):6488-6502.
- [43] Dorgans K, Demais V, Bailly Y, Poulain B, Isope P, Doussau F. Short-term plasticity at cerebellar granule cell to molecular layer interneuron synapses expands information processing. Elife 2019;8.
- [44] Doyon N, Prescott SA, Castonguay A, Godin AG, Kroger H, De Koninck Y. Efficacy of synaptic inhibition depends on multiple, dynamically interacting mechanisms implicated in chloride homeostasis. PLoS Comput Biol 2011;7(9):e1002149.
- [45] Draper-Joyce CJ, Bhola R, Wang J, Bhattarai A, Nguyen ATN, Cowie-Kent I, O'Sullivan K, Chia LY, Venugopal H, Valant C, Thal DM, Wootten D, Panel N, Carlsson J, Christie MJ, White PJ, Scammells P, May LT, Sexton PM, Danev R, Miao Y, Glukhova A, Imlach WL, Christopoulos A. Positive allosteric mechanisms of adenosine A1 receptor-mediated analgesia. Nature 2021;597(7877):571-576.
- [46] Duan B, Wu LJ, Yu YQ, Ding Y, Jing L, Xu L, Chen J, Xu TL. Upregulation of acid-sensing ion channel ASIC1a in spinal dorsal horn neurons contributes to inflammatory pain hypersensitivity. J Neurosci 2007;27(41):11139-11148.
- [47] Dunwiddie TV, Masino SA. The role and regulation of adenosine in the central nervous system. Annu Rev Neurosci 2001;24:31-55.
- [48] Eide PK. Wind-up and the NMDA receptor complex from a clinical perspective. Eur J Pain 2000;4(1):5-15.

- [49] Fan W, Sdrulla AD. Differential modulation of excitatory and inhibitory populations of superficial dorsal horn neurons in lumbar spinal cord by Abeta-fiber electrical stimulation. Pain 2020;161(7):1650-1660.
- [50] Ferrini F, Perez-Sanchez J, Ferland S, Lorenzo LE, Godin AG, Plasencia-Fernandez I, Cottet M, Castonguay A, Wang F, Salio C, Doyon N, Merighi A, De Koninck Y. Differential chloride homeostasis in the spinal dorsal horn locally shapes synaptic metaplasticity and modalityspecific sensitization. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):3935.
- [51] Fleischer E, Handwerker HO, Joukhadar S. Unmyelinated nociceptive units in two skin areas of the rat. Brain Res 1983;267(1):81-92.
- [52] Fossat P, Sibon I, Le Masson G, Landry M, Nagy F. L-type calcium channels and NMDA receptors: a determinant duo for short-term nociceptive plasticity. Eur J Neurosci 2007;25(1):127-135.
- [53] Fredholm BB, AP IJ, Jacobson KA, Linden J, Muller CE. International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXXI. Nomenclature and classification of adenosine receptors--an update. Pharmacol Rev 2011;63(1):1-34.
- [54] Fredholm BB, Battig K, Holmen J, Nehlig A, Zvartau EE. Actions of caffeine in the brain with special reference to factors that contribute to its widespread use. Pharmacol Rev 1999;51(1):83-133.
- [55] Froemke RC, Merzenich MM, Schreiner CE. A synaptic memory trace for cortical receptive field plasticity. Nature 2007;450(7168):425-429.
- [56] Fukuhara K, Katafuchi T, Yoshimura M. Effects of baclofen on mechanical noxious and innocuous transmission in the spinal dorsal horn of the adult rat: in vivo patch-clamp analysis. Eur J Neurosci 2013;38(10):3398-3407.
- [57] Gale JR, Gedeon JY, Donnelly CJ, Gold MS. Local translation in primary afferents and its contribution to pain. Pain 2022;163(12):2302-2314.
- [58] Gee MD, Lynn B, Cotsell B. Activity-dependent slowing of conduction velocity provides a method for identifying different functional classes of C-fibre in the rat saphenous nerve. Neuroscience 1996;73(3):667-675.
- [59] Gemes G, Koopmeiners A, Rigaud M, Lirk P, Sapunar D, Bangaru ML, Vilceanu D, Garrison SR, Ljubkovic M, Mueller SJ, Stucky CL, Hogan QH. Failure of action potential propagation in sensory neurons: mechanisms and loss of afferent filtering in C-type units after painful nerve injury. J Physiol 2013;591(4):1111-1131.
- [60] Giere C, Melchior M, Dufour A, Poisbeau P. Spinal integration of hot and cold nociceptive stimuli by wide-dynamic-range neurons in anesthetized adult rats. Pain Rep 2021;6(4):e983.
- [61] Grudt TJ, Perl ER. Correlations between neuronal morphology and electrophysiological features in the rodent superficial dorsal horn. J Physiol 2002;540(Pt 1):189-207.
- [62] Handwerker HO, Anton F, Reeh PW. Discharge patterns of afferent cutaneous nerve fibers from the rat's tail during prolonged noxious mechanical stimulation. Exp Brain Res 1987;65(3):493-504.
- [63] Harding EK, Zamponi GW. Central and peripheral contributions of T-type calcium channels in pain. Molecular brain 2022;15(1):39.
- [64] Hartell NA, Headley PM. The effect of naloxone on spinal reflexes to electrical and mechanical stimuli in the anaesthetized, spinalized rat. J Physiol 1991;442:513-526.
- [65] Herrero JF, Laird JM, Lopez-Garcia JA. Wind-up of spinal cord neurones and pain sensation: much ado about something? Prog Neurobiol 2000;61(2):169-203.
- [66] Hugel S, Schlichter R. Convergent control of synaptic GABA release from rat dorsal horn neurones by adenosine and GABA autoreceptors. J Physiol 2003;551(Pt 2):479-489.
- [67] Imlach WL, Bhola RF, May LT, Christopoulos A, Christie MJ. A Positive Allosteric Modulator of the Adenosine A1 Receptor Selectively Inhibits Primary Afferent Synaptic Transmission in a Neuropathic Pain Model. Mol Pharmacol 2015;88(3):460-468.
- [68] Ingram RA, Fitzgerald M, Baccei ML. Developmental changes in the fidelity and short-term plasticity of GABAergic synapses in the neonatal rat dorsal horn. J Neurophysiol 2008;99(6):3144-3150.

