

# Mechanisms of inward transmembrane proton translocation

Kirill Kovalev, Fedor Tsybrov, Alexey Alekseev, Vitaly Shevchenko, Dmytro Soloviov, Sergey Siletsky, Gleb Bourenkov, Michael Agthe, Marina Nikolova, David von Stetten, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Kirill Kovalev, Fedor Tsybrov, Alexey Alekseev, Vitaly Shevchenko, Dmytro Soloviov, et al.. Mechanisms of inward transmembrane proton translocation. Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, 2023, 30 (7), pp.970-979. 10.1038/s41594-023-01020-9 . hal-04216521

## HAL Id: hal-04216521 https://hal.science/hal-04216521v1

Submitted on 25 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### 21 3. Source Data

Parent Figure or Table	Filename Whole original file name including extension. i.e.: Smith_SourceData_Fig1.xls, or Smith_ Unmodified_Gels_Fig1.pdf	<b>Data description</b> i.e.: Unprocessed western Blots and/or gels, Statistical Source Data, etc.
Source Data Fig. 1	Fig1.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Fig. 2	Fig2.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Extended Data Fig./Table 1	Ext1.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Extended Data Fig./Table 2	Ext2.xlsx	Experimental Source Data
Source Data Extended Data Fig./Table 5	Ext5.xlsx	Experimental Source Data

22

23

# 24 Title: Mechanisms of inward transmembrane proton translocation

25

26 Author list: K. Kovalev<sup>1\*</sup>, F. Tsybrov<sup>2\*</sup>, A. Alekseev<sup>2,3\*</sup>, V. Shevchenko<sup>2</sup>, D. Soloviov<sup>1</sup>, S. Siletsky<sup>4</sup>, G.

27 Bourenkov<sup>1</sup>, M. Agthe<sup>1</sup>, M. Nikolova<sup>1</sup>, D. von Stetten<sup>1</sup>, R. Astashkin<sup>5</sup>, S. Bukhdruker<sup>2</sup>, I. Chizhov<sup>6</sup>, A.

28 Royant<sup>5</sup>, A. Kuzmin<sup>2</sup>, I. Gushchin<sup>2</sup>, R. Rosselli<sup>7</sup>, F. Rodriguez-Valera<sup>2,8</sup>, N. Ilyinskiy<sup>2</sup>, A. Rogachev<sup>2,9</sup>, V.

29 Borshchevskiy<sup>2,9</sup>, T. R. Schneider<sup>1</sup>, E. Bamberg<sup>10</sup>, V. Gordeliy<sup>2,5#</sup>.

30

## 31 Affiliations:

32 <sup>1</sup> European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hamburg unit c/o DESY, Hamburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Research Center for Molecular Mechanisms of Aging and Age-Related Diseases, Moscow Institute of

34 Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia

<sup>35</sup> Institute for Auditory Neuroscience and InnerEarLab, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen,

36 Germany

<sup>4</sup> Belozersky Institute of Physical-Chemical Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow,

- 38 Russian Federation
- <sup>5</sup> Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), 38000 Grenoble, France
- 40 <sup>6</sup> Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Hannover Medical School, Germany
- 41 <sup>7</sup> Dpto. Fisiología, Genética y Microbiología, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante, Spain
- 42 <sup>8</sup> Evolutionary Genomics Group, Departamento de Produccion Vegetal y Microbiologia, Universidad
- 43 Miguel Hernandez, San Juan de Alicante, Alicante, Spain
- 44 <sup>9</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russian Federation
- 45 <sup>10</sup> Max Planck Institute of Biophysics, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

- 47 \* These authors contributed equally to the work.
- 48 #Correspondence to: V.G. (valentin.gordeliy@gmail.com)

#### 49 Abstract

#### 50

51 Proton transport is indispensable for cell life. It is believed that molecular mechanisms of proton movement 52 through different types of proton-conducting molecules have general universal features. However, elucidation 53 of such mechanisms is a challenge. It requires true-atomic-resolution structures of all key proton conducting 54 states. Here we present a comprehensive function-structure study of a light-driven bacterial inward proton pump xenorhodopsin from *Bacillus coahuilensis* in all major proton conducting states. The structures reveal 55 56 that proton translocation is based on proton wires regulated by internal gates. The wires serve as both selectivity filters and translocation pathways for protons. The cumulative results suggest a general concept of 57 proton translocation. We demonstrate the use of serial time-resolved crystallography at a synchrotron source 58 59 with sub-millisecond resolution for rhodopsin studies opening the door for principally new applications. The 60 results might also be of interest for optogenetics since xenorhodopsins are the only alternative tools to fire 61 neurons.

- 63 Main text
- 64

Ion gradients across cell membranes are crucial for life and are maintained by ion transporters. Proton transporters are in the focus of studies of molecular mechanisms of ion transport. In many microorganisms from all domains of life proton gradients are maintained by membrane hepta- $\alpha$ -helical light-driven proteins microbial rhodopsins (MRs) comprising a retinal as a chromophore<sup>1</sup>. The most studied proton-transporting MRs are light-driven outward pumps serving as a reference for the understanding of proton transfer and storage in proteins<sup>2</sup>.

71 Moreover, MRs found their principal application in optogenetics, which revolutionized neuroscience and 72 offered novel biomedical approaches; recently it was used for partial vision recovery in humans<sup>3</sup>. Mostly 73 cation channelrhodopsins (CCRs) are employed for optical activation of cells. Starting in 2016, the first 74 inwardly-directed proton pumps (IPPs) xenorhodopsins (XeRs) were found and characterized<sup>4-6</sup>. IPPs are the 75 only alternative optogenetic instruments to CCRs affording a set of competitive advantages<sup>6</sup>. However, the 76 optimization of XeRs (and IPPs in general) is essential for optogenetics due to their slow kinetics resulting in insufficient photocurrents for routine usage<sup>4,7</sup>. High-resolution insights into the mechanisms of XeRs 77 78 functioning are imperative for their rational optimization.

Unfortunately, the molecular mechanism of inward proton translocation remains unclear. It is believed that upon light illumination the retinal Schiff base (RSB) of XeR donates its proton to the internal proton acceptor located in the cytoplasmic part of the protein<sup>4,6</sup>. This transfer coincides with the formation of the strongly blue-shifted M intermediate, which then decays with the protein returning back to its ground state accompanied by a proton release to the cytoplasm and a proton uptake from the extracellular bulk<sup>4–6</sup>. However, there is no certain information on the internal proton transfer and also on the determinants of inwardly-directed proton translocation.

86 In this work, we found a bacterial xenorhodopsin from *Bacillus coahuilensis* (BcXeR), which is an ideal target 87 to reveal the molecular mechanism of inward proton translocation. We used time-resolved serial 88 crystallography at the P14 beamline of the PETRAIII synchrotron source with time resolution up to 500 µs to 89 capture the structural rearrangements in BcXeR during the photocycle. Moreover, X-ray data on the 90 cryotrapped intermediates allowed us to build more detailed models of the ground, L, and M states at 1.6-1.7 91 Å resolution to visualize water-mediated proton wires inside BcXeR acting as pathways for unidirectional 92 proton translocation. We validated our structural findings by functional and spectroscopic studies of the 93 protein and its mutant forms. Based on the described data we propose a molecular mechanism of the light-94 driven inward proton translocation also serving as a unique reference for understanding of the proton transport 95 in general.

98

99 The gene encoding BcXeR was identified bioinformatically in GenBank (ID: WP 059282687) using Hidden 100 Markov Model algorithms (see Methods for details). The protein is a member of the bacterial XeR clade and 101 could be easily expressed in *E.coli* with high yield (Extended Data Fig. 1). The same gene was previously 102 predicted to encode a sensory rhodopsin<sup>8</sup>. However, BcXeR-expressing E.coli cell suspension showed strong 103 alkalization upon illumination in different unbuffered salt solutions, abolished by the addition of the 104 protonophore to the cell suspension (Fig. 1A). Therefore, BcXeR acts as an inward light-driven proton pump. Spectroscopic properties of the BcXeR showed that the maximum absorption wavelength of BcXeR in purified 105 106 solubilized form is 558 nm and remains stable in a wide range of pH values, similar to that found for other 107 XeRs<sup>4–6</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 2A).

108

109 The photocycle kinetics of BcXeR was determined using time-resolved visible light absorption spectroscopy 110 (Fig. 1B). The model of the photocycle was validated by investigations of temperature dependences and an 111 Arrhenius plot (Extended Data Fig. 2B). Similar to other XeRs, BcXeR demonstrates a fast formation of the 112 K state, followed by the rise of the early L state in a microsecond (Fig. 1B, Extended Data Fig. 2). Within 113 approx. 20 ms the strongly blue-shifted M state characteristic to the deprotonated RSB is formed<sup>4-6,9</sup> (Fig. 114 1B). The formation of the M state coincides in time with the first step of proton transfer within BcXeR, as 115 evidenced by the generation of the membrane electric potential in the lipid vesicles with the rhodopsin in response to a ns green light flash (Extended Data Fig. 2C; Supplementary Note 1). Then, the M state 116 117 biexponentially decays directly to the ground state with half-times of 70 ms and 0.8 s. The M decay coincides 118 in time with the second notable proton transfer stage identified by a further change of the membrane electric 119 potential inside the proteoliposomes containing BcXeR upon laser illumination (Extended Data Fig. 2C; 120 Supplementary Note 1).

121

122 Next, we crystallized BcXeR using in meso approach and solved its ground state structure at 1.7 Å resolution<sup>10</sup> 123 (Table 1; see Methods for details). The photocycle of the crystallized protein is similar to that in solution, 124 indicating that the protein remains functional in crystals (Fig. 1C, D; Extended Data Fig. 2C,D). The L state 125 in crystals is at its highest occupancy at 30 µs and persists up to 1 ms (Fig. 1C). The M state is at its maximum 126 occupancy at 20 ms and decays to the ground state in seconds (Fig. 1D). BcXeR forms trimers in crystals 127 similar to those of other trimeric MRs, such as bacteriorhodopsin from Halobacterium salinarum (HsBR) and a XeR from Nanosalina (NsXeR)<sup>6,11,12</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 3). The protein protomer has seven transmembrane 128 129 α-helices (A to G) (Fig. 1E; Extended Data Fig. 3). The BC loop at the extracellular part of the protein forms 130 a 9 Å long  $\beta$ -sheet (Extended Data Fig. 3). The cofactor retinal is in its all-*trans* configuration in the ground 131 state and is covalently bound to K207 of helix G via the protonated RSB (Fig. 1E; Extended Data Fig. 4A).

132

133 The cytoplasmic part of BcXeR contains a large cavity between S211 and the pair of carboxylic residues E34

and D214, directly exposed to the cytoplasm (Fig. 1F). The cavity is separated from the RSB by an 8 Å

135 hydrophobic gap and is filled with water molecules mediating an H-bond network between the carbonyl 136 oxygen of K207 to the E34-D214 pair (Fig. 1F). D214 is stabilized by T88. The role of these residues was 137 studied in detail for other bacterial XeRs using functional tests of their mutant forms and also FTIR studies<sup>4,5</sup>. 138 Our mutational analysis of BcXeR showed consistent results (Extended Data Fig. 5; Supplementary Note 2). 139 Indeed, the data suggest multiple roles of this region in XeRs functioning throughout a single photocycle. 140 First, it works as a proton acceptor from the RSB upon the formation of the M state. This is supported by our 141 analysis of the pH dependence of the M state formation (Extended Data Fig. 2H). We showed that the rise of 142 the M state does not depend on pH in the range between 6 and 9.5 meaning that there should be an internal 143 proton acceptor from the RSB. Moreover, the rise of the M state is delayed at pH lower than 5, where the E34-144 D214 pair becomes protonated according to our structural data (Supplementary Note 3). Consequently, in the 145 M state, the region of the E34-D214 pair is a transient proton storage place. Lastly, it also acts as a proton 146 release group during the M-to-ground state transition. Thus, the region is a transit point for the proton coming 147 from the RSB before its release to the cytoplasm. Therefore, we denote this cluster as a proton transit group 148 (PTG) of BcXeR by an analogy with the proton release group (PRG) of HsBR formed by E194-E204 pair and 149 surrounding polar amino acid residues (Fig. 1F; Extended Data Fig. 6C,D)<sup>11,13</sup>.

