



HAL
open science

Temperature-driven heterochrony as a main evolutionary response to climate changes in conodonts

Louise Souquet, Pauline Guenser, Catherine Girard, Michele Mazza, Manuel Rigo, Nicolas Goudemand

► **To cite this version:**

Louise Souquet, Pauline Guenser, Catherine Girard, Michele Mazza, Manuel Rigo, et al.. Temperature-driven heterochrony as a main evolutionary response to climate changes in conodonts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 2022, 289 (1985), pp.20220614. 10.1098/rspb.2022.0614 . hal-04223301

HAL Id: hal-04223301

<https://hal.science/hal-04223301v1>

Submitted on 28 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Temperature-driven heterochrony as a main evolutionary response to climate changes in conodonts

Authors

Louise Souquet^{1,6*}, Pauline Guenser^{1,2}, Catherine Girard³, Michele Mazza⁴, Manuel Rigo⁵
and Nicolas Goudemand^{1*}

¹ Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, IGFL, CNRS UMR 5242, UCBL, 46 Allée d'Italie, F-69364
Lyon Cedex 07, France

² Univ. Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, LEHNA, CNRS UMR 5023, 3-6 rue Raphaël
Dubois – Bâtiments Forel, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex 43

³ ISEM, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

⁴ Largo Mazza, Piacenza, Italy

⁵ Department of Geosciences, University of Padova, Via G. Gradenigo 6, 35131 Padova, Italy

⁶ Current address : University College London, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, London, UK

* Corresponding authors

Abstract

Can we predict the evolutionary response of organisms to climate changes? The direction of greatest intraspecific phenotypic variance is thought to correspond to an *'evolutionary line of least resistance'*, i.e. a taxon's phenotype is expected to evolve along that general direction, if not constrained otherwise. In particular, heterochrony, whereby the timing or rate of developmental processes are modified, has often been invoked to describe evolutionary trajectories and it may be advantageous to organisms when rapid adaptation is critical. Yet, to date, little is known empirically as to which covariation patterns, whether static allometry, as measured in adult forms only, or ontogenetic allometry, the basis for heterochrony, may be prevalent in what circumstances. Here, we quantify the morphology of segminiplanate conodont elements during two distinct time intervals separated by more than 130 Ma: the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary and the Carnian-Norian boundary (Late Triassic). We evidence that the corresponding species share similar patterns of intraspecific

static allometry. Yet, during both crises, conodont evolution was decoupled from this common evolutionary line of least resistance. Instead, it followed heterochrony-like trajectories that furthermore appear as driven by ocean temperature. This may have implications for our interpretation of conodonts' and past marine ecosystems' response to environmental perturbations.

Keywords

Developmental bias, environment, evolution, conodonts, geometric morphometrics, macroevolution

Introduction

The ambition of evolutionary biology is to decipher the relative role of evolutionary processes in shaping the diversity of life. Because the evolution of new forms necessarily involves tinkering with the developmental processes of already existing forms [1], evolution is likely to follow trajectories that are biased by those developmental processes (see for instance [2] and references therein). In other words, some morphologies may be more readily generated than others (e.g. [3, 4]) and this may drive evolution in preferential directions. For instance the direction of greatest intraspecific phenotypic variance (P_{max}), as measured on adult forms only, is thought to correspond to an 'evolutionary line of least resistance', and morphological evolution is expected to parallel P_{max} in a context of weak selection [5-8]. Similarly, heterochrony, whereby the timing or rate of developmental processes are modified within an individual or a taxon, can be considered as an alternative evolutionary line of least resistance and it has long been recognized as a key evolutionary pattern, with countless examples across the animal kingdom [2, 9-10]. In the fossil record, this is exemplified by documented occurrences of paedomorphoclines (trend toward retention of juvenile characters in adults) or peramorphoclines (trend toward extension of growth period resulting in adding new developmental stages compared to ancestral sequence) [11-14]. Heterochronic shifts may produce large phenotypic effects with relatively few or no genetic modifications. They may then be particularly advantageous to organisms when rapid adaptation is critical, for instance during large environmental perturbations [11].

Yet, to date, little is known empirically as to which evolutionary mode, whether static allometry, as measured on adult forms only, and ontogenetic allometry, i.e. the patterns of covariation observed within an organism's growth, which are the support for heterochrony, may be prevalent in what circumstances.

Conodonts are extinct marine jawless vertebrates [15, 16]. Their phosphatic feeding structures, called conodont elements, are abundant in the fossil record from the Cambrian to the earliest Jurassic [17]. They are arranged in a complex feeding apparatus usually made of seven pairs and one central element. All of them display some level of morphological diversity. Yet the most dorsal pair of elements called P1 exhibit a morphological diversity like no others, and some of the highest rates of morphological evolution of all Paleozoic and Triassic fossils. Hence, they are used extensively for relative dating and correlating rocks (e.g. [18]). Some P1 elements are considered to have had a mammal-like occlusion and therefore they were likely used for crushing and processing food items [19]. Therefore, their morphology may reflect their feeding function and their bearer's diet. They are also useful for reconstructing the evolution of paleoenvironments and environmental pressures (e.g. [20]). Yet, so far, the potential of the conodont fossil record for evolutionary studies has remained somewhat underexploited (but see for instance [21-24]). Several studies have implicitly highlighted patterns of covariation within conodont P1 elements (e.g. [25]) and many conodont workers have observed morphological trends within specific intervals. Yet, no one to our knowledge has ever explored the universality of such patterns within conodonts. It is still unclear for instance whether the morphologies of conodont elements follow any generic rule of covariation. Similarly, the existence of commonalities between species in their evolutionary responses to distinct major events has never been tested.

Here, we quantified the morphological evolution of ozarkodinina (suborder Ozarkodinina Dzik 1976) segminiplanate P₁ elements around two distinct major intervals separated by about 130 Ma: siphonodellids (*Siphonodella*) from the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary (DC) and gondolellids (*Carnepigondolella*, *Epigondolella*, *Metapolygnathus*) from the Late Triassic Carnian-Norian boundary (CN). Both considered intervals are similarly long (respectively 5 Myr and 3 Myr) and were affected by putative global changes in sea-surface temperature: a 4-degree warming and a 6-degree cooling, respectively [26, 27]. We focused on DC siphonodellids and CN gondolellids because the P₁ elements in both groups share

superficial similarities that facilitate their comparison within a single empirical morphospace: they are segminiplanate [28], and possess both a variably ornamented platform and a relatively high blade that is partly free at the anterior end (Fig. 1). In both cases, the chosen material is abundant and well-preserved, and numerous previous studies have characterised or constrained their biochronology [18, 29-30], ontogeny [31] and phylogeny [28, 32-34] (Fig. 2).

Based on the suprageneric analysis of Donoghue et al [35], these groups belong to two distinct superfamilies (siphonodellids and gondolellids) that likely diverged in the Ordovician, about 100 Ma before the first appearance of siphonodellids, which means both groups are separated by more than 300 Ma of independent evolution.

The present study quantifies morphological changes of these two assemblages through time. We evaluate the relative role of environmental and developmental processes as evolutionary forces driving their evolution, and assess the impact of heterochronic shifts in observed evolutionary trends.

