

# Morphometrics and machine learning discrimination of the middle Eocene radiolarian species Podocyrtis chalara, Podocyrtis goetheana and their morphological intermediates

Francisco Pinto, Veronica Carlsson, Mathias Meunier, Bert Van Bocxlaer, Hammouda Elbez, Marie Cueille, Pierre Boulet, Taniel Danelian

# ▶ To cite this version:

Francisco Pinto, Veronica Carlsson, Mathias Meunier, Bert Van Bocxlaer, Hammouda Elbez, et al.. Morphometrics and machine learning discrimination of the middle Eocene radiolarian species Podocyrtis chalara, Podocyrtis goetheana and their morphological intermediates. Marine Micropaleontology, in Press, pp.102293. 10.1016/j.marmicro.2023.102293 . hal-04215322

# HAL Id: hal-04215322 https://hal.science/hal-04215322

Submitted on 22 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Morphometrics and machine learning discrimination of the middle Eocene radiolarian
2	species Podocyrtis chalara, Podocyrtis goetheana and their morphological intermediates
3	
4	Francisco Pinto <sup>1</sup> , Veronica Carlsson <sup>1, 2</sup> *, Mathias Meunier <sup>1</sup> , Bert Van Bocxlaer <sup>1</sup> , Hammouda
5	Elbez <sup>2</sup> , Marie Cueille <sup>1</sup> , Pierre Boulet <sup>2</sup> and Taniel Danelian <sup>1</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8198, Evo-Eco-Paleo, F-59000 Lille, France.
7	<sup>2</sup> Univ. Lille, CNRS, CRIStAL – Centre de Recherche en Informatique Signal et Automatique
8	de Lille, UMR 9189, F-59000 Lille, France.
9	
10	*corresponding author: veronica.carlsson@univ-lille.fr
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

#### 22 Abstract

We present various approaches to distinguish the middle Eocene species Podocyrtis 23 chalara and Podocyrtis goetheana, which are end members of a trajectory of phenotypic 24 25 change, and their intermediate morphogroups. We constructed a set of thirteen traditional morphological variables to classify the entire morphological variability encompassed by the 26 two morphospecies and their intermediates Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. 27 cf. P. goetheana. We used two methods of classification, namely Linear Discriminant 28 Analysis (LDA) and machine learning using artificial neural networks. LDA performed on the 29 morphometric data reveals a good discrimination for P. chalara, P. goetheana and Podocyrtis 30 31 sp. cf. P. goetheana, but not for Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara. We used three approaches of machine learning based on different neural networks: Convolutional Neural Networks 32 (CNNs) and two Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs). Each of these neural networks was trained 33 based on classified images of the two morphospecies and their morphological intermediates, 34 thus constituting a different set of input data than the morphometric dataset for LDA. The 35 36 neural network approaches identified the same three morphospecies recognized by LDA from a dataset of traditional measurements, i.e. P. chalara, P. goetheana and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. 37 goetheana, with up to 92 % accuracy. Our results highlight the great potential and promising 38 39 perspectives of machine learning and neural networks in the application of image-based object recognition for morphological classification, which may also contribute to more 40 objective taxonomic decisions. 41

#### 42 Keywords

Morphometrics; Artificial Intelligence; Convolutional Neural Networks; Spiking Neural
Networks; Radiolarians; Automated identification

#### 46 **1. Introduction**

Polycystine radiolaria are one of the oldest known Rhizarian lineages, with a fossil
record stretching back to the Early Cambrian (Obut and Iwata, 2000; Pouille et al., 2011) and
is thus of much interest for a number of evolutionary studies (e.g., Danelian and Johnson,
2001, Danelian et al., 2014, Renaudie and Lazarus, 2003, Tetard et al., 2017).

