

The FIGNL1-FIRRM complex is required to complete meiotic recombination in the mouse and prevents massive DNA damage-independent RAD51 and DMC1 loading

Akbar Zainu, Pauline Dupaigne, Soumya Bouchouika, Julien Cau, Julie Clément, Pauline Auffret, Virginie Ropars, Jean-Baptiste Charbonnier, Bernard de Massy, Rajeev Kumar, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Akbar Zainu, Pauline Dupaigne, Soumya Bouchouika, Julien Cau, Julie Clément, et al.. The FIGNL1-FIRRM complex is required to complete meiotic recombination in the mouse and prevents massive DNA damage-independent RAD51 and DMC1 loading. 2023. hal-04237021

HAL Id: hal-04237021 https://hal.science/hal-04237021

Preprint submitted on 11 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	The FIGNL1-FIRRM complex is required to complete meiotic recombination in the mouse and
3	prevents massive DNA damage-independent RAD51 and DMC1 loading
4	
5	Akbar Zainu ¹ , Pauline Dupaigne ² , Soumya Bouchouika ^{1,3} , Julien Cau ⁴ , Julie A. J. Clément ⁵ , Pauline
6	Auffret ^{1,6} , Virginie Ropars ⁷ , Jean-Baptiste Charbonnier ⁷ , Bernard de Massy ¹ , Rajeev Kumar ⁸ , Frédéric
7	Baudat ⁹
8	
9	
10	¹ Institut de Génétique Humaine, University of Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France.
11	² Genome Integrity and Cancers UMR9019 CNRS, Université Paris- Saclay, Gustave Roussy, F-94805
12	Villejuif Cedex, France.
13	³ Present address: Institut de Génétique Moléculaire de Montpellier, CNRS-UMR 5535, Univ
14	Montpellier, Montpellier, France.
15	⁴ Biocampus Montpellier, University of Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM, Montpellier, France
16	⁵ IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Perpignan, France
17	⁶ Present address: Ifremer, IRSI, Service de Bioinformatique (SeBiMER), Plouzané, France.
18	⁷ Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette,
19	France.
20	⁸ Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, INRA, AgroParisTech, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 78000 Versailles,
21	France.
22	⁹ Institut de Génétique Humaine, University of Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France.
23	frederic.baudat@igh.cnrs.fr
24	
25	Running title: FIGNL1-FIRRM in mouse meiosis
26	
27	Keywords: meiosis; homologous recombination; FIGNL1; FIRRM; RAD51; DMC1
28	
29	
30	
31	

32 Abstract

33

34 During meiosis, nucleoprotein filaments of the strand exchange proteins RAD51 and DMC1 are crucial for repairing SPO11-generated DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) by homologous recombination (HR). 35 36 A balanced activity of positive and negative RAD51/DMC1 regulators ensures proper recombination. 37 Fidgetin-like 1 (FIGNL1) was previously shown to negatively regulates RAD51 in human cells. However, 38 FIGNL1's role during meiotic recombination in mammals remains unknown. Here, we deciphered the meiotic functions of FIGNL1 and of FIGNL1 interacting regulator of recombination and mitosis (FIRRM) 39 40 using male germline-specific conditional knock-out (cKO) mouse models. Both FIGNL1 and FIRRM are required for completing meiotic prophase in mouse spermatocytes. Despite efficient recruitment of 41 42 DMC1 on ssDNA at meiotic DSB hotspots, the formation of late recombination intermediates is defective in Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes. Moreover, the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex limits 43 44 RAD51 and DMC1 accumulation on intact chromatin, independently from the formation of SPO11-45 catalyzed DSBs. Purified human FIGNL1 Δ N alters the RAD51/DMC1 nucleoprotein filament structure 46 and inhibits strand invasion in vitro. Thus, this complex might regulate RAD51 and DMC1 association 47 at sites of meiotic DSBs to promote proficient strand invasion and processing of recombination 48 intermediates.

49

50 Introduction

51

52 Meiosis ensures the accurate reduction of chromosome numbers in gametes during sexual reproduction. Erroneous meiosis results in sterility or fertility defects owing to aberrant gametes 53 formation. During meiosis, homologous chromosomes (homologs) undergo pairing, synapsis, and 54 55 recombination. Homologous recombination (HR) is crucial for crossover (CO) formation between 56 homologs to ensure their balanced segregation during meiosis, and for promoting pairing and synapsis of homologs in some organisms including mammals ¹⁻³. HR is initiated by genome-wide SPO11-57 dependent DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) formation ⁴. SPO11 is subsequently released from DSB 58 59 sites as SPO11-oligonucleotide complex by resection machinery giving rise to 3' single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) overhangs ^{5,6}. The heterotrimeric complex of Replication Protein A (RPA) binds to and protects 60 the ssDNA overhangs from nucleolytic degradation. Two eukaryotic RecA-like strand-exchange 61 proteins, RAD51 and its meiosis-specific paralog DMC1, replace RPA on ssDNA with the help of the 62 mediator protein BRCA2 ^{7,8}. Both strand exchange proteins can catalyze homology search and strand 63 64 exchange through invasion on an intact template, leading to formation of a joint molecule termed

displacement loop (D-loop)⁹. The invading end primes DNA synthesis that requires the dissociation of 65 66 RAD51/DMC1 from double-strand DNA (dsDNA) within the D-loop. After D-loop formation, meiotic 67 DSB repair can produce a non-crossover (NCO), or a CO by two alternative pathways that coexist in many organisms². In mice, the meiosis-specific class I CO pathway generates 90% of COs and is 68 dependent on a set of proteins referred to as ZMM proteins (including the MSH4-MSH5 complex and 69 TEX11) ^{10,11} and the MutL homologs MLH1-MLH3. Mouse MSH4 and MSH5 are essential to repair most 70 if not all meiotic DSBs ^{2,12–14}. The class II COs (~10% of COs in the mouse) depend on structure-specific 71 72 endonucleases².

Both RAD51 and DMC1 form foci colocalizing extensively at DSB sites ^{15,16} and are proposed to 73 74 assemble into side-by-side homo-filaments on ssDNA tails, with RAD51 at the DSB-distal region and DMC1 polymerizing on the 3', DSB-proximal region ^{9,17,18}. DMC1 is likely the main catalyzer of meiotic 75 76 interhomolog recombination in most eukaryotes, while RAD51 plays crucial non-catalytic accessory 77 roles ^{18–20}. RAD51 is the sole strand exchange protein during mitotic recombination and also plays a 78 strand exchange activity-independent role in the replication fork protection that might rely on its 79 dsDNA-binding capacity ^{21–26}. Besides this specific function, inactive filaments of RAD51 and DMC1 on dsDNA are likely toxic and are actively prevented ²⁷. Members of the Swi2/Snf2-related RAD54 80 translocase family ²⁸ prevent the accumulation of RAD51 on dsDNA in human cells ²⁹, and of Rad51 and 81 82 Dmc1 in S. cerevisiae ^{30,31}. In S. cerevisiae, Rad54 and its paralog Rdh54 promote strand invasion, and remove RAD51/DMC1 from dsDNA following D-loop formation ^{28,32}. In mouse, RAD54 and its paralog 83 RAD54B are not essential for meiotic recombination, because the Rad54 Rad54b double mutant mice 84 are fertile ^{33,34}. Many proteins regulate RAD51/DMC1 nucleofilament formation positively and 85 negatively. Positive factors are required to form stable and active RAD51-ssDNA filaments ^{7,8}. One of 86 87 them is the Shu complex that comprises in mammals the distant RAD51 paralog SWSAP1, the SWIMdomain containing SWS1 and SPIDR ^{35–39}. It promotes the formation of stable RAD51 filaments and HR 88 associated with replication, is important for assembling stable RAD51 and DMC1 filaments during 89 90 meiotic recombination in the mouse, but is not essential for viability ^{36,37,40–42}. The SWSAP1-SWS1-SPIDR complex might promote specifically the stable assembly of longer RAD51 nucleoprotein 91 filaments involved in some HR types, especially interhomolog HR ^{36,37}. 92

FIGNL1 (fidgetin-like 1) forms an evolutionary conserved complex with FIRRM (FIGNL1 interacting regulator of recombination and mitosis) that interacts with RAD51 and DMC1 ^{43–46}. In Arabidopsis and rice meiosis, FIGNL1 and FIRRM homologs regulate negatively the dynamics of RAD51 and DMC1 foci and limit the formation of class II crossovers ^{44,45,47–49}. Arabidopsis *figl1* (*Figl1* homolog) and *flip* (*Firrm* homolog) mutants are fertile with all meiotic DSBs repaired ^{44,47,50}. Conversely, unrepaired DSBs persist in rice *fignl1* and *meica* (*Firrm* homolog) mutants, leading to chromosome fragmentation and sterility ^{48,49}. The regulation of RAD51/DMC1 focus formation in Arabidopsis somatic and meiotic cells involves an antagonistic interplay between BRCA2 and FIGL1, consistent with FIGL1 acting as a negative
 regulator of RAD51/DMC1 filament ⁵¹. In human cells, a similar antagonistic mechanism was found
 between the SWSAP1-SWS1-SPIDR complex and FIGNL1, which interacts with SWSAP1 ⁴¹ and SPIDR ⁴³.
 Indeed, FIGNL1 depletion relieves the dependency on SWSAP1 and SWS1 for forming RAD51 repair
 foci ⁴¹. Moreover, purified human SWSAP1 protects RAD51-ssDNA filament from dissociation
 promoted by FIGNL1 *in vitro* ⁴¹. However, the role of FIGNL1 and FIRRM remains unknown during
 meiotic recombination in mammals.

107

108 In this study, we investigated the role of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex in meiotic recombination by 109 analyzing germ line-specific mouse conditional knock-out models for both genes. The depletion of FIGNL1 or FIRRM in mouse spermatocytes results in meiotic DSB repair failure and no full synapsis 110 111 between homologs during meiotic prophase I, leading to prophase I arrest and apoptosis. Surprisingly, Fignl1 cKO and Firrm cKO spermatocytes also show an abundant DSB-independent accumulation of 112 113 RAD51 and DMC1 on chromatin and meiotic chromosome axes during premeiotic replication and early 114 meiotic prophase stages. This indicates that the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex prevents the formation of 115 stable inactive RAD51 and DMC1 filament, presumably on intact dsDNA, in mouse spermatocyte nuclei. 116

117

118 **Results**

119

120 FIGNL1 and FIRRM are required for meiotic prophase completion in the mouse male germline

121 We wanted to determine the roles of FIGNL1 and its putative partner FIRRM (also called BC055324) 122 during meiosis. As both genes are essential for mouse viability (IMPC, https://www.mousephenotype.org/), we generated cKO lines with Cre expression under the control 123 124 of the Stra8 promoter ⁵² to ablate Firrm or/and Fignl1 in the male germline shortly before meiosis 125 onset (Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO, Extended Data Fig. 1a-b). Testis weight was similarly reduced in Firrm cKO, Fignl1 cKO, and Firrm-Fignl1 double cKO mice compared with wild-type controls (Fig. 1a). Analysis 126 127 of testis sections from adult Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO animals showed the presence of seminiferous 128 tubules with Sertoli cells, spermatogonia and spermatocytes, but absence of haploid cells (spermatids), 129 suggesting a prophase I arrest (Fig. 1b). The presence of some tubules with a small number of round 130 spermatids and of few tubules with many round and elongated spermatids, like in controls, suggested incomplete Cre-mediated excision, as described in other conditional mouse mutants obtained with this 131 132 Stra8-Cre transgene ^{53–55}. In testes from 12-day post-partum (12 dpp) Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO mice, FIRRM and FIGNL1 protein expression levels in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were greatly and 133

similarly reduced compared to controls (Fig. 1c). This suggests that they reciprocally regulate their 134 135 stability, which is consistent with forming a complex. The residual protein level might result from 136 expression in non-meiotic cells (spermatogonia or somatic cells) and/or from incomplete Cre-induced 137 gene deletion in a fraction of spermatocytes (see above). Conversely, RAD51 expression in the nuclear fraction was increased in Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO testes, suggesting that the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex 138 might be implicated in limiting directly or indirectly nuclear accumulation of RAD51 (but not of DMC1). 139 140 This might have significant consequences, because RAD51 nuclear level is suggested play a role in HR regulation ⁵⁶. 141

142

143 The synaptonemal complex (SC), a tripartite proteinaceous structure, links the axes of homologous 144 chromosomes during meiotic prophase. To analyze if synapsis was impaired in Firrm cKO and Fignl1 145 cKO, we stained surface-spread spermatocyte nuclei with antibodies against SYCP3, a component of 146 meiotic chromosome axes, and SYCP1, a protein of the SC central element (Fig. 1d). Firrm cKO and 147 Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes formed apparently normal meiotic chromosome axes (leptotene stage), 148 suggesting a normal meiotic prophase entry. However, most nuclei showed unsynapsed or partially 149 synapsed axes, indicating accumulation of zygotene-like cells. The small fraction of Fignl1 cKO and 150 Firrm cKO spermatocytes that progressed toward normal-looking pachytene with all chromosomes 151 pairs fully synapsed and diplotene with desynapsed chromosomes might be explained by incomplete 152 Cre-mediated excision in these cells (Fig. 1e). We followed prophase I progression during the first wave 153 of meiosis in Firrm cKO, from 12 dpp to 18 dpp. We detected a deficit in more advanced stages already 154 in 12 dpp Firrm cKO spermatocytes. At 16 and 18 dpp, most nuclei were arrested at a zygotene-like 155 stage, and the percentage of nuclei at the pachytene and diplotene stages was strongly reduced (at 18 156 dpp, 78% of control versus 15% of *Firrm* cKO nuclei). Approximately 30% of *Firrm* cKO prophase I nuclei 157 displayed an abnormal zygotene/pachytene-like pattern, with non-homologous synapsis and only few 158 synapsed chromosome axes (Fig. 1d, middle panel). These findings in 12 dpp to 18 dpp spermatocytes 159 are suggestive of an arrest in early pachytene and a defect in homologous synapsis. Adult Fignl1 cKO 160 animals displayed a similar deficit in pachytene-diplotene spermatocytes (Fig. 1e), consistent with the 161 hypothesis that FIGNL1 and FIRRM act together.

162

163 The formation and initial processing of meiotic DSBs are unaffected in *Firrm* cKO and *Fignl1* cKO 164 spermatocytes

165 This synapsis defect associated with a mid-prophase arrest might result from defective recombination 166 initiation (e.g. $Spo11^{-/-57,58}$) or defective repair of meiotic DSBs (e.g. $Dmc1^{-/-59,60}$)³. To determine 167 whether DSB formation was altered by FIRRM or FIGNL1 depletion, we quantified phosphorylated 168 H2AX (yH2AX) that decorates chromatin in a DSB-specific manner at leptotene⁶¹. The yH2AX signal 169 intensity in the nucleus was not different in control and *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes from pre-leptotene 170 (stage of pre-meiotic replication) to leptotene (Fig. 2a-b). RPA2, a subunit of RPA, is involved in DNA 171 replication and HR and forms multiple foci at replication forks in preleptotene spermatocytes, and along chromosome axes at sites of recombination intermediates from leptonema to pachynema ^{53,62,63}. 172 RPA2 foci displayed the same kinetics in Firrm cKO, Fignl1 cKO, and control spermatocytes (Fig. 2c-d), 173 174 except for a trend toward an increase in early zygonema (by 1.3- and 1.4-fold for Firrm cKO and Fignl1 175 cKO, respectively). Thus, the first steps of meiotic recombination (DSB formation and RPA recruitment 176 on resected ssDNA ends) were not affected by the absence of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex.