Results

Main morphological axes of variation

We used geometric morphometrics to quantify the intra-specific and inter-specific patterns of variation of element shape within the two assemblages (see Methods). Only adult P₁ elements were considered (GS₄₋₆ in [31]). In conodont elements, identification of adulthood is based on empirical growth stages. For the considered taxa, the growth stages have been described by [36] and [31]. The position of the species in the morphospace is strongly correlated with its phylogenetic position (permutation test; two groups: p-value<0,001; Carboniferous group only: p-value=0.0093; Triassic group only: p-value=0.0002). The holotypes of the species present in our collection are located within the respective 95% concentration ellipses (Fig. 3). Notwithstanding whether or not the holotype specimens were included in the dataset (see Methods), the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the corresponding Procrustes coordinates showed that only four principal components explained more than 5% of variance and are therefore considered significant (See methods and supplementary information; Figs. S3-S4). When the holotypes are

included, the first four principal components explain about 83% (PC1: 49.93%, PC2: 18.19%, PC3: 7.82%, PC4: 7.01%) of the total variance of the Procrustes coordinates (see Supplementary Tab. S3). When they are not included, the first four principal components explain about 89% of the total variance (Fig. 3, Supplementary Tab. S3; PC1: 55.44%, PC2: 18.21%, PC3: 8.06%, PC4: 7.20%). The main axis (PC1), which roughly discriminates between the DC taxa (siphonodellids, positive PC1 values) and the CN taxa (gondolellids, mostly negative PC1 values), corresponds to subequal, antero-posterior shifts of the pit and of the anterior ends of the platform (geniculation points), associated with a change in the curvature of the posterior platform margin: an anterior shift of the pit and geniculation points (positive PC1 values, DC taxa) corresponding to a more tapered posterior platform end (higher positive curvature), and a posterior shift (negative PC1 values, Triassic taxa) corresponding to a flatter (zero curvature) or even concave (negative curvature) posterior platform margin. In other words, DC taxa differ most from CN taxa by having P₁ elements whose platform is more extended anteriorly and posteriorly and whose pit is located more anteriorly: DC taxa tend to have an ovate platform with a pointed posterior extremity, whereas the CN taxa have an oblong to sub-cuneate platform with a rounded to sub-squared posterior margin.

Along PC2, the pit and geniculation points move in opposite directions along the antero-posterior axis (*contra* PC1; see the arrows in Fig. 3C). As along PC1, the antero-posterior shift of the pit is associated with a change in the curvature of the posterior platform margin. Extreme negative values of PC2 correspond to elements with a relatively short platform (and hence a relatively large free blade), a pit located in front of the geniculation points and a rounded to tapered posterior end, whereas extreme positive values of PC2 correspond to elements with a longer, narrower, anteriorly extended platform, a posterior pit and a flat to concave posterior end.

Covariation of the relative position of the pit and the shape of the posterior margin

As for PC1 and PC2, PC4 suggests a positive correlation between the relative distance of the pit to the posterior margin and the sharpening of the posterior margin, quantified as the curvature of the posterior margin at the posterior end (flat to circular to pointy geometry corresponding to a gradient from low to high curvature at the tip) (Fig. 3). In order to test for

such correlation we ran a PCA analysis on a subset of our data where the Procrustes coordinates of the pit, anterior end and geniculation points were removed. The corresponding principal components (PC_{contour}) can be used as main descriptors of the changes in the platform outline, in particular of its posterior margin (Supplementary Fig. S9). We then verified (see Methods and Supplementary Fig. S9) that the relative position of the pit within the element (the distance between the pit and the posterior margin divided by the element's length) is significantly correlated with the four main PC_{contour} (Supplementary Fig. S9), in particular it is strongly negatively correlated with $PC4_{\text{contour}}$ (Pearson's r and Spearman's D tests, $p < 10^{-20}$, $R^2 > 0.9$; see Supplementary Fig. S9): the larger the distance, the narrower the posterior part of the platform relative to its anterior. In other words, when the pit is closer to the posterior margin, the posterior margin tends to be more squared; closer to the consensus, the posterior margin is sub-circular; when the pit is more anteriorly located, the posterior margin tends to taper to a point.

Long term stability of the P_{max} within ozarkodinid conodonts

The P_{max} of most species are aligned with one another (chi2 (same slope in PC1-PC2 plane) = 21.422; p-value = 0.0649, Supplementary Fig. S6). The relatively low number of measured specimens for *S. isoticha* and *S. quadruplicata* precludes an accurate linearization, leading to their P_{max} not being aligned with that of other species. If these two species are removed, we obtain chi2 (same slope) = 13.898 ; p-value = 0.1259 . The analysis of the within-group variation shows that most taxa share similar patterns of intraspecific variation among adults: they occupy roughly the same region of the PC1-PC2_{within} morphospace and differ mostly along PC3_{within} (Triassic taxa) or PC4_{within} (DC taxa) (Supplementary Figs. S7). The main axis of this within-group variation (PC1_{within}) corresponds to an anterior extension (resp. reduction) of the platform associated with opposite movements of the geniculation points relative to the pit and anterior end (Supplementary Fig. S7). The second main axis (PC2_{within}) describes the amount of asymmetrical variation and corresponds approximately to the PC3 mentioned earlier.

The within group variation evolved mostly (and sub-monotonously) along the PC4_{within} within siphonodellids (variation in the relative position of the pit and the shape of the posterior margin, see Supplementary Fig. S7), whereas it evolved essentially along the PC3_{within} among

the CN taxa (variation in lateral expansion of the platform, see also [36]), that is, along axes that are, by construction, orthogonal to one another.

A common, main axis of morphological evolution that is distinct from P_{max}

Both groups vary essentially along the same axis within the PC1-PC2 plane of the empirical morphospace. We have reconstructed the likely chronological sequence of the considered taxa at both the generic and the species levels. For the siphonodellids the following sequence can be inferred from Sandberg's phylogenetic hypothesis ([32], his Fig. 1), as supported by more recent works (e.g. [29]): *S. praesulcata* + *S. sulcata*, *S. bransoni* (= *S. duplicata* M1), *S. duplicata*, *S. cooperi*, *S. obsoleta* + *S. sandbergi* + *S. carinthiaca*, *S. quadruplicata*, *S. crenulata*. Notwithstanding whether we compute the average or the median of the PC2 scores of the taxa present in our collection or the PC2 scores of the holotypes, there is a significant increasing trend in PC2 scores for the corresponding DC interval (Mann-Kendall test, $p < 0.05$, see Supplementary File S12). Similarly, if we consider the sequence leading from *Carnepigondolella* (or '*Carnepigondolella*' 1 and 2, since *Carnepigondolella* appears as polyphyletic, see Material and Methods) to *Metapolygnathus* to *Epigondolella*, the average (and mean) PC scores of these genera decrease monotonously. Using Tethyan range charts at the species level [18, 38], we can derive a sequence of maximal association 'zones' (similar to Opper zones; see Supplementary File S12) and then compute the average (or mean) PC2 scores of the taxa present in a given 'zone' (using the scores of the holotypes). If we exclude the genus *Norigondolella*, whose range is discontinuous near the CN boundary [18], and consider only the carnepigondolellids, their descendants (*Metapolygnathus* and *Epigondolella*) and their probable ancestor *Paragondolella*, then there is a significant (submonotonous) decreasing trend in PC2 scores (Mann-Kendall test, $S = -17$, $p = 0.0054$, See Supplementary File S12).

Considering the current phylogenetic model, this decreasing trend is paralleled in two distinct lineages: the one leading to *Metapolygnathus*, and the one leading to *Epigondolella*.

