## CORRELATIVE MICROSCOPIES: IMAGE REGISTRATION FOR CLEM AND FOR DEEP LEARNING WHAT CAN BRING ERROR ESTIMATION ?

Perrine.paul-gilloteaux@univ-nantes.fr











## MULTIMODAL IMAGING FOR AN HOLISTIC VIEW



Andreas Walter, Perrine Paul-Gilloteaux, Birgit Plochberger, Ludek Sefc, Paul Verkade, et al.. Correlated Multimodal Imaging in Life Sciences: Expanding the Biomedical Horizon. *Frontiers in Physics*, Frontiers, 2020, 8, (10.3389/fphy.2020.00047)

# SAMPLE TO BE TRACKED FROM ONE IMAGING MODALITY TO ANOTHER





Sometimes additional modalities will help

IN ADDITION TO COORDINATES TRANSFER BETWEEN IMAGING MODALITIES, IMAGE REGISTRATION WILL HELP TO FIND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN IMAGES





# TRANSFORMATION CAN BE RIGID OR NON RIGID, BUT THEY CAN ALWAYS BE CASCADED



### HOW TO EXPLOIT THE TRANSFORMATION COMPUTED FOR QUANTIFICATION?

COMPUTE THE TRANSFORMATION LINKING source and target **Ecclem Apply transform** 











Overlay: one image got interpolated (new pixels) or reduced in quality to create a new merged dataset.

### HOW TO EXPLOIT THE TRANSFORMATION COMPUTED FOR QUANTIFICATION?



Transform ONLY the DISPLAY (coordinate system of arrival = screen)

Ecclem CorrelativeView







### HOW TO EXPLOIT THE TRANSFORMATION COMPUTED FOR QUANTIFICATION?



Region of interest transformed

Ecclem Apply transform to ROI





Name	Conto	Interior (px)	Area (u	Mean Inte	Mean Int	Max Inte	Max Intens	Texture contr	Texture contrast	Texture homogeneity (c	Texture homogeneity (c
Polygon2D	710.08	20316.5	79.361	13492.1	358.91	154107.3	8919.14	37.886	37.532	0.63182	0.59267
Polygon2D	1015.9	64424.5	251.66	7813.26	68.502	124714.1	3707.97	14.366	1.9072	0.78402	0.85863
Polygon2D	1011.11	63754	249.04	20290.6	58.312	231600.6	3159.71	66.098	0.99523	0.53865	0.88852

- One thing to know: Matching is NEVER PERFECT
  - Because your sample may deform/ evolve between two modalities
  - Because multimodality is usually also multiscale (very different resolution, one pixel can match hundred of pixels in the second modality)
  - Because none modality allows to perfectly locate one structure of interest (imaging resolution). Even when the sample did not deform at all , this will create an error you can not avoid, only reduce.

### WE HAVE DEVELOPED A METHOD TO SPATIALLY ASSESS THE QUALITY OF REGISTRATION WITH NO GROUND TRUTH (NO OTHER INPUT THAT POINTS (OR SURFACE) USED FOR REGISTRATION: LOCALISATION NOISE AND ERROR REGISTRATION ARE ESTIMATED).

G. Potier, F. Lavancier, S. Kunne and P. Paul-Gilloteaux, "A Registration Error Estimation Framework for Correlative Imaging," *2021 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, 2021, pp. 131-135, doi: 10.1109/ICIP42928.2021.9506474.







# Predicted Average accuracy at each point



New in ecclem: 95% accuracy prediction





# EXAMPLE FOR CRYO-SIM AND SOFT X-RAY CORRELATION WORKFLOW

Kounatidis I, Stanifer ML, Phillips MA, Paul-Gilloteaux P, et al. 3D Correlative Cryo-Structured Illumination Fluorescence and Soft X-ray Microscopy Elucidates Reovirus Intracellular Release Pathway. Cell. 2020





Test on mitochondria stained cells : here we could conclude that there were no deformation in the correlative imaging process: all discrepancy was in the expected range of rigid transformation





Kounatidis I, Stanifer ML, Phillips MA, Paul-Gilloteaux P, et al. 3D Correlative Cryo-Structured Illumination Fluorescence and Soft X-ray Microscopy Elucidates Reovirus Intracellular Release Pathway. Cell. 2020

Assessing that it is likely to be at the same position rather than warping. Transformation = Rigid because sample were cryogenized and exactly the same sample with no further sample prep between the two steps

### CRYO FIB-SEM/ FM EXAMPLE WITH LIPID DROPLETS AS FIDUCIALS



Scher, N., Rechav, K., Paul-Gilloteaux, P., and Avinoam, O. (2021). In situ fiducial markers for 3D correlative cryo-fluorescence and FIB-SEM imaging. iScience 24, 102714. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.i sci.2021.102714





### OTHER USAGES OF REGISTRATION IN MULTIMODAL MICROSCOPIES: SMART MICRODISSECTION FOR SPATIAL OMICS

Brasko, C., Smith, K., Molnar, C. *et al.* Intelligent imagebased in situ single-cell isolation. *Nat Commun* **9**, 226 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02628-4



Scratch registration based

Mund A, Coscia F, Kriston A, et al. Visual Proteomics defines single-cell identity and heterogeneity. Nat Biotechnol. 2022 Aug;40(8):1231-1240. doi: 10.1038/s41587-022-01302-5. Epub 2022 May 19. PMID: 35590073; PMCID: PMC9371970.



Style transfer based for identification of Cell/Area of interest

### OTHER USAGES OF REGISTRATION IN MULTIMODAL MICROSCOPIES: SMART MICRODISSECTION FOR SPATIAL OMICS







10x, 20x or 40x (1 micrometer of cutting accuracy at 20x)



Phenocycler/Codex for fluorescence hyperplexing



Slide Scanner for fast full FOV



Microdissector (MMI cellcut)







Area of interest (to be microdissected) are identified on the whole scan.