Since the early stages of the Deep Sea Drilling Program, the Cenozoic record of 51 polycystine radiolaria has allowed us to establish their evolutionary and biostratigraphic 52 significance, especially based on representatives of the Eocene genus Podocyrtis. (Sanfilippo 53 and Riedel, 1970; Riedel, 1971; Moore, 1972; Riedel and Sanfilippo, 1978). Calibrated 54 initially to the magnetostratigraphic time scale (Sanfilippo and Nigrini, 1998), the middle 55 Eocene tropical radiolarian zones are now tied to orbital chronology (Meunier and Danelian, 56 57 20222), which provides the highest resolution of temporal control possible today and allows to define biostratigraphic events more accurately. Indeed, many of the middle Eocene 58 59 biozones are based on the evolution of the various lineages of the genus Podocyrtis, which 60 often relate to gradual anagenetic changes in phenotypes, as documented in several evolutionary lineages since the early 1970s (Sanfilippo and Riedel 1970, 1992; Riedel, 1971). 61 For example, the bases of biozones RP14 and RP15 are defined based on anagenetic 62 phenotypic changes between the morphospecies P. sinuosa - P. mitra and P. mitra - P. 63 chalara, respectively. 64

The *Podocyrtis (Lampterium)* lineage ends with the marked morphological transition of *Podocyrtis chalara* to *P. goetheana*. Interestingly, the first occurrence of *P. goetheana* defines the base of biozone RP16 (Sanfilippo and Nigrini, 1998); an anagenetic transition was reported, but intermediate forms were never documented in detail. The absence of such a documentation has implications for our understanding of evolutionary changes in this *Podocyrtis* lineage, but as intermediate forms are poorly understood it also affects the recognition of the base of RP16. Here we examine the well-preserved radiolarian material of ODP Sites 1259 and 1260 from Demerara Rise (equatorial Atlantic Ocean), which present an exceptionally expanded Eocene sedimentary sequence. As such, this material offers an exceptional opportunity to study the morphological transitional forms between *P. chalara* and *P. goetheana*.

Within the abovementioned context, the principal aim of our study is to document 76 77 morphological variation in the anagenetic sequence of P. chalara to P. goetheana with two different but complementary approaches to test the performance of various machine learning 78 79 algorithms based on neural networks. To reach this objective, we first quantified 80 morphological variation in the anagenetic transition between P. chalara and P. goetheana with traditional morphometrics, i.e., linear measurements, pore counts and associated ratios in 81 the framework of qualitatively recognized morphological entities. This quantification of shape 82 follows previous attempts to assess morphological changes in the *Podocyrtis* (Lampterium) 83 lineage (Sanfilippo and Riedel, 1990; Rohlf and Bookstein, 1991; Danelian and Macleod, 84 85 2019; Watanabe et al., 2022). Using this morphometric framework of measurement data and a priori morphospecies assignments, we examined how well linear discriminant analysis allows 86 to distinguish morphospecies as a baseline to test the performance of machine learning with 87 88 neural networks.

Testing the capabilities of neural network approaches based on image recognition is a daunting task, because during the past couple of years, a variety of techniques involving Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) have been developed and improved. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have been specifically designed for analysis of visual data, and are now commonly used for image recognition, warranting detailed examinations of their performance in morphological classification, and, therewith, as a tool to inform, and potentially reach more objective taxonomic decisions. Indeed, CNNs are becoming well-

integrated in various micropaleontological studies for automatic image recognition (i.e., Mitra 96 97 et al., 2019, Hsiang et al., 2019; Marchant et al., 2020; Dollfus and Beaufort, 1999; Beaufort and Dollfus, 2004; Bourel et al., 2020; Itaki et al., 2020; Renaudie et al., 2018; Tetard et al., 98 99 2020). Regarding Eocene radiolaria, a recent study by Carlsson et al. (2022) applied a CNN to eight well-delimited morphospecies of the genus *Podocyrtis*, and documented the potential of 100 this method under the simplified scenario when no morphological intermediates are present. 101 Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) present another type of neural network, which in addition to 102 103 neuronal and synaptic states, they also incorporate a time component; this is why such networks can more closely mimic natural neural networks (Maass, 1997). SNNs have wide 104 105 applicability, including modeling of natural systems such as the central nervous system of biological organisms, as well as for image analysis. Traditionally, SNNs were less accurate 106 than other neural networks, but in recent years their performance has significantly improved; 107 108 they are more appropriate to process spatio-temporal data, and they may use computational resources more effectively (Tavanaej et al., 2019). As such, an evaluation of SNNs in image 109 110 recognition and biological classification seems warranted.

