

Metal-Free Catalytic Hydrogenolysis of Chlorosilanes into Hydrosilanes with "Inverse" Frustrated Lewis Pairs

Gabriel Durin, Jean-claude Berthet, Pierre Thuéry, Emmanuel Nicolas,

Thibault Cantat

▶ To cite this version:

Gabriel Durin, Jean-claude Berthet, Pierre Thuéry, Emmanuel Nicolas, Thibault Cantat. Metal-Free Catalytic Hydrogenolysis of Chlorosilanes into Hydrosilanes with "Inverse" Frustrated Lewis Pairs. Chemistry - A European Journal, 2023, 29 (61), 10.1002/chem.202302155. hal-04236744

HAL Id: hal-04236744 https://hal.science/hal-04236744

Submitted on 11 Oct 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202302155



Metal-Free Catalytic Hydrogenolysis of Chlorosilanes into Hydrosilanes with "Inverse" Frustrated Lewis Pairs**

Gabriel Durin,^[a] Jean-Claude Berthet,^[a] Pierre Thuéry,^[a] Emmanuel Nicolas,^[a] and Thibault Cantat^{*[a]}

The challenging metal-free catalytic hydrogenolysis of silyl chlorides to hydrosilanes is unlocked by using an inverse frustrated Lewis pair (FLP), combining a mild Lewis acid (Cy₂BCl) and a strong phosphazene base (BTPP) in mild conditions (10 bar of H_2 , r.t.). In the presence of a stoichiometric amount of the base, the hydrosilanes R_3 SiH (R=Me, Et, Ph) are generated

Introduction

Hydrosilanes are important compounds for the production of a variety of organosilicon compounds^[1] and attract increasing interest in catalysis for their ability to reduce, in mild conditions, a variety of functional groups such as esters or amides,^[2] with high selectivity and efficiency. In particular, they offer thermo-dynamic and kinetic advantages over the utilization of dihydrogen^[3] to reduce a variety of oxygenated molecules and materials (lignin, plastics, and CO₂) viewed as an alternative feedstock to replace oil.^[4] Their synthesis however currently involves potent reducing agents such as NaH and LiAlH₄,^[5] resulting in energy intensive overall processes. Their formation using H₂, a mild and cheap reductant, which is also easily formed from renewable energy and water, would be an attractive and more sustainable route to such compounds.^[6]

Catalytic hydrogenolysis of halosilanes (I, Br) and triflate (OTf) derivatives has been introduced very recently by the groups of Shimada, Schneider, and Cantat with the use of molecular complexes of noble metals (Ru and Ir).^[7] In this transformation, a stoichiometric quantity of a base is required to drive the thermodynamics of the reaction by trapping the HX proton generated upon hydrogenolysis. The $Si-X \rightarrow Si-H$ reaction is sensitive to the steric hindrance of the silane, and the reactivity is in the order OTf>I>Br \geq Cl. In all cases, chlorosi-

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202302155
- © 2023 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

in moderate to high yields (up to 95%) from their chlorinated counterparts. A selective formation of the valuable difunctional monohydride Me_2SiHCI is also obtained from Me_2SiCI_2 . A mechanism is proposed based on stoichiometric experiments and DFT calculations; it highlights the critical role of borohydride species generated by the heterolytic splitting of H_2 .

lanes proved almost inert^[8] and could only be activated by the addition of a stoichiometric amount of additive (Nal, Na(BAr^F₄) (Ar^F = 3,5–(CF₃)₂C₆H₃)) that favors halide exchanges. A key milestone was achieved by combining an iridium(III) catalyst with a judicious base to access highly reactive iridium polyhydride intermediates, able to promote the catalytic hydrogenolysis of chlorosilanes without the need for a chloride abstractor (Scheme 1).^[9]

The critical steps in the hydrogenolysis of Si-X bonds rely on the formation, from H₂ and a stoichiometric base, of transient neutral or anionic hydride species, reactive enough to transfer further a hydride group to the silicon atom. To avoid the use of noble metal complexes, we considered the use of boron-based organocatalysts, able to engage in Frustrated Lewis Pairs (FLPs) reactivity to activate H₂ and generate borohydrides (Scheme 2), the latter species being known to react with chlorosilanes.^[10] We have previously reported the first metal-free hydrogenolysis of silyl triflate and halides (I, Br) into hydrosilanes with a variety of di- or triarylfluoroboranes, which behave as classical FLPs with amines, such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (TMP).^[11] A similar procedure was described for the hydrodehalogenation of benzyl halides.^[12] Yet, this catalytic system could not convert the less reactive chlorosilanes (Scheme 2).

