

Conodont size in time and space: beyond the temperature-size rule

Catherine Girard, Anne-Lise Charruault, Anne-Béatrice Dufour, Sabrina

Renaud

To cite this version:

Catherine Girard, Anne-Lise Charruault, Anne-Béatrice Dufour, Sabrina Renaud. Conodont size in time and space: beyond the temperature-size rule. Marine Micropaleontology, 2023, 184 (1985), pp.102291. 10.1016/j.marmicro.2023.102291 hal-04235420

HAL Id: hal-04235420 <https://hal.science/hal-04235420>

Submitted on 10 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract

23 The Temperature Size Rule (TSR) states that ectoderms display smaller adult body size in warmer conditions. Such a rule may have the potential to explain size response of fossil organisms to past temperature variations, but its validity in deep time has been seldom tested. The generality of this 26 rule was investigated here by compiling data documenting conodont size of three genera (*Palmatolepis, Ancyrodella* and *Polygnathus*) at different spatial and temporal scales during the Late 28 Frasnian and the Famennian, characterized by short- and long-term temperature variations. The expected TSR was validated in only one out of ten cases. Conodont size was occasionally related 30 to temperature variations, especially during perturbed Late Frasnian time-interval, but the relationship was reverse to the expectations of the TSR. These deviations from the TSR might have several sources. First, size-frequency distributions in the fossil record not only growth patterns but also strategies of reproduction, that may not follow the TSR. Second, limitation in oxygen supply is supposed to be one of the primary drivers of the TSR, but anoxia may interfere with temperature in driving the response to oxygen concentration in the water column. Finally, complex eco-evolutionary 36 dynamics, intermingling threshold response to temperature variation and temperature tolerance of 37 each taxon, may control size evolution. Accordingly, modelling evolutionary modes suggested that size evolution towards new adaptive optima was a predominant pattern of conodont size evolution along the Famennian. **Keywords**: Geometric morphometrics, *Palmatolepis*, *Polygnathus*, *Ancyrodella*, Upper Devonian, evolutionary models **Highlights**

- Temperature-Size Rule fails to explain most conodont size variations
- A complex interplay of physical and biological factors affected conodont size
- Differential responses of conodont genera could be related to their temperature tolerance
-
-
- **1. Introduction**
-

 Body size is a key feature of any organism, reflecting metabolism, physiology, life-history traits and evolutionary history, from unicellular organisms to metazoans (Schmidt et al. 2006). Being easily quantified, its variation in time and space deserved interest since a long time in biology and paleontology. The Bergmann's rule states that populations and species of larger size are found in colder environments (Bergmann 1847). The mechanism beyond being to minimize the surface to volume ratio of an organism in order to limit heat loss, the rule was initially proposed for endotherms (mammals and birds) (Ashton et al. 2000; Rodriguez et al. 2008; Olson et al. 2009; Sun et al. 2017). An extension has been proposed more recently as the "temperature-size rule" (TSR), postulating that ectotherms mature at smaller body sizes when reared in warmer conditions (Atkinson 1994). The response is one of the most taxonomically widespread patterns in biology (Forster et al. 2012), being observed in organisms from bacteria to vertebrates (Atkinson 1994). As a consequence, body-size reduction is considered as a general response to global warming (Sheridan & Bickford 2011). Oxygen supply has been proposed as a major process beyond the TSR (e.g. Atkinson et al. 2006; Forster et al. 2012; Walczyńska & Sobczyk 2017): cold water can hold more dissolved oxygen than warm water, and as a consequence the dissolved-oxygen concentration is often lower when the water temperature is high. Oxygen supply being more problematic in aquatic than aerial environment, this would explain why the TSR seems particularly well supported in aquatic organisms (Horne et al. 2015, 2017; Rollinson & Rowe 2018). The TSR acting through very basic metabolic and physiological pathways, it is supposed to be general, and to act across various levels of processes, from specific adaptations to plastic response. It should 72 thus be expressed through space as geographic clines and through time as response to temperature variation (Forster et al. 2012; Salamon et al. 2021). Yet, other processes are susceptible to impact body size, such as food shortage related to primary productivity collapse or interspecific competition (He et al. 2010), possibly leading to deviation from the expected TSR pattern. Organisms delivering extensive fossil material through sequences documenting temperature changes offer ideal settings to test for the importance of the TSR in deep time (e.g. Schmidt et al. 2006). The Late Frasnian and the Famennian (Late Devonian, -381 to -360 Ma) are characterized by such changes in sea-water temperatures (Girard et al. 2020; Joachimski et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2021). The Late Frasnian was characterized by a rapid succession of two anoxic events that were associated with rapid temperature variations (Girard et al. 2007; Joachimski & Buggisch 2002). The Lower Kellwasser event (LKW) was a bio-event of medium importance (Walliser 1996) while the Upper Kellwasser event (UKW), at the Frasnian/Famennian (F/F) boundary, caused a mass extinction among both benthic and pelagic organisms (McGhee 1996). The Famennian, in contrast, was rather characterized

87 transition towards an icehouse regime occurred, as premises of the Carboniferous glaciation (Buggisch et al. 2008). During this period, conodonts delivered an abundant fossil record allowing for quantitative investigation of their size variation. They correspond to an extinct group of marine animals, presumably close to vertebrates (e.g. Aldridge et al. 1993; Janvier 1995). Because of the presence of 92 developed eyes and fins, they have been interpreted as nektonic organisms with active swimming (Briggs et al. 1983; Purnell 1994). The most commonly preserved parts of these organisms are microscopic elements which composed a complex feeding apparatus (Purnell 1994; Donoghue & Purnell 1999) allowing them to exploit a trophic niche of first level consumers (Shirley et al. 2018; Balter et al. 2019). Among the different types of elements composing the apparatus, the platform element (P1) displayed a rapid morphological evolution making it widely studied for biostratigraphic purposes (e.g. Spalletta et al. 2017; Ziegler & Sandberg 1990). These elements grew by addition of thin lamellae throughout the animal's life, and thus presumably constitute a proxy of body size (Purnell 1994). While the species concept remains debated in this group of extinct organisms with extensive morphological variation (Scott & Collinson 1959; Koike 1988; Girard et al. 2004), genera can be easily 103 recognized and may constitute a robust unit to assess size changes, including plastic response, evolution, and possibly cryptic species composition (Girard et al. 2004). Using such an approach at 105 the generic level, it has been suggested that conodont size tracked temperature changes during the Frasnian, in areas corresponding nowadays to China (Zhang et al. 2020). The question rises whether this observation, congruent with the TSR, can be generalized to size variations in conodonts in other 108 time intervals and in other areas. The size-frequency distribution of the P1 elements of three genera was therefore quantified using geometric morphometrics methods (Renaud et al. 1999; Renaud et al. 2021). By comparing size values across time (along sections) and space (by comparing sections), the following hypotheses

by long term changes in temperature conditions (Joachimski et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2021). The early

