

# Divsets, numerical semigroups and Wilf's conjecture Shalom Eliahou

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Shalom Eliahou. Divsets, numerical semigroups and Wilf's conjecture. 2023. hal-04234167v1

# HAL Id: hal-04234167 https://hal.science/hal-04234167v1

Preprint submitted on 9 Oct 2023 (v1), last revised 29 Oct 2024 (v5)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Divsets, numerical semigroups and Wilf's conjecture

#### October 9, 2023

#### **Abstract**

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a numerical semigroup with multiplicity  $m = \min(S \setminus \{0\})$  and conductor  $c = \max(\mathbb{N} \setminus S) + 1$ . Let P be the set of primitive elements of S, and let L be the set of elements of S which are smaller than c. Wilf's conjecture (1978) states that the inequality  $|P||L| \ge c$  always hold. The conjecture has been shown to hold in case  $|P| \ge m/2$  by Sammartano in 2012, and subsequently in case  $|P| \ge m/3$  by the author in 2020. The main result in this paper is that Wilf's conjecture holds in case  $|P| \ge m/4$  with  $c \in m\mathbb{N}$ .

### 0 Caution

This document is the starting point of a full paper to be gradually completed in successive versions within the next few weeks of Fall 2023.

## 1 Introduction

A numerical semigroup is a cofinite submonoid S of  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e. a subset containing 0, stable under addition and with finite complement  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ . Equivalently, it is a set of the form  $S = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle = \mathbb{N}a_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{N}a_n$  where  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are positive integers with  $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$ , called *generators* of S. The least such n is usually denoted e and called the *embedding dimension* of S, see below.

Let S be a numerical semigroup and  $S^* = S \setminus \{0\}^*$ . A *primitive element* of S is an element  $a \in S^* \setminus (S^* + S^*)$ , i.e. an element of  $S^*$  which is not the sum of two elements of  $S^*$ . We denote by P = P(S) the set of primitive elements of S, and by  $D(S) = S^* \setminus P(S) = S^* + S^*$  the set of *decomposable elements* of S. It is easy to see that P is finite and is the unique minimal generating set of S. The *embedding dimension* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplicity* of S is e = e(S) = |P|, the *multiplic* 

Currently, one of the main open problems on numerical semigroups is the following conjecture, first stated as a question by Wilf [21].

**Conjecture 1.1** (Wilf, 1978). Let S be a numerical semigroup. Then  $|P||L| \ge c$ .

See [5] for a survey on the conjecture up to 2018. Among many partial results, the conjecture has been shown to hold in case  $|P| \ge m/2$  by Sammartano in 2012 [19], and subsequently in case  $|P| \ge m/3$  by the author in 2020 [9]. The main result in this paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let S be a numerical semigroup such that  $c \in m\mathbb{N}$  and  $|P| \geq m/4$ . Then S satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

The motivation to focus on the case  $c \in m\mathbb{N}$  is justified in Section 2.

# 2 Special numerical semigroups

Given a numerical semigroup S, consider the Euclidean division of its conductor c by its multiplicity m with nonpositive remainder:

(1) 
$$c = qm - \rho, \quad 0 \le \rho \le m - 1.$$

As in [11], we call the integer  $q = \lceil c/m \rceil$  the *depth* of *S*. The particular case c = qm, i.e. with  $\rho = 0$ , might well be the heart of Wilf's conjecture. Indeed, the proofs of Wilf's conjecture in either case  $c \le 3m$  [8] or  $|P| \ge m/3$  [9] can be significantly shortened when  $\rho = 0$ . Moreover, the first five instances of the very rare "near-misses in Wilf's conjecture" all belong to this case [10].

These facts lead us to believe that if Wilf's conjecture fails for some exotic numerical semigroups, then it already fails in cases where  $\rho = 0$ . This justifies introducing the following terminology.

**Definition 2.1.** A numerical semigroup S is special if  $c \in m\mathbb{N}$ , where m is its multiplicity and c is its conductor.

For instance, the ordinary numerical semigroup  $O_m = \{0\} \cup (m + \mathbb{N})$  is special since it satisfies c = m. The above discussion leads us to consider the special case as a priority in research on Wilf's conjecture.