We report here an efficient catalytic route to convert chlorosilanes into hydrosilanes (with yields up to 95%) under a low pressure of dihydrogen by using Cy₂BX (X=H, Cl) boranes as catalysts. The mild Lewis acids can react as an *inverse* FLP when the phosphazene BTPP is used as a superbase (BTPP = *tert*-Butylimino-tri(pyrrolidino)phosphorane).

To tackle the metal-free hydrogenolysis of chlorosilanes, we felt it might be successful to increase the hydridic character of the [B]-H⁻ species obtained by H₂ splitting with an FLP. However, a decrease in the Lewis acidity of the borane must be counterbalanced by an increase in the basicity of the amine in order to activate H₂.^[13] This was demonstrated by Krempner et al. in their pioneering work on the *inverse* frustrated Lewis pairs that relied on the combination of a weak or moderate Lewis acid and a strong Lewis base to cleave H₂ heterolytically

 [[]a] Dr. G. Durin, Dr. J.-C. Berthet, Dr. P. Thuéry, Dr. E. Nicolas, Dr. T. Cantat Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, NIMBE
 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette (France)
 E-mail: thibault.cantat@cea.fr
 Homepage: https://iramis.cea.fr/Pisp/thibault.cantat/

^[**] A previous version of this manuscript has been deposited on a preprint server (https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-223-sm9h2).

Chem. Eur. J. 2023, e202302155 (1 of 7)

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202302155





Scheme 1. Hydrogenolysis routes for the conversion of chlorosilanes to hydrosilanes ($Ar^{F} = 3,5 - (CF_{3})_{2}C_{6}H_{3}$).



Scheme 2. Hydrogenolysis of Me_3SiX by Frustrated Lewis pairs and H_2 activation by inverse FLPs.

(Scheme 2).^[14] We thus investigated the hydrogenolysis of Me₃SiCl in the presence of the *inverse* FLPs Ph₃B or Mes₂BH (10 mol%) (Mes = 1,3,5-trimethylphenyl), with BTPP (1 eq), under 10 bar of H₂ in CD₂Cl₂ (Table 1). After 24 h at room temperature, Me₃SiH was obtained in 20% and 11%, respectively (Table 1, entries 1 and 2), and the yields did not significantly improve after prolonged reaction times (less than 5% increase after 7 days for the two reactions). The selectivity in Me₃SiH was good to excellent (69%–90%), clearly demon-

strating the potential of inverse FLPs to unlock the conversion of chlorosilanes. Replacing the arylborane (Ph₃B, Mes₂BH) with an alkylborane, e.g., 9-BBN (9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane) or Cy₂BH, significantly increased the yields in Me₃SiH. They reached 44% and 84%, respectively, after 24 h, and the selectivity was excellent (Table 1, entries 3 and 4). However, no reaction took place with catecholborane (CatBH) (Table 1, entry 6). Chlorodicyclohexylborane (10 mol%) proved to be the best catalyst affording selectively Me₃SiH in 92% yield after 24 h (95% after 38 h) in dichloromethane (Table 1, entry 7). Halving the catalyst loading (5 mol%) led to a slight decrease of the yield in Me₃SiH (92% to 89%, Table 1, entry 8), while a decrease of the H_2 pressure (from 10 to 5 bar) had a more significant influence on the yield, down to 64% (Table 1, entry 9). When the reaction is performed without H₂, only 4% of Me₃SiH is formed when Cy₂BH is used as a Lewis acid, confirming that H₂ is the hydride source (Table 1, entry 5, and Scheme 4). This is corroborated by a labeling experiment under D₂ (10 bar), where the signal of the Me₃Si moiety (a doublet for Me₃SiH) becomes a singlet as expected for the formation of Me₃SiD (see Supporting Information Section 2.1.2).

