

Study of structural changes of gluten proteins during bread dough mixing by Raman spectroscopy

Eloïse Lancelot, Joran Fontaine, Joëlle Grua-Priol, Ali Assaf, Gérald

Thouand, Alain Le-Bail

► To cite this version:

Eloïse Lancelot, Joran Fontaine, Joëlle Grua-Priol, Ali Assaf, Gérald Thouand, et al.. Study of structural changes of gluten proteins during bread dough mixing by Raman spectroscopy. Food Chemistry, 2021, 358, pp.129916. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129916 . hal-04223579

HAL Id: hal-04223579 https://hal.science/hal-04223579v1

Submitted on 30 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Study of structural changes of gluten proteins during bread dough mixing by Raman spectroscopy

Bloïse Lancelot^a, Joran Fontaine^{a,b}, Joëlle Grua-Priol^a, Ali Assaf^c, Gérald Thouand^c, Alain Le-Bail^{a,*}

4 ^a Oniris, CNRS, GEPEA, UMR 6144, Nantes, F-44000, France

5 ^b VMI, 70 rue Anne de Bretagne - PA Marches de Bretagne - 85600 Saint-Hilaire-de-Loulay, France

6 ^c Université de Nantes, CNRS, GEPEA, UMR 6144, 18 Boulevard Gaston Defferre, CS50020, 85035

7 La Roche-sur-Yon, France

8 Abstract

The aim of the present study was to evaluate Raman spectroscopy in determining changes that 9 10 occur in the structure of gluten proteins induced during bread dough mixing. Raman spectra were measured directly within the dough. Three particular phases of mixing were studied: 11 under-mixing, optimum mixing and over-mixing. A thiol blocking reagent, Tris(2-12 13 carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) was then used to reduce disulphide bonds within proteins to confirm the important role of disulphide bridges in gluten network formation. For the control 14 dough, the most important changes occurred during the optimum mixing phase when an 15 16 increase in intermolecular disulphide bonds, anti-parallel β -sheet and α -helix structures was observed, combined with the hydrophobic burial of tryptophan and tyrosine residues. The 17 addition of TCEP appeared to effectively reduce the formation of intermolecular disulphide 18 bonds, anti-parallel β -sheet and α -helix structures and lead to a more disordered secondary 19 protein structure. 20

21 * Corresponding author: Alain Le-Bail alain.lebail@oniris-nantes.fr

22

23 Highlights

24	• Raman spectroscopy was used to study gluten structure changes during mixing.
25	• Raman spectroscopy measurements were performed directly in the dough matrix.
26	• A thiol blocking reagent was used as a reducing agent to reduce disulphide bonds.
27	• Intermolecular disulphide bonds are decisive for the stability of the gluten network.
28	• Hydrophobic bonds are important in the structural evolution of dough during mixing.
29	
30	Keywords
31	• Raman spectroscopy
32	• Bread dough mixing
33	Gluten protein conformation
34	Disulphide bond conformation
35	• Thiol blocking agent
36	
37	Chemical Compounds
38	Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) (PubChem CID: 119411)
39	
40	1. Introduction
41	Mixing is often considered as one of the most important steps in bread-making (Wieser,
42	2012). Indeed, several physical, chemical and physicochemical modifications occur during

