

# Gelfand Type Elliptic Boundary Problems: a Synoptic Novel Codification of Solutions & Non-Solutions

Edoardo Niccolai

## ► To cite this version:

Edoardo Niccolai. Gelfand Type Elliptic Boundary Problems: a Synoptic Novel Codification of Solutions & Non-Solutions. 2024. hal-04219781

# HAL Id: hal-04219781 https://hal.science/hal-04219781v1

Preprint submitted on 11 Mar 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

## GELFAND TYPE ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY PROBLEMS: A SYNOPTIC NOVEL CODIFICATION OF SOLUTIONS & NON-SOLUTIONS

#### EDOARDO NICCOLAI

ABSTRACT. The Gelfand problem has its charm in its openness to multiple solutions and nonsolutions. We focus our efforts on: 1-, 2-, 3- or higher-space dimensions; videlicet:  $D = 1 \le n \le 9$ ,  $D = 3 \le n \le 9$ ,  $D = n \ge 10$ ,  $D = n \ge 11$ . Accounts are also given when the problem is dealing with a geodesic ball (spherical cap), and with no deformation of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -metric and no decrement of the Ricci scalar. Sifting through even just a part of the pertaining bibliography, curiosities, inaccuracies, and inedited observations emerge. For those in need of completeness there is an appendix on Lebesgue's and Picard–Lindelöf's theorems. This article presents five proofs together with a proof sketch and a hint of proof (because they are already present elsewhere). Not only. There is, for minds suited to a broad vision, a critical annotation (*in cauda venenum*).

KEYWORDS: Gelfand problem, elliptic boundary problems, classification of solutions and nonsolutions from 1- to 3- or higher-space dimensions, Emden–Fowler equation and transformation, infinity Laplacian ( $\Delta_{\infty}$ ), (hemi)spherical spaces, Dirichlet boundary, perturbations, Hardy's inequality (or Hardy–Littlewood–Pólya inequality), Picard–Lindelöf theorem, mini-version of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

#### Contents

1. Intro: Euler–Lagrange Versatile Ancestry	3
2. Gelfand Problem from Semi-linear Elliptic Formulæ	4
2.1. Gelfand Problem for Various Dimensions	4
2.1.1. Gelfand Problem in 1-Space Dimension	4
2.1.2. Gelfand–Liouville Problem in 2-Space Dimension	6
2.1.3. Gelfand Problem in a Special Liouville-type Equation	7
2.1.4. Gelfand–Dirichlet Problem in 3- or Higher-Space Dimension	8
2.1.5. A Tiny Note on the (Bifurcation) Diagram in the Gelfand Problem	10
2.1.6. $\triangle_{\infty}$ , or the Infinity Laplacian	10
3. Gelfand problem in D = 1 $\leq n \leq 9$ , with Dirichlet $\mathscr{C}^{2,\delta}$ -boundary	11
4. Non-linearities Issues in (Hemi)spherical Spaces	12
4.1. Gelfand Problem applied to a Geodesic Ball, or Spherical Cap	12
4.2. Gelfand Problem with no Deformation of the $\mathbb{R}$ -metric and no Decrement of the	
Scalar Curvature	13
4.3. Gelfand Problem in a Ball-Space under Perturbation	14
5. Synopsis: Classification of Solutions and Non-Solutions in Gelfand Problem	16
6. Some References on an Affine Problem: Lane–Emden Question	18
Appendix	18
Picard–Lindelöf Theorem	18
Mini-version of Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem	19
Outro	19
Three Bird's Eye Remarks	19

Bibliography · List of Works Mentioned in the Articl	e	21

An ancestor of the Gelfand problem (GP) can be reconstructed directly from the Euler–Lagrange formulæ, as a semi-linear partial differential equations,

$$\int -\Delta_{\rm LB} v = \varphi(v) \text{ in } \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(1a)

$$\begin{cases}
v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\
(1b)
\end{cases}$$

where

 $\varOmega \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded region of the Euclidean n-space, and

 $\partial \Omega$  is a smooth boundary.

Eq. (1a) has a weak yet stable solution, whilst Eq. (1), in its very doubleness, is in use for the energy functional

$$\mathscr{E}_{[\Omega]}(\upsilon): S_{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^{n},$$
$$\mathscr{E}_{[\Omega]}(\upsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \left| \nabla^{2} \upsilon \right| dx \right) - \left( \int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \varpi_{[\mathscr{C}^{2}]}(\upsilon) dx \right), \quad \zeta_{\lambda_{k}}(t) \in S_{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\underline{\omega} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
 is a  $\mathscr{C}^2$ -function.

We recall that certain variations of  $\mathscr{E}$ -energy, according to the flow of time  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , have the advantage of determining when a *stable critical point* of  $\mathscr{E}_{[\Omega]}$  is zero, for a given function  $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , viz.

$$\zeta(t) = \mathscr{E}_{[\Omega]} \Big( \upsilon + \zeta_{\lambda_k}(t) \Big), \tag{3}$$

with the intervention of the k-th eigenvalue  $\zeta_{\lambda_k}$  of the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\Omega$ . In fact, it is enough to fix

$$\frac{\zeta_{\lambda_k}(t)}{t} - \frac{\zeta_{\lambda_k}(0)}{t} = \int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \nabla v \nabla \zeta_{\lambda_k} dx + \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \zeta_{\lambda_k}|^2 dx\right) t}{2} - \int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} -\frac{\omega(v) - \omega\left(t\zeta_{\lambda_k} + v\right)}{t} dx.$$
(4)

NB.  $\mathscr{E}_{[\Omega]}$  is a functional whose derivative occurs at each point in its domain, that is, its differentiability is related to  $v \in S_{\Omega}$ , while its derivative is  $D\mathscr{E}_{[\Omega]}(v) = 0$ , and the differentiation is a Fréchet-like process [35] on a normed spaces.

For the Lagrange theorem, one gets

$$\left|-\frac{\underline{\omega}(v)-\underline{\omega}(t\zeta_{\lambda_{k}}+v)}{t}\right| \leqslant \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}[-\alpha,\alpha]}, \quad \varphi = \underline{\dot{\omega}},$$
(5)

putting

 $\alpha = \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\zeta_{\lambda_k}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)},^{\mathbf{a}} \text{ and }$ 

 $|t| \leqslant 1.$ 

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem [52] (see Appendix, Theorem 6.2), one has

$$\int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \nabla v \nabla \zeta_{\lambda_k} dx = \int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(v) \zeta_{\lambda_k} dx \tag{6}$$

by establishing  $t \to 0$ , and  $\dot{\zeta}_{\lambda_k}(0) = 0$ . From which

$$\int_{\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} -\zeta_{\lambda_k} \Big(\varphi(v) + \Delta v\Big) dx = 0.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>  $L^{\infty}$  is a generalization of the vector  $L^p$  space, whose norm is ensured by the essential supremum:  $|\varphi_L|_{\infty} = \text{ess sup } |\varphi_L|$ . To be precise,  $L^{\infty}$  is a *Banach space* of bounded sequence.

#### 2. Gelfand Problem from Semi-linear Elliptic Formulæ

From the previous section we can move to define the constitutive Gelfand problem of stable solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations:

$$\int -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^n} v = \lambda e^v \text{ in } \mathbb{B}^n_{\rho=1}, \tag{8a}$$

$$\int v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathbb{B}^n_{\rho=1},$$
(8b)

where

 $\triangle_{\mathbb{B}^n}$  is, again, the differential operator but in the ball model, and it is in the guise of diffusion operator,

 $\lambda > 0$  is a (positive) parameter,

 $\mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n \ge 1$ , is the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \ge 1}$ .

The propagation is regulated by the diffusion of temperature, i.e. of heat conduction, from hot areas towards the cold boundary, according to the non-linearity of a law established by S. Arrhenius [4], under a chemical reaction with

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(v) = e^{\circ}, \ \ s = \frac{v}{1 + \varepsilon v},$$
(9)

being  $\varepsilon > 0$  a value sufficiently small. In logarithmic appearance, Eq. (9) takes the form

$$\log \varphi_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{v \log e}{1 + \varepsilon v}.$$
(10)

Two perspectives open up.

(1) The first is a no steady-state, in which the pair of Eqq. (8) is not valid, because there is no stability (it may happen that the fuel undergoes a rarefaction, due to the small quantity, and the reaction is extinguished, or there may be too much fuel, which leads to a thermal explosion).

(2) The second one is a steady combustion process, as there is a stability, thanks to a very fast balance between the produced and the diffused heat, whose quantification is provided by  $\lambda > 0$  (which can be considered, historically, as the Frank-Kamenetskii constant), and the pair of Eqq. (8) works.

In summary, if the value of  $\lambda$  is large, the pair (8) ceases to be valid; if the value of  $\lambda$  is small, the pair (8) is valid.

As it is well known, for n = 1, 2, 3, the Gelfand problem is applied to self-heating and self-ignition studies, e.g. when it is dealing with a container with a cylindrical shape, which is in a steady-state regime, viz. in an intermediate asymptotic range, see e.g. I.M. Gelfand [36], A. Farina [29].

The above can be summarized as follows.

Starting Theorem 2.1. We say that  $\check{\lambda}$  denotes the maximal value, or the extremal (parameter), of  $\lambda$ . Letting  $\check{\lambda} = \check{\lambda}(n) > 0$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,

(1) if  $\lambda > \check{\lambda}$ , there is no solution of (8),

(2) if  $0 < \lambda < \check{\lambda}$ , there is a minimal solution

$$v_{\lambda}^{\min} \in \mathscr{C}^2\left(\bar{\mathbb{B}}\right)$$

of (8), which is a stable.

Let us go into details, by placing before the positive cases (those with solutions).

#### 2.1. Gelfand Problem for Various Dimensions

#### 2.1.1. Gelfand Problem in 1-Space Dimension

**Theorem 2.1** (The n = 1 case). When n = 1, the pair (8) takes this form

$$n = 1 \begin{cases} -\ddot{v} = \lambda e^{v}, \tag{11a} \end{cases}$$

$$v = 1$$
  $v(-1) = v(+1) = 0.$  (11b)

Let  $\check{\lambda} > 0$ .

2.1 Gelfand Problem for Various Dimensions

(1) If  $0 < \lambda < \check{\lambda}$ , one has two positive solutions, which are strictly decreasing in the interval (0, 1], whose determination is precisely in x = 0,

(i) the so-called minimal solution,

$$v_{\lambda}^{\min} \in \mathscr{C}^2[-1,+1],\tag{12}$$

which provides stability to Gelfand equation(s) in the form (11), and

(ii) a solution with Morse index [62] [2]  $i_M = 1$ , which can be written as

 $v_{\lambda}(i_{\scriptscriptstyle M}).$ 

 $The \ curved \ line$ 

$$(0, \breve{\lambda}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^2[-1, +1] \times \mathscr{C}^2[-1, +1], \ \lambda \mapsto (\upsilon_{\lambda}, \upsilon_{\lambda}(i_{\scriptscriptstyle M})),$$

turns out to be smooth, for any  $x \in (-1, +1)$ , so that

$$\left\{\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+} \left\{ v_{\lambda}(x), v_{\lambda}(i_M)(x) \right\} = (0, +\infty),$$
(13a)

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \check{\lambda}} \left\{ \upsilon_{\lambda}(x), \upsilon_{\lambda}(i_{M})(x) \right\} = \left( \check{\upsilon}(x), \check{\upsilon}(x) \right),$$
(13b)

once it is specified that

$$\breve{v}(x) \in \mathscr{C}^2[-1,+1]$$

is the unique solution to the pair of Eqq. (11).

