

Acne microbiome: From phyla to phylotypes

Brigitte Dreno, Itaru Dekio, Hilary Baldwin, Anne Laure Demessant, Marie-ange Dagnelie, Amir Khammari, Stephane Corvec

▶ To cite this version:

Brigitte Dreno, Itaru Dekio, Hilary Baldwin, Anne Laure Demessant, Marie-ange Dagnelie, et al.. Acne microbiome: From phyla to phylotypes. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology, In press, 10.1111/jdv.19540. hal-04236637

HAL Id: hal-04236637 https://hal.science/hal-04236637

Submitted on 11 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Dreno Brigitte (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5574-5825) Dekio Itaru (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-9428-0098) DEMESSANT - FLAVIGNY Anne - Laure (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-3854-8851) Corvec Stephane (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3176-8111)

ACNE MICROBIOME: FROM PHYLA TO PHYLOTYPES

Brigitte Dreno, MD, PhD,¹ Itaru Dekio, MD, PhD,² Hilary Baldwin, MD,³ Anne Laure Demessant, PharmD,⁴ Marie-Ange Dagnelie, PhD,¹ Amir Khammari, PhD,¹ Stephane Corvec, PharmD, PhD,⁵

 Dermatology Department, Nantes Université, INSERM, CNRS, Immunology and New Concepts in ImmunoTherapy, INCIT, UMR 1302/EMR6001. F-44000 Nantes, France
 Department of Dermatology, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan
 Acne Treatment and Research Center, Morristown, NJ
 4 La Roche Posay Laboratoire Dermatologique, Levallois, France

5 CHU Nantes, Bacteriology Department, INCIT, UMR 1302, University Nantes, . F-44000 Nantes, France

Corresponding author: Brigitte Dreno, MD, PhD, Dermatology Department, CHU Nantes, CIC 1413, CRCINA, University Nantes, Nantes, France; Brigitte.dreno@atlanmed.fr

Key words: acne vulgaris, microbiome, *Cutibacterium acnes*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, dysbiosis, pathophysiology

Running title: Acne microbiome

Disclosures: Dr Dreno, Dr Dekio, Dr Corvec, Dr Khammari, and Dr Dagnelie have nothing to disclose. Ms Demessant is an employee of La Roche-Posay International. Dr Baldwin has served as a consultant/speaker for Galderma, Bausch, Sun, Almirall, and La Roche-Posay.

Word count:2825No. Figures:3No. Tables:1No. Refs:66

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jdv.19540

Acne vulgaris is a chronic inflammatory skin disease with a complex pathogenesis. Traditionally, the primary pathophysiologic factors in acne have been thought to be: 1) altered sebum production, 2) inflammation, 3) excess keratinization, and 4) colonization with the commensal Cutibacterium acnes. However, the role of C. acnes has been unclear, since virtually all adults have C. acnes on their skin yet not all develop acne. In recent years, understanding of the role of *C. acnes* has expanded. It is still acknowledged to have an important place in acne pathogenesis, but evidence suggests that an imbalance of individual C. acnes phylotypes and an alteration of the skin microbiome that trigger acne. In addition, it is now believed that Staphylococcus epidermidis is also an actor in acne development. Together, C. acnes and S. epidermidis maintain and regulate homeostasis of the skin microbiota. Antibiotics, which have long been a staple of acne therapy, induce cutaneous dysbiosis. This finding, together with the long-standing public health edict to spare antibiotic use when possible, highlights the need for a change in acne management strategies. One fertile direction of study for new approaches involves dermocosmetic products that can support epidermal barrier function and have a positive effect on the skin microbiome.

INTRODUCTION

Accepted Articl

Acne vulgaris is a highly prevalent skin disease with a multifactorial pathophysiology.¹ Acne is characterized by a waxing/waning natural history and a variable clinical presentation involving papules, pustules, and comedonal lesions as well as cysts or nodules in severe cases.² Acne occurs in areas of skin that have pilosebaceous ducts (face and torso).³ Often highly visible, acne lesions can cause short-term embarrassment and diminished quality of life.³⁻⁷ In addition, acne can lead to longer term problems, such as scarring and excess pigmentation at the site of former acne lesions.^{4, 8, 9} Approximately 40% of acne sufferers develop clinically relevant scars and in darker skinned populations half or more develop pigmentary problems;^{9, 10} as with active lesions, these sequelae have an impact on life quality.^{3, 4} Further, they are often difficult to manage adequately.¹¹

