

# A verification of Wilf's conjecture up to genus 100

Manuel Delgado, Shalom Eliahou, Jean Fromentin

### ▶ To cite this version:

Manuel Delgado, Shalom Eliahou, Jean Fromentin. A verification of Wilf's conjecture up to genus 100. 2023. hal-04236367

## HAL Id: hal-04236367 https://hal.science/hal-04236367v1

Preprint submitted on 10 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### A VERIFICATION OF WILF'S CONJECTURE UP TO GENUS 100

M. DELGADO, S. ELIAHOU AND J. FROMENTIN

ABSTRACT. For a numerical semigroup  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , let m, e, c, g denote its multiplicity, embedding dimension, conductor and genus, respectively. Wilf's conjecture (1978) states that  $e(c-g) \geq c$ . As of 2023, Wilf's conjecture has been verified by computer up to genus  $g \leq 66$ . In this paper, we extend the verification of Wilf's conjecture up to genus  $g \leq 100$ . This is achieved by combining three main ingredients: (1) a theorem in 2020 settling Wilf's conjecture in the case  $e \geq m/3$ , (2) an efficient trimming of the tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of numerical groups identifying and cutting out irrelevant subtrees, and (3) the implementation of a fast parallelized algorithm to construct the tree  $\mathcal{T}$  up to a given genus. We further push the verification of Wilf's conjecture up to genus 120 in the particular case where m divides c. Finally, we unlock three previously unknown values of the number  $n_g$  of numerical semigroups of genus g, namely for g = 73, 74, 75.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A numerical semigroup is a cofinite submonoid S of  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e. a subset containing 0, stable under addition and with finite complement  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ . Equivalently, it is a set of the form  $S = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle = \mathbb{N}a_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}a_n$  where  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are positive integers with  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$ , called generators of S. The least such n is usually denoted e and called the embedding dimension of S, see below.

Let S be a numerical semigroup and  $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}$ . A primitive element of S is an element  $a \in S^* \setminus (S^* + S^*)$ , i.e. a nonzero element of S which is not the sum of two nonzero elements of S. Let P = P(S) denote the set of primitive elements of S. It is easy to see that P is finite and is the unique minimal generating set of S. The embedding dimension of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the multiplicity of S is  $m = m(S) = \min S^*$ , the Frobenius number of S is  $F = F(S) = \max(\mathbb{Z} \setminus S)$  and the conductor of S is c = c(S) = F + 1, satisfying  $c + \mathbb{N} \subseteq S$  and minimal with respect to that property. The genus of S is  $g = g(S) = |\mathbb{N} \setminus S|$ , its number of gaps. We partition S as

$$S = L \sqcup R,$$

where  $L = L(S) = \{a \in S \mid a < F(S)\}$  and  $R = R(S) = \{a \in S \mid a > F(S)\}$ , the *left part* and *right part* of S, respectively.

Wilf's conjecture is the inequality

$$e|L| \ge c.$$

Date: October 10, 2023.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M14.

Key words and phrases. Numerical semigroup; genus.

The first author was partially supported by CMUP, a member of LASI, which is financed by national funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the projects with reference UIDB/00144/2020 and UIDP/00144/2020.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from ULCO for visits of the first author to Calais in May 2019 and May 2023.

While this conjecture remains open since 1978, it has been verified in several cases. For convenience, we list some of them in a single statement together with their respective references.

**Theorem 1.1.** Wilf's conjecture holds for all numerical semigroups satisfying one of the following conditions:

(1)  $e \leq 3$  [17] (2)  $c \leq 3m$  [12] (3)  $e \geq m/3$  [13] (4)  $|L| \leq 12$  [16] (5)  $m \leq 19$  [20]

See also [10] for an extensive recent survey of partial results on Wilf's conjecture, and [2, 5, 8, 11, 15, 18, 19, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27] for some other relevant papers.

The first significant verification by computer of Wilf's conjecture up to a given genus was accomplished in 2008 by Bras-Amorós [2]. There, Wilf's conjecture was announced to hold for all numerical semigroups of genus  $g \leq 50$ . This result was extended to genus  $g \leq 60$  in 2016 by Fromentin-Hivert [18], to genus  $g \leq 65$  in 2021 by Bras-Amorós and Rodríguez [3], and finally to genus  $g \leq 66$  in 2023 by Bras-Amorós [4].