dough mixing; in particular, the hydration of gluten proteins, gliadins and glutenins results in 43 44 the formation of a continuous viscoelastic network. This gluten network contributes to gas holding capacity during fermentation and baking (Bloksma, 1990). The dough becomes more 45 and more cohesive during mixing; however, if mixing continues beyond the threshold of 46 maximum dough development, the dough weakens, then collapses and becomes sticky due to 47 the increased mobility of its constituents (Sadot et al., 2017; Schiraldi & Fessas, 2012), 48 leading to the production of lower quality bread. Monitoring mixing is therefore of paramount 49 importance. Although very useful and performed on-line, torque, power and consistency 50 measurements are limited to the description of the evolutions of the physical properties of 51 52 dough. They do not give direct access to the chemical modifications of dough during the mixing-structuring step (Aït Kaddour & Cuq, 2011; Chin et al., 2004). However, these 53 chemical properties can be assessed through spectroscopic investigations. Numerous studies 54 55 have shown that vibrational spectroscopies are commonly used to study the structure of gluten protein (Aït Kaddour et al., 2008; Bock & Damodaran, 2013; Ferrer et al., 2011; Linlaud et 56 57 al., 2011; Nawrocka et al., 2015, 2016a, 2016b, 2017a, 2017b, 2020; Rumińska et al., 2020; Seabourn et al., 2008). They are rapid, non-destructive, precise and reproducible, and 58 generally do not require sample preparation. In addition, they can be used for qualitative and 59 quantitative analyses and acquire several types of information simultaneously from a single 60 spectrum (Chalmers & Griffiths, 2002). Among the spectroscopic methods available, both 61 infrared and Raman spectroscopies can determine changes in the secondary structure of 62 proteins (analysis of the Amide I and III bands) (Pelton & McLean, 2000), but Raman 63 spectroscopy also gives information on the peptide backbone, the geometry of disulphide 64 bonds, and the environment of certain side chains such as those of tyrosine, tryptophan, and 65 methionine (Tu, 1982). Indeed, the stability of the gluten network formed during mixing is 66 attributable to non-covalent or hydrophobic bonds as well as inter and intra-molecular 67

disulphide bonds (Shewry et al., 2000). Despite all these advantages, Raman spectroscopy has 68 69 rarely been used for bread dough analysis. Ferrer et al. studied the influence of an emulsifier on gluten protein conformation (Ferrer et al., 2011). In their protocol, gluten samples 70 71 extracted from wheat flour dough were freeze dried, milled by hand with a pestle in a mortar and stored at 4 °C before Raman analysis. Similarly, Nawrocka et al. (2015, 2016a, 2016b, 72 2017a, 2017b, 2020) conducted several studies on the impact of fibre addition on gluten 73 74 protein conformation. In contrast, the work of Huen et al. (2014) showed that Raman spectra 75 can be measured directly within a frozen dough, revealing the spatial distribution of the single components including gluten. 76

77 The main goal of this study was to use Raman spectroscopy to analyse the structural changes in gluten proteins that occur at different stages of mixing directly in dough samples, 78 in order to determine whether this technique can be used to monitor the kneading process. As 79 the role of SH (free thiol)/SS (disulphide bonds) interchange during mixing is crucial but not 80 fully described in the literature (Belton, 2012; Wieser, 2012), the effect of a reducing agent is 81 82 also studied in this paper. Indeed, it has been shown that the latter weakens the gluten matrix and induces a reduction in dough viscosity and elasticity (Bloksma, 1990). Therefore, we 83 hypothesized that dough gluten protein should have a different and much less stable 84 85 conformation when adding a thiolic blocking reagent. Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) was chosen because it is often used to replace Dithiothreitol (DTT) and β -mercaptoethanol, 86 and does not give rise to odours. Moreover, it provides selective, complete, quantitative and 87 quick reduction (less than 5 min). An important advantage is that it is also active in both 88 alkaline and acidic conditions (unlike DTT). In addition, it is more resistant to air oxidation 89 90 and more hydrophilic. Above all, this molecule has the advantage of not having any S-H bonds that could mislead the interpretation. 91

92

93 **2.** Materials and methods

94

95 **2.1.** *Description of raw materials*

A soft bread dough formulation was used for all the tests. The ingredients used in the recipe per 100g of dough were: 60 g wheat flour (Moulins Soufflet SA - France), 33 g tap water, 2.4 g vegetable oil, 3 g sugar, 1.1 g salt and 0.6 g improver. The initial temperature of the dough was regulated by setting the sum of room, flour and water temperature to 55°C. Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, USA) in 0.5 M liquid solution. It was added to 0.075% (V/V) of the water in the recipe.

103 *2.2. Dough sample preparation*

The mixing process was carried out in a spiral mixer (SPI 11 – VMI, Montaigu, France) 104 and included 2 phases. First, a pre-mixing step was performed at 100 rpm for 180 s in order to 105 uniformly disperse the raw materials. Then, during the second phase, mixing was performed 106 107 at 170 rpm. Mixing time was set by monitoring the power needed to actuate the rotational tool (spiral tool). The optimum mixing time was set at the power peak designated "t_{PEAK}". The 108 dough samples were collected at three mixing levels: just after the pre-mixing step, at the 109 optimum mixing time or t_{PEAK} and at over-mixing (Figure 1). For each sampling, three pieces 110 of dough (~ 2 g) were transferred on a specific microscope slide with a cylindrical notch. 111