In this paper, we expand on previous work by Carlsson et al (2022) with CNNs by 111 aiming to classify stacked and segmented images of the entire spectrum of morphological 112 variation found between P. chalara and P. goetheana. As mentioned, we used morphometrics 113 to document shape variability, which we subjected together with a priori morphospecies 114 assignments to LDA as a baseline to study the classification performance for image-based 115 116 neural networks using a CNN, a Spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP)-based SNN and a SuperSpike-based SNN. This examination allows us to evaluate the use of imaging data and 117 neural networks for automated classification in a complex case study with intermediate 118 shapes. If the neural networks perform well, we would expect correct classification for each 119 120 morphogroup. Additionally, the results should reflect those of the LDA analysis, if the

morphometric documentation of shape variation is representative of the four morphospecies. 121 Alternatively, neural networks may show differences compared to LDA. These distinctions 122 could arise if neural networks fail to perform well, possibly due to unsuccessful training with 123 124 the existing data. In opposite to that, the neural networks may perform better than LDA if the data supporting LDA lacks crucial shape information necessary for distinguishing between 125 morphogroups based on the images. As such, we expect our study to shed light into future 126 opportunities for automated biological classification of polycystine radiolaria and the use of 127 neural networks in developing more objective taxonomic decisions. 128

129

130 2. Analyzed morphological groups

Plate 1 displays the entire range of morphological variability observed between P. chalara 131 and P. goetheana. As linear discriminant analysis and the supervised learning of neural 132 networks are based on *a priori* group assignments, we were required to assign this continuum 133 of variation to a number of morphological groups. Based on extensive qualitative assessments 134 and to challenge the employed classification algorithms we recognized four distinct 135 morphological groups for the purpose of the current study, without currently being concerned 136 by the paleobiological/evolutionary status of each group. These morphogroups are briefly 137 presented below. 138

- 139
  140 *Podocyrtis chalara* Riedel and Sanfilippo
  141 Pl. 1, fig. A, B
  142 1970 *Podocyrtis (Lampterium) chalara* Riedel and Sanfilippo, p. 535, pl. 12, figs. 2, 3.
- 143 1971 *Podocyrtis (Lampterium) chalara* Riedel and Sanfilippo: Moore, p. 743, pl. 3, figs. 5,
  144 6.

145	1972	Lampterium chalara Riedel and Sanfilippo: Petrushevskaya and Kozlova, p. 543, pl.
146		32, fig. 12.
147	1978	Podocyrtis (Lampterium) chalara (Riedel and Sanfilippo): Riedel and Sanfilippo, p.
148		71, pl. 8, fig. 3, text-fig. 3.
149	2012	Podocyrtis (Lampterium) chalara Riedel and Sanfilippo: Kamikuri, p. 103, pl. 3, figs.
150		2a, 2b.
151	2012	Podocyrtis (Lampterium) chalara Riedel and Sanfilippo: Moore and Kamikuri, p. 9,
152		pl. P7, fig. 8.
153		
154	<u>Distin</u>	guishing characters: We include here forms displaying twelve or less vertically well-
155	aligne	d, subangular abdominal pores of similar size per horizontal row, illustrating the classic
156	morph	ology of P. chalara. Specimens of this morphogroup display less than thirteen pores on
157	the cir	cumference of the abdomen.
158		
159		Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara Riedel and Sanfilippo
160		Pl. 1, fig. C, D
161	1972	Lampterium sp. G: Petrushevskaya and Kozlova, pl. 32, fig. 10.
162	1972	Lampterium sp. aff. L. goetheana: Petrushevskaya and Kozlova, pl. 32, fig. 13.
163	2022	Podocyrtis (Lampterium) chalara Riedel and Sanfilippo: Meunier and Danelian, p. 21,
164		pl. 2.4.
165		
166	<u>Distin</u>	guishing characters: This morphogroup includes specimens that have a similar outline
167	and a	opearance as P. chalara, but they display vertically misaligned subangular abdominal
168	pores	of different size. Specimens of this morphogroup may display vertical rows of pores
169	that a	re shifted to the right or left compared to the rows of pores above and below, giving a