177

178 The recruitment of RAD51 and DMC1 on meiotic chromatin strongly increases in the absence of 179 FIGNL1 or FIRRM

180 In mouse spermatocytes, RAD51 and DMC1 foci extensively colocalize on meiotic chromosome axes (on-axis foci) from leptotene to pachytene stage, particularly in zygotene ^{15,16,62}. Compared with 181 182 controls, RAD51 and DMC1 signal intensity and foci pattern and kinetics were strikingly different in 183 Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes (Fig. 3a-c; Extended Data Fig. 2a). First, RAD51 (but not DMC1) 184 formed many foci at preleptotene, during premeiotic replication. Second, the mean number of RAD51 and DMC1 on-axis foci was significantly higher in Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO than in control 185 186 spermatocytes at every stage, from early leptotene to zygotene. Third, in cKO spermatocytes, many 187 RAD51 and DMC1 foci were located away from the chromosome axes (off-axis foci). The number of 188 off-axis foci was highest during leptotene and progressively decreased during zygotene. Fourth, in cKO 189 spermatocytes, RAD51 and DMC1 staining formed continuous lines, at our resolution limit, along the 190 synaptonemal complex segments in zygotene-like nuclei. This did not allow counting RAD51 and DMC1 191 foci in late zygotene-like nuclei with extensive synapsis. Overall, these observations are consistent with 192 a role of FIRRM and FIGNL1 in limiting RAD51 and DMC1 loading on chromatin in spermatocyte nuclei. 193 We describe these different features in the following sections.

194

195 Post-strand invasion recombination foci are strongly reduced in the absence of FIRRM

196 The efficient recruitment of RAD51 and DMC1 prompted us to examine MSH4 and TEX11, two meiotic 197 stabilizing post-strand invasion recombination intermediate ZMM proteins ¹¹, which form foci on SC from zygotene to mid-pachytene ^{3,62,64}. The number of MSH4 and TEX11 foci was strongly reduced in 198 199 late zygotene-like Firrm cKO nuclei compared with control (Fig. 3d, Extended Data Fig. 2b). To 200 normalize differences in SC extension among genotypes, we measured the density of MSH4 foci per 201 µm of SC length. MSH4 focus density was reduced by 2.5-fold in Firrm cKO compared with control 202 spermatocytes (Fig. 3e, Extended Data Fig. 2c), although the number of MSH4 foci was higher than in Spo11^{YF/YF} nuclei (without DSB-inducing activity). Thus, FIRRM is required for TEX11 and MSH4 focus 203

204 formation during mouse meiotic recombination. The residual MSH4 foci might result from MSH4 205 binding to a small fraction of normal or aberrant recombination intermediates formed in the absence 206 of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex. Alternatively, we cannot exclude the persistence of a small amount of 207 FIRRM protein in Firrm cKO spermatocytes, sufficient for recruiting MSH4 to few recombination 208 intermediates. Thus, despite the increased recruitment of RAD51 and DMC1 on chromosome axes, the 209 processing of recombination intermediates was defective in *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes, suggesting a 210 function of FIGNL1-FIRRM at a step likely before recombination intermediate stabilization by MSH4-211 MSH5.

212

In *Firrm* cKO and *Fignl1* cKO preleptotene spermatocytes, RAD51 is recruited on chromatin during premeiotic replication

215 RPA2 forms many foci at ongoing replication forks in preleptotene nuclei (Fig. 3f-g). The kinetics of 216 RPA2 focus formation was similar in control, Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes, and few foci 217 remained in early leptotene stage. This suggests that premeiotic replication was completed without 218 major alteration (Fig. 2d; Extended Data Fig. 2d). As RAD51 is involved in protecting stalled replication 219 forks ⁶⁵, we hypothesized that RAD51 might colocalize with RPA during premeiotic replication in *Firrm* 220 cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes. We measured the colocalization of RAD51 and RPA2 in 221 preleptotene spermatocytes and compared these data with the colocalization of randomly distributed 222 foci obtained from simulations (see Methods; Fig. 3h-i; Extended Data Fig. 2e). In Fignl1 cKO, 17% of 223 RAD51 foci colocalized with RPA2 foci compared with 9% of randomly generated RAD51 foci (p 224 <0.0001; two-tailed Wilcoxon test), suggesting that a fraction of RAD51 foci localizes at replication 225 forks. However, the majority of identified RAD51 foci was not coincided with RPA2 foci, suggesting that 226 a larger fraction of RAD51 foci may not localize at replication forks. We cannot exclude that both RAD51 227 and RPA localize at forks in an exclusive manner, and that RAD51 binding excludes RPA. However, 228 because of the large number of RAD51 foci that persisted at the end of premeiotic replication and the 229 absence of obvious gross replication defects, we hypothesize that RAD51 colocalizes transiently with 230 RPA at replication forks. It then remains in place, likely on intact DNA, while the forks progress and 231 move away. DMC1 foci were rare in most *Firrm* cKO and *Fignl1* cKO preleptotene spermatocytes (Fig. 232 3c), likely because meiosis-specific DMC1 production is still low at preleptotene stage.

233

In meiosis, RAD51 and DMC1 colocalization throughout the meiotic prophase reflects their cooperation at resected DSB ends ^{15–18,66}. In *Firrm* cKO and *Fignl1* cKO, RAD51 foci started to form earlier and their number was higher in early leptotene compared with DMC1 foci (Fig. 3b-c). We examined the colocalization of on-axis RAD51 and DMC1 foci from early leptotene (in *Firrm* cKO) and leptotene (in control) to mid-zygotene stage, in nuclei containing at least 10 foci for each protein (Fig.

4a-c; Extended Data Fig. 3a). As expected, on-axis RAD51 foci, the number of which was higher, 239 240 colocalized less frequently with DMC1 foci in Firrm cKO than in control spermatocytes, especially at 241 earlier stages (Fig. 4b). Conversely, more or similar percentages of on-axis DMC1 foci colocalized with 242 on-axis RD51 foci in *Firrm* cKO and control spermatocytes at every stage, with a maximum in early 243 leptotene (~70%). Off-axis foci in Firrm cKO displayed the same trend, with a very high percentage of 244 DMC1 foci that colocalized with RAD51 foci at earlier stages (Extended Data Fig. 3b-e). Altogether, 245 these observations indicate that in the absence of FIRRM, off-and on-axis RAD51 foci assemble 246 independently of DMC1 foci in preleptotene and early prophase spermatocytes. Moreover, detectable 247 DMC1 foci might form by joining pre-existing RAD51 foci, or by co-assembling *de novo* RAD51-DMC1 248 foci in Firrm cKO spermatocytes.

249

RAD51 and DMC1 form parallel linear structures along the synaptonemal complex in the absence of FIRRM

252 To refine the characterization of RAD51 and DMC1 distribution in *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes, we 253 visualized RAD51, DMC1 and SYCP3 using super-resolution stimulated emission depletion (STED) 254 microscopy (Fig. 4d-e). In leptotene and zygotene control spermatocytes, RAD51 and DMC1 formed 255 partially overlapping co-foci along the unsynapsed axes and SC segments. RAD51 was more often 256 closer to the chromosome axis than DMC1, as described previously ^{18,66}. In *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes, 257 the patterns of RAD51 and DMC1 staining were more heterogeneous. A first type of RAD51-DMC1 co-258 foci was similar to control foci, but RAD51 signal tended to be more extended compared with DMC1 259 (Fig. 4d, compare control insets with the two upper panels of *Firrm* cKO insets). Second, some co-foci 260 formed longer structures anchored to the chromosome axis, a pattern expected if they were extending 261 along chromatin fibers (middle panels of the inset). Thus, the localization patterns of these two types 262 of foci are compatible with RAD51/DMC1 filaments bound to chromatin fibers at DSB sites or/and 263 dsDNA. In addition, at some sites, RAD51 and DMC1 followed the unsynapsed axes, often filling gaps 264 with little or no SYCP3 signal between more heavily SYCP3-stained axis segments (bottom panels of 265 the insets). Lastly, in Firrm cKO zygotene-like nuclei with some synapses, RAD51 and DMC1 formed 266 two parallel lines separated by ~100 nm along SC segments, between the lateral elements (axes) 267 visualized by ~210 nm apart SYCP3 signal (Fig. 4e-f). The intensity of these lines was irregular with 268 interruptions, and interspersed with more intense foci. These observations suggest a highly aberrant 269 patterning of RAD51 and DMC1 on meiotic chromatin and on meiotic chromosome axes in the absence 270 of FIGNL1 and FIRRM activity.

271

272 Accumulation of RAD51 and DMC1 foci in *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes is meiotic DSB-independent

273 In Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes, RAD51 and DMC1 displayed an unusual pattern that 274 included an increased number of foci, many off-axis foci, and linear staining along chromosome axes. This was different from the expected discrete DSB repair foci on chromosome axes ^{16,62}, raising the 275 question of whether in these cKO models, RAD51 and DMC1 recruitment requires SPO11-generated 276 277 DSBs. Thus, we generated Spo11^{YF/YF} Firrm cKO double mutants in which SPO11 is catalytically dead ⁶⁷. The low early prophase yH2AX staining and the absence of RPA2 foci confirmed the absence of DSBs 278 279 in these animals (Extended Data Fig. 4a-b). Strikingly, we detected large numbers of on-axis and offaxis RAD51 and DMC1 foci in Firrm cKO and in Spo11^{YF/YF} Firrm cKO spermatocytes, and only 280 background signal in *Spo11*^{YF/YF} spermatocytes (as expected) (Fig. 5a). Overall, the pattern of RAD51 281 282 and DMC1 in *Firrm* cKO and *Spo11*^{YF/YF} *Firrm* cKO were similar: a large number of on-axis foci detected 283 from early prophase that persisted through zygotene, RAD51 foci formed during preleptotene stage, 284 and both RAD51 and DMC1 off-axis foci progressively disappeared from leptotene to zygotene (Fig. 5b-c; Extended Data Fig. 5a-b). Moreover, RAD51 and DMC1 association, measured as the 285 colocalization of on-axis foci, was similar in *Firrm* cKO and *Spo11*^{YF/YF} *Firrm* cKO, indicating that their 286 287 association is DNA damage-independent (Extended Data Fig. 5c-f).

288

289 DMC1 is recruited to DSB sites in the absence of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex

290 The abundance of DSB-independent RAD51 and DMC1 foci raises the question of whether there is any 291 recruitment at meiotic DSB sites in the absence of FIRRM or FIGNL1. Therefore, we determined the 292 colocalization of on-axis DMC1 and RPA2 foci, used as a marker of a subset of the DSBs, in Firrm cKO 293 and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes. The number of on-axis DMC1-RPA2 co-foci (corrected for random 294 colocalization) in spermatocytes followed the kinetics of RPA2 foci in all genotypes (Extended Data Fig. 295 6a-d, compare with Fig. 2d). In Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes, the percentage of on-axis 296 RPA2 foci that colocalized with DMC1 was similar to control spermatocytes in leptotene and tended to 297 be higher in mid-zygotene, possibly indicative of the accumulation of unrepaired HR intermediates (Fig. 298 6a; Extended Data Fig. 6e). The lower percentage of on-axis DMC1 foci that colocalized with RPA2 in 299 cKO spermatocytes compared with control might be explained by the excess of DSB-independent 300 DMC1 foci (Fig. 6b; Extended Data Fig. 6f). We obtained similar results also for on-axis RPA2-RAD51 301 co-foci in Fign11 cKO (Fig. 7e; Extended Data Fig. 8d). These findings suggest that the efficiency of 302 RAD51 and DMC1 recruitment at sites of meiotic DSBs is not affected by FIRRM and FIGNL1 absence.

303

To assess directly DMC1 recruitment at SPO11-dependent DSB hotspots, we investigated the genomewide distribution of DMC1-bound ssDNA by chromatin-immunoprecipitation (ChIP), followed by ssDNA enrichment (DMC1-Single Strand DNA Sequencing, SSDS) ⁶⁸ in testes from 12-dpp control and *Firrm* cKO mice. In control mice, the regions enriched in DMC1-bound ssDNA are the ssDNA 3'overhangs that

result from DSB resection at meiotic DSB hotspots ⁶⁹. We detected 9,907 peaks in control and 7,397 308 309 peaks in Firrm cKO spermatocytes (Fig. 6c). Most of these peaks (6,614) were shared. Peaks called 310 specifically in one genotype or the other were most likely shared weakly active hotspots, as inferred 311 from their weak enrichment in both genotypes (Extended Data Fig. 7b). Most of the detected DMC1-312 SSDS peaks (9,297 out of 10,690) overlapped with previously identified meiotic SPO11-oligonucleotide DSB hotspots (SPO11-oligo hotspots, Extended Data Fig. 7a) ⁷⁰. Moreover, the DMC1-SSDS signal 313 314 enrichment within peaks was highly correlated in control and Firrm cKO samples (Spearman's 315 rho=0.92; Fig. 6d) with the exception of X chromosome hotspots, which were relatively less enriched 316 in Firrm cKO than in control samples (Extended Data Fig. 7d). One possible explanation for this could 317 be a genome-wide accumulation of HR intermediates in Firrm cKO that would erase the X chromosome-specific higher DMC1-SSDS enrichment due to delayed DSB repair ^{18,70,71}. Overall, this 318 319 indicates that the recruitment of DMC1 on ssDNA at SPO11-dependent DSB hotspots was efficient, 320 with relative hotspot intensities comparable to wild-type meiosis.

321

322 We then asked whether FIRRM depletion alters DMC1 extension on resected DSB ends at DSB 323 hotspots. To characterize precisely the DMC1-SSDS signal distribution across DSB hotspots, we defined 324 the center of overlapping SPO11-oligo hotspots as the center of our DMC1-SSDS peaks ⁷⁰. This 325 improved significantly the quality of the average DMC1-SSDS signal profile, revealing a non-identical 326 distribution in control and Firrm cKO (Extended Data Fig. 7b-c). Especially, we clearly observed a 327 shoulder in the region of the curve surrounding the summit in control samples, as reported before, suggestive of a control mechanism ensuring a minimal DMC1 nucleoprotein filament length ^{18,70}. This 328 329 shoulder was strongly reduced in Firrm cKO testes (Fig. 6e, Extended Data Fig. 7c). To improve the 330 profile comparison, we normalized the overall signal intensity within common peaks in control and 331 Firrm cKO samples and plotted the strand-specific average profiles of the normalized DMC1-SSDS 332 signals (Fig. 6f). This confirmed that in *Firrm* cKO samples, DMC1-SSDS intensity started to progressively 333 decrease immediately next to the narrow peaks that marked the 3' end of the ssDNA tails. This profile 334 alteration was not dependent on the hotspot strength (Extended Data Fig. 7e). We also detected the 335 same alteration at X chromosome hotspots, suggesting that this was not just a consequence of delayed 336 DSB repair (Extended Data Fig. 7d). Moreover, the tail of DMC1 distribution extended a little further 337 away. This wider distribution might be explained by more frequent longer DMC1 filaments, or by 338 increased deposition of short DMC1 patches spread throughout the ssDNA tail. Altogether, the altered profile in Firrm cKO spermatocytes suggests that DMC1 recruitment at DSB sites remains efficient on 339 340 a short DSB-proximal interval close to the 3' end of ssDNA tails independently of FIRRM, but that the 341 mechanism controlling the DMC1 filament length requires FIRRM for full efficiency. One possible 342 scenario is that the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex controls the balance between DMC1 and RAD51 loading

343 on ssDNA. Alternatively, we cannot exclude that the extent of DSB resection is altered.