In this paper, we extend the verification of Wilf's conjecture up to genus  $g \leq 100$ . See Theorem 3.5 below. This is achieved by combining three main ingredients:

- (1) The main result of [13] stating that Wilf's conjecture holds in case  $e \ge m/3$ .
- (2) The method from [9] to exploit the above result by efficiently trimming the tree  $\mathcal{T}$  of numerical semigroups (see below) and thereby drastically reduce the number of numerical semigroups up to a given large genus to test for Wilf's conjecture.
- (3) A fast parallelisable algorithm to enumerate all numerical semigroups up to a given large genus [18].

For general reference books on numerical semigroups, see [22, 23].

#### 2. The tree $\mathcal{T}$

The set of all numerical semigroups can be organised in a rooted tree  $\mathcal{T}$ , with root  $\mathbb{N}$  of genus 0, such that all numerical semigroups of genus g lie at distance g from the root. Before recalling its construction, we introduce some terminology.

2.1. Left and right primitive elements. Let S be a numerical semigroup. Recall the above partition  $S = L \sqcup R$  relative to the Frobenius number F(S). Accordingly, we partition the set P of primitive elements of S as

$$P = (P \cap L) \sqcup (P \cap R).$$

We call *left primitive* the elements of  $P \cap L$  and *right primitive* those of  $P \cap R$ . We denote

$$e = |P|,$$
  

$$e_l = |P \cap L|,$$
  

$$e_r = |P \cap R|.$$

Thus

 $e = e_l + e_r.$ 

2.2. The children of S. We now briefly recall the construction of the tree  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let S be a numerical semigroup, and let  $a \in P \cap R$  be a right primitive element of S, if any. Then the set  $S' = S \setminus \{a\}$  is still a numerical semigroup, as easily seen.

A *child* of S in  $\mathcal{T}$  is any numerical semigroup of the above form

$$S' = S \setminus \{a\}$$

where  $a \in P \cap R$ . Clearly, the number of children of S in  $\mathcal{T}$  is equal to  $e_r$ .

The Frobenius number, genus and multiplicity of a child  $S' = S \setminus \{a\}$  of S with  $a \in P \cap R$  are easy to determine. Indeed, one has

$$\begin{cases} F(S') = a, \\ g(S') = g(S) + 1 \end{cases}$$

as easily seen. As for the multiplicity of S', one has

$$m(S') = m(S)$$

whenever c(S) > m(S), see Proposition 3.2. The only numerical semigroups S for which c(S) = m(S) are the so-called *ordinary* or *superficial* numerical semigroups  $O_m$ , defined for any  $m \ge 1$  by

$$O_m = \langle m, m+1, \dots, 2m-1 \rangle = \{0\} \sqcup (m+\mathbb{N}).$$

Then  $O_m$  is of multiplicity m and conductor c = m. It has exactly m children, namely  $O_m \setminus \{m + i\}$  for  $0 \le i \le m - 1$ . They still have multiplicity m for  $1 \le i \le m - 1$ . The only case where the multiplicity differs is at i = 0, for which  $O_m \setminus \{m\} = O_{m+1}$  is of multiplicity m + 1.

Note finally that any numerical semigroup of multiplicity  $m \ge 1$  is a descendant of  $O_m$  in the tree  $\mathcal{T}$ .

2.3. Numerical semigroups of given genus. For  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $n_g$  denote the number of numerical semigroups of genus g. It is well known that  $n_g$  is finite. The first few values of  $n_g$  are

$$(n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6) = (1, 1, 2, 4, 7, 12, 23).$$

In her famous paper [2], Maria Bras-Amorós conjectured that  $n_g$  behaves like the gth Fibonacci number  $F_g$ , with a growth rate tending to the golden ratio  $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$  and satisfying

(1) 
$$n_g \ge n_{g-1} + n_{g-2}$$

for all  $g \ge 2$ . The conjectured growth rate of  $n_g$  was subsequently confirmed by A. Zhai [28], implying  $n_g \ge n_{g-1}$  for g large enough. Yet the conjectured inequality (1) remains widely open to this day, as is the case for the much weaker inequality  $n_g \ge n_{g-1}$  for all  $g \ge 1$ .

