



HAL
open science

Explicit Riemann-Roch spaces in the Hilbert class field

Jean-Marc Couveignes, Jean Gasnier

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Marc Couveignes, Jean Gasnier. Explicit Riemann-Roch spaces in the Hilbert class field. 2024. hal-04219975v2

HAL Id: hal-04219975

<https://hal.science/hal-04219975v2>

Preprint submitted on 22 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Explicit Riemann-Roch spaces in the Hilbert class field

Jean-Marc Couveignes and Jean Gasnier

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbf{K} be a finite field, X and Y two curves over \mathbf{K} , and $Y \rightarrow X$ an unramified abelian cover with Galois group G . Let D be a divisor on X and E its pullback on Y . Under mild conditions the linear space associated with E is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. We study the algorithmic aspects and applications of these modules.

1. Introduction

Given a curve Y over a field \mathbf{K} , and two divisors E and Q on Y , with Q effective and disjoint from E , the evaluation map $e : H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y(-Q))$ is a natural \mathbf{K} -linear datum of some importance for various algorithmic problems such as efficient computing in the Picard group of Y (see [28, 29]), constructing good error correcting codes [16, 18, 49], or bounding the bilinear complexity of multiplication in finite fields [46, 45, 3, 4, 9, 38]. Assume that G is a finite group of automorphisms of Y/\mathbf{K} , and the divisors E and Q are G -equivariant (they are equal to their pullback by any element of G). The evaluation map e is then a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear map between two $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules. In some cases these modules can be shown to be both free. Their rank as $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules is then smaller than their dimension as \mathbf{K} -vector spaces, by a factor \mathfrak{o} , the order of G . This is helpful when G is commutative, because multiplication in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ is achieved in quasi-linear time using a discrete Fourier transform, and the advantage of lowering dimension is stronger than the disadvantage of dealing with a larger ring of scalars. We will focus on free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules arising from commutative groups acting freely on a curve. This special case has a rich mathematical background and produces interesting constructions. For example Theorem 3 states the existence of excellent algebraic geometry codes that can be encoded in quasi-linear time and decoded in quasi-quadratic time in their length.

In Section 2 we review elementary properties of $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules when \mathbf{K} is a commutative field and G a finite group. We recall in Section 3 how unramified fibers of Galois covers of curves produce free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules and we introduce natural bases for these modules. We study the abelian unramified case in Section 4. Theorem 1 states that in this case, the Riemann-Roch space associated to a G -equivariant divisor of large enough degree is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. Evaluating at another G -equivariant divisor then produces a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear map between two free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules. This makes it possible to treat evaluation and interpolation

as $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear problems. We introduce the matrices associated to these problems. Section 5 is devoted to the definition and computation of Padé approximants in this context. The complexity of arithmetic operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ is bounded in Section 6 using various classical discrete Fourier transforms. Theorem 2 states that the complexity of multiplication in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ is quasi-linear when G is commutative. In Section 7 we use effective class field theory and the algorithmics of curves and jacobian varieties to compute the evaluation and interpolation matrices introduced in Section 4. Section 8 is concerned with two applications of interpolation with $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules: multiplication in finite fields and geometric codes. The asymptotic properties of the codes constructed in this way are studied in Section 9. We thank the anonymous referee for their comments and suggestions. The calculation in Section 7.2 has been implemented using SageMath (Version 9.4) the Sage Mathematics Software System [48].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Duality for $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules	3
2.1. Invariant bilinear forms	3
2.2. Orthogonality	4
2.3. The dual of a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module	4
2.4. Free submodules of a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module	5
3. Curves with a group action	5
3.1. The residue ring of a non-ramified fiber	5
3.2. The residue ring of a non-ramified G -equivariant divisor	6
3.3. Duality	7
4. Free commutative actions	7
4.1. Special invariant divisors	7
4.2. Riemann-Roch spaces	8
4.3. The orthogonal submodule	9
5. Padé approximants	10
5.1. The split case	11
5.2. Computing Padé approximants	12
6. Computing in the group algebra	13
6.1. Fourier transforms	13
6.2. Univariate Fourier transforms	14
6.3. Multivariate Fourier transforms	15
6.4. Fast multiplication in $\mathbf{K}[G]$	15
7. Constructing functions in the Hilbert class field	17
7.1. Class field theory and the jacobian variety	17
7.2. An example	18
8. Interpolation on algebraic curves	20
8.1. The complexity of multiplication in finite fields	21
8.2. Geometric codes	21
8.3. Basic decoding	23
9. Good geometric codes with quasi-linear encoding	24
9.1. Controlling the class group and the Artin map	24
9.2. A construction	25
References	27

2. Duality for $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules

In this section \mathbf{K} is a commutative field and G is a finite group. We state elementary properties of $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules and their duals. In Section 2.1 we describe the natural correspondence between G -invariant \mathbf{K} -bilinear forms and $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -bilinear forms. We see in Section 2.2 that the orthogonal of a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -submodule for either form is the same. Section 2.3 is concerned with the canonical bilinear form relating a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module and its dual. The ring $\mathbf{K}[G]$ has the Frobenius property [13, Chapter IX]. We recall in Section 2.4 a convenient consequence of it.

2.1. Invariant bilinear forms. Let M be a right $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. Let N be a left $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. Let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times N \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$$

be a \mathbf{K} -bilinear form. We assume that this form is invariant by the action of G in the sense that

$$\langle m.\sigma, n \rangle = \langle m, \sigma.n \rangle$$

for every m in M , n in N , and σ in G . We define a map

$$(1) \quad (\cdot, \cdot) \quad : \quad N \times M \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}[G]$$

$$n, m \longmapsto (n, m) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\sigma^{-1}, n \rangle \sigma$$

PROPOSITION 1. *The map (\cdot, \cdot) in Equation (1) is $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -bilinear.*

PROOF. Indeed for any τ in G , m in M , and n in N

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau.n, m) &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\sigma^{-1}, \tau.n \rangle \sigma \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1}, \tau.n \rangle \tau\sigma \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\sigma^{-1}, n \rangle \tau\sigma \\ &= \tau \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\sigma^{-1}, n \rangle \sigma \\ &= \tau(n, m). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (n, m.\tau) &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\tau\sigma^{-1}, n \rangle \sigma \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\tau\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}, n \rangle \sigma\tau \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle m.\sigma^{-1}, n \rangle \sigma\tau \\ &= (n, m)\tau. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. Orthogonality. In the situation of Section 2.1 we consider a right submodule U of the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module M . Call

$$U^\perp = \{n \in N \mid \langle U, n \rangle = 0\}$$

the orthogonal to U in N for the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ form. This is a \mathbf{K} -vector space. Since U is stable by the action of G , its orthogonal U^\perp is a left $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. And U^\perp is the orthogonal to U for the (\cdot, \cdot) form:

$$U^\perp = \{n \in N \mid (n, U) = 0\}.$$

We consider similarly a left $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -submodule V of N and let

$$V^\circ = \{m \in M \mid \langle m, V \rangle = 0\}$$

be the orthogonal to V in M for the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ form. This is a right $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. And V° is the orthogonal to V for the (\cdot, \cdot) form:

$$V^\circ = \{m \in M \mid (V, m) = 0\}.$$

We have $U \subset (U^\perp)^\circ$ and $V \subset (V^\circ)^\perp$. These inclusions are equalities when M and N are finite dimensional and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is perfect.

2.3. The dual of a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. Let N be a left $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. We can see N as a \mathbf{K} -vector space and let \hat{N} be its dual. This is a right $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. For every φ in \hat{N} and σ in G we set $\varphi.\sigma = \varphi \circ \sigma$. We consider the canonical \mathbf{K} -bilinear form defined by

$$\langle \varphi, n \rangle = \varphi(n)$$

for every n in N and φ in \hat{N} . For every σ in G we have

$$\langle \varphi.\sigma, n \rangle = \varphi(\sigma.n) = \langle \varphi, \sigma.n \rangle$$

so $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is invariant by G . Following Section 2.1 we define a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -bilinear form

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : N \times \hat{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}[G]$$

by

$$(2) \quad (n, \varphi) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \varphi(\sigma^{-1}.n)\sigma.$$

We define a map from \hat{N} to the dual \tilde{N} of N as a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module, by sending φ to the map

$$(3) \quad \varphi^G : n \mapsto (n, \varphi).$$

We prove that this map is a bijection. First $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^G$ is trivially seen to be an injection. As for surjectivity, let $\psi : N \rightarrow \mathbf{K}[G]$ be a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear map. Writing

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \psi_\sigma(n)\sigma$$

we define a \mathbf{K} -linear coordinate form ψ_σ on N for every σ in G . We deduce from the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linearity of ψ that $\psi_\sigma(n) = \psi_1(\sigma^{-1}.n)$ where $1 \in G$ is the identity element. So $\psi(n) = (n, \psi_1)$ for every n in N . So $\psi = (\psi_1)^G$.

2.4. Free submodules of a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. The ring $\mathbf{K}[G]$ may not be semisimple. Still free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -submodules of finite rank are direct summands.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let G be finite group, \mathbf{K} a commutative field, and N a left $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. Let V a submodule of N . If V is free of finite rank then it is a direct summand: there exists a submodule W of N such that $N = V \oplus W$. Such a W is called a complementary submodule to V .*

PROOF. Let r be the rank of V . Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r be a basis of V . Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_r$ be the dual basis. For every i such that $1 \leq i \leq r$, the coordinate form $\varphi_{i,1}$ associated to the identity element 1 in G belongs to \hat{V} . Let ψ_i be a \mathbf{K} -linear form on N whose restriction to V is $\varphi_{i,1}$. Let $\psi_i^G \in \hat{N}$ be the associated $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear form according to Equations (2) and (3). The restriction of ψ_i^G to V is $\varphi_{i,1}^G$ and this is φ_i . The map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi & : & N & \longrightarrow & V \\ & & n & \longmapsto & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \psi_i^G(n) \cdot v_i \end{aligned}$$

is a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear projection onto V . Its kernel is a complementary $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -submodule to V . \square

Proposition 2 is a consequence of the Frobenius property which is known to be satisfied by $\mathbf{K}[G]$. See [13, Chapter IX]. The proof above provides an algorithm to compute a complementary module.

3. Curves with a group action

Let \mathbf{K} be a commutative field. Let p be the characteristic of \mathbf{K} . Let X and Y be two smooth, projective, absolutely integral curves over \mathbf{K} . Let g_X be the genus of X and let g_Y be the genus of Y . Let $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ be a Galois cover with Galois group G . Let \mathfrak{o} be the order of G . There is a natural left action of G on $\mathbf{K}(Y)$ defined by

$$(4) \quad \sigma.f = f \circ \sigma^{-1} \quad \text{for } f \in \mathbf{K}(Y) \text{ and } \sigma \in G.$$

There is a natural right action of G on meromorphic differentials defined by

$$(5) \quad \omega.\sigma = \sigma^*\omega \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{K}(Y)/\mathbf{K}} \text{ and } \sigma \in G.$$

These are $\mathbf{K}(X)$ -linear actions. And the two actions are compatible in the sense that

$$(6) \quad (\omega.\sigma)(\sigma^{-1}.f) = (\omega f).\sigma$$

We study some free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules that arise naturally in this context.