This pattern of morphological evolution highlights a common main evolutionary path sub-parallel to the PC2 axis. Yet, evolution proceeded in opposite directions at the Carnian-Norian boundary (decreasing trend in PC2 scores) as compared to the DC boundary (increasing trend in PC2 scores).

In both intervals the main evolutionary axis aligns with ontogenetic trajectories

Ontogenetic series are available for the present material. For the Triassic taxa in particular, those series have been reconstructed in detail by Mazza and Martinez-Perez [31] using synchrotron-based imaging techniques and by virtually subtracting growth lamellae and analysing the evolution of the morphology within single adult specimens. The ontogeny in these species is marked by a relatively higher growth rate of the platform laterally and of both platform and blade towards the anterior side, which corresponds to a relative posterior shift of the pit (Fig. 4). For the siphonodellids, growth series have been proposed by Zhuravlev et al. [36] and display similar patterns (Fig. 4).

Within both groups (and more generally in most segminiplanate conodonts), the platform gets relatively larger with ontogenetic age, extending both anteriorly (the free blade gets relatively smaller in more mature individuals) and posteriorly: in species like *Paragondolella noah* and *Epigondolella uniformis* (Fig. 4), the posterior margin of the platform gradually changes from tapered to sub-circular to subquadrate. A similar transformation of the posterior margin is observed in all considered Late Triassic taxa. Similarly, in siphonodellids, the posterior margin of the platform is tapered throughout the ontogeny but it gets progressively broader and more rounded. Furthermore, in species like *Epigondolella uniformis* (Fig. 4), the pit may be initially located at the same level as the geniculation points along the antero-posterior axis. As the element grows and the platform extends anteriorly, this is no longer the case in ontogenetically older specimens. These descriptions, combined with the newly described correlation between the relative position of the pit and the shape of the platform's posterior margin, strongly suggest that morphologies associated with increasingly mature elements will get higher (or more positive) scores on the PC2 axis.

Our results support previous observations by Zhuravlev et al. [36] and Mazza and Martinez-Perez [31]. The evolution of the considered DC taxa towards more anteriorly developed platforms with a posterior shift of the pit and a relative shortening of the free blade, is concordant with a peramorphosis heterochronic shift (a delayed maturation or faster development). Additionally, the evolution of the considered Triassic taxa towards elements with a less developed platform, an anteriorly shifted pit and an enlarged free blade, is compatible with a paedomorphosis shift (the retention of juvenile traits into adulthood). We argue that all considered taxa within one or the other group (DC taxa or CN taxa) share

similar ontogenetic trajectories, namely a straight line along the PC2 axis. Similarly, we consider that most of their evolutionary trajectories follow the same line. In our view, the data is compatible with heterochrony being the main process involved, although not necessarily the only one.

Discussion

The putative peramorphocline of the DC siphonodellids and paedomorphocline of the CN gondolellids parallel respectively, and presumably time compatible, environmental shifts that are also opposite: the DC interval corresponds to a 4-degree warming of the oceans, whereas the CN interval corresponds to a 6-degree cooling. In other words, morphological change within both intervals parallels the PC2 axis, corresponds to a heterochronic shift, and is associated with a shift in seawater temperature, thereby suggesting that higher PC2 values correspond to higher temperatures (Fig. 5).

Temperature is known to affect the growth rates of organisms [39-46]. For instance, aquatic ectotherms experience temperature-based phenotypic plasticity [47-50] and some of this plasticity may arise from altered growth rate. It is possible that temperature had a similar effect on the growth of conodont elements. Several authors have previously documented conodont morphoclines during intervals of environmental perturbations, in particular within Late Devonian genera (e.g. *Palmatolepis*, *Icriodus*, *Ancyrodella*, *Polygnathus*, but not *Siphonodella* until now) as responses to Late Devonian events such as the Kellwasser events (e.g. [25, 51-53]). For instance, Renaud and Girard [25] interpreted the evolutionary response of icriodids during these events as possibly involving paedomorphosis ("progenesis", p.31). The respective responses of ancyrodellids, polygnathids, or palmatolepids also parallel, to a certain extent, the paleotemperature records ([54], but [53]), but they may or may not involve heterochronic shifts [25, 51].

The latter authors tended to favour an indirect, ecological link over a physiological one: morphological modifications of dental elements are often related to functional shifts, i.e. associated with distinct feeding behaviours [55, 56] and trophic disturbances have been indeed invoked for explaining the Kellwasser events [57]. Given that juvenile and adult conodonts do not necessarily share the same feeding habits [58], the two alternative propositions are not mutually exclusive and we can imagine scenarios whereby

temperature-driven, physiologically induced heterochronic shifts may facilitate ecological adaptation to new, more abundant prey. Nonetheless, temperature is not the only factor that may impact conodonts' growth rate. Nutrient availability is a plausible alternative [54] that is also climate-dependent: a warmer climate usually implies more humidity, more weathering, more continental runoff, and may ultimately cause modifications in the communities of preys on which conodonts likely fed; it may also lead to eutrophication, and to hypoxia, another common agent of physiological changes. Ginot and Goudemand [59] have shown that conodonts may be affected by other abiotic factors, such as sea level (see also [53, 60]), whose fluctuations may also parallel those of seawater temperatures. Hence, although temperature may appear as a plausible and attractively 'simple' driver (but see [61]) for the described evolutionary trajectories, its role is still elusive.

Because the groups considered here are distant in phylogeny, time, geography, and probably ecology, the commonality of their evolutionary responses to climate changes may reflect some generic aspect of conodont's evolutionary biology. We propose here that some major aspect of the conodont element's development depended directly or indirectly on seawater temperature. Yet, as shown by Leu et al. [62], seawater temperature changes may have had contrasting effects on the evolution of different conodont taxa in terms of size. It is therefore expected that the pattern described here as a common response to temperature variation most likely accepts exceptions.

The long term stability of the P_{max} in these conodonts is somehow surprising. Static allometry (intraspecific variation among adults) is not expected to persist among related species [2, 63], although evidence from the literature may be conflicting ([6], and references therein). This stability may be partly explained by the herein described correlation between the relative position of the pit (respectively of the cusp) and the shape of the posterior margin. Similar morphometric analyses have been performed on Late Devonian *Polygnathus* elements [53] and on Anisian (Middle Triassic) *Paragondolella* elements [64] and the corresponding plots seem to support the generality of such correlation. In both cases, the axis that reflects allometric growth ('PC2-3Dcar' in [53], their fig. 3; 'RW1' in [64], their fig. 6) corresponds also roughly to our PC2, thereby supporting our interpretation that this axis parallels ontogenetic and heterochronic shifts, and suggesting that it may be relevant to the evolutionary biology of many other conodont taxa.

Several authors have shown that the G_{max} , respectively the P_{max} , may be good, short term predictors of evolutionary change (e.g. [7, 65] and references therein). Long-term evolution however may be decoupled from within-population variation. Besides the fact that the nonlinear nature of the genotype-phenotype map of organs such as dental elements is expected to falsify the underlying linear models ([66], see also [67, 68]) and may thus partly explain short-term discrepancies from those predictions, our results suggest that global environmental crises have the capacity to accelerate such decoupling (see also [69]). Here, the Late Devonian Hangenberg event and the late Carnian pluvial event may have forced conodonts to find evolutionary solutions to adapt to their changing environment. In both cases conodonts seemingly resorted to heterochronic shifts that are compatible with some sort of temperature-induced 'evolutionary plasticity'.