Until now, the exact value of  $n_g$  had been computed up to  $g \leq 72$ . In this paper, with massive computations using a distributed version of the fast algorithms in [18], we unlock three new values, namely

$$n_{73} = 6\,832\,823\,876\,813\,577, \\ n_{74} = 11\,067\,092\,660\,179\,522, \\ n_{75} = 17\,924\,213\,336\,425\,401.$$

See Section 5 for more details.

#### 3. TRIMMING $\mathcal{T}$

The main ideas proposed in [9] to trim the tree  $\mathcal{T}$  so as to drastically reduce the verification of Wilf's conjecture up to a given genus G are the following ones.

**Notation 3.1.** Let  $G \ge 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{T}_G$  the subtree of  $\mathcal{T}$  consisting of all numerical semigroups S of genus  $g(S) \le G$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $S \neq O_m$  be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity m. Let S' be a child of S. Then

- (1) m(S') = m(S)
- (2)  $e_l(S') \ge e_l(S)$
- (3)  $e(S) 1 \le e(S') \le e(S)$

*Proof.* We have  $S' = S \setminus \{a\}$  for some right primitive element  $a \in P \cap R$ . Denote m, c, P, L the multiplicity, conductor, primitive elements and left part of S, respectively, and m', c', P', L' the corresponding objects for S'.

Since  $a \ge c > m$ , it follows that  $\min(S')^* = \min S^*$ , i.e. m' = m. Moreover, since c' = a + 1 > c, it follows that  $L \subset L'$ , and any left primitive element  $a \in P \cap L$  of S remains left primitive in S'. Thus  $P \cap L \subseteq P' \cap L'$ , implying  $e_l(S') \ge e_l(S)$ . Finally, as easily seen, one has either  $P' = P \setminus \{a\}$  or  $P' = P \setminus \{a\} \sqcup \{a + m\}$ . The former occurs when  $a + m = s_1 + s_2$  for some pair  $\{s_1, s_2\} \subset S^*$  distinct from  $\{a, m\}$ , whereas the latter occurs when a + m has no other representation as an element of  $S^* + S^*$ . Therefore  $e(S) - 1 \le e(S') \le e(S)$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $S \neq O_m$  be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity m such that  $e_l \geq m/3$ . Then all descendants T of S in  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfy Wilf's conjecture.

*Proof.* Let T be a descendant of S. Then, by a repeated application of Proposition 3.2 (2) for each generation of children going down from S to T, we have

$$e(T) \ge e_l(T) \ge e_l(S) \ge m/3 = m(T)/3.$$

It then follows from Theorem 1.1(3) that T satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $G \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let S be a numerical semigroup of genus  $g \leq G$  such that  $e \geq m/3 + (G - g)$ . Then all descendants T of S of genus  $g(T) \leq G$  satisfy Wilf's conjecture.

*Proof.* Let h = g(T). Then  $g \le h \le G$  by hypothesis, and T is an (h-g)th descendant of S. Now at each step from S down to T in  $\mathcal{T}$ , the number of primitive elements diminishes by at most 1 as stated in Proposition 3.2 (3). Hence

$$e(T) \ge e - (h - g) \ge e + g - G \ge m/3 + (G - g) + g - G = m(T)/3.$$

It then follows from Theorem 1.1(3) that T satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

Consequently, when exploring  $\mathcal{T}_G$  to probe Wilf's conjecture up to a given maximal genus G, the subtree rooted at any numerical semigroup S satisfying Corollary 3.3 or 3.4 can be completely cut off from  $\mathcal{T}_G$ . What remains after this systematic trimming of  $\mathcal{T}_G$  is a subtree  $\mathcal{T}_G(3)$  all of whose numerical semigroups S satisfy

(1)  $e_l(S) < m(S)/3$ , (2) e(S) < m(S)/3 + (G - g(S)). Summarizing, to probe Wilf's conjecture up to genus G, we only need to test those numerical semigroups S in  $\mathcal{T}_G(3)$ . This is a significant reduction, as the subtree  $\mathcal{T}_G(3)$ turns out to be much smaller than  $\mathcal{T}_G$ . For instance, for G = 100, we found that  $\mathcal{T}_{100}(3)$ counts approximately  $4.5 \times 10^{15}$  nodes, as compared to the full tree  $\mathcal{T}_{100}$  counting roughly  $n_{75} \times \phi^{25} \approx 3.2 \times 10^{19}$  nodes. (See Section 5.) This level of reduction allowed us to reach one of the main computational results of this paper.