3.1. The residue ring of a non-ramified fiber. Let P be a prime divisor (a place) on X . Let t_P be a uniformizing parameter at P . Let

$$a = \deg(P).$$

This is the degree over \mathbf{K} of the residue field

$$\mathbf{K}_P = H^0(P, \mathcal{O}_P) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{O}_X(-P)).$$

We assume that τ is not ramified above P and let Q_1 be a place above P . Let G_1 be the decomposition group of Q_1 . This is the stabilizer of Q_1 in G . Places above P are parametrized by left cosets in G/G_1 . We write the fiber above P

$$Q = \sum_{\sigma \in G/G_1} Q_\sigma \quad \text{with} \quad Q_\sigma = \sigma(Q_1).$$

Let

$$b = [G : G_1]$$

be the number of places above P and let

$$c = \mathfrak{o}/b = |G_1|$$

be the residual degree, that is the degree of

$$\mathbf{K}_\sigma = H^0(Q_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_{Q_\sigma})$$

over \mathbf{K}_P for all $\sigma \in G/G_1$. Let

$$\mathbf{R}_Q = H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y(-Q))$$

be the residue ring at Q . We have

$$\mathbf{R}_Q = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/G_1} \mathbf{K}_\sigma.$$

The action of G on \mathbf{R}_Q makes it a free left $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank a . Indeed it is a free $\mathbf{K}_P[G]$ -module of rank 1. A basis for it consists of any normal element θ in $\mathbf{K}_1/\mathbf{K}_P$.

If m is a positive integer, Taylor expansion provides an isomorphism of modules over $\mathbf{K}_P[G]$

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y(-mQ)) \simeq \mathbf{R}_Q[t_P]/t_P^m$$

between the residue ring at mQ and the ring of truncated series in t_P . So the former is a free left $\mathbf{K}_P[G]$ -module of rank m . A basis for it is made of the θt_P^k for $0 \leq k < m$.

3.2. The residue ring of a non-ramified G -equivariant divisor. We take P an effective divisor on X . We assume that τ does not ramify above P and let Q be the pullback of P by τ . We write

$$P = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq I} m_i P_i.$$

Let t_i be a uniformizing parameter at P_i . Let a_i be the degree of the place P_i . Let b_i be the number of places of Y above P_i . Let $c_i = \mathfrak{o}/b_i$. For every $1 \leq i \leq I$ we choose a place $Q_{i,1}$ above P_i and let $G_{i,1}$ be the decomposition group at $Q_{i,1}$. Let Q_i be the pullback of P_i by τ and write

$$(7) \quad Q_i = \sum_{\sigma \in G/G_{i,1}} Q_{i,\sigma} \quad \text{with} \quad Q_{i,\sigma} = \sigma(Q_{i,1})$$

its decomposition as a sum of b_i places. Let $\mathbf{K}_{i,\sigma}$ be the residue field at $Q_{i,\sigma}$. We denote by \mathbf{A} the residue algebra $H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q)$. Taylor expansion induces an isomorphism of \mathbf{K} -algebras

$$\mathbf{A} = H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y(-Q)) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^I \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/G_{i,1}} \mathbf{K}_{i,\sigma}[t_i]/t_i^{m_i}$$

which is compatible with the left actions of G as defined by Equations (4) and (7). In the special case when all the places P_i have degree one, a basis for $H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q)$ as a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module is made of the $\theta_i t_i^{k_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq I$ and $0 \leq k_i < m_i$ where θ_i is a normal element in the extension $\mathbf{K}_{i,1}/\mathbf{K}$. The proposition below follows from the discussion in this section and the previous one.

PROPOSITION 3. *Assume the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 3. Let P be an effective divisor on X . Assume that τ is not ramified above P and let Q be the pullback of P by τ . The residue ring $H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q)$ is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank the degree of P .*

3.3. Duality. We need a dual of \mathbf{A} as a \mathbf{K} -vector space. We set

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} = H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}(-Q)/\Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^I \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/G_{i,1}} (\mathbf{K}_{i,\sigma}[t_i]/t_i^{m_i}) \frac{dt_i}{t_i^{m_i}}.$$

For $f \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\omega \in \hat{\mathbf{A}}$ we write $\langle \omega, f \rangle$ for the sum of the residues of ωf at all the geometric points of Q . This is a \mathbf{K} -bilinear form. We deduce from Equation (6) that this form is invariant by the action of G

$$\langle \omega \cdot \sigma, f \rangle = \langle \omega, \sigma \cdot f \rangle$$

We define a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -bilinear form using the construction in Section 2.1

$$(8) \quad (f, \omega) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \langle \omega \cdot \sigma^{-1}, f \rangle \sigma \in \mathbf{K}[G].$$

These two bilinear forms turn $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ into the dual of \mathbf{A} as a \mathbf{K} -vector space (resp. as a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module). In the special case when all the places P_i have degree one, the dual basis to the basis introduced before Proposition 3 is made of the $\mu_i t_i^{-k_i} dt_i/t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq I$ and $0 \leq k_i < m_i$ where μ_i is the dual to the normal element θ_i in the extension $\mathbf{K}_{i,1}/\mathbf{K}$.

4. Free commutative actions

We study the situation at the beginning of Section 3 in the special case when the Galois cover $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ is abelian and unramified. We prove that large enough equivariant Riemann-Roch spaces are free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules. To this end we prove in Section 4.2 that evaluation at some fibers induces an isomorphism with one of the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules studied in Section 3.2. We need a criterion for an equivariant divisors on Y to be non-special. We recall such a criterion in Section 4.1. We introduce in Section 4.3 the evaluation, interpolation and checking matrices whose existence follows from the freeness of the considered modules.

4.1. Special invariant divisors. The pullback by τ of a degree $g_X - 1$ divisor on X is a degree $g_Y - 1$ divisor on Y according to the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. We need a criterion for the latter divisor to be special. We will say that a divisor class is *effective* if it contains an effective divisor. When the degree of the class is the genus of the curve minus one, being effective is equivalent to being special.

PROPOSITION 4. *Assume the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 3 with τ abelian and unramified and \mathbf{K} algebraically closed. Write $\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}_p \times \mathfrak{o}_{p'}$ where \mathfrak{o}_p is the largest power of p dividing \mathfrak{o} . Let c be a divisor class of degree $g_X - 1$ on X and*

let $\tau^*(c)$ be its pullback on Y . If the class $\tau^*(c)$ is effective then c is the sum of an effective class of degree $g_X - 1$ and a class of degree 0 annihilated by τ^* and by $\mathfrak{o}_{p'}$.

PROOF. From [11, §14]. Let D be a divisor in c and let E be the pullback of D by τ . We assume that $\tau^*(c)$ is effective. The space $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$ is non-zero and is acted on by G . Recall that a finite set of commuting endomorphisms of a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field has a common eigenvector. Let f be such an eigenvector for the action of G . The divisor of f is $J - E$ where J is effective and stable under the action of G . So there exists an effective divisor I on X such that J is the pullback of I by τ . And the class of $I - D$ is annihilated by τ^* . It is also annihilated by $\mathfrak{o}_{p'}$ because $f^{\mathfrak{o}_{p'}}$ is invariant by G . \square

4.2. Riemann-Roch spaces. Let E be a divisor on Y defined over \mathbf{K} and invariant by G . The Riemann-Roch space $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$ is a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module. This module is free provided the degree of E is large enough.

THEOREM 1. *Assume the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 3 with τ abelian and unramified. Let D be a divisor on X with degree $\geq 2g_X - 1$. Let E be the pullback of D by τ . The \mathbf{K} -vector space $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$ is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank $\deg(D) - g_X + 1$.*

PROOF. The statement is empty if $g_X = 0$. We assume that $g_X \geq 1$. Because of the Noether-Deuring theorem [8, §2, Section 5], we can assume that \mathbf{K} is algebraically closed. Let $k = \deg(D) - g_X + 1$. We note that $k \geq g_X$. By dimension count, there exist k points

$$P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k \text{ on } X$$

such that the class of $D - P_1 - P_2 - \dots - P_k$ is not the sum of an effective class of degree $g_X - 1$ and a class annihilated by

$$\tau^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y).$$

Indeed every divisor class of degree $g_X - 1$ contains a divisor of the form $D - P_1 - P_2 - \dots - P_k$ because k is greater than or equal to the dimension g_X of Pic^{g_X-1} . On the other hand the set of effective classes of degree $g_X - 1$ has dimension $g_X - 1$. And the kernel of τ^* is finite. So the set of bad classes has codimension 1 in Pic^{g_X-1} .

Let P be the divisor sum of all P_i and let Q be its pullback by τ . According to Proposition 4 the class of $E - Q$ is ineffective. Thus the evaluation map

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)/\mathcal{O}_Y(E - Q)) \simeq H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q)$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules. Proposition 3 then implies that $H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q)$ is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank k . \square

When the degree of D is smaller than $2g_X - 1$ it is not granted that $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$ is free. We mention two useful partial results.

PROPOSITION 5. *Assume the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 3 with τ abelian and unramified. Assume that p does not divide \mathfrak{o} . Let D be a divisor on X with degree $\geq g_X$. Let E be the pullback of D by τ . Then $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$ contains a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank $\deg(D) - g_X + 1$.*

PROOF. The ring $\mathbf{K}[G]$ is semi-simple. Let $\mathcal{L}(E) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$. Let m be the smallest among the multiplicities in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ of irreducible representations of G . This is the smallest among the multiplicities of multiplicative characters of G in $\mathcal{L}(E) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{K}}$ where $\bar{\mathbf{K}}$ is an algebraic closure of \mathbf{K} . It is clear that $\mathcal{L}(E)$ contains m copies of the regular representation of G . On the other hand let $\chi : G \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}$ be a multiplicative character. By the normal basis theorem there exists an eigenfunction r in $\bar{\mathbf{K}}(Y)$ associated with χ . The divisor of r is the pullback by τ of a divisor R on X . Let $\mathcal{L}(E)_\chi$ be the eigenspace in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ associated with χ . The map $f \mapsto f/r$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{L}(E)_\chi$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D+R))$. The dimension of the latter is at least $\deg(D) - g_X + 1$. Thus $m \geq \deg(D) - g_X + 1$. \square

We can say something also when G is a p -group and \mathbf{K} a finite field.

PROPOSITION 6. *Assume the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 3 with τ abelian and unramified. Assume that \mathbf{K} is a finite field with at least four elements. Assume that \mathfrak{o} is a power of p . Assume that $g_X \geq 2$. Let $d \geq g_X$ be an integer. Let $r = d - g_X + 1$. Assume that there exists an effective divisor on X with degree r and defined over \mathbf{K} . Then there exists a divisor D on X such that D is defined over \mathbf{K} , D has degree d , and $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$ is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank $r = d - g_X + 1$ where E is the pullback of D by τ .*

PROOF. Set $r = d - g_X + 1$. Let P be an effective divisor on X with degree r and defined over \mathbf{K} . According to [2, Theorem 11] by Ballet and Le Brigand, there exists a degree $g_X - 1$ non-special divisor I defined over \mathbf{K} . Set $D = I + P$. Let E, J , and Q be the pullbacks of D, I , and P by τ . The class of the divisor J is ineffective according to Proposition 4. So the evaluation map $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q)$ is a bijection. And the latter is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module according to Proposition 3. \square

Theorem 1 translates into a similar statement for differentials.