In this context, we extend here the validity of Wilf's conjecture to the case  $|P| \ge m/4$  for special numerical semigroups. More generally, using the notation in (1), our main result in this paper reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let S be a numerical semigroup satisfying  $|P| \ge m/4$ . Then  $|P||L| \ge c - m + \rho$ . Morever, if S is special, then  $|P||L| \ge c$ , i.e. S satisfies Wilf's conjecture.

# 3 The Apéry set

**Notation 3.1.** Let S be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity m. We denote by A = AP(S, m) the Apéry set of S with respect to m, defined as

$$A = S \setminus (m+S)$$
.

We set  $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let S be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity  $m \ge 2$  and conductor c. The level function of S is  $\lambda : S \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $\lambda(s) = \lceil s/m \rceil$  for all  $s \in S$ . Thus  $\lambda(s) = l$  if and only if  $s \in S \cap [[lm - \rho, (l+1)m - \rho - 1]]$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let* S *be a numerical semigroup with level function*  $\lambda : S \to \mathbb{N}$ *. Then*  $\lambda(s_1 + s_2) \in \{\lambda(s_1) + \lambda(s_2) - 1, \lambda(s_1) + \lambda(s_2), \lambda(s_1) + \lambda(s_2) + 1\}$  *for all*  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let 
$$l_i = \lambda(s_i)$$
 for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $s_i \in S \cap [[l_i m - \rho, (l_i + 1)m - 1 - \rho]]$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence  $s_1 + s_2 \in S \cap [[(l_1 + l_2)m - 2\rho, (l_1 + l_2 + 2)m - 2 - 2\rho]]$ . Etc. □

**Definition 3.4.** *Let* S *be a numerical semigroup of depth*  $q \ge 1$ . *The* depth function of S is the function  $\delta : S \to \mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $\delta(s) = q - \lambda(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Notation 3.5.** Given a finite subset  $X \subset S$ , we denote by  $\tau(X)$  its total depth, i.e.  $\tau(X) = \sum_{x \in X} \delta(x)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Assume S is special of depth q. Then  $W(S) = |P|\tau(A^*) - |A \cap D|q$ .

## 4 Divsets

**Notation 4.1.** Let  $x_1, ..., x_n$  be commuting variables. We denote by  $M = \{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \mid (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$  the set of all monomials in  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . For  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $M_d \subset M$  the subset of monomials  $u \in M$  of degree d.

**Definition 4.2.** A divset is a finite set X of monomials in n variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  which is stable under taking divisors. That is, for all  $u \in X$ , if  $v \in M$  and v|u then  $v \in X$ .

**Example 4.3.**  $X = \{x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1, x_2, 1\}$  is a divset in the variables  $x_1, x_2$ .

**Notation 4.4.** Given a set of U of monomials in  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ , we denote by [U] the set of divisors of the elements of U. That is,  $[U] = \{v \in M \mid \exists u \in X, v \mid u\}$ .

In the above example  $X = \{x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1, x_2, 1\}$ , we have  $X = [x_1^3, x_1^2x_2]$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Let X be a divset. We define the degree of X as

$$\deg(X) = \max\{\deg(u) \mid u \in X\}.$$

**Notation 4.6.** Let X be a divset. We denote by max(X) the maximal elements of X under divisibility, i.e. the set of those  $u \in X$  which do not divide any  $v \in X \setminus \{u\}$ .

Clearly, a divset X is completely determined by max(X), as X = [max(X)].

**Notation 4.7.** Let X be a divset on the variables  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . For all  $d \ge 0$ , we denote  $X_d = X \cap M_d = \{u \in X \mid \deg(u) = d\}$ .

For instance, we have  $X_0 = \{1\}$  and  $X_1 = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ .

**Notation 4.8.** Let X be a divset. We denote by  $D(X) = \{u \in X \mid \deg(u) \ge 2\}$ , i.e. the set of decomposable monomials in X. Thus  $X = \{1\} \sqcup X_1 \sqcup D(X)$ . We denote  $X^* = X \setminus \{1\}$ .

#### 4.1 The graph of a divset

Let X be a divset. We canonically associate to X a graph G = G(X) defined as follows. An *edge* in G is a pair  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  with  $u_1, u_2 \in X^*$  such that  $u_1u_2 \in X$ . This defines the edge set E(G). The set V(G) of vertices of G is defined as the set of the extremities of the edges. Said otherwise, we have  $V(G) = \{u \in X^* \mid \exists v \in X^*, uv \in X\}$ .