- [69] Inquimbert P, Rodeau JL, Schlichter R. Regional differences in the decay kinetics of GABA(A) receptor-mediated miniature IPSCs in the dorsal horn of the rat spinal cord are determined by mitochondrial transport of cholesterol. J Neurosci 2008;28(13):3427-3437.
- [70] Isaacson JS, Solis JM, Nicoll RA. Local and diffuse synaptic actions of GABA in the hippocampus. Neuron 1993;10(2):165-175.
- [71] Jacobson KA, Tosh DK, Jain S, Gao ZG. Historical and Current Adenosine Receptor Agonists in Preclinical and Clinical Development. Front Cell Neurosci 2019;13:124.
- [72] Jean-Xavier C, Mentis GZ, O'Donovan MJ, Cattaert D, Vinay L. Dual personality of GABA/glycinemediated depolarizations in immature spinal cord. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104(27):11477-11482.
- [73] Jeftinija S, Urban L. Repetitive stimulation induced potentiation of excitatory transmission in the rat dorsal horn: an in vitro study. J Neurophysiol 1994;71(1):216-228.
- [74] Kataoka K, Hara K, Haranishi Y, Terada T, Sata T. The antinociceptive effect of SNAP5114, a gamma-aminobutyric acid transporter-3 inhibitor, in rat experimental pain models. Anesth Analg 2013;116(5):1162-1169.
- [75] Keller AF, Breton JD, Schlichter R, Poisbeau P. Production of 5alpha-reduced neurosteroids is developmentally regulated and shapes GABA(A) miniature IPSCs in lamina II of the spinal cord. J Neurosci 2004;24(4):907-915.
- [76] Khasabov SG, Rogers SD, Ghilardi JR, Peters CM, Mantyh PW, Simone DA. Spinal neurons that possess the substance P receptor are required for the development of central sensitization. J Neurosci 2002;22(20):9086-9098.
- [77] Kimura S, Kontani H. Separate recording of A-delta and C fiber-mediated nociceptive flexor reflex responses of mouse hindlimb using electromyography and the characteristics of wind-up appearing in the responses. J Pharmacol Sci 2008;108(2):172-178.
- [78] Kimura S, Tanabe M, Honda M, Ono H. Enhanced wind-up of the C-fiber-mediated nociceptive flexor reflex movement following painful diabetic neuropathy in mice. J Pharmacol Sci 2005;97(2):195-202.
- [79] Kloc ML, Pradier B, Chirila AM, Kauer JA. NMDA receptor activation induces long-term potentiation of glycine synapses. PLoS One 2019;14(9):e0222066.
- [80] Klyachko VA, Stevens CF. Excitatory and feed-forward inhibitory hippocampal synapses work synergistically as an adaptive filter of natural spike trains. PLoS Biol 2006;4(7):e207.
- [81] Koltzenburg M, Handwerker HO. Differential ability of human cutaneous nociceptors to signal mechanical pain and to produce vasodilatation. J Neurosci 1994;14(3 Pt 2):1756-1765.
- [82] Koyanagi S, Kusunose N, Taniguchi M, Akamine T, Kanado Y, Ozono Y, Masuda T, Kohro Y, Matsunaga N, Tsuda M, Salter MW, Inoue K, Ohdo S. Glucocorticoid regulation of ATP release from spinal astrocytes underlies diurnal exacerbation of neuropathic mechanical allodynia. Nat Commun 2016;7:13102.
- [83] Kuhlman SJ, Olivas ND, Tring E, Ikrar T, Xu X, Trachtenberg JT. A disinhibitory microcircuit initiates critical-period plasticity in the visual cortex. Nature 2013;501(7468):543-546.
- [84] Labrakakis C, Lorenzo LE, Bories C, Ribeiro-da-Silva A, De Koninck Y. Inhibitory coupling between inhibitory interneurons in the spinal cord dorsal horn. Mol Pain 2009;5:24.
- [85] Labrakakis C, Rudolph U, De Koninck Y. The heterogeneity in GABAA receptor-mediated IPSC kinetics reflects heterogeneity of subunit composition among inhibitory and excitatory interneurons in spinal lamina II. Front Cell Neurosci 2014;8:424.
- [86] Letzkus JJ, Wolff SB, Meyer EM, Tovote P, Courtin J, Herry C, Luthi A. A disinhibitory microcircuit for associative fear learning in the auditory cortex. Nature 2011;480(7377):331-335.
- [87] Lever I, Cunningham J, Grist J, Yip PK, Malcangio M. Release of BDNF and GABA in the dorsal horn of neuropathic rats. Eur J Neurosci 2003;18(5):1169-1174.
- [88] Li DP, Chen SR, Pan YZ, Levey AI, Pan HL. Role of presynaptic muscarinic and GABA(B) receptors in spinal glutamate release and cholinergic analgesia in rats. J Physiol 2002;543(Pt 3):807-818.