PROPOSITION 7. *Assume the hypotheses at the beginning of Section 3 with τ abelian and unramified. Let D be a divisor on X with $\deg D < 0$. Let E be the pullback of D by τ . The \mathbf{K} -vector space $H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}(E))$ is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank $g_X - 1 - \deg(D)$.*

PROOF. The statement is trivial if $g_X = 0$. We assume that $g_X \geq 1$. Let ω_0 be a non-zero holomorphic differential on X . The pullback of ω_0 on Y by τ is denoted by ω_0 also. The map $\omega \mapsto \omega/\omega_0$ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{K} -vector spaces between $H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}(E))$ and $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((\omega_0) - E))$. According to Equation (6) this isomorphism is compatible with the actions of G on either sides given by Equations (4) and (5). Since the degree of $(\omega_0) - D$ is at least $2g_X - 1$ we can apply Theorem 1 to prove that $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((\omega_0) - E))$ is free and deduce that $H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}(E))$ is free as well. \square

4.3. The orthogonal submodule. In the situation of the beginning of Section 3 and assuming that τ is abelian and unramified we let D and P be divisors on X with P effective. We assume that D and P are disjoint. We assume that

$$(9) \quad 2g_X - 1 \leq \deg(D) \leq \deg(P) - 1.$$

Let E be the pullback of D by τ and let Q be the pullback of P . We write

$$\mathcal{L}(E) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(-Q + E) = H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}(-Q + E)).$$

Theorem 1, Proposition 7, and Equation (9) imply that these two $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules are free. And the evaluation maps

$$\mathcal{L}(E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(-Q + E) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{A}} \quad \text{are injective.}$$

So $\mathcal{L}(E)$ can be seen as a free submodule of \mathbf{A} and $\Omega(-Q + E)$ as a free submodule of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$. For dimension reasons and due to the residue theorem, these two $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules are orthogonal to each other for the form introduced in Equation (8). Proposition 2 implies that $\mathcal{L}(E)$ has a complementary submodule in \mathbf{A} that is isomorphic to the dual of $\Omega(-Q + E)$ and is thus a free submodule. Similarly $\Omega(-Q + E)$ has a free complementary submodule in $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ that is isomorphic to the dual of $\mathcal{L}(E)$.

In the special case when all the places P_i have degree one, we have introduced a natural basis for \mathbf{A} before Proposition 3 and its dual basis $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ in Section 3.3, using Taylor expansions at the places above the P_i .

We choose $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -bases for $\mathcal{L}(E)$ and $\Omega(-Q + E)$. We denote by \mathcal{E}_E the $\deg(P) \times (\deg(D) - g_X + 1)$ matrix with coefficients in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ of the evaluation map $\mathcal{L}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ in the chosen bases. We denote by \mathcal{C}_E the $\deg(P) \times (\deg(P) - \deg(D) + g_X - 1)$ matrix of the map $\Omega(-Q + E) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{A}}$ in the chosen bases. The matrix \mathcal{C}_E checks that a vector in \mathbf{A} belongs to $\mathcal{L}(E)$. Its left kernel is the image of \mathcal{E}_E . So

$$\mathcal{C}_E^t \times \mathcal{E}_E = 0,$$

a zero $(\deg(P) - \deg(D) + g_X - 1) \times (\deg(D) - g_X + 1)$ matrix with entries in $\mathbf{K}[G]$.

We choose a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear projection $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ and denote by \mathcal{I}_E the matrix of this projection. This is a $(\deg(D) - g_X + 1) \times \deg(P)$ matrix with coefficients in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. This is an interpolation matrix since it recovers a function in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ from its evaluation at Q . Equivalently

$$\mathcal{I}_E \times \mathcal{E}_E = 1$$

the $(\deg(D) - g_X + 1) \times (\deg(D) - g_X + 1)$ identity matrix with coefficients in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. We note that applying either of the matrices \mathcal{E}_E , \mathcal{C}_E , \mathcal{I}_E requires at most a constant times $\deg(P)^2$ operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$.

5. Padé approximants

In the situation of the beginning of Section 3 and assuming that τ is abelian and unramified we let D_0 , D_1 and P be divisors on X with P effective. We assume that D_0 and D_1 are disjoint from P . Let E_0 , E_1 , and Q be the pullbacks of D_0 , D_1 , and P by τ . We assume that

$$(10) \quad 2g_X - 1 \leq \deg(D_1) \leq \deg(P) - 1,$$

$$(11) \quad g_X \leq \deg(D_0) \leq \deg(P) - 1.$$

Equation (10) implies that the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules $\mathcal{L}(E_1)$ and $\Omega(-Q + E_1)$ are free and the evaluation maps into \mathbf{A} and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ are injective. We assume that $\mathcal{L}(E_0)$ contains a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank $\deg(D_0) - g_X + 1$ and denote by $\mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\text{fr}}$ such a submodule.

Given r in \mathbf{A} , $a_0 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{L}(E_0)$ and a_1 in $\mathcal{L}(E_1)$ such that

$$a_0 r - a_1 = 0 \in \mathbf{A},$$

we say that (a_0, a_1) is a **Padé approximant** of r and we say that a_0 is a **denominator** for r . Denominators for r are non-zero a_0 in $\mathcal{L}(E_0) \subset \mathbf{A}$ such that

$$a_0 r \in \mathcal{L}(E_1).$$

Equivalently

$$(12) \quad (a_0 r, \omega) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega(-Q + E_1).$$

Denominators are thus non-zero solutions of a \mathbf{K} -linear system of equations. We note that this is not a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear system in general because multiplication by r is not $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear. In Section 5.1 we show that one can be a bit more explicit in some cases. We consider the problem of computing Padé approximants in Section 5.2.

5.1. The split case. Assume that $P = P_1 + \cdots + P_n$ is a sum of n pairwise distinct rational points over \mathbf{K} . Assume that the fiber of τ above each P_i decomposes as a sum of \mathfrak{o} rational points over \mathbf{K} . We choose a point $Q_{i,1}$ above each P_i and set

$$Q_{i,\sigma} = \sigma(Q_{i,1}) \quad \text{for every } \sigma \in G.$$

For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ let α_i be the function in \mathbf{A} that takes value 1 at $Q_{i,1}$ and zero everywhere else. We thus form a basis

$$\mathcal{A}_G = (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$$

of \mathbf{A} over $\mathbf{K}[G]$. We note $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_G$ its dual basis. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sigma \in G$ let

$$\alpha_{i,\sigma} = \sigma.\alpha_i = \alpha_i \circ \sigma^{-1}$$

be the function in \mathbf{A} that takes value 1 at $Q_{i,\sigma}$ and zero everywhere else. We thus form a basis

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}} = (\alpha_{i,\sigma})_{1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma \in G}$$

of \mathbf{A} over \mathbf{K} . The coordinates of r in the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -basis \mathcal{A}_G are

$$r_G = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in G} r(Q_{i,\sigma}) \sigma \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$$

and the coordinates of $r \in \mathbf{A}$ in the \mathbf{K} -basis $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}$ are

$$r_{\mathbf{K}} = (r(Q_{i,\sigma}))_{1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma \in G}.$$

Multiplication by r is a \mathbf{K} -linear map from \mathbf{A} to \mathbf{A} . Let

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{o}.n, \mathfrak{o}.n}(\mathbf{K})$$

be the $\mathfrak{o}.n \times \mathfrak{o}.n$ diagonal matrix of this map in the basis $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}$.

We choose a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -basis \mathcal{Z}_G for $\mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\mathfrak{fr}}$ and denote by \mathcal{E}_G^0 the $\deg(P) \times (\deg(D_0) - g_X + 1)$ matrix of the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -linear injective map

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\mathfrak{fr}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

in the bases \mathcal{Z}_G and \mathcal{A}_G . We denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{K}}$ the \mathbf{K} -basis of $\mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\mathfrak{fr}}$ obtained by letting G act on \mathcal{Z}_G . Let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{K}}^0$ be the matrix of the map (13) in the bases $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}$. The matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{K}}^0$ is obtained from \mathcal{E}_G^0 by replacing each $\mathbf{K}[G]$ entry by the corresponding $\mathfrak{o} \times \mathfrak{o}$ circulant-like matrix with entries in \mathbf{K} .

Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_G$ be the basis of the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$, dual to \mathcal{A}_G . We choose a $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -basis \mathcal{U}_G for $\Omega(-Q + E_1)$ and denote by \mathcal{C}_G^1 the matrix of the injective map

$$(14) \quad \Omega(-Q + E_1) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{A}}$$

in the bases \mathcal{U}_G and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_G$. This is a $\deg(P) \times (\deg(P) - \deg(D_1) + g_X - 1)$ matrix with entries in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{K}}$ be the \mathbf{K} -basis of $\Omega(-Q + E_1)$ obtained by letting G

act on \mathcal{U}_G . Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ be the basis of the \mathbf{K} -vector space $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$, dual to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}$. The matrix of the map (14) in the bases $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is called $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{K}}^1$.

Let a_0 in $\mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\mathfrak{fr}}$ and let x_G be the coordinates of a_0 in the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -basis \mathcal{Z}_G . This is a column of height $\deg(D_0) - g_X + 1$. We let $x_{\mathbf{K}}$ be the coordinates of a_0 in the \mathbf{K} -basis $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{K}}$. This is a column of height $\mathfrak{o} \cdot (\deg(D_0) - g_X + 1)$ obtained from x_G by replacing each entry by its \mathfrak{o} coefficients in the canonical basis of $\mathbf{K}[G]$. We deduce from Equation (12) that a_0 is a denominator for r if and only if $x_{\mathbf{K}}$ is in the kernel of the matrix

$$\mathcal{D}_r = (\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{K}}^1)^t \times \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{K}} \times \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{K}}^0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{o} \cdot (\deg P - \deg D_1 + g_X - 1) \times \mathfrak{o} \cdot (\deg D_0 - g_X + 1)}(\mathbf{K}).$$

PROPOSITION 8. *Assume that we are in the context of the beginning of Section 5. In particular assume Equations (10) and (11), assume that P is a sum of n pairwise distinct \mathbf{K} -rational points, and that the n corresponding fibers of τ split over \mathbf{K} . Assume that we are given the matrices $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{K}}^0$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{K}}^1$. On input an $r = (r(Q_{i,\sigma}))_{1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma \in G}$ in \mathbf{A} and some a_0 in $\mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\mathfrak{fr}}$, given by its coordinates $x_{\mathbf{K}}$ in the basis $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{K}}$, one can check if $a_0 r \in \mathcal{L}(E_1)$ at the expense of $\mathcal{Q} \cdot n^2$ operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ (addition, multiplication) and $\mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot n$ operations in \mathbf{K} (addition, multiplication) where \mathcal{Q} is some absolute constant.*

PROOF. We first multiply $x_{\mathbf{K}}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{K}}^0$ or rather x_G by \mathcal{E}_G^0 . This requires less than $2 \deg(P) \times (\deg(D_0) - g_X + 1)$ operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. We then multiply the result by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$. This requires less than $\mathfrak{o} \cdot \deg(P)$ operations in \mathbf{K} because $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{K}}$ is diagonal. We finally multiply the result by $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{K}}^1)^t$ or rather $(\mathcal{C}_G^1)^t$. This requires less than $2 \deg(P) \times (\deg(P) - \deg(D_1) + g_X - 1)$ operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. \square

5.2. Computing Padé approximants. According to Proposition 8 one can efficiently check a denominator. As a consequence, one can find a random denominator, assuming that there is some in $\mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\mathfrak{fr}}$, using an iterative method as in [53, 25]. Recall that an $\ell \times n$ **black box** matrix A with coefficients in a field \mathbf{K} is an oracle that on input an $n \times 1$ vector x returns Ax .

PROPOSITION 9 (Wiedemann, Kaltofen, Saunders). *There exists a probabilistic (Las Vegas) algorithm that takes as input an $\ell \times n$ black box matrix A and an $\ell \times 1$ vector b with entries in a finite field \mathbf{K} and returns a uniformly distributed random solution x to the system $Ax = b$, if there is some, with probability of success $\geq 1/2$ at the expense of $\mathcal{Q} \cdot m \cdot \log m$ calls to the black box for A and $\mathcal{Q} \cdot m^2 \cdot (\log(m))^2$ operations in \mathbf{K} (addition, multiplication, inversion, picking a random element) where \mathcal{Q} is some absolute constant and $m = \max(\ell, n)$.*

Using Proposition 9 and bounding the cost of a call to the black box with the help of Proposition 8 we deduce

PROPOSITION 10. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 8 and on input a vector $r = (r(Q_{i,j}))_{i,j}$ in \mathbf{A} one can find a uniformly distributed random denominator for r , if there is some in $\mathcal{L}(E_0)_{\mathfrak{fr}}$, with probability of success $\geq 1/2$, at the expense of $\mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot n^3 \cdot \log(\mathfrak{o} \cdot n)$ operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ (addition, multiplication) and $\mathcal{Q} \cdot (\mathfrak{o} \cdot n \cdot \log(\mathfrak{o} \cdot n))^2$ operations in \mathbf{K} (addition, multiplication, inversion, picking a random element) where \mathcal{Q} is some absolute constant.*

Once we have found a denominator a_0 for r we set $a_1 = ra_0$ and recover the coordinates of a_1 applying the interpolation matrix associated to E_1 .