With the catalyst Cy₂BCl in hand, the role of the superbase was evaluated in the catalytic hydrogenolysis of Me₃SiCl, exchanging the phosphazene BTPP for the guanidine BTMG (2-*tert*-butyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine) and the phosphazene



5213765,0,

P₁^tBu (*tert*-Butylimino-tris(dimethylamino)phosphorane). Although these two nitrogen bases have similar steric hindrances, they differ by their pKa (26.5 for BTMG and 27.0 for P₁^tBu in MeCN, compared to 28.4 for BTPP).^[15] While no traces of Me₃SiH could be detected with BTMG, the yield in hydrosilane reached 14% with P₁^tBu (Table 1, entries 10 and 11), far from the >95% yield obtained with BTPP (Table 1, entry 7). An increase in the basicity thus leads to higher yields in the formation of the hydrosilane.

The scope of the hydrogenolysis was then extended to other mono and dichlorosilanes, namely Et_3SiCl , Ph_3SiCl , and Me_2SiCl_2 , using the optimized conditions (Table 2). Increased

steric hindrance in the chlorosilane did not significantly alter the yields in hydrosilane: Et₃SiH and Ph₃SiH are obtained in slightly lower yields albeit much more slowly (84% and 86% respectively in 48 h) than Me₃SiH (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). This broader applicability contrasts with previous reports using metal catalysts that are much more sensitive to substrate steric hindrance (Ru, Ir).^[7a-c,9] For example, with an iridium(I) carbene catalyst, the group of Shimada reported that while Me₃SiH is obtained from Me₃SiOTf in 93% yield after 8 h, the hydrogenolysis of Et₃SiOTf to Et₃SiH (84%) requires 4 days of reaction.^[7a] A drop of 20% in yield was also reported when Me₃SiCl was switched for Et₃SiCl in the Nal-promoted, Ir(I)-

	Table 1. Screening of Lewis acid and base for the hydrogenolysis of Me ₃ SiCl. ^[a]													
	LA (10 mol%)													
		H_2 (10 bar)												
	$Me_3SICI + Base \longrightarrow Me_3SIH + [BaseH]CI $ 1.1eq CD_2Cl_2 , r.t.													
	Entry	p(H ₂)	Lewis Acid	Hydricity	Base	Conv.	%yield	Reaction time						
		[bar]	(LA)	$[kcal mol^{-1}]^{[16]}$		[%] ^[b]	[Select.] ^[b]	[h]						
	1	10	Ph₃B	36	BTPP	22	20 (91)	24						
	2	10	Mes_2BH	34	BTPP	16	11 (69)	24						
	3	10	9-BBN	33	BTPP	50	44 (88)	24						
	4	10	Cy ₂ BH	30	BTPP	85	84 (99)	24						
	5 ^[c]	0	Cy₂BH	30	BTPP	6	4 (67)	24						
	6	10	CatBH	26	BTPP	0	-	48						
	7	10	Cy ₂ BCI	35	BTPP	95	92 (97)	24						
						99	95 (96)	38						
	8 ^[d]	10	Cy ₂ BCI	35	BTPP	91	89 (98)	24						
	9	5	Cy ₂ BCI	35	BTPP	65	64 (99)	24						
	10	10	Cy ₂ BCI	35	P ₁ ^t Bu	20	14 (70)	48						
	11	10	Cy ₂ BCI	35	BTMG	0	-	48						
1	1													

[a] General conditions: 0.1 mmol of Me_3SiCl , 0.11 mmol of the base, 10 µmol of LA (10 mol%), 0.5 mL of solvent, room temperature. [b] Conversions, selectivities, and yields were determined by ¹H NMR integration of the R_3Si signals versus an internal standard (1,3,5-trimethylbenzene). [c] Under argon atmosphere. [d] 5 mol% of LA.

