

Decrease the Bandgap of Conjugated Polymers: What is the Effect of Alternating Two Acceptor Units Instead of Donor and Acceptor on Optoelectronic Properties

Christine Lartigau-Dagron, Antoine Bousquet

► To cite this version:

Christine Lartigau-Dagron, Antoine Bousquet. Decrease the Bandgap of Conjugated Polymers: What is the Effect of Alternating Two Acceptor Units Instead of Donor and Acceptor on Optoelectronic Properties. Polymer Science Peer review Journal, 2022, 4 (1), 10.31031/PSPRJ.2022.04.000578. hal-04234261

HAL Id: hal-04234261 https://hal.science/hal-04234261v1

Submitted on 10 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





ISSN: 2770-6613



*Corresponding author: Christine Lartigau-Dagron, Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM, Pau, France

Volume 4 - Issue 1

How to cite this article: Christine Lartigau-Dagron, Antoine Bousquet. Decrease the Bandgap of Conjugated Polymers: What is the Effect of Alternating Two Acceptor Units Instead of Donor and Acceptor on Optoelectronic Properties?. Polymer Sci peer Rev J. 4(1). PSPRJ. 000578. 2022.

DOI: 10.31031/PSPRJ.2022.04.000578

Copyright@ Christine Lartigau-Dagron, This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Decrease the Bandgap of Conjugated Polymers: What is the Effect of Alternating Two Acceptor Units Instead of Donor and Acceptor on Optoelectronic Properties?

Christine Lartigau-Dagron* and Antoine Bousquet

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM, France

Opinion

Organic chemistry is a powerful tool to provide highly versatile materials suitable for numerous applications. In the field of organic electronics, there is a high demand of developing materials with particular opto-electronic properties, wide bandgap, low or ultra-narrow bandgap. Different strategies were demonstrated to finely control the bandgap [1]. For organic photovoltaics, photodetectors, biomedical applications, decreasing the optical gap to provide an absorption in the near infrared can be requested. One advantage of macromolecules compared to molecules is that polymers naturally present a decrease of the bandgap by increasing the number of repeating units [2]. However, to strongly shift the absorption range toward the infra-red, the strategy of copolymers alternating electron rich (donor) and electron poor (acceptor) comonomers is one of well-known method leading to donor-acceptor copolymers (D-A) called low bandgap polymers [3]. From our experience based on experimental and computational results, we found that in D-A copolymers, the change of comonomer D based on dithiophene bridged by C, N or Si, while keeping A the same, has little effect on the final opto-electronic properties [4]. Indeed, roughly the same transition energies were obtained. The greatest tunability can be brought by the variation of the acceptor comonomer A. The investigation of D-A copolymers with different A showed that the HOMO levels are similar, only LUMO levels are deepened, provoking the bandgap decrease. This is of particular interest for organic photovoltaic applications as it is more suitable to keep the HOMO level deep, in order to ensure an optimised open circuit voltage which originates from the energy difference between the HOMO of D and the LUMO of A [5]. Pursuing the aim to further decrease the bandgap, the question of the impact of alternating two different electron poor units in a copolymer, instead of D-A was considered. Therefore, A-A copolymers analogous to the previous D-A copolymers were considered [6].

In this comparison, D-A copolymers were based on Dithienosilole (DTS) donor accepting unit combined with three different strong acceptor A units: DPP, DAP and BTPBF (see Figure 1a for structures). The choice of these units was motivated by their potential to promote an absorption in the near infra-red, plus the ease of synthesis for DPP, the possibility to derivate synthesis of DAP from DPP [7] and finally BTPBF for its known strong electron accepting strength [8]. For the series of A-A copolymers, the same A units were considered combined with DPP comonomer (A) instead of DTS (D) [6]. Surprisingly, the analogous copolymers either prepared with DTS (D-A) or DPP (A-A) had approximately the same absorption range (see spectra of thin films in Figure 1b) and in particular similar optical bandgap. Further investigation by cyclic voltammetry to determine oxidation/reduction potentials, gave insights of how HOMO and LUMO energy levels are positioned. Figure 1c shows that when switching from D-A to A-A, electrochemical gaps are also maintained, but both HOMO and LUMO levels are lowered. This effect can be tuned by the strength of the acceptor unit (in the present example with BTPBF). In conclusion, switching from D-A to A-A copolymers has no significant effect on the bandgap, but allows to more or less deepen both HOMO and

LUMO levels, depending on the acceptor strength of the A unit. This strategy opens the way to a large variety of materials weakly sensitive to oxidation and with optoelectronic properties that could be adapted to the needs.




Figure 1: a) chemical structures of compared D-A and A-A copolymer; b) UV-visible-NIR absorption spectra of thin films; c) electronic levels determined from cyclic voltametry.

Acknowledgment

Dr Wissem Khelifi, Dr Hussein Awada and Pr Frédéric Castet are warmly thanked for the results that gave the insights to this current opinion. Dr Sylvie Blanc and Dr Lionel Hirsch are strongly acknowledged for their contribution to this work. This research was funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (TAPIR project no. ANR-15-CE24-0024-02), the Région Nouvelle Aquitaine (TAMANOIR project no. 2016-1R10105-0007207) and E2S-UPPA (technology transfer project PIROETT).

References

- 1. Roncali J (1997) Synthetic principles for bandgap control in linear π -conjugated systems. Chem Rev 97(1): 173-206.
- Salzner U, Lagowski JB, Pickup PG, Poirier RA (1998) Comparison of geometries and electronic structures of polyacetylene, polyborole, polycyclopentadiene, polypyrrole, polyfuran, polysilole, polyphosphole, polythiophene, polyselenophene and polytellurophene. Synth Met 96(3): 177-189.
- 3. Chochos CL, Choulis SA (2011) How the structural deviations on the backbone of conjugated polymers influence their optoelectronic

properties and photovoltaic performance. Progr Polym Sci 36(10): 1326-1414.

- Brymora K, Khelifi W, Awada H, Blanc S, Hirsch L, et al. (2020) Comprehensive theoretical and experimental study of near infrared absorbing copolymers based on dithienosilole. Polym Chem 11: 3637-3643.
- Brabec CJ, Cravino A, Meissner D, Sariciftci NS, Fromherz T, et al. (2001) Origin of the open circuit voltage of plastic solar cells. Adv Funct Mater 5(11): 374-380.
- Khelifi W, Awada H, Blanc S, Roche GH, Hirsch L, et al. (2022) Diketopyrrolo pyrrole-based acceptor-acceptor copolymers with deep HOMO and LUMO levels absorbing in the near infrared. Appl Sci 12: 4494.
- Khelifi W, Awada H, Brymora K, Blanc S, Hirsch L, et al. (2019) Halochromic switch from the 1st to 2nd near-infrared window of diazapentalene–dithienosilole copolymers. Macromolecules 52(13): 4820-4827.
- Zhang G, Ye Z, Li P, Guo J, Wang Q, et al. (2015) A new thieno-isoindigo derivative-based D–A polymer with very low band gap for highperformance ambipolar organic thin-film transistors. Polym Chem 6: 3970-3978.

For possible submissions Click below:

ubmit Article