A variety of efficacious topical and systemic medical therapies for acne have been approved by regulatory bodies; however, their use can be limited by high costs, cutaneous irritation, possible teratogenicity, accessibility, and efficacy – especially when patients are not able or are unwilling to use the medication for a suitable duration. Large-scale population-based studies have shown that overall medication adherence is low in acne.^{12, 13} As reported by Grada and colleagues, persistence to acne medications at 3 months is 12% and this dips to just below 2% by 12 months.¹³ It is perhaps unsurprising, then, that patients and clinicians continue to search for new solutions for acne management – including preventative strategies – given the difficulty in reversing scarring and pigmentation once they have occurred.

In recent years, research has shed increasing light onto the interaction between microbes on the skin and host immunity overall, as well as its role in acne pathophysiology.¹ This publication will discuss emerging information about acne pathophysiology and how new therapeutic approaches may include dermocosmetic products. These non-prescription products may improve acne by supporting a healthy epidermal barrier and protecting the diversity of the skin microbiome.

NEW INFORMATION ABOUT ACNE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Traditionally, it has been thought that acne pathophysiology primarily involves a quartet of factors: sebum overproduction (with qualitative change in composition) secondary to stimulation of the sebaceous gland, abnormal keratinization in the pilosebaceous duct, inflammation, and colonization by *C. acnes* (formerly *Propionibacterium acnes*).^{14, 15} These changes together lead to the formation of a microcomedo, the acne precursor, which in turn can evolve into comedonal or inflammatory lesions.¹⁵ This viewpoint has evolved in recent years, to acknowledge that simple overabundance of *C. acnes* in and around the sebaceous follicle does not adequately describe the role of this microbe in acne (Fig 1).¹⁶ Now, it is known that *C. acnes* population and other microbiota interact intimately with the innate immune system, and further, the six main different phylotypes of *C. acnes* produces different types and amount of hemolytic toxins and have differing effects on innate immune activation.^{17, 18} In addition, sebum may be involved in encouraging growth of particular subtypes of *C acnes*.¹⁷ The role of the microbiome and, more specifically, *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis* are discussed below.

Emerging information about the skin microbiome

Accepted Article

The human skin's microbiome includes a dense range of microorganisms, such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses.¹ In healthy skin, commensal microbes act in symbiosis with the physical structure of the skin to create a barrier against external insults; this publication focuses on the role of bacteria in skin health and disease.¹⁷ The microbiome is dominated by four main bacterial phyla: Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes.¹⁷ Among bacterial genera, *Cutibacterium, Staphylococcus*, and *Corynebacterium* are most common, but the relative proportions vary depending on the skin microenvironment and the topography.¹⁶ Skin surfaces can be moist, sebaceous, and dry; thus, the face and back have microbial systems that differ from those of the feet, hands, or limbs. Sebaceous sites, which are involved in acne, have the least bacterial diversity since only a few species thrive in these anaerobic, lipid-rich areas.¹⁶

Beyond anatomical/topographical factors, the delicate balance of the composition of microbes within the microbiome can be affected by environmental factors such as pathogenic microbes and use of skincare products or medications like antibiotics.^{19, 20} Alterations in the microbiota composition are associated with skin diseases, including acne, psoriasis, and atopic dermatitis.^{17, 21, 22} Such alterations can include changes in both the relative proportion of species as well as the strains that are present.¹⁹

Study of the microbiome has shown that *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis* have essential roles in regulation of skin homeostasis.^{19, 23, 24} *C. acnes* is a ubiquitous Gram-positive anaerobic bacterium belonging to the *Actinobacteria* phylum and predominantly resides deep within the

sebaceous follicle.^{25,19} Under healthy conditions, *C. acnes* has a role in protecting barrier function and the follicle by maintaining an acid pH caused by secretion of propionic acid (which, in turn, has a central role in the low surface pH of skin).²⁶ *S. epidermidis* is also a skin commensal that can become pathogenic when it penetrates through skin into the bloodstream.^{19,15} Both *Cutibacterium* and *Staphylococcus* can prevent colonization of pathogenic bacteria and produce bacteriocins to compete with other skin flora for nutrients.^{27,29} Moreover, other coagulase-negative staphylococci such as *S. capitis* can secrete antimicrobial compounds (phenol soluble modulins), killing specifically *C. acnes* strains.³⁰ Additionally, these bacteria stimulate production of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) from keratinocytes and sebocytes to help maintain a balanced skin microbiome.³¹ The AMPs help maintain an inflammatory homeostasis *via* suppression of excess cytokine release secondary to minor injuries of the epidermis.³²

As alluded to in Figure 1, a complex signaling system connects the colonizing microorganisms with the host's innate and adaptive immune responses.¹⁷ Host inflammatory responses can be triggered by alterations in epidermal barrier function, dysbiosis, or both.³³ Now, it is becoming clear that all of these factors have an important role in acne pathophysiology.