344

345 *Firrm* cKO is epistatic to *Swsap1* for controlling RAD51 and DMC1 loading

In mouse meiosis, the Shu complex component SWSAP1 is required for the assembly of normal 346 numbers RAD51 and DMC1 foci, which are 2- to 3-fold fewer in Swsap1^{-/-} than in wild-type leptotene-347 348 zygotene spermatocytes ^{40,41}. FIGNL1 depletion suppresses the defect of human SWSAP1-depleted cells in forming DNA damage-induced RAD51 foci, suggesting that SWSAP1antagonizes the anti-RAD51 349 activity of FIGNL1⁴¹. We generated Swsap1^{-/-} Firrm cKO and Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1 cKO double mutant mice 350 351 to determine if the defect in forming meiotic RAD51 and DMC1 foci in Swsap1^{-/-} spermatocytes is similarly dependent on FIGNL1-FIRRM. We found that synapsis was defective and meiosis did not 352 353 progress further than the zygotene-like stage with partial, partly non-homologous synapses in Swsap1⁻ 354 ⁷⁻ Firrm cKO and Swsap1⁻⁷⁻ Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes, like in Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO single mutants. 355 A small subset of nuclei progressed to pachynema, as observed for Swsap1^{-/-} spermatocytes, most likely 356 due to incomplete Firrm or Fignl1 deletion. The double mutant spermatocytes accumulated RAD51 357 and DMC1, like Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes (Fig. 7a-d, Extended Data Fig. 8a-c). However, 358 because RAD51 and DMC1 accumulation in *Firrm* cKO and *Fignl1* cKO spermatocytes was essentially 359 DSB-independent, this finding did not allow determining whether *Firrm* or *Fignl1* cKO relieves RAD51 360 and DMC1 recruitment at DSBs from SWSAP1 dependency. As a proxy for their localization at DSB sites, we measured RAD51 and DMC1 colocalization with RPA2 in *Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1* cKO spermatocytes. The 361 fraction (Fig. 7e-f) of on-axis RPA2 foci colocalized with RAD51 and DMC1 was similar in control, in 362 Fignl1 cKO, and in Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes (measured in one mouse per genotype). 363 364 Reciprocally, equivalent numbers and fractions of on-axis RAD51 or DMC1 foci colocalized with RPA2 in Fignl1 cKO and in Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes (Extended Data Fig. 8d-e and 8f-g, 365 respectively). Although the Swsap1^{-/-} single mutant was missing in this experiment, the number of on-366 367 axis RAD51 or DMC1 foci colocalized with RPA2 exceeded the total number of RAD51 or DMC1 foci reported in leptotene-zygotene Swsap1^{-/-} spermatocytes ^{40,41}. This suggests that the formation of 368 369 detectable RAD51 and DMC1 foci at meiotic DSB sites might be independent of SWSAP1 in Fignl1 cKO 370 spermatocytes.

371

FIGNL1 perturbs the structure of RAD51/DMC1 nucleoprotein filaments and inhibits RAD51- and DMC1-mediated D-loop formation *in vitro*

To determine the HR step(s) in which FIGNL1-FIRRM might be involved, we examined *in vitro* the effect of adding FIGNL1 on the assembly and stability of RAD51 and DMC1 nucleofilaments, and on their subsequent strand invasion activity. We incubated preformed RAD51 or DMC1 filaments assembled 377 on a 400 nucleotide (nt) ssDNA or a 400 bp dsDNA with purified human FIGNL1ΔN (Extended Data Fig. 378 9a). FIGNL1ΔN did not promote RAD51 and DMC1 displacement from DNA (electrophoretic mobility 379 shift assay in Fig. 8a-b, pre-formed nucleofilament), but induced the formation of a higher molecular weight complex, suggesting that FIGNL1ΔN binds to RAD51/DMC1-DNA filaments. When we mixed 380 381 FIGNL1ΔN with RAD51 or DMC1 before addition to the DNA substrate, we observed a slight increase 382 in the fraction of free dsDNA (but not ssDNA) that was not complexed with RAD51 or DMC1 (Figure 383 8a-c, no pre-formed nucleofilament). Whereas this increase was not significant, it might suggest that 384 the presence of FIGNL1ΔN restricts RAD51 and DMC1 binding to DNA and the subsequent filament 385 elongation. We then used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to analyze the effect on RAD51 386 filament formation and architecture upon addition of FIGNL1ΔN at same time as RAD51 to a 400 nt 387 ssDNA (Fig. 8d-e). Addition of FIGNL1ΔN induced the formation of super-complexes that contained 388 several bridged or interwoven filaments. Simultaneously, we observed that individual RAD51 filaments 389 not included in the super-complexes were significantly shorter than RAD51 filaments in controls (mean 390 length of 135 versus 175 nm, respectively; Fig. 8f, Extended Data Fig. 9b). We also detected the 391 formation of some very long filaments (more than 450 nm and up to 3-4 μ m). Their length was not 392 compatible with the length of the used DNA substrate, suggesting a DNA-independent polymerization 393 in the presence of FIGNL1 Δ N, which was confirmed by incubating RAD51 with FIGNL1 Δ N without DNA 394 (Extended Data Fig. 9b-d). Similarly, the mean length of RAD51 filaments assembled on a 400 bp dsDNA 395 decreased from 194 nm in control to 137 nm in the presence of FIGNL1ΔN (Fig. 8f). The architecture 396 of DMC1 filament assembled both on ssDNA and on dsDNA displayed gualitatively similar alteration 397 (Extended Data Fig. 9c-d). Altogether, these results show that FIGNL1ΔN limits RAD51/DMC1 assembly 398 on ssDNA and also dsDNA, and affect the filament architecture. We then tested whether these 399 filaments could pair with homologous donor dsDNA (pUC19 plasmid) in a D-loop assay. Preformed 400 RAD51, DMC1, and mixed RAD51-DMC1 filaments mediated the formation of 34, 27 and 22% of D-loop 401 products, respectively. Addition of FIGNL1ΔN during filament assembly led to a decrease in the D-loop 402 yield (Fig. 8g-h). When we titrated FIGNL1ΔN in the D-loop reaction, the yield decreased linearly and 403 significantly (Fig. 8h). This showed that the contacting and pairing with homologous DNA of filaments 404 assembled in the presence of FIGNL1ΔN might be affected. This indicates that by limiting the assembly 405 of RAD51 and/or DMC1 on DNA, FIGNL1 could negatively regulate the next strand invasion step 406 required for HR.

407

408 **Discussion**

409

410 The AAA-ATPase FIGL1 and its partner FIRRM were identified recently as negative regulators of meiotic COs in plants ^{44,47–49}, and FIGNL1 as a negative regulator of RAD51 in human cells ^{41,43}, but their role in 411 412 mammalian meiosis remained unknown. Here, by characterizing male germ line-specific Fignl1 and 413 Firrm cKO mouse models, we uncovered two roles of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex in male meiosis. First, FIGNL1 and FIRRM are required for meiotic DSB repair and for homologous chromosome synapsis 414 during meiotic prophase I, and thus are essential for male mouse meiosis. Second, the FIGNL1-FIRRM 415 416 complex prevents DNA damage-independent accumulation of RAD51 and DMC1 on chromatin and 417 chromosome axes in spermatocyte nuclei during premeiotic replication and meiotic prophase I. 418 Shinohara's group reached similar conclusions by characterizing the Fignl1 cKO mouse in a study 419 reported in the accompanying article.

420

421 Our data show that FIGNL1 and FIRRM act as negative regulators of RAD51 and DMC1 during meiotic 422 recombination, a function evolutionarily conserved from plants to mammals. However, the role of 423 FIGNL1-FIRRM is much more crucial in mouse spermatogenesis than in A. thaliana and rice meiosis 424 where homologous chromosome synapsis and formation of ZMM-dependent type I COs are almost normal in FIGNL1 and FIRRM mutants ^{44,47-50}. Plants and mammals show differences in their 425 426 requirement of specific HR pathways for meiotic DSB repair, homologous chromosome synapsis and 427 progression through meiotic cell cycle. These processes require DMC1, MSH4 and MSH5 in the mouse ^{12–14,60,72}. Conversely, in A. thaliana and rice, meiotic DSBs are repaired by RAD51-dependent intersister 428 429 HR in *dmc1* mutants, homologous chromosome synapsis does not depend on MSH4-MSH5, and *dmc1*, msh4 and msh5 mutant cells progress through meiotic prophase (reviewed in ⁷³). These differences 430 431 might explain why FIGNL1 or FIRRM deficiency might lead to a stronger phenotype in mice than in 432 plants. However, mouse Fignl1 cKO and Firrm cKO spermatocytes also displayed defects not seen in plants, especially a massive, DNA damage-independent RAD51 and DMC1 accumulation and defects in 433 434 MSH4 focus formation. This suggests that the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex has additional functions in the 435 mouse within the shared framework of RAD51 and DMC1 negative regulation.

436

437 We found that in Fignl1 cKO and Firrm cKO spermatocytes, MSH4 focus formation and meiotic DSB 438 repair were impaired, RAD51 and DMC1 foci accumulated at unrepaired DSB sites, and homologous synapsis was defective. These defects have been described in mutants in which strand invasion is 439 impaired (e.g. Dmc1^{-/-} mice that accumulate only RAD51, Hop2^{-/-}, Mnd1^{-/-} mice)^{5,6,60,72,74-76} and in 440 441 mutants in which strand invasion might be preserved but the HR intermediates are not efficiently stabilized (e.g. Hrob^{-/-}, Mcm8^{-/-}, Mcmd2^{-/-}, Msh4^{-/-}, Msh5^{-/-} mice) ^{12-14,77-80}. By altering the stability or 442 443 architecture of the nucleoprotein filament formed by RAD51/DMC1 on ssDNA and/or dsDNA, the 444 FIGNL1-FIRRM complex might play a role before or after strand invasion. In the case of a post-strand

invasion role, this complex might favor RAD51/DMC1 dissociation from dsDNA in the D-loop, a step 445 446 required for initiating DNA synthesis to extend the invading strand. In S. cerevisiae, the motor protein 447 Rad54 and its paralog Rdh54 are involved in removing RAD51/DMC1 from dsDNA following D-loop formation ^{28,32}. In the mouse, the meiotic function of RAD54 and its paralog RAD54B is not crucial 448 449 because Rad54 Rad54b double mutant mice are fertile, although they display persistent RAD51 foci during meiotic prophase ^{33,34}. Thus, additional factors can disassemble RAD51 and DMC1 from the D-450 451 loop. The FIGNL1-FIRRM complex might promote RAD51/DMC1 dissociation from dsDNA after strand 452 invasion by destabilizing the filament. In our *in vitro* assay, human FIGNL1ΔN could not dissociate 453 preformed RAD51/DMC1 filaments; however, the full length FIGNL1-FIRRM complex might possess a 454 stronger activity sufficient to dissociate RAD51/DMC1 efficiently. Alternatively, FIGNL1-FIRRM 455 complex -dependent RAD51/DMC1 filament alteration might render it sensitive to dismantling by 456 other factors. In addition to normal HR intermediate processing, the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex might also dissociate unproductive or potentially toxic post-synaptic RAD51/DMC1 filaments, such as multiple 457 strand invasion or invasion on non-allelic repeated sequences ^{81,82}. 458

459

460 In Firrm cKO spermatocytes, the average DMC1-SSDS signal profile at meiotic DSB hotspots was altered 461 in a way that suggests that FIRRM may be involved in regulating the length of DMC1-ssDNA filaments. 462 In wild-type mouse spermatocytes, the profile of DMC1-SSDS coverage at DSB hotspots and superresolution microscopy observations indicate that DMC1 typically occupies the DSB-proximal two-third 463 of the DSB 3' ssDNA end, and RAD51 the DSB-distal third of the same DSB 3' ssDNA end ^{5,6,16,18,66,70}. 464 465 DMC1 and RAD51 segregation along ssDNA tails might result from the formation of a stable DMC1 466 filament or/and from the prevention of RAD51 loading on the 3' region of the ssDNA tail. In the context 467 of inhibited RAD51 catalytic activity during meiosis, interhomolog recombination relies on DMC1 catalytic activity ^{18–20}. Therefore, defects in regulating the length or the continuity of the active DMC1 468 filament may affect the efficiency of interhomolog search ⁸², the formation of a D-loop that can be 469 470 stabilized by MSH4-MSH5, and homologous chromosome synapsis. Several non-exclusive hypotheses 471 can be proposed to explain how the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex regulates the DMC1 filament on DSB 3' 472 ssDNA tails. First, RAD51 nuclear fraction was increased in Fignl1 cKO and Firrm cKO testes (Fig. 1a), 473 suggesting that RAD51 might outcompete DMC1 on ssDNA tails in these mutants. It has been suggested that BRCA2 promotes RAD51 nuclear import by limiting the formation of cytoplasmic RAD51 474 475 polymers which cannot be mobilized ⁸³. We also found that RAD51 forms DNA-independent filaments 476 in the presence of purified human FIGNL1 Δ N (Extended Data Fig. 9c-d). Therefore, the balance 477 between FIGNL1-FIRRM and BRCA2 might control the level of cytoplasmic RAD51 polymerization, 478 contributing to fine-tune RAD51 nuclear level (Fig. 8i, (i)). We could also suggest that the FIGNL1-FIRRM 479 complex has a more direct role in controlling the formation of RAD51 and DMC1 filaments at DSB

ssDNA overhangs, based on a previously proposed model (Fig. 8i, (iii))⁹. In vitro, RAD51 nucleates 480 randomly on ssDNA tracts, whereas DMC1 prefers to seed at a ds/ssDNA junctions or on a RAD51 patch 481 482 (by analogy with *S. cerevisiae*), and polymerizes specifically in the 5' to 3' direction ⁸⁴. We hypothesize that the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex may disassemble nascent RAD51-ssDNA patches that would otherwise 483 484 hamper DMC1 filament extension toward the 3' end of ssDNA tails. According to this hypothesis, the 485 formation of dispersed RAD51 patches in Firrm cKO spermatocytes would impede the polymerization 486 of extended DMC1 filaments and consequently reduce DMC1 occupancy in the 3' region of ssDNA tails. 487 Specific accessory factors (e.g. the SWSAP1-SWS1-SPIDR complex) might protect RAD51 from the 488 FIGNL1-FIRRM complex on the DSB-distal part of ssDNA tails. Indeed, the SWSAP1-SWS1-SPIDR 489 complex is required to form normal numbers of RAD51/DMC1 foci during meiosis ^{36,40–42}. Moreover, FIGNL1 interacts with SWSAP1 and SPIDR ^{37,41,43}, and SWSAP1 protects RAD51 filaments from FIGNL1 490 491 *in vivo* and *in vitro*⁴¹. Interestingly, it was recently reported that in human cells, SWSAP1-SWS1 interact 492 with the cohesin regulatory protein PDS5B, which localizes to chromosome axes during meiotic 493 prophase ^{37,85}. As generally RAD51 localizes closer to the chromosome axis than DMC1 in mouse meiotic prophase ^{18,66}, this interaction, if present in meiotic prophase, might provide an anchor that 494 favors preferential RAD51 protection on the DSB-distal part of DSB ssDNA tails. Alternatively, we 495 496 cannot exclude that DMC1-SSDS profile alterations are due to accumulating HR intermediates with a 497 biased DMC1-ssDNA distribution. For example, longer DMC1 filaments might be more frequently 498 engaged in strand invasion, therefore bound on dsDNA and undetectable by ChIP-SSDS, compared with 499 shorter filaments.