Material and Methods

Material and environmental context

The DC assemblage is composed of closely related species of *Siphonodella* from lower Tournaisian (Lower Mississippian, Carboniferous) rocks of Montagne Noire, France. The CN assemblage is composed of closely related species of *Carnepigondolella*, *Epigondolella*, *Metapolygnathus*, and *Hayashiella* from upper Carnian and lower Norian (Upper Triassic) rocks of Pizzo Mondello, Sicily, Italy (Fig. 2).

The DC material was collected from the Puech de la Suque section in the Montagne Noire, France, and is currently housed in the collections of the Institut des Sciences de l'Évolution de Montpellier, France. It corresponds to a time interval ranging from the *Siphonodella jii* Zone (PS17) to the *Siphonodella quadruplicata* Zone (PS28) and includes elements of eight species of the genus *Siphonodella*: *Siphonodella praesulcata*, *S. sulcata*, *S. bransoni*, *S. duplicata*, *S. carinthiaca*, *S. isosticha*, *S. quadruplicata* and *S. cooperi* (see Supplementary Table S1). To date, no cladistics-based phylogenetic hypotheses have been proposed for this clade. The most recurrent view [28, 32] is that *S. praesulcata* were the rootstock of all siphonodellids (Fig. 2) of the late Devonian and early Mississippian (Carboniferous). The corresponding evolutionary radiation started first with the appearance of *S. sulcata* at (and possibly marking) the Devonian/Carboniferous boundary, followed by those of *S. bransoni* (*duplicata* morphotype 1) and *S. duplicata*. The latter two species are

considered as the ancestors of all the younger siphonodellids, which emerged during the upper *duplicata* Zone (now *jii* Zone). The material originates from an interval that begins approximately 0.5 My after the Devonian/Carboniferous boundary and extends over 2 My. Locally at the Puech de la Suque section, this period is marked by a regression-transgression cycle, with sediments corresponding to a shallow dip into the photic zone, followed by a slow deepening beyond the photic zone [70]. Globally, the stable oxygen isotope ratios ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) measured on conodont apatite from Europe and Laurentia evidence a mean global negative shift of about one permil from the top of the Hangenberg event to the *quadruplicata* Zone, which corresponds to an average global 4 degree warming of the ocean waters [26]. This global warming is associated with a coeval 1.5 permil drop of the stable carbon isotope ratio ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) as measured on carbonates [26].

The Triassic material, housed in the collections of the Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra « A. Desio » (Università degli Studi di Milano) and at the Department of Geosciences (University of Padova), is from the Pizzo Mondello section, located in the Sicani Mountains, Western Sicily, Italy. The elements belong to seven species, currently arranged in four genera: *Carnepigondolella pseudodiebeli*, and *C. zoeae*; *Hayashiella tuvalica*; *Epigondolella quadrata*, *E. rigoi* and *E. uniformis*; and *Metapolygnathus communisti* (Supplementary Table S1). We follow here the cladistics-based phylogenetic model proposed by Mazza et al. [33]. *Paragondolella polygnathiformis* and *P. praelindae* were presumably the only two species to survive the Carnian Pluvial event. They gave rise to the genera *Carnepigondolella* and *Norigondolella*. *Carnepigondolella* itself appears to be a polyphyletic group that would have branched into, on one hand *Metapolygnathus* and, on the other hand, *Epigondolella*. Recently, Kilic et al. [71] reassigned '*Carnepigondolella tuvalica*' and '*Carnepigondolella carpathica*' to a new genus *Hayashiella*, from which, in their view, all carnepigondolellids stemmed. Yet, this is not supported by the analysis of Mazza et al. [33] as *Hayashiella* would appear polyphyletic too. Alternatively, it might be convenient (although not optimal) to group the carnepigondolellids (including *Hayashiella*) leading to *Metapolygnathus* on one hand and the ones leading to *Epigondolella* on the other, as two distinct paraphyletic groups (coined here '*Carnepigondolella*' 1 and '*Carnepigondolella*' 2). Based on abundant material from Black Bear Ridge, British Columbia, Canada, Orchard proposed a different taxonomical approach to Carnian-Norian 'platform' conodonts [72, 73], which initially rendered

comparisons with conodonts from Pizzo Mondello very challenging. In a more recent work Orchard [34] suggested that many taxa previously considered as endemic to Black Bear Ridge or to Pizzo Mondello were in fact shared by the two localities and he proposed numerous synonymies. Because the generic classification of Orchard emphasises somewhat arbitrarily (but possibly rightfully so) the configuration of the anterior platform margins over platform shape, posterior ornament, relative blade-carina length, and pit position, we have preferred here the arguably more agnostic cladistic approach adopted by Mazza et al. [33]. It is noteworthy that despite the differences in taxonomic approaches, the same evolutionary trends are common at both localities ([34], p. 54). The studied interval, ranging from the *P. polygnathiformis* Zone to the *E. rigoi-E. quadrata* Zone, spans the Carnian-Norian boundary (CNB, Late Triassic). This interval corresponds to a major conodont turnover subsequent to the Carnian Pluvial Event [74, 75]. The rocks of the Pizzo Mondello section record a less than one permil positive shift of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ at the base of the CNB interval [74, 76]. Although there is no local evidence for any change in seawater temperatures or in sea level (see also [77]), a positive shift of 1.5‰ has been reported by Trotter et al. [27] in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal at the wider scale of the sub-tropical Tethys, evidencing a presumed global 6°C cooling of the oceans (see also [78]).

All studied elements are considered adult forms following the growth stages as defined and illustrated by [36] and [31] respectively. Since most authors adopt a typological approach, and although the morphological variation within a given species is likely to evolve in time, we assume here that any significant evolutionary change within a species would have led authors to define a new species. In other words, we assume that the herein estimated intraspecific variation (and hence the P_{max}) of the considered species approximate accurately the 'true' intraspecific variation of those taxa, despite being based on one location and for some species on one horizon only (Supplementary Table S1). This is a relatively strong hypothesis but it is in our view justified by the large temporal and taxonomic breadth of our study.

In order to assess the validity of the observed evolutionary patterns across the corresponding evolutionary lineages, we considered additionally the holotypes of all the species belonging to the considered genera, as based on the considered phylogenies (Fig. 2), irrespective of whether they were present or not in our collections. In those rare cases

where the illustrations of the holotypes available from the literature were not appropriate for our analysis (e.g. broken specimens), we selected alternative, well-preserved, complete specimens from the literature (see details in Supplementary Table S2).

Digitization

All the elements were glued on wooden sticks and digitised at 1 μ m cubic voxel resolution using a Phoenix nanotomeS X-ray microtomograph (μ CT, AniRA-Immos platform, SFR Biosciences, UMS 3444, ENS Lyon). The element surfaces were reconstructed in 3D and pictures were taken in a standardised aboral view (Fig. 1) using the Amira[®] software (version 6.3.0). For comparison purposes, dextral elements were mirrored into virtual sinistral ones and lumped together. No systematic differences between sinistral and dextral elements were found after this transformation according to a multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, p-value<0.0001).