Update on C. acnes and S. epidermidis in acne

C. acnes was first reported in acne lesions in 1891, and was long considered the likeliest microbial actor in acne.^{16, 34, 35} It was originally named *Bacillus acnes*, but was later renamed *Corynebacterium acnes* due to morphologic similarity to *Corynebacterium*. In the 1940s, it was discovered that these bacteria produce propionic acid, and annexed to genus *Propionibacterium* as *Propionibacterium acnes*. Most recently, the name *Cutibacterium acnes* was proposed, when

genus *Propionibacterium* was divided into four genera as a result of the latest whole genomebased taxonomical clarification.²⁵ *C. acnes* is uniquely well suited to living in the pilosebaceous duct, and comprises up to 90% of the microbiota in sebaceous rich areas.¹⁶

C. acnes is grouped into three phylotypes (I-III) based on the cellular morphology, inflammatory and immune-inducing properties, virulence factors, and biochemical characteristics, which may help explain their differing roles in health and disease (Table 1).^{15, 16} Type I is further subdivided by whole genome sequencing into the major type I clade encompassing IA₁, IA₂, IB, IC, II and III.¹⁵ Acne-related strains produce porphyrins, which in turn generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) and inflammation.¹⁶ As shown in Figure 1, *C. acnes* strains associated with acne interact with keratinocytes and monocytes to induce release of inflammatory cytokines.¹⁶

Accepted Articl

Acne is more prominently associated with loss of diversity of *C. acnes* phylotypes than to *C. acnes* proliferation.¹ Unlike in healthy skin, acne skin is characterized by a predominance of *C. acnes* phylotype IA₁ (Fig 2).^{33, 36-38} Isolates of other phylotypes are present in acne skin, but in substantially lower proportions.¹⁵ It has been shown that loss of phylotype diversity and predominance of type IA₁ strains represents a dysbiotic shift within the pilosebaceous duct, which could in turn be due to microenvironmental changes that lead to formation of microcomedones.^{1, 15} In either case, phylotype IA₁ is associated with both stimulation of innate immunity and cutaneous inflammation.¹ As one example of this, significant up-regulation of innate immune markers (interleukins) has been shown in skin explants exposed to *C. acnes* IA₁; this up-regulation did not occur when explants were incubated with a mixture of phylotypes IA₁, II, and III.³⁹

C. acnes and *S. epidermidis* interactions also have a role in acne. *S epidermidis* can inhibit growth of *C. acnes* and minimize *C. acnes*-induced cutaneous inflammation.^{17, 40, 41} Further, *S. epidermidis* affects *C. acnes* proliferation by releasing a fatty acid fermentation product known as succinic acid and suppresses *C acnes*-induced release of cytokines from keratinocytes.¹ *C. acnes* can also inhibit the development of *S. epidermidis* by controlling the pH of the pilosebaceous follicle, metabolizing serum triglycerides, and excreting propionic acid.¹ Finally, both *C. acnes* and *S. epidermidis* affect survival of monocytes, which may indicate a role in acne associated hyperpigmentation.¹ Thus, maintaining the balance between these two bacterial species is important. *S. capitis* and *S. lugdunensis* and other CNS can interact, the skin homeostasis depending on this eubiotic equilibrium.⁴²

C. acnes phylotypes IA and II are associated with a high percentage of biofilm formation, consisting of bacteria embedded in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substance, and these biofilms can adhere to surfaces.²⁸ *C. acnes* phylotypes IB and III, which are associated with healthy skin, have shown a low tendency toward forming biofilm.²⁸ In acne, sessile *C. acnes* (cells growing in a biofilm) can occur deep within the pilosebaceous follicle.²⁸ The biofilm is associated with increased production of exopolysaccharide "glue" that adheres corneocytes together, which is thought to contribute to the formation of microcomedones.²⁸ When in biofilm form, *C. acnes* has increased persistence, tolerance and resistance to antimicrobials as well as host inflammatory cells.^{16, 43} It is thought that this resistance is due to slow growth (low-metabolic bacterial cells) and/or stimulation of a stress response, as well as other resistance mechanisms.⁴⁴