(2) If  $\lambda > \check{\lambda}$ , there is no solution of the type (12).

*Proof.* For simplicity, the demonstration can be divided into four parts.

(a) We just said that v is the solution to (11), in two versions:  $v_{\lambda}$  and  $v_{\lambda}(i_{\rm M})$ . But

v > 0 and  $-\ddot{v} > 0$  have no point of minimum in (-1, +1).

To circumvent this issue, write

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\upsilon}^{2} = \lambda \left(e^{\upsilon} - e^{\upsilon(0)}\right)\right\} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} \left\{\frac{-\dot{\upsilon}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\lambda \left(-e^{\upsilon} + e^{\upsilon(0)}\right)}} = 1\right\},\tag{14}$$

so as to reach a partial solution to the pair of Eqq. (11), which is

$$\int_{0}^{\upsilon(0)} \frac{dt}{\left[:\sqrt{\sqrt{2}\lambda\left(-e^{t}+e^{\upsilon(0)}\right):]}} = 1.$$
 (15)

Let us integrate between 0 and  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . Knowing that we are dealing with v as a *even function*, and that  $\dot{v}[\rho \in (0, 1)] < 0$ , the solution to the pair of Eqq. (11) will be

$$\int_{\upsilon(\varrho)}^{\upsilon(0)} \frac{dt}{\llbracket \cdots : \rrbracket} = \varrho \in (0, 1), \tag{16}$$

where the symbols  $[: \cdots :]$  signify that the expression within them shall be repeated (compare with the beginning and ending repeat signs in music notation).

( $\beta$ ) Let us keep within the value  $\lambda > 0$ . One has three results:

- (i) a first solution for  $\lambda = \check{\lambda}$ ,
- (ii) two solutions for  $\lambda \in (0, \check{\lambda})$ ,
- (iii) no solution for  $\lambda > \breve{\lambda}$ .

Let

$$\mathbb{R}_* = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R}_+ \xrightarrow{\kappa(\upsilon(0))} \mathbb{R}, \ \upsilon(0) > 0$$

be a function in the interval  $(0, \max]$ , where

$$\mu(v(0)) = \int_0^{v(0)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{v(0)} - e^t}}\right) dt.$$
(17)

2 Gelfand Problem from Semi-linear Elliptic Formulæ

The maximum (max) lies in  $\breve{v}(0)$ , going to meet

$$\lim_{\nu(0)\to 0^+} \mu(\nu(0)) = \lim_{\nu(0)\to +\infty} \mu(\nu(0)) = 0.$$
(18)

And with that we have proved the three points above requested.

( $\gamma$ ) Let  $\zeta_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{C}^{1}_{c}(\Omega)$ .<sup>a</sup> We specify  $Q_{f}(v)$  to be the quadratic form (map):

$$Q_f(\upsilon)[\zeta_{\lambda}] = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta_{\lambda}|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \dot{\varphi}(\upsilon) \zeta_{\lambda}^2 dx \ge 0.$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

If  $\hat{E} \in \hat{\Omega} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} E \in \Omega$  designates a compact subset of  $\Omega$ , then all solutions v are unstable inside  $E = \{0\}$  and stable outside of it. And this is because  $Q_f(v)[\zeta_{\lambda}] \ge 0$ ,  $\forall \zeta_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{C}^1_c(0,1)$ . The differentiation of the pair (11) is so equatable:

$$-\ddot{\upsilon} = \lambda e^{\upsilon} \lambda \dot{\upsilon}, \tag{20}$$

from which  $\int_0^1 \ddot{v} (\dot{v} \varpi)_D^2 d\varrho = \lambda \int_0^1 e^v (\dot{v} \varpi)^2 d\varrho$ , where  $\varpi \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\mathrm{c}}(0,1)$ . Actually

$$Q_{f}(\upsilon)[\zeta_{\lambda}] = \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \left( d\left(\dot{\upsilon}\varpi\right) \middle/ d\varrho \right)^{2} - \lambda e^{\upsilon} \left(\dot{\upsilon}\varpi\right)^{2} \right\} d\varrho = \int_{0}^{1} \left( \dot{\upsilon} \left(\dot{\upsilon}\varpi^{2}\right)_{D} + \left(\dot{\upsilon}\dot{\varpi}\right)^{2} - \lambda e^{u} \left(\dot{\upsilon}\varpi\right)^{2} \right) d\varrho,$$
(21)

so we infer

$$Q_f(\upsilon)[\zeta_{\lambda}] = \int_0^1 \left(\dot{\upsilon}\bar{\varpi}\right)^2 d\varrho \ge 0, \ \zeta_{\lambda} = \dot{\upsilon}\varpi.$$
(22)

( $\delta$ ) Let us look at the solution with Morse index  $i_{\rm M} = 1$ , i.e.  $v_{\lambda}(i_{\rm M})$  is equal to 1 or not greater than 1. Let  $\varpi$  assume the concept of *direction*, and surmise that

( $\delta$ .1)  $\varpi \in \mathscr{C}^1_c(-1,+1)$ , in such a way as to have

$$Q_f \Big( v_\lambda(i_{\rm M}) \Big) [\varpi] < 0$$

( $\delta$ .2)  $Q_f(\zeta_{\lambda}) \ge 0$ , in a manner that  $\zeta_{\lambda}(0) = 0$ ,  $\forall \zeta_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{C}^1_c(-1, +1)$ . Resultantly  $\tilde{\zeta}_{\lambda} = \zeta_{\lambda} - \zeta_{\lambda}(0)\varpi$  vanishes when the values is 0, and therefore

$$Q_f\left(v_{\lambda}(i_{\mathrm{M}})\right)\left[\tilde{\zeta}_{\lambda}
ight]\geqslant 0.$$

Marginalia 2.1 (Bifurcation in 1-space). The bifurcation for the GP in 1-space dimension looks like this:  $||v_{\lambda}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B})}$  on the y = ordinate, with a norm  $||v||_{\infty} = v(0)$ , for all  $v \in \{v_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}(i_{M})\}$ , and  $\check{\lambda} \longrightarrow \lambda$  on the x = abscissa. As long as  $\lambda \to 0$ , one sees that, due to Eq. (13b), the solution  $v_{\lambda}(i_{M})$ , which is unstable, blows-up at every x-point, in a state where  $x \in (-1, +1)$ .

The shape is that of a hump-like along the y-axis, starting at the point (0,0), the origin, which extends (or rises) its  $\check{\lambda}$ -peak along the x-axis, whilst the portion of the curve that decreases (or descends) from the  $\check{\lambda}$ -peak approaches closer and closer to the y-axis. \*

#### 2.1.2. Gelfand–Liouville Problem in 2-Space Dimension<sup>b</sup>

**Theorem 2.2** (The n = 2 case). Let  $\lambda = 2$ , such that, w.r.t. to the pair of Eqq. (8), there is (1) a first result  $v_{\lambda}$ , which is minimal, with a stable solution,

$$\upsilon_{\lambda}^{\min}(\varrho) = \ln \frac{-\frac{8\left(\lambda + 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2-\lambda} - 4\right)}{\lambda^2}}{\left\{1 + \lambda\left(-\frac{\lambda + 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2-\lambda} - 4}{\lambda^2}\right)\varrho^2\right\}^2}, \quad \upsilon_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{C}^2\left(\bar{\mathbb{B}}\right)$$
(23)

and a second result  $v_{\lambda}(i_{\rm M})$ , with an unstable solution,

$$\upsilon_{\lambda}(i_{\scriptscriptstyle M})(\varrho) = \ln \frac{\frac{8(-\lambda+2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}-\lambda+4)}{\lambda^2}}{\left\{1 + \lambda \left(\frac{-\lambda+2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}-\lambda+4}{\lambda^2}\right) \varrho^2\right\}^2}, \quad \upsilon_{\lambda}(i_{\scriptscriptstyle M}) \in \mathscr{C}^2\left(\bar{\mathbb{B}}\right), \tag{24}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>  $\mathscr{C}_c$  stands for the (linear) space of all continuous functions of compact support: the subscript <sub>c</sub> means  $\mathscr{C}_{compact}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The double name comes from Liouville's survey [55]. On symmetric groups in a differential scene for the Gelfand–Liouville problem, see Yu. Bozhkov, A.C. Gilli Martins [14].

#### 2.1 Gelfand Problem for Various Dimensions

both for  $0 < \lambda < \check{\lambda}$ , and  $\varrho \in [0, 1]$ ,

(2) one and only one solution under

$$v_{(\lambda)} = \ln \frac{4}{\varrho^4 + 2\varrho^2 + 1},\tag{25}$$

for  $\lambda = \breve{\lambda}$ , and  $\varrho \in [0, 1]$ ,

(3) no solution of type  $v_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{C}^2(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ , for  $\lambda > \check{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* The solutions we are looking for are positive with the help of the maximum principle, in which the usual bounded domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n \ge 1}$  applies; inasmuch as the function  $v \in \mathscr{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathscr{C}^0(\overline{\Omega})$  fulfils

$$\int -\Delta v \ge 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{26a}$$

$$v \ge 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$
 (26b)

it is evident that in  $\Omega$  there exists v = 0, or v > 0. Any solution requires that the boundary condition v(1) = 0, ergo

$$-\frac{8\left(\lambda+2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2-\lambda}-4\right)}{\lambda^2} = \left\{1 + \lambda\left(-\frac{\lambda+2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2-\lambda}-4}{\lambda^2}\right)^2\right\}.$$
(27)

From here we can deduce that the results of our interest are

 $\lambda < \dot{\lambda}$  having a solution equal to 2, cf. Eqq. (23) (24),

 $\lambda = \check{\lambda}$  having a solution equal to 1, cf. Eq. (25),

 $\lambda > \check{\lambda}$  having a solution equal to 0, look at point (3).

Not only. The symmetrical radiality of each solution is a corollary of what was proved by Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg [37], directly including symmetry of positive solutions of second order elliptic equations.

NB. A study on the blow-up mechanism for solutions in 2-space of

$$\begin{cases} -\bigtriangleup \upsilon = \eta_{L^p}(x)e^{\upsilon} \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \upsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(28a)  
(28b)

where

 $v \in L^1(\Omega),$ 

 $\eta_{L^p}$  is a certain function in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , for 1 , and

 $e^{\upsilon} \in L^{p^{\mathrm{ce}}}(\varOmega),$  with  $p^{\mathrm{ce}}$  representing the conjugate exponent of  $p,^{\mathrm{a}}$ 

is explained in H. Brezis et F. Merle [16].