170	twisted appearance for the rows of pores developed on the abdomen, with result a
171	honeycomb-like pore pattern. These shifts may be so extensive that the arrangement of pores
172	on the abdomen becomes chaotic, preventing the possibility to trace any apparent abdominal
173	rows or vertical alignment.
174	
175	Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana (Haeckel)
176	<b>Pl. 1</b> , figs. E - L
177	2006 Podocyrtis (Lampterium) chalara Riedel and Sanfilippo: Funakawa et al., p. 29, pl.
178	P9, figs. 11a, 11b.
179	
180	Distinguishing characters: This morphogroup is mainly characterized by an increase in total
181	size, but with a significant reduction in the number of abdominal pores compared to both
182	variants of P. chalara. It differs from P. goetheana in that the bars of the second row of
183	abdominal pores are thicker and not always elongated, nor parallel to each other, as the
184	formation of the honeycomb-like pattern of pores becomes more apparent. This morphogroup
185	displays a high degree of morphological variability.
186	
187	Podocyrtis goetheana (Haeckel)
188	Pl. 1, figs. M - O
189	1887 Cycladophora goetheana Haeckel, p. 1376, pl. 65, fig. 5.
190	1970 Podocyrtis (Lampterium) goetheana (Haeckel): Riedel and Sanfilippo, p. 535.
191	1971 Podocyrtis (Lampterium) goetheana (Haeckel): Moore, p. 743, pl. 3, figs. 7, 8.
192	1972 Lampterium sp. aff. L. goetheana Petrushevskaya and Kozlova, pl. 32, fig. 14.

- 193 2005 *Podocyrtis (Lampterium) goetheana* (Haeckel): Nigrini et al., p. 45, pl. P5, figs. 11,
  194 12.
- 195 2006 *Podocyrtis (Lampterium) goetheana* (Haeckel): Funakawa et al., p. 29, pl. P9, figs.
  196 12a, 12b.
- 197 2012 Podocyrtis (Lampterium) goetheana (Haeckel): Kamikuri, p. 103, pl. 3, fig. 1.
- 198 2012 *Podocyrtis (Lampterium) goetheana* (Haeckel): Moore and Kamikuri, p. 9, pl. P7, fig.
  199 9.
- 200 2022 *Podocyrtis (Lampterium) goetheana* (Haeckel): Meunier and Danelian, p. 21, pl. 2.5.
  201
- 202 <u>Distinguishing characters</u>: This group includes only forms that display elongated straight bars 203 formed at the level of the second horizontal row of pores on the abdomen. This feature is 204 typical for *P. goetheana* as originally described, and, as mentioned above, the first occurrence 205 of typical *P. goetheana* defines the base of the RP16 Zone.

Plate 1. Composite light micrographs of *Podocyrtis* radiolaria from ODP Site 1260, processed and scaled in
ImageJ. (A) and (B) *Podocyrtis chalara*, samples: ODP 1260A-6R-5W, 63-65 cm and ODP 1260A-6R-5W, 2022 cm; (C) and (D) *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. chalara*, samples: ODP 1260A-6R-4W, 68-70 cm and ODP 1260A-6R5W, 20-22 cm; (E) to (L) *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. goetheana*, samples: ODP 1260A-6R-4W, 68-70 cm; ODP
1260A-6R-5W, 15-17 cm and ODP 1260A-6R-5W, 87-89 cm; (M) to (O) *Podocyrtis goetheana*, sample: ODP
1260A-6R-1W, 58-60 cm.