Geometric morphometrics

Throughout the life of a conodont, the feeding elements were not shed and replaced as in polyphyodont vertebrates, but retained and grown/repared via outer-apposition of new growth lamellae [79, 58]. This mode of growth, which resembles that of ganoid scales in fish, implies for instance gradual addition --and sometimes fusion-- of denticles, and renders the definition of biologically homologous parts and hence the quantitative comparison of conodonts elements particularly challenging [80, 81]. To quantify the shape of the elements, we adopted a landmark-based approach using TPSDig 2.0 [82]: five landmarks were digitised that correspond to the anterior and posterior extremities of the elements, the growth centre of the element (the so-called pit), and the antero-lateral extremities of the platform (the so-called geniculation points). Two sets of ten equally-distributed sliding landmarks were also digitised on the platform margins between the geniculation points and the posterior extremity (Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. S10). Ornamentation features may be critical for distinguishing between two closely related species but it is challenging to quantify those in a way that would be relevant for comparison between Carboniferous and Triassic forms. Hence, ornamentation was not taken into account in the present study. All measured individuals were subjected to a generalised full Procrustes superimposition using the two sets of landmarks in TPSRelw [83]. This procedure allows to standardise the

configurations of landmarks for scale and orientation. The Procrustes coordinates (individual residuals to the resulting consensus) were used as shape variables in the subsequent analyses. Deformations along the axes of the Principal Components Analysis (PCA) were visualised using the 'Geometry/Landmarks/PCA' function in PAST ([37], version 4.05).

Statistics

The Procrustes coordinates were analysed using a PCA on the variance-covariance matrix using the above mentioned function in PAST [37]. Only the axes explaining more than 5% of variance were considered significant and included in subsequent analysis (see Supplementary Figs. S3-S4). An option in that software allows to assess within-group variation, where the average within each group is subtracted prior to eigenanalysis, essentially removing the differences between groups. For the general case ('Disregard groups' option), 1000 iterations were computed using the bootstrap option. Shape differences between genera were tested using a PERMANOVA (non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance based on 9999 permutations) and associated pairwise post-hoc tests. Following protocol from [38], P-max is estimated as the reduced major axes (RMA) of each species, and was calculated on PC1 and PC2 of the total dataset without holotypes. This regression is specifically formulated to handle errors in both the x and y variables by minimising the sum of the areas (thus using both vertical and horizontal distances of the data points from the resulting line) [84]. Slopes differences were tested using the χ^2 test for multiple comparison of RMA slopes available in PAST. The significance of the phylogenetic structure of the morphospace has been tested using a permutation test against the null hypothesis of no phylogenetic signal (1000 iterations) using the MorphoJ software [85]. Temporal trends were tested using the non-parametric Mann-Kendall test (as implemented in the 'Timeseries' module of PAST, [37]) and correlations were assessed using Pearson (Linear r) and Spearman's D statistics (as implemented in the 'Univariate' module of PAST, [37]). The trend is documented against ranked temporal position for the CN fauna at the generic level and for the DC siphonodellids at the species level (under two slightly different ways of classifying DC taxa). In both cases those sequences are consensual at those levels.

Acknowledgments

We warmly thank Mathilde Bouchet for her assistance with the AniRA-ImOss platform of microtomography (SFR Biosciences, ENS Lyon), and Carlo Corradini for his help with the taxonomy of siphonodellids. This research was supported by a French ANR @RAction grant to NG (project “EvoDevOdonto”).

References

1. Jacob, F., 1977. Evolution and tinkering. *Science*, 196, 1161–1166.
2. Jablonski, D., 2020. Developmental bias, macroevolution, and the fossil record. *Evolution & Development* 22: 103-125.
3. Beldade, P., Koops, K., & Brakefield, P. M., 2002. Developmental constraints versus flexibility in morphological evolution. *Nature*, 416, 844–847.
4. Kavanagh, K. D., Shoval, O., Winslow, B. B., Alon, U., Leary, B. P., Kan, A., & Tabin, C. J., 2013. Developmental bias in the evolution of phalanges. *PNAS*, 110, 18190–18195.
5. Schluter, D. 1996. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution* 50:1766-1774.
6. Renaud, S., J.-C. Auffray, and J. Michaux. 2006. Conserved phenotypic variation patterns, evolution along lines of least resistance, and departure due to selection in fossil rodents. *Evolution* 60:1701–1717.
7. Haber, A., 2016. Phenotypic covariation and morphological diversification in the ruminant skull. *American Naturalist*, 187, 576–591.
8. Hunt, G., 2007. Evolutionary divergence in directions of high phenotypic variance in the ostracode genus *Poseidonamicus*. *Evolution*, 61: 1560-1576.
9. Morris, Z.S., Vliet, K.A., Abzhanov, A., Pierce, S.E., 2019. Heterochronic shifts and conserved embryonic shape underlie crocodylian craniofacial disparity and convergence. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 286: 20182389.
10. Urdy, S., Wilson, L.A., Haug, J.T., Sánchez-Villagra, M.R., 2013. On the unique perspective of paleontology in the study of developmental evolution and biases. *Biological Theory* 8, 293–311.
11. McNamara, K.J., 2012. Heterochrony: The evolution of development. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 5, 203–218.
12. Solovjev, A. N., 2015). Heterochrony and heterotopy in the phylogeny of sea urchins. *Paleontological Journal*, 49, 1582–1596.
13. Gerber, S., 2011. Comparing the differential filling of morphospace and allometric space through time: the morphological and developmental dynamics of Early Jurassic ammonoids. *Paleobiology*, 37, 369–382.
14. Monnet, C., Klug, C., & De Baets, K., 2015. Evolutionary patterns of ammonoids: Phenotypic trends, convergence, and parallel evolution. In C. Klug, D. Korn, K. De Baets, I. Kruta, & R. H. Mapes (Eds.), *Ammonoid paleobiology* (pp. 95–142). The Netherlands: Springer.
15. Aldridge, R.J., Briggs, D.E.G., Smith, M.P., Clarkson, E.N.K., Clark, N.D.L., 1993. The anatomy of conodonts. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 340, 405–421.
16. Donoghue, P.C., Forey, P.L., Aldridge, R.J., 2000. Conodont affinity and chordate phylogeny. *Biological Reviews* 75, 191–251.