**Theorem 3.5.** Wilf's conjecture holds for all numerical semigroups of genus  $g \leq 100$ .  $\Box$ 

*Proof.* By computer, we constructed the much smaller subtree  $\mathcal{T}_G(3) \subset \mathcal{T}_G$  for G = 100, and checked that all of its nodes satisfy Wilf's conjecture. The claimed statement follows from Corollary 3.3 and 3.4.

3.1. Further trimming. There are ways to further trim  $\mathcal{T}_G(3)$  and thus further reduce the number of numerical semigroups of genus  $g \leq G$  to test for Wilf's conjecture. They may be used whenever the added computational cost remains reasonable.

Here is a useful instance, exploiting the result that numerical semigroups with embedding dimension  $e \leq 3$  satisfy Wilf's conjecture [17], as recalled in Theorem 1.1 (1).

**Proposition 3.6.** Let S be a numerical semigroup such that  $c \ge 4g/3$ . Then S satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

*Proof.* As g = c - |L|, the hypothesis yields  $c \ge 4(c - |L|)/3$ , i.e.  $4|L| \ge c$ . If  $e \ge 4$  then  $e|L| \ge 4|L| \ge c$ , so S satisfies Wilf's conjecture. And if  $e \le 3$ , the same conclusion holds by Theorem 1.1 (1).

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $G \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let S be a numerical semigroup of genus  $g \leq G$  such that  $|L| \geq G/3$ . Then all descendants T of S of genus  $g(T) \leq G$  satisfy Wilf's conjecture.

*Proof.* Let T be a descendant of S of genus  $g(T) \leq G$ . We have

$$c(T) = |L(T)| + g(T)$$
  

$$\geq |L| + g(T)$$
  

$$\geq G/3 + g(T)$$
  

$$\geq g(T)/3 + g(T)$$
  

$$= 4g(T)/3.$$

Proposition 3.6 then implies that T satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

4. The case  $c \in m\mathbb{N}$ 

Given a numerical semigroup S, consider the Euclidean division of its conductor c by its multiplicity m with nonpositive remainder:

(2) 
$$c = qm - \rho, \quad 0 \le \rho \le m - 1.$$

As argued in [14], the particular case c = qm, i.e. with  $\rho = 0$ , might well be the heart of Wilf's conjecture. Indeed, the proofs of Wilf's conjecture in either case  $c \leq 3m$  [12] or  $e \geq m/3$  [13] can be significantly shortened in this particular case. Moreover, the first five instances of the rare occurrence  $W_0(S) < 0$  all belong to this case [15].

**Definition 4.1.** A numerical semigroup S is special if its multiplicity m divides its conductor c.

For instance, the ordinary numerical semigroup  $O_m = \{0\} \cup (m + \mathbb{N})$  is special since it satisfies c = m. The above discussion leads one to think that, if Wilf's conjecture is false, then some counterexamples might well be special. Thus, the special case should be given priority in related research work.

In this context, the validity of Wilf's conjecture has been extended to the case  $e \ge m/4$  for special numerical semigroups. More generally, using the notation in (2), the result reads as follows [14].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let S be a numerical semigroup satisfying  $e \ge m/4$ . Then  $e|L| \ge c-m+\rho$ . Morever, if S is special, then  $e|L| \ge c$ , i.e. S satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

Let us see how we used here this theorem to push the verification of Wilf's conjecture up to genus G = 120 in the special case  $c \in m\mathbb{N}$ .

To start with, the method in Section 3 to trim  $\mathcal{T}$  or its bounded version  $\mathcal{T}_G$  to get the greatly reduced relevant subtree  $\mathcal{T}_G(3)$  in case e < m/3 works as well to retain only those numerical semigroups such that e < m/d for some fixed integer  $d \ge 3$ . This yields a subtree  $\mathcal{T}_G(d)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_G$  all of whose numerical semigroups S satisfy

- (1)  $e_l(S) < m(S)/d$ ,
- (2) e(S) < m(S)/d + (G g(S)).