#### 215 **3. Materials and methods**

#### 216 **3.1. Sediment samples**

The material analyzed in this study consists of radiolaria obtained from an expanded 217 middle Eocene siliceous chalk sequence drilled at ODP Sites 1259 and 1260 (Leg 207, 218 Demerara Rise), located in the equatorial region of the Atlantic Ocean, 380 km offshore 219 Suriname (Erbacher et al., 2004; Danelian et al., 2005). The middle Eocene sequence is 220 221 particularly thick at Sites 1259 and 1260 and contains siliceous microfossils (radiolarians, diatoms) of an excellent state of preservation (Danelian et al., 2007; Renaudie et al., 2010; 222 Meunier and Danelian, 2022, 2023). The part of the limestone sequence from Site 1260 that is 223 studied here is dated by orbito-chronology (Westerhold and Röhl, 2013). More specifically, 224 our samples span the interval between 41.24 Ma and 39.84 Ma. Site 1259 is dated via bio- and 225 magneto-stratigraphy and was sampled in the interval between ~39.05 and 37.70 Ma. 226

227

#### 228 **3.2. Slide preparation**

A combined total of 15 samples from both sites were chosen and prepared for 229 microscopic observation using techniques described by Sanfilippo et al. (1985). A small 230 quantity (~2 cm<sup>3</sup>) of unprocessed sediment was collected from each sample and dried 231 overnight at 50°C to eliminate any residual water. After being weighed, sediment samples 232 233 were soaked for 2 hours in a 500 mL polypropylene beaker containing 30 mL of 30 % hydrochloric acid (HCl), to dissolve their carbonate content and concentrate siliceous 234 microfossils. A few mL of HCl were added at the end to confirm the end of the reaction. The 235 236 residues resulting from the acid treatment was then washed by adding ~200 mL of distilled water. After 2 hours of decantation, excess water was carefully removed using a pipette. 237 Residues were subsequently soaked for 2 hours in 30 mL of 10 % hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) 238

to remove organic matter, and subsequently washed through a 63  $\mu$ m sieve using distilled water. The > 63  $\mu$ m fraction was then exposed to ultrasonic waves for 10 min, then passed again through the 63  $\mu$ m sieve, and finally left to dry overnight at 50°C. For each sample, ~2 g of dried residue was carefully spread on top of a slide covered with several drops of Norland Optical Adhesive 61, then topped with a coverslip and sealed by two minutes of exposure to UV light.

- 245
- 246 **3.3. Microscopy and image processing**

247 The resulting slides were analyzed with a Zeiss AXIO Images A2 microscope under transmitted light at  $\times 10$  and  $\times 20$  magnifications. All specimens recognized qualitatively as P. 248 chalara, Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara, Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana or P. goetheana were 249 250 manually photographed using the mounted Axiocam ERc5s with Zen 3.5 (blue edition) 251 software. For each specimen, a batch of 5-10 photographs were taken at different focal points to obtain a series of images, which were stacked afterwards using Helicon Focus 7.7.0 252 (HeliconSoft) to create a composite picture entirely in focus. The stacked images were 253 subsequently retouched with Paint3D to facilitate their automated segmentation. This last 254 procedure was performed with the ImageJ BioVoxxel plugin (Brocher, 2022) and the 255 AutoRadio\_Segmenter plugin developed for ImageJ / Fiji (Tetard et al., 2020). 256