Table 2. Scope of the hydrogenolysis of chlorosilanes with BTPP and Cy2BCI. ^[a]												
	$R_{4-n}SiCl_n + \underbrace{BTPP}_{1.1 \text{ eq}} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} Cy_2BCl (10 \text{ mol}\%) \\ H_2 (10 \text{ bar}) \\ CD_2Cl_2, r.t. \end{array}} R_{4-n}SiH_nCl_{n-1} + [BTPPH]Cl$											
Entry	Chlorosilane	Product	Conv.	Yield (Select.)	Time							
			[%] ^[b]	[%] ^[b]	[h]							
1	Et₃SiCl	Et₃SiH	85	84 (99)	48							
2	Ph₃SiCl	Ph₃SiH	89	86 (97)	48							
3	Me ₂ SiCl ₂	Me₂SiHCI	76	59 (78)	300							
		Me_2SiH_2		6 (9)								
4 ^[c]	Me ₂ SiCl ₂	Me₂SiHCl	98	48 (48)	72							
		Me ₂ SiH ₂		10 (10)								

[a] General conditions: 0.1 mmol of Me_3SiCl , 0.11 mmol of BTPP, 10 μ mol of LA, 0.5 mL of solvent at room temperature. [b] Conversions, selectivity, and yields were determined by ¹H NMR integration of the R_3Si signals versus an internal standard (1,3,5-trimethylbenzene). [c] 2 equiv. of BTPP was used. A complex mixture of silylated side products was also obtained.

© 2023 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



catalyzed hydrogenolysis reaction, as reported by the Shimada group.^[7b] Of interest, Me₂SiCl₂ was successfully converted to Me₂SiHCl in 59% yield after 300 h. A good selectivity of 78% was obtained, Me₂SiH₂ being formed as a side-product (Table 2, entry 3). This is the best selectivity reported so far for the formation of Me₂SiHCl, by hydrogenation. Such hydridochloro compounds involving two reactive sites (H and Cl) are interesting in the industry since they can promote further functionalization in silicon polymer chemistry.^[17] Adding a second equivalent of BTPP to the previous reaction increased the conversion to 98% but did not result in the selective formation of Me₂SiH₂, which is formed in 10% yield, while Me₂SiHCl (48%) remained the major product. The decrease in selectivity mainly reflects secondary redistribution reactions, similar to those described by Holthausen and Auner.^[18]

We were then interested in the mechanism of the reaction and, more precisely, in the nature of the active hydride species able to reduce the Si-Cl bond. First, the behavior of the superbase with the reagents (boranes and chlorosilanes) was investigated, starting with the stoichiometric addition of the base to a solution of Cy₂BX in CD₂Cl₂ (X = H, CI). When combined in a 1:1 ratio, Cy_2BH and BTPP gave a colorless solution in CD₂Cl₂. No interaction could be detected from the ¹H spectrum, the signals being those of the free compounds (Scheme 3 and see Supporting Information Section 2.2.2). However, in contrast, a CD₂Cl₂ solution of Cy₂BCl turned immediately yellow in the presence of BTPP: a new species with broadened ¹H NMR signals was observed, indicating significant interaction between the chloroborane and the base. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of the mixture evidenced two singlets, one for the free Cy₂BCI (δ = 66.9 ppm)



Scheme 3. Stoichiometric reactions between Cy₂BX (X=H, Cl), BTPP, and H₂.

and another shifted upfield at 6.98 ppm, characteristic of tetrahedral boron, which we assigned to the Cy₂BCl \leftarrow BTPP adduct, obtained in ca. 20% yield (Scheme 3 and see Supporting Information Section 2.2.1). Of interest, no reaction was observed by ¹H NMR between Me₃SiCl and BTPP in dichloromethane.^[11] Moreover, we already showed in a previous report that Me₃SiCl is more reactive than [Me₃SiBTPP]Cl for hydride transfers.^[9] Despite the presence of a Cy₂BCl \leftarrow BTPP adduct, its formation is reversible, and the H₂ splitting still occurs.^[19] Indeed, exposing a solution of Cy₂BCl and BTPP under 10 bar of H₂ led to the formation of dicyclohexylborane after 18 h, which was isolated (53% yield) (Scheme 3).