An important measure of X in the sequel is the *vertex-maximal matching number* of the graph G(X), defined below.

**Notation 4.9.** We set vm(X) = the largest cardinality of a subset  $Y \subseteq X^*$  obtained as the set of vertices of a matching in G(X), i.e. a union of pairwise disjoint pairs  $\{u,v\} \subseteq Y$  such that  $uv \in X$ .

**Definition 4.10.** Let X be a divset on n variables. We say that X is tame if

$$(n+1)\operatorname{vm}(X) \ge 2|D(X)|.$$

We say that X wild if it is not tame.

The link with Wilf's conjecture will become clear in the next section.

## 4.2 Divsets and numerical semigroups

In a numerical semigroup S of multiplicity m, its Apéry set with respect to m carries key information on the structure of S.

**Notation 4.11.** Let S be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity m. We denote by A = AP(S, m) the Apéry set of S with respect to m, defined as

$$A = S \setminus (m+S).$$

We set  $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ .

It is well known that AP(S,m) has exactly m elements, one for each class mod m. More precisely, we have

$$AP(S,m) = \{w_0, \dots, w_{m-1} \mid w_i = \min(S \cap (i+m\mathbb{N}))\}.$$

It turns out that divsets can be considered as a sort of abstract model for Apéry sets. This will be made more precise below.

**Definition 4.12.** Let S be a numerical semigroup and X a divset. A morphic map from X to S is a map  $f: X \to S$  such that f(1) = 0 and f(uv) = f(u) + f(v) for all  $u, v \in X$ .

**Definition 4.13.** Let S be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity m. Let A = AP(S, m). A divset representation of S is a injective morphic map  $f: X \to S$ , where X is a divset of cardinality |X| = m and such that f(X) = A.

**Proposition 4.14.** Let S be a numerical semigroup of multiplicity m. Then S admits at least one divset representation.

*Proof.* The key point is the well known fact that, for any Apéry element  $a \in A^*$ , if there is a decomposition  $a = s_1 + s_2$  with  $s_1, s_2 \in S^*$ , then necessarily  $s_1, s_2 \in A^*$ .

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let* S *be a numerical semigroup such that*  $c \in m\mathbb{N}$  *and which is represented by a tame divset* X. *Then* S *satisfies Wilf's conjecture.* 

*Proof.* We have  $\tau(X^*) \ge \text{vm}(X)q/2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(S) &= |P \cap L|\tau(X^*) - |X \cap D|q \\ &\geq (n+1)\operatorname{vm}(X)q/2 - |X \cap D|q \\ &= \big((n+1)\operatorname{vm}(X) - 2|X \cap D|\big)\big)q/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since X is tame by hypothesis, we have  $(n+1)\operatorname{vm}(X) - 2|X \cap D| > 0$ . Hence  $W_0(S) > 0$ .  $\square$ 

# 5 The case deg(X) = 2

We prove here that divsets of degree 2 are tame. This establishes Wilf's conjecture for all special numerical semigroups S such that  $D(S) \subseteq P + P$ . In order to do so, we need the following lemma about the classical *matching number* in graphs, namely the maximum number of independent edges.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $H = (H_1, H_2)$  be a simple bipartite graph without isolated vertices, with matching number k. Then

$$|E(H)| \le k \cdot \max(|H_1|, |H_2|).$$

*Proof.* We may assume  $|H_1| \le |H_2|$ . Then  $k \le |H_1|$ . Let M be a maximal matching with k edges, say

$$M = \{(x_i, y_i) \mid x_i \in H_1, y_i \in H_2, 1 \le i \le k\}.$$

**Claim.**  $|H_1| = k$ . Assume not. Let then  $x_{k+1} \in H_1 \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Since H does not have isolated vertices, it follows that  $x_{k+1}$  has a neighbour  $z \in H_2$ . Now necessarily  $z \in \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ , for otherwise there would be a new edge  $(x_{k+1}, z)$  independent of M, which would then yield a matching of cardinality k+1, a contradiction. Up to renumbering, we may assume  $z = y_k$ , i.e.

$$(x_{k+1},y_k)\in E$$
.