150

151 The RSB region is critical for proton translocation in BcXeR and is conserved within XeRs (Extended Data 152 Fig. 5; Supplementary Note 2). At the extracellular side of BcXeR, there is a quasi-continuous H-bond network 153 propagating from the bulk to the RSB of BcXeR, which is only disrupted near Y49 (Fig. 1G; Extended Data 154 Fig. 7C). The central residue in this network, R70, is oriented towards a large cavity, which leads to the 155 extracellular bulk and is filled with numerous water molecules (Fig. 1G). According to our mutational 156 analysis, R70 is essential for inward proton pumping, unlike D118, E192, and E195, which surround the cavity 157 (Extended Data Fig. 5; Supplementary Note 2). More details on the structure of BcXeR in the ground state is 158 given in Supplementary Note 4.

159

#### 160 Structures of *Bc*XeR in the L and M intermediate states

161

162 To follow the early structural rearrangements in BcXeR associated with the L state formation we used two 163 complementary approaches. First, since the L state is accumulated in the BcXeR crystals in sub-ms time scales 164 (Fig. 1C), we obtained a structural snapshot of the protein with a 500-µs-long X-ray exposure at 2.3 Å 165 resolution with a 250 µs delay to the trigger of a 532-nm laser flash using time-resolved serial crystallography (Fig. 2A; Table 2). Hereafter, we refer to it as a 250-750-µs snapshot. Thus, we obtained time-resolved 166 167 structural data on BcXeR at a synchrotron source with a time resolution of 500 µs, which is one order of magnitude higher than that shown in the studies on MRs<sup>14,15</sup> (Fig. 2B). Second, we determined the structure 168 169 of the cryotrapped L state in a single BcXeR crystal at 1.6 Å resolution (Table 1). For that, the cryocooled 170 crystal was warmed up to 170 K and illuminated for 6 min with a 633-nm laser; then, the crystal was cooled 171 down to 100 K and the X-ray diffraction data were collected (see Methods for details). Similar procedures

172 have already been successfully used for the cryotrapping and structure determination of the L states of HsBR and the light-driven chloride pump halorhodopsin<sup>16,17</sup>. The microspectrophotometry of the *Bc*XeR crystal 173 174 before and after the procedure indicated the accumulation and trapping of solely the L state (Fig. 2C). The cryotrapping of the L state resulted in almost twice as high occupancy of the intermediate in crystals (35% 175 176 and 20% obtained with cryotrapping and time-resolved crystallography, respectively) as well as a much higher 177 resolution (1.6 Å vs. 2.3 Å). Nevertheless, both structures demonstrate similar structural rearrangements 178 associated with the intermediate state formation, such as the flips of L81, W173, L136 and retinal 179 isomerization (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 4, 8B,C,F). The R.M.S.D. of the refined coordinates of the L-180 substructures in the cryotrapped and time-resolved data is 0.2 Å. In both cases, the  $F_0$ - $F_c$  maps shows no 181 unexplained density above  $3.0\sigma$ . However, the structure of the cryotrapped L state demonstrates more essential 182 details such as appearance of key functional water molecules in the region between the RSB and S211 183 (Wat506, Wat507, and Wat508) not observed in the time-resolved L state data (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 184 4); therefore, hereafter we refer to the cryotrapped L intermediate.

185

186 Next, to visualize the following structural rearrangements accompanying the rise of the M state and a possible 187 subsequent spectrally-silent sub-M state transition during its biexponential decay we first used a time-resolved 188 serial crystallography approach. Namely, we obtained a series of 33 datasets covering in total 250 ms of the 189 BcXeR photocycle with a time resolution of 7.5 ms and a spatial resolution of 2.2 Å (Fig. 2A; Table 2; 190 Extended Data Fig. 8D,E,H,I). Structures of the intermediate states of BcXeR obtained at all 33 time points are identical as also evidenced by their corresponding Folight-Fodark difference electron density maps. 191 192 Nevertheless, we captured the rise of the M state occupancy in the crystals in the range between the 0-7.5 and 193 7.5-15 ms and its gradual decay in the following snapshots (Fig. 2D). Since our time-resolved crystallography 194 data cover a 250 ms time range corresponding to the spectrally-silent past-M state transitions, but do not 195 display any possible associated structural rearrangements, we assume that these past-M state transitions are 196 also structurally-silent (or at least undeterminable at a 2.2 Å resolution).

197

198 Second, following the photocycle data in crystals (Extended Data Fig. 2) clearly indicating that under steady 199 illumination with a 532-nm light the M state is the dominant one, we cryotrapped the M state in a single crystal 200 of BcXeR and solved the structure at 1.7 Å resolution (Table 1). In brief, the crystal was illuminated at 293 K 201 for 1 s with a 532-nm laser beam and cryocooled in nitrogen stream with the light still switched on (see 202 Methods for details). Microspectrophotometry of the BcXeR crystal confirmed the accumulation and trapping 203 of solely the M state (Fig. 2C). Indeed, the cryotrapped structure contained >70% of the M state with atomic 204 structure in perfect agreement with the time-resolved data (the R.M.S.D. of the refined coordinates of the M-205 substructures in the cryotrapped and time-resolved data is 0.2 Å) albeit higher intermediate state occupancy 206 and resolution (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 4, 8).

207 Evolution of *Bc*XeR structure in the course of the photocycle

Time-resolved crystallography and cryotrapping of the intermediate states allowed us to follow with high precision the structural rearrangement of BcXeR in the course of inward proton pumping. Since the mechanism of proton pumping by MRs was studied in detail mostly for outward proton pump  $HsBR^{13,14,18}$ , also serving as a benchmark for studies of many other MRs, we used it as a reference for the analysis of the BcXeR structure and mechanism. We conclude that several aspects of the molecular mechanism of proton translocation in BcXeR differs principally from that of HsBR.

215

However, it is also based on proton wires, similar to HsBR<sup>19</sup>. We found essential structural rearrangements in 216 217 the L state of BcXeR resembling those shown for the L state of HsBR. First, similar to HsBR, in BcXeR retinal 218 adopts 13-cis configuration in the L state and the protonated RSB is relocated to the cytoplasmic side (Fig. 219 2B, Fig. 3A). The  $\pi$ -bulge of the helix G near S206 is enlarged (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 6E). As clearly 220 seen from the difference electron density maps, the side chain of L81 is distinctly flipped and three water 221 molecules (Wat506, Wat507, and Wat508) emerge between the RSB and S211 (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 222 4E). They mediate the linear H-bond chain between the RSB and S211 propagating further to the PTG at the 223 cytoplasmic side (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 4E). Thus, in the L state, the RSB of BcXeR is stabilized by the linear water-mediated H-bond chain. Notably, the same structural rearrangements and transiently-formed 224 225 linear H-bond chain were found in the L state of HsBR<sup>18-20</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 9A). A direct comparison of 226 the L state structures of HsBR and BcXeR demonstrates that, similarly for both proteins, the RSB is H-bonded 227 to the carboxylic residues at the cytoplasmic side of the proteins via a water chain consisting of three water 228 molecules. A similar water chain was found in the N state of HsBR for the reprotonation of the RSB from 229 D96<sup>14</sup>.

230

231 At the same time, in contrast to HsBR, we found marked rearrangements of the retinal binding pocket of 232 BcXeR, such as the 180°-flip of W173 (analog of W182 in HsBR) (Fig. 2B; Fig. 3B; Supplementary Video 233 1). In BcXeR this extended conformational change occurs in order to avoid steric conflict with the C20 atom 234 of the isomerized retinal (Fig. 3B). To allow the flip, the L136 side chain is reoriented towards the lipid 235 membrane (Fig. 3B). A water molecule (Wat509) appears near Wat501 to maintain the interaction between 236 helices F and G weakened by the W173 reorientation (Fig. 3B). Wat501 is relocated closer to F210 to provide 237 more space for the reorientation of L81 (Fig. 3B). Also unlike in HsBR, where extended changes in the 238 extracellular part are observed<sup>16,19</sup>, the corresponding region of BcXeR is preserved in the L state. The PTG 239 also remains unmodified at this stage (Fig. 3A).

240

In the M state, both time-resolved and cryotrapping data undoubtedly display the retinal in the 13-*cis* conformation, similar to that in *Hs*BR (Fig. 2B; Extended Data Fig. 4B). The water-mediated H-bond chain connecting the RSB to S211 in the L state disappears in the M state, and the L81 side chain returns back to the ground position (Fig. 3A). Thus, the hydrophobic gate between the RSB and the rest of the cytoplasmic

- 245 part is restored, somewhat similar to that found in  $HsBR^{18,19}$ . The restoration of the gate in BcXeR is essential
- 246 for preventing proton backflow to the RSB from the cytoplasmic side of the protein.

247 At the same time, there are unique structural features specific to the M state of BcXeR but not to HsBR. First 248 of all, in BcXeR the RSB is oriented towards helix G and is stabilized by an H-bond to the S206 side chain, 249 while in *Hs*BR the RSB orients toward helix C and is H-bonded to T89 from a DTD signature motif of archaeal 250 outward proton pumps<sup>18,19</sup> (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 9B). The H-bond of the RSB to S206 indicates the 251 deprotonated form of the base in the M state, in line with the strong blue-shift of the absorption spectrum of 252 BcXeR at this stage. The helix G is slightly shifted towards the lipid membrane to allow for more space for 253 the 13-cis retinal while helix C remains unmodified (Extended Data Fig. 9B). The maximal displacement 254 occurs in the region of P203 (analog of D212 in *Hs*BR), which is a unique characteristic residue in XeRs.

255

#### 256 **Proton translocation events in** *Bc***XeR**

257

258 The structural data strongly suggests that the PTG becomes protonated in the M state. Indeed, the analysis of 259 the PTG structure in different states of BcXeR showed that there are two principally different conformations 260 of the group (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 4C,D, 10; Supplementary Note 3). The first is characterized by a 261 sodium ion bound in the PTG coordinated by D214, E34, T88, and carbonyl oxygen of A84. The sodium ion 262 was assigned to the electron density by the analysis of its coordination. In BcXeR, the ion is coordinated by 263 five oxygens with a mean Na-O distance of 2.4 Å, which is expected for this type of ions<sup>21</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 10). In this sodium-bound conformation, the side chain of E34 is oriented towards D214. This 264 265 conformation is present in the ground and L states of BcXeR at cryo conditions but could not be found at room temperature (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Note 3). Sodium binding in the PTG reflects the deprotonated state of 266 267 the group. Thus, the sodium-bound conformation unequivocally corresponds to the deprotonated PTG. 268 Therefore, in the ground and in the L states the PTG is deprotonated, which also agrees well with FTIR data<sup>4</sup>. 269

270 In the second conformation of the PTG there is no sodium ion and E34 is reoriented towards the cytoplasm 271 (Fig. 3A; Extended Data Fig. 10). An additional water molecule was found between the E34 and D214 272 residues. This water molecule is located within 0.8 Å from the position of the sodium ion in the sodium-bound 273 conformation; however, due to the flip of E34 it is only coordinated with four oxygens with a mean O-O 274 distance of 2.8 Å, characteristic to a normal H-bond<sup>22</sup>. This sodium-free conformation is found in the M state 275 (Fig. 3A). The protonation state of the PTG in the sodium-free conformation is an intriguing and non-trivial 276 question. We determined the structures of the ground state of BcXeR in a wide range of pH values (5.2-8.2, 277 Extended Data Fig. 10; Supplementary Note 3). The sodium-free conformation of PTG remains unmodified 278 suggesting that this conformation reflects both deprotonated and protonated forms, which, however, cannot 279 be structurally distinguished, at least at 2 Å resolution (Supplementary Note 3).