500

501 In Fignl1 cKO and Firrm cKO spermatocytes, we observed meiotic DSB-independent accumulation of 502 RAD51 foci on chromatin during premeiotic replication that persisted and was accompanied by DMC1 503 accumulation during meiotic prophase. DNA damage-independent RAD51 foci accumulate in human cells upon RAD51 overexpression ²⁹, thus higher RAD51 nuclear concentration in the absence of FIRRM 504 505 or FIGNL1 might contribute to favor DNA damage-independent RAD51 and DMC1 binding on intact chromatin (Fig. 8i, (i)). In addition, RAD51 and DMC1 DNA damage-independent accumulation is 506 507 observed in budding yeast and human cells after depletion of RAD54 family DNA translocases ^{29–31}. By 508 analogy, the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex might prevent the stabilization of normally transient nascent 509 RAD51-dsDNA filaments at replication forks (Fig. 8i, (ii)). This hypothesis is consistent with our finding 510 that purified human FIGNL1ΔN might reduce RAD51 and DMC1 association with dsDNA in vitro (Fig. 8b-c), and with a recent study in human cells showing FIGNL1-FIRRM association with ongoing 511 512 replication forks in unchallenging conditions⁸⁶.

513

The linear RAD51/DMC1 staining detected between SYCP3 synapsed axes suggests that RAD51/DMC1 514 515 can associate stably with chromosome axis components, in either a DNA-dependent or DNA-516 independent manner, in the absence of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex. DSB-independent RAD51 (but not DMC1) staining along unsynapsed chromosome axes has been previously described in late prophase 517 518 mouse oocytes ^{67,87,88}; however, these structures associating RAD51 and DMC1 along synapsed axes in Fignl1 cKO and Firrm cKO spermatocytes are unusual. RAD51 interacts with several components of 519 520 meiotic chromosomes, including the axis component SYCP3 ¹⁵, the SC central element component SYCE2 ^{89,90} and the cohesion regulator PDS5A/B ^{91,92} that interacts also with SWSAP1-SWS1 ³⁷. 521 522 Interestingly, it has been observed by super-resolution microscopy that several cohesin subunits and 523 HORMAD1/2 coat the outside of SYCP3 axis cores ^{66,93}, a localization resembling that of RAD51/DMC1 staining between synapsed SYCP3-positive axes. RAD51/DMC1 interactions with components of 524 525 meiotic chromosome axes might facilitate the accurate HR repair of meiotic DSBs (and incidental DNA damages). In this context, a function of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex might be to prevent the 526 527 stabilization, of these interactions, other than at DNA damage sites.

528

529 Meiotic cells must face the challenge of repairing hundreds of programmed DSBs through several HR 530 pathways, while restricting inappropriate repair that may involve similar HR intermediates. In this 531 study, we started deciphering the functions of the conserved FIGNL1-FIRRM complex in mouse 532 meiosis. We showed that the RAD51/DMC1 filament destabilizing activity of FIGNL1 and FIRRM is 533 implicated in regulating meiotic recombination and restricting inappropriate formation of stable RAD51/DMC1 filaments. Interestingly, although FIGNL1 alters RAD51 and DMC1 filament similarly in 534 535 vitro, it is not clear whether FIGNL1 or FIRRM absence affects DMC1 directly or indirectly through 536 RAD51. The elucidation of the several possible functions of the FIGNL1- FIRRM complex during mouse 537 meiosis will need more in vitro and in vivo analyses of their functional interactions with other RAD51 538 and DMC1 regulators.

539

540

541 Methods

542 **Mice**

All mice used in the study were in the C57BL/6J background. *Firrm^{fl/+}* mice (allele *BC055324*^{tm1c(EUCOMM)Hmgu}, MGI:5692863) were obtained from the International Knockout Mouse Consortium (IKMC). *Fignl1*^{fl/+} mice (allele *Fignl1*^{tm1c(EUCOMM)Hmgu}) were generated by Phenomin-Institut Clinique de la Souris (ICS) using the plasmid containing the *Fignl1*^{tm1a(EUCOMM)Hmgu} allele (MGI:5287847) obtained from Helmholtz Zentrum München GmbH. *Firrm*^{fl/fl} mice were mated with mice that express Cre under the control of the CMV promoter (C57BL/6 Tg(CMV-cre)1Cgn) ⁹⁴ to generate *Firrm*-deleted

heterozygous mice (*Firrm*^{+/-}). *Firrm*^{+/-} mice were mated with Tg(Stra8-icre)1Reb/J (*Stra8-Cre*^{Tg}) mice ⁵² 549 to generate *Firrm^{+/-};Stra8-Cre^{Tg}* mice. By crossing *Firrm^{fl/fl}* mice with *Firrm^{+/-};Stra8-Cre^{Tg}* mice, *Firrm^{fl/-}* 550 ;Stra8-Cre^{Tg} (Firrm cKO) and Firrm^{fl/+}, Firrm^{fl/+} Stra8-Cre^{Tg} or Firrm^{fl/-} (Firrm control) 551 mice were obtained. *Fignl1^{fl/-};Stra8-Cre^{Tg}* (*Fignl1* cKO) mice were generated using the same strategy as for *Firrm* 552 553 cKO mice. The Spo11^{YF/YF 67} and Swsap1^{-/- 95} mouse lines were described previously. Primers used for genotyping are listed in Supplementary Table 1. All animal experiments were carried out according to 554 555 the CNRS guidelines.

556

557 Histology

Mouse testes were fixed in Bouin's solution for periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining at room temperature,
overnight. Testes were then embedded in paraffin and 3µm-thick slices were cut. PAS-stained sections
were scanned using the automated tissue slide-scanning tool of a Hamamatsu NanoZoomer Digital
Pathology system.

562

563 Spermatocyte chromosome spreads

564 Spermatocyte spreads were prepared with the dry down technique ⁹⁶. Briefly, a suspension of testis 565 cells was prepared in PBS, and then incubated in a hypotonic solution for 8 min at room temperature. 566 Cells were centrifuged, resuspended in 66 mM sucrose solution and spread on slides or coverslips 567 (1.5H, high precision) with 1% paraformaldehyde, 0.05% Triton X-100. Slides/coverslips were dried in 568 a humid chamber for 1-2 h, washed in 0.24% Photoflo200 (Kodak), air-dried, and used for 569 immunostaining or stored at -80°C.

570

571 Immunofluorescence staining

Immunostaining was done as described ⁹⁷. After incubation with a milk-based blocking buffer (5% milk,
5% donkey serum in PBS), spermatocyte spreads were incubated with primary antibodies at room
temperature overnight, followed by secondary antibodies (37 °C for 1 h). The used antibodies are listed
in Supplementary Table 2. Nuclei were stained with 4'–6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 2 µg/ml) in
the final washing step.

577 For immunostaining with the anti-DMC1 antibody, a specific blocking buffer (0.5% BSA, 0.5% powder 578 milk, 0.5% donkey serum in PBS) was used prior to incubation with the primary antibody that was 579 performed in 10% BSA in PBS. Immunostaining of spermatocyte spreads on coverslips for STED 580 microscopy was done with specific secondary antibodies (Supplementary Table 2), and DAPI was 581 omitted.

582

583 Widefield fluorescent imaging

Widefield images were acquired using one of the following microscopes: Zeiss Axioimager Apotome with 100X Plan Apochromat 1.46 oil DIC objective and 1 ANDOR sCMOS ZYLA 4.2 MP monochrome camera (2048 x 2048 pixels, 6.5µm pixel size) or Zeiss Axioimager 100X Plan Apochromat 1.4 NA oil objective and 1 Zeiss CCD Axiocam Mrm 1.4 MP monochrome camera (1388 x 1040 pixels, 6.45µm pixel size).

589

590 Stimulated emission depletion (STED) super-resolution imaging

591 Super-resolution images were acquired using a STED microscope (Abberior Instruments, Germany) 592 equipped with a PlanSuperApo 100x/1.40 oil immersion objective (Olympus, Japan). For 3-color STED 593 imaging, immunolabeling was performed using one of the following combinations of secondary antibodies: STAR 460L, STAR ORANGE, STAR RED or STAR GREEN, STAR ORANGE, STAR RED 594 595 (Supplementary Table 2). STAR 460L and STAR 488 were excited at 485nm, STAR ORANGE at 561nm, 596 and STAR RED at 640nm. Excitation was done with a dwell time of 10µs. STED was performed at 595 597 nm for STAR 488 and at 775nm for all other dyes. Images were collected in line accumulation mode 598 with detection set at 571-625nm for STAR 460L and STAR ORANGE, 500-580nm for STAR GREEN, and 599 650-750nm for STAR RED.

600

601 Image analysis

For quantification and colocalization analyses, images were deconvolved using Huygens Professional
 version 22.10 (Scientific Volume Imaging).

604 All image analyses were performed using Fiji/ImageJ 1.53t ⁹⁸.

Single nuclei were cropped manually or using an automatic DAPI signal threshold. Nuclei were sortedinto meiotic prophase substages following the criteria described below.

Foci were detected using the Find Maxima function. On-axis and off-axis foci were distinguished on the basis of their localization within (or outside) a binary mask. This ROI was drawn using an automatic SYCP3 axis protein staining threshold (SYCP1 staining was used for MSH4 and TEX11 foci). Because there was no SYCP3 staining-defined axis structure at preleptotene stage, all foci were considered as off-axis foci at this stage.

For two-color focus colocalization, the distance of a given channel focus to the closest second color focus was calculated. Foci were considered as colocalized when this distance was below the minimum resolution distance (0.3μm for widefield images), as in ⁹⁹. The level of random colocalization of foci in channel A (foci A) with foci in channel B (foci B) in any given nucleus was estimated by simulating the random localization of the actual number of foci A, and by determining the number of random foci A colocalized with actual foci B. The mean number of colocalizations from 100 simulations was taken as 618 the number of foci A colocalized with foci B by chance in the nucleus (n_{random}, "random" on figures),

and this was repeated for every nucleus. Reciprocally, the level of random colocalization of foci B withfoci A resulted from random simulations of foci B localizations.

621 In every nucleus, the number of colocalized foci A was corrected for random colocalization by considering that (1) the observed number of colocalized foci A (nobs) is composed of one subset of 622 623 biologically meaningful colocalized foci ("truly" colocalized foci A, ncol) and one subset of foci A colocalized by chance; (2) the ratio n_{random} / n_T (where n_{random} is estimated as described above and n_T is 624 625 the total number of foci A in the nucleus) estimates the frequency of foci A colocalizing by chance 626 among the population of foci A not "truly" colocalized, thus the number of foci A colocalized by chance 627 is $(n_T - n_{col}) * n_{random} / n_T$, by excluding the truly colocalized foci A from random colocalization.(3) Finally, the estimated number of colocalized foci corrected for random colocalization (n_{col}) was obtained from 628 629 the formula $n_{col}=(n_{obs}-n_{random})/(n_T-n_{random})$, where n_{tot} was the total number of foci counted, n_{obs} the 630 observed number of colocalized foci and nrandom the mean number of colocalization from 100 631 simulations as described above. The percentage of corrected colocalization estimate was the ratio of 632 the corrected number of colocalized foci n_{col} over the total number of foci in the same nucleus, n_{col} 633 n_T.

For γH2AX quantification, nuclei were cropped manually and the integrated intensity of the γH2AXchannel in the cropped region was measured.

Prophase spermatocytes were staged using the following criteria, based on SYCP3 staining.
Preleptotene nuclei had patchy weak SYCP3 signal throughout the nucleus. Early leptotene nuclei had
focus-like well-defined very short stretches of SYCP3 staining. Leptotene nuclei had short stretches of
SYCP3 fragments. Early zygotene nuclei had longer SYCP3 stretches as the chromosome axes continued
to elongate. Mid-zygotene nuclei had very long or full SYCP3 axes, but no or relatively few synapses
marked by thicker SYCP3 stretches. Late zygotene had full SYCP3 axes with extensive synapsis marked
by thicker SCP3 signal.

643

644 DMC1 chromatin immuno-precipitation, followed by single-strand DNA sequencing (DMC1-SSDS)

DMC1 ChIP-SSDS and library preparation were performed as described in ¹⁰⁰ using a goat anti-DMC1
antibody (0.5 mg/ml; Santa Cruz, reference C-20). Ten testes from 12 dpp *Firrm^{fl/+};Stra8-Cre^{Tg}* (control)
and from *Firrm^{fl/+};Stra8-Cre^{Tg}* (*Firrm* cKO) mice were used in each biological replicate. Sequencing was
performed on a NovaSeq 6000 PE150 platform in paired end mode (2x150bp).

649

650 Detection of DMC1 ChIP-SSDS peaks

Raw reads were processed using the SSDS-DMC1 Nextflow pipeline (Auffret et al., MiMB Germ Cells 651 652 Development, in prep.), available on github (https://github.com/jajclement/ssdsnextflowpipeline, see 653 details of the pipeline development on the README page). Briefly, the main steps of the pipeline 654 included raw read quality control and trimming (removal of adapter sequences, low-quality reads and extra bases) and mapping to the UCSC mouse genome assembly build GRCm38/mm10. Single stranded 655 derived fragments were then identified from mapped reads using a previously published method ^{68,101}, 656 657 and peaks were detected in Type-1 fragments (high confidence ssDNA). To control reproducibility and assess replicate consistency, the Irreproducible Discovery Rate (IDR) method ¹⁰² was used, following 658 659 the ENCODE procedure (https://github.com/ENCODE-DCC/chip-seq-pipeline2). The "regionPeak" peak 660 type parameter and default p-value thresholds were used. Briefly, this method performs relaxed peak 661 calling for each of the two replicates (truerep), the pooled dataset (poolrep), and pseudo-replicates 662 that are artificially generated by randomly sampling half of the reads twice, for each replicate and the 663 pooled dataset. Both control and Firrm cKO datasets passed the IDR statistics criteria for the two scores 664 (well below 2). By default, the pipeline gave the poolrep as primary output, but for this study the 665 truerep peak sets were considered. Lastly, peak centering and strength calculation were computed 666 using a previously published method ⁶⁸.

- The list of SPO11-oligo hotspots from B6 mice and the coordinates (genome build GRCm38/mm10) of
 their center were from ⁷⁰.
- 669 The overlaps between intervals was determined with bedtools ¹⁰³ Intersect on the Galaxy France web 670 interface. For determining overlaps between control and *Firrm* cKO peaks, a minimum overlap of 10%, 671 and reciprocally, was required. The overlap between DMC1-SSDS peaks and the center of SPO11-oligo 672 hotspots ⁷⁰ was considered positive if at least 1 bp of the DMC1-hotspot contained the coordinate of 673 the center of one SPO11-oligo hotspot.
- 674 Heatmaps and average plot profiles were generated with deeptools (computeMatrix, plotHeatmap
- 675 and PlotProfile) on Galaxy France server.
- 676

677 Preparation of mouse testis protein extracts and western blotting

678 Cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were prepared from 12 dpp control, Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO mice. 679 Testes were homogenized in hypotonic buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 320 mM sucrose, 0.2 mM PMSF, 680 1x Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, EDTA-free (Roche), 0.07% beta-mercaptoethanol) in a Dounce 681 homogenizer. After centrifugation (1,000xg at 4°C for 10 min), the supernatant was collected and used 682 as cytoplasmic fraction. The pellet was resuspended in half nuclear packed volume of low salt buffer 683 (20mM Tris-HCl pH7.3, 12.5% glycerol, 1.5mM MgCl₂, 0.2mM EDTA, 20mM KCl, 1x Complete protease 684 inhibitor cocktail, EDTA-free (Roche), 0.07% beta-mercaptoethanol). Then half nuclear packed volume 685 of high salt buffer (same, but 1.2M KCI) was added drop by drop, incubated at 4°C for 30min with agitation and centrifuged (14,000xg at 4°C for 30 min). The supernatant was collected as nuclear fraction. Cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were analyzed by western blotting with rabbit anti-FIGNL1 (1/500, Proteintech, 17604-1-AP), rabbit anti-FIRRM (1/500, Abcam, ab121774), rabbit anti-beta tubulin (1/3000, Abcam, ab6046) and guinea pig anti-SYCP3 (1/3,000 ⁹⁷) antibodies. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (1:5,000; Cell Signaling Technology) and donkey antiguinea pig IgG-HRP (1/10,000; Jackson Immuno Research, 706-035-148).