- [89] Li J, Baccei ML. Functional Organization of Cutaneous and Muscle Afferent Synapses onto Immature Spinal Lamina I Projection Neurons. J Neurosci 2017;37(6):1505-1517.
- [90] Lin Q, Peng YB, Willis WD. Role of GABA receptor subtypes in inhibition of primate spinothalamic tract neurons: difference between spinal and periaqueductal gray inhibition. J Neurophysiol 1996;75(1):109-123.
- [91] Lind G, Meyerson BA, Winter J, Linderoth B. Intrathecal baclofen as adjuvant therapy to enhance the effect of spinal cord stimulation in neuropathic pain: a pilot study. Eur J Pain 2004;8(4):377-383.
- [92] Lind G, Schechtmann G, Winter J, Meyerson BA, Linderoth B. Baclofen-enhanced spinal cord stimulation and intrathecal baclofen alone for neuropathic pain: Long-term outcome of a pilot study. Eur J Pain 2008;12(1):132-136.
- [93] Liu P, Zhang X, He X, Jiang Z, Wang Q, Lu Y. Spinal GABAergic neurons are under feed-forward inhibitory control driven by Adelta and C fibers in Gad2 td-Tomato mice. Mol Pain 2021;17:1744806921992620.
- [94] Liu X, Sandkuhler J. Characterization of long-term potentiation of C-fiber-evoked potentials in spinal dorsal horn of adult rat: essential role of NK1 and NK2 receptors. J Neurophysiol 1997;78(4):1973-1982.
- [95] Lovett-Barron M, Turi GF, Kaifosh P, Lee PH, Bolze F, Sun XH, Nicoud JF, Zemelman BV, Sternson SM, Losonczy A. Regulation of neuronal input transformations by tunable dendritic inhibition. Nat Neurosci 2012;15(3):423-430, S421-423.
- [96] Lu Y, Perl ER. A specific inhibitory pathway between substantia gelatinosa neurons receiving direct C-fiber input. J Neurosci 2003;23(25):8752-8758.
- [97] Lundberg LE, Jorum E, Holm E, Torebjork HE. Intra-neural electrical stimulation of cutaneous nociceptive fibres in humans: effects of different pulse patterns on magnitude of pain. Acta Physiol Scand 1992;146(1):41-48.
- [98] Malan TP, Mata HP, Porreca F. Spinal GABA(A) and GABA(B) receptor pharmacology in a rat model of neuropathic pain. Anesthesiology 2002;96(5):1161-1167.
- [99] Marchand S, Li J, Charest J. Effects of caffeine on analgesia from transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. N Engl J Med 1995;333(5):325-326.
- [100] Markram H, Wang Y, Tsodyks M. Differential signaling via the same axon of neocortical pyramidal neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95(9):5323-5328.
- [101] Mazo I, Roza C, Zamanillo D, Merlos M, Vela JM, Lopez-Garcia JA. Effects of centrally acting analgesics on spinal segmental reflexes and wind-up. Eur J Pain 2015;19(7):1012-1020.
- [102] Mendell LM. Physiological properties of unmyelinated fiber projection to the spinal cord. Exp Neurol 1966;16(3):316-332.
- [103] Mendell LM, Wall PD. Responses of Single Dorsal Cord Cells to Peripheral Cutaneous Unmyelinated Fibres. Nature 1965;206:97-99.
- [104] Moreno A, Morris RGM, Canals S. Frequency-Dependent Gating of Hippocampal-Neocortical Interactions. Cereb Cortex 2016;26(5):2105-2114.
- [105] Morisset V, Nagy F. Plateau potential-dependent windup of the response to primary afferent stimuli in rat dorsal horn neurons. Eur J Neurosci 2000;12(9):3087-3095.
- [106] Motanis H, Seay MJ, Buonomano DV. Short-Term Synaptic Plasticity as a Mechanism for Sensory Timing. Trends Neurosci 2018;41(10):701-711.
- [107] Muschol M, Salzberg BM. Dependence of transient and residual calcium dynamics on actionpotential patterning during neuropeptide secretion. J Neurosci 2000;20(18):6773-6780.
- [108] Nakatsuka T, Ataka T, Kumamoto E, Tamaki T, Yoshimura M. Alteration in synaptic inputs through C-afferent fibers to substantia gelatinosa neurons of the rat spinal dorsal horn during postnatal development. Neuroscience 2000;99(3):549-556.
- [109] Nakatsuka T, Furue H, Yoshimura M, Gu JG. Activation of central terminal vanilloid receptor-1 receptors and alpha beta-methylene-ATP-sensitive P2X receptors reveals a converged