Next, we investigated the hydride transfer step. The stoichiometric reaction between dicyclohexylborane (Cy₂BH) and Me₃SiCl showed no trace of Me₃SiH. However, when BTPP (1 eq) was added to a dichloromethane solution of Cy₂BH and Me₃SiCl, we observed the slow formation of Me₃SiH (4% in 24 h and 34% after 48 h) (see Table 1, entry 5 and Scheme 4). This led us to consider the transient formation of an anionic [Cy₂BHCl]⁻ species as the reactive hydride donor. Indeed, BTPP and Me₃SiCl can react to form the silylium salt [(BTPP)SiMe₃]Cl,^[9] which can further transfer its chloride to Cy2BH to form [Cy₂BHCl][BTTP(SiMe₃)]. To support this hypothesis, we reacted ["Bu₄N]Cl and Cy₂BH in THF-d₈ and observed the formation of $[Cy_2BHCI][^nBu_4N]$ ($\delta = 8.20$ ppm in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum; see Supporting Information Section 2.2.4). This chloroborohydride did react, albeit very slowly, in CD₂Cl₂ and under argon, with Me₃SiCl to give the hydrosilane, and only 4% of Me₃SiH were obtained after 48 h (see Supporting Information Section 2.2.5), compared to a catalytic reaction proceeding to full conversion within 24 h (Table 1, entry 7). While [Cy₂BHCl]⁻ cannot be ruled out as one of the active species, other hydride donors may be involved. We thus considered the formation of dihydride $[Cy_2BH_2]^-$ that might arise from the reaction between Cy_2BH , H_2 , and BTPP. This species is a stronger hydride donor than $[Cy_2BCIH]^-$ as highlighted by a lower hydricity value (30 vs. 35).^[16] Despite our efforts, we could not generate [Cy₂BH₂]⁻. However, similar dihydride species have already been obtained from H_2 splitting and structurally characterized. $^{[14a,20]}$ To confirm this hypothesis, we have synthesized the stable [9-BBNH][^{i^{Pr}VBH}] compound (^{iPr}VB = 2,8,9-Triisopropyl-2,5,8,9-tetraaza-1-phosphabicyclo [3.3.3]undecane, the Verkade base) previously reported

$$Cy_{2}BH + Me_{3}SiCl \xrightarrow{CD_{2}Cl_{2}} \text{ no reaction}$$

$$Cy_{2}BH + Me_{3}SiCl \xrightarrow{BTPP} CD_{2}Cl_{2}, r.t., 48 \text{ h} \xrightarrow{Me_{3}SiH} + Cy_{2}BCl \xrightarrow{34 \%}$$

$$Cy_{2}BH + Me_{3}SiCl \xrightarrow{[^{7}Bu_{4}N]Cl} CD_{2}Cl_{2}, r.t., 48 \text{ h} \xrightarrow{Me_{3}SiH} + Cy_{2}BCl \xrightarrow{4 \%}$$

$$[9BBNH][^{Pr}VBH] + Me_{3}SiCl \xrightarrow{CD_{2}Cl_{2}, r.t., 5 \text{ min.}} \xrightarrow{Me_{3}SiH} + 9BBN + [^{Pr}VBH]Cl \xrightarrow{96 \%}$$

Scheme 4. Stoichiometric reactions between hydroboranes and Me_3SiCI .