692

693 Protein purification. Human RAD51 was purified by the CiGEX Platform (CEA, Fontenay-aux-Roses) as 694 follows. His-SUMO-RAD51 was expressed in the E. coli strain BRL (DE3) pLys. All protein purification 695 steps were carried out at 4°C. Cells from a 3-liter culture that was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-1-696 thio-ß-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) at 20°C overnight were resuspended in 1x PBS, 350 mM NaCl, 20 697 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme, Compete Protease Inhibitor (Roche), 1 mM 4-(2-698 aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride (AEBSF). Cells were lysed by sonication and the insoluble material 699 was removed by centrifugation at 150,000 x g for 1h. The supernatant was incubated with 5 ml of Ni-700 NTA resin (Qiagen) for 2h. The mixture was poured into an Econo-Column Chromatography Column 701 (BIO-RAD) and beads were washed first with 80 ml W1 buffer (20 mM Tris HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 20 702 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 0.5% NP40), followed by 80 ml of W2 buffer (20mM Tris HCl pH 8, 100mM 703 NaCl, 20mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT). Then, His-SUMO-RAD51 bound to the beads was 704 resuspended in 8ml of W2 buffer and incubated with SUMO protease at a 1/80 ratio (w/w) for 16 h. 705 RAD51 without the His-SUMO tag was then recovered into the flow thru and directly loaded onto a 706 HiTrap heparin column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with W2 buffer and then a 0.1-1M 707 NaCl gradient was applied. Fractions containing purified RAD51 were concentrated and dialyzed 708 against storage buffer (20mM Tris HCl pH 8, 50mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 709 mM AEBSF) and stored at -80°C. Human RPA was purified by the CiGEX Platform (CEA, Fontenay-aux-Roses) as previously described ¹⁰⁴. 710

711 For human FIGNL1 purification, FIGNL1ΔN without the region encoding the N-terminal 284 aa was 712 inserted into the pET15 vector (Novagene), and the protein was overexpressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells upon addition of 0.2mM IPTG at 37°C for 3h. Cell pellets were resuspended in buffer A (50mM 713 714 Tris-HCl pH7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 5mM MgCl₂, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF, 0.1% NP40, 20 mM imidazole, cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail), disrupted by French press (6 bar) and 715 716 cleared by centrifugation following incubation with the benzonase nuclease (Sigma) at 4°C for 30 min. 717 The supernatant was loaded on a 1 ml HisTrap Fast-Flow column (GE healthcare) and equilibrated with 718 buffer A on an ÄKTA pure system. After a washing step, proteins were eluted with buffer A 719 supplemented with 300 mM imidazole. FIGNL1ΔN was further purified by size exclusion 720 chromatography using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) in buffer B (50mM TrisHCl pH7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 5mM MgCl₂, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol). The peak fractions
were concentrated with Amicon Ultra 30K (Millipore) and stored at -80°C.

723

724 RAD51 and DMC1 filament electromobility shift assay (EMSA). RAD51 and DMC1 filaments were 725 formed by incubating 3 μ M (nucleotide concentration) of 400 nt ssDNA or dsDNA labeled with Cy5 726 with 1 μ M RAD51 (1 protein per 3 nt) or 1.5 μ M DMC1 (>1 protein per 3 nt to obtain fully covered 727 DNA) in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM ATP, 728 and 1 mM DTT at 37°C for 20 min. Then, 1.6 μ M of FIGLN1 Δ N was added to the reaction to test their 729 effects on filament assembly and architecture (pre-formed filament). Alternatively, RAD51 or DMC1 730 was added concomitantly with FIGLN1 Δ N to the reaction (no pre-formed filament). Protein-DNA 731 complexes were fixed in 0.01% glutaraldehyde at room temperature for 5 min. Then, the reaction products were analyzed using 1% agarose gel in 0.5x Tris acetate/EDTA at 4 °C. Images were acquired 732 733 using a Typhoon imager (GE Healthcare Life Science).

734

735 Tramsmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of RAD51 and DMC1 filaments. RAD51 and DMC1 736 filaments were formed by incubating 7.5 μ M (nucleotide concentration) of 400 nt long ssDNA and 737 dsDNA with 2.5 μ M RAD51 (1 protein per 3 nt) or 3.5 μ M DMC1 in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl 738 pH7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM ATP and 1 mM DTT at 37°C for 20 min. Then, 1.6 739 μ M of FIGLN1 Δ N was added to the reaction at the same time as RAD51/DMC1. For filament length 740 analysis, positive staining combined with a TEM dark-field imaging mode were used: 1 µL of the 741 reaction was quickly diluted 20 times in a buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM 742 MgCl₂, 2 mM Cacl₂. During one minute, a 5 µL drop of the dilution was deposited on a 600-mesh copper 743 grid previously covered with a thin carbon film and pre-activated by glow-discharge in the presence of amylamine (Sigma-Aldrich, France) ^{105,106}. Grids were rinsed and positively stained with aqueous 2 % 744 745 (w/v) uranyl acetate, dried carefully with a filter paper. To better observe FIGLN1 ΔN effect on the filament architecture, samples were also spread using negative staining and observed in bright-field 746 747 mode. For this, a drop of the reaction was directly deposited on a carboned copper grid pre-activated 748 with glow discharge (plasma).

TEM grids were observed in the annular dark-field mode in zero-loss filtered imaging or in canonical bright-field imaging using a Zeiss 902 transmission electron microscope. Images were captured at a magnification of 85,000× with a Veleta CCD camera and analyzed with the iTEM software (both Olympus Soft Imaging Solution). For quantification, the filament length was measured in at least two independent experiments with a total of at least 75 molecules measured.

754

755 D-loop in vitro assay. RAD51 and DMC1 filaments were formed in the same conditions as for the EMSA 756 analysis. The same incubation conditions and buffer were used to assemble mixed RAD51/DMC1 filaments by incubating 3 μ M (nucleotide concentration) of 400 nt ssDNA substrates with 1.25 μ M 757 758 RAD51 plus 0.75 µM DMC1. In the second step, 15 nM in molecules of homologous dsDNA donor 759 (pUC19 plasmid purified on MiniQ ion exchange chromatography column) was introduced in the 760 reaction and in case of DMC1 filaments, 4 mM more CaCl₂ was added, and then the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The reaction was stopped with 0.5 mg/mL proteinase K, 1% SDS, 12.5 761 762 mM EDTA at 37°C for 30 min and separated on 1% TAE agarose gels (80 V, for 30 min).

763

764 Statistical analysis and reproducibility

The statistical analyses of cytological observations were done with GraphPad Prism 9. A contingency chi-square test was used to compare stage distributions. The nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare focus counts, colocalized focus counts and fractions, and γH2AX intensity among genotypes. The nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-ranks test was used to compare true colocalization versus random colocalization of foci. All tests, sample size, and p values (n.s., not significant, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001) are provided in the corresponding legends and/or figures. If not otherwise stated, at least two animals/genotype were analyzed and similar results were obtained.

772

773 Data availability

The DMC1-SSDS raw and processed data for this study have been deposited in the European
Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI and are available through the project identifier PRJEB62127.

776

777 Acknowledgements

778 We would like to thank Masaru Ito and Akira Shinohara for sharing unpublished results, Maria Jasin for 779 Swsap1 mice, Qinghua Shi for the guinea-pig anti-DMC1 antibody. We thank Raphaël Mercier for 780 encouraging the project, and Thomas Robert for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank the 781 following Montpellier Biocampus facilities for their service: Anne Sutter and the animal facility (RAM) 782 for animal care, Manon Leportier for managing our mouse strains, the Réseau d'Histologie 783 Expérimentale de Montpellier (RHEM) for histology. We acknowledge the support of Marie-Pierre 784 Blanchard for help with STED microscopy and the imaging facility MRI, member of the national infrastructure France-Biolmaging infrastructure supported by the French National Research Agency 785 (ANR-10-INBS-04, "Investments for the future"). We are grateful to Xavier Veaute from the CiGEX 786 787 Platform (CEA, Fontenay-aux-Roses), and to the https://www.france-bioinformatique.fr/ and the 788 https://www.france-bioinformatique.fr/fr/cluster for providing computing resources on Galaxy 789 France.

790	This	This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR FIRE (ANR-17-426 CE12-0015)				
791	to F	to FB, PD, JBC and RK; by the Ligue contre le Cancer (Comité départemental de l'Hérault and Comité				
792	dépa	départemental du Gard) to FB; by the Ligue contre le Cancer (MB/CB/070-22) to RK. AZ was funded by				
793	ANR FIRE (ANR-17-426 CE12-0015) and a PhD fellowship FDT202106012805 from the Fondation pour					
794	la Re	echerche Médicale (FRM).				
795						
796	Aut	nor contributions				
797						
798	AZ,	PD, RK and FB conceived and designed the experiments. AZ performed most mouse experiments,				
799	SB a	nd FB performed some mouse experiments. AZ and FB interpreted and analyzed mouse data with				
800	inpu	t from BdM. PD, VR, RK performed, analyzed and interpreted biochemical experiments with				
801	cont	ribution from JBC. JC developed the method for image analysis. PA and JAJC developed the				
802	bioi	nformatic pipeline for analyzing SSDS data. JAJC and FB performed bioinformatic analysis of SSDS				
803	data	a. AZ, PD, RK and FB wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.				
804						
805	Com	apeting interests				
806	The	authors declare no competing interests.				
807						
808	Refe	erences				
809	1.	Gerton, J. L. & Hawley, R. S. Homologous chromosome interactions in meiosis: diversity amidst				
810		conservation. Nat Rev Genet 6, 477–487 (2005).				
811	2.	Hunter, N. Meiotic Recombination: The Essence of Heredity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7,				
812		a016618 (2015).				
813	3.	Baudat, F., Imai, Y. & de Massy, B. Meiotic recombination in mammals: localization and				
814		regulation. <i>Nat Rev Genet</i> 14 , 794–806 (2013).				
815	4.	Robert, T., Vrielynck, N., Mézard, C., de Massy, B. & Grelon, M. A new light on the meiotic DSB				
816		catalytic complex. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 54, 165–176 (2016).				
817	5.	Paiano, J. et al. ATM and PRDM9 regulate SPO11-bound recombination intermediates during				
818		meiosis. Nature Communications 11, (2020).				
819	6.	Yamada, S. et al. Molecular structures and mechanisms of DNA break processing in mouse				
820		meiosis. <i>Genes & development</i> 34 , 806–818 (2020).				

- 7. Prakash, R., Zhang, Y., Feng, W. & Jasin, M. Homologous Recombination and Human Health: The
- Roles of BRCA1, BRCA2, and Associated Proteins. *Perspectives in Biology* 1–29 (2015)
 doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a016600.
- Zelensky, A., Kanaar, R. & Wyman, C. Mediators of Homologous DNA Pairing. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 6, a016451 (2014).
- 826 9. Brown, M. S. & Bishop, D. K. DNA strand exchange and RecA homologs in meiosis. *Cold Spring*827 *Harb Perspect Biol* 7, a016659 (2014).
- 10. Börner, G. V., Kleckner, N. & Hunter, N. Crossover/Noncrossover Differentiation, Synaptonemal
- 829 Complex Formation, and Regulatory Surveillance at the Leptotene/Zygotene Transition of
- 830 Meiosis. *Cell* **117**, 29–45 (2004).
- Pyatnitskaya, A., Borde, V. & De Muyt, A. Crossing and zipping: molecular duties of the ZMM
 proteins in meiosis. *Chromosoma* 128, 181–198 (2019).
- Kneitz, B. *et al.* MutS homolog 4 localization to meiotic chromosomes is required for
 chromosome pairing during meiosis in male and female mice. *Genes Dev* 14, 1085–1097 (2000).
- Edelmann, W. *et al.* Mammalian MutS homologue 5 is required for chromosome pairing in
 meiosis. *Nat Genet* 21, 123–127 (1999).
- 837 14. de Vries, S. S. *et al.* Mouse MutS-like protein Msh5 is required for proper chromosome synapsis
 838 in male and female meiosis. *Genes Dev* 13, 523–531 (1999).
- Tarsounas, M., Morita, T., Pearlman, R. E. & Moens, P. B. Rad51 and Dmc1 Form Mixed
 Complexes Associated with Mouse Meiotic Chromosome Cores and Synaptonemal Complexes.
- 841 *Journal of Cell Biology* **147**, 207–220 (1999).
- 842 16. Slotman, J. A. *et al.* Super-resolution imaging of RAD51 and DMC1 in DNA repair foci reveals
 843 dynamic distribution patterns in meiotic prophase. *PLOS Genetics* 16, e1008595 (2020).
- 17. Brown, M. S., Grubb, J., Zhang, A., Rust, M. J. & Bishop, D. K. Small Rad51 and Dmc1 Complexes
- 845 Often Co-occupy Both Ends of a Meiotic DNA Double Strand Break. *PLOS Genetics* **11**, e1005653
- 846 (2015).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.17.541096; this version posted June 16, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

18. Hinch, A. G. *et al.* The Configuration of RPA, RAD51, and DMC1 Binding in Meiosis Reveals the
Nature of Critical Recombination Intermediates. *Molecular Cell* (2020)

849 doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2020.06.015.

- 19. Cloud, V., Chan, Y.-L., Grubb, J., Budke, B. & Bishop, D. K. Rad51 is an accessory factor for Dmc1-
- 851 mediated joint molecule formation during meiosis. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **337**, 1222–5 (2012).
- Ba Ines, O. *et al.* Meiotic Recombination in Arabidopsis Is Catalysed by DMC1, with RAD51 Playing
 a Supporting Role. *PLoS Genetics* 9, (2013).
- Halder, S. *et al.* Double-stranded DNA binding function of RAD51 in DNA protection and its
 regulation by BRCA2. *Molecular Cell* 82, 3553-3565.e5 (2022).
- 856 22. Mason, J. M., Chan, Y.-L., Weichselbaum, R. W. & Bishop, D. K. Non-enzymatic roles of human
 857 RAD51 at stalled replication forks. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4410 (2019).
- Schlacher, K. *et al.* Double-Strand Break Repair-Independent Role for BRCA2 in Blocking Stalled
 Replication Fork Degradation by MRE11. *Cell* 145, 529–542 (2011).
- 860 24. Berti, M., Cortez, D. & Lopes, M. The plasticity of DNA replication forks in response to clinically
 861 relevant genotoxic stress. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **21**, 633–651 (2020).
- 862 25. Kolinjivadi, A. M. *et al.* Moonlighting at replication forks a new life for homologous
 863 recombination proteins BRCA1, BRCA2 and RAD51. *FEBS Letters* 591, 1083–1100 (2017).
- 26. Hashimoto, Y., Ray Chaudhuri, A., Lopes, M. & Costanzo, V. Rad51 protects nascent DNA from
- 865 Mre11-dependent degradation and promotes continuous DNA synthesis. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 17,
 866 1305–1311 (2010).
- Reitz, D., Chan, Y.-L. & Bishop, D. K. How strand exchange protein function benefits from ATP
 hydrolysis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **71**, 120–128 (2021).
- 28. Ceballos, S. J. & Heyer, W.-D. Functions of the Snf2/Swi2 family Rad54 motor protein in
 homologous recombination. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*1809, 509–523 (2011).