C. acnes releases extracellular vesicles (EVs) capable of modulating the proliferation and differentiation of keratinocytes.¹ Contact between keratinocytes and *C-acnes* EVs results in abnormal regulation of antigen Ki67, keratin 10, filaggrin, and desmocollin 1, and upregulate production of inflammatory cytokines.⁴⁵ In this manner, the interaction between *C. acnes* and follicular keratinocytes plays a role in the development of microcomedones and comedones.¹ Recently, Chudzik et al showed that different *C. acnes* phylotypes have distinct protein and lipid compositions, indicating they convey different cell-to-cell communications and contribute to separate bacterial functions.⁴⁶

Also important is the association between *C. acnes* and activation of the helper T-cell Th17 inflammatory pathway.⁴⁷ Stimulation of Th17 inflammatory responses in skin cells is linked to loss of *C. acnes* phylotype diversity in acneic skin.⁴⁷ It is now accepted that inflammation occurs at all stages of acne lesions, including the earliest non-clinical lesions.⁴⁸ Image analysis of microcomedones has shown that they have a pouch-like structure with an outer layer of corneocytes that is filled with lipids and bacterial clusters. The presence of bacteria and blockade of the pilosebaceous unit due to microcomedones further exacerbates inflammation and recruits immune cells, which leads to acne papules and pustules.^{47, 48} The formation of biofilm and secretion of virulence factors (CAMP hemolysis, lipase, RoxP enzyme) by *C. acnes* phylotype IA₁ stimulates production of interleukin-17 by Th17 lymphocytes.^{47, 49} Thus, the various *C. acnes* phylotypes can modulate T cell responses leading to either acne development or homeostasis.⁴⁷

IMPLICATIONS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

Accepted Articl

As mentioned early on in this publication, these new findings about the microbial interactions in acne skin should alter the management approach in acne. First, it is becoming clear that acne treatment should not target killing all C. acnes subpopulations but instead should aim for preventing or correcting the intra-species dysbiosis.¹ Antimicrobial resistance is a worldwide problem, and acne experts have expressed clinical concerns with the use of antibiotics to treat acne, and even more so with the discovery of resistance plasmid (encoding for macrolideclindamycin resistance gene erm(50) and tetracycline resistance gene tet(W)), in C. acnes that can be transmitted to other *Cutibacterium* species, thus modifying the skin microflora.^{50, 51} These concerns arise from the large population of patients with acne around the world at any given time and the fact that antibiotics are often used for prolonged periods of time in acne patients.⁵⁰ Together, these are arguments for limiting use of antibiotics in acne. This is particularly true for topical antibiotics, because they induce high levels of resistance not only among C. acnes but also with S. epidermidis leading to horizontal resistance transfer to pathogens such as S. aureus. Use of oral antibiotics, even those like tetracyclines that are not commonly used for other diseases, poses a population-based risk and lengthy treatment courses, and thus should be discouraged whenever possible. Further, there are effective non-antibiotic antimicrobial agents available for acne including benzoyl peroxide alone and in combination and active cosmetics.

Maintenance and protection of microbiome: Cleansers and moisturizers

Second, effective acne treatment has been shown to increase diversity of skin bacteria and cosmetics that modulate the microbiome are showing promise.^{16, 28} Figure 3 shows a schematic

of how supporting and improving the skin barrier function and microbiome influences cutaneous health and disease.⁵² While the studies evaluating the effects of dermocosmetics on the microbiome and barrier in acne are currently sparse, some examples are presented here. Dermocosmetic that included an ethanolic extract of myrtle leaves has been shown to reduce *C*. *acnes* biofilm and maintain acne clearance in a greater proportion of adult females compared to a control cream (85% vs 67%, respectively).⁵³ Similarly, a separate dermocosmetic based on thermal water showed a marked antibiofilm activity on both *C. acnes* and *S. aureus*.⁵⁴ In 2021, Hwang et al reported that daily use of a moisturizer for 4 weeks (n=25 women) increased bacterial diversity, considered a marker of healthy skin.⁵⁵

Both *Cutibacterium* and *Staphylococcus* species increased, along with changes in the skin microbial network, and improved hydration. Thus, the authors suggest use that skincare regimen can "affect the microbial structure of facial skin as well as the biophysical properties."⁵⁵ Ciardiello et al and Kong et al also reported that use of skin care products could increase microbial diversity.^{56, 57} Thermal water is interesting because its physicochemical characteristics may include soluble minerals and trace elements such as selenium or strontium.⁵² Thermal water has probiotic bacteria that thrive on this natural mineral profile and thermal water and niacinamide have both been associated with a decrease in *Staphylococcus* species.