synaptic activity onto the deep dorsal horn neurons of the spinal cord. J Neurosci 2002;22(4):1228-1237.

- [110] Patel R, Dickenson AH. Neuropharmacological basis for multimodal analgesia in chronic pain. Postgrad Med 2022;134(3):245-259.
- [111] Perrier JF, Alaburda A, Hounsgaard J. Spinal plasticity mediated by postsynaptic L-type Ca2+ channels. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 2002;40(1-3):223-229.
- [112] Petitjean H, Rodeau JL, Schlichter R. Interactions between superficial and deep dorsal horn spinal cord neurons in the processing of nociceptive information. Eur J Neurosci 2012;36(11):3500-3508.
- [113] Poisbeau P, Patte-Mensah C, Keller AF, Barrot M, Breton JD, Luis-Delgado OE, Freund-Mercier MJ, Mensah-Nyagan AG, Schlichter R. Inflammatory pain upregulates spinal inhibition via endogenous neurosteroid production. J Neurosci 2005;25(50):11768-11776.
- [114] Pouille F, Scanziani M. Routing of spike series by dynamic circuits in the hippocampus. Nature 2004;429(6993):717-723.
- [115] Price DD, Hu JW, Dubner R, Gracely RH. Peripheral suppression of first pain and central summation of second pain evoked by noxious heat pulses. Pain 1977;3(1):57-68.
- [116] Price DD, Hull CD, Buchwald NA. Intracellular responses of dorsal horn cells to cutaneous and sural nerve A and C fiber stimuli. Exp Neurol 1971;33(2):291-309.
- [117] Puente B, Nadal X, Portillo-Salido E, Sanchez-Arroyos R, Ovalle S, Palacios G, Muro A, Romero L, Entrena JM, Baeyens JM, Lopez-Garcia JA, Maldonado R, Zamanillo D, Vela JM. Sigma-1 receptors regulate activity-induced spinal sensitization and neuropathic pain after peripheral nerve injury. Pain 2009;145(3):294-303.
- [118] Puig S, Sorkin LS. Formalin-evoked activity in identified primary afferent fibers: systemic lidocaine suppresses phase-2 activity. Pain 1996;64(2):345-355.
- [119] Ratté S, Zhu Y, Lee KY, Prescott SA. Criticality and degeneracy in injury-induced changes in primary afferent excitability and the implications for neuropathic pain. Elife 2014;3:e02370.
- [120] Romero L, Merlos M, Vela JM. Antinociception by Sigma-1 Receptor Antagonists: Central and Peripheral Effects. Adv Pharmacol 2016;75:179-215.
- [121] Royer S, Zemelman BV, Losonczy A, Kim J, Chance F, Magee JC, Buzsaki G. Control of timing, rate and bursts of hippocampal place cells by dendritic and somatic inhibition. Nat Neurosci 2012;15(5):769-775.
- [122] Russo RE, Hounsgaard J. Short-term plasticity in turtle dorsal horn neurons mediated by L-type Ca2+ channels. Neuroscience 1994;61(2):191-197.
- [123] Saini A, Tucci M, Tampellini M, Maina D, Bouraouia K, Giuliano PL, Termine A, Castellano M, Campagna S, Laciura P, Berruti A. Circadian variation of breakthrough pain in cancer patients. Eur J Pain 2013;17(2):264-270.
- [124] Salio C, Merighi A, Bardoni R. GABAB receptors-mediated tonic inhibition of glutamate release from Abeta fibers in rat laminae III/IV of the spinal cord dorsal horn. Mol Pain 2017;13:1744806917710041.
- [125] Santos SF, Luz LL, Szucs P, Lima D, Derkach VA, Safronov BV. Transmission efficacy and plasticity in glutamatergic synapses formed by excitatory interneurons of the substantia gelatinosa in the rat spinal cord. PLoS One 2009;4(11):e8047.
- [126] Sawynok J. Adenosine receptor targets for pain. Neuroscience 2016;338:1-18.
- [127] Schlichter R, Keller AF, De Roo M, Breton JD, Inquimbert P, Poisbeau P. Fast nongenomic effects of steroids on synaptic transmission and role of endogenous neurosteroids in spinal pain pathways. J Mol Neurosci 2006;28(1):33-51.
- [128] Schouenborg J. Functional and topographical properties of field potentials evoked in rat dorsal horn by cutaneous C-fibre stimulation. J Physiol 1984;356:169-192.
- [129] Schouenborg J, Sjolund BH. Activity evoked by A- and C-afferent fibers in rat dorsal horn neurons and its relation to a flexion reflex. J Neurophysiol 1983;50(5):1108-1121.