281 Nevertheless, the sodium dissociation from the PTG upon the L-to-M state transition might be a signature of 282 the proton transfer to the group at this stage of the photocycle (Fig. 3A). Since the RSB is deprotonated upon 283 the L-to-M state transition and there is a continuous H-bond chain connecting the RSB to PTG in the L state, we assume that the proton is relocated from the RSB towards the cytoplasm via this linear H-bond chain (Fig. 284 285 3A). Thus, the proton released from the RSB is transferred through the proton wire and is likely stored at the PTG in the M state. The direct proton transfer to the cytoplasm from the RSB with the formation of the M 286 287 state cannot be excluded but is unlikely, according to our time-resolved spectroscopy experiments (Extended 288 Data Fig. 2H).

289

290 Next, the extracellular side of BcXeR remains almost identical to that in the ground and L states (Fig. 3D). 291 The H-bond network is only slightly modified in the region between H6 and R70 (Fig. 3D; Extended Data 292 Fig. 7C,D). Namely, while one water molecule Wat402 is found in a double conformation in the ground and 293 L states, indicating its weak interaction with Y49 in only half of the protein molecules, two separate water 294 molecules are well-resolved in the M state (Fig. 3D; Extended Data Fig. 7C-E). This minor rearrangement 295 provides a stronger connection between Y49 and Wat402 in the M state, resulting in an almost continuous H-296 bond chain from the RSB to the large water-filled concavity exposed to the extracellular bulk (Fig. 3D). We 297 suggest that this H-bond chain serves as a pathway, a proton wire, for the RSB reprotonation. It should be 298 noted that although the retinal is in a 13-cis conformation in the M state, the RSB is located already very close 299 to its position in the ground state and is within an H-bond distance from Wat401 (Fig. 3D). Thus, the RSB is 300 accessible for reprotonation from the extracellular bulk. However, spectroscopic data shows that the 301 reprotonation of the RSB proceeds slowly in BcXeR and in a pH-independent manner (Fig. 1B; Extended 302 Data Fig. 2H). Therefore, we suggest that the proton translocation from the extracellular side to the RSB 303 requires the formation of a continuous proton wire, which, in turn, is the time-limiting step of the inward 304 proton transport process.

305

#### 306

- Gating of inward proton translocation in *Bc*XeR
- 307

308 Notably, in the M state of BcXeR the W173 side chain is largely flipped to avoid steric conflict with the 13-309 cis retinal and is oriented nearly perpendicular to its initial position (Fig. 2B; Fig. 3C; Supplementary Movie 310 1). L169 is flipped towards helix G to allow the rearrangement of W173. The conformation of W173 in the M state of BcXeR is stabilized by its water-mediated H-bonding to D107 (Fig. 3C). In contrast, W182 in HsBR 311 (analog of W173 of BcXeR) preserves its orientation throughout the photocycle<sup>14,18,19</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 312 313 9C). We suggest that, in BcXeR, W173 can be rotated since there is sufficient place near the T143 residue for 314 this large-scale movement. The reorientation of W173 is also provided by the flip of L169. In *Hs*BR, T143 is 315 substituted by a larger residue L152, which would cause a steric conflict with W182 in the rotated orientation. 316 Moreover, there is a T178 residue at the position of L169 stabilized by an H-bond to a water molecule<sup>19</sup>. Thus, 317 we may speculate that in *Hs*BR the flip of W182 is blocked by the presence of the L152 and T178 residues. 318 However, further investigations are needed to probe this hypothesis. The pedal-like pushing of the retinal on the W182 in *Hs*BR is claimed to trigger a 9 Å tilting of the cytoplasmic parts of E and F helices resulting in 319 the opening of the protein for proton uptake through its cytoplasmic part to the RSB<sup>14</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 320 321 9C). In contrast, an unusual flexibility of the retinal binding pocket of BcXeR and particularly that of W173 322 allows mitigation of the large-scale structural rearrangements caused by retinal isomerization resulting in 323 almost no movements of the transmembrane helices (Extended Data Fig. 9C). This helps to maintain the hydrophobic gate at the cytoplasmic part of BcXeR in the closed state after proton translocation from the RSB 324 325 to the PTG, preventing the backflow of the protons during the M-to-ground state transition. Thus, we suggest that the notable rearrangements of W173 and its surroundings are one of the key determinants of the 326 327 unidirectional proton transport in bacterial XeRs. Indeed, D107, stabilizing the conformation of W173 in the M state, is shown to be essential for proton pumping in BcXeR (Extended Data Fig. 5; Supplementary Note 328 329 2). Moreover, D107 is conserved within bacterial XeRs, but is substituted by glutamine in ASR-like sensors 330 which lack proton-pumping activity<sup>23</sup>. Importantly, this is a noteworthy difference between the internal 331 organization of bacterial XeRs and ASR-like sensors (Extended Data Fig. 5).

332

Finally, our time-resolved crystallography data show that the M-to-ground transition proceeds directly without structurally distinguishable intermediate states. This suggests that the following events occur simultaneously: the retinal returns to the all-*trans* configuration; the RSB is reprotonated from the extracellular side; the W173 and its surroundings are relocated back to the ground conformations; and the PTG releases the proton to the cytoplasm and re-binds the sodium ion. In contrast to *Hs*BR, no large-scale structural rearrangements accompany these events, which is also supported by the absence of the late N- and O-like states in XeRs (Extended Data Fig. 2).

341 Conclusion

342

343 In this work we used bacterial xenorhodopsin BcXeR to study the molecular mechanism of light-driven inward 344 proton translocation (Fig. 4; Supplementary Note 5; Supplementary Video 2). We utilized synchrotron 345 radiation to capture high-resolution snapshots of the early sub-ms and the subsequent ms-time-scale reactions 346 in BcXeR using time-resolved serial crystallography. Thus, this work extends the time range of X-ray synchrotron time-resolved crystallography on microbial rhodopsins to hundreds of microseconds, which is by 347 348 an order of magnitude shorter than reported earlier<sup>14</sup>. Our work is a basis for further time-resolved studies of 349 light-sensitive proteins at synchrotrons and X-ray Free-Electron Lasers. Such further structural information 350 on BcXeR in the ps-ns time range would be beneficial for the deeper understanding of its primary 351 photoreactions.

Together with the recent data on the outward proton pump  $HsBR^{19}$ , the present work demonstrates that proton 352 353 wires are at the core of both outwardly and inwardly directed proton transfer through the membrane serving 354 as pathways within the proteins. In BcXeR, only a minor reorganization of the proton wires accompanied by 355 subsequential opening of two internal gates in the course of the protein function is sufficient for inwardly 356 directed proton translocation. Our results suggest that mutations at the extracellular gate of BcXeR could 357 accelerate the protein photocycle, as is required for the engineering of a potent IPP-based optogenetic tools<sup>6</sup>. 358 Mutational, bioinformatical, and structural analysis of XeRs suggests that the proton wire mechanism is 359 fundamental and characteristic not only for bacterial, but also for archaeal rhodopsins (Supplementary Note 360 6). We also speculate that proton wires could be universal for other proton transporters. At the same time, we 361 demonstrate that BcXeR differs from outward proton pumps and has a specific cavity-gate-cavity-gate-water pore structure resembling that of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)<sup>24</sup>. However, in contrast to channelrhodopsins, 362 363 where all gates are opened simultaneously, in XeRs the gates open sequentially, resulting in unidirectional 364 proton translocation.

#### 366 Acknowledgements

367 We acknowledge the Structural Biology Group of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) and 368 the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) unit in Hamburg at Deutsche Elektronen-Synchrotron 369 (DESY) for granting access to the synchrotron beamlines. Structural and spectroscopic data obtained at the ESRF have been recorded and analyzed between 2020 and 2021. This work was supported by the common 370 371 program of Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), France and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, 372 Germany (ANR-15-CE11-0029-02), and by funding from Frankfurt: Cluster of Excellence Frankfurt 373 Macromolecular Complexes (to E.B.) by the Max Planck Society (to E.B.) and by the Commissariat à 374 l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives (Institut de Biologie Structurale)-Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft 375 Deutscher Forschungszentren (Forschungszentrum Jülich) Special Terms and Conditions 5.1 specific 376 agreement. V.G. greatly acknowledge his HGF professorship. This work used the *ic*OS and HTX platforms 377 of the Grenoble Instruct-ERIC center (ISBG; UAR 3518 CNRS-CEA-UJF-EMBL) within the Grenoble 378 Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB). Platform access was supported by FRISBI (ANR-10-INBS-0005-379 02) and GRAL, a project of the University Grenoble Alpes graduate school (Ecoles Universitaires de 380 Recherche) CBH-EUR-GS (ANR-17-EURE-0003). Protein expression and spectroscopic analysis were 381 supported by the Russian Science Foundation (RSF) (project 21-64-00018). This work was supported by the 382 project ANR-19-CE11-0026. K.K. has been supported by EMBL Interdisciplinary Postdoctoral Fellowship 383 (EIPOD4) under Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions Cofund (grant agreement number 847543). The work of 384 A.A. was supported by funding of the German Research Foundation to Dr. Tobias Moser via the Multiscale 385 Bioimaging - Cluster of Excellence (EXC 2067/1-390729940). Time-resolved crystallography data treatment 386 was supported by RFBR (project 19-29-12022). A.K. acknowledges the support from the Ministry of Science 387 and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement 075-03-2023-106, project FSMG-2021-0002). 388 A.Rog. acknowledges the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (project no. 389 075-01645-22-06/720000F.99.1.B385AV67000). X-ray data collection was supported by the Russian 390 Ministry of Science and Higher Education (grant no. 075-15-2021-1354). The research of electrogenic 391 behavior of BcXeR in proteoliposomes was carried out at the expense of the grant of the Russian Science 392 Foundation No. 22-14-00104 (to S.S.).