Bacteriophages

Bacteriophages, viruses that replicate in bacteria, may provide an alternative to antimicrobial agents.⁵⁸ Indeed, they can replicate so much within the cell that they induce bacterial lysis.⁵⁸ They may also integrate into bacterial DNA to alter the bacteria and reduce pathogenicity.⁵⁸

Phages offer the potential for targeting specific bacteria with minimal disruption to the remainder of the microbiome.⁵⁸ Lytic bacteriophages to *C. acnes* have been developed but their clinical applications have not been well studied.^{58, 59} Further research in the field of dermatology must be performed to assure safety (respect of the balanced microbiota) and efficacy (*C. acnes* specific treatment) in humans.⁶⁰

Prebiotics

Today's skincare products are developed with an objective of nourishing a healthy microbiome. Ingredients that help achieve this goal include prebiotics such as *Vitreoscilla filiformis* and aqua posae filiformis the postbiotic heat-killed strain of lysate of *V. filiformis* , ceramides, and niacinamide.^{52, 61-63} Pre- and post-biotics, ingredients that selectively stimulate growth and/or activity of individual bacterial strains, have the potential to normalize the balance of the skin microbiome.⁵² Research is ongoing to determine the exact impact of these as ingredients, but benefits have been shown in patients with seborrheic dermatitis and atopic dermatitis.⁵²

Ceramides can provide nutrients such as carbon and nitrogen, and maintenance of adequate ceramide levels seems to have an important role in skin health.⁵² Indeed, *S. epidermidis* secretes a sphingomyelinase that acquires essential nutrients for the bacteria and assists the host in producing ceramides, the main constituent of the epithelial barrier that averts skin dehydration and aging.⁶⁴

Dreno et al showed the importance of *S. epidermidis* in acne during a 28-day exploratory study in patients with acne (n=26) treated with topical erythromycin 4% or a dermocosmetic.¹⁷ At

baseline, microbiota sampling revealed a greater abundance of *Staphylococcus* on acne lesions vs non-lesional skin, and the degree of growth correlated with acne severity (P<0.05 for all).¹⁷ At day 28 sampling, only the dermocosmetic reduced *Staphylococcus*, while erythromycin had no effect.¹⁷

CONCLUSIONS

Accepted Articl

Manipulating the skin microbiome is an exciting but still ill-defined area in dermatology. Skincare products are being developed that contain synthetic chemicals and antimicrobial agents that may impact the balance of the microbiota. Biome friendly skincare products may support or help restore beneficial bacteria while preventing growth of harmful bacteria by improving skin hydration and the epidermal barrier.⁶⁵ Skin dryness can lead to irritation while elevated pH contributes to skin disease and use of appropriate skin care products may restore and preserve skin health.⁵⁵ Cleansers with pH close to normal physiologic levels (approximately 5) are a good choice for acne skin to minimize impact on the skin barrier and to favor microbial balance. Conversely, "poor choices made by an uninformed patient may derail an otherwise ideal therapeutic approach."³³

In the future, acne therapeutic approaches may include bacteriophages, pre- and post-biotics, and other manipulations of specific bacteria within the microbiome. Research is ongoing to determine which of these may have clinical utility. Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank La Roche Posay for providing funding for editorial assistance and Valerie Sanders of Sanders Medical Writing.

REFERENCES

Accepted Articl

1. Dreno B, Dagnelie MA, Khammari A, et al. The skin microbiome: A new actor in inflammatory acne. Am J Clin Dermatol 2020;21:18-24.

2. Plewig G, Kligman A. Acne and rosacea. New York: Springer; 2000.

3. Tan J, Beissert S, Cook-Bolden F, et al. Impact of facial and truncal acne on quality of life: A multi-country population-based survey. JAAD Int 2021;3:102-10.

4. Akinboro AO, Ezejiofor OI, Olanrewaju FO, et al. The impact of acne and facial postinflammatory hyperpigmentation on quality of life and self-esteem of newly admitted nigerian undergraduates. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol 2018;11:245-52.