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$ 2023 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

anionic boron dihydride [Cy₂BH₂]⁻.

species

hydride to Me₃SiCl to form Me₃SiH and the byproduct [BTPPH]Cl

($\Delta G = -3.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$; $\Delta G^{+} = +23.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). The borohy-

dride [Cy₂BHCl][BTPPH] can also easily release its chloride anion

to give the borane Cy₂BH ($\Delta\Delta G = -8.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$; $\Delta\Delta G^{+} =$

+0.8 kcal mol⁻¹). The latter borane cannot transfer its hydride directly to Me₃SiCl due to an inaccessible transition state

 $(\Delta\Delta G^{*} = +43.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$, in line with the experimental obser-

[CyBH₂][BTPPH] is endergonic ($\Delta\Delta G = +15.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) with an energy barrier of 28.9 kcal mol⁻¹. This dialkylboron dihydride can readily transfer a hydride to Me₃SiCl in an exergonic process $(\Delta\Delta G = -17.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ via a TS at $+22.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. Cy₂BH is

Splitting of H₂ by the *inverse* FLP Cy₂BH:BTPP to give

[Cy₂BHCI][BTPPH]

chloroborohydride

vations (see above).



by Krempner.^[14a] Addition of a solution of Me₃SiCl in CD₂Cl₂ on then regenerated concomitantly to the formation of the hydroca. 5 equiv. of this borohydride readily gave Me₃SiH almost silane and the byproduct [BTPPH]Cl, thereby closing a catalytic quantitatively (see Supporting Information Section 2.2.7). Such cycle with an overall exergonicity of 3.9 kcal mol⁻¹. The total energetic span of the catalytic cycle, 30.1 kcal mol⁻¹, is only a reaction occurs within minutes at r.t. and suggests that the most active hydride transfer species would be the transient slightly higher than expected within the reaction conditions (24 h at r.t. under 10 bar of H₂) and defined by the formation of To support the experimental data and to get further the borohydride [CyBH₂][BTPPH] from the splitting of H₂ with mechanistic insights, DFT calculations have been performed at Cy₂BH and BTPP (TS₅). the M06-2X/6-311 + G(d) level, using the SMD model to account for the solvation in CH₂Cl₂ (Scheme 5; see Supporting Informa-Conclusions tion Section 3 for details). Starting from Cy₂BCl, heterolytic splitting of H₂ by the Cy₂BCI:BTPP inverse FLP gives the $(\Delta G =$ The catalytic hydrogenolysis of chlorosilanes is highly challeng-+7.7 kcalmol⁻¹) through a high energy transition state at +30.3 kcalmol⁻¹. The latter borohydride can then transfer its

ing due to the strength of the Si--Cl bond, and all the rare reports use noble metal catalysts (Ru, Ir). We have developed a new transition metal-free catalytic pathway that combines mild Lewis acid catalysts (boranes) with a nitrogen superbase in an inverse FLP strategy. The inverse FLP combining Cy₂BH, particularly the cost-efficient Cy₂BCl, with BTPP, proved the most efficient to afford hydrosilanes in high yields and under mild conditions (r.t., 10 bar H₂). Experimental evidence and theoretical calculations support a mechanism where reactive borohydride species (Cy₂BH₂⁻) issued from the heterolytic splitting of H_2 are the key hydride transfer agents.



M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p), SMD (solvent: dichloromethane)

Scheme 5. Computed mechanism for the hydrogenolysis of Me₃SiCl with Cy₂BX:BTPP (X=H, Cl) FLP systems (at the M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p) level of theory, using the SMD model to account for the solvent effects: dichloromethane).

Chem. Eur. J. 2023, e202302155 (5 of 7)

© 2023 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



5213765,0,

Experimental Section

General procedure for the catalytic hydrogenolysis of silyl chlorides: In the glovebox, a New Era NMR tube was charged with the borane catalyst (10 μ mol, 10 mol%), the base (0.11 mmol, 1.1 equiv.), mesitylene Mes (7 μ L, 0.05 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) and silyl chloride (0.10 mmol) in 0.5 mL of solvent. The solution was frozen in liquid nitrogen (N₂(I)) and degassed twice before the headspace on the solution is filled with H₂ (10 bar). The tube is immediately shaken and maintained at r.t. for the reaction. The products were identified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and quantified by relative integration of the ¹H NMR R_xSi signals versus those of Mes (internal standard).