Marginalia 2.2 (Bifurcation in 2-space). The bifurcation for the GP in 2-space dimension is  $||v_{\lambda}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B})}$ on the y = ordinate, with a norm  $||v||_{\infty} = v(0)$ , for all  $v \in \{v_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda}(i_{M})\}$ , and  $\check{\lambda} \longrightarrow \lambda$  on the x = abscissa. One sees that, due to Eq. (24), the solution  $v_{\lambda}(i_{M})$ , which is unstable, blows-up at the (space) origin, since

$$v_{\lambda}(i_{\mathrm{M}})(\varrho) \to 4(-1)\ln \varrho \in (0,1)$$

The shape is identical to that in 1-space dimension.

#### 2.1.3. Gelfand Problem in a Special Liouville-type Equation

Let

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{\upsilon}(x) + \left(\frac{n}{x} - \frac{1}{x}\right)\dot{\upsilon}(x) = -\frac{\lambda e^{-\upsilon(x)}}{A_{\mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}^n \int_0^1 \left(e^{-\upsilon(x)}x^{n-1}\right)dx}, \tag{29a}$$

$$v = 0, \dot{v}(0) = 0,$$
 (29b)

be a Liouville-type equation (cfr. see previous Section), where

 $\lambda = sA_{\mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}}^{n} \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-v(x)} x^{n-1} dx$ , in which s is a value (parameter),  $A_{\mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}}^{n}$  is the surface area of  $\mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}$ , and R is the distance-radius.

\*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Usually the conjugate exponent e.g. of the *p*-norm is symbolized with p', but I do not like this formalism, since it is multi-semantic, and thereupon ambiguous.

#### 2 Gelfand Problem from Semi-linear Elliptic Formulæ

Narrowing the interval to [0, 1], and admitting that  $v_{\lambda}(0) = 0$ ,  $\dot{v}_{\lambda}(0) = 0$ , our equation becomes

$$-\ddot{\upsilon}_{\lambda}(\theta) = \lambda \left( e^{\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} \middle/ \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} d\theta \right),$$
(30)

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\dot{v}_{\lambda}^{2}(\theta) = \frac{2\lambda}{\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} d\theta} e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} - 1, \qquad (31)$$

and

$$\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta) = \log \cos^2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\int_0^1 e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} d\theta}} \theta.$$
(32)

Eq. (32) is a regular solution for any eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  in  $0 \ge \theta < 1$ . The final integral will be this:

$$\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} d\theta = \int_{0}^{1} \left( \frac{d\theta}{\cos^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} d\theta}}} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} d\theta}}} \tan \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\upsilon_{\lambda}(\theta)} d\theta}}, \quad (33)$$

which performs as a solution to  $\int_0^1 e^{-\upsilon_\lambda(\theta)} d\theta = \frac{2}{\lambda} \tan^2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\int_0^1 e^{-\upsilon_\lambda(\theta)} d\theta}}$ .

NB. We are talking about a system in 2-space dimension.

#### 2.1.4. Gelfand–Dirichlet Problem in 3- or Higher-Space Dimension<sup>a</sup>

**Theorem 2.3** (The  $n \ge 3$  case). Put  $3 \le n \le 9$ . Then  $\lambda + 4 > 2n$  such that, w.r.t. to the pair of Eqq. (8),

- (1) there are poli- $\upsilon$ -solutions (a finite number)  $\upsilon \in \mathscr{C}^2(\bar{\mathbb{B}})$ , for  $0 < \lambda < \check{\lambda}, 2n \neq \lambda + 2$ ,
- (2) there are r-solutions,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , once it has been imposed a value  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so that  $\varepsilon > |-2n+\lambda+4|$ ,
- (3) there are poli-v-solutions (an infinite number), for  $\lambda = 2n 4$ ,
- (4) there is one and only one solution, for  $\lambda = \check{\lambda}$ ,
- (5) there is no solution, for  $\lambda > \dot{\lambda}$ ,

(5 bis) there is no  $v \in \mathscr{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -solution stable of the semi-linear partial differential equation  $-\Delta = \lambda e^v$  on the Euclidean  $\mathbb{R}^{n \ge 2}$ -space outside a compact set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for (let us remind it once more)  $3 \le n \le 9$ , and the consequential absence of existence of solutions with finite Morse index  $v_\lambda(i_M)$ ; thence the sequence  $(v_r)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  appears to be bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  iff  $(v_r)$  of  $v_\lambda(i_M)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ -space.<sup>b</sup>

*Proof.* When there is a solution, it is positive owing to the maximum principle via *Picard–Lindelöf* theorem [67] [54] (see Appendix, Theorem 6.1)—an alternative version of which is known as *Peano* theorem [66]—,<sup>c</sup> a demonstrative guarantee for the local existence and uniqueness of solutions with initial values and derivatives, which are obviously prescribed.

 $(\alpha)$  For this reason we start from an equation (an initial value problem) with maximal solution,

$$\int v(0) = \alpha, \dot{v}(0) = 0, \ \alpha > 0, \tag{34a}$$

$$\varrho^{-(n-1)} \left( \varrho^{n-1} \dot{\upsilon} \right)_D + \lambda e^{\upsilon} = 0, \qquad (34b)$$

generated through the fixed-point theorem. From this pair it is easy to build the integral equation (35a) and the *Emden–Fowler transformation* (35b) [28] [32] [33] [34] (see Marginalia 2.4),

$$\left(\upsilon(\varrho) = \alpha - \lambda \int_0^{\varrho} \int_0^{\tau} \frac{\tau\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^n}{t} e^{\upsilon(t)} dt d\tau, \quad \varrho \ge 0,$$
(35a)

$$\left(\alpha + \psi(t) = \upsilon(\varrho) + 2t, \ \varrho = \sqrt{2}e^t \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\alpha}(n-2)}{\lambda}}.$$
(35b)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The double name comes from Dirichlet's survey, explored by D.D. Joseph & T.S. Lundgren [43].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> See E.N. Dancer and A. Farina [23].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The Peano existence theorem, unlike Picard–Lindelöf theorem, does without the Lipschitz continuity.

#### 2.1 Gelfand Problem for Various Dimensions

This transforms the equational pair (34) into the following expressions:

for which, by setting  $\chi(t) + 2t = \psi(t)$ , there is a unique solution in the interval  $(-\infty, T)$ , determined by this integral,

$$\chi(t) = 4 - 2n \left( \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(4-2n)\tau} \right) d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{ns + \chi(s)} ds.$$
(37)

NB. Evidence for the aforementioned interval  $(-\infty, T)$  emerges from the Lyapunov exponent [57],<sup>a</sup>

$$\lambda_{\rm L}(\psi) = 2(n-2)e^{\psi} - \frac{1}{2}\psi(4n-\psi-8), \tag{38}$$

hence

$$\frac{d\lambda_{\rm L}(\psi)}{dt} = 2(n-2)e^{\psi}\dot{\psi} - \dot{\psi}(-\ddot{\psi}+2n-4) = (2-n)\dot{\psi}^2 \leqslant 0.$$
(39)

If we consequently write the pair of Eqq. of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Q}}$  (36) as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \dot{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\psi} \\ -(n-2)(\dot{\psi}-2)-2(n-2)e^{\psi} \end{pmatrix},\tag{40}$$

we will find that (0,0) is the *unique stationary point*, and it and can be depicted as a *spiral attractor* with these eigenvalues

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(-n-i\sqrt{-(n-10)(n-2)}+2\right),\tag{41a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(-n+i\sqrt{-(n-10)(n-2)}+2\right).$$
(41b)

( $\beta$ ) The  $\psi$ -function is presumed to be the solution to the pair of Eqq. of  $\mathbb{N}$  (36); we accordingly introduce the orbit

$$\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} = \left\{ (\psi, \dot{\psi}) = \left( \psi(t), \dot{\psi}(t) \right) \right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}},$$
(42)

with an asymptotic behavior to  $\dot{\psi} = 2$ , during the time  $t = -\infty$ , in the phase plane  $(\psi, \dot{\psi})$ . Then the orbit  $\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathbb{U}^n_{\mathbb{R}}$  lies in the half-space

$$\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} = \left\{ (\psi, \dot{\psi}) \mid \dot{\psi} < 2 \right\},\tag{43}$$

and the trajectory spirals towards the stationary (0,0)-point. And indeed

$$\lim_{\to -\infty} \varrho^{-2} \left\{ \dot{\psi}(t) + 2\left(e^{\psi(t)} - 1\right) \right\} = \lambda e^{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{2}{(n-2)n} \right\} > 0.$$

$$\tag{44}$$

It is thereby possible to subsequently satisfy, with some passages, the requirements (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) of the Theorem. Also in these dimensionalities, the symmetrical radiality of each solution is proved by Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg [37].

Marginalia 2.3 (Bifurcation in 3- or higher space). The bifurcation for the GP in 3- or higher-space dimension has a dual nature.

(1) In D = 3  $\leq n \leq 9$  the values approached asymptotically are fixed by  $\|v_{\lambda}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B})}$  on the y = ordinate, and  $2(n-2) \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} 2n-4$  plus  $\check{\lambda} \longrightarrow \lambda$  on the x = abscissa.

About the shape: the peak is in  $\lambda$ , but the bifurcation scheme has an approximately sinusoidal trend, which gets smaller and smaller in the multiple succession between a peak and a trough; the curve remains distant from the *y*-axis, as it has a winding line along the value  $2(n-2) \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} 2n-4$ .

(2) In D  $\geq 10$  the value  $\|v_{\lambda}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B})}$  is on the y = ordinate, and  $\check{\lambda} \longrightarrow \lambda$  on the x = abscissa.

About the shape: the line starts from the origin (0,0), and creates a curve that approaches indefinitely near to the vertical axis in  $\check{\lambda}$ , on the x = abscissa.

t

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Cf. [64, margo 13.2.2].

Marginalia 2.4 (Emden-Fowler tools for non-local Gelfand system). Let

$$\int \Delta v + \lambda e^v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{45a}$$

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{45b}$$

indicate a Emden–Fowler equation, where  $\Omega$  is circular in the  $\mathbb{R}$ -field. The pair (45) has an applicative extension to the Gelfand problem in a non-local elliptic equation of this type,

$$\int -\Delta v = \lambda \frac{e^{v}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} e^{v} dx\right)^{p}},\tag{46a}$$

$$v = 0, \tag{46b}$$

 $x \in (\Omega, \partial \Omega)$ , where the Dirichlet boundary condition is in force. If  $\Omega$  coincides with a unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_{\rho}^{n} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid ||x|| = \rho = 1\}$ , with  $\mathbf{D} = 3 \leq n \leq 9$ , and  $\frac{2}{n} \leq p \leq 1$ , one witnesses the formation of infinitely many different bending lines in the  $\lambda - v$  plane. Ascertained that  $\Omega$  is a ring-shaped object, for  $p \geq 1$ , there is a solution for  $\lambda > 0$ . See K. Nagasaki & T. Suzuki [63] and T. Miyasita [61].