393

#### **394** Author Contributions Statement:

395 K.K., A.A., and F.T. contributed equally and either has the right to list himself first in bibliographic 396 documents. A.A. found a gene bioinformatically, proposed it as a target and performed initial functional tests 397 in E. coli; F.T. did cloning, expression, and purification of the protein and its mutants; F.T. did functional 398 studies of the proteins in *E.coli* cell suspension; A.A. supervised the expression and purification; S.S. 399 performed studies of electrogenic behavior of BcXeR in proteoliposomes; D.S. and F.T. performed flash-400 photolysis studies on protein in solution and in crystals; I.C. supervised flash-photolysis experiments; K.K. 401 crystallized the protein; R.A. helped with the crystallization; S.B., R.A., and A.Roy. performed cryotrapping 402 of the intermediates at the icOS Lab and recorded the spectra of the states in crystals; K.K. performed

- 403 cryotrapping of the intermediates in crystals at the P14 beamline; M.A. installed the laser setup at the P14 404 beamline for the cryotrapping and TR studies; K.K. collected and processed the diffraction data, solved and 405 refined the structures; G.B. helped with data collection, structure refinement, and analysis; M.N. provided 406 online monitoring of X-ray diffraction images during TR crystallography data collection at 1 kHz; D.v.S. 407 provided scripts for serial data processing and help with serial data analysis; V.S., N.I., E.B., T.R.S., A.Rog., 408 F.R.-V., V.B., and G.B. helped with data analysis; A.K. animated the transitions between the intermediates under the supervision of I.G.; A.A. performed bioinformatic analysis with the help of R.R.; F.T., A.A., K.K., 409 410 and V.G. analyzed the results and prepared the manuscript with the important contribution of G.B. and with input from all the other authors. V.G. supervised and analyzed the results of experiments and proposed the 411 412 conception of molecular mechanism of inward proton transport.
- 413

#### 414 **Competing Interest Statement:**

- 415 Authors declare no competing interests.
- 416

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics on *Bc*XeR at 100 K.

	Ground	L state,	M state,	Ground	M state,	Ground	M state,	Ground	M state,
	state, pH	pH 8.2	pH 8.2	state, pH	pH 7.0	state, pH	pH 5.2	state, pH	рН 7.6,
	8.2			7.0		5.2		7.6, no	no Na
								Na	
PDB ID	7ZMY	7ZN3	7ZN0	7ZN8	7ZN9	7ZNA	7ZNB	7ZNC	7ZND
Data									
collection									
Space group	P 21 21	P 21 21	P 21 21	P 21 21	P 21 21	P 21 21	P 21 21	P 21 21	P 21 21
	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
Cell									
dimensions									
a, b, c (A)	68.24,	68.39,	68.50,	68.60,	68.90,	68.57,	68.50,	68.45,	69.09,
	109.57,	109.49,	109.50,	109.60,	109.50,	109.59,	109.50,	109.63,	109.36,
	119.47	119.39	119.00	119.30	119.40	119.62	119.00	118.46	119.31
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90,	90, 90,	90, 90,	90, 90,	90, 90,	90, 90,	90, 90,	90, 90,	90, 90,
	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Resolution	49.799-	49.763-	49.738-	49.798-	49.767-	49.817-	49.738-	44.790-	59.792-
(A)	1.700	1.600	1.700	2.200	2.300	1.800	1.900	1.700	1.985
	(1.742-	(1.739-	(1.813-	(2.293-	(2.370-	(1.916-	(1.963-	(1.780-	(2.168-
D 0/	1.700)*	1.600)*	1.700)*	2.200)*	2.300)*	1.800)*	1.900)*	1.700)*	1.985)*
$R_{\rm merge}, \%$	7.9	7.6	8.3	16.3	17.7	10.4	7.8	9.5	11.4
<b>T</b> ( <b>T</b>	(335.3)	(283.5)	(346.9)	(3//.5)	(246.4)	(33/.6)	(194.8)	(280.8)	(163.6)
Ι/σΙ	15.9 (0.9)	15.9 (1.1)	16.5 (0.8)	10.9 (0.8)	9.6 (1.3)	14.1 (0.8)	17.5 (1.5)	15.0 (0.9)	13.7(1.3)
Completeness	92.0	92.3	93.9	85.5	96.3	94.5	95.3	96.2	90.2
(%)	(65.4)	(75.8)	(82.4)	(66.6)	(78.3)	(88.6)	(79.6)	(86.3)	(77.0)
Redundancy	13.8	13.7	13.0	13.1	13.2	13.1	13.1	13.3	12.0 (7.2)
	(14.1)	(13.0)	(13.1)	(12.0)	(12.8)	(13.1)	(12.2)	(12.5)	
Dofinament									
Remember	20.1.7	20.1.6	20.1.7	20.2.2	20.2.2	20.1.8	20.1.0	20.1.7	20 1 08
	20-1.7	20-1.0	20-1.7	20-2.2	20-2.5	20-1.8	20-1.9	20-1.7	20-1.90
(A) No	86 518	78 514	65 890	35 350	36 269	62 007	59 830	78 775	40 266
reflections	00,510	70,514	05,070	55,550	50,207	02,007	57,050	10,115	40,200
$R_{\rm mat}/R_{\rm fm}$	17 4/20 3	20 4/21 6	19 9/22 7	199/238	21 6/25 3	19 6/23 0	196/227	18 5/20 8	25 0/28 2
Occupancy of	-	35	100	-	100	-	100	-	100
the		20	100		100		100		100
intermediate.									
%									
No. atoms									
Protein	5301	5490	5351	5301	5342	5304	5342	5362	5236
Retinal	60	120	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Sodium	3	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-
Lipid	737	599	627	732	626	720	627	807	802
Water	283	246	241	281	243	289	240	375	75
<b>B</b> -factors									
Protein	33	30	34	36	38	31	34	27	32
Retinal	27	28	29	27	32	25	29	20	26
Sodium	26	25	-	36	-	25	-	-	-
Lipid	60	47	58	58	60	58	58	54	60
Water	46	39	44	48	45	45	44	42	33
R.m.s.									
deviations									
Bond	0.006	0.014	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.002
lengths (Å)									
Bond	1.223	1.649	1.019	1.091	1.019	1.131	1.022	1.078	0.994
angles (°)									

420 \*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

	Dark state, pH 8.2	7.5-15.0 ms snapshot,	Dark state, pH 8.2	250-750 μs snapshot, pl
	7.5 ms exposure	pH 8.2	500 µs exposure	8.2
PDB ID	7ZNE	7ZNI	7ZNG	7ZNH
Data collection				
Space group	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21	P 21 21 21
Cell				
dimensions				
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	71.50, 111.50, 119.30	71.50, 111.50, 119.30	71.91, 111.86, 119.52	71.91, 111.86, 119.52
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90
Indexed patterns	67,912	12,449	17,997	17,526
Indexing rate, %	33.9	10.4	25.0	24.3
Resolution (Å)	45.8-2.1 (2.17-2.10)*	45.8-2.2 (2.28-2.20)*	45.96-2.30 (2.38-2.30)*	45.96-2.30 (2.38-2.30)
$R_{\rm split}, \%$	6.6 (156.74)	14.3 (198.8)	19.2 (260.1)	19.6 (292.3)
Ι/σΙ	9.0 (0.6)	4.5 (0.5)	4.0 (0.4)	3.9 (0.4)
Completeness	100.0 (100.0)	100.0 (100.0)	100.0 (100.0)	100.0 (100.0)
(%)				
Redundancy	926.8 (629.9)	177.3 (121.1)	526.2 (360.9)	507.4 (348.2)
CC*, %	99.9 (72.0)	99.4 (42.2)	99.6 (42.5)	99.6 (41.4)
Refinement				
Resolution (Å)	20-2.1	20-2.2	20-2.3	20-2.3
No. reflections	54,544	47,347	41,079	40,880
$R_{\rm work}$ / $R_{\rm free}$	18.7/21.5	18.5/21.4	21.8/25.1	22.0/24.8
Occupancy of	-	62	-	20
the intermediate,				
%				
No. atoms				
Protein	5189	5507	5194	5383
Retinal	60	120	60	120
Lipid	528	566	540	540
Water	75	95	75	75
B-factors				
Protein	55	56	48	49
Retinal	46	50	38	36
Lipid	63	65	66	67
Water	62	63	55	54
R.m.s.				
deviations				
Bond lengths	0.002	0.010	0.002	0.006
(Å)				
Bond angles	1.050	1.428	1.040	1.250
(°)				

421	Table 2. Serial crystallography data collection and refinement statistics on <i>Bc</i> XeR at room tem	peratu
	There is set in the state of th	

423 424

#### 426 Figure Legends

427 Figure 1 | Functional, spectroscopical, and structural features of BcXeR. A. Light-induced pH changes in 428 suspension of *E.coli* expressing *Bc*XeR in non-buffered salt solutions (salt molarity is 100 mM each). Solid 429 lines represent experiments in the absence of any protonophore, dashed lines show pH changes in the presence 430 of 30 µM of protonophore carbonyl-cyanide m-chlorophenyl-hydrazone (CCCP). The yellow area indicates 431 the period of time when the light was on. **B.** Photocycle scheme of BcXeR. **C.** Time-resolved traces of BcXeR432 in solution and in crystals at 480 nm characteristic for the L state. D. Time-resolved traces of BcXeR in 433 solution and in crystals at 390 nm characteristic for the M state. E. Overall side view of the BcXeR protomer. 434 **F.** Detailed view of the cytoplasmic side of *Bc*XeR in the ground state. The proton transit group (PTG) is 435 highlighted in yellow. The hydrophobic gate between the RSB and S211 is shown with a red arrow. G. 436 Detailed view of the extracellular side of BcXeR. The gate near Y49 is shown with a red arrow. Cavities were 437 calculated using HOLLOW<sup>25</sup> and are shown with a blue surface. Hydrogen bonds are shown with black dashed 438 lines. 439

440 Figure 2 | Obtaining of BcXeR structures in the L and M intermediate states. A. A global fit of flash-441 photolysis data revealed the presence of three spectrally distinct states of BcXeR: K, L, and M. Time regions 442 captured in two time-resolved (TR) experiments are highlighted in red and in yellow for the L and M states, respectively. **B.** Examples of Fo<sup>light</sup>-Fo<sup>dark</sup> difference electron density maps in the region of retinal obtained 443 444 with TR and cryotrapping methods. The maps are contoured at the level of  $3.5\sigma$ . The maps of the L state 445 obtained with TR approach are contoured at  $3.0\sigma$ . In the latter case the maps appear weaker due to a lower 446 resolution and a lower occupancy of the intermediate. C. UV-visible absorption spectra measured in crystallo 447 at 100 K of the ground (green solid), the L (red solid), and M (yellow solid) states (insets in the right panel: 448 photos of the same frozen BcXeR crystal in the cryoloop before and after the laser illumination, near the 449 corresponding spectra). Spectra of the corresponding states of the BcXeR photocycle in solution are shown 450 with dashed lines. **D.** Evolution of the occupancy of the M state determined from the TR crystallography 451 experiments (red bars). Red bars indicate the experimental error of the M state occupancy determination 452  $(\pm 2\%)$ . The evolution is in line with the difference absorption evolution at 390 nm (yellow line) corresponding 453 to the M state population determined by TR spectroscopy of the BcXeR crystals.

455 Figure 3 | Structural rearrangements in BcXeR in the L and M states. A. Structural rearrangements at the 456 cytoplasmic part of BcXeR in the course of the photocycle. Blue arrows indicate the key conformational 457 changes. The wide light blue arrow indicates the putative pathway for proton translocation. Three water 458 molecules appearing in the L state and forming a transient H-bond chain from the RSB to S211 are highlighted 459 in yellow. The proton at the PTG in the M state is highlighted in light blue. The key hydrogen atoms are shown 460 as white spheres. B. Structural rearrangements in the retinal and retinal binding pocket upon the ground-to-L 461 transition. Black arrows indicate the most notable conformational changes between the ground (green) and 462 the L (salmon) states. C. Structural rearrangements in the retinal and retinal binding pocket upon the L-to-M 463 transition. Black arrows indicate the most notable conformational changes between the L (salmon) and the M 464 (yellow) states. H-bonds connecting D107 and W173 via water molecule Wat501' are shown with yellow 465 dashed lines. D. Extracellular side of BcXeR in the ground (green), L (salmon), and M (yellow) states. The 466 continuous H-bond connecting the RSB to the concavity (highlighted with light blue surface) exposed to the 467 extracellular bulk is shown with yellow dashed lines. The blue arrow indicates the additional water molecule 468 Wat403 found only in the M state. The wide light blue arrow indicates the putative pathway for RSB 469 reprotonation.