Famennian experienced a long-term and stable greenhouse climate, while in the late Famennian, a

- 112 where investigated.
- 113 (1) TSR as general driver of conodont size variation should lead to congruent signatures among different genera, across time and space, and at various time scales.
- (2) Alternatively, crises as cause of size reduction (Twitchett 2006) should lead to deviation from 116 the TSR. The response to the UKW event during the Late Frasnian might correspond to such a 117 situation of interfering factors blurring the TSR response.
-
- **2. Material**
-
- The conodont elements considered in this study come from sections located in different parts of the
- world. Most of the sampling was located in Montagne Noire (France): Coumiac Upper Quarry (CUQ),
- La Serre trench C (LSC) and Col des Tribes (CT). Samples from Buschteich (BU, Thuringia, Germany),
- Mrirt (Moroccan Meseta, Morocco) and Xom Nha (XN, Central Vietnam) complemented this sampling
- (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. A. Schematic paleogeographic map of the Late Devonian. T: Thuringia (Germany); MN: Montagne

Noire (France); M: Meseta (Morocco); V: Central Vietnam. B. Stratigraphic extension of the studied sections

(BU: Buschteich, CT: Col des Tribes, CUQ: Coumiac Upper Quarry, LSC: La Serre Trench C, XN: Xom Nha). In dark

130 grey, the Lower (LKW) and Upper (UKW) Kellwasser events.

-
- 132 Three conodont genera occurring through all or part of the Upper Devonian were considered in the
- present study: *Ancyrodella*, *Palmatolepis*, and *Polygnathus* (Fig. 2). The stratigraphic extension of
- *Ancyrodella* was limited to the Frasnian, with its extinction coinciding with the F/F boundary.
- *Palmatolepis* stratigraphic extension covered the whole Upper Devonian, its extinction occurring at
- the end of the Famennian. *Polygnathus* was known during all the Devonian.
- 137 In order to study morphological variations on a broad geographical scale, the time-slice
- corresponding to the *linguiformis* Zone (last Zone of the Frasnian, Ziegler & Sandberg 1990) was
- selected. *Palmatolepis* size data were available from the literature (Girard et al. 2010), allowing for a
- 140 comparison including samples located in the West (sections from Mrirt and from the Montagne
- Noire) and in the East (Vietnam) of the Paleotethys (Fig. 1). Three levels were included for Mrirt, two
- 142 levels for CUQ, two levels for CT, and two levels for Xom Nha.
- Short-term size variations of conodonts were documented in the period of the Late Frasnian and
- early Famennian, encompassing environmental perturbations around the Lower and Upper
- 145 Kellwasser events. This period was thereafter designed as the "whole Kellwasser period".
- *Palmatolepis* size variations were considered in CUQ, LSC and Mrirt (Girard et al. 2007). *Ancyrodella*
- was further considered during the same period, with the difference that its extinction at the F/F
- 148 boundary limited its sampling to the Late Frasnian. Size variations were documented in CUQ and
- Mrirt (Girard et al. 2008).
- Long-term temporal variations were assessed along two Famennian sequences at the Col des Tribes
- (CT) and the Buschteich sections (BU) for *Palmatolepis* and *Polygnathus*. Few Frasnian levels were
- included in CT. Samples correspond to those considered in stratigraphic analyses (Girard et al. 2014,
- 2017), in a study comparing temperature and water-depth variations in both sections (Girard et al.
- 2020), and in a study devoted to *Polygnathus* morphological evolution over this time period (Renaud
- et al. 2021).
- Oxygen isotope data, used as paleotemperature proxies, were available from previously published data (Balter et al. 2008; Le Houedec et al. 2013; Girard et al. 2020). Furthermore, ecological
- preferences of conodont genera are supposed to be dependent on water depth (Seddon & Sweet
- 1971; Klapper & Barrick 1975) rather than on temperature. *Palmatolepis* being considered as off-
- shore dweller and then associated with deep waters, its percentage in the conodont assemblages
- was considered as a proxy of water-depth variations through the Famennian (Girard et al. 2020), in
- order to test an alternate candidate for external forcing on conodont size variation.
-

3. Methods

3.1. Size estimates

- *3.1.1. Outline analysis:* Palmatolepis *and* Ancyrodella. A method based on Fourier outline analysis was applied to quantify the form (size + shape) of the element platform (P1) for *Palmatolepis* (Renaud & Girard 1999; Girard et al. 2010; Girard & Renaud 2012) and *Ancyrodella* (Girard & Renaud 2008) (Fig. 2). According to this method, the 2D platform outline was automatically digitized using an image analyzer (Optimas v. 6.0) and 64 points were sampled at equally-spaced intervals along the 171 outline, the starting point being defined at the termination of the blade. From these coordinates, 64 172 radii were calculated, corresponding to the distance of each point to the center of gravity (centroid) 173 of the outline. A Fourier transform was then applied to this set of 64 radii expressed as a function of 174 the cumulated curvilinear abscissa along the outline, decomposing the empirical outline as a sum of 175 trigonometric functions of decreasing wavelength. Size was calculated as the zero harmonic (A0), 176 corresponding to the size of the best-fitting circle to the original outline. This procedure is implemented as "sfourier" in Momocs (Bonhomme et al. 2014).
-

180 Figure 2. The P1 elements of the three genera considered in this study, with a schematic representation of the method used for size quantification. *Palmatolepis, Ancyrodella*: in red, the outline sampled by 64 points. *Polygnathus*: red dots: landmarks, open dots: sliding semi-landmarks.

 3.1.2. Landmark-based estimate of Polygnathus *size*. – The description of *Polygnathus* 2D shape did 185 not include the blade, too frequently damaged on the collected specimens. Hence, the outline of the (P1) platform was not closed, precluding the use of Fourier methods; landmark-based descriptors were used instead (Fig. 2). Three landmarks and two series of 13 semi-landmarks were manually digitized on the edges of the platform (Renaud et al. 2021). The size of the platform was estimated as 189 the centroid size (CS) of the configuration, defined as the square root of the sum of squared distances of all landmarks to their centroid (Slice et al. 1996).