112

113 2.3. Measurement

The Raman spectra were acquired on a Senterra Raman microscope (Bruker Optik,
GmbH). The samples were placed directly under a x100LWD objective using a 785 nm laser

excitation. The maximum laser power at the sample was 40 mW. The spectra were recorded 116 over the range of 400-1800 cm⁻¹ with 400 gr/mm grating, providing a spectral resolution of 117 about 9 cm⁻¹. The calibration is done automatically by the patented SureCalTM technology. 118 This option recalibrates continuously the device and allows permanent sub-wavenumber 119 precision. For each mixing level, spectra were measured with three accumulations of 20 s per 120 point at twelve different sample positions. All the measurements were performed in three 121 independent experiments. All the results of these replications were reported in terms of 122 average and standard deviation. 123

124 *2.4. Data processing*

Raman spectra were plotted as intensity (Arbitrary unit) against Raman shift (cm⁻¹). Plot 125 processing and handling (normalisation, baseline, band fitting) were carried out using OPUS 126 software (Bruker Optik, GmbH). Each individual spectrum was first corrected from the 127 baseline with a concave elastic correction method developed within OPUS software. Then, a 128 min-max normalisation was applied. Finally, the 36 spectra obtained for each mixing level 129 were averaged. To determine changes in the conformation of disulphide bonds, a spectral 130 subtraction of a starch reference spectrum was required to completely remove the intense 131 starch band at 478 cm⁻¹ which masks the contribution of the S-S bands. The conformations of 132 disulphide bridges (500–560 cm⁻¹), Amide I band (1625–1700 cm⁻¹), Amide III band (1200-133 1330 cm⁻¹), tyrosine doublet (I(850)/I(830)), and tryptophan doublet (I(1360)/I(1340) were 134 analysed using a deconvolution by fitting a mix of Gaussian and Lorentzian curves (Figure 135 S1, Figure S2, Figure S3, Figure S4 and Figure S5 are provided in Supplementary Material). 136 The band assignment of the main vibrations of the side chains and the secondary structure was 137 based on comparison to Raman data reported in the literature, in particular for the disulphide 138 bridge: interchain disulphide bonds gauche-gauche-gauche (g-g-g) around 500 cm⁻¹, 139

intrachain disulphide bonds trans-gauche-gauche (t-g-g) at 510-520 cm⁻¹, trans-gauchegauche (t-g-g) at 525-535 cm⁻¹, and trans-gauche-trans (t-g-t) at 540-545 cm⁻¹ (Ferrer et al., 2011); for the Amide I band: β-sheets (β S) at 1630-1640 cm⁻¹, Random Coil (RC) at 1640-1650 cm⁻¹, α-helix (α H) at 1650-1658 cm⁻¹, β-turns (β T) at 1670-1678 cm⁻¹ and antiparallelβ-sheets ($\alpha\beta$ S) at 1690-1700 cm⁻¹ and finally for the Amide III band: β-sheets (β S) at 1220-1250 cm⁻¹, Random Coil (RC) at 1250-1270 cm⁻¹, β-turns (β T) at 1270-1295 cm⁻¹, and αhelix (α H) at 1295-1330 cm⁻¹ (Nawrocka et al., 2020).

147 3. Results and Discussion

148 *3.1. Dough formation monitoring*

Dough development was monitored during mixing by the change in the power curve 149 (Figure 1). At slow speed, the dough still had very low resistance, so the power consumed by 150 the tool to maintain its speed was also very low. The energy consumed every second therefore 151 increased only slightly. When the speed of the tool changed, the development phase of the 152 protein network began. With the kneading time, the energy provided by the agitation was used 153 for the formation of the gluten network, resulting in an increase in viscoelasticity and 154 therefore resistance. The power consumption then increased during the development phase. 155 156 After a certain kneading time, the power curve reached a maximum and then decreased. This maximum, corresponding to a maximum of viscoelasticity, was a remarkable point of the 157 "tPEAK" kneading for the "time to reach the power peak" (Heaps et al., 1967). The tPEAK is very 158 close to the optimal kneading time determined empirically by bakers (Sadot et al., 2017). 159 Once t_{PEAK} was reached, further kneading led to an overmixing phase, where the dough 160 underwent a change in the state of hydration of the gluten network and in the distribution of 161 water (Schiraldi & Fessas, 2012). 162