- [130] Schuler V, Luscher C, Blanchet C, Klix N, Sansig G, Klebs K, Schmutz M, Heid J, Gentry C, Urban L, Fox A, Spooren W, Jaton AL, Vigouret J, Pozza M, Kelly PH, Mosbacher J, Froestl W, Kaslin E, Korn R, Bischoff S, Kaupmann K, van der Putten H, Bettler B. Epilepsy, hyperalgesia, impaired memory, and loss of pre- and postsynaptic GABA(B) responses in mice lacking GABA(B(1)). Neuron 2001;31(1):47-58.
- [131] Seagrove LC, Suzuki R, Dickenson AH. Electrophysiological characterisations of rat lamina I dorsal horn neurones and the involvement of excitatory amino acid receptors. Pain 2004;108(1-2):76-87.
- [132] Serra J, Campero M, Ochoa J, Bostock H. Activity-dependent slowing of conduction differentiates functional subtypes of C fibres innervating human skin. J Physiol 1999;515 (Pt 3)(Pt 3):799-811.
- [133] Shim B, Ringkamp M, Lambrinos GL, Hartke TV, Griffin JW, Meyer RA. Activity-dependent slowing of conduction velocity in uninjured L4 C fibers increases after an L5 spinal nerve injury in the rat. Pain 2007;128(1-2):40-51.
- [134] Smith TM, Lee D, Bradley K, McMahon SB. Methodology for quantifying excitability of identified projection neurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, specifically to study spinal cord stimulation paradigms. J Neurosci Methods 2020;330:108479.
- [135] Staley KJ, Proctor WR. Modulation of mammalian dendritic GABA(A) receptor function by the kinetics of Cl- and HCO3- transport. J Physiol 1999;519 Pt 3:693-712.
- [136] Staud R. Predictors of clinical pain intensity in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. Curr Pain Headache Rep 2005;9(5):316-321.
- [137] Staud R, Cannon RC, Mauderli AP, Robinson ME, Price DD, Vierck CJ, Jr. Temporal summation of pain from mechanical stimulation of muscle tissue in normal controls and subjects with fibromyalgia syndrome. Pain 2003;102(1-2):87-95.
- [138] Stiller CO, Cui JG, O'Connor WT, Brodin E, Meyerson BA, Linderoth B. Release of gammaaminobutyric acid in the dorsal horn and suppression of tactile allodynia by spinal cord stimulation in mononeuropathic rats. Neurosurgery 1996;39(2):367-374; discussion 374-365.
- [139] Sullivan SJ, Sdrulla AD. Excitatory and Inhibitory Neurons of the Spinal Cord Superficial Dorsal Horn Diverge in Their Somatosensory Responses and Plasticity in Vivo. J Neurosci 2022;42(10):1958-1973.
- [140] Suzuki R, Dickenson A. Spinal and supraspinal contributions to central sensitization in peripheral neuropathy. Neurosignals 2005;14(4):175-181.
- [141] Suzuki R, Hunt SP, Dickenson AH. The coding of noxious mechanical and thermal stimuli of deep dorsal horn neurones is attenuated in NK1 knockout mice. Neuropharmacology 2003;45(8):1093-1100.
- [142] Suzuki R, Morcuende S, Webber M, Hunt SP, Dickenson AH. Superficial NK1-expressing neurons control spinal excitability through activation of descending pathways. Nat Neurosci 2002;5(12):1319-1326.
- [143] Thalhammer JG, Raymond SA, Popitz-Bergez FA, Strichartz GR. Modality-dependent modulation of conduction by impulse activity in functionally characterized single cutaneous afferents in the rat. Somatosensory & motor research 1994;11(3):243-257.
- [144] Thompson SW, King AE, Woolf CJ. Activity-Dependent Changes in Rat Ventral Horn Neurons in vitro; Summation of Prolonged Afferent Evoked Postsynaptic Depolarizations Produce a d-2-Amino-5-Phosphonovaleric Acid Sensitive Windup. Eur J Neurosci 1990;2(7):638-649.
- [145] Tian L, Ji G, Wang C, Bai X, Lu Y, Xiong L. Excitatory synaptic transmission in the spinal substantia gelatinosa is under an inhibitory tone of endogenous adenosine. Neurosci Lett 2010;477(1):28-32.
- [146] Torebjork HE, Hallin RG. Responses in human A and C fibres to repeated electrical intradermal stimulation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1974;37(6):653-664.