As  $|H_2| \ge |H_1| \ge k+1$ , there is a vertex  $y_{k+1} \in H_2 \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ . Since  $y_{k+1}$  is not isolated, it has a neighbour  $z \in H_1$ . But as in the preceding reasoning, we have  $z \in \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ , for otherwise the edge  $(z, y_{k+1})$  would be independent of M, a contradiction. Up to renumbering, we may assume  $z = x_k$ . Thus

$$(x_k, y_{k+1}) \in E$$
.

But then, by suppressing the edge  $(x_k, y_k)$  of M and replacing it by the two independent edges  $(x_{k+1}, y_k), (x_k, y_{k+1})$ , we obtain a matching

$$M' = M \sqcup \{(x_{k+1}, y_k), (x_k, y_{k+1})\} \setminus \{(x_k, y_k)\}$$

of cardinality k+1, a contradiction. This finishes the proof of the claim, whence

$$|H_1| = k$$
.

Since  $H = (H_1, H_2)$  is bipartite, we have  $|E| \le |H_1||H_2| = k|H_2|$ , as claimed.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let X be a divset of degree 2, i.e. such that  $D(X) = X_2$ . Then X is tame, i.e.  $(n+1) \operatorname{vm}(X) \ge 2|D(X)|$ , where  $n = |X_1|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ , the set of variables dividing the monomials of  $X \cap D$ . Then  $|X \cap D| \le n(n+1)/2$ . Let  $M \subseteq X \cap D$  be a maximal set of monomials of degré 2 and pairwise coprime. Denote  $V_1 \subseteq V$  the set of variables involved in M. Let  $V_2 = V \setminus V_1$ ,  $n_1 = |V_1|$  and  $n_2 = |V_2| = n - n_1$ . By construction, we have

$$\tau(V_1) \geq n_1 q/2.$$

Case 1.  $V_1 = V$ . In this case we are done. Indeed, we then have  $\tau(V) = \tau(V_1) \ge nq/2$ , and since  $|X \cap D| \le n(n+1)/2$ , it follows that

$$W(S) = |P|\tau(V) - |X \cap D|q$$
  
 
$$\geq (n+1)nq/2 - n(n+1)/2 \cdot q$$
  
 
$$\geq 0.$$

Case 2. Assume  $V_1 \neq V$ , i.e.  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . By maximality of M, it follows that every monomial  $u = x_i x_j \in X \cap D$  with  $i \leq j$  satisfies  $x_i \in V_1$ . Let k be the maximum number of monomials  $u = x_i x_j$  with  $x_i \in V_1$ ,  $x_j \in V_2$  and pairwise coprime. We have  $k \leq \min(n_1, n_2)$ , but also  $k \geq 1$  since  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . For each such pair  $(v_1, v_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ , i.e. with  $v_1 v_2 \in X \cap D$ , we have  $\delta(v_1) + \delta(v_2) \geq q$ . This already contributes kq to  $\tau(X)$ . Thus

$$\tau(X) \ge (n_1 - k)q/2 + kq.$$

Next, we claim that

$$|X \cap D| \le n_1(n_1+1)/2 + k \max(n_1, n_2).$$

Indeed, as  $V_2$  is the empty graph, every monomial in  $X \cap D$  has its support in  $[V_1, V_1]$  or  $[V_1, V_2]$ . But the preceding lemma implies that the number of monomials with support in  $[V_1, V_2]$  is less than or equal to  $k \max(n_1, n_2)$ . This proves (3).

Now,  $W(S) \ge (n+1)\tau(V) - |X \cap D|q$ . Hence, by (2) and (3), we have

$$W(S) \ge (n+1)((n_1-k)/2+k)q - n_1(n_1+1)/2 - k\max(n_1,n_2))q$$
  
=  $((n+1)(n_1+k) - n_1(n_1+1) - 2k\max(n_1,n_2))q/2$ .