For the control dough, the development time was about 475s (Figure 1). As expected 163 (Wieser, 2012), the addition of TCEP considerably reduced the dough development time 164 (345s) (Figure 1). The maximum absorbed power was slightly higher than that of the control 165 dough, meaning that either SH/SS interchange or other non-convalent interactions (hydrogen, 166 ionic and hydrophobic bonds) remained sufficient to create a resistant gluten network, with 167 probably a larger glutenin fraction. The faster decrease of the power suggested that this 168 169 network was unstable and collapsed with the mechanical action of the mixing tool, rapidly 170 leading to an over-mixing state. This could be due to excessive interchange (Wieser, 2012). From the experimental point of view, the dough with TCEP was effectively clearly 171 172 destructured and very sticky at the end of the experiment compared to the control dough.

173

174 *3.2. Changes in secondary structure (Amide I and Amide III)*

The secondary structure of proteins was studied by Raman spectroscopy using the Amide 175 I (1600-1700 cm⁻¹) and Amide III (1200-1330 cm⁻¹) bands. The Amide I region obtained for 176 177 control dough and the dough with the addition of TCEP at the three different mixing levels is shown in Supplementary Material (Figure S7). As expected in the literature (Ferrer et al., 178 2011), the α -helix was the main dominant structure with an intense amide I band located at 179 1656 cm⁻¹. An increase of the α -helix content accompanied with a decrease in random coil 180 and β -turn structures until the optimum mixing level was observed for the control dough 181 (Figure 2). The bands related to the parallel- β -sheet were constant during mixing while a large 182 183 increase in the antiparallel- β -sheet was observed at the optimum mixing level (Figure 2). These modifications are related to protein folding, suggesting conformational changes that 184 lead to a more ordered structure. The α -helix and antiparallel- β -sheet contents were clearly 185 less significant in the presence of TCEP although evolved to a lesser extent in the same way 186

as the control dough (Figure 2). However, the random coil and the β -turn structures tended to increase during mixing. A similar tendency was observed for the α -helix, β -sheet, random coil and β -turn components in the Amide III band (Figure 3). The addition of TCEP seemed to diminish the formation of the antiparallel- β -sheet and favour the presence of random coil and β -turn structures (Figure 2 and Figure 3).

192

193 *3.3. Disulphide bonds*

Among covalent and non-covalent bonds, disulphide bonds (SS) play a key role in dough 194 structure, forming either intramolecular disulphide bonds within a protein or intermolecular 195 disulphide bonds between protein chains. Generally in the literature, the attribution of 196 disulphide bands is based almost exclusively on frequency values between 500 and 560cm⁻¹. 197 The difference between the values of these frequencies are commonly attributed to different 198 conformations of the dihedral angles C_{α} - C_{β} -S-S'- C_{β}' - C_{α}' . A vibration around 510 ± 5 cm⁻¹ can 199 be assigned to the gauche-gauche-gauche (g-g-g) conformation, around 525 ± 5 cm⁻¹ to the 200 gauche-trans-gauche (t-g-g) conformation and around 540 ± 5 cm⁻¹ to the trans-gauche-trans 201 202 (t-g-t) conformation (Tu, 1982). The g-g-g conformation is considered more stable than the other two and a distinction can be made between intermolecular S-S linkage with a band 203 localised around 497 cm⁻¹ and intramolecular S-S linkage with a band localised around 514 204 cm⁻¹ (Ferrer et al., 2011). A large increase in intermolecular S-S disulphide bonds was 205 observed until the optimum mixing time followed by a significant decrease of both 206 207 intermolecular and intramolecular S-S conformation for over-mixed dough (Figure 4). This observation is consistent with the "loop and train" model described by Belton (Belton, 2012), 208 where an increase of the displacement implies a rupture of the trains and thus the breakage of 209

the intermolecular disulphide bonds. Other SS conformations remained quite stable duringmixing (Figure 4).

The addition of TCEP seemed to prevent the formation of disulphide intermolecular S-S links, since the band around 500 cm⁻¹ stayed the same during mixing and, on the contrary, to favour the t-g-g conformation (Figure 4), which is considered less stable.