5. Chernyshov PV, Petrenko A, Kopylova V. What health-related quality of life factors influence the decision of patients with acne to visit a dermatologist? J Clin Aesthet Dermatol 2018;11:21-5.

6. Gieler U, Gieler T, Kupfer JP. Acne and quality of life - impact and management. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015;29 Suppl 4:12-4.

7. Hosthota A, Bondade S, Basavaraja V. Impact of acne vulgaris on quality of life and selfesteem. Cutis 2016;98:121-4.

8. Fife D. Evaluation of acne scars: How to assess them and what to tell the patient. Dermatol Clin 2016;34:207-13.

9. Layton A, Dreno B, Finlay AY, et al. New patient-oriented tools for assessing atrophic acne scarring. Dermatol Ther (Heidelb) 2016;6:219-33.

11. Solanki B, Relhan V, Sahoo B, et al. Microneedling in combination with 15% trichloroacetic acid peel versus 25% pyruvic acid peel in the treatment of acne scars. Dermatol Surg 2023;49:155-60.

12. Dreno B, Thiboutot D, Gollnick H, et al. Large-scale worldwide observational study of adherence with acne therapy. Int J Dermatol 2010;49:448-56.

13. Grada A, Perche P, Feldman S. Adherence and persistence to acne medications: A population-based claims database analysis. J Drugs Demratol 2022;21:758-64.

14. Gollnick H, Cunliffe W, Berson D, et al. Management of acne: A report from a global alliance to improve outcomes in acne. J Am Acad Dermatol 2003;49:S1-37.

15. McLaughlin J, Watterson S, Layton AM, et al. Propionibacterium acnes and acne vulgaris: New insights from the integration of population genetic, multi-omic, biochemical and hostmicrobe studies. Microorganisms 2019;7.

Accepted Articl

16. Lee YB, Byun EJ, Kim HS. Potential role of the microbiome in acne: A comprehensive review. J Clin Med 2019;8.

17. Dreno B, Martin R, Moyal D, et al. Skin microbiome and acne vulgaris: Staphylococcus, a new actor in acne. Exp Dermatol 2017;26:798-803.

18. Dekio I, Culak R, Fang M, et al. Correlation between phylogroups and intracellular proteomes of propionibacterium acnes and differences in the protein expression profiles between anaerobically and aerobically grown cells. Biomed Res Int 2013;2013:151797.

19. Christensen GJ, Bruggemann H. Bacterial skin commensals and their role as host guardians. Benef Microbes 2014;5:201-15. 20. Grice EA, Kong HH, Conlan S, et al. Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin microbiome. Science 2009;324:1190-2.

21. Sanchez DA, Nosanchuk JD, Friedman AJ. The skin microbiome: Is there a role in the pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis and psoriasis? J Drugs Dermatol 2015;14:127-30.

22. Szabo K, Erdei L, Bolla BS, et al. Factors shaping the composition of the cutaneous microbiota. Br J Dermatol 2017;176:344-51.

23. Akaza N, Takasaki K, Nishiyama E, et al. The microbiome in comedonal contents of inflammatory acne vulgaris is composed of an overgrowth of cutibacterium spp. And other cutaneous microorganisms. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol 2022;15:2003-12.

24. Dagnelie MA, Corvec S, Timon-David E, et al. Cutibacterium acnes and staphylococcus epidermidis: The unmissable modulators of skin inflammatory response. Exp Dermatol 2022;31:406-12.

Accepted Articl

25. Scholz CFP, Kilian M. The natural history of cutaneous propionibacteria, and reclassification of selected species within the genus propionibacterium to the proposed novel genera acidipropionibacterium gen. Nov., cutibacterium gen. Nov. And pseudopropionibacterium gen. Nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2016;66:4422-32.

26. Bolla BS, Erdei L, Urban E, et al. Cutibacterium acnes regulates the epidermal barrier properties of hpv-ker human immortalized keratinocyte cultures. Sci Rep 2020;10:12815.
27. Cogen AL, Nizet V, Gallo RL. Skin microbiota: A source of disease or defence? Br J Dermatol 2008;158:442-55.

28. Fourniere M, Latire T, Souak D, et al. Staphylococcus epidermidis and cutibacterium acnes: Two major sentinels of skin microbiota and the influence of cosmetics. Microorganisms 2020;8. 29. Sanford JA, Gallo RL. Functions of the skin microbiota in health and disease. Semin Immunol 2013;25:370-7.