#### 2.1.5. A Tiny Note on the (Bifurcation) Diagram in the Gelfand Problem

Several examples of diagrams related to the Gelfand problem are in [53, chapp. 9.3.2, 14.2.2, 14.3] S. Liao, D. Bartolucci & A. Jevnikar [8], and in S.-Y. Huang [42].

I always find it *humanly* funny that *(les) mathématiques* belonging to different branches can produce similar if not the same results. The same diagrams, *mutatis mutandis*, in different dimensions, are drawn in [47, p. 27, and p. 80 (possible non-local response diagrams)]. This is the clear symptom that our mathematics, is still laughably *too rough*.

#### 2.1.6. $\Delta_{\infty}$ , or the Infinity Laplacian

The status in which the Laplacian

$$\int -\Delta_p \, \upsilon = \lambda e^{\upsilon} \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{47a}$$

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{47b}$$

is infinite, videlicet  $p \to \infty$  of GP, is analyzed by F. Charro, B. Son, and P. Wang [19]; also compare P. Juutinen, P. Lindqvist & J.J. Manfredi [44].

The optimal way that allows to minimize the ratio is

$$\frac{\|\nabla v\|_{\infty,\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}}}{\|v\|_{\infty,\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}}} \cong \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\|\nabla v\|_{p,\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}}}{\|v\|_{p,\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{n}}}.$$
(48)

By the way, it is feasible [60] to find some solutions to pairs of Eq. (47) without the rescalings

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_p^{\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)}}{p} \xrightarrow{p \to \infty} \lambda_{\max}, \qquad (49a) \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{\frac{\upsilon_{(\lambda_p)p}}{p} \xrightarrow{p \to \infty} \upsilon, \tag{49b}\right\}$$

in v and  $\lambda$ . So, inherently to a viscosity solution to  $\Delta_{\infty} v = 0$  of the suitable limit problem,

$$\begin{cases} \min\left(|\nabla v| - \lambda_{\max} e^{v}, -\Delta_{\infty} v\right) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{50a} \end{cases}$$

$$\left(v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{50b}\right)$$

one attains the solutions  $v_p$ , which converges uniformly in  $\Omega$  as  $p \to \infty$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \min(|\nabla v| - 1, -\Delta_{\infty} v) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(51a)

$$\left(v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{51b}\right)$$

concerning the distance function from the boundary of the domain at hand.

NB. It should be noted that

$$\Delta_{\infty} \upsilon = \langle D\upsilon, D^2 \upsilon D\upsilon \rangle = \upsilon_{x_j} \upsilon_{x_k} \upsilon_{x_j x_k} = |\nabla \upsilon|^{-2} \sum_{jk} \upsilon_{x_j} \upsilon_{x_k} \upsilon_{x_j x_k}, \tag{52}$$

emerges as a kind of Euler-Lagrange formula controlling the absolute minimizer for the  $L^{\infty}$ -variational problem, with the covariant and the second covariant derivatives, D and  $D^2$ .

### 3. Gelfand problem in $D = 1 \leq n \leq 9$ , with Dirichlet $\mathscr{C}^{2,\delta}$ -boundary

Let us move on to another version of the GP, which can be deduced from the studies of Crandall–Rabinowitz–Mignot–Puel [22] [59].

#### Theorem 3.1. Let

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \lambda e^{v} \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \ge 1} \end{cases}$$
(53a)

$$v = 0 \ on \ \partial\Omega, \tag{53b}$$

connote a Gelfand problem in  $D = 1 \leq n \leq 9$ , with Dirichlet  $\mathcal{C}^{2,\delta}$ -boundary, for  $\delta \in (0,2)$ . Then, there exist

(1) an extremal solution, and

(2) a stable solution  $v_{\lambda}$  inserted in the norm of this inequality,  $\|v_{\lambda}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{a} \leq \delta$ , by selecting a constant

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}\Big(n, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \ge 1}\Big) > 0,$$

to the pair of Eqq. (53). In brief, this theorem aims to prove a regularity for elliptic problems.

*Proof.* We recover the quadratic form (19) for the pair (53):

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla v \nabla \varpi) dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} (e^{v} \varpi) dx$$
$$= 2\delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{2} e^{2\delta v} dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{v} (e^{\delta v} - 1) (e^{\delta v} + 1) dx$$
$$= \frac{2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (e^{\delta v} - 1)|^{2} dx}{\delta} = \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{v} (e^{2\delta v} - 1) dx.$$
(54)

From this last equation we continue with (cf. Secc. 1 and 2.1.1)

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \underbrace{e^{\delta \upsilon} - 1}_{\zeta_{\lambda}} \right) \right|^2 dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{\upsilon} \left( \underbrace{e^{\delta \upsilon} - 1}_{\zeta_{\lambda}} \right)^2 dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} e^{\upsilon} \left( -2e^{(\delta + 1)\upsilon} + e^{2\delta \upsilon + \upsilon} + e^{\upsilon} \right) dx.$$
(55)

Eq. (54) plus Eq. (54) create

0

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\upsilon} \left( e^{2\delta \upsilon} - 1 \right) dx \geqslant \frac{2 \int_{\Omega} e^{\upsilon} \left( -2e^{(\delta+1)\upsilon} + e^{2\delta \upsilon + \upsilon} + e^{\upsilon} \right) dx}{\delta}.$$
(56)

Leveraging the *Hölder inequality* [41], one draws a non-equal comparison between these two expressions:

$$|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2(2\delta+1)}} \left( \int_{\Omega} e^{(2\delta+1)v} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2(2\delta+1)} + \frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left( e^{(2\delta+1)v} \right) dx.$$
(57)

It presuppose that  $e^{v}$  is bounded in vector-valued Banach function  $L^{p}(\Omega)$ -space, for all  $p = 2\delta + 1$ . In view of the fact that  $D = n \leq 9$ , the presence of  $\delta$  suggests that  $p = (2\delta + 1) > \frac{n}{2}$ . Finally, the pair of Eqq. (53) together with the inequality

$$\|v_{\lambda}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant \mathfrak{d} \tag{58}$$

are in consequence both solved by v, which is equivalent to the desired regularity. It should be stressed that the *extremal solution* is

$$\breve{v}(x) = -\ln|x|^2,$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See footnote a, p. 3.

upon the occurrence of a coincidence between a bounded  $\Omega$ -region (of the Euclidean *n*-space) and the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}^n_{\rho}$ , when the dimension is  $D = n \ge 10$ . What does it mean? It is simple: one can hatch *singular stable solutions* to the pair (53) in 10- or higher-space dimension.

#### 4. Non-linearities Issues in (Hemi)spherical Spaces

#### 4.1. Gelfand Problem applied to a Geodesic Ball, or Spherical Cap

The Gelfand problem, in its non-linearity, can be addressed [56] [7] [45] [46] by writing alternately

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n} v = \lambda \varphi(v) \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^n, \ v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$
(59)

where

 $\triangle$  (the Laplace–Beltrami operator) acts on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{(n \ge 1)+1}$ ,

 $\varphi(0) > 0 \in \mathscr{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$  is a non-linear convex monotonically increasing function, under which the postulate

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} = +\infty \begin{cases} \varphi(q) \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} (1+q)^{p>1}, \\ \varphi(q) \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} e^q, \end{cases}$$
(60a) (60b)

is assigned, and

 $\partial \Omega \neq \emptyset.$ 

Let us see why.

(1) Since  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$ , where  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is the extended complex plane, can be distinguished in topological terms, one says that it is equivalent to the 2-sphere in the 3-dimensional real space,

$$\mathbb{S}^{2} = \left\{ (x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid (x^{1})^{2} + (x^{2})^{2} + (x^{3})^{2} = 1 \right\},$$
(61)

via stereographic projection. The complex  $\mathbb{C}$ -plane is describable with the plane  $x^3 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and the number z = (x + iy) is identifiable with (x, y, 0), for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Wherefore the system of the projective line extended by a point at infinity  $\infty$  is also geometrically equivalent to the Riemann sphere,

$$\hat{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=\!\!=\!\!=} \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathbb{CP}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2.$$
(62)

The Möbius group  $\mathfrak{M}\ddot{\mathfrak{o}}\mathfrak{b}(\hat{\mathbb{C}}) \cong PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \frac{SL_2(\mathbb{C})}{\{\pm \mathbb{I}\}}$  is thereby the set of all transformations

$$z \mapsto \varphi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}[\hat{\mathbb{C}}](z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}, \text{ for } \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$$
(63)

of the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{CP}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2$ , so

$$\mathfrak{M}\ddot{\mathfrak{o}}\mathfrak{b}(\hat{\mathbb{C}}) = \left\{ \varphi \colon \hat{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=\!=\!\!=} \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=\!\!=\!\!=} \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \mid \varphi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}[\hat{\mathbb{C}}](z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta} \right\}$$
$$= \mathfrak{aut}(\hat{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathfrak{aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong PSL_2(\mathbb{C}), \tag{64}$$

where the bijective meromorphic mapping

$$\hat{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=\!\!=} \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \stackrel{\varphi}{\rightarrow} \hat{\mathbb{C}} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=\!\!=} \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$$

is an automorphism of the Riemann sphere, which is purely a Möbius transformation, cf. [64, chap. 2.5.2]

(2) The hyperbolic H-planes in the Beltrami–Poincaré half-space model [9] [10] [11] [68] [69],

$$\mathbb{U}_{\rho}^{n} = \left\{ x = (x^{1}, \dots, x^{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x^{n} > 0 \right\},^{a}$$
(65)

are hemispheres orthogonal to the  $\mathbb{C}$ -plane, and the geodesics are semicircles orthogonal to  $\mathbb{C}$ -plane.

The  $\mathbb{H}$ -planes are spherical caps orthogonal to the unit sphere. The geodesic ball  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^n$  goes to coincide with the spherical cap because of that, centered at the south pole  $(0, \ldots, 0, -1)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The metric on  $\mathbb{U}^n_{\rho}$  is given by  $g^3_{\mathbb{U}} = \rho^2 (x^n)^{-2} (dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + \ldots + dx^n \otimes dx^n)$ . The boundary of  $\mathbb{U}^n$  is provided by the boundary at infinity  $\partial_{\infty} \mathbb{U}^n = (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \{0\}) \cup \{\infty\}$ .

