

Editorial: Interview with the translational apparatus: stories of intriguing circuits and mechanisms to regulate translation in bacteria, volume II

Anna Maria Giuliodori, Paola Londei, Stefano Marzi

▶ To cite this version:

Anna Maria Giuliodori, Paola Londei, Stefano Marzi. Editorial: Interview with the translational apparatus: stories of intriguing circuits and mechanisms to regulate translation in bacteria, volume II. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2023, 14, pp.1195257. 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1195257. hal-04220491

HAL Id: hal-04220491 https://hal.science/hal-04220491

Submitted on 27 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Biswarup Mukhopadhyay, Virginia Tech, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Anna Maria Giuliodori annamaria.giuliodori@unicam.it Stefano Marzi s.marzi@bmc-cnrs.unistra.fr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 28 March 2023 ACCEPTED 26 May 2023 PUBLISHED 13 June 2023

CITATION

Giuliodori AM, Londei P and Marzi S (2023) Editorial: Interview with the translational apparatus: stories of intriguing circuits and mechanisms to regulate translation in bacteria, volume II. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1195257. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1195257

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Giuliodori, Londei and Marzi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Interview with the translational apparatus: stories of intriguing circuits and mechanisms to regulate translation in bacteria, volume II

Anna Maria Giuliodori^{1*†}, Paola Londei^{2†} and Stefano Marzi^{3*†}

¹School of Biosciences and Veterinary Medicine, University of Camerino, Camerino, Italy, ²Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Rome Sapienza, Rome, Italy, ³Architecture et Reactivite de l'ARN, CNRS 9002, Universite de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

KEYWORDS

translation, regulation, gene expression, cold adaptation, nutrient balance

Editorial on the Research Topic

Interview with the translational apparatus: stories of intriguing circuits and mechanisms to regulate translation in bacteria, volume II

Bacteria are continuously subjected to a variety of stressors, including fluctuations in temperature, pH, nutrient availability, salt and oxygen concentration, which can cause genetic reprogramming and alteration in cell metabolism, growth and survival. By modulating protein levels, translational control provides a swift and accurate response to cope with and react rapidly to these diverse stressors.

In the previous Research Topic of "Interview with the Translational Apparatus: Stories of Intriguing Circuits and Mechanisms to Regulate Translation in Bacteria" we compiled several manuscripts that highlighted the molecular diversity of bacterial translation regulation and the various techniques employed to study it (Giuliodori and Marzi, 2021). For Volume II, we have included four additional original research articles that examine the regulation of translation in *Escherichia coli* under stressful conditions such as cold acclimatization, oxidative stress, and variations of intracellular phosphate concentration. These articles identify and describe the different elements that play a role in regulating gene expression at the translational level in one of the most extensively studied model organisms. Understanding the mechanisms by which bacteria respond to stress can help to better understand their biology, as well as to develop new strategies for controlling bacterial populations in various environments.

Enterobacteriaceae frequently encounter temperature changes as they move from the intestinal to external habitats. The sudden decrease in temperature triggers the cold-shock response, which involves an adaptation period, during which mesophilic bacteria, such as *E. coli*, stop growing and block or reduce the synthesis of many macromolecules. This phase sees a temporarily increase in the expression of a specific group of genes, referred to as cold-shock genes. Unlike other regulons, cold-shock gene expression is mainly regulated post-transcriptionally, either by modulating transcript half-life or by controlling translation efficiency (for a review see Giuliodori, 2016; Zhang and Gross, 2021).

Giuliodori et al. investigate the role of CspA, a key cold-shock protein in *E. coli*, during translation at low temperature, using molecular biology techniques such as reconstituted translation systems and probing methods. In a previous study, the authors demonstrated that the translation of *cspA* mRNA is facilitated by a thermosensor element that opens the ribosome binding site during cold-shock and makes it less accessible at $37^{\circ}C$ (Giuliodori et al., 2010). The present research builds upon these findings and demonstrates that CspA specifically promotes the translation of *cspA* mRNA folded in the conformation that is less accessible to the ribosome. The mechanism by which CspA promotes translation is by facilitating the ribosomes' progression from translation initiation to elongation (Giuliodori et al.). The study suggests that this structure-dependent mechanism may also occur for other cold-shock genes targeted by CspA.