It remains to show that  $(n+1)(n_1+k)-n_1(n_1+1)-2k\max(n_1,n_2)\geq 0$ . We have

$$(n+1)(n_1+k) - n_1(n_1+1) - 2k \max(n_1, n_2) = n_1(n+1) - n_1(n_1+1) + k(n+1-2\max(n_1, n_2))$$

$$= n_1 n_2 + k(n_1 + n_2 + 1 - 2\max(n_1, n_2))$$

$$= n_1 n_2 + k(\min(n_1, n_2) - \max(n_1, n_2) + 1)$$

since  $n_1 + n_2 = \min(n_1, n_2) + \max(n_1, n_2)$ . But if  $k \le a \le b$ , we have

$$ab + k(a - b + 1) = k(a + 1) + b(a - k)$$
  
  $\ge k(a + 1).$ 

It follows that  $(n+1)(n_1+k) - n_1(n_1+1) - 2k \max(n_1, n_2) \ge k(\min(n_1, n_2) + 1)$ .

## References

- [1] M. Bras-Amorós, Fibonacci-like behavior of the number of numerical semigroups of a given genus, Semigroup Forum 76 (2008) 379–384.
- [2] W. Bruns, P. Garcia-Sanchez, C. O'Neill, D. Wilburne, Wilf's conjecture in fixed multiplicity. arXiv 1903.04342 [math.CO], 2019.
- [3] G. CHARTRAND, L. LESNIAK, P. ZHANG, Graphs & digraphs. Sixth edition. Text-books in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016. xii+628 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4987-3576-6.

- [4] M. DELGADO, On a question of Eliahou and a conjecture of Wilf, Math. Z. 288 (2018) 595–627.
- [5] M. DELGADO, Conjecture of Wilf: a survey. To appear in Numerical Semigroups - IMNS 2018, Springer INdAM Series 40, ISBN: 978-3-030-40821-3. arXiv 1902.03461 [math.CO].
- [6] M. DELGADO, Trimming the numerical semigroups tree to probe Wilf's conjecture to higher genus. arXiv 1910.12377 [math.CO], 2019.
- [7] M. DELGADO, P.A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ AND J. MORAIS, "Numericalsgps": a GAP package on numerical semigroups. http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/numericalsgps.html
- [8] S. ELIAHOU, Wilf's conjecture and Macaulay's theorem, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 20 (2018) 2105–2129. DOI 10.4171/JEMS/807.
- [9] S. ELIAHOU, A graph-theoretic approach to Wilf's conjecture. Elec. J. Combin. 27(2) (2020), #P2.15, 31 pp. https://doi.org/10.37236/9106.
- [10] S. ELIAHOU AND J. FROMENTIN, Near-misses in Wilf's conjecture, Semigroup Forum 98 (2019) 285-298. DOI 10.1007/s00233-018-9926-5.
- [11] S. ELIAHOU AND J. FROMENTIN, Gapsets and numerical semigroups, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 169 (2020), 105129, 19 pp. DOI 10.1016/j.jcta.2019.105129.
- [12] R. FRÖBERG, C. GOTTLIEB AND R. HÄGGKVIST, On numerical semigroups, Semigroup Forum 35 (1987) 63–83.
- [13] J. FROMENTIN AND F. HIVERT, Exploring the tree of numerical semigroups, Math. Comp. 85 (2016) 2553–2568.
- [14] N. KAPLAN, Counting numerical semigroups by genus and some cases of a question of Wilf, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012) 1016–1032.
- [15] N. KAPLAN AND L. YE, The proportion of Weierstrass semigroups, J. Algebra 373 (2013) 377–391.
- [16] A. MOSCARIELLO AND A. SAMMARTANO, On a conjecture by Wilf about the Frobenius number, Math. Z. 280 (2015) 47–53.
- [17] J.L. RAMÍREZ ALFONSÍN, The Diophantine Frobenius problem. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 30, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.

- [18] J.C. ROSALES AND P.A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, Numerical semigroups. Developments in Mathematics, 20. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [19] A. SAMMARTANO, Numerical semigroups with large embedding dimension satisfy Wilf's conjecture, Semigroup Forum 85 (2012) 439–447.
- [20] J.J. SYLVESTER, Mathematical questions with their solutions, Educational Times 41 (1884) 21.
- [21] H. WILF, A circle-of-lights algorithm for the money-changing problem, Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978) 562–565.
- [22] A. Zhai, Fibonacci-like growth of numerical semigroups of a given genus, Semigroup Forum 86 (2013) 634–662.

#### **Author's adress**

Shalom Eliahou

Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597 - LMPA - Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville, F-62100 Calais, France and CNRS, FR2037, France.

eliahou@univ-littoral.fr