17. Du, Yixing, Chiari, M., Karádi, V., Nicora, A., Onoue, T., Pálffy, J., Roghi, G., Tomimatsu, Y., Rigo, M., 2020. The asynchronous disappearance of conodonts: New constraints from Triassic-Jurassic boundary sections in the Tethys and Panthalassa. *Earth Science Reviews* 203, 103176.
18. Rigo, M., Mazza, M., Karádi, V., Nicora, A., 2018. New Upper Triassic Conodont Biozonation of the Tethyan Realm, in: *The Late Triassic World*. Springer, pp. 189–235.
19. Donoghue, P.C., Purnell, M.A., 1999. Mammal-like occlusion in conodonts. *Paleobiology* 25(1), 58-74.
20. Romano, C., Goudemand, N., Vennemann, T. et al. Climatic and biotic upheavals following the end-Permian mass extinction. *Nature Geoscience* 6, 57–60 (2013).
21. Roopnarine, P. D., 2005. The likelihood of stratophenetic-based hypotheses of genealogical succession. *Special Papers in Palaeontology*, 73, 143-157.
22. Barnett, S.G., 1972. The evolution of *Spathognathodus remscheidensis* in New York, New Jersey, Nevada, and Czechoslovakia. *Journal of Paleontology* 900–917.
23. Girard, C., Renaud, S., Korn, D., 2004. Step-wise morphological trends in fluctuating environments: evidence in the Late Devonian conodont genus *Palmatolepis*. *Geobios* 37, 404–415.
24. Jones, D., 2009. Directional Evolution in the Conodont *Pterospathodus*. *Paleobiology*, 35(3), 413-431.
25. Renaud, S., Girard, C., 1999. Strategies of survival during extreme environmental perturbations: evolution of conodonts in response to the Kellwasser crisis (Upper Devonian). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 146, 19–32.
26. Buggisch, W., Joachimski, M.M., Sevastopulo, G., Morrow, J.R., 2008. Mississippian $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and conodont apatite $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records—their relation to the Late Palaeozoic Glaciation. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 268, 273–292.
27. Trotter, J.A., Williams, I.S., Nicora, A., Mazza, M., Rigo, M., 2015. Long-term cycles of Triassic climate change: a new $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record from conodont apatite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 415, 165–174.
28. Sweet, W.C., 1988. *The Conodonta: morphology, taxonomy, paleoecology, and evolutionary history of a long-extinct animal phylum*. Clarendon Press Oxford.
29. Corradini, C., Spaletta, C., Mossoni, A., Matyja, H., & Over, D., 2017. Conodonts across the Devonian/Carboniferous boundary: A review and implication for the redefinition of the boundary and a proposal for an updated conodont zonation. *Geological Magazine*, 154(4), 888-902.
30. Mazza, M., Rigo, M., Gullo, M., 2012. Taxonomy and biostratigraphic record of the Upper Triassic conodonts of the Pizzo Mondello section (western Sicily, Italy), GSSP candidate for the base of the Norian. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* 118, 85–130.
31. Mazza, M., Martinez-Perez, C., 2015. Unravelling conodont (Conodonta) ontogenetic processes in the Late Triassic through growth series reconstructions and X-ray microtomography. *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana* 54, 161–186.
32. Sandberg, C.A., Ziegler, W., Leuteritz, K., Brill, S.M., 1978. Phylogeny, speciation, and zonation of *Siphonodella* (Conodonta, upper Devonian and lower Carboniferous). *Newsletters on Stratigraphy* 102–120.
33. Mazza, M., Cau, A., Rigo, M., 2012. Application of numerical cladistic analyses to the Carnian–Norian conodonts: a new approach for phylogenetic interpretations. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 10, 401–422.

34. Orchard, M.J., 2019. The Carnian-Norian GSSP candidate at Black Bear Ridge, British Columbia, Canada: update, correlation and conodont taxonomy. *Albertiana*, vol. 45, 50–68.
35. Donoghue, P.C.J., Purnell, M.A., Aldridge, R.J., Zhang, S.X., 2008. The interrelationships of 'complex' conodonts (Vertebrata), *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, 6:2, 119–153.
36. Zhuravlev, A. V., Plotitsyn, A. N., Cígler, V., & Kumpan, T., 2021. Taxonomic notes on some advanced Tournaisian (Mississippian) siphonodellids (Conodonta). *Geobios*, 64, 93–101.
37. Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis—*Palaeontologia Electronica* 4: 9pp.
38. Guenser, P., Souquet, L., Dolédec, S., Mazza, M., Rigo, M., & Goude mand, N., 2019. Deciphering the roles of environment and development in the evolution of a Late Triassic assemblage of conodont elements. *Paleobiology*, 45(3), 440–457.
39. Bachmann, K., 1969. Temperature adaptations of amphibian embryos. *The American Naturalist* 103, 115–130.
40. Blaxter, J., 1969. Development: Eggs and Larvae, in: *Fish Physiology*. Elsevier, pp. 177–252.
41. Brown, H.A., 1967. Embryonic temperature adaptations and genetic compatibility in two allopatric populations of the spadefoot toad, *Scaphiopus hammondi*. *Evolution* 21, 742–761.
42. Brown, H.A., 1975. Temperature and development of the tailed frog, *Ascaphus truei*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 50, 397–405.
43. Brown, H.A., 1976. The time–temperature relation of embryonic development in the northwestern salamander, *Ambystoma gracile*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 54, 552–558.
44. Kuramoto, M., 1975. Embryonic temperature adaptation in development rate of frogs. *Physiological Zoology* 48, 360–366.
45. McLaren, I.A., 1965. Some relationships between temperature and egg size, body size, development rate, and fecundity, of the copepod *Pseudocalanus*. *Limnology and Oceanography* 10, 528–538.
46. Huss, M, Lindmark, M, Jacobson, P, van Dorst, RM, Gårdmark, A. 2019. Experimental evidence of gradual size-dependent shifts in body size and growth of fish in response to warming. *Global Change Biology* 25, 2285– 2295.
47. Bizuayehu, T.T., Johansen, S.D., Puvanendran, V., Toften, H., Babiak, I., 2015. Temperature during early development has long-term effects on microRNA expression in Atlantic cod. *BMC genomics* 16, 305.
48. Hollowed, A.B., Barange, M., Beamish, R.J., Brander, K., Cochrane, K., Drinkwater, K., Foreman, M.G., Hare, J.A., Holt, J., Ito, S., 2013. Projected impacts of climate change on marine fish and fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 70, 1023–1037.
49. Petitgas, P., Rijnsdorp, A.D., Dickey-Collas, M., Engelhard, G.H., Peck, M.A., Pinnegar, J.K., Drinkwater, K., Huret, M., Nash, R.D., 2013. Impacts of climate change on the complex life cycles of fish. *Fisheries Oceanography* 22, 121–139.
50. Rowiński, P.K., Mateos-Gonzalez, F., Sandblom, E., Jutfelt, F., Ekström, A., Sundström, L.F., 2015. Warming alters the body shape of European perch *Perca fluviatilis*. *Journal of fish biology* 87, 1234–1247.
51. Girard, C., Renaud, S., and Feist, R., 2007. Morphometrics of the Late Devonian conodont genus *Palmatolepis*: phylogenetic, geographical and ecological contributions of a generic approach, *J. Micropalaeontol.*, 26, 61–72.