6. Computing in the group algebra

Given a finite commutative group G and a finite field \mathbf{K} we will need efficient algorithms to multiply in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. This is classically achieved using a discrete Fourier transform when G is cyclic and \mathbf{K} contains enough roots of unity. The complexity analysis requires some care in general. This is the purpose of this section. We recall in Section 6.1 the definition of the Fourier transform in the setting of commutative finite groups. The most classical case of cyclic groups is studied in Section 6.2 from an algorithmic point of view. The general case follows by induction as explained in Section 6.3. The complexity of the resulting multiplication algorithm in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ is bounded in Section 6.4.

6.1. Fourier transforms. Let G be a finite commutative group. Let \mathfrak{o} be the order of G . Let e be its exponent. Let \mathbf{K} be a commutative field containing a primitive e -th root of unity. In particular e and \mathfrak{o} are non-zero in \mathbf{K} . Let \hat{G} be the dual of G defined as the group of characters $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^*$. We define a map from the group algebra of G to the algebra of functions on G

$$\begin{aligned} \top & : & \mathbf{K}[G] & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(G, \mathbf{K}) \\ & & \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \sigma & \longmapsto \sigma \mapsto a_{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

This is an isomorphism of \mathbf{K} -vector spaces. We let $\perp : \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(G, \mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}[G]$ be the reciprocal map. We dually define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\top} & : & \mathbf{K}[\hat{G}] & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K}) \\ & & \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} a_{\chi} \chi & \longmapsto \chi \mapsto a_{\chi} \end{aligned}$$

and its reciprocal map $\hat{\perp}$. We let

$$\iota_G : \mathbf{K}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}[G]$$

be the \mathbf{K} -linear involution that maps σ onto σ^{-1} . We define the Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FT}_G & : & \mathbf{K}[G] & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K}) \\ & & \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \sigma & \longmapsto \chi \mapsto \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

The Fourier transform evaluates an element in the group algebra at every character. The Fourier transform of the dual group

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FT}_{\hat{G}} & : & \mathbf{K}[\hat{G}] & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(G, \mathbf{K}) \\ & & \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} a_{\chi} \chi & \longmapsto \sigma \mapsto \sum_{\chi} a_{\chi} \chi(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

provides an inverse for FT_G in the sense that

$$\perp \circ \text{FT}_{\hat{G}} \circ \hat{\perp} \circ \text{FT}_G = \mathfrak{o} \cdot \iota_G$$

is the \mathbf{K} -linear invertible map that sends σ to $\mathfrak{o} \cdot \sigma^{-1}$.

Let M be a finite dimensional \mathbf{K} -vector space. We set

$$M[G] = M \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}[G]$$

and note that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, M) = M \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K}).$$

We define a Fourier transform on M

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FT}_M & : & M[G] & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, M) \\ & & \sum_{\sigma \in G} m_\sigma \otimes \sigma & \longmapsto & \chi \mapsto \sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma) m_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

It turns a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module into a free $\text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K})$ -module.

6.2. Univariate Fourier transforms. We assume in this section that the group G is cyclic of order \mathfrak{o} . We choose a primitive \mathfrak{o} -th root of unity ω in \mathbf{K} . We choose a generator in \hat{G} and deduce the following identifications

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{K}^{\mathfrak{o}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{K}[G] = \mathbf{K}[x]/(x^{\mathfrak{o}} - 1).$$

Let M be a finite dimensional \mathbf{K} -vector space. Setting

$$M[x] = M \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}[x] \quad \text{and} \quad M[G] = M \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}[x]/(x^{\mathfrak{o}} - 1).$$

the Fourier transform is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FT}_M & : & M[G] & \longrightarrow & M^{\mathfrak{o}} \\ & & m & \longmapsto & (m(1), m(\omega), m(\omega^2), \dots, m(\omega^{\mathfrak{o}-1})) \end{aligned}$$

Given m in $M[G] = M \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}[x]/(x^{\mathfrak{o}} - 1)$ the computation of $\text{FT}_M(m)$ reduces to the multiplication of a polynomial of degree $2\mathfrak{o} - 2$ in $\mathbf{K}[x]$ and a vector of degree $\mathfrak{o} - 1$ in $M[x]$ using formulae by Rabiner, Schafer, Rader, and Bluestein [37, 6].

PROPOSITION 11. *Let \mathbf{K} be a commutative field. Let M be a finite dimensional \mathbf{K} -vector space. Let $\mathfrak{o} \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that \mathbf{K} contains a primitive \mathfrak{o} -th root of unity ω and a primitive root of unity of order a power of two that is bigger than $3\mathfrak{o} - 3$. Let*

$$m = m_0 \otimes 1 + m_1 \otimes x + \dots + m_{\mathfrak{o}-1} \otimes x^{\mathfrak{o}-1} \pmod{x^{\mathfrak{o}} - 1} \in M \otimes_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}[x]/(x^{\mathfrak{o}} - 1).$$

One can compute $\text{FT}_M(m)$ at the expense of $\mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot \log \mathfrak{o}$ additions, multiplications and inversions in \mathbf{K} , additions and scalar multiplications in M , where \mathcal{Q} is an absolute constant.

PROOF. We adapt the notation from [7, I.5.4, Proposition 5.10]. For every $0 \leq i \leq 2\mathfrak{o} - 2$ let

$$t_i = i(i-1)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = \omega^{t_i}.$$

We note that

$$t_{i+1} = t_i + i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{i+1} = \beta_i \omega^i.$$

So one can compute the β_i for $0 \leq i \leq 2\mathfrak{o} - 2$ at the expense of $4\mathfrak{o}$ operations in \mathbf{K} . We then compute the inverse of every β_i . For every $0 \leq i \leq \mathfrak{o} - 1$ let

$$n_i = \beta_i^{-1} m_i.$$

These can be computed at the expense of \mathfrak{o} scalar multiplications in M . Let

$$n(x) = n_{\mathfrak{o}-1} + n_{\mathfrak{o}-2} \otimes x + \dots + n_0 \otimes x^{\mathfrak{o}-1} \in M[x]$$

and let

$$b(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \dots + \beta_{2\mathfrak{o}-2} x^{2\mathfrak{o}-2} \in \mathbf{K}[x].$$

Let

$$r(x) = b(x) \cdot n(x) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3\mathfrak{o}-3} r_i \otimes x^i \in M[x].$$

From the identity

$$t_{i+j} = t_i + t_j + ij$$

we deduce

$$\omega^{ij} \beta_i \beta_j = \beta_{i+j} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq \mathfrak{o} - 1$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\mathfrak{o}-1} \omega^{ij} m_j = \beta_i^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\mathfrak{o}-1} \beta_{i+j} n_j.$$

We deduce that $\text{FT}_M(m) = (\beta_0^{-1} r_{\mathfrak{o}-1}, \beta_1^{-1} r_{\mathfrak{o}}, \beta_2^{-1} r_{\mathfrak{o}+1}, \dots, \beta_{\mathfrak{o}-1}^{-1} r_{2\mathfrak{o}-2})$. Since \mathbf{K} contains a primitive root of unity of order a power of two that is bigger than $3\mathfrak{o} - 3$, the coefficients in the product $r(x) = b(x).n(x)$ can be computed at the expense of $\mathcal{Q}.\mathfrak{o}.\log \mathfrak{o}$ operations in \mathbf{K} , additions in M and products of a vector in M by a scalar in \mathbf{K} . See [7, I.2.4, Algorithm 2.3]. \square

6.3. Multivariate Fourier transforms. Let $(\mathfrak{o}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq I}$ be integers such that $2 \leq \mathfrak{o}_1 | \mathfrak{o}_2 | \dots | \mathfrak{o}_I$. Let $C_i = \mathbf{Z}/\mathfrak{o}_i \mathbf{Z}$ and $G = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq I} C_i$. For $1 \leq i \leq I$ set

$$A_i = \mathbf{K}[C_i] \quad \text{and} \quad B_i = \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{C}_i, \mathbf{K}).$$

For $0 \leq i \leq I$ set

$$M_i = \bigotimes_{j \leq i} B_j \otimes \bigotimes_{j > i} A_j.$$

So $M_0 = \mathbf{K}[G]$ and $M_I = \text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K})$. For $0 \leq i \leq I - 1$ write

$$M_i = \bigotimes_{j \leq i} B_j \otimes \mathbf{K}[C_{i+1}] \otimes \bigotimes_{j > i+1} A_j$$

as a $\mathbf{K}[C_{i+1}]$ -module and let

$$F_i : M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1}$$

be the corresponding Fourier transform as defined in Section 6.2. We check that

$$\text{FT}_G = F_{I-1} \circ F_{I-2} \circ \dots \circ F_0.$$

Using Proposition 11 we deduce

PROPOSITION 12. *Let $(\mathfrak{o}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq I}$ be integers such that $2 \leq \mathfrak{o}_1 | \mathfrak{o}_2 | \dots | \mathfrak{o}_I$. Let $G = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq I} (\mathbf{Z}/\mathfrak{o}_i \mathbf{Z})$. Let \mathfrak{o} be the order of G . Let $e = \mathfrak{o}_I$ be the exponent of G . Let \mathbf{K} be a commutative field containing a primitive root of unity of order e and a primitive root of unity of order a power of two that is bigger than $3e - 3$. Given an element $a = \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma \sigma$ in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ one can compute $\text{FT}_G(a)$ in $\text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K})$ at the expense of $\mathcal{Q}.\mathfrak{o}.\log \mathfrak{o}$ additions, multiplications and inversions in \mathbf{K} . Here \mathcal{Q} is some absolute constant.*

6.4. Fast multiplication in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. Let G, \mathfrak{o}, e be as in Section 6.3. Let \mathbf{K} be a commutative field. In this section we study the algorithmic complexity of computing the product of two given elements

$$(15) \quad a = \sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad b = \sum_{\sigma \in G} b_\sigma \sigma \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{K}[G].$$

It will depend on the field \mathbf{K} . We first treat the case when \mathbf{K} has enough roots of unity.