- Since size distributions were skewed towards large size (Girard et al. 2004; Girard et al. 2010), size
- estimates were log-transformed for all analyses. Sample size and summary statistics are provided as
- Supplementary Tables 1 to 10.
-
- *3.1.3. Statistical analyses*
- Within each section, or within the *linguiformis* time-slice, size difference between levels were tested
- using Kruskall-Wallis tests, completed by pairwise Wilcoxon tests between applied to successive
- levels.
- Mean size were calculated for each level. Within each section, or within the *linguiformis* time-slice, 200 Pearson correlations were performed between these group means and the corresponding isotopic 201 value $(\delta^{18}O)$.
- 202 Correlations between variables can however arise due to temporal autocorrelation. This possible
- effect was assessed using a first-order autoregressive (AR1) model using generalized least squares
- 204 (gls) (Rita et al. 2019). This corresponds to using the order of the observations in the data as a covariate.
-
- 206 Such correlations on means per level however neglect the fact that sample size and variance are 207 heterogeneous among levels. One way to circumvent this issue is to consider that all conodonts from 208 a given level represent a random sample from the original population. They experienced similar
- 209 growing conditions, that may have led to a pseudo-correlation between them, possibly blurring the correlation of interest with paleotemperature. Models with linear mixed effects (lme) were therefore
- 211 constructed, considering size as a function of temperature, with levels as random effect. A last series
- of models further included first-order autocorrelation. These models were fitted using the package
- nlme (Pinheiro et al. 2018).
-
- *3.1.4. Evolutionary models*

 Using as entry data the size mean and variance per level as well as the estimated age, evolutionary models were fitted to the data using the paleoTS package (Hunt 2014). The models considered were 218 the following.

- Stasis, with a constant mean and variance (Sheets & Mitchell 2001);
- General random walk (GRW), where each "step" is drawn from a distribution with a non-zero mean, corresponding to the directionality of the trend (Hunt 2006; Hunt & Carrano 2010);
- 222 Unbiased random walk (URW), corresponding to non-directional random walk (steps drawn from a distribution with a zero mean) (Hunt 2006);
- 224 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU), corresponding to the evolution towards an adaptive peak (Hansen 1997; Butler & King 2004; Beaulieu et al. 2012, Hunt et al. 2008);
- 226 One punctuation along the sequence: all shift points are tested, with the constraint that the 227 number of steps in each segment is larger than a given threshold n (here, the value by 228 default n=7 was retained) (Hunt 2016).
- 229 Several models considered that the mode of trait evolution shifted once along the sequence. 230 The minimum number of steps in a segment was retained as n=7.
- 231 **b CONTA CONTA CONTA CONTA CONTROVER AND STATE IN STAR** SURVESSED URW-Stasis and Stasis-URW: first evolution according to an unbiased random walk 232 and then stasis (or the reverse);
- 233 GRW-Stasis and Stasis-GRW: first evolution according to an unbiased random walk 234 and then stasis (or the reverse).
- 235 Finally, a model where evolution tracks a covariate was considered (Hunt et al. 2010). This allowed to
- 236 test for size covarying with the paleotemperature proxy δ^{18} O. To test an alternate model of

237 environmental forcing, the percentage of *Palmatolepis* in the assemblage was considered (Girard et

- 238 al. 2020), supposedly tracing water depth variations. These models were only considered for the
- 239 evolution along the Famennian.
- 240 The constraint on the minimum number of steps in a segment precluded to estimate two-segments
- 241 models for several sequences that were documented by too few levels.
- 242 All models were estimated using a full likelihood approach ("Joint"). The Akaike information criterion
- 243 (AIC) estimated the quality of each model; based on the number of parameters and the Log-
- 244 likelihood of the model. The corrected criterion (AICc) was calculated from the AIC test by correcting
- 245 for small sample sizes. The preferred model is the one with the minimum AICc value. While
- 246 comparing several models, two other parameters were provided to help identifying the preferred
- 247 one: dAICc, corresponding to the difference in AICc score between the best model and the model
- 248 being compared, and Akaike weight (Akaike.wt), corresponding to the proportion of the total amount
- 249 of predictive power provided by the full set of models, contained in the model being assessed.
- 250 All analyses were performed under R (R-Core-Team 2018).
- 251

252 **4. Results**

- 253
- 254 *4.1. Size distribution in the different genera and sections*
- 255 Conodont size distributions differed in the four sections considered for the *linguiformis* zone (P <
- 256 0.0001). Mrirt displayed more abundant small-sized *Palmatolepis* specimens than the other outcrops
- 257 (Fig. 3A). When considering the "whole Kellwasser period", size differed as well between the three
- 258 outcrops considered (P < 0.0001) but the most abundant small sized *Palmatolepis* elements were
- 259 found in LSC. Regarding *Ancyrodella*, no size difference was observed between the two sections
- 260 involved (P = 0.8055) (Fig. 3B).

 Figure 3. Size frequency-distribution of the conodont P1 elements for the three periods considered. (**A**) Distribution of *Palmatolepis* size per section in the « *linguiformis* » time-slice. (**B**) Distribution per section for

Palmatolepis and *Ancyrodella* over the « whole Kellwasser period ». (**C**) Distribution per section over the

- Famennian for *Palmatolepis* and *Polygnathus*. *Palmatolepis* and *Ancyrodella* size is estimated as the zero
- harmonic (A0) of a Fourier analysis of the platform outline. *Polygnathus* size is estimated as the Centroid Size
- (CS) of landmark configurations*.* All data were log-transformed. CUQ: Coumiac Quarry, LSC: La Serre Trench C*.*
-
- 269 Considering the Famennian record, *Palmatolepis* elements were smaller in BU than in CT (P <
- 270 0.0001). The difference was also significant when considering *Polygnathus* (P = 0.0002) and the
- 271 pattern was similar, with elements tending to be smaller in BU than in CT (Fig.3C).
- 272
- 273 *4.2. Differences between levels along sections*

274 Significant size differences among levels were found in the *linguiformis* data set (Table 1) and along

- 275 all sections and genera, except for *Ancyrodella* at Mrirt (Table 2, Supplementary Tables).
- 276 In the *linguiformis* time slice, the difference was mostly driven by Mrirt levels being different from
- 277 most other samples. Pairwise Wilcoxon tests among successive levels along sections showed that
- 278 significant differences were scattered all over the record for *Palmatolepis* in CUQ, Mrirt and LSC
- 279 during the Kellwasser period, and all along the Famennian in CT and BU. Only few differences were
- 280 significant between the two Kellwasser events for *Ancyrodella* in CUQ, and few differences towards
- 281 the end of the Famennian were significant for *Polygnathus* in CT (Supp. Tables 1 to 10).
- 282

283 Table 1. Differences between levels in the *linguiformis* time-slice. Non-adjusted probabilities of pairwise

- 284 Wilcoxon tests are provided. In bold, P < 0.01, in italics P < 0.05. CT: Col des Tribes; CUQ: Coumiac Upper
- 285 Quarry; M: Mrirt; XN: Xom Nha.

286

287 Table 2. Differences in size between levels, and summary of Pearson correlations between mean size and $\delta^{18}O$

288 in the different cases considered. N tot: total number of specimens; P KW: probabilities of size differences

289 between levels, based on Kruskal Wallis tests; N mean: number of levels; P: probabilities of correlation

290 between mean size and δ^{18} O per level; R: Pearson coefficient of correlation; range Size: range between

291 minimum and maximum mean size values; range δ^{18} O: range between minimum and maximum δ^{18} O values.