- 872 29. Mason, J. M. et al. RAD54 family translocases counter genotoxic effects of RAD51 in human
- tumor cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 3180–3196 (2015).
- 30. Holzen, T. M., Shah, P. P., Olivares, H. A. & Bishop, D. K. Tid1/Rdh54 promotes dissociation of
- 875 Dmc1 from nonrecombinogenic sites on meiotic chromatin. *Genes Dev.* **20**, 2593–2604 (2006).
- 876 31. Shah, P. P. et al. Swi2/Snf2-Related Translocases Prevent Accumulation of Toxic Rad51
- 877 Complexes during Mitotic Growth. *Molecular Cell* **39**, 862–872 (2010).
- 878 32. Li, X. & Heyer, W.-D. RAD54 controls access to the invading 3'-OH end after RAD51-mediated DNA
- 879 strand invasion in homologous recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nucleic Acids*880 *Research* 37, 638–646 (2009).
- Wesoly, J. *et al.* Differential contributions of mammalian Rad54 paralogs to recombination, DNA
 damage repair, and meiosis. *Mol Cell Biol* 26, 976–989 (2006).
- Russo, A., Cordelli, E., Salvitti, T., Palumbo, E. & Pacchierotti, F. Rad54/Rad54B deficiency is
 associated to increased chromosome breakage in mouse spermatocytes. *Mutagenesis* 33, 323–
 332 (2018).
- Liu, T., Wan, L., Wu, Y., Chen, J. & Huang, J. hSWS1·SWSAP1 Is an Evolutionarily Conserved
 Complex Required for Efficient Homologous Recombination Repair *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 286, 41758–41766 (2011).
- 889 36. Prakash, R. *et al.* Distinct pathways of homologous recombination controlled by the SWS1–
 890 SWSAP1–SPIDR complex. *Nat Commun* 12, 4255 (2021).
- 891 37. Martino, J. *et al.* The human Shu complex functions with PDS5B and SPIDR to promote 892 homologous recombination. *Nucleic acids research* **47**, 10151–10165 (2019).
- 893 38. Godin, S. K. et al. Evolutionary and Functional Analysis of the Invariant SWIM Domain in the
- 894 Conserved Shu2/SWS1 Protein Family from Saccharomyces cerevisiae to Homo sapiens. *Genetics*895 **199**, 1023–1033 (2015).
- 896 39. Martín, V. *et al.* Sws1 is a conserved regulator of homologous recombination in eukaryotic cells.
- 897 The EMBO Journal **25**, 2564–2574 (2006).

- 40. Abreu, C. M. *et al.* Shu complex SWS1-SWSAP1 promotes early steps in mouse meiotic
 recombination. *Nat Commun* 9, 3961 (2018).
- 900 41. Matsuzaki, K., Kondo, S., Ishikawa, T. & Shinohara, A. Human RAD51 paralogue SWSAP1 fosters
- 901 RAD51 filament by regulating the anti-recombinase FIGNL1 AAA+ ATPase. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1407
- 902 (2019).
- 42. Huang, T. *et al.* SPIDR is required for homologous recombination during mammalian meiosis.
 Nucleic Acids Research gkad154 (2023) doi:10.1093/nar/gkad154.
- 905 43. Yuan, J. & Chen, J. FIGNL1-containing protein complex is required for efficient homologous
 906 recombination repair. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 10640–10645 (2013).
- 907 44. Fernandes, J. B. *et al.* FIGL1 and its novel partner FLIP form a conserved complex that regulates
 908 homologous recombination. *PLoS Genet* 14, e1007317 (2018).
- 909 45. Zhang, P. *et al.* The Rice AAA-ATPase OsFIGNL1 Is Essential for Male Meiosis. *Frontiers in Plant*910 *Science* 8, (2017).
- 46. Liu, X. *et al.* OsRAD51 Plays a Vital Role in Promoting Homologous Recombination in Rice Meiosis.
 912 *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23, 9906 (2022).
- 913 47. Girard, C. et al. AAA-ATPase FIDGETIN-LIKE 1 and Helicase FANCM Antagonize Meiotic Crossovers
- by Distinct Mechanisms. *PLOS Genetics* **11**, e1005369 (2015).
- 915 48. Hu, Q. *et al.* Meiotic Chromosome Association 1 Interacts with TOP3α and Regulates Meiotic
 916 Recombination in Rice. *The Plant Cell* **29**, 1697–1708 (2017).
- 917 49. Yang, S. *et al.* FIGNL1 Inhibits Non-homologous Chromosome Association and Crossover
 918 Formation. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13, (2022).
- 50. Li, X. *et al.* Regulation of interference-sensitive crossover distribution ensures crossover
 assurance in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**, e2107543118 (2021).
- 921 51. Kumar, R., Duhamel, M., Coutant, E., Ben-Nahia, E. & Mercier, R. Antagonism between BRCA2
- and FIGL1 regulates homologous recombination. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, 5170–5180 (2019).

- 923 52. Sadate-Ngatchou, P. I., Payne, C. J., Dearth, A. T. & Braun, R. E. Cre recombinase activity specific
- 924 to post natal, premeiotic male germ cells in transgenic mice. *Genesis* **46**, 738–742 (2008).
- 53. Shi, B. *et al.* Dual functions for the ssDNA-binding protein RPA in meiotic recombination. *PLOS Genetics* 15, e1007952 (2019).
- 927 54. Imai, Y. *et al.* PRDM9 activity depends on HELLS and promotes local 5-hydroxymethylcytosine
 928 enrichment. *Elife* 9, e57117 (2020).
- Bao, J., Ma, H.-Y., Schuster, A., Lin, Y.-M. & Yan, W. Incomplete cre-mediated excision leads to
 phenotypic differences between Stra8-iCre; Mov10l1lox/lox and Stra8-iCre; Mov10l1lox/Δ mice.

931 genesis **51**, 481–490 (2013).

- 56. Klein, H. L. The consequences of Rad51 overexpression for normal and tumor cells. *DNA Repair*7, 686–693 (2008).
- 57. Baudat, F., Manova, K., Yuen, J. P., Jasin, M. & Keeney, S. Chromosome synapsis defects and
 sexually dimorphic meiotic progression in mice lacking spo11. *Mol Cell* 6, 989–998 (2000).
- 936 58. Romanienko, P. J. & Camerini-Otero, R. D. The mouse Spo11 gene is required for meiotic
 937 chromosome synapsis. *Mol Cell* 6, 975–987 (2000).
- 938 59. Pittman, D. L. *et al.* Meiotic prophase arrest with failure of chromosome synapsis in mice
 939 deficient for Dmc1, a germline-specific RecA homolog. *Mol Cell* 1, 697–705 (1998).
- 940 60. Yoshida, K. *et al.* The mouse RecA-like gene Dmc1 is required for homologous chromosome
 941 synapsis during meiosis. *Mol Cell* 1, 707–718 (1998).
- 942 61. Mahadevaiah, S. K. *et al.* Recombinational DNA double-strand breaks in mice precede synapsis.
- 943 Nat Genet **27**, 271-276. (2001).
- 62. Moens, P. B. et al. The time course and chromosomal localization of recombination-related
- 945 proteins at meiosis in the mouse are compatible with models that can resolve the early DNA-
- 946 DNA interactions without reciprocal recombination. *Journal of Cell Science* **115**, 1611–1622
- 947 (2002).

- 948 63. Yoon, S., Choi, E.-H., Kim, J.-W. & Kim, K. P. Structured illumination microscopy imaging reveals
- 949 localization of replication protein A between chromosome lateral elements during mammalian
 950 meiosis. *Exp Mol Med* 50, 1–12 (2018).
- 951 64. Yang, F. *et al.* Meiotic failure in male mice lacking an X-linked factor. *Genes Dev.* 22, 682–691
 952 (2008).
- 953 65. Wassing, I. E. & Esashi, F. RAD51: Beyond the break. Semin Cell Dev Biol **113**, 38–46 (2021).
- 66. Koornneef, L. *et al.* Multi-color dSTORM microscopy in Hormad1-/- spermatocytes reveals
 alterations in meiotic recombination intermediates and synaptonemal complex structure. *PLOS Genetics* 18, e1010046 (2022).
- 957 67. Carofiglio, F. *et al.* SPO11-independent DNA repair foci and their role in meiotic silencing. *PLoS*958 *Genet* 9, e1003538 (2013).
- 68. Khil, P. P., Smagulova, F., Brick, K. M., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. V. Sensitive mapping
 of recombination hotspots using sequencing-based detection of ssDNA. *Genome Res* 22, 957–
 961 965 (2012).
- 962 69. Brick, K., Smagulova, F., Khil, P., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. V. Genetic recombination
 963 is directed away from functional genomic elements in mice. *Nature* 485, 642–645 (2012).
- P64 70. Lange, J. *et al.* The Landscape of Mouse Meiotic Double-Strand Break Formation, Processing, and
 P65 Repair. *Cell* 167, 695-708.e16 (2016).
- 966 71. Davies, B. *et al.* Re-engineering the zinc fingers of PRDM9 reverses hybrid sterility in mice. *Nature*967 530, 171–176 (2016).
- 968 72. Pittman, D. L., Weinberg, L. R. & Schimenti, J. C. Identification, characterization, and genetic
 969 mapping of Rad51d, a new mouse and human RAD51/RecA-related gene. *Genomics* 49, 103–111
 970 (1998).
- 971 73. Mercier, R., Mézard, C., Jenczewski, E., Macaisne, N. & Grelon, M. The Molecular Biology of
 972 Meiosis in Plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 66, 297–327 (2015).

- 973 74. Petukhova, G. V., Romanienko, P. J. & Camerini-Otero, R. D. The Hop2 Protein Has a Direct Role
 974 in Promoting Interhomolog Interactions during Mouse Meiosis. *Developmental Cell* 5, 927–936
 975 (2003).
- 976 75. Pezza, R. J., Petukhova, G. V., Ghirlando, R. & Camerini-Otero, R. D. Molecular activities of
 977 meiosis-specific proteins Hop2, Mnd1, and the Hop2-Mnd1 complex. *J Biol Chem* 281, 18426–
 978 18434 (2006).
- 979 76. Zhao, W. & Sung, P. Significance of ligand interactions involving Hop2-Mnd1 and the RAD51 and
 980 DMC1 recombinases in homologous DNA repair and XX ovarian dysgenesis. *Nucleic Acids*981 *Research* 43, 4055–4066 (2015).
- 982 77. Finsterbusch, F. *et al.* Alignment of Homologous Chromosomes and Effective Repair of
 983 Programmed DNA Double-Strand Breaks during Mouse Meiosis Require the Minichromosome
- 984 Maintenance Domain Containing 2 (MCMDC2) Protein. *PLOS Genetics* **12**, e1006393 (2016).
- 985 78. McNairn, A. J., Rinaldi, V. D. & Schimenti, J. C. Repair of Meiotic DNA Breaks and Homolog Pairing
 986 in Mouse Meiosis Requires a Minichromosome Maintenance (MCM) Paralog. *Genetics* 205, 529–
- 987 537 (2017).
- 988 79. Lutzmann, M. *et al.* MCM8- and MCM9-deficient mice reveal gametogenesis defects and genome
- 989 instability due to impaired homologous recombination. *Mol Cell* **47**, 523–534 (2012).
- 80. Hustedt, N. *et al.* Control of homologous recombination by the HROB–MCM8–MCM9 pathway. *Genes Dev.* **33**, 1397–1415 (2019).
- 81. Cole, F. *et al.* Mouse tetrad analysis provides insights into recombination mechanisms and
 hotspot evolutionary dynamics. *Nat Genet* 46, 1072–1080 (2014).
- 994 82. Piazza, A. & Heyer, W.-D. Moving forward one step back at a time: reversibility during
 995 homologous recombination. *Curr Genet* 65, 1333–1340 (2019).
- 996 83. Yu, D. S. et al. Dynamic Control of Rad51 Recombinase by Self-Association and Interaction with

997 BRCA2. *Molecular Cell* **12**, 1029–1041 (2003).

998 84. Lan, W.-H. et al. Rad51 facilitates filament assembly of meiosis-specific Dmc1 recombinase.

999 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **117**, 11257–11264 (2020).

- 1000 85. Viera, A. *et al.* PDS5 proteins regulate the length of axial elements and telomere integrity during
 1001 male mouse meiosis. *EMBO reports* **21**, e49273 (2020).
- 100286.Stok, C. *et al.* The FIGNL1-interacting protein C1orf112 is synthetic lethal with PICH and mediates1003RAD51retentiononchromatin.2022.10.07.511242Preprintat
- 1004 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.07.511242 (2022).
- 1005 87. Rinaldi, V. D., Bolcun-Filas, E., Kogo, H., Kurahashi, H. & Schimenti, J. C. The DNA Damage
 1006 Checkpoint Eliminates Mouse Oocytes with Chromosome Synapsis Failure. *Mol Cell* 67, 10261036.e2 (2017).
- 1008 88. Ravindranathan, R., Raveendran, K., Papanikos, F., San-Segundo, P. A. & Tóth, A. Chromosomal
 1009 synapsis defects can trigger oocyte apoptosis without elevating numbers of persistent DNA
 1010 breaks above wild-type levels. *Nucleic Acids Res* 50, 5617–5634 (2022).
- 1011 89. Bolcun-Filas, E. *et al.* Mutation of the Mouse Syce1 Gene Disrupts Synapsis and Suggests a Link
 1012 between Synaptonemal Complex Structural Components and DNA Repair. *PLOS Genetics* 5,
 1013 e1000393 (2009).
- 1014 90. Crichton, J. H., Dunce, J. M., Baarends, W. M., Davies, O. R. & Adams, I. R. Parallel recruitment 1015 pathways contribute to synaptonemal complex assembly during mammalian meiosis.
- 1016
 2022.04.14.488335 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.14.488335 (2022).
- 1017 91. Couturier, A. M. *et al.* Roles for APRIN (PDS5B) in homologous recombination and in ovarian
 1018 cancer prediction. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44, 10879–10897 (2016).
- Morales, C. *et al.* PDS5 proteins are required for proper cohesin dynamics and participate in
 replication fork protection. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 295, 146–157 (2020).
- 1021 93. Xu, H. *et al.* Molecular organization of mammalian meiotic chromosome axis revealed by
 1022 expansion STORM microscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116, 18423–
 1023 18428 (2019).

Schwenk, F., Baron, U. & Rajewsky, K. A cre -transgenic mouse strain for the ubiquitous deletion
 of loxP -flanked gene segments including deletion in germ cells. *Nucleic Acids Research* 23, 5080–

1026 5081 (1995).