PROPOSITION 13. *In the context of the beginning of Section 6.4 assume that \mathbf{K} contains a primitive root of unity of order e and a primitive root of unity of order a power of two that is bigger than $3e - 3$. One can compute the product $ab \in \mathbf{K}[G]$ at the expense of $\mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot \log \mathfrak{o}$ operations in \mathbf{K} where \mathcal{Q} is some absolute constant.*

PROOF. We compute $A = \text{FT}_G(a)$ and $B = \text{FT}_G(b)$ as in Section 6.3. We then compute $C = AB$ in $\text{Hom}_{\text{set}}(\hat{G}, \mathbf{K}^*)$ at the expense of \mathfrak{o} multiplications in \mathbf{K} . We then deduce $c = ab$ applying FT_G^{-1} to C . The cost of this computation is bounded using Proposition 12. \square

We now consider the case when \mathbf{K} is $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ where p is a prime integer. We miss roots of unity in \mathbf{K} in general. So we transport the problem into another ring using non-algebraic maps. We let t be the smallest power of 2 that is bigger than $3e - 3$. Let p' be the smallest prime integer congruent to 1 modulo $\mathfrak{o} \cdot (p - 1)^2 \cdot t$. We set $\mathbf{K}' = \mathbf{Z}/p'\mathbf{Z}$ and note that \mathbf{K}' contains a primitive root of unity of order e and a primitive root of order a power of two bigger than $3e - 3$. Also

$$p' > \mathfrak{o} \cdot (p - 1)^2.$$

By a result of Heath-Brown, the exponent in Linnik's theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions can be taken to be $11/2$. See [20] and the recent improvement [54]. We deduce that there exists an absolute constant \mathcal{Q} such that

$$p' \leq \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{o} \cdot p)^{11}.$$

For c a congruence class in $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ we denote by $\ell(c)$ the lift of c , that is the unique integer in the intersection of c with the interval $[0, p[$. We write

$$(16) \quad \uparrow(c) = \ell(c) \bmod p'.$$

We thus define maps $\ell : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and $\uparrow : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}'$. We similarly define the lifting map $\ell' : \mathbf{K}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and $\downarrow : \mathbf{K}' \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ by

$$(17) \quad \downarrow(c) = \ell'(c) \bmod p \quad \text{for } c \in \mathbf{K}'.$$

These four maps can be extended to the corresponding group algebras by coefficientwise application. Given a and b as in Equation (15) we define

$$A = \ell(a) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \ell(a_\sigma) \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad B = \ell(b) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \ell(b_\sigma) \sigma \quad \text{in } \mathbf{Z}[G] \quad \text{and} \quad C = AB.$$

The coefficients in C belong to the interval $[0, \mathfrak{o} \cdot (p - 1)^2]$. So

$$C = \ell'((A \bmod p') \times (B \bmod p')) \quad \text{and} \quad ab = \downarrow(\uparrow(a) \uparrow(b)).$$

Using Proposition 13 we deduce

PROPOSITION 14. *There exists an absolute constant \mathcal{Q} such that the following is true. Let G , \mathfrak{o} , e be as in Section 6.3. Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ be a prime field. There exists a prime integer $p' \leq \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{o} \cdot p)^{11}$ and a straight-line program of length smaller than $\mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot \log \mathfrak{o}$ that computes the product $c = \sum_g c_g[g]$ of two elements $a = \sum_g a_g[g]$ and $b = \sum_g b_g[g]$ in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ given by their coefficients $(a_g)_g$ and $(b_g)_g$. The operations in this straight-line program are additions and multiplications in $\mathbf{Z}/p'\mathbf{Z}$ and evaluations of the maps \uparrow and \downarrow defined in Equations (16) and (17).*

Now let \mathbf{L} be a field extension of degree d of $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. We assume that elements in \mathbf{L} are represented by their coordinates in some \mathbf{K} -basis of \mathbf{L} . The bilinear part of one multiplication in $\mathbf{L}[G]$ reduces to $\mu_p(d)$ multiplications in $\mathbf{K}[G]$

where $\mu_p(d)$ is the \mathbf{K} -bilinear complexity of multiplication in \mathbf{L} . Work by Chudnovsky [10], Shparlinski, Tsfasman, Vladut [46], Shokrollahi [45], Ballet and Rolland [3, 4], Chaumine [9], Randriambololona [38] and others imply that $\mu_p(d)$ is bounded by an absolute constant times d . We deduce the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *There exists an absolute constant \mathcal{Q} such that the following is true. Let G be a finite commutative group of order \mathfrak{o} and exponent e . Let $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ and \mathbf{L} a field extension of degree d of \mathbf{K} . There exists a prime integer $p' \leq \mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{o}.p)^{11}$ and a straight-line program of length $\leq \mathcal{Q}(d.\mathfrak{o}.\log \mathfrak{o} + d^2.\mathfrak{o})$ that computes the product $c = \sum_g c_g[g]$ of two elements $a = \sum_g a_g[g]$ and $b = \sum_g b_g[g]$ in $\mathbf{L}[G]$ given by their coefficients $(a_g)_g$ and $(b_g)_g$. The operations in this straight-line program are additions and multiplications in $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ and in $\mathbf{Z}/p'\mathbf{Z}$ and evaluations of the maps \uparrow and \downarrow defined in Equations (16) and (17).*

REMARK 1. The $d^2.\mathfrak{o}$ summand in the complexity comes from the linear part in the Chudnovsky algorithm for multiplication in finite field extensions.

7. Constructing functions in the Hilbert class field

We have defined in Section 4 matrices \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{I} for the evaluation and interpolation of global sections of a G -equivariant invertible sheaf on a curve Y acted on freely by a commutative group G . We have seen in Sections 4, 5, and 6 how to efficiently compute with these matrices. In this section we consider the problem of computing these matrices.

We recall in Section 7.1 the necessary background from class field theory of function fields over a finite field. We illustrate the constructive aspects of class fields on a small example in section 7.2. An important feature of this method is that we only work with divisors and functions on X , the quotient of Y by G . This is of some importance since in the applications presented in Sections 8 and 9 the genus of Y is much larger (e.g. exponentially) than the genus of X .

7.1. Class field theory and the jacobian variety. Let X be a projective, smooth, absolutely integral curve over a finite field \mathbf{K} of characteristic p . Let $\bar{\mathbf{K}}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbf{K} . We need an abelian unramified cover $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ over \mathbf{K} , with Y absolutely integral. We will require that Y has a \mathbf{K} -rational point Q_1 . This implies that τ is completely split above $P_1 = \tau(Q_1)$.

According to class field theory [43, 39] there is a maximal abelian unramified cover of X over \mathbf{K} that splits totally above P_1 . We briefly recall its geometric construction. Let J_X be the jacobian variety of X and let

$$j_X : X \rightarrow J_X$$

be the Jacobi map with origin P_1 . Let

$$F_{\mathbf{K}} : J_X \rightarrow J_X$$

be the Frobenius endomorphism of degree $|\mathbf{K}|$, the cardinality of \mathbf{K} . The endomorphism

$$\wp = F_{\mathbf{K}} - 1 : J_X \rightarrow J_X$$

is an unramified Galois cover between \mathbf{K} -varieties with Galois group $J_X(\mathbf{K})$. We denote by

$$\tau_{\max} : Y_{\max} \rightarrow X$$

the pullback of \wp along j_X . This is the maximal abelian unramified cover of X that splits totally above P_1 . Any such cover $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ is thus a quotient of τ_{\max} by some subgroup H of $J_X(\mathbf{K})$. We set $G = J_X(\mathbf{K})/H$ and notice that G is at the same time the fiber of τ above P_1 and its Galois group, acting by translations in J_X/H .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 J_X(\mathbf{K}) & \hookrightarrow & Y_{\max} & \hookrightarrow & J_X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow H \\
 G = J_X(\mathbf{K})/H & \hookrightarrow & Y & \hookrightarrow & J_X/H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow G \\
 0 = P_1 & \hookrightarrow & X & \hookrightarrow & J_X
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{l}
 \curvearrowright \\
 \wp
 \end{array}$$

Let P be a \mathbf{K} -rational point on X and let Q_{\max} be any point on $Y_{\max}(\bar{\mathbf{K}})$ such that

$$\tau_{\max}(Q_{\max}) = \wp(Q_{\max}) = P.$$

We have $F_{\mathbf{K}}(Q_{\max}) = Q_{\max} + P$. So the Artin map and the Jacobi map coincide, and the decomposition group of any place on Y above P is the subgroup of G generated by P itself. In particular the fiber of τ above P splits over \mathbf{K} if and only if P is sent into H by the Jacobi map. Equivalently the class of $P - P_1$ belongs to H .

7.2. An example. In this section \mathbf{K} is the field with three elements and X is the plane projective curve with homogeneous equation

$$Y^2 Z^3 = X(X - Z)(X^3 + X^2 Z + 2Z^3).$$

This is a smooth absolutely integral curve of genus 2. The characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius of X/\mathbf{K} is

$$(18) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{K}}(t) = t^4 + t^3 + 2t^2 + 3t + 9.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius of a curve over a finite field (given by a reasonable model) can be computed in time polynomial in $p.g.n$ where p is the characteristic of the field, n its degree over the prime field, and g the genus of the curve, using p -adic methods introduced by Kato-Lubkin [26], Satoh [40], Mestre [33], Kedlaya [27], Lauder and Wan [31] and widely extended since then.

When the genus of the curve is fixed, the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius can be computed in time polynomial in the logarithm of the cardinality of \mathbf{K} , using ℓ -adic methods introduced by Schoof [41] and generalized by Pila [35].

We deduce from Equation (18) that the jacobian variety J_X of X has

$$\chi_{\mathbf{K}}(1) = 16$$

rational points. There are 5 places of degree 1 on X . We let P_1 be the unique place at $(0, 1, 0)$ and let

$$P_2 = (0, 0, 1), \quad P_3 = (1, 0, 1), \quad P_4 = (2, 2, 1), \quad P_5 = (2, 1, 1).$$

The Picard group $J_X(\mathbf{K})$ is the direct sum of a subgroup of order 8 generated by the class of $P_4 - P_1$ and a subgroup of order 2 generated by $P_2 - P_1$. The class of $4(P_4 - P_1)$ is the class of $P_3 - P_1$. The classes of $P_2 - P_1$ and $P_3 - P_1$ generate a subgroup H of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^2$. The quotient group

$$G = J_X(\mathbf{K})/H = \text{Pic}^0(X)/H$$

is cyclic of order 4 generated by $P_4 - P_1$. So the subcover $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ of Y_{\max} associated with H is cyclic of order 4. And the fibers above $P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 in this cover all split over \mathbf{K} . We will work with this cover.

According to Kummer theory, there is a duality (as group schemes) between the prime to p part of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and the étale part of the kernel of $F_{\mathbf{K}} - p$. Associated to the quotient $G = \text{Pic}^0(X)/H$ there must be a subgroup scheme isomorphic to μ_4 inside the latter kernel.

We let ζ be a primitive fourth root of unity in $\bar{\mathbf{K}}$ and denote by \mathbf{L} the degree two extension of \mathbf{K} generated by ζ . In order to find the group of order 4 we are interested in, we use algorithms to compute the kernels of $F_{\mathbf{K}} - 1$ and $F_{\mathbf{K}} - p$ described in [14, Chapter 13]. The idea is to pick random elements in $J_X(\mathbf{L})$ and project them onto the relevant characteristic subspaces for the action of $F_{\mathbf{K}}$, using our knowledge of the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathbf{K}}$. We set

$$P_6 = (2\zeta, 2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = 2(P_6 - P_4)$$

and find that the class γ of Γ is of order 4 and satisfies

$$F_{\mathbf{K}}(\gamma) = 3\gamma.$$

Thus γ generates the group we were looking for. There is a unique function R in $\mathbf{L}(X)$ with divisor 4Γ and taking value 1 at P_1 . The cover $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ we are interested in is obtained by adding a 4-th root r of R to $\mathbf{L}(X)$. To be quite precise this construction produces the base change to \mathbf{L} of the cover we are interested in. This will be fine for our purpose. So we let

$$r = R^{1/4}$$

be the 4-th root of R taking value 1 at Q_1 . Equivalently we define Q_1 to be the point over P_1 where r takes the value 1. With the notation of Section 4.3 we take

$$D = 2P_5 \quad \text{and} \quad P = P_1 + P_2 + P_3.$$

We let E be the pullback of D by τ and Q the pullback of P . We expect

$$\mathcal{L}(E) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$$

to be a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module of rank

$$\deg(D) - g_X + 1 = 1.$$

This will be confirmed by our computations. Because the fibers above P_1, P_2 and P_3 all split over \mathbf{K} , the evaluation map $\mathcal{L}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is described by a 3×1 matrix with coefficients in $\mathbf{K}[G]$.