292 Kellwasser: "whole Kellwasser period". In bold, P < 0.01, in italics P < 0.05.

294 $$ 4.3. Correlations between mean size and $\delta^{18}O$

295 Among the ten datasets considered, the expected relationship according to the TSR (positive

296 correlation between size and δ^{18} O) was only supported in the case of the geographic variation in the

297 *linguiformis* time slice (Fig. 4; Table 2).

 300 Figure 4. *Palmatolepis* size as a function of δ^{18} O in the "*linguiformis*" time-slice. A. Mean size per level \pm

 301 standard deviation (SD). B. Size frequency distribution of *Palmatolepis* size (LogA0) as a function of δ^{18} O. CUQ:

302 Coumiac Upper Quarry, CT: Col des Tribes, XN: Xom Nha.

303

304 Only two other correlations were significant, but with a negative relationship between mean size and

d¹⁸ 305 O. They involved *Palmatolepis* during the Kellwasser period at CUQ (Fig. 5C), and along the

306 Famennian at CT (Fig. 6B).

Figure 5. Paleotemperature proxy and conodont size variation during the "whole Kellwasser period". A. δ¹⁸O

variations. B. *Ancyrodella* mean size ± SD. C. *Palmatolepis* mean size ± SD. D. One punctuation as best-fitting

model for size evolution of *Palmatolepis* at LSC. Mrirt in grey, LSC in violet, CUQ in blue. Dots: circles,

Palmatolepis; triangles, *Ancyrodella*. Grey boxes: Lower and Upper Kellwasser events.

Figure 6. Paleotemperature proxy and conodont size variation during the "Famennian period". A. δ¹⁸O

variations. B. *Palmatolepis* mean size (logA0) per level ± SD. C. *Polygnathus* mean centroid size (logCS) per level

316 ± SD. Circles: *Palmatolepis*, squares: *Polygnathus*. CT in green, BU in red. The grey box represents the Upper

317 Kellwasser event.

318

319 *4.4. Investigating temporal autocorrelation and levels as random effects*

- 320 The different models considered as alternate to the basic Pearson correlation (Table 2) provided
- 321 overall congruent results (Table 3). Variation between size and temperature was supported during
- 322 the "*linguiformis"* time-slice, and in most of the case for *Palmatolepis* during the Kellwasser period at
- 323 CUQ. This correlation was only marginally significant, however, when considering the linear mixed
- 324 effects model with temporal auto-correlation. The same was true for *Palmatolepis* in the CT
- 325 Famennian record, where the size δ^{18} O relationship was consistently significant except when
- 326 considering the gls model on mean values corrected for auto-correlation. The size- δ^{18} O relationship
- 327 emerged as significant for *Ancyrodella* at CUQ only when considering the lme model.
- 328

329 Table 3. Summary of the models exploring the correlation between Log size and δ^{18} O in the different cases

- 330 considered. First line indicates if models were performed on the means or on all specimens. Probabilities are
- 331 provided for the following models: Pearson correlation on the means per level; gls on the means per level,
- 332 including first-order autocorrelation; lme on all specimens including levels as a random effect; the same models
- 333 including first-order autocorrelation. Models including first-order autocorrelation are not provided for the
- 334 *linguiformis* time-slice, since time is not involved. Palm: *Palmatolepis*; Ancyro: *Ancyrodella*, Poly: *Polygnathus*;
- 335 KW: whole Kellwasser period; CUQ: Coumiac; M: Mrirt; LSC: La Serre, CT: Col des Tribes; BU: Buschteich. In
- 336 bold, P < 0.01, in italics P < 0.05.

- 337
- 338 *4.5. Testing evolutionary modes*
- 339 Given the constraints of minimum seven steps per segment, two-segments models were only tested
- 340 when 19 or more levels were available along a section (*Palmatolepis* CUQ and LSC during the
- 341 Kellwasser, CT and BU along the Famennian; *Polygnathus* at CT).
- 342 *4.5.1. Whole Kellwasser period,* Palmatolepis. In CUQ, the best model by far pointed to size
- 343 evolution tracking δ^{18} O variations along the section (Table 4). In Mrirt, the best model among the few
- 344 tested emerged as the stasis (Table 5). In LSC (Table 6), the best model involved one punctuation
- 345 located at step 14 (LSC14b). Accordingly, *Palmatolepis* elements tended to reach larger size towards
- 346 the end of the record (Fig. 5D).
- 347
- 348 Table 4. Comparison of support for each model of *Palmatolepis* evolution in CUQ (comparing 10 models [n =
- 349 19, method = joint]. LogL = model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information
- 350 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the
- 351 model being compared, Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

- 353 Table 5. Comparison of support for each model of *Palmatolepis* evolution in Mrirt (comparing 5 models [n = 13,
- 354 method = joint]. LogL = model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information
- 355 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the
- 356 model being compared, Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

- 357 358
- 359 Table 6. Comparison of support for each model of *Palmatolepis* evolution in LSC (comparing 10 models [n = 21,
- 360 method = joint]. LogL = model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information
- 361 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the
- 362 model being compared and Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

365 *4.5.2. Whole Kellwasser period,* Ancyrodella. – Two models were almost equally performant for this

366 record, suggesting either a stasis, or an evolution of size coupled to the paleotemperature proxy

367 (Table 7).

368
369 Table 7. Comparison of support for each model of *Ancyrodella* evolution in CUQ (comparing 5 models [n = 17,

370 method = joint]. LogL = model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information

371 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the

372 model being compared and Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

	logL	К	AICc	dAICc	Akaike.wt
GRW	-0.4723795	3	8.7909128	7.875884	0.010
URW	-0.5474194	2	5.9519817	5.036953	0.040
OU	2.7027708	4	5.9277918	5.012763	0.041
Stasis	1.9710571	2	0.9150286	0.000000	0.499
δ^{18} O	3.2678661	3	1.3104216	0.395393	0.410

³⁷³

 4.5.3. Famennian record, Palmatolepis. – Along the Famennian record in CT, the best model fitting *Palmatolepis* evolution corresponded to a shift from an unbiased random walk to stasis (Table 8), occurring at step 8 (CT34, 369.7 Ma). In BU, one model also emerged clearly, corresponding to one punctuation (Table 9) occurring at step 7 (BU21, 369.3 Ma). Both shifts appear thus to be almost perfectly congruent, despite being estimated independently in the two sections. In both cases, the shift corresponded to smaller *Palmatolepis* size in the second part of the record (Figure 7A).

 Figure 7. A. Models of evolution for *Palmatolepis* size along the Famennian. BU: the best-fitting model corresponded to one punctuation. CT: the best-fitting model corresponded to a shift from URW to stasis; the one-punctuation model provides a visualization of the shift in evolutionary mode. B. Models of evolution for *Polygnathus* size along the Famennian. BU: The best-fitting model corresponded to a stasis. CT: The best-fitting 387 model corresponded to a Ohrstein-Uhlenbeck model, corresponding to an initial evolution towards an adaptive 388 peak, followed by stability around this peak.