4.2 Gelfand Problem with no Deformation of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -metric and no Decrement of the Scalar Curvature

(3) The spherical cap

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} = \left\{ \left( x^1, \dots, x^{n+1} \right)_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j^2 = 1, -\cos\{\pi\varepsilon\} < x_{n+1} \leqslant 1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{S}^n \right\}$$
(66)

is mapped onto the ball

$$\mathbb{B}^{n}_{\rho} = \left\{ x = (x^{1}, \dots, x^{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid ||x|| = \rho \right\},$$
(67)

with  $\rho = \tan\left\{\frac{1}{2}\pi(1-\varepsilon)\right\}, \varepsilon \to 0.$ 

What is being sought is

(i) the minimal solution to the Gelfand problem on a spherical cap for Dirichlet's boundary value problem,

(ii) an asymptotic comportment of the solution, so as to extend to it the whole sphere by means of a sharp estimate of the *torsion function*  $\tau_{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . For instance, the lower bound

$$\|\tau_{\Omega}\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\Omega)},$$

is asymptotically sharp as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , where  $\lambda_1(\Omega)$  is the positive principal Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n}$  in  $\Omega$ , and

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{v \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |v|^2}$$

the eigenvalue *minimizing* the quadratic functional (Dirichlet energy) on the Sobolev space  $\mathfrak{H}^1(\Omega)$ ; it must be specified that

$$\mathfrak{H}^{1}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in L^{2}(\Omega), \partial_{j} v \in L^{2}(\Omega), 1 \leq j \leq n \right\}$$

$$\tag{68}$$

is a pre-Hilbert space (isto é, a separable Hilbert space).

# 4.2. Gelfand Problem with no Deformation of the $\mathbb{R}$ -metric and no Decrement of the Scalar Curvature

It is plausible to address the Gelfand problem grabbing on the fly some suggestions that come from the theorem of Hang–Wang [38], cf. M. Lai and W. Wei [49], which basically says this: taking a function, say superharmonic,

$$v \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{n \ge 3} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=\!\!=} \triangle \leqslant 0,^{\text{a}}$$

it follows that by the maximum principle, it is not allowed to deform the Euclidean metric in a conformal way in a bounded region without decreasing the (non-negative) scalar curvature

$$R_{\rm s} \ge n(n-1) \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} n + R_{\rm s} \ge n^2$$

somewhere. This echoes the *positive energy mass theorem* (in general relativity, and not only) by Schoen–Yau [73] and E. Witten [75].

Here is the graft. The above theorem states that, with small changes of perspective, for a metric g conformal to the standard metric  $g_0$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n_+$ , its boundary is consistent with

$$g_0|\partial \mathbb{S}^n_+$$
, thus  $g = g_0$ ,

and  $(\mathbb{S}^n_+, g)$  is isometric to a standard hemisphere. All of this connects to GP, since it can easily be reduced to an expression of this type,

$$-\bigtriangleup v = \lambda g(v), \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{69}$$

when g is non-linear in  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , under the Dirichlet boundary condition. And we are well aware that there is an extremal  $\check{\lambda}$  due to which the Eq. (69) has no solutions, for  $\lambda > \check{\lambda}$ . In parallel, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The v is 1 near infinity, and it is identically 1 on  $\mathbb{R}^{n \ge 3}$ .

4 Non-linearities Issues in (Hemi)spherical Spaces

theorem of Hang–Wang fixes these useful values:

(NB. Is under discussion a g-metric on 
$$\mathbb{S}^n_+$$
) 
$$\begin{cases} \breve{\lambda} = 1, \text{ for } n = 2, g(\upsilon) = e^{2\upsilon}, \\ \breve{\lambda} = \frac{1}{4}(n-2)n, \text{ for } n \ge 3, g(\upsilon) = (\upsilon+1)^{\frac{n}{n-2} + \frac{2}{n-2}}. \end{cases}$$
(70a)
(70b)

#### 4.3. Gelfand Problem in a Ball-Space under Perturbation

Let us go back to the Theorem 3.1 we ascribed to Crandall–Rabinowitz–Mignot–Puel. This can give the inspiration for writing an affiliated theorem, which allows us to assert that there are singular stable solutions, if some  $\Omega$ -domain preserves a  $\mathscr{C}^2$ -diffeomorphism on a ball, in the event of perturbations. The proof of this theorem is due to J. Dávila and L. Dupaigne [24].

Starting Theorem 4.1. Let, again,

$$\int -\Delta v = \lambda e^{v} \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tag{71a}$$

$$v = 0 \ on \ \partial\Omega, \tag{71b}$$

be a Gelfand problem lying in the topology of a unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}$ , and subject to the Dirichlet condition  $v|_{\partial \mathbb{B}} = 0$ , where  $\Omega$  is an open set, which is bounded, with a  $\mathscr{C}$ -smooth boundary, and  $\lambda \ge 0$  is the usual parameter. Then,

(1) there is a singular solution  $v + \log |x|^2 = 0$ , if  $\lambda = (2n - 1)$ 

(2) there exist a singular solution v, if  $D = n \ge 4$ , making small deformations to  $\mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}$ ,

(3) there is an extremal solution, if  $D = n \ge 11$  (case in which the parameter  $\lambda$  is the largest possible one),

(4) there are many cases where there is a bounded extremal solution, if  $D = n \ge 10$ , in the presence of non-small perturbations.

#### Theorem 4.1. Let

$$\overline{\omega} \colon \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{\rho=1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

be a  $\mathscr{C}^2$ -map, and  $\Omega_t = \{x + t\varpi(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}\}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, a \text{ smooth bounded region diffeomorphic to the unit ball, so the pair (71) becomes$ 

$$\int -\Delta v = \lambda e^{v} \text{ in } \Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{72a}$$

$$\left\{ v = 0 \ on \ \partial \Omega_t. 
 \tag{72b}$$

If  $D = n \ge 11$ , and t is small enough, then  $v = \breve{v}(t)$  proves to be an extremal solution in  $\Omega_t$  and to (72). Plus, if  $t_0 = t_0(n, \varpi) > 0$  and  $t < t_0$ , the solution  $\breve{v}(t)$  is singular in any  $D = n \ge 4$ ; in addition, there is a value  $b(t) \in \mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}$  so that

$$\left\| \breve{\nu}(x,t) - \log \frac{1}{|x-b(t)|^2} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_t)} + |\lambda(t) - 2(n-2)| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as per } t \to 0.$$

$$(73)$$

At the point of origin, the single solution behaves in this way:  $\breve{v}(x,t) = \ln \breve{\lambda}(0) / \breve{\lambda}(t) + \ln \frac{1}{|x-b(t)|^2} + \varepsilon |x-b(t)|$ , in which  $\lim_{\tau \to 0} \varepsilon(\tau) = 0$ .

*Hints for a Proof (via Hardy-type's inequality).* Needless to repeat what others have already done. Better to make a latere demonstration that paradigmatically sums up what is required. The starting axiom is *Hardy's inequality*, or *Hardy-Littlewood-Pólya inequality* [39] [40], giving it this form:

$$\mathfrak{d}_{\mathrm{H}} = \frac{1}{4}(n-2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\zeta^2}{|x|^2} dx \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\zeta|^2 dx,\tag{74}$$

where

$$\forall \zeta \in \begin{cases} \mathscr{C}_{c}^{1} \\ \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty} \end{cases} (\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \{0\}),$$
(75)

#### 4.3 Gelfand Problem in a Ball-Space under Perturbation

and  $\vartheta_{\rm H}$  is a constant, for  $n \ge 3$ .

Let us adopt the idea of H. Brezis and J.L. Vázquez [17], who found that, referring to a semi-linear elliptic equation

$$-\triangle = \lambda \xi(v) \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{76}$$

where  $\xi$  is a continuous, positive, increasing and convex function, whose non-linearity is outlined for  $v \ge 0$ ,  $\xi(0) > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{\xi(\tau)}{\tau} = +\infty \text{ on } [0, \infty),$$

with Dirichlet boundary  $v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ , there is a correlation between any singular extremal solution and Hardy inequality (so the former must satisfy the latter), the significance of which is that the principal eigenvalue of the linearized operator is non-negative. The correlation can be easily formulated:

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} \dot{\xi}(v) \zeta^2 dx \leqslant \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx, \tag{77}$$

with the same universal quantification explicated in (75), postulating that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded smooth region, and  $v \in \mathfrak{H}_0^1(\Omega)$  is a singular weak solution to (76), for  $\lambda > 0$ . If  $D = n \ge 11$ , the amount is  $2(n-2) < \frac{1}{4}(n-2)^2$ . As a consequence, by virtue of (73),

$$\lambda(t)e^{\heartsuit} < \frac{1}{4}(n-2)^2$$
, where  $\heartsuit = \left\| v - \log \frac{1}{|x-b(t)|^2} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_t)}$ , (78)

implying

$$\lambda(t) \int_{\Omega_t} e^u \zeta^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{4} (n-2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\zeta^2}{|x-b(t)|^2} \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\zeta|^2, \tag{79}$$

And in so doing, it is stated that v(t) is the extremal solution to the pair of Eqq. (72),<sup>a</sup> and  $\lambda(t)$  the extremal parameter. On this trail, see also J.L. Vázquez and E. Zuazua [74].

But the fun does not stop here. The pair (72) can be rewritten in agreement with a ball-type space. Draft

$$v(y) = \left(\chi + t\tilde{\varpi}(t, y)\right),\tag{80}$$

$$\Delta_y \, \upsilon = \Delta_x \, \chi + I\!I_t(\chi), \tag{81}$$

where

$$III_{t}(\chi) = t \sum_{j,l} \chi_{x_{l}} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{\omega}_{l}}{\partial y_{j}^{2}} \right) + t^{2} \sum_{j,k,l} \chi_{x_{k}x_{l}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{k}}{\partial y_{j}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{l}}{\partial y_{j}} \right) + 2t \sum_{j,l} \chi_{x_{j}x_{l}} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}_{l}}{\partial y_{j}} \right)$$
(82)

is a second-order differential operator. The solution to the pair (72) must be

$$\chi(x) = \log \frac{1}{|x-b|^2} + \delta, \ \ \delta \in \mathfrak{H}^1(\Omega), \ \ \lambda = 2n - 4 + s \tag{83}$$

where

 $b \in \mathbb{B},$ 

 $\boldsymbol{\delta}$  is a small bounded perturbation, and

 $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is a free parameter.

Conclusively, the Eqq. (72) are but

$$\begin{cases} -\bigtriangleup \delta - \frac{2n-4}{|x-b|^2}\delta = I\!I_t(\delta) + \frac{2n-4}{|x-b|^2}\left(e^{\delta-1} - \delta\right) + \frac{s}{|x-b|^2}e^{\delta} + I\!I_t\left(\log\frac{1}{|x-b|^2}\right) \text{ in } \mathbb{B},\\ \delta = -\log\frac{1}{|x-b|^2} \text{ on } \partial \mathbb{B}. \end{cases}$$

(84a)

(84b)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> In the light of the foregoing: v = v(t).

The resolution of Eq. (84) requires a system of non-linear elliptic partial differential by way of a Laplacian with the inverse-square potential,

$$\begin{cases} -\bigtriangleup -\frac{\kappa}{|x-b|^2}\delta = \xi \text{ in } \mathbb{B} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} \mathbb{B}_{\rho=1}(0), \end{cases}$$
(85a)

$$\int \delta = u_f \text{ on } \partial \mathbb{B}, \tag{85b}$$

where  $b, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $w_f \in \mathscr{C}^{2,\delta}(\partial \mathbb{B})$ . In this respect, see L. Dupaigne [27].