- [147] Vierck CJ, Jr., Cannon RL, Fry G, Maixner W, Whitsel BL. Characteristics of temporal summation of second pain sensations elicited by brief contact of glabrous skin by a preheated thermode. J Neurophysiol 1997;78(2):992-1002.
- [148] Wan YH, Hu SJ. Short-term depression at primary afferent synapses in rat substantia gelatinosa region. Neuroreport 2003;14(2):197-200.
- [149] Wan YH, Jian Z, Wang WT, Xu H, Hu SJ, Ju G. Short-term plasticity at primary afferent synapse in rat spinal dorsal horn and its biological function. Neurosignals 2006;15(2):74-90.
- [150] Waxman SG, Cummins TR, Dib-Hajj S, Fjell J, Black JA. Sodium channels, excitability of primary sensory neurons, and the molecular basis of pain. Muscle & nerve 1999;22(9):1177-1187.
- [151] Woda A, Blanc O, Voisin DL, Coste J, Molat JL, Luccarini P. Bidirectional modulation of windup by NMDA receptors in the rat spinal trigeminal nucleus. Eur J Neurosci 2004;19(8):2009-2016.
- [152] Woolf CJ. Windup and central sensitization are not equivalent. Pain 1996;66(2-3):105-108.
- [153] Xu-Friedman MA, Regehr WG. Structural contributions to short-term synaptic plasticity. Physiol Rev 2004;84(1):69-85.
- [154] Xu XJ, Dalsgaard CJ, Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z. Spinal substance P and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors are coactivated in the induction of central sensitization of the nociceptive flexor reflex. Neuroscience 1992;51(3):641-648.
- [155] Yoon KW, Rothman SM. The modulation of rat hippocampal synaptic conductances by baclofen and gamma-aminobutyric acid. J Physiol 1991;442:377-390.
- [156] Yoshimura M. Slow synaptic transmission in the spinal dorsal horn. Prog Brain Res 1996;113:443-462.
- [157] Zemoura K, Ralvenius WT, Malherbe P, Benke D. The positive allosteric GABAB receptor modulator rac-BHFF enhances baclofen-mediated analgesia in neuropathic mice. Neuropharmacology 2016;108:172-178.
- [158] Zhang W, Schneider SP. Short-term modulation at synapses between neurons in laminae II-V of the rodent spinal dorsal horn. J Neurophysiol 2011;105(6):2920-2930.
- [159] Zucker RS, Regehr WG. Short-term synaptic plasticity. Annu Rev Physiol 2002;64:355-405.

Table 1. Frequency of action-potentials induced by nociceptive stimulations of C and A δ fibers.

These data correspond to single-unit recordings. Since responses are dynamic, the time window used to measure and calculate the frequency has a strong impact on the values. Therefore, this window is given in the column entitled *Phase of response for frequency measurement*. This window of frequency measurement does not necessarily encompasses the whole primary afferent response.

Table 2. Short-term plasticity of primary afferent connections on DH interneurons duringtrains of stimulation

Table 3. Short-term plasticity of inhibitory connections during trains of stimulation

Figure 1. Examples of short-term plasticities in the DH of the spinal cord.

A. Example of short-term plasticity at primary afferent synapses. When stimulated 4 times at

10 Hz, connections of A_β primary afferents on laminae III-IV neurons exhibit an activity-

dependent depression that is particularly pronounced for the second excitatory synaptic current. This depression results most likely from the recruitment of GABAergic interneurons by A β fibers. The GABA released activates presynaptic GABA_A receptors inhibiting the release of glutamate by the phenomenon of primary afferent depolarization. Modified after [16].