52. Girard, C., Renaud, S., 2008. Disentangling allometry and response to Kellwasser anoxic events in the Late Devonian conodont genus *Ancyrodella*. *Lethaia* 41, 383–394.
53. Renaud, S., Ecalte, B., Claisse, P., Charruault, A., Ledevin, R., & Girard, C., 2020. Patterns of bilateral asymmetry and allometry in Late Devonian *Polygnathus* conodonts. *Palaeontology*.
54. Balter, V., Renaud, S., Girard, C., Joachimski, M.M., 2008. Record of climate-driven morphological changes in 376 Ma Devonian fossils. *Geology* 36, 907–910.
55. Streelman, J.T., Webb, J.F., Albertson, R.C. & Kocher, T.D. 2003. The cusp of evolution and development: a model of cichlid tooth shape diversity. *Evolution & Development* 5: 600–608.
56. Ward-Campbell, B.M.S., Beamish, F.W.H. & Kongchaiya, C. 2005. Morphological characteristics in relation to diet in five coexisting Thai fish species. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 67: 1266–1279.
57. Racki, G., Racka, M., Matyja, H., Devleeschouwer, X., 2002. The Frasnian–Famennian boundary interval in the South Polish–Moravian shelf basins: integrated event-stratigraphical approach. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 181, 251–297.
58. Shirley, B., Grohganz, M., Bestmann, M., Jarochovska, E., 2018. Wear, tear and systematic repair: testing models of growth dynamics in conodonts with high-resolution imaging. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 285.
59. Ginot, S., Goudemand, N., 2020. Global climate changes account for the main trends of conodont diversity but not for their final demise. *Global and Planetary Change*, 195, 103325.
60. Petryshen, W., Henderson, C. M., De Baets, K., & Jarochovska, E., 2020. Evidence of parallel evolution in the dental elements of *Sweetognathus* conodonts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 287(1939), 20201922.
61. Spicer, J.I., Burggren, W.W., 2003. Development of physiological regulatory systems: altering the timing of crucial events. *Zoology* 106: 91–99.
62. Leu, M., Bucher, H., Goudemand, N., 2019. Clade-dependent size response of conodonts to environmental changes during the late Smithian extinction, *Earth-Science Reviews* 195: 52-67, 10.
63. Voje, K. L., Hansen, T. F., Egset, C. K., Bolstad, G. H., & Pélabon, C., 2014. Allometric constraints and the evolution of allometry. *Evolution*, 68, 866–885.
64. Chen, Y., Neubauer, T.A., Krystyn, L. and Richoz, S., 2016. Allometry in Anisian (Middle Triassic) segminiplanate conodonts and its implications for conodont taxonomy. *Palaeontology*, 59: 725-741.
65. Hansen, T. F., & Houle, D., 2008. Measuring and comparing evolvability and constraint in multivariate characters. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 21(5):1201-19.
66. Milocco, L. and Salazar-Ciudad, I., 2020. Is evolution predictable? Quantitative genetics under complex genotype-phenotype maps. *Evolution*, 74: 230-244.
67. Alberch, P., 1980. Ontogenesis and morphological diversification. *American zoologist* 20, 653–667.
68. Polly, P. D., 2008. Developmental dynamics and G-matrices: Can morphometric spaces be used to model phenotypic evolution? *Evolutionary Biology*, 35, 83–96.
69. Brombacher, A., Wilson, P. A., Bailey, I., & Ezard, T. H. G., 2017. The breakdown of static and evolutionary allometries during climatic upheaval. *The American Naturalist*, 190, 350–362.

70. Girard, C., 1994. Conodont biofacies and event stratigraphy across the D/C boundary in the stratotype area (Montagne Noire, France). *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* 168, 299–309.
71. Kiliç, A.M., Plasencia, P., Ishida, K., Hirsch, F., 2015. The case of the Carnian (Triassic) conodont genus *Metapolygnathus* Hayashi. *Journal of Earth Science* 26, 219–223.
72. Orchard, M.J. 2013. Five new genera of conodonts from the Carnian-Norian Boundary beds, northeast British Columbia, Canada. In, Tanner, L.H., Spielman, and Lucas, S.G. (eds.), *The Triassic System: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin* 61: 445–457.
73. Orchard, M.J., 2014. Conodonts from the Carnian-Norian Boundary (Upper Triassic) of Black Bear Ridge. *New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin* 64.
74. Mazza, M., Furin, S., Spötl, C., Rigo, M., 2010. Generic turnovers of Carnian/Norian conodonts: Climatic control or competition? *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 290, 120–137.
75. Rigo, M., Preto, N., Roghi, G., Tateo, F., Mietto, P., 2007. A rise in the carbonate compensation depth of western Tethys in the Carnian (Late Triassic): deep-water evidence for the Carnian Pluvial Event. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 246, 188–205.
76. Muttoni, G., Kent, D.V., Olsen, P.E., Stefano, P.D., Lowrie, W., Bernasconi, S.M., Hernández, F.M., 2004. Tethyan magnetostratigraphy from Pizzo Mondello (Sicily) and correlation to the Late Triassic Newark astrochronological polarity time scale. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 116, 1043–1058.
77. Rigo, M., Trotter, J.A., Preto, N., Williams, I.S., 2012. Oxygen isotopic evidence for Late Triassic monsoonal upwelling in the northwestern Tethys. *Geology* 40, 515–518.
78. Sun, Y.D., Orchard, M.J., Kocsis, Á.T., Joachimski, M.M., 2020. Carnian–Norian (Late Triassic) climate change: Evidence from conodont oxygen isotope thermometry with implications for reef development and Wrangellian tectonics. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 534.
79. Purnell, M.A., 1994. Skeletal ontogeny and feeding mechanisms in conodonts. *Lethaia* 27, 129–138.
80. Hogancamp, N.J., Barrick, J.E., Strauss, R.E., 2016. Geometric morphometric analysis and taxonomic revision of the Gzhelian (Late Pennsylvanian) conodont *Idiognathodus simulator* from North America. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 61, 477–502.
81. Jones, D.O., Purnell, M.A., 2006. A new semi-automatic morphometric protocol for conodonts and a preliminary taxonomic application. In N. Macleod (Ed.), *Automated object identification in systematics: theory, approaches, and applications* (pp. 239 - 259). CRC Press.
82. Rohlf, F.J., 2010a. tpsDig v2. 16. Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York.
83. Rohlf, F.J., 2010b. tpsRelw, relative warps analysis. Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY.
84. Harper, W.V., 2016. Reduced Major Axis Regression. In *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online* (eds N. Balakrishnan, T. Colton, B. Everitt, W. Piegorisch, F. Ruggeri and J.L. Teugels). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat07912>.
85. Klingenberg, C.P., 2011. MorphoJ: an integrated software package for geometric morphometrics. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11, 353–357.

List of figures:

Figure 1. Anatomy of ozarkodinid segminiplanate elements and location of the traits of interest. Oral, lateral, and aboral views of a P1 element of *Siphonodella cooperi* (left, Carboniferous) and a P1 element of *Epigondolella rigoi* (right, Late Triassic). The location of the used landmarks and sliding landmark curves are indicated in red.

Figure 2. Phylogeny of the DC siphonodellids (left) and CN gondolellids (right). Modified respectively after [32] and [33]. S. : *Siphonodella* ; P. : *Paragondolella* ; N. : *Norigondolella* ; H. : *Hayashiella* ; C. : *Carnepigondolella* ; M. : *Metapolygnathus* ; E. : *Epigondolella*. Both *Hayashiella* and *Carnepigondolella* appear as polyphyletic. The stars designate species sampled in this study.

Figure 3. Principal component analysis of the morphologies of segminiplanate elements at the DC and CN boundaries. Scatterplots of the considered specimens on the PC1-PC2 plane (A), and PC3-PC4 plane (B) of the morphospace. Each species is coded by a distinct colour. The poorer data of *S. isosticha* and *S. quadruplicata* are not displayed here but available in the Supplement. The ellipses correspond to 95% of the variance of the corresponding species. In both planes, the ellipses appear aligned with one another, suggesting conservation of the patterns of intraspecific variation over large temporal and phylogenetic scales. C: Thin-plate-splines deformation plots of each of the four significant principal components relative to the consensus shape (left). Black lines connect landmarks to visualise reconstructed shape at given PC values. The hot-cold colour scale represents the expansion factors, the arrows represent the deformation vectors from consensus to given PC value (see [37]).

Figure 4. Growth series for *Paragondolella noah* (left), *Epigondolella uniformis* (centre) and *Siphonodella cooperi* (right). Modified after Mazza and Martinez-Perez [31] and Zhuravlev et al. [36].

Figure 5. The direction of the heterochronic shifts at DC and CN boundaries may have been controlled by ocean temperature changes. Evolution at both boundaries parallels the PC2 axis (see Fig. 3 and Supplement). Ontogenetic growth also parallels the PC2 axis (see Fig. 4), whereby low PC2 values correspond to developmentally younger specimens and high PC2 values to older specimens. The peramorphocline of DC siphonodellids corresponds to a global warming, the paedomorphocline of CN taxa to a global cooling, hence higher values of PC2 and mature forms correspond to higher temperatures.