On the microdissector, a 10x image is acquired, and the transformation is computed, and applied to Area of interest







1 . m. m. m. .

A home made interface (collaboration with MMI) allow to import these area of interest as s=« shape to be cut at the right position, at once.

### OTHER USAGES OF REGISTRATION IN MULTIMODAL MICROSCOPIES: SMART MICRODISSECTION FOR SPATIAL OMICS

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Ta->d=Ta->b o Tb->c o T c->d

(not the same sample yet, here tonsil vs kidney used in faisability test)

### DIFFERENT APPROACHES USE MULTIMODALITY AND REGISTRATION TO LEVERAGE THE BURDEN OF ANNOTATIONS

• In silico labelling

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

Ounkomol et al. Nat Methods. 2018 November ; 15(11): 917–920. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0111-2 Christiansen et al. Cell. 2018 Apr 19;173(3):792-803.e19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.040 Rivenson et al. Nat Biomed Eng 3, 466–477 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-019-0362-y

### DIFFERENT APPROACHES USE MULTIMODALITY AND REGISTRATION TO LEVERAGE THE BURDEN OF ANNOTATIONS

- In silico labeling
- Denoising/ image restoration
- Instance segmentation: automatic or manual labeling from another modality

# EXAMPLE OF NEED OF DIFFERENT MICROSCOPES FOR DEEP LEARNING

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

Cedric Almeida Braga PhD on Multiple Myeloma Diagnosis

#### How wonderful!

Great! Go and annotate, here is the annotation protocol!

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

I can not recognize my cell types at 40x, i need my immersion oil and at least 50x

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **ACQUISITION WORKFLOW**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

QuPath Script for Tiles and Reading area coordinates

# **ACQUISITION WORKFLOW**

 Slide scanning
 Reading area Annotated by hematologist

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

Acquisition 10x Air wherever
Automatic registration  Automatic acquisition of Region of interest at 60x oil

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

Registration using a portable python on a usb stick on the microscope -> Open CV ( create same res images in greyscale, Blur, then Max normalized cross

Automatically create the list of multiple positions to be acquired to cover the reading area with autofocus

correlation)

# ACQUISITION WORKFLOW

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

Acquisition 10x
 Air

 Automatic registration  Automatic acquisition of Region of interest at 60x oil

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

# All data are directly linked with the virtual slide

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

### How wonderful!

Great! Go and annotate, here is the annotation protocol.

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

# CAN WE USE REGISTRATION UNCERTAINTY TO HELP DEEP LEARNING?

Deep learning with noisy labels: exploring techniques and remedies in medical image analysis. Karimi et al. Medical Image Analysis. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2020.101759 "[this] review shows that recent progress on handling label noise in deep learning has gone largely unnoticed by the medical image analysis community."

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

# CAN WE USE REGISTRATION UNCERTAINTY TO HELP DEEP LEARNING?

#### Label cleaning and pre-processing Identifying and removing samples with incorrect labels

#### Network architectures

Estimating and utilizing noise statistics using Noise layers Probabilistic graphical models

#### Label-noise-robust loss functions

Mean-absolute-error loss

- Cross entropy with abstention
- "Correcting" standard loss functions using label noise statistics

#### Training procedures

Curriculum learning

**Knowledge** distillation

Co-teaching

Data augmentation (mixup)

#### :leaning and pre-processing

ifying and removing samples with incorrect labels ive label cleaning in parallel with training smoothing cleaning with generative models rk architectures Estimating and utilizing noise statistics using Noise layers Probabilistic graphical models

#### Label-noise-robust loss functions

-absolute-error loss entropy with abstention ecting" standard loss functions using label noise sy

#### -weighting

learning ent scaling ed re-weighting ing to weight labels from different annotators

#### Data and label consistency

Exploiting data similarity to identify incorrect labels ary image regularization old regularization

1g procedures :ulum learning ledge distillation 1ching 1ugmentation (mixup)

![](_page_31_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_23.jpeg)

# but not sure yet how petter it will be

![](_page_31_Figure_25.jpeg)

# CONCLUSION

• Registration allow to link multimodal data through a transformation

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

• This transformation allows to link areas, but also ROIs

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

# CONCLUSION

- Registration uncertainty can be estimated (accurate for rigid and affine registration for now-> non rigid local affine ok as well)
  - 2.39 Dom
- This has multiple purposes:
  - Target area accuracy (Adding error margin for Example)
  - Multimodal colocalisation

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

 And likely and supported by the litterature but not yet in our field for better accuracy with less data of deep learning models (for example in loss function similarly to bayesian approaches)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### ANR-18-CE45-0015

## Acknowledgements

#### **EC-CLEM** error estimation

Εr

ançois

ITX Nantes **Guillaume Potier** Stephan Kunne Laboratoire de Mathématiques J. Leray Frédéric Lavancier

**EC-CLEM** workflows Weizmann Institute Nadav Scher Katya Rechav Ori Avinoam

Biogenouest

NIKON NANTES

**Diamond Light Source** Maria Harkiolaki Nina Vyas All team

#### **EC-CLEM** microdissector MicroPICell Anabelle Justin Aurélie Doméné **INCA PLAN** neubi Stéphanie Blandin Multiple Myeloma project CANCER Philippe Hulin Marion Eveillard (Cr2INA) Magalie Feyeux Cedric Almeida (LS2N) comulis Steven Nedellec Nicolas Normand (LS2N) Marianne Guisset Héloïse Duluc **QUESTIONS?** CINIC Structure Fédérative CENN MicroPICell FRANCE-BIOIMAGING de Recherche - SANTÉ

Bonamy