- 389
- 390 Table 8. Comparison of support for each model of *Palmatolepis* evolution in CT (comparing 11 models [n = 26,
- 391 method = joint]. LogL= model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information
- 392 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the
- 393 model being compared and Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

396 Table 9. Comparison of support for each model of *Palmatolepis* evolution in BU (comparing 11 models [n = 26,

397 method = joint]. LogL= model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information

398 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the

399 model being compared, Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

400

401

410 method = joint]. LogL= model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information

411 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the

412 model being compared, Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

415 Table 11. Comparison of support for each model of *Polygnathus* evolution in BU (comparing 6 models [n = 17,

 416 method = joint]. LogL= model log likelihood, K = number of model parameters, AICc = Akaike's Information

417 Criterion corrected for small sample size, dAICc = difference in AICc score between the best model and the

418 model being compared, Akaike.wt: Akaike weights (proportion of the total amount of predictive power).

419

420 421

422 **5. Discussion**

423

424 *5.1. "Temperature – Size Relationship" – A rule valid at a larger geographic scale ?*

425 In this review of ten datasets documenting paleotemperature vs conodont size variation, the

426 temperature-size rule (TSR) was supported in one case only, corresponding to the geographic

427 variation during the *linguiformis* time slice. This case by far involved the largest range of

428 paleotemperature variation (δ^{18} O range ~ 4‰), twice as large as the largest range of temporal

429 variation among the records involved here (δ^{18} O range ~ 2‰ along the Famennian record at CT).

430 Further in line with the TSR hypothesis, conodonts (both *Palmatolepis* and *Polygnathus*) tended to be

431 larger during the Famennian in CT than in BU, characterized by warmer environment.

432 Possibly, consistent shifts in life history traits, leading to the TSR signature, may only arise as large-

433 scale patterns. In agreement, during the *linguiformis* zone, different kinds of size-frequency

434 distributions were found for *Palmatolepis* between the Western Paleotethys, characterized by highly

435 skewed distributions, and the Eastern Paleotethys, with flatter distributions characterized by a more

436 balanced contribution of small and large specimens, and the occurrence of largest specimens (Girard

437 et al. 2007). These environments, located on the eastern shelves of Paleotethys (Northern Australia)

438 were offshore of stromatoporoid reefs. In modern oceans, the presence of symbiont-bearing reefs

usually indicates conditions with low levels of nutrient content and primary productivity, associated

- with clear waters favoring the development of photosymbionts (Wood 1993; Humphreys et al. 2018).
- 441 Such conditions might have reinforced the TSR, with warm oligotrophic waters offering less resources
- for growth, and hence leading to smaller conodonts (Girard at al. 2007).
-

5.2. Size distribution in conodonts – what biological processes beyond?

445 The concept of TSR has been developed for describing animal's growth at different temperatures 446 (Atkinson 1994). By transferring the TSR to conodonts, one tacitly assumes that the size of the 447 elements found in the fossil record approximate body size (Purnell 1993). This hypothesis may be 448 valid given the continuous growth of the conodont elements, through addition of thin lamellae along 449 the life of the former animal. It remains that the frequency of accretion of new lamellae is unknown, and might have varied with the age of the animal, and the environmental conditions. According to the TSR, the animal's growth is accelerated in warm waters, leading to younger animals reaching maturity at a smaller size. In cold waters, animals would grow slower and but ultimately reach larger size. As a consequence, size-frequency distribution for cold water animals should be flatter and reach larger maximal size. Assuming that in conodonts, element size approximates body 455 size, the size-frequency distribution in an assemblage records another parameter, namely the pattern of reproduction: abundant production of small-sized juveniles should heavily contribute to skewed distributions, with a peak of small-sized elements (Fig. 8). Such differences in life-history traits have been evoked to explain differences in the shape of the size-frequency distribution between *Palmatolepis* and *Ancyrodella* in the Late Frasnian (Girard & Renaud 2008) as it has been observed on two branchiopod (crustaceans) species (Huang & Chou 2017). Such dynamics might interfere with the TSR sensu stricto, and even if biologically valid, make it difficult to be detected in the fossil record.

5.3. Local conditions interfering with global trends

 If a TSR-like pattern was found across the Paleotethys, the trend was not validated at a smaller 466 geographic scale among the sections from the Western Paleotethys. The sections LSC and CUQ were 467 relatively close to each other, and both characterized by colder waters than the Moroccan Mrirt section. Yet, LSC is characterized by the smallest-sized distribution. This section is characterized throughout by poorly oxygenated conditions (Girard & Renaud 2007). Oxygen supply is an important factor controlling body size of marine organisms. The challenge of oxygen supply being more acute at high temperature, and for large body size, this may explain why animals grow to smaller size in warm waters, oxygen supply has been invoked as the main driver of the TSR itself (Forster et al. 2012). Anoxic environments may represent cases where limitation in oxygen supply is not driven primarily

474 by water temperature, leading to deviation from the TSR. Further arguing in this direction, the level experiencing a punctuation towards smaller *Palmatolepis* size in LSC corresponds to the onset of anoxic facies in the section. However, the paucity of anoxic levels in most of the sections considered in the present study precluded to further disentangle the importance of decreased oxygen supply 478 due to increasing water temperature or local anoxic conditions on conodont size. An alternate explanation would be the ability of organisms to adjust their physiology to such oxygen limitation at high temperature, at least within the range of tolerance of the concerned group (Einum et al. 2021).

5.4. Reverse TSR during stressful periods – nanism counterbalancing TSR?

 A significant relationship between conodont size and paleotemperature proxy was found in few other cases: *Palmatolepis* during the Late Frasnian at CUQ and along the Famennian at CT; and possibly in *Ancyrodella* at CUQ. Results derived from linear models and the comparison of evolutionary modes were on this respect very congruent. The linear mixed effect model including all 487 specimens in the analysis, but integrating the level as random factor appeared as especially efficient in retrieving the correlation in *Ancyrodella* at CUQ, that also emerge as highly probable using the comparison of evolutionary modes. Using the correction for temporal auto-correlation failed to identify some otherwise consistent size – paleotemperature relationships (*Palmatolepis* during the Kellwasser period at CUQ, *Palmatolepis* during the Famennian at CT). Possibly, by correcting for 492 temporal autocorrelation, the effect of congruent trends is discarded, that however correspond to biologically relevant covariation between variables. However, in all these cases where the size – paleotemperature relationship emerged as consistently 495 supported, the direction of the relationship was reverse to the expectations of the TSR: size

496 decreased with increasing δ^{18} O and hence with decreasing temperature.

497 The Late Frasnian was punctuated by two major anoxic events, the Lower and Upper Kellwasser

events, the later culminating in the mass extinction punctuating the Frasnian / Famennian boundary.