30. O'Neill AM, Nakatsuji T, Hayachi A, et al. Identification of a human skin commensal bacterium that selectively kills cutibacterium acnes. J Invest Dermatol 2020;140:1619-28 e2.
31. Gallo RL, Nakatsuji T. Microbial symbiosis with the innate immune defense system of the skin. J Invest Dermatol 2011;131:1974-80.

32. Luo Y, Song Y. Mechanism of antimicrobial peptides: Antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antibiofilm activities. Int J Mol Sci 2021;22.

33. Marson J, Bhatia N, Graber E, et al. Supplement article: The role of epidermal barrier dysfunction and cutaneous microbiome dysbiosis in the pathogenesis and management of acne vulgaris and rosacea. J Drugs Dermatol 2022;21:SF3502915-SF35029114.

34. Eberson F. A bacteriologic study of the diphtheroid organisms with special reference to hodgkin's disease. J Infect Dis 1918;23:1-42.

Accepted Artic

35. Dekio I, Asahina A, Shah HN. Unravelling the eco-specificity and pathophysiological properties of cutibacterium species in the light of recent taxonomic changes. Anaerobe 2021;71:102411.

36. McDowell A, Barnard E, Nagy I, et al. An expanded multilocus sequence typing scheme for propionibacterium acnes: Investigation of 'pathogenic', 'commensal' and antibiotic resistant strains. PLoS One 2012;7:e41480.

37. Bruggemann H, Salar-Vidal L, Gollnick HPM, et al. A janus-faced bacterium: Hostbeneficial and -detrimental roles of cutibacterium acnes. Front Microbiol 2021;12:673845. 38. Pecastaings S, Roques C, Nocera T, et al. Characterisation of cutibacterium acnes phylotypes in acne and in vivo exploratory evaluation of myrtacine((r)). J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2018;32 Suppl 2:15-23.

39. Dagnelie MA, Corvec S, Saint-Jean M, et al. Cutibacterium acnes phylotypes diversity loss:
A trigger for skin inflammatory process. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2019;33:2340-8.
40. Wang Y, Kuo S, Shu M, et al. Staphylococcus epidermidis in the human skin microbiome mediates fermentation to inhibit the growth of propionibacterium acnes: Implications of probiotics in acne vulgaris. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2014;98:411-24.

41. Skabytska Y, Biedermann T. Staphylococcus epidermidis sets things right again. J Invest Dermatol 2016;136:559-60.

42. Flowers, Grice EA. The skin microbiota: Balancing risk and reward. Cell Host Microbe 2020;28:190-200.

Accepted Articl

43. Coenye T, Peeters E, Nelis HJ. Biofilm formation by propionibacterium acnes is associated with increased resistance to antimicrobial agents and increased production of putative virulence factors. Res Microbiol 2007;158:386-92.

44. Mah TF, O'Toole GA. Mechanisms of biofilm resistance to antimicrobial agents. Trends Microbiol 2001;9:34-9.

45. Choi EJ, Lee HG, Bae IH, et al. Propionibacterium acnes-derived extracellular vesicles promote acne-like phenotypes in human epidermis. J Invest Dermatol 2018;138:1371-9.
46. Chudzik A, Migdal P, Pasciak M. Different cutibacterium acnes phylotypes release distinct extracellular vesicles. Int J Mol Sci 2022;23.

47. Mias C, Mengeaud V, Bessou-Touya S, et al. Recent advances in understanding inflammatory acne: Deciphering the relationship between cutibacterium acnes and th17 inflammatory pathway. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2023;37 Suppl 2:3-11.

48. Josse G, Mias C, Le Digabel J, et al. High bacterial colonization and lipase activity in microcomedones. Exp Dermatol 2020;29:168-76.

49. Cavallo I, Sivori F, Truglio M, et al. Skin dysbiosis and cutibacterium acnes biofilm in inflammatory acne lesions of adolescents. Sci Rep 2022;12:21104.

50. Thiboutot D, Dreno B, Gollnick H, et al. A call to limit antibiotic use in acne. Journal of Drugs Dermatol 2013;12:1331-2.

51. Koizumi J, Nakase K, Hayashi N, et al. Multidrug resistance plasmid ptzc1 could be pooled among cutibacterium strains on the skin surface. Microbiol Spectr 2023:e0362822.

52. Baldwin HE, Bhatia ND, Friedman A, et al. The role of cutaneous microbiota harmony in maintaining a functional skin barrier. Journal of drugs in dermatology : JDD 2017;16:12-8.