Bacteria inhabiting the intestine often also experience changes in the intracellular pool of phosphate (Pi) due to dietary variations and the aforementioned transition from the intra to the extraintestinal environment. To respond rapidly to these fluctuations, bacteria have developed a regulatory circuit consisting of a phosphate-specific transport system, encoded by the *pstSCABphoU* genes, and the PhoR-PhoB two-component system. The PhoR transmembrane sensor histidine kinase detects Pi limitation and activates PhoB, the response regulator, which controls the expression of the genes containing a Pho box (for a review see Gardner and McCleary, 2019). Furthermore, Pi starvation activates the synthesis of (p)ppGpp, resulting in a decrease in rRNA and tRNA synthesis.

The article from Espinosa et al., investigates factors and mechanisms that downregulate protein synthesis in response to phosphate starvation in *E. coli*. Through the use of radiolabeling, the authors show that phosphate starvation leads to a decrease in total cellular RNA, primarily due to a reduction in rRNA, while protein synthesis continues, albeit at a reduced rate, before eventually stopping. To understand why this occurs, the authors compared the protein synthesis rate in *E. coli* cells before and after phosphate starvation, with and without the overproduction of an induced and long-lived mRNA that is highly translated. The data analysis suggests that the reduced amounts of mRNAs is the limiting factor for protein synthesis during phosphate starvation, which may be an adaptive response to conserve resources.

Bacteria have developed defense systems against reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide (O_2 -) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) to protect their cellular components. Oxidative stress activates various stress responses, which depend on the type of ROS and microorganism (for a review see Fasnacht and Polacek, 2021). In gram-negative bacteria, H_2O_2 typically induces the expression of the transcriptional factor OxyR, which regulates about thirty stress genes. In *E. coli*, an increase in O_2 - activates SoxR and then SoxS, which controls the transcription of about 25 genes. Alongside these regulons, oxidative stress also affects tRNA, ribosomes, and factors, resulting in a significant impact on the traditional translation process.

Leiva et al. reported that oxidative stress affects both translation initiation and elongation in *E. coli*. To examine the impact of oxidative stress on translation, the authors developed 61 GFP reporter constructs, each containing a unique sequence of four repeated amino acids inserted between the fourth and fifth codons of the GFP coding region. The system enabled the authors to demonstrate that codon choice influences GFP translation when *E. coli* K-12 cells are grown in minimal media, but not when they are exposed to oxidative stress. In this latter condition GFP expression is overall reduced and the rate of translation initiation influences the speed of protein synthesis more than under normal conditions.

The original research carried out by Chen et al. aims to explore the impact of different 5'UTR sequences on gene expression regulation in *E. coli*. It is known that mRNA 5' UTR are regulatory-hubs switching on and off translation or modulating the expression of several genes. The team assessed both rate of synthesis and mRNA stability of a large collection of constructs containing synthetic 5'UTR sequences, differing at the level of the ribosome binding site and linked to various reporter genes. Their findings showed that the predicted theoretical translation initiation rate did not accurately reflect protein levels for most of the synthetic 5'UTRs. Furthermore, several 5'UTRs were found to affect mRNA stability in a reporter gene-dependent manner.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and by the French National Research Agency ANR (ANR-21-CE12-0030-01 to SM and ANR-10-LABX-0036 NETRNA).

Acknowledgments

We kindly thank all contributors, authors as well as reviewers, for their precious time, interesting ideas and input for this Research Topic published in the section of Microbial Physiology and Metabolism in Frontiers in Microbiology.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Fasnacht, M., and Polacek, N. (2021). Oxidative stress in bacteria and the central dogma of molecular biology. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 8, 671037. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.671037

Gardner, S. G., and McCleary, W. R. (2019). Control of the *phoBR* regulon in *Escherichia coli. EcoSal Plus* 8, 2. doi: 10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0006-2019

Giuliodori, A. M. (2016). "Cold-shock response in Escherichia coli: a model system to study post-transcriptional regulation," in. *Stress and Environmental Regulation of Gene Expression and Adaptation in Bacteria*, ed de Bruijn F.J. (Wiley-Blackwell, New Jersey, USA), 859–872.

Giuliodori, A. M., Di Pietro, F., Marzi, S., Masquida, B., Wagner, R., Romby, P., et al. (2010). The *cspA* mRNA is a thermosensor that modulates translation of the cold-shock protein CspA. *Mol. Cel.* 37, 21–33. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2009. 11.033

Giuliodori, A. M., and Marzi, S. (2021). Editorial: interview with the translational apparatus: stories of intriguing circuits and mechanisms to regulate translation in bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 707354. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.707354

Zhang, Y., and Gross, C. A. (2021). Cold shock response in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet. 55, 377–400. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-071819-031654