B. Example of short-term plasticity at glycinergic connections in lamina I. When paired stimulations were applied at these connections, the second glycinergic eIPSC was depressed with a maximal depression of about 50% for inter-stimulus intervals of 150-200 ms [25]. This depression involve a presynaptic inhibition by GABA_B receptors most likely activated by GABA released by the same synapse. Modified after [25].

C. Example of short-term plasticities at GABAergic connections in lamina II. In lamina II, GABAergic interneurons simultaneously contact excitatory and inhibitory interneurons. These connections display a target-specific short-term plasticity. Connections on excitatory interneurons display no plasticity at 5 Hz, and a strong summation at 50 Hz (top right trace) whereas connections onto inhibitory interneurons depress at 5 Hz (top left trace) and weakly potentiate at 50 Hz. After [24].

Type of fibers	Model	Stimulation	Action-Potential frequency	Phase of response for frequency	Reference
				measurement	
С	Human	pinpricks or heat (burning match)	50-60 Hz	Initial (First hundreds of ms)	[146]
С	Rat	acute subcutaneous injection	82.3 Hz	Initial	[118]
		of formalin in hind paw		(mean peak firing in the first 10 min, 100 ms time windows)	
С	Rat	calibrated noxious	ca. 20 Hz (4 N)	Initial	[62]
		mechanical simulation of tail	> 50 Hz (6 N)	(Peak of Instantaneous	
			> 100 Hz (8 N)	frequency at stimulation onset)	
С	Cat	Thermic stimulations at 50°C	Thermic stimulations at 50°C ca. 100 Hz Initial (Maximum discharge rate)		[40]
С	Cat	Mechanical stimulation	15 Hz (50 g)	Initial	[2]
			57 Hz (100 g)	(Peak at stimulus onset)	
			140 Hz (250 g)		
			170 Hz (500 g)		
С	Rat	noxious mechanical	> 5 Hz (25 g)	First second	[4]
		simulation of paw	> 10 Hz (50-100 g)		
С	Human	Mechanical	11 Hz	First second	[22]
C C	Human	Heat (50°C)	8 Hz	First second	[22]
С	Human	Cold (0°C)	4 Hz	First second	[22]
С	Rat	noxious mechanical	≥ 1 Hz	Late	[4]
		simulation of paw		(25 s after stimulation	
				onset)	
С	Rat	acute subcutaneous injection	3 Hz	Late	[118]
		of formalin in hind paw		(Average from first 150 s)	
С	Human	Mechanical	ca. 0 Hz	Late (at 5 s)	[22]
С	Human	Heat (50°C)	2 Hz	Late (at 5 s)	[22]
С	Human	Cold (0°C)	< 0.5 Hz	Late (at 30 s)	[22]
С	Rat	calibrated noxious	0.6 Hz (4 N)	Late (after 120 s)	[62]
		mechanical simulation of tail	0.9 Hz (6 N)		
			1.2 Hz (8 N)		
Αδ	Rat	acute subcutaneous injection	115 Hz	Initial	[118]
		of formalin in hind paw	(60 Hz glabrous skin	(mean peak firing in the	
			fibers, 143 Hz Hairy	first 10 min, 100 ms time	
			skin fibers)	windows)	
Αδ	Rat	calibrated noxious	ca. 20 Hz (4 N)	Initial (Peak of	[62]
		mechanical simulation of tail	> 50 Hz (6 N)	Instantaneous frequency	
			> 100 Hz (8 N)	at stimulation onset)	
۸ S	Dot	novious	ap []]= (25 =)	First second	[4]
Αδ	Rat	noxious mechanical	ca. 5 Hz (25 g)	First second	[4]
48	Dot	simulation of paw acute subcutaneous injection	ca. 15 Hz (50-100 g) ca. 2.5 Hz (glabrous	lata	[110]
Αδ	Rat		10	Late	[118]
		of formalin in hind paw	skin)	(Average from first 150 s)	
48	Dot	novious	ca. 6 Hz (hairy skin)	lata	[4]
Αδ	Rat	noxious mechanical	≥ 5 Hz (slowly	Late	[4]
		simulation of paw	adapting A δ fibers)	(25 s after stimulation	
			< 1 Hz (rapidly	onset)	
<u> </u>	Det		adapting fibers)	Lata	[(2)]
Αδ	Rat	calibrated noxious	ca. 5 Hz (at 4, 6, 8 N)	Late	[62]
		mechanical simulation of tail		(After 120 s)	

Table 1. Frequency of action-potentials induced by nociceptive stimulations of C and A δ fibers.