List of Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table S1. List of the studied material: specific determination, age, stratigraphic location (sample number), and number of specimens.

Supplementary Table S2. List of considered holotypes or alternative representative specimens retrieved from literature, with corresponding reference. *Siphonodella bransoni* was not included for lack of suitable illustration in literature.

Supplementary Table S3: Principal Component Analysis. Principal components eigenvalues and associated percentage of explained variance for (1) the entire dataset with holotypes; (2) dataset without holotypes; (3) within group variation of dataset without holotypes; (4) between group variation of dataset without holotypes.

Supplementary Figure S4. Principal Component Analysis (Full dataset without the holotypes). Scatterplot in the PC1-PC2 plane with convex hulls.

Supplementary Figure S5. Principal Component Analysis (Full dataset with the holotypes). Scatterplot in the PC1-PC2 plane with 95% ellipses. Holotypes are represented by different symbols. Holotypes from sampled species are coloured. One symbol for each genera: + : *Carnepigondolella*, square: *Hayashiella*, losange: *Epigondolella*, star: *Metapolygnatus*, triangle: *Norigondolella*, filled square: *Paragondolella*, filled inverted triangles: *Siphonodella*.

Supplementary Figure S6. Alignment of the Pmax.

Supplementary Figure S7. Principal Component Analysis (Full dataset without the holotypes). Within-group variation. Scatterplot in the PC1-PC2 plane with convex hulls.

Supplementary Figure S8. Principal Component Analysis. Between-group variation. Same conditions as Figure S7. Top: Scatterplot in the PC1-PC2 plane with convex hulls. Bottom: Thin-plate-splines deformation plots of each of the two significant principal components relative to the consensus shape (left).

Supplementary Figure S9. Principal Component Analysis of the dataset limited to the platform contour. A: Thin-plate-splines deformation plots of each of the four significant principal components relative to the consensus shape (left). **B: Correlations between contour deformations and the distance of the pit to the posterior margin.** The matrices present the correlations between each pair of parameters. The correlation values are given in the lower triangle, whereas the two-tailed probabilities that they are uncorrelated (p-value) are given in the upper triangle. $PC4_{\text{contour}}$ is strongly correlated with the pit location. R^2 : R squared, square of the sample correlation coefficient.

Supplementary Figure S10. Landmarks designation. Numerotation of all landmarks on an element in aboral view. True landmarks are in red, semi-landmarks (from curves) are in black.

Supplementary Figure S11: Phylomorphospace. Same conditions as Figure S7. PC1 and 2. Point representing each species is positioned at its centroid. Phylogenetic tree is represented with grey lines.

Supplementary File S12: Trends in PC2 scores.

References

Balini, M., Bertinelli, A., Di Stefano, P., Guaiumi, C., Levera, M., Mazza, M., Muttoni, G., Nicora, A., Preto, N., Rigo, M., 2010. The late Carnian-Rhaetian succession at Pizzo Mondello (Sicani Mountains). *Albertiana* 39, 36–57.

- Branson, E. B., & Mehl, M. G., 1934. Conodonts from the Bushberg Sandstone and equivalent formations of Missouri. *University of Missouri Studies*, 8(1-4), 1-350.
- Cígler, V., Kumpan, T., 2017. Conodont fauna of Lower Tournaisian in the Lesní lom Quarry (Brno-Líšeň). *Geological research*, 24(1-2). doi: 10.5817/GVMS2017-1-2-35.
- Cooper, C. L., 1939. Conodonts from a Bushberg-Hannibal horizon in Oklahoma. *Journal of Paleontology*, 379-422.
- Hass, W.H., 1959. Conodonts from the Chappel Limestone of Texas. U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper, 294-J: 385–399.
- Hayashi, S., 1968. The Permian conodonts in chert of the Adoyama Formation, Ashio mountains, central Japan. *Earth Science* 22, 63–77.
- Klapper, G., 1966. Upper Devonian and Lower Mississippian conodont zones in Montana, Wyoming, and South Dakota. Lawrence: The University of Kansas, Paleontological Contributions, Paper 3, 43 pp.
- Klapper, G., 1971. *Patrognathus* and *Siphonodella* (Conodonta) from the Kinderhookian (Lower Mississippian) of western Kansas and south-western Nebraska State. Lawrence: Geological Survey of Kansas, Bulletin 202, Part 3, 14 pp.
- Klapper, G., 1975. *Siphonodella*. *Catalogue of Conodonts Volume II*, W. Ziegler, 404.
- Kozur, H., 1972. Die Conodontengattung *Metapolygnathus* (Hayashi, 1968) und ihr stratigraphischer Wert. Institut für Geologie und Paläontologie.
- Mazza, M., Furin, S., Spötl, C., Rigo, M., 2010. Generic turnovers of Carnian/Norian conodonts: Climatic control or competition? *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 290, 120–137.
- Mazza, M., Rigo, M., Nicora, A., 2011. A new *Metapolygnathus* platform conodont species and its implications for Upper Carnian global correlations. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 56, 121–131.
- Mazza, M., Cau, A., Rigo, M., 2012a. Application of numerical cladistic analyses to the Carnian–Norian conodonts: a new approach for phylogenetic interpretations. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 10, 401–422.
- Mazza, M., Rigo, M., Gullo, M., 2012b. Taxonomy and biostratigraphic record of the Upper Triassic conodonts of the Pizzo Mondello section (western Sicily, Italy), GSSP candidate for the base of the Norian. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* 118, 85–130.
- Mock, R., 1979. *Gondolella carpathica* n. sp., eine wichtige tuvalische Conodontenart. *Geol. Paläont. Mitt.* Innsbruck 9, 171–174.
- Moix, P., Kozur, H.W., Stampfli, G.M., Mostler, H., 2007. New paleontological, biostratigraphical and paleogeographic results from the Triassic of the Mersin Mélange, SE Turkey. *The Global Triassic. New Mexico Museum of Natural History Science Bulletin* 41, 282–311.
- Noyan, Ö.F., Kozur, H.W., 2007. Revision of the late Carnian–early Norian conodonts from the Stefanion section (Argolis, Greece) and their palaeobiogeographic implications. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Abhandlungen* 245, 159–178.
- Orchard, M.J., 1991. Upper Triassic conodont biochronology and new index species from the Canadian Cordillera. *Bulletin of the Geological Survey of Canada* 417, 299–335.

Rigo, M., Preto, N., Roghi, G., Tateo, F., Mietto, P., 2007. A rise in the carbonate compensation depth of western Tethys in the Carnian (Late Triassic): deep-water evidence for the Carnian Pluvial Event. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 246, 188–205.

Rigo, M., Mazza, M., Karádi, V., Nicora, A., 2018. New Upper Triassic Conodont Biozonation of the Tethyan Realm, in: *The Late Triassic World*. Springer, pp. 189–235.

Sandberg, C. A., Streepl, M., Scott, R. A. 1972. Comparison between conodont zonation and spore assemblages at the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary in the western and central United States and in Europe. *Compte Rendu 7ème Congres International de Stratigraphie et de Géologie du Carbonifère, Krefeld 1971*, 179-203.

Schönlaub, H. P., 1969. Conodonten aus dem Oberdevon und Unterkarbon des Kronhofgrabens (Karnische Alpen, Österreich). *Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, 112(2), 321-354.