For every $2 \leq i \leq 3$ we choose a 4-th root of $R(P_i)$ in \mathbf{L} . This amounts to choosing a point $Q_{i,1}$ in the fiber of τ above P_i . We let σ be the unique element in G that sends r to $\zeta \cdot r$ so

$$G \ni \sigma : r \mapsto \zeta \cdot r.$$

The \mathbf{K} -vector space $\mathcal{L}(E)$ decomposes over \mathbf{L} as a sum of four eigenspaces associated to the four eigenvalues $1, \zeta, \zeta^2 = -1, \zeta^3 = -\zeta$ of σ . Let $0 \leq j \leq 3$ and let f be an eigenfunction in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ associated with the eigenvalue ζ^j . Then the quotient f/r^j is invariant by G and its divisor satisfies

$$(f/r^j) \geq -E - j \cdot (r) = -E - j \cdot \tau^*(\Gamma).$$

So f/r^j can be seen as a function on X with divisor bigger than or equal to $-D - j\Gamma$. The eigenspace $\mathcal{L}(E)_j$ associated to ζ^j is thus obtained as the image of the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + j\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}(E)_j \\ F \longmapsto & & f = Fr^j \end{array}$$

Evaluating f at $Q_{i,1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ then reduces to evaluating $F = f/r^j$ at P_i and multiplying the result by the chosen 4-th root of $R(P_i)$, raised to the power j .

This remark enables us to compute a \mathbf{K} -basis of $\mathcal{L}(E)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of σ and to evaluate the functions in this basis at the $(Q_{i,1})_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ without ever writing equations for Y . We only need to compute the Riemann-Roch spaces associated to the divisors $D + j\Gamma$ on X for $0 \leq j \leq 3$. The Riemann-Roch space of a divisor $D = D_+ - D_-$ on a curve X is computed in time polynomial in the genus of X and the degrees of the positive and negative parts D_+ and D_- of D , using Brill-Noether algorithm and its many variants. See [22, 52, 21] and the most efficient general algorithm due to Makdisi [28, 29]. In case the exponent of G is large, we may have to compute linear spaces like $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + j\Gamma))$ for large j . In that case, one should use the method introduced by Menezes, Okamoto, and Vanstone [32] in the context of pairing computation, in order to replace j by its logarithm in the complexity.

Passing from the values of the eigenfunctions to the evaluation matrix \mathcal{E} reduces to applying an inverse Fourier transform. We find

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ e_{1,2} \\ e_{1,3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } e_{1,1} = 1, \quad e_{1,2} = 1 + 2\sigma + 2\sigma^2 + 2\sigma^3, \quad e_{1,3} = 2 + 2\sigma + 2\sigma^2 + \sigma^3.$$

Having a unit for $e_{1,1}$ is quite convenient. In general one says that \mathcal{E} is systematic when the top square submatrix is the identity. This is possible when the first points $Q_{i,1}$ form a basis for the dual of $\mathcal{L}(E)$. This situation is generic in some sense but not granted. From a systematic matrix \mathcal{E} it is trivial to deduce the associated checking and interpolation matrices

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{1,2} & e_{1,3} \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and } \mathcal{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

REMARK 2. We may wonder how general is the method presented above. The approach via Kummer theory applies as long as the order \mathfrak{o} of G is prime to p . In case the order of G is a power of p , one may try to use Hasse-Witt theory instead, following the rather effective presentation in Serre [42]. When \mathfrak{o} is neither prime to p nor a power of p we do not know any better method than the general purpose algorithm in [21].

8. Interpolation on algebraic curves

In this section we recall two classical applications of interpolation on algebraic curves over finite fields and illustrate the benefit of $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -module structures in this context. Section 8.1 is concerned with the multiplication tensor in finite fields. In Sections 8.2 and 8.3 we see that geometric codes associated to G -equivariant divisors can be encoded in quasi-linear time and decoded in quasi-quadratic time if G is commutative, acts freely, and the code is long enough.

8.1. The complexity of multiplication in finite fields. The idea of using Lagrange interpolation over an algebraic curve to multiply two elements in a finite field is due to Chudnovsky [10] and has been developed by Shparlinski, Tsfasmann and Vladut [46], Ballet and Rolland [3], Chaumine [9], Randriambololona [38] and others.

Let \mathbf{K} be a finite field and let $\mathfrak{o} \geq 2$ be an integer. Let Y be a smooth, projective, absolutely integral curve over \mathbf{K} and B a place of degree \mathfrak{o} on Y . Let $\mathbf{L} = H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_B)$ be the residue field at B . We choose a divisor E disjoint from B and assume that the evaluation map

$$e_B : H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$$

is surjective so that elements in \mathbf{L} can be represented by functions in $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$. The latter functions will be characterized by their values at a collection $(Q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ of \mathbf{K} -rational points on Y . We denote by

$$e_Q : H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(2E)) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^N$$

the evaluation map at these points which we assume to be injective. The multiplication of two elements $e_B(f_1)$ and $e_B(f_2)$ in \mathbf{L} can be achieved by evaluating f_1 and f_2 at the Q_i , then multiplying each $f_1(Q_i)$ by the corresponding $f_2(Q_i)$, then finding the unique function f_3 in $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(2E))$ taking value $f_1(Q_i)f_2(Q_i)$ at Q_i , then computing $e_B(f_3)$. The number of bilinear multiplications in \mathbf{K} in the whole process is equal to N .

This method uses curves over \mathbf{K} with arbitrarily large genus having a number of \mathbf{K} -points bigger than some positive constant times their genus. It bounds the bilinear complexity of multiplication in \mathbf{L}/\mathbf{K} by an absolute constant times the degree \mathfrak{o} of \mathbf{L} over \mathbf{K} , but it says little about the linear part of the algorithm, that is evaluation of the maps e_B and e_Q and their right (resp. left) inverses.

Now assume that the group of \mathbf{K} -automorphisms of Y contains a cyclic subgroup G of order \mathfrak{o} acting freely on Y . Let $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ be the quotient by G map. Assume that B is the fiber of τ above some rational point a on X . Assume that E (resp. Q) is the pullback by τ of a divisor D (resp. P) on X . Under mild conditions, all the linear spaces above become free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -modules and the evaluation maps are G -equivariant. A computational consequence is that the linear part in the Chudnovsky algorithm becomes quasi-linear in the degree \mathfrak{o} of the extension \mathbf{L}/\mathbf{K} . This remark has been exploited in [12, 11] to bound the complexity of multiplication of two elements in a finite field given by their coordinates in a normal basis. The decompositions of the multiplication tensor that are proven to exist in [11] can be actually computed using the techniques presented in Section 7.

8.2. Geometric codes. The construction of error correcting codes by evaluating functions on algebraic curves of higher genus is due to Goppa [16, 17]. Let Y be a smooth, projective, absolutely integral curve over a finite field \mathbf{K} of characteristic p . Let d be the degree of \mathbf{K} over the prime field $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Let g_Y be the genus of Y . Let Q_1, \dots, Q_N be pairwise distinct \mathbf{K} -rational points on Y . Let t_i be a uniformizing parameter at Q_i . Let E be a divisor that is disjoint from $Q = Q_1 + \dots + Q_N$. Assume that

$$(19) \quad 2g_Y - 1 \leq \deg(E) \leq \deg(Q) - 1.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = H^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y(-Q)) \simeq \mathbf{K}^N$$

be the residue algebra at Q . Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} = H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}(-Q)/\Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathbf{K} \frac{dt_i}{t_i} \simeq \mathbf{K}^N$$

be the dual of \mathbf{A} . Evaluation at the Q_i defines an injective linear map

$$\mathcal{L}(E) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}.$$

We similarly define an injective linear map

$$\Omega(-Q + E) = H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/\mathbf{K}}(-Q + E)) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{A}}.$$

The two vector subspaces $\mathcal{L}(E)$ and $\Omega(-Q + E)$ are orthogonal to each other for the canonical duality pairing. They can be considered as linear codes over \mathbf{K} and denoted by $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ and C_{Ω} respectively. The code $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ has length N , dimension

$$K = \deg(E) - g_Y + 1$$

and minimum distance greater than or equal to $N - \deg(E)$. Given a basis of $\mathcal{L}(E)$ one defines the generating matrix \mathcal{E}_E of the code $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ to be the $N \times K$ -matrix of the injection $\mathcal{L}(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{K}^N$. One similarly defines the parity-check matrix \mathcal{C}_E to be the $N \times (N - K)$ -matrix of $\Omega(-Q + E) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{A}}$. We finally denote by \mathcal{I}_E the $K \times N$ -matrix of some projection of \mathbf{A} onto $C_{\mathcal{L}}$. A message of length K is encoded by multiplying the corresponding column on the left by \mathcal{E}_E . The received word is checked by multiplying it on the left by the transpose of \mathcal{C}_E . And the initial message is recovered from a correct codeword applying the interpolation matrix \mathcal{I}_E . In full generality, coding, testing and interpolating respectively require $2NK$, $2N(N - K)$ and $2KN$ operations in \mathbf{K} .

Assume now that the group of \mathbf{K} -automorphisms of Y contains a finite commutative subgroup G of order \mathfrak{o} acting freely on Y . Let $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ be the quotient by G map. Assume that \mathfrak{o} divides N and let

$$n = N/\mathfrak{o}.$$

Assume that Q is the pullback by τ of a divisor

$$P = P_1 + \cdots + P_n$$

on X . Assume that E is the pullback of some divisor D on X . We are thus in the situation of Section 4. The code $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a free $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -submodule of \mathbf{A} of rank

$$k = K/\mathfrak{o}$$

and C_{Ω} is its orthogonal module for the $\mathbf{K}[G]$ -bilinear form defined in Section 3.3.

The matrices \mathcal{E}_E , \mathcal{C}_E , and \mathcal{I}_E can be seen as matrices with coefficients in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ of respective sizes $n \times k$, $n \times (n - k)$, and $k \times n$. Coding now requires $2nk$ operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ rather than $2NK$ operations in \mathbf{K} . According to Theorem 2, each such operation requires less than $\mathcal{Q}.d^2.\mathfrak{o}.\log \mathfrak{o}$ operations in $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}/p'\mathbf{Z}$ where $p' \leq \mathcal{Q}.\mathfrak{o}.p$ ¹¹ for some absolute constant \mathcal{Q} . The total cost of coding is thus bounded by an absolute constant times

$$\frac{NK}{\mathfrak{o}^2}.d^2.\mathfrak{o}.\log(\mathfrak{o}).(\log p + \log \mathfrak{o})^2 = N.d^2.\log(\mathfrak{o}).k.(\log p + \log \mathfrak{o})^2$$

elementary operations.

REMARK 3. Assuming that $\log \mathfrak{o}$ is bigger than k times a positive constant, the cost of coding is quasi-linear in the length N of the code. The same holds for parity-checking and interpolating. Indeed the action of a large commutative group G provides a significant computational advantage. We shall see in Section 9 that geometric class field theory produces examples of free commutative group actions meeting this condition.

8.3. Basic decoding. Assume that we are in the situation of the beginning of Section 8.2, and that we have received a message r in $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{K}^N$. Let c be the closest codeword to r in $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ for the Hamming distance in \mathbf{K}^N . Write

$$r = c + \epsilon$$

and ϵ the error vector. Let f be the unique function in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ such that $f = c \bmod Q$. The support of the error vector ϵ is the effective divisor $\text{Supp}(\epsilon)$ consisting of all points Q_i where ϵ is not-zero. The degree of $\text{Supp}(\epsilon)$ is the number of errors in r .