- 1027 95. Abreu, C. M. *et al.* Shu complex SWS1-SWSAP1 promotes early steps in mouse meiotic
 1028 recombination. *Nat Commun* 9, 3961 (2018).
- 1029 96. Peters, A. H., Plug, A. W., van Vugt, M. J. & de Boer, P. A drying-down technique for the spreading
- 1030 of mammalian meiocytes from the male and female germline. *Chromosome research* 5, 66–8
 1031 (1997).
- 1032 97. Grey, C., Baudat, F. & Massy, B. de. Genome-Wide Control of the Distribution of Meiotic
 1033 Recombination. *PLOS Biology* 7, e1000035 (2009).
- 1034 98. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9,
 1035 676–682 (2012).
- 1036 99. Lachmanovich, E. et al. Co-localization analysis of complex formation among membrane proteins
- by computerized fluorescence microscopy: application to immunofluorescence co-patching
 studies. *Journal of Microscopy* 212, 122–131 (2003).
- 1039 100. Grey, C. *et al.* In vivo binding of PRDM9 reveals interactions with noncanonical genomic sites.
 1040 *Genome research* 27, 580–590 (2017).
- 1041 101. Brick, K., Pratto, F., Sun, C.-Y., Camerini-Otero, R. D. & Petukhova, G. Chapter Sixteen Analysis
- 1042 of Meiotic Double-Strand Break Initiation in Mammals. in *Methods in Enzymology* (eds. Spies, M.
- 1043 & Malkova, A.) vol. 601 391–418 (Academic Press, 2018).
- 1044 102. Li, Q., Brown, J. B., Huang, H. & Bickel, P. J. Measuring reproducibility of high-throughput 1045 experiments. *The Annals of Applied Statistics* **5**, 1752–1779 (2011).
- 1046 103. Ramírez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis.
- 1047 *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**, W160–W165 (2016).

- 1048 104. Gomes, X. V., Henricksen, L. A. & Wold, M. S. Proteolytic mapping of human replication protein
- 1049 A: Evidence for multiple structural domains and a conformational change upon interaction with
- 1050 single-stranded DNA. *Biochemistry* **35**, 5586–5595 (1996).
- 1051 105. Veaute, X. *et al.* The Srs2 helicase prevents recombination by disrupting Rad51 nucleoprotein
- 1052 filaments. *Nature* **423**, 309–312 (2003).
- 1053 106. Dubochet, J., Ducommun, M., Zollinger, M. & Kellenberger, E. A new preparation method for
- 1054 dark-field electron microscopy of biomacromolecules. Journal of ultrastructure research 35, 147–
- 1055 67 (1971).
- 1056
- 1057
- 1058
- 1059

1061 Supplementary Table 1. Primers used for mouse genotyping

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Genotype: amplicon
Firrm wild-type and floxed allele, forward	CTTGGCTCGCTTTGCTTTGA	WT: 304bp
Firrm wild-type and floxed allele, reverse	TGTAGTTTACATCTTCCCTATGACA	<i>Firrm^{fl}</i> : ~500bp
		Firrm ⁻ : -
Firrm floxed and deleted allele, forward	AAGGCGCATAACGATACCAC	WT: -
Firrm floxed and deleted allele, reverse	ACTGATGGCGAGCTCAGACC	<i>Firrm^{fl}</i> : ~1kb
		Firrm ⁻ : 178bp
Fignl1 wild-type and floxed allele, forward	GGGATCAAACACTAGGGTTCAGGC	WT: 200bp
Fignl1 wild-type and floxed allele, reverse	GATACAGTCTTCAAGATTAAGGACAACC	<i>Fignl1^{fl}</i> : 400bp
		Fignl1 ⁻ : -
Fignl1 deleted allele, forward	GGGATCAAACACTAGGGTTCAGGC	WT: -
Fignl1 deleted allele, reverse	CGGGTTACGGTAGTTTACTCCC	Fignl1 ^{fl} : -
		Fignl1 ⁻ : 412bp
Stra8-Cre transgenic allele, forward	GTGCAAGCTGAACAACAGGA	No transgene: -
Stra8-Cre transgenic allele, reverse	AGGGACACAGCATTGGAGTC	<i>Stra8-Cre</i> : ~150bp
Cmv-Cre transgenic allele, forward	TGGGCGGCATGGTGCAAGTT	No transgene: -
Cmv-Cre transgenic allele, reverse	CGGTGCTAACCAGCGTTTTC	Cmv-Cre: 466bp
Spo11 wild-type and YF allele, forward	CTGGTCGATGCAGATCCCTACGG	WT: 394bp
Spo11 wild-type and YF allele, reverse	TAGATGCACATTATCTCGATGCC	<i>Spo11^{YF}</i> : 482bp
Swsap1 wild-type and deleted allele, forward	TCTGTGAACTATAGCCAATGAGGC	WT: 396bp
Swsap1 wild-type and deleted allele, reverse	AACTGTCACTCAGGCGCGAACTAG	<i>Swsap1</i> ⁻ : 265bp

1065 Supplementary Table 2. List of antibodies used in this study

Antibody	Source	Identifier/Reference	Application	Dilution
		DOI:		
Guinea-pig anti-SYCP3	home made	10.1371/journal.pbio.1000035	IF, WB	1:500, 1:3000
Mouse anti-SYCP3	Abcam	ab97672	IF	1:200
Rabbit anti-SYCP1	Abcam	ab15090	IF	1:400
Mouse anti-yH2AX	Millipore	05-636-I	IF	1:10000
Guinea-pig anti-SYCP1	from H. Cook		IF	1:200
Rabbit anti-MSH4	Abcam	ab58666	IF	1:200
Rabbit anti-RPA2	Abcam	ab76420	IF	1:200
Rat anti-RPA2	Cell Signaling	2208	IF	1:200
Rabbit anti-DMC1	Santa Cruz	sc-22768	IF, WB	1:200, 1:1000
		DOI:		
Guinea-pig anti-DMC1	from Prof. Qinghua Shi	10.1016/j.molcel.2020.06.015	IF	1:100
Goat anti-DMC1	Santa Cruz	sc-8973	ChIP	
Rabbit anti-RAD51	Calbiochem	PC130	IF, WB	1:500, 1:1000
Rabbit anti-FIGNL1	Proteintech	17604-1-AP	WB	1:500
Rabbit anti-C1orf112 (anti-				
hFIRRM)	Abcam	ab121774	WB	1:500
Rabbit anti-beta Tubulin	Abcam	ab6046	WB	1:3000
Goat anti-rabbit A488	Molecular Probes	A-21206	IF	1:400
Goat anti-rabbit A555	Molecular Probes	A-21248	IF	1:400
Goat anti-rabbit Star Orange	Abberior GMBH	STORANGE-1002-5	IF -STED	1:100
Goat anti-guinea pig Cy3	Jackson	706-165-148	IF	1:400
Goat anti-guinea pig Cy5	Jackson	706-175-148	IF	1:400
Goat anti-guinea pig Star Red	Abberior GMBH	STRED-1006-500U	IF-STED	1:100
Donkey anti-rat A555	ThermoFisher	A48270	IF	1:400
Goat anti-rat Star Red	Abberior GMBH	STRED-1007-500U	IF-STED	1:100
Donkey anti-mouse A647	Thermo Fisher	ab150107	IF	1:400
Goat anti-mouse Star Green	Abberior GMBH	STGREEN-1001-50	IF-STED	1:100
Anti-rabbit HRP	Jackson Immunoresearch	711-035-152	WB	1:5000
Donkey anti-guinea pig HRP	Jackson Immunoresearch	706-035-148	WB	1:5000

1068 IF, immunofluorescence; WB, western blotting; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation

1074 Figure Legends

1075

1076 Figure 1a. Testis weight relative to body weight in control (n=24), Firrm cKO (n=15), Fignl1 cKO (n=4) 1077 and Firrm cKO Fignl1 cKO (n=1) adult mice (30 dpp to 95 dpp). Unpaired t-test, two-sided. b. Periodic 1078 acid-Schiff-stained testis sections from adult mice of the indicated genotypes. Spg, spermatogonia; 1079 Spc, spermatocytes; rSpt, round spermatids; eSpt, elongated spermatids. Scale bar, 40 µm. c. Western 1080 blot analysis of cytoplasmic (80µg) and nuclear (100µg) fractions from testes of 12 dpp mice of the 1081 indicated genotypes. d. Chromosome axes (SYCP3, red) and synaptonemal complex (SYCP1, green) 1082 were detected in spread leptotene, early zygotene (control) or zygotene-like (cKO), and pachytene 1083 (control) or late zygotene-like (cKO) spermatocyte nuclei from control, Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO mice. 1084 Scale bar, 10 µm. e. Distribution of spermatocytes at different meiotic prophase substages in juvenile 1085 Firrm cKO mice (indicated age) and in adult (8-week-old) Fign/1 cKO mice. Chi-square test. For all figures: ns, non-significant; *0.01< $p \le 0.05$; **0.001< $p \le 0.01$; ***0.0001< $p \le 0.001$; **** $p \le 0.0001$. 1086

1087

1088 Figure 2. Early recombination events are normal in *Firrm* cKO and *Fignl1* cKO spermatocytes.

a. Representative images of spread nuclei of pre-leptotene, early leptotene and leptotene
spermatocytes from control and *Firrm* cKO mice stained for SYCP3 and γH2AX. Scale bar, 20 µm. b.
Total nuclear γH2AX signal intensity in control (gray) and *Firrm* cKO (red) spermatocytes (n=2 mice per
genotype). c. Representative images of spread spermatocyte nuclei from 12 dpp control and *Firrm*cKO mice stained for SYCP3 and RPA2. Scale bar, 10 µm. d. Number of on-axis RPA2 foci in control
(gray), *Firrm* cKO (red) and *Fignl1* cKO (orange) spermatocytes. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test; n=5
(control), n=4 (*Firrm* cKO) and n=2 (*Fignl1* cKO) mice per genotype.

1096

1097 Figure 3. Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes accumulate RAD51 and DMC1, and are deficient 1098 for later meiotic HR intermediates. a. Representative images of zygotene spermatocyte spreads from 1099 control and Firrm cKO mice stained for SYCP3, RAD51 and DMC1. Scale bar, 5 um. b, c. Numbers of 1100 RAD51 (b) and DMC1 (c) foci in control and Firrm cKO (b), and in control, Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes (c). n=2 mice per genotype, except for RAD51 foci in Fignl1 cKO (n=1). d. Representative 1101 spreads of zygotene spermatocytes from 16 dpp control, *Firrm* cKO and *Spo11*^{YF/YF} mice stained with 1102 1103 SYCP3, SYCP1 and MSH4. Scale bar, 10 µm. e. MSH4 focus density along SYCP1-marked synaptonemal complex fragments in control, Firrm cKO, Spo11^{YF/YF} Firrm cKO, and Spo11^{YF/YF} zygotene/zygotene-like 1104 1105 spermatocytes. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test. n=3 mice per genotype. f. Preleptotene spermatocyte 1106 spreads from control and Firrm cKO mice stained for SYCP3, RPA2 (red) and RAD51 (green). Scale bar, 1107 10 µm. g. STED images of preleptotene spermatocyte spreads from control and Firrm cKO mice stained

for RAD51 (STAR ORANGE, green) and RPA2 (STAR RED, red). Scale bar, 1 μm. h-i. Number of RAD51 foci that colocalized with RPA2 foci (h) and of RPA2 foci that colocalized with RAD51 (i) in spreads of preleptotene control and *Fignl1* cKO spermatocyte nuclei (n=1 mouse per genotype). The observed (obs) and expected by chance (random) numbers of colocalized foci are shown. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test.

1113

1114 Figure 4. RAD51 and DMC1 patterns in mouse meiotic chromosomes. a-c. Number (a) of on-axis 1115 RAD51 foci that colocalized with on-axis DMC1 foci, and vice-versa, in spreads from control and Firrm 1116 cKO spermatocytes from 12 dpp mice. The observed (obs) and expected by chance (random) numbers 1117 of RAD51 foci that colocalized with DMC1 are shown in (a). Random, average of 100 simulations where 1118 the colocalization of randomly distributed DMC1 foci with actual RPA2 foci was measured. Wilcoxon 1119 two-tailed test. b,c. Percentage (corrected for random colocalization, see Methods) of on-axis RAD51 foci colocalized with on-axis DMC1 foci (b) and vice-versa (c). There were not enough on-axis RAD51 1120 1121 and DMC1 foci in early leptotene control spermatocytes to measure colocalization reliably. Mann-1122 Whitney two-tailed test. d. STED images of spreads of leptotene spermatocyte nuclei stained for SYCP3 1123 (STAR GREEN, white), RAD51 (STAR ORANGE, green), and DMC1 (STAR RED, red). e. STED images of 1124 spreads of zygotene/zygotene-like spermatocyte nuclei with extensive synaptonemal complexes, 1125 stained for SYCP3 (STAR 460L, white), RAD51 (STAR RED, red) and DMC1 (STAR ORANGE, green). f. 1126 Relative intensity of SYCP3 (black), RAD51 (red) and DMC1 (green) signal across the synaptonemal 1127 complex in control (across RAD51-DMC1 mixed foci) and Firrm cKO (outside regions of stronger focus-1128 like RAD51-DMC1 staining). Data are the mean of 12 sections from STED images of 3 different nuclei.

1129

Figure 5. FIRRM prevents DSB-independent accumulation of RAD51 and DMC1 in mouse
spermatocyte chromosomes. a. Spreads of representative control, *Firrm* cKO, *Spo11^{YF/YF} Firrm* cKO,
and *Spo11^{YF/YF}* early zygotene spermatocytes stained for SYCP3, DMC1 and RAD51. Scale bar, 10 μm.
b-c. Counts of on-axis RAD51 (b) and DMC1 (c) foci in spreads from control, *Firrm* cKO, *Spo11^{YF/YF} Firrm*cKO, and *Spo11^{YF/YF}* spermatocytes from 12 dpp mice. Foci overlapping with (on-axis, top panels) or
outside (Extended Data Figure 4c-d) chromosome axes, defined by the SYCP3 signal, were counted
separately. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test. n=2 mice per genotype.

1137

Figure 6. DMC1 is recruited at meiotic DSB hotspots in *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes. a-b. Percentages of on-axis RPA2 foci colocalized with on-axis DMC1 foci (a), and of DMC1 foci colocalized with RPA2 (b) in spreads from early leptotene to mid-zygotene/zygotene-like spermatocyte nuclei from control and *Firrm* cKO mice. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test. c. Numbers of and shared hotspots identified by DMC1-SSDS in spermatocytes from 12 dpp control and *Firrm* cKO mice. d. DMC1-SSDS signal 1143 correlation between control and Firrm cKO mice at hotspots identified in both genotypes. The 1144 Spearman rho and associated p-value (two-sided) are shown. Red and green dots indicate hotspots 1145 that were significantly over- and under-represented in *Firrm* cKO compared with control spermatocytes (DESeq2, p-value <0.1, log2FC >0 and log2FC <0, respectively). Unchanged autosomal 1146 1147 hotspots are represented in gray and chromosome X hotspots by black circled diamonds. e. Average plots (top) and corresponding heatmaps (bottom) of DMC1-SSDS intensity (fragments per million, 1148 1149 FPM) in control (left) and Firrm cKO mice (right) for hotspots that overlap with SPO11-oligo hotspots 1150 ⁷⁰ detected in both genotypes (common peaks), in control only (control-specific), or in *Firrm* cKO only 1151 (Firrm cKO-specific)(see Extended Data Fig. 7a). The center of intervals is defined as the center of 1152 SPO11-oligo peaks detected in B6 mice, as defined in (Lange, 2016). f. Normalized average distribution of ssDNA type 1 fragments (see Methods) originating from forward (fwd) and reverse strands (rev) at 1153 1154 common peaks, defined in (e), for control (red, orange) and Firrm cKO (blue, light blue). The SSDS signal was normalized to have the same cumulated amount of normalized signal from both forward and 1155 1156 reverse strands over common peaks (on 5-kb windows) for both genotypes.