470

454

471 Figure 4 | Proposed mechanism of inward proton transport by BcXeR. The putative scheme of the key 472 structural rearrangements during the BcXeR photocycle is shown. Inactive regions of the proton wire 473 propagating through the inside of the protein are shown with yellow thick dashed lines. Active regions of the 474 wire are shown with green thick dashed lines. Positively and negatively charged groups are colored blue and 475 red, respectively. The protein surface is shown in light gray. Water molecules involved in the proton wire are 476 shown as red spheres. Sodium ions are shown as purple spheres. The retinal cofactor is colored cyan. Central 477 hydrophobic and weak extracellular gates are marked and indicated with arrows. The states of the gates are 478 indicated with the color of the arrow and a corresponding description (red - closed; green - opened; yellow -479 intermediate). Blue arrows indicate putative proton translocation pathways. The lipid membrane is shown in

480 blue.

- 482 1. Gushchin, I. & Gordeliy, V. Microbial Rhodopsins. in Membrane Protein Complexes: Structure and
- 483 *Function* (eds. Harris, J. R. & Boekema, E. J.) 19–56 (Springer, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-981-10-7757484 9\_2.
- 485 2. Oesterhelt, D. & Stoeckenius, W. Rhodopsin-like Protein from the Purple Membrane of Halobacterium
  486 halobium. *Nature. New Biol.* 233, 149–152 (1971).
- 487 3. Sahel, J.-A. *et al.* Partial recovery of visual function in a blind patient after optogenetic therapy. *Nat.*488 *Med.* 27, 1223–1229 (2021).
- 489 4. Inoue, K. et al. A natural light-driven inward proton pump. Nat. Commun. 7, 13415 (2016).
- 490 5. Inoue, S. et al. Spectroscopic characteristics of Rubricoccus marinus xenorhodopsin (RmXeR) and a
- 491 putative model for its inward H+ transport mechanism. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **20**, 3172–3183 (2018).
- 492 6. Shevchenko, V. *et al.* Inward H<sup>+</sup> pump xenorhodopsin: Mechanism and alternative optogenetic
- 493 approach. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1603187 (2017).
- 494 7. Weissbecker, J. *et al.* The Voltage Dependent Sidedness of the Reprotonation of the Retinal Schiff Base
  495 Determines the Unique Inward Pumping of Xenorhodopsin. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 60, 23010–23017
- 496 (2021).
- 497 8. Alcaraz, L. D. *et al.* The genome of Bacillus coahuilensis reveals adaptations essential for survival in the
  498 relic of an ancient marine environment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 5803–5808 (2008).
- 499 9. Chizhov, I. *et al.* Spectrally silent transitions in the bacteriorhodopsin photocycle. *Biophys. J.* 71, 2329–
  500 2345 (1996).
- 10. Landau, E. M. & Rosenbusch, J. P. Lipidic cubic phases: A novel concept for the crystallization
  of membrane proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 93, 14532–14535 (1996).
- 11. Luecke, H., Schobert, B., Richter, H.-T., Cartailler, J.-P. & Lanyi, J. K. Structure of bacteriorhodopsin at
  1.55 Å resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* 291, 899–911 (1999).
- 12. Hasegawa, N., Jonotsuka, H., Miki, K. & Takeda, K. X-ray structure analysis of bacteriorhodopsin at
  1.3 Å resolution. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 13123 (2018).
- 507 13. Gerwert, K., Freier, E. & Wolf, S. The role of protein-bound water molecules in microbial rhodopsins.
- 508 Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Bioenerg. **1837**, 606–613 (2014).

- 509 14. Weinert, T. et al. Proton uptake mechanism in bacteriorhodopsin captured by serial synchrotron
- 510 crystallography. *Science* **365**, 61–65 (2019).
- 511 15. Mous, S. *et al.* Dynamics and mechanism of a light-driven chloride pump. *Science* **375**, 845–851 (2022).
- 512 16. Wickstrand, C., Dods, R., Royant, A. & Neutze, R. Bacteriorhodopsin: Would the real structural
- 513 intermediates please stand up? *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Gen. Subj.* **1850**, 536–553 (2015).
- 514 17. Kouyama, T., Kawaguchi, H., Nakanishi, T., Kubo, H. & Murakami, M. Crystal Structures of the L1,
- 515 L2, N, and O States of pharaonis Halorhodopsin. *Biophys. J.* **108**, 2680–2690 (2015).
- 516 18. Nango, E. *et al.* A three-dimensional movie of structural changes in bacteriorhodopsin. *Science* 354,
  517 1552–1557 (2016).
- 518 19. V. Borshchevskiy et al. True-atomic-resolution insights into the structure and functional role of linear
- 519 chains and low-barrier hydrogen bonds in proteins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* (2022) doi:10.1038/s41594-
- 520 022-00762-2.
- 521 20. Freier, E., Wolf, S. & Gerwert, K. Proton transfer via a transient linear water-molecule chain in a
  522 membrane protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 11435–11439 (2011).
- 523 21. Kuppuraj, G., Dudev, M. & Lim, C. Factors Governing Metal–Ligand Distances and Coordination
  524 Geometries of Metal Complexes. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 113, 2952–2960 (2009).
- 525 22. Szalewicz, K. Hydrogen Bond. in Encyclopedia of Physical Science and Technology (Third Edition) (ed.
- 526 Meyers, R. A.) 505–538 (Academic Press, 2003). doi:10.1016/B0-12-227410-5/00322-7.
- 527 23. Jung, K.-H., Trivedi, V. D. & Spudich, J. L. Demonstration of a sensory rhodopsin in eubacteria. *Mol.* 528 *Microbiol.* 47, 1513–1522 (2003).
- 529 24. Volkov, O. *et al.* Structural insights into ion conduction by channelrhodopsin 2. *Science* 358, eaan8862
  530 (2017).
- 531 25. Ho, B. K. & Gruswitz, F. HOLLOW: Generating Accurate Representations of Channel and Interior
- 532 Surfaces in Molecular Structures. *BMC Struct. Biol.* **8**, 49 (2008).
- 533
- 534

535 Methods

#### 536

#### 537 Phylogenetic analysis of rhodopsin genes

To retrieve xenorhodopsins from available sequence data, a two-step procedure based on sequence similarity search and Hidden Markov Models was followed. First, bona fide XeR amino acid sequences were queried against the non-redundant database at NCBI using Diamond v0.9.4.105<sup>26</sup> and applying the substitution matrix BLOSUM45 to retrieve distantly related proteins with the E-value set to 1e-5. Next, all genomes whose proteins gave hits with bona fide XeR were downloaded and all encoding rhodopsins (either XeR-related or other types) were identified using HMMER version v3.3.2 (http://hmmer.org) and further confirmed by checking for the presence of 7 transmembrane domains using TMHMM<sup>27</sup>.

The obtained set of putative xenorhodopsins was aligned with ClustalO. The phylogenetic tree was constructed in iTol v. $6^{28}$ . Structurally important regions were identified manually according to the obtained high-resolution structure of *Bc*XeR, taking into account structure-functional information on *Ns*XeR, ASR, and other published xenorhodopsins. The data and figures, showing conserved amino acids in the structurally important regions, were calculated and designed in an in-house Python Jupyter notebook and Wolfram Mathematica.

551

#### 552 Cloning

*BcXeR* coding DNA was optimized for *E.coli* codons using GeneArt (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Genes were synthesized commercially (Eurofins). For protein expression and measurements of pump activity pET15b plasmid with 6xHis-tag at the C-terminal was used. Site-directed mutagenesis with PCR, phosphorylation and ligation was performed.

557

#### 558 **Protein expression, solubilization, and purification**

559 *E.coli* cells were transformed with pET15b plasmid containing the gene of interest. Transformed cells were 560 grown at 37°C in shaking baffled flasks in an autoinducing medium ZYP-5052<sup>29</sup>, containing 10 mg/L 561 ampicillin. They were induced at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6-0.7 with 1 mM isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside 562 (IPTG). Subsequently, 10 µM all-trans-retinal was added. Incubation continued for 3 hours. The cells were 563 collected by centrifugation at 5000g for 20 min. Collected cells were disrupted in an M-110P Lab 564 Homogenizer (Microfluidics) at 25,000 p.s.i. in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5% glycerol, 565 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 50 mg/L DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich). The membrane fraction of the 566 cell lysate was isolated by ultracentrifugation at 125,000g for 1 h at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in a 567 buffer containing 50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl and 1% DDM (Anatrace, Affymetrix) 568 and stirred overnight for solubilization. The insoluble fraction was removed by ultracentrifugation at 125,000g 569 for 1h at 4 °C. The supernatant was loaded on an Ni-NTA column (Qiagen), and protein was eluted in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.4 M imidazole and 0.05% DDM. The eluate 570 571 was subjected to size-exclusion chromatography on a 125-ml Superdex 200 PG column (GE Healthcare Life

- 572 Sciences) in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl and 0.05% DDM. In the
- 573 end protein was concentrated to 70 mg/ml for crystallization and -80°C storage.
- 574

#### 575 Measurements of pump activity in the *E. coli* cells suspension

576 The proteins (BcXeR, AaSR, PpaSR) were expressed as described above. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 4000g for 15 min and were washed three times with an unbuffered salt solution, with 30-min 577 578 intervals between the washes to allow for exchange of the ions inside the cells with the bulk. After that, the 579 cells were resuspended in an unbuffered salt solution and adjusted to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 8.5. The measurements were 580 performed in 3-ml aliquots of stirred cell suspension kept at ice temperature (0.3-1.0°C). The cells were 581 illuminated using a halogen lamp (Olympus KL2500 LCD), and the light-induced pH changes were monitored 582 with a pH meter (SevenEasy, METTLER TOLEDO). The measurements were repeated under the same 583 conditions after the addition of 30 µM CCCP protonophore.

584

#### 585 Spectroscopic characterization and time-resolved absorption spectroscopy

586 The absorption spectra in pH range were obtained with Biotek Synergy HT. The setup for time-resolved 587 absorption spectroscopy was as follows. A brilliant B Nd:YAG laser (Quantel, France) was used for providing 588 pulses of 4 ns duration at a 532 nm wavelength and an energy of about 2 mJ/pulse. Samples were placed 589 between two collimated mechanically coupled monochromators (LSH-150, LOT, Germany). The probing 590 light (Xe-arc lamp, 75 W, Hamamatsu, Japan) passed the first monochromator and the sample and arrived 591 after a second monochromator at a PMT detector (R12829, Hamamatzu, Japan). Two digital oscilloscopes 592 (Keysight DSO-X 4022A) were used to record the traces of transient transmission changes in two overlapping 593 time windows.

In this setup we recorded transient absorption changes starting from 700 ns after the laser pulse until the completion of the photocycle, at each wavelength from 330 to 730 nm in 10-nm steps, 25 laser pulses were averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. We analyzed the data sets using the global multiexponential nonlinear least-squares fitting program MEXFIT as reported earlier.