The principle of the basic decoding algorithm [24, 47] is: if a_0 is a small degree function vanishing at every point in the support $\text{Supp}(\epsilon)$ then $a_0 r = a_0 c \bmod Q$ is the residue modulo Q of an algebraic function $a_0 f$ of not too large degree. This function can be recovered from its values at Q if N is large enough. More concretely we let E_0 be some auxiliary divisor on Y with degree at least g_Y and set

$$E_1 = E + E_0.$$

Let \mathcal{P} be the subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E_0)$ consisting of all a_0 such that there exists a_1 in $\mathcal{L}(E_1)$ with $a_0 r = a_1 \bmod Q$. Non-zero elements in \mathcal{P} are denominators for r in the sense of Section 5. We just saw that every function in $\mathcal{L}(E_0)$ vanishing at every point in the support of ϵ belongs to \mathcal{P} .

Conversely if a_0 is in \mathcal{P} then $a_0 r$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(E_1)$ modulo Q . But $a_0 c$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(E_1)$ modulo Q also because a_0 is in $\mathcal{L}(E_0)$ modulo Q and c is in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ modulo Q . So $a_0(r - c) = a_0 \epsilon$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(E_1)$ modulo Q . There is a function in $\mathcal{L}(E_1)$ that is $a_0 \epsilon$ modulo Q . This function has $N - \deg(\text{Supp}(\epsilon))$ zeros and degree at most $\deg(E_1) = \deg(E) + \deg(E_0)$. If we assume that

$$(20) \quad \deg(\text{Supp}(\epsilon)) \leq N - 1 - \deg(E) - \deg(E_0)$$

then the latter function must be zero. So a_0 vanishes at $\text{Supp}(\epsilon)$. Assuming Equation (20) we thus have $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}(E_0 - \text{Supp}(\epsilon))$. Assuming further that

$$(21) \quad \deg(\text{Supp}(\epsilon)) \leq \deg(E_0) - g$$

this space is non-zero. Computing it is a matter of linear algebra and requires a constant times N^3 operations in \mathbf{K} . Given any non-zero element a_0 in \mathcal{P} we denote by A_0 the divisor consisting of all Q_i where a_0 vanishes. The degree of A_0 is bounded by $\deg E_0$. The error ϵ is an element in \mathbf{A} with support contained in A_0 and such that $r - \epsilon$ belongs to $C_{\mathcal{L}}$. Finding ϵ is a linear problem in $\leq \deg E_0$ unknowns and $N - \deg(E) + g_Y - 1$ equations. The solution is unique because the difference of two solutions is in $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ and has at least $N - \deg(E_0)$ zeros. And this is strictly greater than $\deg(E)$ by Equation (20).

Combining Equations (20) and (21) we see that the basic decoding algorithm corrects up to d_{basic} errors where

$$(22) \quad d_{\text{basic}} = \frac{N - \deg(E) - 1 - g_Y}{2}.$$

Assume now that the group of \mathbf{K} -automorphisms of Y contains a finite commutative subgroup G of order \mathfrak{o} acting freely on Y . Let $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ be the quotient by G map. Assume that \mathfrak{o} divides N and let $n = N/\mathfrak{o}$. Assume that Q is the pullback by τ of a divisor

$$P = P_1 + \cdots + P_n$$

on X . Assume that E is the pullback of some divisor D on X . Assume that E_0 is the pullback of some divisor D_0 on X . Assume that $\mathcal{L}(E_0)$ contains a free module of rank $\deg(D_0) - g_X + 1$ over $\mathbf{K}[G]$. According to Proposition 5, such an E_0 exists if the order \mathfrak{o} of G is prime to p . According to Proposition 6, such an E_0 exists if the order \mathfrak{o} of G is a power of p , and the cardinality q of \mathbf{K} is at least 4, and the genus of X is at least 2. Another sufficient condition is that $\deg(D_0) \geq 2g_X - 1$. According to Proposition 10 we can find a denominator a_0 at the expense of $\mathcal{Q} \cdot (\mathfrak{o} \cdot n \cdot \log(\mathfrak{o} \cdot n))^2$ operations in \mathbf{K} and $\mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot n^3 \log(\mathfrak{o} \cdot n)$ operations in $\mathbf{K}[G]$. According to Theorem 2, each operation in $\mathbf{K}[G]$ requires less than

$$\mathcal{Q} \cdot d^2 \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot \log(\mathfrak{o}) \cdot (\log p + \log \mathfrak{o})^2$$

elementary operations. The total cost of finding a denominator is thus bounded by an absolute constant times

$$N^2 \cdot n \cdot d^2 \cdot \log^4(\mathfrak{o} \cdot n \cdot p)$$

elementary operation.

REMARK 4. Assuming that $\log \mathfrak{o}$ is bigger than n times a positive constant, the cost of finding a denominator is quasi-quadratic in the length N of the code. Once found a denominator, the error can be found at the same cost.

9. Good geometric codes with quasi-linear encoding

In this section we specialize the constructions presented in Sections 8.2 and 8.3 using curves with many points and their Hilbert class fields. We quickly review in Section 9.1 some standard useful results and observations which we apply in Section 9.2 to the construction of families of good geometric codes having quasi-linear encoding and a quasi-quadratic decoder. Recall that a family of codes over a fixed alphabet is said to be good when the length tends to infinity while both the rate and the minimum distance have a strictly positive \liminf .

9.1. Controlling the class group and the Artin map. We keep the notation from Section 7.1. In particular P_1 is a \mathbf{K} -rational point on X and

$$j_X : X \rightarrow J_X$$

is the Jacobi map with origin P_1 . For the applications we have in mind we need some control on the \mathbf{K} -rational points on X , on the group $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ and most importantly on the image of $X(\mathbf{K})$ in $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ by the Jacobi map. A typical advantageous situation would be:

- (1) X has enough \mathbf{K} -rational points, that is a fixed positive constant times its genus g_X ,
- (2) a fixed positive proportion of these points are mapped by j_X into a subgroup H ,
- (3) H is not too large i.e. the quotient $\log |H| / \log |\text{Pic}^0(X)|$ is smaller than a fixed constant smaller than 1.

A range of geometric techniques relevant to that problem is presented in Serre's course [44] with the related motivation of constructing curves with many points. One says that (a family of) curves over a fixed finite field of cardinality q have many points when the ratio of the number of rational points by the genus tends to $\sqrt{q}-1$. Modular curves $X_0(N)$ have many points over finite fields with p^2 elements, corresponding to supersingular moduli, as was noticed by Ihara [23] and by Tzfasman, Vladut, and Zink [50]. These authors also found families of Shimura curves having many points over fields with cardinality a square. Garcia and Stichtenoth [15] constructed for every square q an infinite tower of algebraic curves over \mathbf{F}_q such that the quotient of the number of \mathbf{F}_q -points by the genus converges to $\sqrt{q}-1$, and the quotient of the genera of two consecutive curves converges to q .

As for conditions (2) and (3) above, it is noted in [44, 5.12.4] that the images by j_X of P_2, \dots, P_n generate a subgroup H with at most $n-1$ invariant factors. If the class group $J_X(\mathbf{K})$ has $I \geq n-1$ invariant factors then the size of the quotient G is bigger than or equal to the product of the $I-(n-1)$ smallest invariant factors of $J_X(\mathbf{K})$.

Another favourable situation exploited in [36, 34, 51, 19] is when \mathbf{K} has a strict subfield \mathbf{k} and X is defined over \mathbf{k} and P_1 is \mathbf{k} -rational. Then the Jacobi map sends the points in $X(\mathbf{k})$ into the subgroup $J_X(\mathbf{k})$ of $J_X(\mathbf{K})$. We will use this remark in the next section.

9.2. A construction. Let \mathbf{k} be a finite field with characteristic p . Let q be the cardinality of \mathbf{k} . We assume that q is a square. We consider a family of curves $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$ over \mathbf{k} having many points over \mathbf{k} . For example we may take X_k to be the k -th curve in the Garcia-Stichtenoth tower associated with q . We denote by g_X the genus of X_k . We omit the index k in the sequel because there is no risk of confusion. We denote by n the number of \mathbf{k} -rational points on X . We denote these points by P_1, \dots, P_n and let P be the effective divisor sum of all these points. We let \mathbf{K} be a non-trivial extension of \mathbf{k} . We will assume that the degree of \mathbf{K} over \mathbf{k} is 2 because higher values seem to bring nothing but disadvantages. We denote by T the quotient

$$T = J_X(\mathbf{K})/J_X(\mathbf{k}).$$

We denote by T_p the p -Sylow subgroup of T . We denote by $T_{p'}$ the complement subgroup of T_p in T . Let G be the bigger among T_p and $T_{p'}$. This is a quotient of T . Let H be the kernel of the composite map $J_X(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow T = J_X(\mathbf{K})/J_X(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow G$. Let \mathfrak{o} be the order of G . We note that

$$\#J_X(\mathbf{K})/J_X(\mathbf{k}) \geq (q-1)^{2g_X} / (\sqrt{q}+1)^{2g_X} = (\sqrt{q}-1)^{2g_X}$$

so

$$(23) \quad \mathfrak{o} \geq \sqrt{\#T} \geq (\sqrt{q}-1)^{g_X}$$

grows exponentially in g_X provided $q \geq 9$. Also G is a p -group or a p' -group. We find ourselves in the situation of Section 7.1. Let Y_{\max} be the maximal unramified cover of X over \mathbf{K} which is totally decomposed over \mathbf{K} above P_1 . Let Y be the quotient of Y_{\max} by H . The fibers of

$$\tau : Y \rightarrow X$$

above the points P_1, \dots, P_n all split over \mathbf{K} . Let Q be the pullback of P by τ . This is a divisor on Y of degree

$$N = \mathfrak{o}.n.$$

We choose a real number ϱ such that

$$(24) \quad 0 < \varrho < \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2} - 2.$$

Our goal is to correct up to $\varrho \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot g_X$ errors. Let D be a divisor on X that is disjoint from P and such that

$$\deg(D) = \lceil (\sqrt{q} - 2 - 2\varrho)g_X \rceil$$

the closest integer to $(\sqrt{q} - 2 - 2\varrho)g_X$. Let E be the pullback of D by τ . We deduce from Equation (24) that condition (19) is met at least asymptotically. From X , Y , E , and Q the construction in Section 8.2 produces a code $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ over the field \mathbf{K} with q^2 elements, having length

$$N = \mathfrak{o} \cdot n \simeq (\sqrt{q} - 1) \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot g_X$$

and dimension

$$K = \mathfrak{o} \cdot (\deg(D) - g_X + 1) \simeq (\sqrt{q} - 3 - 2\varrho) \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot g_X.$$

We set $k = K/\mathfrak{o}$ and deduce from Equation (23) that the \liminf of $(\log \mathfrak{o})/n$ and $(\log \mathfrak{o})/k$ are strictly positive. As explained in Remark 3, this implies that the code $C_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be encoded and parity-checked in quasi-linear deterministic time in its length N , and decoded with the same complexity when there are no errors. Using the basic decoding algorithm as in Section 8.3 one can decode in the presence of errors in quasi-quadratic probabilistic (Las Vegas) time up to the distance

$$d_{\text{basic}} = \frac{N - \deg(E) - 1 - g_Y}{2} \simeq \varrho \cdot \mathfrak{o} \cdot g_X$$

defined by Equation (22) as explained in Remark 4. We denote by δ_{basic} the relative distance d_{basic}/N . The existence of a divisor D_0 with all the properties required in Section 8.3 is granted because G is either a p -group or a p' -group. So we can apply Proposition 5 or Proposition 6 depending on the case. This finishes the proof of the theorem below.