By Theorem 4.2, the case of relevance to us here is d = 4. The subtree  $\mathcal{T}_G(4)$  may be further trimmed by exploiting the added hypothesis c = qm, as explained below. For convenience, we introduce the following definition.

4.1. Special trimming. We start with a condition on a non-special numerical semigroup S ensuring that no descendant of S is special.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let S be a non-special numerical semigroup. Assume further that S has no right primitive element  $a \in P \cap R$  such that  $a \equiv -1 \mod m$ . Then no descendant T of S is special.

Proof. Let  $S' = S \setminus \{a\}$  with  $a \in P \cap R$  be a child of S. As usual, we denote by m, F, c, P the multiplicity, Frobenius number, conductor and primitive elements of S, and by m', F', c', P' the corresponding objects for S'. First of all,  $S \neq O_m$  since  $O_m$  is special. Hence m' = m by Proposition 3.2. We have F' = a and so c' = a + 1. Since  $a \not\equiv -1 \mod m$  by hypothesis, and m' = m, we have  $c' \not\equiv 0 \mod m'$ . Therefore S' is not special. Moreover, no right primitive element of S' can be congruent to  $-1 \mod m'$  since  $P' = P \setminus \{a\}$  or  $P \setminus \{a\} \sqcup \{a + m\}$  as seen in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Hence S' satisfies the same hypotheses as S and we are done by induction on the distance between S and any of its descendant T.

We denote by  $\mathcal{T}'_G(d)$  the subtree obtained from  $\mathcal{T}_G(d)$  by the above special trimming.

4.2. **Outcome.** Having constructed the subtree  $\mathcal{T}'_G(d)$  for d = 4 and maximal genus G = 120, we ended up with the following computational result.

**Theorem 4.4.** Wilf's conjecture holds for all special numerical semigroups S of genus  $g \leq 120$ .

Proof. For each numerical semigroup S in the subtree  $\mathcal{T}'_{120}(4)$ , we computed W(S) = e|L| - c and found that  $W(S) \ge 0$ , i.e. that S satisfies Wilf's conjecture. All numerical semigroups of genus  $g \le 120$  outside  $\mathcal{T}'_{120}(4)$  satisfy  $e \ge m/4$  or are not special. Combining the computational results on  $\mathcal{T}'_{120}(4)$  and Theorem 4.2, we conclude that all special numerical semigroups of genus  $g \le 120$  satisfy Wilf's conjecture.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Computational results

Our experiments were carried out on the computational platform CALCULCO [1] using an adapted and distributed version of algorithms given in [18]. Source codes are available on GitHub [6]. These experiments were run for two weeks during summer 2023, when the platform CALCULCO, shared by the whole university, had a much better availability. The distributed computation ran in parallel on up to 1500 cores, with a special-purpose finely tuned dynamic balancing of the tasks of the respective cores. Early experiments were conducted using the GAP package *NumericalSgps* [7].

5.1. Exploration of $\mathcal{T}_{100}(3)$ .	The following table gives the number $t_g$ of numerical semi-
groups of genus $g$ in the trime	med subtree $\mathcal{T}_{100}(3)$ .