598

#### 599 Electrometric Time-Resolved Measurements of the Membrane Potential

600 The generation of the transmembrane electric potential difference  $\Delta \Psi$  was observed using a direct 601 electrometric setup with a time resolution of 100 ns as described earlier.<sup>30,31</sup>. Proteoliposomes with protein 602 were fused with the surface of a collodion phospholipid-impregnated film (a measuring membrane) separating 603 two sections of the measuring cell filled with a buffer solution. The potential difference  $\Delta \Psi$  across the 604 measuring membrane were detected by Ag<sup>+</sup>/AgCl electrodes immersed in the solution at both sides of 605 membrane. This membrane should be thin enough and possess large electric capacitance (about 5 nF) for 606 detecting fast charge translocation events. Typical resistance of the measuring membrane was 2 to 3 GOhm. 607 A Nd-YAG laser (YG-481,  $\lambda = 532$  nm, pulse half-width 12 ns, pulse energy up to 40 mJ; Quantel) was used as a light source of the light pulses. In the process of the light-driven proton transfer, rhodopsin creates  $\Delta \Psi$ across the vesicle membrane, which is proportionately divided by the measuring membrane.

610

#### 611 **Proteoliposomes preparation**

612 Protein containing proteoliposomes were prepared as described previousely<sup>32</sup>. Soybean asolectin was 613 dissolved (1% w/v in final solution) in chloroform, which was then removed using a rotary evaporator ( $25^{\circ}$ C) 614 and a vacuum pump. Asolectin film was resuspended in 0.1 M NaCl, 2% (w/v) sodium cholate to the final 615 asolectin concentration of 1% (w/v). Suspension was sonicated for 5 min at 4°C and centrifuged. Supernatant 616 was sonicated for 1 min and then solubilized protein was quickly added to the final concentration 0.7 mg/ml 617 which was followed by adding detergent-absorbing beads (Amberlite XAD-2, Sigma-Aldrich). The beads 618 were exchanged with new ones several times (one of them was kept overnight and the others were close to an 619 hour each).

620

#### 621 Crystallization

The crystals of BcXeR were grown with an *in meso* approach<sup>10</sup>, similar to that used in our previous works<sup>33,34</sup>. In particular, the solubilized protein (60 mg/ml) in the crystallization buffer was mixed with premelted at 42°C monoolein (MO, Nu-Chek Prep) in a 3:2 ratio (lipid:protein) to form a lipidic mesophase. The mesophase was homogenized in coupled syringes (Hamilton) by transferring the mesophase from one syringe to another until a homogeneous and gel-like material was formed.

For the growing of single crystals of BcXeR used for determination of the cryo structures, 150 nl drops of a 627 628 protein-mesophase mixture were spotted on a 96-well LCP glass sandwich plate (Marienfeld) and overlaid with 400 nL of precipitant solution by means of the NT8 crystallization robot (Formulatrix). The best crystals 629 630 were obtained with a protein concentration of 20 mg/ml (in the water part of the mesophase). The crystals for 631 the determination of the BcXeR structures in the ground and M states at pH 5.2, 7.0, and 8.2 and in the L state 632 at pH 8.2 were obtained using 0.8 M Na/K-Pi pH 8.2 as a precipitant. The crystals for the determination of 633 the BcXeR structures in the ground and M state at pH 7.6 in the absence of sodium were obtained using 1.2 634 M (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> pH 7.6 as a precipitant. The crystals were grown at 22°C and appeared in 2 months. Once the 635 crystals reached their final size, crystallization wells were opened, and drops containing the protein-636 mesophase mixture were covered with 100  $\mu$ l of the respective precipitant solution. For the data collection, 637 harvested crystals were incubated for 5 min in the respective precipitant solutions. For obtaining the structures 638 of BcXeR at pH 5.2 the crystallization well was covered with a solution of 1.2 M Na/K-Pi pH 5.2. The crystals 639 were soaked for 24 hours with exchanging the buffer three times. Crystals were then harvested using 640 micromounts (Mitegen, USA), flash-cooled and stored in liquid nitrogen.

For time-resolved serial crystallography, crystals of BcXeR were grown in 200-µl PCR tubes. For that, 0.8-1.6 µl of precipitant solution (3.2 M Na/K-Pi pH 8.2) were dispensed at the bottom of the PCR tube and covered with 12 µl of the mesophase from the Hamilton syringe. The PCR tube was quickly closed and centrifuged for 1 min at 6000g at 22°C to sediment the phase to the bottom of the PCR tube. The PCR tubes 645 were kept at 22°C. The crystals of BcXeR appeared within 1 week and reached  $30x20x5 \ \mu\text{m}^3$  in size. The 646 crystals from several PCR tubes were collected into a single PCR tube using centrifugation for 2 min at 647 20,800g and 22°C. Then, the bottom part of the PCR tube with the mesophase was cut and inserted upside down into the sealed 200 µl pipette tip. The tip was sealed at the very end using the gas burner. The tip with 648 649 the inserted PCR tube part was then centrifuged at 6000g and 22°C for 2 minutes using a 1.5 ml tube as an 650 adaptor for the centrifuge rotor. After the centrifugation, the mesophase was found in the sealed tip. The empty 651 PCR tube part was removed and the tip was covered from the wide end with Parafilm to avoid dehydration of 652 the crystals. The plunger was removed from an empty clean 100 µl Hamilton syringe and the syringe was 653 sealed from the side of the needle using Parafilm. The tip with mesophase was cut from the narrow end and 654 inserted into the back side of the prepared Hamilton syringe. The syringe with the inserted tip were fixed in 655 the 50 ml falcon tube; for that, the syringe was wrapped into the paper towel to fit tightly into the falcon tube. 656 Then, the tube with the syringe were centrifuged at 6000g and 22°C for 3 minutes to transfer the mesophase 657 from the tip into the syringe. After the centrifugation, the tip was removed, the syringe was coupled to another 658 clean 100 µl Hamilton syringe and the plunger was slowly inserted into the first syringe. Thus, the phase was 659 slowly transferred into the second syringe. In the case of excessive precipitant solution and/or air, the system 660 was uncoupled for their removal and then coupled back to homogenize the mesophase with crystals. The 661 mesophase was homogenized before the experiment using an LCP-coupler.

- 662
- 663

#### Accumulation of the intermediate state in BcXeR crystals

664

665 Absorption spectra of BcXeR in solution were collected using a UV-2401PC spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). 666 The spectroscopic characterization of the L and M states in BcXeR crystals was performed at the icOS Lab 667 located at the ESRF<sup>35</sup>. Briefly, UV-visible absorption spectra were measured using as a reference light that of 668 a DH-2000-BAL deuterium-halogen lamp (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL) connected to the incoming objective 669 via a 200 µm diameter fiber, resulting in a 50 µm focal spot on the sample, and a QE65 Pro spectrometer 670 (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL) connected to the outgoing objective via a 400 µm diameter fiber. The actinic 671 light comes from 532-nm or 633-nm lasers (CNI Laser, Changchun, P.R. China) coupled to a 1000 µm 672 diameter fiber which is connected to the third objective whose optical axis is perpendicular to those of the 673 ingoing and outgoing objectives. All spectra (100 ms acquisition time averaged 20 times) were collected on 674 crystals flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen and kept under a cold nitrogen stream at 100 K.

675 For the accumulation and cryotrapping of the L state, a crystal was warmed to 170 K and put under constant 676 633-nm-laser illumination for 6 min at 170 K. During the illumination the crystal was rotated 3 times by 90 677 degrees to illuminate it from all sides (1.5 minutes for each side). Then the laser was switched off and the 678 crystal was cooled back to 100 K. For the accumulation of the L state the laser power density was 32 W/cm<sup>2</sup> 679 at the position of the sample. A UV-visible absorption spectrum was subsequently recorded to show the 680 slightly blue-shifted absorption maximum characteristic of the L state of BcXeR. The laser power was adjusted 681 to maximize the fraction of the accumulated L state; the absence of destructive effect of the laser on crystals

was justified by the remaining diffraction quality after the cryotrapping procedure as well as by the full return
of the protein to the ground state after short test annealing of the crystal to 293 K after cryotrapping of the L
state.

685 For the accumulation and cryotrapping of the M state, the crystal was originally kept at 100 K. It was then 686 illuminated by a 532-nm-laser with the nitrogen stream simultaneously blocked for 2 seconds. Due to the short illumination time the crystal was not rotated during the illumination. For better illumination, the crystal was 687 688 oriented with the largest plane perpendicular to the laser beam. The laser was then switched off once the 689 crystal was back at 100 K. For the accumulation of the M state a laser power density of 10 W/cm<sup>2</sup> at the 690 position of the sample was used. A UV-visible absorption spectrum was subsequently recorded to show the 691 strongly blue-shifted absorption maximum characteristic of the M state of BcXeR. The laser power was 692 adjusted to maximize the fraction of the accumulated M state; the absence of destructive effect of the laser on 693 crystals was justified by the remaining diffraction quality after the cryotrapping procedure as well as by the 694 full return of the protein to the ground state after short test annealing of the crystal to 293 K after cryotrapping of the M state. 695

696 The mean size of the crystals was  $200 \times 100 \times 20 \ \mu\text{m}^3$ . The plate-like crystals were oriented so that the largest 697 plane ( $200 \times 100 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ ) was perpendicular to the laser beam. The laser beam was focused to the size of  $200 \times 200 \ \mu\text{m}^2$  ( $1/e^2$ ).

A similar setup for the accumulation and cryotrapping of the intermediate states in the *Bc*XeR crystals was established at the P14 beamline of the PETRAIII synchrotron source (Hamburg, Germany) for X-ray diffraction data collection. Also, the same accumulation procedure was applied to crystals at *ic*OS and P14 beamline. In the case of the M state the trapping of the strongly blue-shifted M intermediate state characteristic for the deprotonated RSB was directly visible by a notable change of the crystal color in the micro loop before/after the cryotrapping procedure.

705

#### 706 Single-crystal diffraction data collection and treatment

707 Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of all structures except the one of the ground state of BcXeR obtained at 708 pH 7.6 in the absence of sodium were collected at the P14 beamline of PETRAIII (Hamburg, Germany) using 709 an EIGER X 16M and EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detectors. The data of the ground state of BcXeR at pH 7.6 in 710 the absence of sodium were collected at the ID23-1 beamline of the ESRF (Grenoble, France) using a 711 PILATUS 6M-F detector. The data collection was performed using MxCube2 software. Diffraction images were processed using XDS<sup>36</sup>. The reflection intensities were scaled and merged using the Staraniso server<sup>37</sup>. 712 713 There is no possibility of twinning for the crystals. In all cases, diffraction data from a single crystal were 714 used. The data statistics are presented in Table 1.