215

216 *3.4. Environment of aromatic acid (tyrosine and tryptophan)*

217 Changes in the aromatic acid environment can also be detected by Raman spectroscopy, 218 especially for two amino acids: tyrosine (TYR) and tryptophan (TRP). The ratio of the 219 tyrosine doublet (830 and 850 cm⁻¹) provides information on hydrogen bonding by the 220 phenolic hydroxyl groups, whereas the ratio of the tryptophan doublet (1340 and 1360 cm⁻¹) is 221 considered to be a marker of hydrophobicity of the indole ring (Tu, 1982).

A ratio greater than one for the TYR I850/I830 doublet for under-mixed dough is 222 reported in Figure 5. This means that the tyrosine residues were exposed and played the role 223 of both acceptor or donor of a proton, thus favouring interaction with water molecules. Then, 224 a significant decrease of the TYR I850/I830 ratio at the optimum mixing time was observed, 225 reflecting an increase in buriedness that could result in the folding or aggregation of gluten 226 227 proteins (Nawrocka et al., 2017a). However, as the value of the ratio was still close to one, it could still be treated as a 'normal tyrosine' that acts as both donor and acceptor of a proton 228 229 but with a greater part of the TYR residues participating in the H-bonds as a proton donor (Rumińska et al., 2020). This observation is consistent with the fact that there is less water 230 mobility at the optimum mixing time (Schiraldi & Fessas, 2012). Finally, a noticeable 231 increase for over-mixed dough confirmed that the mobility of water was once again possible, 232

making the dough stickier (Schiraldi & Fessas, 2012). The same behaviour was observed with 233 234 the addition of TCEP, which did not have any impact on the TYR residues (Figure 5).

235

The intensity ratio I_{1360}/I_{1340} is particularly sensitive to the transition of tryptophan 236 microenvironments from a hydrophobic one to a hydrophilic one. An increase of the ratio 237 indicates a buriedness of the tryptophan residues while a decrease indicates their exposure to a 238 polar environment (Takeuchi, 2003). For under-mixed dough (Figure 6), the available water at 239 the beginning of the mixing process can fill the pores of the gluten proteins, which provide a 240 241 large solvent accessible surface. At optimum mixing time (Figure 6), the increase of the 242 doublet ratio indicates an increase in the buriedness that suggests that the tryptophan residues contribute to a more ordered structure: less free water is available and bound water has 243 multiple contacts that stabilize the structure of the protein. This increase may also support the 244 hypothesis of partial dehydration of the gluten network during mixing (Nawrocka et al., 2017) 245 .When dough is overmixed (Figure 6), the release of water leads to the destruction of the 246 hydrophobic cluster structure, as indicated by the sharp decrease in the doublet ratio.For over-247 mixed dough, the ratio decreased, meaning that the TRP residues returned to a polar 248 249 environment. The same behaviour was observed for the dough with TCEP (Figure 6).

250

251 4. Conclusion

To our knowledge, this study is the first attempt to describe the structural changes in gluten 252 proteins induced by mixing and measured directly in the dough by Raman spectroscopy. The 253 results obtained are promising because specific behaviours were observed at successive stages 254 of mixing. This Raman Spectroscopy study confirmed that at the optimum mixing time, a clear 255

increase in intermolecular g-g-g disulphide bond conformation appeared, associated with an 256 increase in the α -helix and anti-parallel- β -sheet structures, and with the hydrophobic burial of 257 tryptophan and tyrosine residues. These changes imply a more ordered structure that favours 258 259 the stability of the gluten network when optimal mixing is reached. Indeed, inter-chain SS bonds giving rise to stiffer polymer and β -spiral structures may contribute to gluten elasticity 260 through both intrinsic elasticity and the formation of loops and trains stabilised by hydrogen 261 bonds (Shewry et al., 2000). When dough was over-mixed, the gluten structure was somewhat 262 disordered (decrease of intermolecular g-g-g disulphide bonds, increase of Random Coil 263 conformation) and the gluten network weakened. The addition of a thiolic blocking reagent 264 265 (TCEP) seemed to reduce the formation of SS intermolecular g-g-g conformation, the antiparallel- β -sheet and α -helix structures, without modifying the hydrogen bonds. The dough 266 could still develop, reaching maximum resistance that was obtained more rapidly than for the 267 268 control dough. However, resistance to extension was very fragile and the quality of the resulting dough was clearly poor, with a lack of viscosity and elasticity. It therefore appeared 269 270 that intermolecular disulphide bonds are decisive in the structural evolution of dough during 271 mixing and for the stability of the gluten network. Further investigations are needed to determine whether changes in disulphide bridge conformation are the cause or effect of the 272 changes in the secondary protein structure observed. 273

274 Funding

This project was funded by the ANR project "MIXI-LAB" (n°15-LCV3-0006-01), and the
PhD grant of J. Fontaine was funded jointly by VMI and ANRT.