Model	Lamina	Post- synaptic neuron	Type of primary afferent fiber	Stimulation	Effect and mechanism	Reference
Ex-vivo spinal cord (postnatal rat)	1	Spino- parabrachial projection neuron	Muscle afferent (gastrocnemius nerve, sural nerve)	Electrical, 40 stimulations @ 1 Hz to 10 Hz	Measured on the 5 last synaptic-currents Depression of ca. 45% (1 Hz) 50% (2 Hz) 70% (5 Hz) 80% (10 Hz) Presynaptic mechanism	[89]
3 weeks old rats	II	"central cells" (by opposition with "limiting" cells)	Aδ-fibers	Electrical, 10 stimulations @ 0.5 Hz to 20 Hz	Measured in the 3 last synaptic-currents Depression ranging from ca. 20% (@0.5Hz) to 90 % (@20 Hz) Presynaptic mechanism not involving NMDA receptors	[148]
3 weeks old rats	III-V	Unknown	Aδ-fibers	Electrical, 10 stimulations @ 0.5 Hz to 20 Hz	Measured in the 3 last synaptic-currents Depression ranging from ca. 15% (@0.5Hz) to 75 % (@20 Hz) Presynaptic mechanism Presynaptic mechanism not involving GABA _A nor NMDA receptors.	[149]
3 weeks old rats	III-V	Unknown	mainly Aβ and possibly some Aδ fibers	Electrical, 4 stimulations @ 10 Hz	Depression of the 2 nd -4 th synaptic currents. Depression of the 2 nd synaptic current involved presynaptic inhibition by GABA _A receptors.	[16]
Adult rats	11	<mark>Unknown</mark> (field potential)	C-fibers	Electrical, 20-160 stimulations @ 0.1-20 Hz	Potentiation of field potential response for stimulations between 0.2- 10 Hz (maximum of 200% at 1-2 Hz)	[128]
<mark>Adult rats</mark>	V	Unknown (field potential)	<mark>C-fibers</mark>	Electrical, 20-160 stimulations @ 0.1 and 1 Hz	No plasticity of field potential response	[128]
Adult rats	III-IV	Unknown (field potential)	<mark>Αδ fibers</mark>	Electrical <u>,</u> 20-160 stimulations @ 0.1 and 1 Hz	No plasticity of field potential response	[128]

Table 2. Short-term plasticity of primary afferent connections on DH interneurons duringtrains of stimulation

Model	Lamina	Post- synaptic neuron	Type of transmission	Neurons stimulated and stimulation protocol	Effect and mechanism	Reference
Primary culture (postnatal rat)	I-III	Unknown	GABAergic	Electrical stimulation of laminae I-III GABAergic interneurons 8 stimulations @ 2.5 Hz repeated every 20 s	Depression during the trains involving GABA _B and A1 receptors	[66]
Adult GAD65- eGFP mice	II	Excitatory (eGFP-) Possibly transient central	GABAergic	Electrical stimulation of lamina II GABAergic interneurons, possibly islet cells 11 stimulations @ 5 Hz	No change in elPSCs amplitude	[24]
Adult GAD65- eGFP mice	II	Inhibitory (eGFP+) Possibly tonic central	GABAergic	repeated every 20 s Electrical stimulation of lamina II GABAergic interneurons, possibly islet cells 11 stimulations @ 5 Hz repeated every 20 s	Depression during the train, involving in part GABA _B receptors	[24]
1-2.5 week old Syrian hamsters	II-V	Unknown	GABAergic and/or glycinergic	Electrical stimulation of local inhibitory interneurons 10 stimulations @ 10 Hz	Potentiation or depression during the train	[158]
P3-P10- P21 rats	1-11	Unknown	GABAergic	Electrical stimulation of local GABAergic interneurons 40 stimulations @ 1 Hz, 5 Hz, 10 Hz, 20 Hz	Depression during the train	[68]
Adult GAD65- eGFP mice	II	Inhibitory (eGFP+)	GABAergic and/or glycinergic	Electrical stimulation of local inhibitory interneurons 12 stimulations at 20 Hz repeated every 20 s	train-to-train plasticity (the first train different from the next ones) depression or potentiation during the train	[84]
Adult GAD65- eGFP mice	II	Excitatory (eGFP-) Possibly transient central	GABAergic	Electrical stimulation of lamina II GABAergic interneurons, possibly islet cells 11 stimulations @ 50 Hz repeated every 20 s or 60 s	No change in eIPSCs amplitude, but large temporal summation. Involve strong activity dependent	[24]

					inhibition by A1
					receptors
Adult GAD65- eGFP mice	II	Inhibitory (eGFP+) Possibly tonic central	GABAergic	Electrical stimulation of lamina II GABAergic interneurons, possibly islet cells 11 stimulations @ 50 Hz repeated every 20 s or 60 s	the train. Involve

Table 3. Short-term plasticity of inhibitory connections during trains of stimulation