The events LKW and UKW were associated with cooling phases (Joachimski & Buggisch 2002), leading

to a morphological response of *Palmatolepis* (Balter et al. 2008). The trends reported here suggest

that *Palmatolepis* and possibly *Ancyrodella* responded to these short-term cooling phases by a

decrease in size, and not an increase as expected by the TSR. The Kellwasser events, especially the

UKW, were associated with extinctions pointing to momentary destabilization of the marine faunas

(Racki 1998; Barash 2016). Disruption of food chains are prone to drive size reduction in various

 organisms (Rita et al. 2019; He et al. 2010). In this case, external driver related to available resources would override the effects related water temperature in determining size evolution.

5.5. A threshold response to temperature?

The reverse TSR during the Late Frasnian at CUQ was however not supported in the two other

- sections documented, LSC and Mrirt, where little size variation was observed. A possible explanation
- would be that conodont size responded to temperature decrease only below a certain threshold. The
- range of paleotemperature variations was not more important at CUQ as at Mrirt and LSC, but it was
- shifted towards colder temperatures. A threshold of temperature tolerance might thus have been
- crossed in CUQ during cold phases but not in the warmer conditions of LSC and Mrirt, explaining why
- conodont size responded to temperature variation in CUQ only. A similar process might be
- responsible for the patterns observed during the Famennian, with a significant size-temperature
- 517 relationship observed at CT but not at BU. The threshold for the temperature response (~18‰ δ^{18} O)
- might have been passed in the colder CT but not in the warmer BU, where the range of
- paleotemperature variation was anyway reduced (Girard et al. 2020).
- The differential response of genera like *Palmatolepis* and *Polygnathus* might be due to different
- temperature tolerance, although they are mostly known for preference regarding water depth /
- distance to the shore (Seddon & Sweet 1971; Klapper & Barrick 1975; Sandberg 1976). During the
- Famennian, *Polygnathus* might have displayed a wider tolerance to temperature than *Palmatolepis*,
- explaining the different patterns for the two coexisting genera. This hypothesis is supported by the
- fact that *Polygnathus* flourished in the icehouse Carboniferous context, while *Palmatolepis* went
- extinct during the crisis punctuating the Devonian/Carboniferous boundary.
-

5.6. An unknown forcing to Palmatolepis size evolution in the Famennian

- Two alternate strategies were explored to test for the TSR hypothesis: exploring the correlation
- between size and paleotemperature records, or modelling modes of size evolution, among which
- tracking an environmental variable. Both approaches agreed when a temperature-size relationship
- was strongly supported (Late Frasnian *Palmatolepis* at CUQ). However, evolutionary models further
- allowed to explicitly test for alternate scenarios, such as punctuation or stasis. Comparing such
- models is only relevant for sequences with a sufficient number of levels, allowing to integrate modes
- of evolution involving switch from one mode to another.
- This was the case for the Famennian sequence (more than 10 My), and the separate analysis of the
- CT and BU record of *Palmatolepis* size delivered amazingly congruent results, suggesting a sudden
- 538 decrease in size at ~369.7 Ma. The congruence in both outcrops suggests a common environmental
- forcing.
- 540 It antedates a shift in detrital supply observed in several outcrops from the NW Paleotethys during
- the early *marginifera* Zone, dated earlier as 368 Ma (Girard et al. 2021). This abrupt shift in detrital
- 542 supply is interpreted as due to a remnant oceanic barrier vanishing during the early middle
- Famennian due to sea-level changes and/or tectonic events. Response of marine fauna to such
- modifications in water masses exchanges can antedate the final closure or opening, as exemplified by
- 545 deep-water foraminifera being affected earlier by the closure of the Panama Isthmus compared to
- shallow-water species (Schmidt et al 2016). Such a dynamic regarding *Palmatolepis* response remains
- highly speculative given the poor knowledge of the timing of the different processes involved.
- More simply, the shifts in the size record of *Palmatolepis* could match the onset of the temperature
- decrease that will characterize the second part of the Famennian. It may have triggered an evolution
- towards a new adaptive optimum after an initial phase of random walk following the
- Frasnian/Famennian crisis; the paucity of the record during the early Famennian in BU probably
- limited the assessment of the evolutionary mode during the initial phase.
- 553 The critical time of ~369.5 Ma also corresponds to a pivotal point after which the relative abundance
- of *Palmatolepis* decreases (Girard et al. 2020). With a wider tolerance to temperature, *Polygnathus*
- would have been little affected, explaining its stable size through the record. The case of these two
- contrasted responses to the Famennian environmental changes highlight that the TSR hypothesis, for
- being seducing, constitutes an oversimplification of complex eco-evolutionary processes.
-

Declaration of competing interest

- The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
-

Acknowledgements

- We warmly thank Sandrine Le Houedec for acquisition of the oxygen isotope data (Mrirt, Vietnam).
- This research was supported by a French ANR grant (ANR-13-BSV7-005). This is publication ISEM 202x-
- xxx.
-
-