#### 716 Structure determination and refinement of the ground state of BcXeR

717 Initial phases for the ground state of trimeric *Bc*XeR at pH 8.2 with sodium were successfully obtained in the

718 P2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub>2<sub>1</sub> space group by molecular replacement using MOLREP<sup>38</sup> from the CCP4 program suite<sup>39</sup> using the

719 1XIO structure of Anabaena sensory rhodopsin<sup>40</sup> as a search model. The initial models were iteratively refined

using REFMAC5<sup>41</sup> and Coot<sup>42</sup>. The phases for all other structures of BcXeR determined in the course of the

- study were determined using MOLREP with the phases of the ground state of *Bc*XeR at pH 8.2 in the presence
- of sodium.
- 723

#### 724 Structure refinement of the cryotrapped L and M intermediates of BcXeR

725 For the determination of the L state structure of BcXeR two datasets were collected using the same crystal. 726 First, data were collected from a part of the not-yet illuminated crystal. These data correspond to the ground state of BcXeR (we refer to the structure factors corresponding to this dataset as  $F_0^{dark}$ ). Then, the L state was 727 728 cryotrapped in the same crystal using the above-described protocol. Then, the second dataset was collected 729 from a laser illuminated but not yet X-ray exposed region of the crystal. These data correspond to the intermediate state (we refer to the structure factors corresponding to this dataset as Fo<sup>light</sup>). Although the Fo-Fc 730 and F<sub>o</sub>light-F<sub>o</sub><sup>dark</sup> difference electron density maps clearly indicated structural rearrangements associated with 731 732 the intermediate state formation, the fraction of the L state was not sufficient for direct fitting of the model 733 into  $2F_0$ -F<sub>c</sub> electron density maps corresponding to the second dataset. Therefore, to build the initial model of 734 the L state we used extrapolated structure factors, calculated from two isomorphous datasets using linear approximation as follows:  $F_o^{\text{extrapolated}} = (1/\alpha)^* (F_o^{\text{light}} - (1-\alpha)^* F_o^{\text{dark}})$ , where  $\alpha$  is the occupancy of the L state. 735 736 The  $\alpha$  value was screened while calculating the extrapolated maps from 0.1 to 0.5 with a step of 0.05, and was 737 selected to be 0.3 via an analysis of the electron density maps built using extrapolated structure factors. Then the model of the L state was built manually in Coot using 2Fo<sup>extrapolated</sup>-Fc electron density maps in accordance 738 with the  $F_0^{\text{light}}$ - $F_0^{\text{dark}}$  difference electron density maps. The positions of residues 81, 136, 173, 205-210 of the 739 740 protein molecule and several internal water molecules were refined manually compared to the ground state as 741 identified by the strongest peaks at the Fo<sup>light</sup>-Fo<sup>dark</sup> difference electron density maps. Then, the new positions 742 of the above-mentioned residues and water molecules were added to the ground state model as a second 743 conformation (named conformation C in the final model of the L state). The combined model was 744 automatically refined against the original data using PHENIX. Only atom positions of the L state were refined; 745 the atom positions of the ground state were fixed. B-factor were also refined for all atoms. Analysis of the 746 resulting model and electron density maps showed consistency of the L state structure with the crystallographic data and the F<sub>o</sub><sup>light</sup>-F<sub>o</sub><sup>dark</sup> difference electron density maps. The final occupancy of the L state 747 748 after refinement was 35%.

In the case of the M state at pH 8.2 in the presence of sodium, the occupancy of the intermediate was high as also evidenced by the color of the crystals after the cryotrapping procedure (the crystal was almost completely

751 yellow, corresponding to the M state of BcXeR). Therefore, the structure of the M state was directly built 752 using 2F<sub>0</sub>-F<sub>c</sub> electron density maps. After several iterations of manual refinement in Coot and automated 753 refinement using REFMAC5, some peaks at the  $F_o$ - $F_c$  difference electron density maps corresponding to a 754 small amount of the L state appeared near residues W173, L136, and S206 (Extended Data Fig. 7B); therefore, 755 these residues were fitted with double conformation in the final model of the M state, where conformations A 756 and B correspond to the M and L states, respectively. In the case of the M states at other pH values and sodium 757 concentrations the structure of the intermediate was refined iteratively in Coot and REFMAC5 using the model 758 of the M state at pH 8.2 in the presence of sodium as a starting model (Supplementary Table 1).

759

#### 760 Serial crystallography data collection and treatment

761 Serial crystallography data of BcXeR was obtained at RT at the P14 beamline of PETRA III (Hamburg, 762 Germany) using an EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector and a 2 (vertical) x 7 (horizontal) µm<sup>2</sup> sized beam at 12.7 keV (2x10<sup>12</sup> and 2x10<sup>13</sup> ph/s in the cases of experiments with 500 µs and 7.5 ms X-ray exposures, 763 764 respectively). The data collection was performed using MxCube2 software. In the case of the time-resolved 765 crystallography experiments with 7.5 ms X-ray exposures the X-ray beam was attenuated to 10% to reduce 766 the X-ray dose. The crystals were delivered into the X-ray beam in a stream of mesophase provided by high-767 viscosity LCP injector<sup>43</sup>. The mesophase containing protein crystals was loaded into the 20- $\mu$ l-reservoir of the 768 LCP injector without additives directly from the Hamilton syringe. The injector was oriented at 20° from 769 vertical. The extrusion of the mesophase containing the BcXeR crystals was performed using capillaries with 770 50 and 100 µm diameters for the collection of the 250-750-µs snapshot and the series of 7.5-ms-long 771 snapshots, respectively. The mesophase stream was stabilized by a helium gas stream. A 50 µm capillary was 772 used in the case of the fast data collection to reduce sample consumption. The linear speed of the injected 773 mesophase was estimated using high-speed camera recordings and was 2.5 and 0.5 mm/s, respectively. The 774 serial crystallography data collection and treatment statistics are given in Table 2. The overall scheme of data 775 collection was similar to that used in earlier time-resolved crystallography studies of *Hs*BR at a synchrotron 776 source<sup>14</sup>.

777 Two sets of serial crystallography data of the dark state of BcXeR were collected as a reference for the time-778 resolved studies. First, the data of the dark state were collected using a 500-us-long X-ray data acquisition per 779 image at a frame rate of 1 kHz using the central 4M region of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. A total number of 72,000 detector images were collected and processed with CrystFEL<sup>44</sup> (version 0.9.1) without any 780 781 additional modification. Potential crystal hits were identified with SNR=4, --threshold=7, --highres=2.3 using 782 the peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in CrystFEL. The second dataset of the dark state was collected 783 using 7.5-ms-long X-ray diffraction data acquisition per image at a frame rate of 133 Hz using the 16M region 784 of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. A total number of 199,980 detector images were collected and 785 processed with CrystFEL (version 0.9.1) without any additional modification. Potential crystal hits were

786 identified with SNR=5, --threshold=20, --highres=2.1 using peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in 787 CrystFEL. In both cases, the initial geometry, provided by the beamline data staff, was optimized with 788 detector-shift. Cell unit parameters were iteratively adjusted from the initial parameters taken from single-789 crystal cryo data. For indexing, indexer XGANDALF<sup>45</sup> was used with the --multi option enabled. Integration 790 was performed using --int-radius=3.4,5. This yielded datasets with 17,997 and 67,912 indexed images, 791 yielding 21,569 and 80,082 crystals for the datasets with 500-µs-long and 7.5-ms-long X-ray data acquisition 792 per frame, respectively. Data were merged using partialator with -model=unity, --symmetry=mmm, and 793 number of iterations=1. Finally, 21,533 and 79,892 crystals were used for merging together after partialator 794 rejection.

795 Time-resolved crystallography data on the L state of BcXeR (250-750-µs snapshot) were collected by 796 illuminating the crystals at a 40 Hz repetition rate with a 532 nm pump laser focused to the laser spot directly 797 at the intersection between the crystal stream and the X-ray beam of 60  $\mu$ m in diameter (1/e<sup>2</sup>). Per each laser 798 pulse a series of 25 consecutive snapshots was collected. The length of the laser pulse was 500 µs. The energy 799 of a single laser pulse was 6.2 µJ with laser power density of 438 W/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is ~11 times higher than the 800 power density used in previous time-resolved studies of microbial rhodopsins at a synchrotron source<sup>14,15</sup>. 801 Nevertheless, due to the short laser pulse these illumination conditions resulted in an uncorrected value of 802  $\sim$ 15-65 photons per retinal depending on crystal orientation (protein concentration in crystals was 21 mM and 803 an extinction coefficient value used was 50,000 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); however, this calculation does not account for the 804 laser beam scattering by the jet and light absorption by the mesophase itself. The latter issue is especially valid 805 in the case of BcXeR, since the final mesophase in which the crystals were delivered was not fully transparent 806 probably due to the change of the phase type under crystallization conditions. We assume that the above-807 mentioned factors reduce the real number of photons per retinal molecule. Nevertheless, the experiment was 808 performed in a multiphoton regime. The laser power was set to its maximum value in order to increase the 809 occupancy of the intermediate state. Analysis of the diffraction patterns collected with and without laser 810 illumination showed that the diffraction quality was not affected by the laser illumination. Data were collected 811 at a frame rate of 1 kHz using the central 4M region of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. The start of the X-812 ray diffraction data acquisition for each series was electronically delayed by 250 µs relative to the optical laser 813 trigger. The overall time of data collection from a sample with a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l was about 40 minutes.

814

815 A total number of 72,000 detector images were collected for the 250-750-µs snapshot and processed with 816 CrystFEL (version 0.9.1) without any additional modification. Potential crystal hits were identified with SNR=4, --threshold=7, --highres=2.3 using the peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in CrystFEL. For 817 indexing, indexer XGANDALF<sup>45</sup> was used with the --multi option enabled. Integration was performed using 818 819 --int-radius=3,4,5. This yielded a dataset with 17,527 indexed images and 20,908 crystals. This means that approx. 19% of the images contained multiple diffraction patterns. Data were merged using partialator with -820 821 model=unity, --symmetry=mmm, and number of iterations=1. Finally, 20,866 crystals were used for merging 822 together after partialator rejection.

823 Time-resolved crystallography data of the M state of BcXeR (7.5-ms-long snapshot) were collected by 824 illuminating the crystals at a 4 Hz repetition rate with a 532 nm pump laser focused to the laser spot directly 825 at the intersection between the crystal stream and the X-ray beam of 180  $\mu$ m in diameter (1/e<sup>2</sup>). Per each laser 826 pulse a series of 33 consecutive snapshots was collected. The laser was triggered simultaneously with the 827 detector. The length of the laser pulse was 7.5 ms. The energy of a single laser pulse was 540 µJ with laser 828 power density of 283 W/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is ~7.5 times higher than the power density used in previous time-resolved studies of microbial rhodopsins at a synchrotron source<sup>14,15</sup>. These illumination conditions resulted in an 829 830 uncorrected value of ~150-600 photons per retinal depending on crystal orientation (protein concentration in crystals was 21 mM and an extinction coefficient value used was 50,000 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>); however, this calculation 831 832 does not account for the laser beam scattering by the jet and light absorption by the mesophase itself. The 833 latter issue is especially valid in the case of BcXeR, since the final mesophase in which the crystals were 834 delivered was not fully transparent probably due to the change of the phase type under crystallization 835 conditions. We assume that the above-mentioned factors reduce the real number of photons per retinal 836 molecule. Nevertheless, the experiment was performed in a multiphoton regime. The laser power was set to 837 its maximum value in order to increase the occupancy of the intermediate state. Analysis of the diffraction 838 patterns collected with and without laser illumination showed that the diffraction quality was not affected by 839 the laser illumination. Data were collected at a frame rate of 133 Hz using the 16M region of the EIGER2 X 16M CdTe detector. Use of the 16M detector vs its 4M mode (as in the 500 µs time-resolved experiment) 840 841 allows for a large sample-detector distance and a reduced background. The higher resolution of the 7.5 ms 842 data vs the 500 µs data at lower dose is due to the use of the 16M mode. Since 7.5 ms is the shortest exposure 843 period of EIGER2 X CdTe detector in a standard 16M mode, the 7.5 ms time resolution was chosen to sample 844 the time interval of 0 to 250 ms. Moreover, the size of the laser spot, the laser pulse duration, the repetition 845 rate of laser pulses, the jet speed and X-ray flux were set to match this time interval. Increasing the frame rate 846 would require a proportional increase in the jet speed and hence in the sample consumption (by an order of 847 magnitude), and a decrease in the duration of the laser pulse leading to lower M state occupancy. The start of 848 the X-ray diffraction data acquisition for each series was electronically synchronized to the optical laser 849 trigger. The overall time of data collection from a sample with a total volume of 40 µl was about 5 hours.