Deposition Number(s) 2249952 (for $[P^1tBuH][Ph_3BCI] \cdot C_6H_6$), and 2249953 (for $[P^1tBuH]CI$) contain(s) the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Supporting Information

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information. $\ensuremath{^{[21]}}$

Acknowledgements

For financial support of this work, we acknowledge CEA, CNRS, the University Paris-Saclay, CINES (HPC Computing time on Occigen, grant no. A010806494), and the European Research Council (ERC Consolidator Grant Agreement no. 818260).

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords: boron \cdot frustrated Lewis pairs \cdot hydrogenolysis \cdot hydrosilanes \cdot phosphazenes

- a) B. Marciniec, in Hydrosilylation: a comprehensive review on recent advances (Eds.: B. Marciniec), Dordrecht, 2009, pp. 159–288; b) L. Rösch, P. John, R. Reitmeier, in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry (Eds.: V. C. H. V. G. Wiley, K. Co), Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2000, pp. 637–669.
- [2] a) N. Chatani, S. Fujii, Y. Yamasaki, S. Murai, N. Sonoda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7361–7373; b) S. Das, D. Addis, S. Zhou, K. Junge, M. Beller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1770–1771; c) D. Addis, S. Das, K. Junge, M. Beller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6004–6011; d) A. Volkov, F. Tinnis, T. Slagbrand, P. Trillo, H. Adolfsson, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 6685– 6697.
- [3] C. Chauvier, T. Cantat, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 2107–2115.

- [4] a) F. J. Fernández-Alvarez, A. M. Aitani, L. A. Oro, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *4*, 611–624; b) E. Feghali, T. Cantat, *ChemSusChem* 2015, *8*, 980–984; c) P. J. Deuss, K. Barta, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2016, *306*, 510–532; d) L. Monsigny, E. Feghali, J.-C. Berthet, T. Cantat, *Green Chem.* 2018, *20*, 1981–1986; e) R. A. Pramudita, K. Motokura, *Green Chem.* 2018, *20*, 4834–4843; f) M. Rauch, Z. Strater, G. Parkin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 17754–17762; g) A. C. Fernandes, *Green Chem.* 2021, *23*, 7330–7360.
- [5] A. E. Finholt, A. C. Bond, K. E. Wilzbach, H. I. Schlesinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 2692–2696.
- [6] Y. Dou, L. Sun, J. Ren, L. Dong, in Hydrogen Economy (Eds.: A. Scipioni, A. Manzardo, J. Ren), Elsevier Inc., 2017, pp. 277–305.
- [7] a) D. Tsushima, M. Igarashi, K. Sato, S. Shimada, *Chem. Lett.* 2017, *46*, 1532–1534; b) T. Beppu, K. Sakamoto, Y. Nakajima, K. Matsumoto, K. Sato, S. Shimada, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2018, *869*, 75–80; c) A. Gluer, J. I. Schweizer, U. S. Karaca, C. Wurtele, M. Diefenbach, M. C. Holthausen, S. Schneider, *Inorg. Chem.* 2018, *57*, 13822–13828; d) G. Durin, J.-C. Berthet, E. Nicolas, P. Thuéry, T. Cantat, *Organometallics* 2022, *41*, 1786–1796.
- [8] In Ref. [7]a, Shimada et al. have described the hydogenolysis in toluene of Me₃SiCl with an iridium(III) catalyst (5 mol%) in presence of the base DBU (5 mol%) and the additive PPh₃ (5 mol%). Me₃SiH is formed after 7 days at room temperature with a low TON (1.4).
- [9] G. Durin, J. C. Berthet, E. Nicolas, T. Cantat, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 10855– 10861.
- [10] M. Ito, M. Itazaki, T. Abe, H. Nakazawa, Chem. Lett. 2016, 45, 1434– 1436.
- [11] G. Durin, A. Fontaine, J.-C. Berthet, E. Nicolas, P. Thuéry, T. Cantat, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202200911.
- [12] T. Wang, M. Xu, A. R. Jupp, S. M. Chen, Z. W. Qu, S. Grimme, D. W. Stephan, Chem. Commun. 2022, 58, 1175–1178.
- [13] G. C. Welch, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1880-1881.
- [14] a) S. Mummadi, D. K. Unruh, J. Zhao, S. Li, C. Krempner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3286–3289; b) S. Mummadi, A. Brar, G. Wang, D. Kenefake, R. Diaz, D. K. Unruh, S. Li, C. Krempner, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 16526–16531; c) C. Manankandayalage, D. K. Unruh, C. Krempner, Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 6263–6273; d) S. Mummadi, C. Krempner, Molecules 2023, 28, 1340.
- [15] I. Kaljurand, A. Kutt, L. Soovali, T. Rodima, V. Maemets, I. Leito, I. A. Koppel, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1019–1028.
- [16] Z. M. Heiden, A. P. Lathem, Organometallics 2015, 34, 1818-1827.
- [17] a) L. Rösch, P. John, R. Reitmeier, in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry 2000; b) K. Chulsky, R. Dobrovetsky, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4744–4748.
- [18] A. G. Sturm, T. Santowski, T. Felder, K. M. Lewis, M. C. Holthausen, N. Auner, Organometallics 2022, 41, 1960–1967.
- [19] T. C. Johnstone, G. N. J. H. Wee, D. W. Stephan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 5881–5884.
- [20] L. Greb, C. G. Daniliuc, K. Bergander, J. Paradies, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5876–5879.
- [21] a) Bruker AXS, APEX3, Version 2019.1-0, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2019; b) Bruker AXS, SADABS, Version 2016/2, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2019; c) Bruker Nano Inc., SAINT, Version 8.40a, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2019; d) M. N. Burnett, C. K. Johnson, ORTEP III, Report ORNL-6895, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA, 1996; e) M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, Williams, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16 Rev. C.01, Wallingford, CT, 2016; f) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 2015, 71, 3-8; g) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 2015, 71, 3-8; h) L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2012, 45, 849-854; i) C. B. Hubschle, G. M. Sheldrick, B. Dittrich, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2011, 44, 1281-1284; j) L. Krause, R. Herbst-Irmer, G. M. Sheldrick, D. Stalke, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2015, 48, 3-10; k) L. A. Curtiss, M. P. McGrath, J.-P.