Take a *little peek*. Let  $\mathbb{B}_{\rho}$  be an open ball of radius  $\rho$  centered at the origin, and  $\mathfrak{s}_1 > 0$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  some constants, with  $0 < \mathfrak{s}_2 \leq \mathfrak{s}_{20} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=} \frac{1}{4}(n-2)^2$ , and  $\chi$  the characteristic function. Let  $\zeta_1^{\mathbb{B}}, \ldots, \zeta_n^{\mathbb{B}} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$  be the solutions of

$$\zeta_{k}^{\mathbb{B}}(x) \ge \delta_{1}\zeta_{0}^{\mathbb{B}}(x) \begin{cases} -\bigtriangleup \zeta_{k}^{\mathbb{B}} - \frac{\delta_{2}}{|x|^{2}} \zeta_{k}^{\mathbb{B}} = \chi \mathbb{B}_{\rho}(x_{k}) \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\ \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$
(86a)

$$\zeta_k^{\mathbb{B}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{86b}$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . If  $\mathbb{B}_{\rho}(x_k) \subset \mathbb{B}_{2\rho}(x) \subset \Omega$ , and  $-\Delta v \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , en conséquence,

$$\upsilon(x) \ge \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho(x)}} \upsilon = \mathfrak{d}_1 \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho(x)}} \upsilon \ge \mathfrak{d}_1 \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\rho(x_k)}} \upsilon = \mathfrak{d}_1 \int_{\Omega} \upsilon \left( -\bigtriangleup \zeta_k^{\mathbb{B}} - \frac{\mathfrak{d}_2}{|x|^2} \zeta_k^{\mathbb{B}} \right) = \mathfrak{d}_1 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \zeta_k^{\mathbb{B}} \ge \mathfrak{d}_1 \int_{\Omega} \varphi \zeta_k^{\mathbb{B}}$$

$$(87)$$

#### 5. Synopsis: Classification of Solutions and Non-Solutions in Gelfand Problem

Below is a picture of the situation, which serves as a synoptic guide.

(1) When one has to do with a stable branch, the solution is minimal and stable, for  $\lambda \in (0, \dot{\lambda})$ , with  $L^{\infty}$ -Banach space of essentially bounded measurable functions endowed with the essential supremum norm.

(2) In D =  $n \ge 2$ , the GP, with  $\lambda = \lambda_{\tau} = 2n - 4$ , has a singular solutions  $v_{\tau}(x) = -2 \ln |x|$ .

(3) In  $D = n \ge 3$ , the function  $v_{\tau}$  is a solution among all density distributions.

(4) In D =  $1 \leq n \leq 9$ ,

(i) the singular solution  $v_{\tau}$  does not intersect finite Morse index,

(ii) all solutions of the curve inherent to the bifurcation map is given by  $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$  with turning points, which means that any solution crossing the stable branch becomes unstable, and the Morse index is (at least) = 1,

(iii) any stable solution is ultimately uniformly bounded.

(5) In D =  $3 \leq n \leq 9$ ,<sup>a</sup>

(i) all solutions  $v_{\tau}(x) = -2 \ln |x|$  of the curve inherent to the bifurcation map have *infinitely* many turning points in the direction of  $\lambda_{\tau} = 2n - 4$ , and the Morse index of the trajectory must be increasing by one unit, each time the solution passes through a turning point,

(ii) there exists a *unique solution*, if  $\lambda$  has an (extremely) small value,

(iii) there exists a unique solution, for  $\lambda = \lambda$ ,

(iv) there are two kind of solutions, for  $\lambda$  close to  $\dot{\lambda}$ ,

(v) the GP has infinitely many solutions, for  $\lambda = 2n - 4$ , and finitely many solutions, for  $\lambda \neq 2n-4,$ 

(vi) one has a series of solutions, for  $\lambda$  close enough to 2n - 4.

(6) In  $D = n \ge 10$ ,

(i) the solution  $v_{\tau}$  is stable,

(ii) the GP has a unique solution, if  $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{\lambda})$ ,

16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The paper of W. Chen and J. Dávila [20] offers an investigation into the pair of Eqq. (8), with an infinite multiplicity of regular solutions, for  $3 \leq n \leq 9$ , and  $\lambda > 0$ . Among the propositions, it is highlighted that the above-mentioned pair of equations, in which  $\check{\lambda} = \check{\lambda}(n) > 0$ , for  $n \ge 1$ ,

<sup>(1)</sup> presents a minimal solution  $u_{\lambda}$ , if  $0 < \lambda < \breve{\lambda}$ ,

<sup>(2)</sup> has a unique solution, if  $\lambda = \check{\lambda}$ ,

<sup>(3)</sup> does not present a solution, if  $\lambda > \check{\lambda}$ .

(iii) there exist a singular stable solution, as an *extremal solution* (with Dirichlet  $\mathscr{C}^{2,\delta}$ -boundary),<sup>a</sup> remaining within the Euclidean topology of a ball,

(iv) there exist singular stable solutions, if some  $\Omega$ -domain preserves a  $\mathscr{C}^2$ -diffeomorphism on a solid sphere (ball), even in the event of perturbations.

(7) In  $D = n \ge 11$ , when the parameter  $\lambda$  is the largest possible one, there is an *extremal* solution, within a ball-shaped space with perturbations.

(8) Now, let us turn the table here. Pay attention of the GP, adding a vector field  $\vec{V}$ , which is smooth of course:

$$-\bigtriangleup \upsilon + \vec{V}(x)\nabla \upsilon = e^{\upsilon} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(88)

An apparently sophisticated triple scenario opens up.

(i) There is a stable solution, for  $n \ge 4$ , if the Lyapunov–Schmidt construction [58] [72] is called into question, which has the goodness of reducing infinite-dimensional non-linear equations in Banach spaces to finite-dimensional formulæ.

(ii) There is stable solution, for  $n \ge 11$ , if the vector field satisfies

$$\int \operatorname{div} \vec{V}(x) = 0, \tag{89a}$$

$$\left(|x|+1\right)\left|\vec{V}(x)\right| \leqslant \epsilon,\tag{89b}$$

with a value  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small  $(0 < \epsilon)$ , as long as

$$\inf_{\varphi \in \mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( |\nabla \varphi|^2 - e^v \varphi^2 \right) dx \Big/ \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ - \bigtriangleup \phi_+ + \vec{V} \nabla \phi_+ - e^v \phi_+ \right\}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \geqslant 0,$$
(90)

viz. the eigenvalue of the linear operator  $-\triangle + \vec{V}\nabla - e^{\upsilon}$  is non-negative in  $\mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\phi_+$  is a some positive function.

(iii) There is no stable  $\mathscr{C}^2$ -solution, for  $n \leq 9$ .

For present purposes it is not necessary (and not interesting) to examine the demonstrative particulars, which can be found in B. Lai and L. Zhang [48].

(9) Gelfand problem for stable  $W_{loc}^{1,p}$ -solutions to quasi-linear formulæ in a suitable weighted Sobolev space, was investigated by P. Le et al. [51]. Their start it is not really general, but it is this:

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\mu(x)|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\right) = \varphi(x)e^{v},$$

where  $\mu, \varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are non-negative functions, and  $p \ge 2$ .

(10) Viscosity solutions to the Gelfand problem for the 1-homogeneous p-Laplacian, es decir, for a pair that has a form similar to this,

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-p}|\nabla v|^{2-p}\right)\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\right) = \lambda e^{v} \begin{cases} -\bigtriangleup_{p}^{n} v = \lambda e^{v} \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\ v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(91a)
(91b)

are in J. Carmona Tapia et al. [18]. For  $p \in [2, \infty]$ , one sets up that

- (i) there exists a minimal positive solution, if  $\lambda < \lambda$ ,
- (ii) there exists no solution, if  $\lambda > \dot{\lambda}$ .

(11) Gelfand-type quasi-linear elliptic problems with quadratic gradient terms are discussed instead by D. Arcoya et al. [3]. The couple that acts as a reasoning incipit is

$$\begin{cases} -\bigtriangleup \upsilon + c(x)|\nabla \upsilon|^2 + \upsilon = \lambda(1+\upsilon)^p \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \upsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(92a)  
(92b)

once these parameters  $0 < c_1 \leq c(x) \leq c_2$  are set. The results that are reached are:

- (i) in the interval  $(0, \tilde{\lambda}], \tilde{\lambda} > 0$ , there is at least one positive solution,
- (ii) there are minimal regular positive solutions,
- ( $\alpha$ ) for each  $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\lambda})$ , and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> For a general look at extremal solutions with regularity, see [21].

( $\beta$ ) for  $\lambda = \dot{\lambda}$  (but take it for granted that the spatial dimensionality, together with the parameters p,  $c_1$ , and  $c_2$ , are placed under sure conditions).

#### 6. Some References on an Affine Problem: Lane-Emden Question

The Lane-Emden Problem [50] [28] is very close to the tentacular Gelfand system; so it is wise to quote some studies that may be of benefit to someone: [15] [31] [1] [65]. The second of them is written by M. Fazly and J. Wei, and it is very well done.

#### Appendix

#### Picard–Lindelöf Theorem

**Theorem 6.1** (Picard-Lindelöf). Let  $\varphi_{L} \in \mathscr{C}_{B}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})$  be a Lipschitz continuous function, where  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , with  $(t_{0}, x_{0}) \in \Omega$ .<sup>a</sup> We can imagine this set as a space, and more specifically as a parallelepiped, determined with these coordinates

$$\{(t,x) \mid t_0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_0 + \alpha, |x - x_0| \leqslant \beta\},\$$

or, without alterations of the theorem in question, as a cylinder, in which  $(t_0, x_0)$  are its internal parameter values.

If  $\varphi_{L}: \mathscr{C}_{B}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}) \to \mathbb{R}^{n}$  is uniformly et locally Lipschitz continuous in x and in t, then there is a quantity  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that one has an unique local solution  $x(t) \in \mathscr{C}_{B}^{1}[t_{0}, t_{0} + \varepsilon]$  of the initial value problem, for an infinitesimal interval  $[t_{0}, t_{0} + \varepsilon]$  around  $t_{0}$ , where

$$\left\{x(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n(t)\right\} \stackrel{\text{eqv}}{=\!\!=} \left\{x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_L(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right\}.$$

In other words, if

$$\varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle L} \colon \underbrace{[t_0, T]}_{\text{interval}} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a vector field Lipschitz function on the parallelepipedal-space, or on the cylindrical-space, w.r.t. its second variable, and once it is specified that H is the upper bound (maximum) on  $\varphi_L$ , ou seja  $H = \max\{|\varphi_L(t,x)|\}$  on  $[t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon]$ , with

$$\varepsilon = \min\left\{\alpha, \frac{\beta}{H}\right\},\tag{93}$$

then there exists a unique solution x(t) of the initial value problem, for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon]$ , or for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T_0]$ , where  $T_0 = \min\left\{T, \frac{\beta}{H}\right\}$ .