References

- Aldridge, R.J., Briggs, D.E.G., Smith, M.P., Clarkson, E.N.K., Clark, N.D.L., 1993. The anatomy of conodonts. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society 340, 405-421.
- Ashton, K., Tracy, M.C., Queiroz, A.D., 2000. Is Bergmann's rule valid for mammals? American Naturalist 156, 390–415.
- Atkinson, D., 1994. Temperature and Organism Size—A Biological Law for Ectotherms? in: Begon, M., Fitter,
- A.H. (Eds.), Advances in Ecological Research. Academic Press, pp. 1-58.
- Atkinson, D., Morley, S.A., Hughes, R.N., 2006. From cells to colonies: at what levels of body organization does the 'temperature-size rule' apply? Evolution & Development 8, 202-214.
- <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2006.00090.x>
- Balter, V., Renaud, S., Girard, C., Joachimski, M.M., 2008. Record of climate-driven morphological changes in 376 Ma Devonian fossils. Geology 36, 907-910.
- Balter, V., Martin, J., Tacail, T., Suan, G., Renaud, S., Girard, C., 2019. Ca stable isotopes place Devonian conodonts as first level carnivores. Geochemical Perspectives Letters 10, 36-39.
- Barash, M.S. 2016. Causes of the great mass extinction of marine organisms in the Late Devonian.
- Oceanology 56, 863–875. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001437016050015
- Beaulieu, J.M., Jhuwueng, D.-C., Boettiger, C., O'Meara, B.C., 2012. Modeling stabilizing selection: expanding the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck model of adaptive evolution. Evolution 66, 2369–2383.
- Bergmann, C., 1847. Über die Verhältnisse der Warmekonomie der Tiere zu ihrer Grösse. Göttinger Studien 3, 595-708.
- Bonhomme, V., Picq, S., Gaucherel, C., Claude, J., 2014. Momocs: Outline Analysis Using R. Journal of Statistical Software 56, 1 - 24.
- Briggs, D.E.G., Clarkson, E.N.K., Aldridge, R.J., 1983. The conodont animal. Lethaia 16, 1-14.
- 592 Buggisch, W., Joachimski, M.M., Sevastopulo, G., Morrow, J.R., 2008. Mississippian δ¹³ C_{carb} and conodont
- 593 apatite δ^{18} O records Their relation to the Late Palaeozoic Glaciation. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 268, 273-292.
- Butler, M.A., King, A.A., 2004. Phylogenetic comparative analysis: a modelling approach for adaptive radiation. American Naturalist 164, 683–695.
- Donoghue, P.C.J., Purnell, M.A., 1999. Mammal-like occlusion in conodonts. Paleobiology 25, 58-74.
- Einum, S., Bech, C., Kielland, Ø.N., 2021. Quantitative mismatch between empirical temperature-size rule slopes and predictions based on oxygen limitation. Scientific Reports 11, 23594.
- Forster, J., Hirst, A.G., Atkinson, D., 2012. Warming-induced reductions in body size are greater in aquatic than terrestrial species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 19310-19314.
- Girard, C., Renaud, S., Sérayet, A., 2004. Morphological variation of *Palmatolepis* Devonian conodonts: species *vs*. genus. Comptes rendus Palevol 3, 1-8.
- Girard, C., Renaud, S., 2007. Quantitative conodont-based approaches for correlation of the Late Devonian Kellwasser events. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 250, 114-125.
- Girard, C., Renaud, S., Feist, R., 2007. Morphometrics of Late Devonian conodont genus *Palmatolepis*: phylogenetic, geographical and ecological contributions of a generic approach. Journal of Micropalaeontology 26, 61-72.
- Girard, C., Renaud, S., 2008. Disentangling allometry and response to Kellwasser anoxic events in the Late Devonian conodont genus *Ancyrodella*. Lethaia 41, 383-394.
- Girard, C., Ta, H.P., Savage, N.M., Renaud, S., 2010. Temporal dynamics of the geographic differentiation of Late Devonian *Palmatolepis* assemblages in the Prototethys. Acta Paleontologica Polonica 55, 675-687.
- Girard, C., Renaud, S., 2012. Disparity changes in 370 Ma Devonian fossils: the signature of ecological
- dynamics? PLoS ONE 7, e36230.
- Girard, C., Cornée, J.-J., Corradini, C., Fravalo, A., Feist, R., 2014. Palaeoenvironmental changes at Col des Tribes (Montagne Noire, France), a reference section for the Famennian of north Gondwana-related areas. Geological Magazine 151, 864-884.
- Girard, C., Cornée, J.-J., Charruault, A.-L., Corradini, C., Weyer, D., Bartzsch, K., Joachimski, M.M., Feist, R.,
- 2017. Conodont biostratigraphy and palaeoenvironmental trends during the Famennian (Late Devonian) in the Thuringian Buschteich section (Germany). Newsletters on Stratigraphy 50, 71-89.
- Girard, C., Cornée, J.-J., Joachimski, M.M., Charruault, A.-L., Dufour, A.-B., Renaud, S., 2020. Paleogeographic
- differences in temperature, water depth and conodont biofacies during the Late Devonian.
- Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 549.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.06.046>
- Girard, C., Feist, R., Mossoni, A., Cornée, J.-J., Camps, P., Charruault, A.-L., Corradini, C., 2021. North- Gondwana – Laurussia dynamic paleogeography challenged by magnetic susceptibility through the Famennian. Gondwana Research 97, 263-272.
- Hansen, T.F., 1997. Stabilizing selection and the comparative analysis of adaptation. Evolution 51, 1341– 1351.
- He, W.-H., Twitchett, R.J., Zhang, Y., Shi, G.R., Feng, Q.-L., Yu, J.-X., Wu, S.-B., Peng, X.-F., 2010. Controls on body size during the Late Permian mass extinction event. Geobiology 8, 391-402.
- Horne, C.R., Hirst, A.G., Atkinson, D., 2015. Temperature-size responses match latitudinal-size clines in arthropods, revealing critical differences between aquatic and terrestrial species. Ecology Letters 18, 327- 335.
- Horne, C.R., Hirst, A.G., Atkinson, D., 2017. Seasonal body size reductions with warming covary with major
- body size gradients in arthropod species. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284,
- 20170238.
- Huang, W.-P., Chou, L.-S., 2017. Temperature effects on life history traits of two sympatric branchiopods from an ephemeral wetland. PLoS ONE 12, e0179449.
- Humphreys, A.F., Halfar, J., Ingle, J.C., Manzello, D., Reymond, C.E., Westphal, H., Riegl, B., 2018. Effect of
- seawater temperature, pH, and nutrients on the distribution and character of low abundance shallow water benthic foraminifera in the Galápagos. PLoS ONE 13, e0202746.
- Hunt, G., 2006. Fitting and comparing models of phyletic evolution: random walks and beyond. Paleobiology 32, 578-501.
- Hunt, G., Bell, M., Travis, M. 2008. Evolution toward a new adaptive optimum: Phenotypic evolution in a fossil stickleback lineage. Evolution 62(3), 700-710.
- Hunt, G., Carrano, M.T., 2010. Models and methods for analyzing phenotypic evolution in lineages and clades. The Paleontological Society Papers 16, 245–269.
- Hunt, G., 2014. Modeling evolution in paleontological time-series. Version 0.4-5. Available at cran.r-project.org/web/packages/paleoTS/.
- Janvier, P., 1995. Conodonts join the club. Nature 374, 761-762.
- Joachimski, M., Buggisch, W., 2002. Conodont apatite δ¹⁸O signatures indicate climatic cooling as a trigger of the Late Devonian mass extinction. Geology 30, 711-714.
- Joachimski, M.M., Breisig, S., Buggisch, W., Talent, J.A., Mawson, R., Gereke, M., Morrow, J.R., Day, J.,
- Weddige, K., 2009. Devonian climate and reef evolution: Insights from oxygen isotopes in apatite. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 284, 599-609.
- Klapper, G., Barrick, J.E., 1978. Conodont ecology: pelagic versus benthic. Lethaia 11, 15-23.
- Koike, T., 1992. Morphological variation in Spathian conodonts *Spathoicriodus collinsoni* (Solien) from the
- Taho Limestone, Japan, in: Ishizaki, K., Saito, T. (Eds.), Centenary of Japanese Micropaleontology. Terra Publishing Compagny, Tokyo, pp. 355-364.
- 660 Le Houedec, S., Girard, C., Balter, V., 2013. Conodont Sr/Ca and δ^{18} O record seawater changes at the Frasnian–Famennian boundary. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 376, 114-121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.02.025>
- McGhee, G.R.J., 1996. The Late Devonian Mass Extinction The Frasnian/Famennian crisis. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Olson, V.A., Davies, R.G., Orme, C.D., Thomas, G.H., Meiri, S., Blackburn, T.M., Gaston, K.J., Owens, I.P.,
- Bennett, P.M., 2009. Global biogeography and ecology of body size in birds. Ecology Letters 12, 249-259.
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team. 2018 nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models.
- R package version 3.1-137. See https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme.
- Purnell, M.A., 1993. Feeding mecanisms in conodonts and the function of the earliest vertebrate hard tissues. Geology 21, 375-377.
- Purnell, M.A., 1994. Skeletal ontogeny and feeding mechanisms in conodonts. Lethaia 27, 129-138.
- R-Core-Team, 2018. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org.
- Racki, G., 1998.Frasnian–Famennian biotic crisis: undervalued tectonic control? Palaeogeography,
- Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 141(3–4), 177-198, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182\(98\)00059-5.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(98)00059-5)
- Renaud, S., Girard, C., 1999. Strategies of survival to extreme environmental perturbations: evolution of
- conodonts in response to the Kellwasser crisis (Upper Devonian). Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 146, 19-32.
- Renaud, S., Ecalle, B., Claisse, P., Charruault, A.-L., Ledevin, R., Girard, C., 2021. Patterns of bilateral asymmetry and allometry in Late Devonian *Polygnathus* conodonts. Palaeontology 67(1), 137-159. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pala.12513>
- Renaud, S., Michaux, J., Mein, P., Aguilar, J.-P., Auffray, J.-C., 1999. Patterns of size and shape differentiation during the evolutionary radiation of the European Miocene murine rodents. Lethaia, 32, 61-71.
- Rita, P., Nätscher, P., Duarte, L.V., Weis, R., De Baets, K., 2019. Mechanisms and drivers of belemnite body-size dynamics across the Pliensbachian–Toarcian crisis. Royal Society open Science 6, 190494.
- Rodriguez, M.A., Olalla-Tarraga, M.A., Hawkins, B.A., 2008. Bergmann's rule and the geography of mammal
- body size in the Western Hemisphere. Global Ecology and Biogeography 17, 274-283.
- Rollinson, N., Rowe, L., 2018. Temperature-dependent oxygen limitation and the rise of Bergmann's rule in
- species with aquatic respiration. Evolution 72, 977-988.
- Salamon, M.A., Brachaniec, T., Kołbuk, D., Saha, A., Gorzelak, P., 2021. Shared patterns in body size declines among crinoids during the Palaeozoic extinction events. Scientific Reports 11, 20351.
- Sandberg, C.A., 1976. Conodont biofacies of late Devonian *Polygnathus styriacus* Zone in western United
- States, in: Barnes, C.R. (Ed.), Conodont Paleoecology. Geological Association of Canada special Paper, Montreal, pp. 171-186.