5213765,0,

Blaudeau, N. E. Davis, R. C. Binning, L. Radom, J. Chem. Phys. 1995, 103, 11; I) S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104; m) P. J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys. 1977, 66, 9; n) R. Krishnan, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger, J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 72, 650–654; o) M. P. McGrath, L. Radom, J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 94, 511–516; p) A. D. McLean, G. S. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 72, 5639–5648; q) K. Raghavachari, G. W. Trucks, J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 91, 5; r) A. J. H. Wachters, J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 1033–1036; s) R. Ebinning, L. A. Curtiss, J. Comput. Chem. 1990, 11, 1206–1216; t) A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 6378–6396; u) J. J. Eisch, B. Shafii, J. D. Odom, A. L. Rheingold, J. Am.

Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 1847–1853; v) D. E. Webster, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 5132–5138; w) A. Abiko, *Org. Synth.* **2002**, *79*, 103; x) A. Pelter, S. Singaram, H. Brown, *Tet. Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 1433–1436; y) Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215–241.

Manuscript received: July 18, 2023 Accepted manuscript online: September 4, 2023 Version of record online: ••, ••

Chem. Eur. J. 2023, e202302155 (7 of 7)

RESEARCH ARTICLE



chlorosilanes and H_2 , thanks to a combination of a superbase and a borane catalyst, forming an inverse FLP. A

mechanistic study demonstrates that the key intermediate species is a highly reactive borohydride, arising from H_2 heterolytic splitting. Dr. G. Durin, Dr. J.-C. Berthet, Dr. P. Thuéry, Dr. E. Nicolas, Dr. T. Cantat*

1 – 8

Metal-Free Catalytic Hydrogenolysis of Chlorosilanes into Hydrosilanes with "Inverse" Frustrated Lewis Pairs