g	$t_g$	g	$t_g$	g	$t_g$
0	1	34	183 029	68	78 371 434 661
1	1	35	$268\ 072$	69	$114\ 677\ 728\ 452$
2	1	36	$392\ 646$	70	$167\ 759\ 612\ 028$
3	1	37	$575\ 237$	71	$245 \ 327 \ 971 \ 537$
4	2	38	$842\ 632$	72	$358 \ 502 \ 883 \ 157$
5	3	39	$1\ 234\ 294$	73	$523\ 268\ 737\ 918$
6	4	40	$1\ 808\ 003$	74	$762 \ 512 \ 542 \ 535$
7	6	41	$2\ 648\ 088$	75	$1\ 108\ 797\ 952\ 894$
8	9	42	$3\ 878\ 863$	76	$1 \ 608 \ 029 \ 199 \ 893$
- 9	13	43	$5\ 681\ 044$	77	$2 \ 323 \ 793 \ 898 \ 612$
10	19	44	8 320 312	78	$3 \ 343 \ 540 \ 732 \ 459$
11	28	45	$12\ 184\ 995$	79	$4\ 786\ 270\ 172\ 173$
12	41	46	$17\ 844\ 810$	80	$6 \ 811 \ 932 \ 935 \ 500$
13	60	47	$26\ 134\ 470$	81	$9\ 633\ 271\ 340\ 874$
14	88	48	$38\ 275\ 824$	82	$13\ 524\ 365\ 031\ 892$
15	129	49	$56\ 052\ 677$	83	$18\ 835\ 200\ 708\ 312$
16	189	50	$82\ 079\ 784$	84	$26\ 006\ 592\ 640\ 071$
17	277	51	$120\ 191\ 188$	85	35 586 447 144 420
18	406	52	$176\ 010\ 965$	86	$48\ 235\ 329\ 094\ 317$
19	595	53	$257\ 743\ 713$	87	$64 \ 707 \ 333 \ 203 \ 651$
20	872	54	$377 \ 377 \ 331$	88	$85\ 854\ 587\ 472\ 809$
21	1 278	55	$552\ 530\ 112$	89	$112\ 592\ 214\ 454\ 082$
22	1 870	56	809 003 680	90	$145\ 836\ 255\ 324\ 616$
23	2 741	57	$1 \ 184 \ 568 \ 132$	91	$186\ 464\ 879\ 487\ 116$
24	4 019	58	$1\ 734\ 367\ 942$	92	$234\ 882\ 687\ 403\ 501$
25	$5\ 888$	59	$2 \ 539 \ 101 \ 162$	93	$290\ 865\ 320\ 646\ 279$
26	8 622	60	$3\ 717\ 160\ 466$	94	$353\ 167\ 513\ 519\ 152$
27	$12 \ 634$	61	$5\ 441\ 979\ 825$	95	$419\ 043\ 410\ 131\ 476$
28	18 513	62	$7 \ 967 \ 290 \ 270$	96	$483\ 141\ 727\ 918\ 288$
29	$27\ 128$	63	$11\ 663\ 422\ 314$	97	$534\ 768\ 932\ 735\ 380$
30	39 749	64	$17\ 072\ 801\ 062$	98	$557\ 018\ 016\ 635\ 015$
31	$58\ 192$	65	$24 \ 990 \ 316 \ 134$	99	$522\ 447\ 041\ 258\ 147$
32	$85 \ 285$	66	$36\ 581\ 421\ 194$	100	$389\ 883\ 092\ 218\ 470$
33	124 928	67	$53\ 548\ 048\ 989$		

The number of nodes in  $\mathcal{T}_{100}(3)$  is equal to  $4\,554\,895\,996\,302\,538 \approx 4.5 \times 10^{15}$ . We checked that all of these numerical semigroups satisfy Wilf's conjecture, whence Theorem 3.5.


5.2. Exploration of  $\mathcal{T}'_{120}(4)$ . The following table gives the number  $t'_g$  of numerical semigroups of genus g in the subtree  $\mathcal{T}'_{120}(4)$ .

g	$t'_g$	g	$t'_{q}$	g	$t'_{a}$
$\frac{3}{0}$	1	41	200 122	82	98068856236
1	1	42		83	136 816 899 688
2	1	43	369 269	84	188124954369
- 3	1	44	510 693	85	246090486177
4	1	45	699711	86	336614411642
5	2	46	975 178	87	446053184686
6	3	47	1342072	88	606309920447
7	4	48	1876236	89	801094605082
8	5	49	2608650	90	1075828933040
9	7	50	3458914	91	1412830185991
10	10	51	4794003	92	1734561883001
11	14	52	6551846	93	2241022409143
12	19	53	9147280	94	2760341832836
13	26	54	12582317	95	3516881984622
14	36	55	17614571	96	4299648368842
15	49	56	24483065	97	5388292247874
16	67	57	32457488	98	6544140003541
17	93	58	45063305	99	7117478807043
18	128	59	61488392	100	8451858568006
19	177	60	85953600	101	9261220874872
20	245	61	118226772	102	10843978899677
21	340	62	165696926	103	11904592718137
22	455	63	230209288	104	13736474753272
23	624	64		105	15172362267910
24	863	65	424326522	106	13564852076075
25	1 1 9 4	66	578281772	107	14519416932134
26	1647	67	809156311	108	13448628571779
27	2286	68	1112860410	109	14268658755506
28	3180	69	1561100560	110	13799851102125
29	4234	70	2168306879	111	14508263526352
30	5823	71	2876214827	112	14534198939692
31	8035	72	4002364427	113	11099577260819
32	11135	73	5449537905	114	10699792288594
33	15341	74	7630005823	115	9284396042115
34	21369	75	10492890135	116	8422462308726
35	29722	76	14726118585	117	6954667530694
36	39 491	77	20444255810	118	5013091736917
37	54 511	78	27121425859	119	2599964149312
38	74910	79	37 736 682 161	120	289298823487
39	104 183	80	51 267 633 069		
40	143431	81	71625262707		