 Schmidt, D.N., Lazarus, D., Young, J.R., Kucera, M., 2006. Biogeography and evolution of body size in marine plankton. Earth-Science Reviews 78, 239-266.

- Schmidt, D., Caromel, A., Seki, O., Rae, J.W.B., Renaud, S., 2016. Morphological response of planktic foraminifers to habitat modifications associated with the emergence of the Isthmus of Panama. Marine Micropaleontology 128, 28-38.
- Scott, A.C., Collinson, C., 1959. Intraspecific variability in conodonts: *Palmatolepis glabra* Ulrich & Bassler. 701 Journal of Paleontology 33, 550-565.
- Seddon, G., Sweet, W.C., 1971. An ecologic model for conodonts. Journal of Paleontology 45, 869-880.
- Sheets, H.D., Mitchell, C., 2010. Why the null matters: statistical tests, random walks and evolution. Genetica, 112– 113:105–125.
- Sheridan, J.A., Bickford, D., 2011. Shrinking body size as an ecological response to climate change. Nature Climate Change 1, 401-406.
- Shirley, B., Grohganz, M., Bestmann, M., Jarochowska, E., 2018. Wear, tear and systematic repair: testing
- models of growth dynamics in conodonts with high-resolution imaging. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 285.
- Slice, D., Bookstein, F.L., Marcus, L., Rohlf, F.J., 1996. Appendix I. A glossary for geometric morphometrics. NATO ASI Serie A: Life Sciences 284, 531-552.
- Spalletta, C., Perri, M.C., Over, D.J., Corradini, C., 2017. Famennian (Upper Devonian) conodont zonation: revised global standard. Bulletin of Geosciences 92, 1-27.
- Sun, Y., Li, M., Song, G., Lei, F., Li, D., Wu, Y., 2017. The role of climate factors in geographic variation in body mass and wing length in a passerine bird. Avian Research 8, 1.
- Twitchett, R.J., 2006. The palaeoclimatology, palaeoecology and palaeoenvironmental analysis of mass extinction events. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology 232, 190-213.
- Walczyńska, A., Sobczyk, Ł., 2017. The underestimated role of temperature–oxygen relationship in large-scale 719 studies on size-to-temperature response. Ecology and Evolution 7, 7434-7441.
- Walliser, O.H., 1996. Global events and event stratigraphy. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- Wood, R., 1993. Nutrients, Predation and the History of Reef-Building. Palaios 8, 526-543.
- Zhang, X., Joachimski, M.M., Yiming, G., 2021. Late Devonian greenhouse-icehouse climate transition: New evidence from conodont δ18O thermometry in the eastern Palaeotethys (Lali section, South China).
- Chemical Geology 581. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120383.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120383)
- Zhang, X., Li, S., Song, Y., Gong, Y., 2020. Size reduction of conodonts indicates high ecological stress during
- 726 the late Frasnian under greenhouse climate conditions in South China. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology
- Palaeoecology 556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2020.109909.
- Ziegler, W., Sandberg, C.A., 1990. The late Devonian standard conodont zonation. Courier Forschung-Institut Senckenberg 121, 1-115.

Supplementary Tables: data per section and per genus. P Wilcox: probabilities of pairwise size

differences between successive levels along a section (pairwise Wilcoxon tests). In bold, P < 0.01,

italics P < 0.05. differences between successive levels along a section (pairwise Wilcoxon tests). In bold, P < 0.01, in

italics $P < 0.05$.

745
746 746 Famennian - *Palmatolepis* CT

747

748

749 Famennian - *Palmatolepis* BU

BU_055 365.9 17.8 95.3 7 6.656 0.381 *0.0330* BU_060 365.5 16.7 89.9 16 6.214 0.270 **0.0046** BU_065 365.2 17.0 86.3 45 6.135 0.322 0.4595 BU_069 364.9 17.8 81.2 20 6.088 0.554 0.2858 BU_076 364.3 17.8 62.6 15 6.271 0.455 0.1585 BU_082 363.8 17.9 51.8 3 6.166 0.138 0.6544 BU_093 362.4 17.7 58.5 2 6.948 0.398 0.2000 BU_103 360.2 17.3 37.4 10 6.347 0.265 0.1212