*Proof (Sketch).* I choose here to give only a demonstrative hint, because this theorem is not the core of this paper. The proof of Picard–Lindelöf theorem is built on *Banach fixed-point theorem* [5], aka *Banach–Caccioppoli theorem*, whose fixity is confirmed by a certain parameter. The proof is completed when it is shown that

$$\int T_0 \leqslant T, \tag{94a}$$

$$\begin{cases} T_0 \leqslant \frac{\beta}{H}, \tag{94b} \end{cases}$$

$$\left(T_0 < \left(N_{\rm L} = \sup_{(t,x)\neq(t,y)} \frac{|\varphi_{\rm L}(t,x) - \varphi_{\rm L}(t,y)|}{|x-y|}\right)^{-1},\tag{94c}\right)$$

where  $N_{\rm L}$  is a number related to the Lipschitz continuity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The set of continuous functions  $\mathscr{C}_{B}$  can be thought of as a (closed) subset of a Banach space [6]  $(\mathfrak{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ , i.e. as a complete normed vector including a vector space  $\mathfrak{X}$  over, say, a scalar  $\mathbb{R}$ -field, with a norm  $\|\cdot\|: \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Three Bird's Eye Remarks

#### Mini-version of Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

**Theorem 6.2** (Lebesgue). To put it briefly, let us consider the measure space  $(\hat{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}, \mu)$ , where  $\hat{\Omega}$  is a non-empty set equipped with a so-called  $\sigma$ -algebra,

 $\mathscr{B}_{\sigma}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\hat{\Omega}$ , and

 $\mu: \mathscr{B}_{\sigma} \to [0,\infty]$  is a finite measure on  $\hat{\Omega}$ .

Let  $\{\varphi_{\mathbb{H}^n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}: \hat{\Omega} \to \mathbb{F}$  be a sequence of  $\mathbb{F}$ -valued  $\mathscr{B}_{\sigma}$ -measurable functions on  $\hat{\Omega}$  pointwise converging  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost everywhere to  $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}}$ , namely

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{\mu}_n} \to \varphi_{\mathbb{\mu}}, \ n \to \infty.$$

Finally we suppose that

 $|\varphi_{\mathbb{P}^n}|\leqslant \mathsf{u},$ 

being  $u \ge 0$  n-integrable. The function  $\varphi_{\mu}$  is integrable, so that

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}} \varphi_{\mathbb{P}} d\mathbb{P} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \varphi_{\mathbb{P}^n} d\mathbb{P}.$$
(95)

Proof.

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}} 2u d\mu = \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left( 2u - |\varphi_{\mu} - \varphi_{\mu_n}| \right) d\mu \leqslant \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} 2u - |\varphi_{\mu} - \varphi_{\mu_n}| d\mu, \tag{96a}$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} |\varphi_{\mathbb{P}} - \varphi_{\mathbb{P}_n}| d\mathbb{P} \leqslant 0, \tag{96b}$$

via inequality theorem by P. Fatou [30].

Those who are greedy for minutiae in a sequence of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued measurable functions, and a demonstration, see [64, sec. 13.1.2.1].

#### Outro

#### Three Bird's Eye Remarks

(1) Today's mathematics has lost its way home, the way of a universalized science. It got lost in a regime of partially-sighted people where *ad ricercatorum* solutions prevail, and this is not nice.

Anyone who really loves the original and truthful  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \partial \eta \mu \alpha$  hates the sectorization; he abhors the division of the Mathematics Palace into closed and incommunicating rooms (what I have elsewhere called *cocoon syndrome*); he disdains the knowledge that abandons the impetus of being boldly among things in a transversal manner, that knowledge that does not take—at least *in pectore*—big and daring routes, to face dangers, raising the head towards the dome from which universal questions hang, and contemplate their glare.

The reshuffling of a problem, devoid of a sense of grandeur, which is a sub-problem of a subproblem, which is the *inàne* variant of a problem referring to the same sub-problem, brings joy exclusively to those who suffer from intellectual myopia, or to those with a siloed-brain. This is unfortunately the feudal regression in which the Gelfand problem is currently interpreted. And it cannot be otherwise: we live in an era in which mathematics has its (nefarious) flourishing within a *curtense* system.

Mathematics is no longer sailing on the grande rio do Pensamento nowadays, which was once the moving source of its beauty; it is like a paper boat that glides through a thousand rivulets of water. Then there are the scholars who enjoy soaking their shoes in puddles a few inches deep: scholars are like that (to echo da Ponte's words), or the school for trendies. And with this the transition from beauty to squalor is accomplished in a flash. Which is followed by the passage from intelligence to dullness, from usefulness to uselessness, and from maculate conception to sterility.

Surely, a (platitudinous) accusation could be brought against me: this is a simple criticism. I realize that. And so it is; it is a *pars destruens*. To silhouette a *pars construens* we must start again from the foundations, which cannot be done in an article.

(2) I ask these simple questions already conceived in 1994 by a great master of the recent past, E. De Giorgi [25, pp. 720-723, 725, e.m.], who received a very heartfelt admiration from J.F. Nash. The two men met in Povo di Trento on 6 March 1996.

What happened to the «wisdom value» (*valore sapienziale*) of mathematics? Or to the «ancient links of mathematics with all other branches of wisdom»?

«The idea of mathematics [is] not a closed learning reserved to few specialists but an open one that, while preserving its own autonomy, feeds on dialogue with the other forms of knowledge».

What happened to the «love of mathematics, as an essential component of human wisdom», which is «something more than the simple ability to calculate, [or just] manipulate numbers»?

«Mathematics serves above all to have a *qualitative idea* of what might happen, to widen the *imaginative ability* of the experimental scholars or the planner, capable of understanding that a certain mathematical model can be the right model to interpret certain phenomena».

What happened to «the task to which all of us, scholars of mathematical, physical and natural sciences and scholars of human sciences, are called»? Det vill säga, what happened to «the rediscovery of the wisdom value of our disciplines, a necessary premise for the harmonious development of all branches of knowledge»?

(3) I launch a *provocation*. Is it possible to incorporate the molecular components of a match into the theory of groups, without ending up trapped in thousands of sub-categories, or sub-fields of sub-fields? Is it possible to understand what fire is by looking at the head of a match under a microscope? What can we understand from phosphorus sulfide and potassium chlorate? They do not give us much information about the nature of fire.

Contemporary pure mathematics and (mathematical) physics have become this, an accumulation of academic rubbish-knowledge towards nothingness, which has left behind some wise teachings of the past. They have become an *exercises in style* [70] à la Queneau,<sup>a</sup> only good for raising the insane *h*-index (the productivity and citation impact) of journal publications, without providing real stimuli to the brain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> R. Queneau, among other things, had a non-marginal level of mathematical competencies [71].

#### Bibliography · List of Works Mentioned in the Article

- A.L. Amadori, F. Gladiali, M. Grossi, Nodal solutions for Lane-Emden problems in almost-annular domains, Differential Integral Equations, Vol. 31, № 3/4, 2018, pp. 257-272; arXiv:1605.06634 [math.AP], 2016 [v2].
- [2] W. Ambrose, The Index Theorem in Riemannian Geometry, Ann. of Math. (2), Vol. 73, № 1, 1961, pp. 49-86.
- [3] D. Arcoya, J. Carmona, P.J. Martínez-Aparicio, Gelfand type quasilinear elliptic problems with quadratic gradient terms, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. non linéaire, Vol. 31, Nº 2, 2014, pp. 249-265.
- [4] S. Arrhenius, Über die Dissociationswärme und den Einfluss der Temperatur auf den Dissociationsgrad der Elektrolyte, Z. Phys. Chem., Vol. 4U, № 1, 1889, pp. 96-116.
- [5] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, Fund. Math., Vol. 3, № 1, 1922, pp. 133-181.
- [6] —, Théorie des opérations linéaires, Z Subwencji Funduszu Kultury Narodowej, Warszawa, 1932.
- [7] C. Bandle, Y. Kabeya, and H Ninomiya, Imperfect bifurcations in nonlinear elliptic equations on spherical caps, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 9, № 5, 2010, pp. 1189-1208.
- [8] D. Bartolucci, A. Jevnikar, On the global bifurcation diagram of the Gel'fand problem, Anal. PDE, Vol. 14, № 8, 2021, pp. 2409-2426; arXiv:1901.06700 [math.AP], 2020 [v2].
- [9] E. Beltrami, Saggio di interpetrazione della Geometria non-euclidea, Giornale di Matematiche, Vol. IV, 1868, pp. 284-312; reprinted in Opere matematiche di Eugenio Beltrami, Tomo Primo, pubblicate per cura della Facoltà di Scienze della R. Università di Roma, U. Hoepli, Milano, 1902, pp. 374-405.
- [10] —, Teoria fondamentale degli spazii di curvatura costante, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., Ser. II, Tomo 2, 1868-1869, pp. 232-255; ristampato in [12, pp. 406-429].
- [11] —, Sulla superficie di rotazione che serve di tipo alle superficie pseudosferiche, Giornale di Matematiche, Vol. X, 1872, pp. 147-159; ristampato in [13, pp. 394-409].
- [12] —, Opere matematiche di Eugenio Beltrami, Tomo Primo, pubblicate per cura della Facoltà di Scienze della R. Università di Roma, U. Hoepli, Milano, 1902.
- [13] —, Opere matematiche di Eugenio Beltrami, Tomo Secondo, pubblicate per cura della Facoltà di Scienze della R. Università di Roma, U. Hoepli, Milano, 1904.
- [14] Yu. Bozhkov, A.C. Gilli Martins, On the Symmetry Group of a Differential Equation and the Liouville-Gelfand Problem, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, Vol. XXXIV, 2002, pp. 103-120.
- [15] —, Lie point symmetries of the Lane-Emden systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl.. Vol. 294, № 1, 2004, pp. 334-344.
- [16] H. Brezis et F. Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up behaviour for solutions of  $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$  in two dimensions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 16, Nº 8-9, 1991, pp. 1223-1253.
- [17] H. Brezis and J.L. Vázquez, Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, Rev. Mat. Complut., Vol. 10, № 2, 1997, pp. 443-469.
- [18] J. Carmona Tapia, A. Molino Salas and J.D. Rossi, The Gelfand problem for the 1-homogeneous p-Laplacian, Adv. Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 8, № 1, 2019, pp. 545-558.
- [19] F. Charro, B. Son, and P. Wang, The Gelfand problem for the Infinity Laplacian, Math. Eng., Vol. 5, № 2, 2022, pp. 1-28; arXiv:2112.09247 [math.AP], 2021 [v1].
- [20] W. Chen, J. Dávila, Resonance phenomenon for a Gelfand-type problem, Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 89, 2013, pp. 299-321.
- [21] C. Cowan, Regularity of the Extremal Solutions in a Gelfand System Problem, Adv. Nonlinear Anal., Vol. 11, Nº 3, 2016, pp. 695-700; arXiv:1008.3595 [math.AP], 2010 [v1].
- [22] M.G. Crandall & P.H. Rabinowitz, Some Continuation and Variational Methods for Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Eigenvalue Problems, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., Vol. 58, № 3, 1975, pp. 207-218.
- [23] E.N. Dancer and A. Farina, On the classification of solutions of  $-\Delta u = e^u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ : Stability outside a compact set and applications, Proc. Amer. math. Soc., Vol 137, Nº 4, 2009, pp. 1333-1338.
- [24] J. Dávila and L. Dupaigne, Perturbing Singular Solutions of The Gelfand Problem, Commun. Contemp. Math., Vol. 9, № 5, 2007, pp. 639-680; hal-00204945 (HAL Id), 2008 [v1].
- [25] E. De Giorgi, Il valore sapienziale della matematica. Lectio magistralis (1994), in [26, pp. 720-725], transl. into En. in [26, The sapiential value of Mathematics. Lectio magistralis, pp. 714-719].
- [26] —, Selected Papers, Published with the support of Unione Matematica Italiana and Scuola Normale Superiore, Edited by L. Ambrosio, G. Dal Maso, M. Forti, M. Miranda, S. Spagnolo, with contributions from L. Nirenberg (Remarks on some of the analytic works of Ennio De Giorgi) and L. Caffarelli (De Giorgi's contribution to the regularity theory of elliptic equations), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006.