1157

Figure 7. Firrm and Fign11 deletion restore RAD51 and DMC1 loading in Swsap1^{-/-} spermatocytes. a. 1158 Spreads of control, *Firrm* cKO, *Swsap1*^{-/-} *Firrm* cKO, and *Swsap1*^{-/-} early zygotene spermatocytes stained 1159 1160 for SYCP3 (gray), RAD51 (yellow) and RPA2 (magenta). Scale bar, 10 μm. **b-d.** Numbers of on-axis RPA2 (b), RAD51 (c) and DMC1 (d) foci in spreads from control, Fignl1 cKO, and Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1 cKO 1161 1162 spermatocytes from 17 dpp mice. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test. n=1 mouse per genotype. e-f. Percentage of on-axis RPA2 foci colocalized with on-axis RAD51 (e) or DMC1 (f) foci on spreads from 1163 1164 control, Fignl1 cKO, and Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes from 17dpp mice. The numbers of 1165 colocalized foci were corrected for the numbers expected by chance (see Methods).

1166

1167 Figure 8. FIGLN1 alters the architecture and the activity of RAD51 and DMC1 nucleoprotein 1168 filaments. a-b. Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA). 1 µM RAD51 or DMC1 was incubated (20 1169 minutes) with 3 μ M (nucleotide concentration) of a Cy5-labeled 400 nt ssDNA fragment (a) or a Cy5-1170 labeled 200 bp dsDNA fragment (b) with or without 1.6 μM human FIGNL1ΔN. For the pre-formed 1171 nucleofilament panels, RAD51 or DMC1 was incubated with DNA for 5 minutes before adding FIGNL1ΔN for 15 minutes. For the no pre-formed filament panels, RAD51 or DMC1 was added to the 1172 1173 reaction concomitantly with FIGNL1ΔN. c. Quantification of free dsDNA in the EMSA performed with dsDNA and without pre-formed nucleofilament shown in (b). n=2 per condition. Paired t-test, two-1174 1175 sided. d-f. Representative TEM images in positive (d) and negative staining (e) and length distribution 1176 (f) of RAD51 filaments assembled on 400 nt ssDNA fragments (ss400) without (left, ss400-RAD51) or 1177 with human FIGNL1ΔN (right, ss400-RAD51 + FIGNL1ΔN). Some very long filaments (>450nm) that 1178 formed in the presence of FIGNL1 Δ N (d) were not included in the quantification in (f) (see Extended 1179 Data Fig. 9b). g-h. FIGNL1ΔN inhibits the formation of a D-loop by RAD51 and DMC1 in vitro. 1180 Representative gel (RAD51 in the presence of increasing concentrations of FIGNL1∆N, from 0.4 to 1.6 1181 μ M) (g). Titration of FIGNL1 Δ N (h) in the D-loop assay. i. Model for possible (and non-exclusive) roles 1182 of the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex in regulating RAD51 and DMC1 in mouse spermatocytes. (i) The FIGNL1-FIRRM complex may limit the nuclear RAD51 level by sequestering a cytoplasmic RAD51 pool, possibly 1183 1184 by promoting RAD51 polymerization, thus preventing its mobilization by BRCA2. (ii) The FIGNL1-FIRRM 1185 complex might prevent the stabilization of transient dsDNA-RAD51 association at replication forks 1186 during premeiotic replication. (iii) During meiotic recombination, the FIGNL1-FIRRM complex might 1187 first promote indirectly the polymerization of a continuous DMC1 filament on the meiotic DSB 3' ssDNA 1188 overhang by preventing the loading of stable RAD51 patches on the 3' region of the ssDNA tails. This 1189 would allow the 5' to 3' polymerization of DMC1 (arrows) up to the 3' ends. A factor (e.g., the SWSAP1-1190 SWS1-SPIDR complex) may protect the RAD51 filament from FIGNL1-FIRRM-dependent dissociation in 1191 the dsDNA-proximal region of ssDNA tails. The formation of shorter/patchy DMC1 filaments in the 1192 absence of the FIGL1-FIRRM complex might not be fully functional for homology search, strand 1193 invasion and D-loop stabilization. Post-strand invasion, the FIGNL1-FLIP complex might also be involved 1194 in removing RAD51/DMC1 from invading ends involved in intersister (not shown) and/or interhomolog 1195 interactions.

1196

Extended Fig. 1. Structure of Firrm and Fignl1 cKO alleles. a. Genomic structure of the floxed and knockout (KO) *Firrm* and *Fignl1* alleles. Open boxes, coding exons; gray-filled boxes, non-coding exons.
b. The mouse FIRRM protein. The conserved DUF4487 domain is indicated, with the position of exon 7 deleted in the KO (generating a frameshift), and the following internal methionine (M, position 406).

Extended Fig. 2. Increased RAD51 and DMC1 loading, and defective MSH4 and TEX11 focus 1202 1203 formation, in Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes. a. Representative images of pre-leptotene to 1204 late zygotene spermatocyte spreads from control and Firrm cKO mice stained for SYCP3, RAD51 and 1205 DMC1. Scale bar, 10 µm. **2b.** Spreads of zygotene spermatocytes from 16 dpp control and *Firrm* cKO 1206 mice stained with SYCP3 and TEX11. c. Number of MSH4 foci along SYCP1-marked synaptonemal complex fragments in control, Firrm cKO, Spo11^{YF/YF} Firrm cKO, and Spo11^{YF/YF} zygotene or zygotene-1207 1208 like spermatocytes. The number of MSH4 foci varied with the SC length in control and Firrm cKO 1209 spermatocytes. The linear regression fit is shown, with the standard error. d. Numbers of off-axis RPA2 1210 foci in control (gray), Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO spermatocytes (red). Mann-Whitney two-tailed test. 1211 n=3 (control) and n=2 (Firrm cKO and Fignl1 cKO) mice per genotype. e. Numbers of all and of 1212 colocalized RAD51 (green) and RPA2 (red) foci in spreads of preleptotene control and Fignl1 cKO spermatocyte nuclei (n=1 mouse per genotype). The numbers of colocalized foci were corrected for
the number of colocalized foci expected by chance (see Methods). Mann-Whitney two-tailed test.

1215

1216 Extended Fig. 3. Colocalization of on- and off-axis DMC1 and RAD51 foci in *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes. 1217 a. Number of on-axis DMC1 foci colocalized with on-axis RAD51 foci from early leptotene to midzygotene/zygotene-like stage in control and *Firrm* cKO spread spermatocyte nuclei from 12 dpp mice. 1218 1219 The observed (obs) and expected by chance (random) numbers of RAD51 foci colocalized with DMC1 1220 are shown. obs, number of detected colocalized foci. Random, average of 100 simulations where the 1221 colocalization of randomly distributed RAD51 foci with actual RPA2 foci was measured. Wilcoxon two-1222 tailed test. b, c. Number of off-axis RAD51 foci colocalized with off-axis DMC1 foci (b) and number of off-axis DMC1 foci colocalized with off-axis RAD51 foci (c) from preleptotene to mid-1223 1224 zygotene/zygotene-like in spread spermatocyte nuclei from 12 dpp control and Firrm cKO mice. d, e. Percentage of RAD51 foci colocalized with DMC1 (d), and of DMC1 foci colocalized with RAD51 (e), 1225 1226 corrected for random colocalization. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test.

1227

1228 Extended Fig. 4. Meiotic DSBs do not form in *Spo11*^{YF/YF} *Firrm* cKO spermatocytes. Representative 1229 spread nuclei of spermatocytes from control, *Firrm* cKO, *Spo11*^{YF/YF} *Firrm* cKO, and *Spo11*^{YF/YF} mice 1230 stained for SYCP3, SYCP1 and γH2AX (a) or for SYCP3 and RPA2 (b). Scale bar, 10 µm.

1231

Extended Fig. 5. SPO11 DSB-independent DMC1 and RAD51 foci colocalize in *Spo11^{VF/VF} Firrm* cKO spermatocytes. a-f. Numbers of off-axis RAD51 (a) and DMC1 (b) foci for control, *Firrm* cKO, *Spo11^{VF/VF} Firrm* cKO, and *Spo11^{VF/VF}* spermatocyte spreads. n=2 mice per genotype. Mann-Whitney two-tailed test c-f. Number (c-d) and percentage (corrected for random colocalization) (e-f), of on-axis RAD51 foci colocalized with on-axis DMC1 foci (c,e) and vice-versa (d,f), from early leptotene to midzygotene/zygotene-like on spread from spermatocytes of 12 dpp control, *Firrm* cKO, *Spo11^{VF/VF} Firrm* cKO, and *Spo11^{VF/VF}* mice. n=2 mice per genotype.

1239

1240 Extended Fig. 6. Similar numbers of DMC1 and RPA foci colocalize in wild-type and Firrm cKO 1241 spermatocytes. a-b. Number of on-axis DMC1 foci colocalized with on-axis RPA2 foci on spreads from early leptotene to mid-zygotene/zygotene-like spermatocyte nuclei from control and Firrm cKO mice. 1242 1243 The observed (obs) and expected by chance (random) numbers of DMC1 foci colocalized with RPA2 1244 are shown in (a), while the counts are corrected for the number expected by chance in (b). obs, number 1245 of detected colocalizing foci. Random, average of 100 simulations where the colocalization of randomly 1246 distributed DMC1 foci with actual RPA2 foci was measured. Wilcoxon two-tailed test (a). Mann-1247 Whitney two-tailed test (b). c-f. Number (c-d) and percentages (e-f) of on-axis DMC1 foci colocalized 1248 with on-axis RPA2 foci on spreads from early leptotene to mid-zygotene/zygotene-like spermatocyte 1249 nuclei from control and Fignl1 cKO mice. The observed (obs) and expected by chance (random) counts 1250 of DMC1 foci colocalized with RPA2 are shown in (c), while the counts were corrected for the number 1251 expected by chance in (d). obs, number of observed colocalizing foci. Random, average of 100 1252 simulations where the colocalization of randomly distributed on-axis DMC1 foci with actual on-axis RPA2 foci was measured. Wilcoxon two-tailed test. e, f. Percentage (corrected for random 1253 1254 colocalization) of DMC1 foci colocalized with RPA2 (e), and of RPA2 foci colocalized with DMC1 (f). 1255 Mann-Whitney two-tailed test.

1256

1257 Extended Fig. 7. DMC1 recruitment at meiotic DSB hotspots in Firrm cKO spermatocytes. a. Numbers and overlap of hotspots identified by DMC1-SSDS in spermatocytes from 12 dpp control and Firrm cKO 1258 mice, and of SPO11-oligo hotspots detected in C57BL/6J mice in ⁷⁰. **b-c**. Average plots (top) and 1259 corresponding heatmaps (bottom) of DMC1-SSDS signal in control and Firrm cKO mice (2 biological 1260 1261 replicates/each), at all common, control-specific, and Firrm cKO-specific DMC1 hotspots identified in 1262 our analysis (b), and at hotspots overlapping with SPO11-oligo hotspots detected in C57BL/6J mice (c). 1263 In (c), the center of the intervals was the center of SPO11-oligo peaks detected in B6 mice, as defined 1264 in (Lange, 2016). d. Average DMC1-SSDS signal distribution at common DMC1 hotspots, defined in (c), 1265 at autosomal hotspots (left panel) and at X and Y chromosome hotspots (right panel), for control (blue) 1266 and Firrm cKO (red). The DMC1-SSDS signal was normalized to have the same total amount of 1267 normalized signal for all common hotspots (on 5-kb windows) in both genotypes. The relative excess 1268 of DMC1-SSDS signal at X-Y chromosome hotspots in control is clear. e. Average plots of DMC1-SSDS 1269 signal intensity (in FPM) at common hotspots defined in (c), ranked within 5 bins of decreasing 1270 intensity.

1271

Extended Fig. 8. Fignl1 deletion restores the formation of RAD51 and DMC1 loading in Swsap1-/-1272 1273 spermatocytes. a-c. Numbers of off-axis RPA2 (a), RAD51 (b) and DMC1 (c) foci detected on 1274 spermatocyte spreads from 17 dpp control, *Fignl1* cKO, and *Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1* cKO mice. Mann-Whitney 1275 two-tailed test. n=1 mouse per genotype. d-g. Numbers (d-e) or percentages (f-g) of on-axis RAD51 1276 (d,f), and DMC1 (e,g) foci colocalized with on-axis RPA2 foci in spermatocyte spreads from 17 dpp control, Fignl1 cKO, and Swsap1^{-/-} Fignl1 cKO mice. The numbers of colocalized foci were corrected to 1277 1278 the number expected by chance (see Methods). Mann-Whitney two-tailed test. n=1 mouse per 1279 genotype.

1280

Extended Fig. 9. FIGLN1 alters the architecture and the activity of RAD51 and DMC1 nucleoprotein
 filaments. a. Purification of recombinant Histidine-tagged human FIGNL1ΔN284 protein from *E. coli*.

1283 Top panel, SDS-page analysis of proteins in total protein lysate (L), soluble protein fraction (S), flow-1284 through (FT) from Hi-trap column, wash, and elution fractions (E1 to E7). Bottom panel, SDS-PAGE 1285 analysis of protein fractions collected during the gel filtration purification. Fractions E3, E4, and E5 1286 from previous step were pooled and are shown as input control. Red arrows indicate recombinant His-1287 FIGNL1ΔN284 with an expected size of 46kDa. F11 and F12 fractions were used for biochemical assays 1288 in this study. b. Length distribution of RAD51 filaments formed on 400 nt ssDNA fragments without 1289 (ss400-RAD51) or with (ss400-RAD51+ FIGNL1 Δ N) 1.6 μ M human FIGNL1 Δ N. Note the presence of 1290 >450nm-long filaments when FIGNL1 Δ N is present that were not included in the quantification shown 1291 in Figure 8f. c. Representative TEM images of RAD51 in the presence of ATP but in the absence of DNA 1292 (negative staining, left), and in presence of human FIGNL1 Δ N (negative staining, scale bar 100nm, top 1293 right panel; and positive staining, scale bar 500nm, bottom panel). Note the presence of long filaments 1294 despite the absence of DNA. d. Representative TEM images (negative staining) of DMC1 filaments 1295 assembled on a 400 bp dsDNA (top) or 400 nt ssDNA (bottom) fragment, without (left) or with human 1296 FIGNL1ΔN (right). Scale bar, 100 nm. 1297

Source Data. a-b. Uncropped image of the gels shown in Fig. 8a-b. Unlabeled lanes are not displayedon the final figure.

















on-axis RPA2 foci colocalized with RAD51 Early Mid-Leptotene Zygotene Zygotene



on-axis RPA2 foci colocalized with DMC1

Swsap 1^{-/-}FignI1cKC

DMC1

Early Zygotene

,*** ****

Mid-Zygotene

8 S Swsap 1^{7/-}Fign11cKO

Fian/1cK

Swsap 1'

Leptotene

**** ****

Swsap 1"-Fign11cKO

Fign/1 cKC















а		Zygotene/ Zvgotene-like	Late Zygotene/ Zvgotene-like	b	Zygotene/ Zygotene-like	
	Leptotene	(little SC)	(extensive SC)		RPA2	SYCP3 RPA2
control						
Firrm cKO						
Spo11 ^{YF/YF}						
Spo11 ^{YF/YF} Firrm cKO	***					
	SYCP3 SYCP1	γΗ2ΑΧ				



