277 Declaration of Competing Interest

- 278 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
- 279 relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

280 **Reference**

- Aït Kaddour, A., Mondet, M., & Cuq, B. (2008). Description of Chemical Changes Implied
 During Bread Dough Mixing by FT-ATR Mid-Infrared Spectroscopy. *Cereal Chemistry*,
 33(5), 673–678. https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-85-5-0673
- Aït Kaddour, A., & Cuq, B. (2011). Dynamic NIR spectroscopy to monitor wheat product
 processing: A short review. In *American Journal of Food Technology* (Vol. 6, Issue 3,
 pp. 186–196). https://doi.org/10.3923/ajft.2011.186.196
- Belton, P. S. (2012). The molecular basis of dough rheology. In *Breadmaking: Improving quality, Second Edition* (pp. 337–351). Elsevier Inc.
 https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857095695.2.337
- Bloksma, A. H. (1990). Rheology of the Breadmaking Process. *The American Association of Cereal Chemists*, 35(2), 228–236.
- Bock, J. E., & Damodaran, S. (2013). Bran-induced changes in water structure and gluten
 conformation in model gluten dough studied by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.
 Food Hydrocolloids, *31*(2), 146–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.10.014
- Chalmers, J. M., & Griffiths, P. R. (2002). *Handbook of Vibrational Spectroscopy Volume 1 Theory and Instrumentation* (J. M. Chalmers & P. R. Griffiths (eds.); John Wiley).
- Chin, N. L., Martin, P. J., & Campbell, G. M. (2004). Aeration During Bread Dough Mixing
 I. Effect of Direction and Size of a Pressure Step-change During Mixing on the Turnover
 of Gas. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, 82(C4), 268–281.
 https://doi.org/10.1205/fbio.82.4.268.56400
- Ferrer, E. G., Gómez, A. V., Añón, M. C., & Puppo, M. C. (2011). Structural changes in
 gluten protein structure after addition of emulsifier. A Raman spectroscopy study.
 Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 79(1), 278–
 281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2011.02.022
- Heaps, P. W., Webb, T., Russel Eggitt, P. W., & Coppock, J. B. M. (1967). Studies on
 mechanical factors affecting dough development. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 2(1), 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1967.tb01323.x
- Huen, J., Weikusat, C., Bayer-Giraldi, M., Weikusat, I., Ringer, L., & Losche, K. (2014).
 Confocal Raman microscopy of frozen bread dough. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 60(3),
 555–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2014.07.012
- Linlaud, N., Ferrer, E., Puppo, M. C., & Ferrero, C. (2011). Hydrocolloid interaction with
 water, protein, and starch in wheat dough. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
 59(2), 713–719. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf1026197
- Nawrocka, A., Szymańska-Chargot, M., Miś, A., Ptaszyńska, A. A., Kowalski, R., Waśko, P.,
 & Gruszecki, W. I. (2015). Influence of dietary fibre on gluten proteins structure A
 study on model flour with application of FT-Raman spectroscopy. *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy*, 46(3), 309–316. https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.4648
- Nawrocka, A., Miś, A., & Szymańska-Chargot, M. (2016a). Characteristics of Relationships
 Between Structure of Gluten Proteins and Dough Rheology Influence of Dietary Fibres