THEOREM 3. *Let p be a prime integer and let q be a power of p . Assume that q is a square and*

$$(25) \quad q \geq 25.$$

Let ϱ be a real such that

$$(26) \quad 0 < \varrho < \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2} - 2.$$

There exists a family of linear error correcting codes over the field with q^2 elements having length N tending to infinity and such that

- (1) *the rate R satisfies*

$$\lim R = \frac{\sqrt{q} - 3 - 2\varrho}{\sqrt{q} - 1}$$

- (2) *for each code there exists a straight-line program that encodes in quasi-linear time in the length N ,*
(3) *for each code there exists a computation tree that decodes in quasi-quadratic probabilistic (Las Vegas) time in the length N up to the relative distance δ_{basic} and*

$$\lim \delta_{\text{basic}} = \frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{q} - 1}.$$

REMARK 5. The complexity statements in the theorem above are non-uniform in the sense that they bound the complexity of coding and decoding assuming that the code is given by its generating, parity-check and interpolation matrices having coefficients in the group algebra $\mathbf{K}[G]$. The theorem claims nothing about the complexity of finding these matrices. The example detailed in section 7.2 and remark 2 suggest that this complexity could be quasi-quadratic in the length N of the code. Proving such a complexity result would probably be quite heavy due to the relative sophistication of the methods used to find e.g. the interesting torsion points in the Picard group.

REMARK 6. A calculation similar to the one in [30, §7.3] shows that for any $q \geq 47^2$, some among the codes constructed above are excellent in the sense that the accumulation point $(2\delta_{\text{basic}}, R)$ stands above the Varshamov-Gilbert limit for codes over the field with q^2 elements. To our knowledge these are the first excellent codes that can be encoded in quasi-linear time and decoded in quasi-quadratic time. Recall that Reed-Solomon codes can be encoded and decoded in quasi-linear time but cannot be said to be asymptotically good because the length of the code is bounded by the size of the alphabet.

REMARK 7. We compare fast basic decoding of the codes in Theorem 3 as explained in Section 8.3 with the general purpose algorithm of Beelen, Rosenkilde, Solomatov [5]. Using the latter, one can decode up to half the Goppa designed minimum distance. Inequalities (25) and (26) are then replaced by

$$q \geq 16 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \varrho < \frac{\sqrt{q} - 3}{2},$$

and the limit of the rate becomes

$$\lim R = \frac{\sqrt{q} - 2 - 2\varrho}{\sqrt{q} - 1}.$$

However the complexity of decoding is then of order $\mu^{\omega-1}(N + g_Y)$ where N is the length of the code, μ is the gonality of Y , and ω is the exponent in the complexity of matrix multiplication. Curves with many points have large gonality. In particular $\mu \geq N/(q^2 + 1)$ in our situation, so that for fixed q , the complexity of this decoder is of order greater than N^ω . It is known [1] that $2 \leq \omega < 2.37286$ but it is not granted that $\omega = 2$.

References

1. Josh Alman and Virginia Vassilevska Williams, *A refined laser method and faster matrix multiplication*, Proceedings of the 2021 ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2021, Virtual Conference, January 10 - 13, 2021 (Dániel Marx, ed.), SIAM, 2021, pp. 522–539.
2. S. Ballet and D. Le Brigand, *On the existence of non-special divisors of degree g and $g - 1$ in algebraic function fields over \mathbb{F}_q* , J. Number Theory **116** (2006), no. 2, 293–310.
3. S. Ballet and R. Rolland, *Multiplication algorithm in a finite field and tensor rank of the multiplication*, J. Algebra **272** (2004), no. 1, 173–185.
4. Stéphane Ballet, *Curves with many points and multiplication complexity in any extension of \mathbb{F}_q* , Finite Fields Appl. **5** (1999), no. 4, 364–377.
5. Peter Beelen, Johan Rosenkilde, and Grigory Solomatov, *Fast decoding of AG codes*, 2022.
6. Leo I. Bluestein, *A linear filtering approach to the computation of discrete Fourier transform*, IEEE Transactions on Audio and Electroacoustics **18** (1970), 451–455.
7. Alin Bostan, Frédéric Chyzak, Marc Giusti, Romain Lebreton, Grégoire Lecerf, Bruno Salvy, and Éric Schost, *Algorithmes efficaces en calcul formel*, August 2017, 686 pages. Édition 1.0.

8. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitre 8. Modules et anneaux semi-simples*, Springer, Berlin, 2012, Second revised edition of the 1958 edition.
9. Jean Chaumine, *Multiplication in small finite fields using elliptic curves*, Algebraic geometry and its applications, Ser. Number Theory Appl., vol. 5, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2008, pp. 343–350.
10. D. V. Chudnovsky and G. V. Chudnovsky, *Algebraic complexities and algebraic curves over finite fields*, J. Complexity **4** (1988), no. 4, 285–316.
11. Jean-Marc Couveignes and Tony Ezome, *The equivariant complexity of multiplication in finite field extensions*, J. Algebra **622** (2023), 694–720.
12. Jean-Marc Couveignes and Reynald Lercier, *Elliptic periods for finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **15** (2009), no. 1, 1–22.
13. Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. Vol. XI, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1962.
14. Bas Edixhoven and Jean-Marc Couveignes (eds.), *Computational aspects of modular forms and Galois representations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 176, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011.
15. Arnaldo García and Henning Stichtenoth, *A tower of Artin-Schreier extensions of function fields attaining the Drinfeld-Vladut bound*, Invent. Math. **121** (1995), no. 1, 211–222.
16. V. D. Goppa, *Codes on algebraic curves*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **259** (1981), no. 6, 1289–1290.
17. ———, *Algebraic-geometric codes*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 4, 762–781, 896.
18. ———, *Geometry and codes*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), vol. 24, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1988.
19. Venkatesan Guruswami and Chaoping Xing, *Optimal rate list decoding over bounded alphabets using algebraic-geometric codes*, J. ACM **69** (2022), no. 2, Art. 10, 48.
20. D. R. Heath-Brown, *Zero-free regions for Dirichlet L -functions, and the least prime in an arithmetic progression*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **64** (1992), no. 2, 265–338.
21. F. Hess, *Computing Riemann-Roch spaces in algebraic function fields and related topics*, J. Symbolic Comput. **33** (2002), no. 4, 425–445.
22. Ming-Deh Huang and Doug Ierardi, *Efficient algorithms for the Riemann-Roch problem and for addition in the Jacobian of a curve*, J. Symbolic Comput. **18** (1994), no. 6, 519–539.
23. Yasutaka Ihara, *Some remarks on the number of rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **28** (1981), no. 3, 721–724 (1982).
24. Jørn Justesen, Knud J. Larsen, H. Elbrønd Jensen, Allan Havemose, and Tom Høholdt, *Construction and decoding of a class of algebraic geometry codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **35** (1989), no. 4, 811–821.
25. Erich L. Kaltofen and B. David Saunders, *On Wiedemann’s method of solving sparse linear systems*, Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, 9th International Symposium, AAECC-9, New Orleans, LA, USA, October 7-11, 1991, Proceedings (Harold F. Mattson, Teo Mora, and T. R. N. Rao, eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 539, Springer, 1991, pp. 29–38.
26. Goro C. Kato and Saul Lubkin, *Zeta matrices of elliptic curves*, J. Number Theory **15** (1982), no. 3, 318–330.
27. Kiran S. Kedlaya, *Counting points on hyperelliptic curves using Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **16** (2001), no. 4, 323–338.
28. Kamal Khuri-Makdisi, *Linear algebra algorithms for divisors on an algebraic curve*, Math. Comp. **73** (2004), no. 245, 333–357.
29. ———, *Asymptotically fast group operations on Jacobians of general curves*, Math. Comp. **76** (2007), no. 260, 2213–2239.
30. Gilles Lachaud, *Les codes géométriques de Goppa*, Astérisque, vol. 133-134, 1986, Seminar Bourbaki, 1984/85, exp. 641, pp. 189–207.
31. Alan G. B. Lauder and Daqing Wan, *Counting points on varieties over finite fields of small characteristic*, Algorithmic number theory: lattices, number fields, curves and cryptography, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 44, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 579–612.
32. Alfred J. Menezes, Tatsuaki Okamoto, and Scott A. Vanstone, *Reducing elliptic curve logarithms to logarithms in a finite field*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **39** (1993), no. 5, 1639–1646.

33. J.-F. Mestre, *Lettre adressée à Gaudry et Harley*, <https://webusers.inj-prg.fr/~jean-francois.mestre/>, december 2010.
34. Harald Niederreiter and Chaoping Xing, *A general method of constructing global function fields with many rational places*, Algorithmic number theory (Portland, OR, 1998), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 1423, Springer, Berlin, 1998, pp. 555–566.
35. Jonathan S. Pila, *Frobenius maps of Abelian varieties and finding roots of unity in finite fields*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1988, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University.
36. Heinz-Georg Quebbemann, *Cyclotomic Goppa codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **34** (1988), no. 5, 1317–1320, Coding techniques and coding theory.
37. Lawrence R. Rabiner, Ronald W. Schafer, and Charles M. Rader, *The chirp z-transform algorithm and its application*, Bell System Tech. J. **48** (1969), 1249–1292.
38. Hugues Randriambololona, *Bilinear complexity of algebras and the Chudnovsky-Chudnovsky interpolation method*, J. Complexity **28** (2012), no. 4, 489–517.
39. Michael Rosen, *The Hilbert class field in function fields*, Exposition. Math. **5** (1987), no. 4, 365–378.
40. Takakazu Satoh, *The canonical lift of an ordinary elliptic curve over a finite field and its point counting*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **15** (2000), no. 4, 247–270.
41. René Schoof, *Elliptic curves over finite fields and the computation of square roots mod p* , Math. Comp. **44** (1985), no. 170, 483–494.
42. Jean-Pierre Serre, *Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique p* , Symposium internacional de topología algebraica International symposium on algebraic topology, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, México, 1958, pp. 24–53.
43. ———, *Algebraic groups and class fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 117, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
44. ———, *Rational points on curves over finite fields*, Documents Mathématiques (Paris), vol. 18, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2020, With contributions by Everett Howe, Joseph Oesterlé and Christophe Ritzenthaler.
45. Mohammad Amin Shokrollahi, *Optimal algorithms for multiplication in certain finite fields using elliptic curves*, SIAM J. Comput. **21** (1992), no. 6, 1193–1198.
46. Igor E. Shparlinski, Michael A. Tsfasman, and Serge G. Vlăduț, *Curves with many points and multiplication in finite fields*, Coding theory and algebraic geometry (Luminy, 1991), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1518, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 145–169.
47. Alexei N. Skorobogatov and Sergei G. Vlăduț, *On the decoding of algebraic-geometric codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **36** (1990), no. 5, 1051–1060.
48. The Sage Developers, *Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.4)*, 2021, <https://www.sagemath.org>.
49. M. A. Tsfasman and S. G. Vlăduț, *Algebraic-geometric codes*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), vol. 58, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
50. M. A. Tsfasman, S. G. Vlăduț, and Th. Zink, *Modular curves, Shimura curves, and Goppa codes, better than Varshamov-Gilbert bound*, Math. Nachr. **109** (1982), 21–28.
51. Gerard van der Geer, *Hunting for curves with many points*, Coding and cryptology, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 5557, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 82–96.
52. Emil J. Volcheck, *Computing in the Jacobian of a plane algebraic curve*, Algorithmic number theory (Ithaca, NY, 1994), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 877, Springer, Berlin, 1994, pp. 221–233.
53. Douglas H. Wiedemann, *Solving sparse linear equations over finite fields*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **32** (1986), no. 1, 54–62.
54. Triantafyllos Xylouris, *On the least prime in an arithmetic progression and estimates for the zeros of Dirichlet L -functions*, Acta Arith. **150** (2011), no. 1, 65–91.

UNIV. BORDEAUX, CNRS, INRIA, BORDEAUX-INP, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 TALENCE, FRANCE.

Email address: jean-marc.couveignes@math.u-bordeaux.fr

UNIV. BORDEAUX, CNRS, INRIA, BORDEAUX-INP, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 TALENCE, FRANCE.

Email address: jean.gasnier@math.u-bordeaux.fr