850

851 A total number of 3,958,702 detector images were collected and processed with CrystFEL (version 0.9.1) 852 without any additional modification. Among the collected images, potential crystal hits were identified with 853 SNR=5, --threshold=20, --highres=2.2 using the peakfinder8 algorithm as implemented in CrystFEL, corresponding to an average hit rate of 10.8%. For indexing, indexer XGANDALF<sup>45</sup> was used with the --multi 854 855 option enabled. Integration was performed using --int-radius=3,4,5. This yielded a dataset with 389,438 856 indexed images and 428,729 crystals. Data were scaled and merged together using partialator with -857 model=unity, --symmetry=mmm, and number of iterations=1 and datasets for each time point were splitted by partialator using the --custom-split option. Finally, 427,677 crystals were used for merging and splitting 858 859 after partialator rejection. 12,449 crystals were merged for the 7.5-15-ms snapshot.

860

#### 861 Time-resolved serial crystallography structure refinement

862

The models of the ground state of BcXeR at room temperature determined via serial crystallography were built iteratively using the structure of BcXeR at 100 K as a starting model using Coot and REFMAC5. The structures of the ground state of BcXeR at room temperature in the cases of the 500-µs-long and the 7.5-mslong X-ray data acquisition per frame were identical (Extended Data Fig. 8).

867 In the case of the 250-750-µs snapshot the Fo<sup>light</sup>-Fo<sup>dark</sup> difference electron density maps built in PHENIX<sup>46</sup> 868 identified structural rearrangement at the residues 81, 173, 136, and 207 similar to those shown in the case of 869 the cryotrapped L intermediate. However, in the case of time-resolved data, the occupancy of the intermediate, 870 the resolution, and the overall quality of the data were lower. Therefore, the model of the L state obtained with 871 the cryotrapping procedure was used as a starting model for the automated refinement in REFMAC5. The 872 additional water molecules appearing in the L state but not seen in the time-resolved data were removed from 873 the starting model. Only atom positions of the L state were refined; the atom positions of the ground state 874 were fixed. B-factor were also refined for all atoms. The final occupancy of the L state in the case of time-875 resolved serial crystallography data was 20%.

In the case of the series of 33 7.5-ms-long snapshots of the BcXeR following the laser excitation, the Folight-876 877  $F_0^{dark}$  difference electron density maps built in PHENIX for each time point were similar and identified structural rearrangements almost identical to those shown in the case of the cryotrapped M intermediate at all 878 33 time points. F<sub>o</sub><sup>dark</sup> corresponds to the dark state of *Bc*XeR, F<sub>o</sub><sup>light</sup> corresponds to each of the 33 datasets 879 880 collected following the laser excitation in the time-resolved experiments. To build the model of the 881 intermediate state we used extrapolated structure factors, calculated from two isomorphous datasets using linear approximation as follows:  $F_o^{\text{extrapolated}} = (1/\alpha)^* (F_o^{\text{light}} - (1-\alpha)^* F_o^{\text{dark}})$ , where  $\alpha$  is the occupancy of the 882 883 intermediate state. For each time point, the  $\alpha$  value was screened while calculating the extrapolated maps from 884 0.1 to 0.5 with a step of 0.05, and was selected to be in the range of 0.4-0.5, time-point dependent, via an analysis of the electron density maps built using extrapolated structure factors. Subsequently, the model of the 885 886 intermediate state was manually built in Coot using electron density maps calculated using extrapolated structure factors in accordance with the Fo<sup>light</sup>-Fo<sup>dark</sup> difference electron density maps. The manual building 887 888 was performed for the 7.5-15-ms snapshot since it has the highest  $\alpha$  value (0.5) corresponding to the highest 889 occupancy of the intermediate state. After that, the combined model was prepared containing the structures of 890 the ground and the intermediate states as alternative conformations (A and C, respectively). Then, the model 891 was automatically refined in REFMAC5 against each of the 33 datasets. Only atom positions of the M state 892 were refined; the atom positions of the ground state were fixed. B-factor were also refined for all atoms. The 893 analysis of the resulting intermediate state structures and electron difference maps confirmed their identity to 894 that of the M state of BcXeR obtained at 100 K. Final occupancies were identified using occupancy refinement 895 performed in REFMAC5. It showed that the occupancy of the M state varies from 62% (at the 7.5-15-ms

snapshot) to 43% (at the 240-247.5-ms snapshot). For the occupancy refinement all atom positions and Bfactors were fixed. Since all the models were identical and only differ in the occupancy of the intermediate
state, here we have only reported the one with the highest occupancy of the state (7.5-15-ms snapshot) (Table
2).

900

#### 901 Analysis of the X-ray radiation damage of the BcXeR crystallography data

902

903 The X-ray-induced radiation damage is a critical issue in studying of microbial rhodopsins as demonstrated 904 earlier for  $HsBR^{47}$ . The most prominent signs of the X-ray radiation damage appear as negative difference 905 densities at the HsBR D85 (analog of D76 in BcXeR) and water molecules in close proximity of the RSB. The 906 decarboxylation rates were determined<sup>47</sup> at 12-15% MGy<sup>-1</sup>.

907 In order to assess possible influence of X-ray-induced radiation damage on the findings presented in this work, 908 we estimated the X-ray dose for each of the reported datasets. In all cases, the X-ray dose rates were calculated 909 using RADDOSE (v4.0.1020)<sup>48</sup>. The parameters used for calculation and the resulting doses are given in 910 Supplementary Table 2. In our single-crystal data average doses did not exceed 1.1 MGy, which corresponds 911 to less than 17% expected decarboxylation. Consistently, we have not observed any negative electron densities 912 at carboxylic residues including D76, as well as at water molecules near the RSB and in other regions.

913 In the case of time-resolved experiments the data were collected in the shutterless mode, therefore the total 914 exposure time was estimated as the time within which the jet front traverses the full beam width at half 915 maximum, accounting for the 20° angle of the jet to vertical (1 and 5 ms for the fast and slow time-resolved 916 experiments, respectively). In case of the fast experiment, the traversal time is longer than the detector 917 integration time. Therefore, the average exposure to the beam effectively contributing to diffraction data varies 918 uniformly between 0.5 ms (at any point entering the beam during detector frame integration) and 1.0 ms (at 919 any point exiting the beam). Resulting average exposure time 0.75 ms was used for estimating the average 920 dose (400 kGy). We have not observed any signs of X-ray-induced radiation damage in the electron densities 921 of the serial time-resolved crystallography data. Thus, also a minor fraction of the molecules was damaged by 922 X-rays the effects of this does were not visible at 2.2-2.3 Å resolution.

#### 923 Data Availability

#### 924

925 Atomic models built using X-ray crystallography data have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank 926 with PDB codes 7ZMY (for the ground state at pH 8.2 at 100 K), 7ZN3 (for the L state at pH 8.2 at 100 K), 927 7ZN0 (for the M state at pH 8.2 at 100 K), 7ZN8 (for the ground state at pH 7.0 at 100 K), 7ZN9 (for the M 928 state at pH 7.0 at 100 K), 7ZNA (for the ground state at pH 5.2 at 100 K), 7ZNB (for the M state at pH 5.2 at 100 K), 7ZNC (for the ground state at pH 7.6 at 100 K in the absence of sodium), 7ZND (for the M state at 929 930 pH 7.6 at 100 K in the absence of sodium), 7ZNE (for the ground state at pH 8.2 at 293 K with 7.5-ms-long exposure), 7ZNI (for the 7.5-15-ms snapshot at pH 8.2 at 293 K), 7ZNG (for the ground state at pH 8.2 at 931 932 293 K with 500-µs-long exposure), and 7ZNH (for the 250-750-µs snapshot at pH 8.2 at 293 K). Extrapolated structure factors and phases for the intermediate states of BcXeR are available from Zenodo 933 934 (10.5281/zenodo.7612803). Publicly available in RCSB Protein Data Bank structures of NsXeR (PDB ID: 935 6EYU) and HsBR (PDB IDs: 5ZIL, 7Z0D, 7Z0E, 6RPH) were used for analysis.

#### 937 Methods-only References

938 26. Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat.* 

939 *Methods* **12**, 59–60 (2015).

- 940 27. Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G. & Sonnhammer, E. L. Predicting transmembrane protein
- topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J. Mol. Biol. **305**, 567–580

942 (2001).

- 28. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display
  and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, W293–W296 (2021).
- 945 29. Studier, F. W. Protein production by auto-induction in high-density shaking cultures. *Protein Expr.*946 *Purif.* 41, 207–234 (2005).
- 947 30. Drachev, L. A. et al. Direct measurement of electric current generation by cytochrome oxidase, H+-
- 948 ATPase and bacteriorhodopsin. *Nature* **249**, 321–324 (1974).
- 31. Drachev, L. A., Kaulen, A. D., Khitrina, L. V. & Skulachev, V. P. Fast Stages of Photoelectric Processes
  in Biological Membranes. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 117, 461–470 (1981).
- 951 32. Rokitskaya, T. I. *et al.* Rhodopsin Channel Activity Can Be Evaluated by Measuring the Photocurrent
- 952 Voltage Dependence in Planar Bilayer Lipid Membranes. *Biochem. Mosc.* **86**, 409–419 (2021).
- 33. Kovalev, K. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of light-driven sodium pumping. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 2137
  (2020).
- 955 34. Bratanov, D. *et al.* Unique structure and function of viral rhodopsins. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4939 (2019).
- 956 35. von Stetten, D. et al. In crystallo optical spectroscopy (icOS) as a complementary tool on the
- 957 macromolecular crystallography beamlines of the ESRF. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 71, 15–
- 958 26 (2015).
- 959 36. Kabsch, W. XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 125–132 (2010).
- 960 37. STARANISO (http://staraniso.globalphasing.org/cgi-bin/staraniso.cgi).
- 961 https://staraniso.globalphasing.org/staraniso\_FAQ.html.
- 962 38. Vagin, A. & Teplyakov, A. MOLREP: an Automated Program for Molecular Replacement. J. Appl.
- 963 *Crystallogr.* **30**, 1022–1025 (1997).

- 39. Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol.*
- 965 *Crystallogr.* **67**, 235–242 (2011).
- 40. Vogeley, L. *et al.* Anabaena Sensory Rhodopsin: A Photochromic Color Sensor at 2.0 Å. *Science* 306,
  1390–1393 (2004).
- 41. Murshudov, G. N. *et al.* REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 67, 355–367 (2011).
- 42. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 486–501 (2010).
- 972 43. Weierstall, U. et al. Lipidic cubic phase injector facilitates membrane protein serial femtosecond
- 973 crystallography. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3309 (2014).
- 44. White, T. A. *et al.* CrystFEL: a software suite for snapshot serial crystallography. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*45, 335–341 (2012).
- 976 45. Gevorkov, Y. *et al.* XGANDALF extended gradient descent algorithm for lattice finding. *Acta*977 *Crystallogr. Sect. Found. Adv.* **75**, 694–704 (2019).
- 46. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure
  solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 213–221 (2010).
- 47. Borshchevskiy, V. I., Round, E. S., Popov, A. N., Büldt, G. & Gordeliy, V. I. X-ray-Radiation-Induced
  Changes in Bacteriorhodopsin Structure. *J. Mol. Biol.* 409, 813–825 (2011).
- 982 48. Paithankar, K. S. & Garman, E. F. Know your dose: RADDOSE. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.
- 983 **66**, 381–388 (2010).
- 984















В





View from the cytoplasmic side













# **HsBR**



















