The number of nodes in  $\mathcal{T}'_{120}(4)$  is equal to  $261\,588\,966\,883\,192 \approx 2.6 \times 10^{14}$ . We checked that all of these numerical semigroups satisfy Wilf's conjecture, whence Theorem 4.4.

5.3. Growth rates. Recall that  $t_g$  and  $t'_g$  denote the number of numerical semigroups of genus g in  $\mathcal{T}_{100}(3)$  and  $\mathcal{T}'_{120}(4)$ , respectively. Recall also that the growth rate of  $n_g$  tends to  $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.62$  [28]. As illustrated by the following figure, the growth rate of  $t_g$  seems to stabilize around 1.46 for  $z \in [10, 70]$ 

1.46 for  $g \in [10, 70]$ .



The growth rate of  $t_g'$  is a little more chaotic but seems to have the same behavior, as illustrated by the following figure.



5.4. New values of  $n_g$ . We took advantage of our distributed version of the algorithms in [18] to compute the values of  $n_g$  for all  $g \leq 75$ . See Table 1. The total number of numerical semigroups of genus  $g \leq 75$  is equal to  $46\,844\,766\,834\,597\,649 \approx 4.6 \times 10^{16}$ .

g	$n_g$	g	$n_g$	g	$n_g$
$\frac{g}{0}$	1	$\frac{g}{26}$	770 832	52	266815155103
1	1	27	1270267	53	433317458741
2	2	$\frac{1}{28}$	2091030	54	703569992121
3	4	29	3437839	55	1142140736859
4	7	30	5646773	56	1853737832107
5	12	31	9266788	57	3008140981820
6	23	32	15195070	58	4880606790010
7	39	33	24896206	59	7917344087695
8	67	34	40761087	60	12841603251351
9	118	35	66687201	61	20825558002053
10	204	36	109032500	62	33768763536686
11	343	37	178158289	63	54749244915730
12	592	38	290939807	64	88754191073328
13	1001	39	474851445	65	143863484925550
14	1693	40	774614284	66	233166577125714
15	2857	41	1262992840	67	377866907506273
16	4806	42	2058356522	68	612309308257800
17	8045	43	3353191846	69	992121118414851
18	13467	44	5460401576	70	1607394814170158
19	22464	45	8888486816	71	2604033182682582
20	37396	46	14463633648	72	4218309716540814
21	62194	47	23527845502	73	6832823876813577
22	103246	48	38260496374	74	11067092660179522
23	170963	49	62200036752	75	17924213336425401
24	282828	50	101090300128		
25	467224	51	164253200784		

TABLE 1. Number  $n_g$  of numerical semigroups of genus  $g \leq 75$ . Previously unknown values are in bold font.