- Studied by FT-Raman Spectroscopy. *Food Biophysics*, 11(1), 81–90.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11483-015-9419-y
- Nawrocka, A., Szymańska-Chargot, M., Miś, A., Kowalski, R., & Gruszecki, W. I. (2016b).
 Raman studies of gluten proteins aggregation induced by dietary fibres. *Food Chemistry*, 194, 86–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.07.132
- Nawrocka, A., Szymańska-Chargot, M., Wilczewska, A. Z., & Markiewicz, K. H. (2017a).
 Aggregation of gluten proteins in model dough after fibre polysaccharide addition. *Food Chemistry*, 231, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.117
- Nawrocka, A., Szymańska-Chargot, M., Miś, A., Wilczewska, A. Z., & Markiewicz, K. H.
 (2017b). Effect of dietary fibre polysaccharides on structure and thermal properties of
 gluten proteins: A study on gluten dough with application of FT-Raman spectroscopy,
 TGA and DSC. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 69, 410–421.
- 332 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.03.012
- Nawrocka, A., Krekora, M., Niewiadomski, Z., Szymańska-Chargot, M., Krawęcka, A.,
 Sobota, A., & Miś, A. (2020). Effect of moisturizing pre-treatment of dietary fibre
 preparations on formation of gluten network during model dough mixing A study with
 application of FT-IR and FT-Raman spectroscopy. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, *121*(December 2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108959
- Pelton, J. T., & McLean, L. R. (2000). Spectroscopic methods for analysis of protein
 secondary structure. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 277(2), 167–176.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1999.4320
- Rumińska, W., Szymańska-Chargot, M., Wiącek, D., Sobota, A., Markiewicz, K. H.,
 Wilczewska, A. Z., Miś, A., & Nawrocka, A. (2020). FT-Raman and FT-IR studies of
 the gluten structure as a result of model dough supplementation with chosen oil pomaces. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 93(January). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2020.102961
- Sadot, M., Cheio, J., & Le-Bail, A. (2017). Impact on dough aeration of pressure change
 during mixing. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *195*, 150–157.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.09.008
- Schiraldi, A., & Fessas, D. (2012). The role of water in dough formation and bread quality. In *Breadmaking: Improving quality, Second Edition* (pp. 352–369). Elsevier Inc.
 https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857095695.2.352
- Seabourn, B. W., Chung, O. K., Seib, P. A., & Mathewson, P. R. (2008). Determination of
 secondary structural changes in gluten proteins during mixing using fourier transform
 horizontal attenuated total reflectance spectroscopy. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56(11), 4236–4243. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf703569b
- Shewry, P. R., Popineau, Y., Lafiandra, D., & Belton, P. (2000). Wheat glutenin subunits and
 dough elasticity: Findings of the EUROWHEAT project. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, *11*(12), 433–441. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(01)00035-8
- Takeuchi, H. (2003). Raman structural markers of tryptophan and histidine side chains in
 proteins. *Biopolymers Biospectroscopy Section*, 72(5), 305–317
 https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.10440
- Tu, A. T. (1982). *Raman Spectroscopy in Biology: principles and applications* (J. W. & Sons
 (ed.); Vol. 1). https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Wieser, H. (2012). The use of redox agents in breadmaking. In *Breadmaking: Improving quality, Second Edition* (pp. 447–469). Elsevier Inc.
- 365 https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857095695.2.447

Figure 1: Power mixing curves. Evolution of power during mixing, illustrating the effect of adding TCEP to a bread dough recipe.

Figure 2: Raman determination of Amide I band secondary structure for Control dough and Dough + TCEP for the three different mixing levels: Under-mixing, Optimum and Over-mixing (β S – parallel- β -sheet, RC- Random Coil, α H – α -helix, β T – β -turns, $a\beta$ S – antiparallel- β -sheet).

Figure 3: Raman determination of Amide III band secondary structure for Control dough and Dough + TCEP for the three different level of mixing Under-mixing, Optimum and Over-mixing (β S – β -sheet, RC- Random Coil, β T – β -turns, α H – α -helix).

Figure 4: Raman determination of Disulphide bridge conformation for Control dough and Dough + TCEP for the three different mixing levels: Under-mixing, Optimum and Overmixing (g-g-g (inter SS) conformation, t-g-g (intra SS) conformation, t-g-g conformation and t-g-t conformation).

Figure 5: Raman determination of the Tyrosine doublet ratio for Control dough and Dough + TCEP for the three different mixing levels: Under-mixing, Optimum and Over-mixing.

Figure 6: Raman determination of the Tryptophan doublet ratio for Control dough and Dough + TCEP for the three different mixing levels: Under-mixing, Optimum and Over-mixing.







Disulphide bonds