Accepted Articl

53. Bagatin E, Thouvenin MD, Bacquey A, et al. The usefulness of a dermocosmetic containing myrtus communis extract and azelaic acid for maintenance phase of adult female acne: Results from a randomized exploratory investigator-blinded comparative study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2023;37 Suppl 2:26-30.

54. Gannesen AV, Borrel V, Lefeuvre L, et al. Effect of two cosmetic compounds on the growth, biofilm formation activity, and surface properties of acneic strains of cutibacterium acnes and staphylococcus aureus. Microbiologyopen 2019;8:e00659.

55. Hwang BK, Lee S, Myoung J, et al. Effect of the skincare product on facial skin microbial structure and biophysical parameters: A pilot study. Microbiologyopen 2021;10:e1236.

56. Cardiello T, Pinto D, Marotta L, Guiliani G, Rinaldi F. Effects of fermented oils on alphabiodiversity and relative abundance of cheek resident skin microbiota. Cosmetics 2020;7:34.
57. Kong HH, Oh J, Deming C, et al. Temporal shifts in the skin microbiome associated with disease flares and treatment in children with atopic dermatitis. Genome Res 2012;22:850-9.
58. Meister H , Silverberg N. The potential role for phage therapy for genetic modification of cutaneous diseases. Clin Dermatol 2022;40:383-7.

59. Rimon A, Rakov C, Lerer V, et al. Topical phage therapy in a mouse model of cutibacterium acnes-induced acne-like lesions. Nat Commun 2023;14:1005.

60. Gupta M, Anzelc M, Stetkevich S, et al. Bacteriophages: An alternative to combat antibiotic resistance? J Drugs Dermatol 2022;21:1311-5.

61. Mahe YF, Perez MJ, Tacheau C, et al. A new vitreoscilla filiformis extract grown on spa water-enriched medium activates endogenous cutaneous antioxidant and antimicrobial defenses through a potential toll-like receptor 2/protein kinase c, zeta transduction pathway. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol 2013;6:191-6.

62. Gueniche A, Knaudt B, Schuck E, et al. Effects of nonpathogenic gram-negative bacterium vitreoscilla filiformis lysate on atopic dermatitis: A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study. Br J Dermatol 2008;159:1357-63.

63. Kyme P, Thoennissen NH, Tseng CW, et al. C/ebpepsilon mediates nicotinamide-enhanced clearance of staphylococcus aureus in mice. J Clin Invest 2012;122:3316-29.

64. Zheng Y, Hunt RL, Villaruz AE, et al. Commensal staphylococcus epidermidis contributes to skin barrier homeostasis by generating protective ceramides. Cell Host Microbe 2022;30:301-13 e9.

65. Sfriso R, Egert M, Gempeler M, et al. Revealing the secret life of skin - with the microbiome

you never walk alone. Int J Cosmet Sci 2020;42:116-26.

Figure Legends

od Articl

Accepte

Figure 1. Model of main acne pathologic processes activated by C. acnes. From Lee YB, Byun EJ, Kim HS. Potential Role of the Microbiome in Acne: A Comprehensive Review.et al. J Clin Med 2019;8(7):987. doi: 10.3390/jcm8070987. EPS=extracellular polymeric substances; CAMP=cyclic adenosine monophosphate; TLR=toll like receptor; IL=interleukin; TNF=tumor necrosis factor; LTB=leukotriene B; CD36=cluster of differentiation 36; GMCSF=granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor; hBD=human beta-defensin; MMPs=matrix metalloproteinases.

Figure 2. Distribution of C. acnes phylotypes in acne and healthy skin; proportions of type IA1 and III were significantly different (P<0.001) between samples. Reprinted from Dagnelie MA, Corvec S, Timon-David E, et al. Cutibacterium acnes and Staphylococcus epidermidis: The unmissable modulators of skin inflammatory response. Exp Dermatol 2022;31(3):406-12. doi: 10.1111/exd.14467. 15, 66

Figure 3. Example of how improving characteristics of skin barrier and microbiome affect skin health and disease.

	Acne	Healthy
IA ₁	+	+
IA ₂	+	
IB	+	
IC	+	
II		+
III		+

Table 1. C. acnes phylotypes* and associations with acne and healthy skin.

*Based on whole genome sequencing

rticl Accepted



jdv_19540_f1.JPG

Accepted Article



jdv_19540_f2.JPG



jdv_19540_f3.JPG