#### References

- [1] CALCULCO platform. https://www-calculco.univ-littoral.fr, 2023.
- [2] Maria Bras-Amorós. Fibonacci-like behavior of the number of numerical semigroups of a given genus. Semigroup Forum, 76(2):379–384, 2008.
- [3] Maria Bras-Amorós and César Marín Rodríguez. New Eliahou semigroups and verification of the Wilf conjecture for genus up to 65. 12898:17–27, [2021] (C)2021.
- [4] Maria Bras-Amorós. On the seeds and the great-grandchildren of a numerical semigroup, 2023. To appear in Mathematics of Computation.
- [5] Winfried Bruns, Pedro García-Sánchez, Christopher O'Neill, and Dane Wilburne. Wilf's conjecture in fixed multiplicity. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 30(4):861–882, 2020.
- [6] M. Delgado, S. Eliahou, and J. Fromentin. Github trim\_tree\_semigroups. https://github.com/ jfromentin/trim\_tree\_semigroups, 2023.
- [7] M. Delgado, P. A. Garcia-Sanchez, and J. Morais. NumericalSgps, a package for numerical semigroups, Version 1.3.1, July 2022. Refereed GAP package.
- [8] Manuel Delgado. On a question of Eliahou and a conjecture of Wilf. Math. Z., 288(1-2):595-627, 2018.
- [9] Manuel Delgado. Trimming the numerical semigroups tree to probe Wilf's conjecture to higher genus, 2019. 40 pages.
- [10] Manuel Delgado. Conjecture of Wilf: A survey. In V. Barucci, S. Chapman, M. D'Anna, and R. Fröberg, editors, *Numerical Semigroups*, volume 40 of *Springer INdAM Ser.*, pages 39–62. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [11] David E. Dobbs and Gretchen L. Matthews. On a question of Wilf concerning numerical semigroups. In Focus on commutative rings research, pages 193–202. Nova Sci. Publ., New York, 2006.
- [12] Shalom Eliahou. Wilf's conjecture and Macaulay's theorem. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 20(9):2105– 2129, 2018.
- [13] Shalom Eliahou. A graph-theoretic approach to Wilf's conjecture. Electron. J. Combin., 27 (2):Article No P2.15, 31 pages, 2020.
- [14] Shalom Eliahou. Divsets, numerical semigroups and Wilf's conjecture. https://hal.science/ hal-04234167, October 2023.
- [15] Shalom Eliahou and Jean Fromentin. Near-misses in Wilf's conjecture. Semigroup Forum, 98(2):285– 298, 2019.
- [16] Shalom Eliahou and Daniel Marín-Aragón. On numerical semigroups with at most 12 left elements. Comm. Algebra, 49(6):2402–2422, 2021.
- [17] R. Fröberg, C. Gottlieb, and R. Häggkvist. On numerical semigroups. Semigroup Forum, 35(1):63–83, 1987.
- [18] Jean Fromentin and Florent Hivert. Exploring the tree of numerical semigroups. Math. Comp., 85(301):2553-2568, 2016.
- [19] Nathan Kaplan. Counting numerical semigroups by genus and some cases of a question of Wilf. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 216(5):1016–1032, 2012.
- [20] Jonathan Kliem and Christian Stump. A new face iterator for polyhedra and for more general finite locally branched lattices. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 67(4):1147–1173, 2022.
- [21] Alessio Moscariello and Alessio Sammartano. On a conjecture by Wilf about the Frobenius number. Math. Z., 280(1-2):47–53, 2015.
- [22] J. L. Ramírez-Alfonsín. The Diophantine Frobenius problem, volume 30 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.
- [23] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García Sánchez. Numerical semigroups, volume 20 of Developments in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [24] Alessio Sammartano. Numerical semigroups with large embedding dimension satisfy Wilf's conjecture. Semigroup Forum, 85(3):439–447, 2012.
- [25] Ernst S. Selmer. On the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius. J. Reine Angew. Math., 293(294):1–17, 1977.
- [26] J. J. Sylvester. On Subinvariants, i.e. Semi-Invariants to Binary Quantics of an Unlimited Order. Amer. J. Math., 5(1-4):79–136, 1882.
- [27] Herbert S. Wilf. A circle-of-lights algorithm for the "money-changing problem". Amer. Math. Monthly, 85(7):562–565, 1978.

[28] Alex Zhai. Fibonacci-like growth of numerical semigroups of a given genus. *Semigroup Forum*, 86(3):634–662, 2013.

#### Authors addresses

• Manuel DELGADO,

CMUP–Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto, Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre s/n, 4169–007 Porto, Portugal m<br/>delgado@fc.up.pt

• Shalom ELIAHOU<sup>a,b</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597 - LMPA - Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville, F-62100 Calais, France <sup>b</sup>CNRS, FR2037, France eliahou@univ-littoral.fr

• Jean FROMENTIN<sup>a,b</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597 - LMPA - Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville, F-62100 Calais, France <sup>b</sup>CNRS, FR2037, France fromentin@univ-littoral.fr