- [27] L. Dupaigne, A nonlinear elliptic PDE with the inverse square potential, J. Anal. Math., Vol. 86, №. 1, 2002, pp. 359-398; hal-00288534 (HAL Id), 2008 [v1].
- [28] R. Emden, Gas Balls: Applications of the Mechanical Heat Theory to Cosmological and Meteorological Problems, Teubner, Berlin, 1907.
- [29] A. Farina, Stable solutions of  $-\bigtriangleup u = e^u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, Vol. 345, 63-66, 2007.
- [30] P. Fatou, Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor, Acta Math., Vol. 30, № 1, 1906, pp. 335-400.
- [31] M. Fazly, J. Wei, On stable solutions of the fractional Hénon-Lane-Emden equation, Commun. Contemp. Math., Vol. Vol. 18, № 5, 2016, pp. 1650005-1-1650005-24; arXiv:1410.6786 [math.AP], 2015 [v2].
- [32] R.H. Fowler, Some Results on the Form Near Infinity of Real Continuous Solutions of a Certain type of Second Order Differential Equation, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., Ser. 2, Vol. 13, № 1, 1914, pp. 341-371.
- [33] —, The Solutions of Emden's and Similar Differential Equations, Monthly Not. Roy. Astronom. Soc., Vol. 91, № 1, 1930, pp. 63-92.
- [34] —, Further Studies of Emden's and Similar Differential Equations, Q. J. Math., Vol. os-2, № 1, 1931, pp. 259-288.
- [35] M. Fréchet, Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser. I, Tomo XXII, 1906 (Adunanza del 22 aprile), pp. 1-72.
- [36] И.М. Гельфанд, Некоторые задачи теории квазилинейных уравнений, УМН, 1959, том 14, выпуск 2, pp. 87-158.<sup>a</sup>
- [37] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni, L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and Related Properties via the Maximum Principle, Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 68, № 3, 1979, pp. 209-243.
- [38] F. Hang and X. Wang, Rigidity and Non-rigidity Results on the Sphere, Comm. Anal. Geom., Vol. 14, № 1, 2006, pp. 91-106.
- [39] G.H. Hardy, Note on a theorem of Hilbert, Math. Z., Vol. 6, № 3-4, 1920, pp. 314-317.
- [40] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, Inequalities, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1934.
- [41] O. Hölder, Ueber einen Mittelwertsatz, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Georg-Augusts-Univ., № 2, 1889, pp. 38-47.
- [42] S.-Y. Huang, Global Bifurcation Diagrams for Liouville-Bratu-Gelfand Problem with Minkowski-Curvature Operator, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, Vol. 34, № 3, 2022, pp. 2157-2172.
- [43] D.D. Joseph & T.S. Lundgren, Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., Vol. 49, № 4, 1973, pp. 241-269.
- [44] P. Juutinen, P. Lindqvist & J.J. Manfredi, The ∞-Eigenvalue Problem, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., Vol. 148, № 2, 1999, pp. 89-105.
- [45] Y. Kabeya, T. Kawakami, A. Kosaka, H. Ninomiya, Eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a large spherical cap under the Robin problem, Kodai Math. J., Vol. 37, 2014, pp. 620-645.
- [46] Y. Kabeya, V. Moroz, Gelfand problem on a large spherical cap, Elliptic Parabol. Equ., Vol. 7, № 1, 2021, pp. 1-23; arXiv:2008.00846, 2020 [v2].
- [47] N.I. Kavallaris, T. Suzuki, Non-Local Partial Differential Equations for Engineering and Biology: Mathematical Modeling and Analysis, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018.
- [48] B. Lai and L. Zhang, Gelfand Type Elliptic Problem Involving Advection, Z. Anal. Anwend., Vol. 36, № 3, 2017, pp. 283-295.
- [49] M. Lai, W. Wei, Gelfand problem and Hemisphere rigidity, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 22, № 4, 2023, pp. 1226-1238; arXiv:2102.10360, 2022 [v3].
- [50] H.J. Lane, On the Theoretical Temperature of the Sun, Under the Hypothesis of a Gaseous Mass Maintaining Its Volume by Its Internal Heat, and Depending on the Laws of Gases as Known to Terrestrial Experiment, Amer. J. Sci., Vol. s2-50, № 148, 1870, pp. 57-74.
- [51] P. Le, D.H.T. Le and K.A.T. Le, On Stable Solutions to Weighted Quasilinear Problems of Gelfand Type, Mediterr. J. Math., Vol 15, № 94, 2018, pp. 1-12.
- [52] H. Lebesgue, Sur la méthode de M. Goursat pour la résolution de l'équation de Fredholm, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Tome 36, 1908, pp. 3-19.
- [53] S. Liao, Homotopy Analysis Method in Nonlinear Differential Equations, Higher Education Press, Beijing, plus Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [54] E.L. Lindelöf, Sur l'application de la méthode des approximations successives aux équations différentielles ordinaires du premier ordre, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 1894, 1<sup>er</sup> Semestre, Tome CXVIII, № 9, Séance du 26 Février 1894, pp. 454-457.
- [55] J. Liouville, Sur l'équation aux différences partielles  $\frac{d2\log\lambda}{dud\nu} \pm \frac{\lambda}{2a^2} = 0$ , Math. Pures Appl., Tome XVIII, Sér. 1, 1853, pp. 71-72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> I.M. Gel'fand (Gelfand), Some problems in the theory of quasi-linear equations, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1959, Vol. 14, N<sup>a</sup> 2, pp. 87-158.

Bibliography

- [56] V. Liskevich, S. Lyakhova, V. Moroz, Positive solutions to singular semilinear elliptic equations with critical potential on cone-like domains, Adv. Differential Equations, Vol. 11, № 4, 2006, pp. 361-398; arXiv:math/0501025 [math.AP], 2005 [v1].
- [57] A.M. Lyapunov, The General Problem of the Stability Of Motion, Int. J. Control, Vol. 55, № 3, 1992, pp. 531-772, transl. from the Ru. into Fr. by E. Davaux, transl. from the Fr. into En. by A.T. Fuller; originally published in Ru. under the title Общая задача об устойчивости движения, Типография Зильберберга, Харьков, 1892.
- [58] —, <sup>a</sup> Problème général de la stabilité du mouvement, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, 2<sup>e</sup> Sér., Tome 9, 1907, pp. 203-474.
- [59] F. Mignot, J.-P. Puel, Sur une classe de problemes non lineaires avec non linearite positive, croissante, convexe, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 5, № 8, 1980, pp. 791-836.
- [60] M. Mihăilescu, D. Stancu–Dumitru and C. Varga, The convergence of nonnegative solutions for the family of problems  $-\Delta_p u = \lambda e^u$  as  $p \to \infty$ , ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., Nº 2, Vol. 24, 2018, pp. 569-578.
- [61] T. Miyasita, Non-local elliptic problem in higher dimension, Osaka J. Math., Vol. 44, № 1, 2007, pp. 159-172.
- [62] M. Morse, The Calculus of Variations in the Large, American Mathematical Society (AMS), New York, 1934.
- [63] K. Nagasaki, T. Suzuki, Spectral and related properties about the Emden-Fowler equation  $-\Delta u = \lambda e^u$ on circular domains, Math. Ann., Vol. 299, Nº 1, 1994, pp. 1-15.
- [64] E. Niccolai, Notes in Pure Mathematics & Mathematical Structures in Physics, the latest revision is available here: Download page at https://www.edoardoniccolai.com; public repositories: doi:10.5281.10427921, 2023-, or hal-04362941 (HAL ID), 2023-. Outdated versions: arXiv:2105.14863 [math-ph] (please do not download the book from the arXiv repository, 2021-2023).
- [65] J.M. do Ó and R. Clemente, On Lane-Emden Systems with Singular Nonlinearities and Applications to MEMS, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., Vol. 18, № 1, 2018, pp. 41-53; arXiv:1901.02728 [math.AP], 2019 [v1].
- [66] G. Peano, Demonstration de l'intégrabilité des équations différentielles ordinaires, Math. Ann., Vol. 37, № 2, 1890, pp. 182-228.
- [67] É. Picard, Mémoire sur la théorie de équations aux dérivées partielles et la méthode des approximations successives, J. Math. Pures Appl., Tome VI, Sér. 44, 1890, pp. 145-210.
- [68] H. Poincaré, Théorie des groupes fuchsiens, Acta Math., Vol. 1, Nº 1, 1882, pp. 1-62.
- [69] —, Mémoire sur les groupes kleinéens, Acta Math., Vol. 3, № 1, 1883, pp. 49-92.
- [70] R. Queneau, Exercices de style, Gallimard, Paris, 1947.
- [71] —, Bords. Mathematiciens, Precurseurs, Encyclopedistes, Illustrations de G. Mathieu, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Nouveau tirage 1978.
- [72] E. Schmidt, Zur Theorie der linearen und nichtlinearen Integralgleichungen, Math. Ann., Vol. 63, № 4, 1907, pp. 433-476.
- [73] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity, Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 65, № 1, 1979, pp. 45-76.
- [74] J.L. Vázquez and E. Zuazua, The Hardy Inequality and the Asymptotic Behaviour of the Heat Equation with an Inverse-Square Potential, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 173, № 1, 2000, pp. 103-153.
- [75] E. Witten, On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity, Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 80, № 3, 1981, pp. 381-402.

<sup>a</sup> A. Liapounoff in the French text.