257

# 258 **3.4. Morphometric analyses**

As we aimed to subject specimens to discriminant analysis based on morphometric measurements, it was essential that the documented morphological variables would adequately capture shape variations present in between the four morphogroups. We first designed a set of variables that would allow to compare with the variables used by Watanabe et al. (2022) on the specimens of the *Lampterium* lineage from the Pacific Ocean. A subset of
seven of these variables was retained and supplemented with six newly proposed variables to
result in a set of thirteen morphological variables that document well the morphological
variation between the four morphogroups (Figure 1). The seven morphological variables
proposed by Watanabe et al. (2022) are:

- W1: Maximal width of the cephalis
- W2: Maximal width of the thorax
- W3: Maximal width of the abdomen
- H1: Maximal height of the cephalis
- H2: Maximal height of the thorax
- H3: Maximal height of the abdomen
- TL: Total length or height of the specimen
- and our six additional variables are:
- LP2: Maximum length of the second abdominal pore along along the axis used to
- 277 measure H3 (with the first pore being the one closest to the thorax-abdomen border)
- 278 NPV: Number of abdominal pores aligned vertically along the axis used to measure
  279 H3 (on the front-facing side of the skeleton)
- NPH: Number of abdominal pores aligned horizontally behind the axis of W3 (on the
   front-facing side of the skeleton)
- R1: Maximum length of the second pore of the abdomen / H3
- R2: Number of abdominal pores aligned vertically behind the axis of H3 / H3
- R3: Number of abdominal pores aligned horizontally behind the axis of W3 / W3





Figure 1. Schematic representation of the eight skeletal variables measured for all specimens outlined in Table 1. Five additional variables related to pore counts and ratios are not illustrated. Abbreviations: W1: maximum width of the cephalis; W2: maximum width of the thorax; W3: maximum width of the abdomen; H1: maximum height of the cephalis without the apical horn; H2: maximum height of the thorax; H3: maximum height of the abdomen; TL: total length or height of the specimen without the apical horn; LP2: maximum length of the second abdominal pore.

Quantifications of these variables (**Table S1** in **Supplementary materials**) were directly performed on a dataset of 214 photographs/specimens from samples 1260A-6R-1W, 58-60 cm, 1260A-6R-4W, 68-70 cm, 1260A-6R-5W, 15-17 cm and 1260A-6R-5W, 87-89 cm, outlined in **Table 1**, using the image processing and analysis software ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). All of these data were tabulated and then imported in the statistical environment R (v. 4.1.3; R Core Team 2022) for subsequent LDA using the packages MASS (v. 7.3-60; Ripley et al., 2013) and vegan (v. 2.6-4; Oksanen et al., 2013). This analysis is a constrained

ordination procedure that uses a linear combination of coefficients to maximize the distance 301 between a priori defined groups, while minimizing the distance within each group (Venables 302 and Ripley, 2002). As morphometric variables were expressed in both metric units and as 303 ratios, the LDA was performed on data that were transformed to have the mean at zero- and 304 one-unit standard deviation (z transformation). After subjecting the whole dataset to LDA we 305 performed cross-validation by 100 replicates of randomly assigning 80 % of the data to a 306 307 training dataset and the remainder to a testing set to evaluate the classification success of the 308 LDA.

309

**Table 1.** Number of specimens analyzed per morphogroup and per sampled core interval.

Samples	P. chalara	Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara	Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana	P. goetheana	Total number of measured specimens per sample
1260A 6R 1W 58-60cm	0	0	2	29	31
1260A 6R 4W 68-70cm	18	19	37	0	74
1260A 6R 5W 15-17cm	19	12	7	0	38
1260A 6R 5W 87-89 cm	34	9	28	0	71

311

312

#### 313 **3.55. Artificial Neural Networks**

Artificial Neural Networks or simply neural networks are machine learning algorithms designed to simulate the decision-making processes of the human brain by analyzing and exploiting patterns in data (Yang and Yang, 2014). Prior to analysis, the data given to a network is split into two parts, one for training and one for testing, usually in a 80 to 20 ratio. The first set of data is used to train the neural network, so that to enable it to learn recognizing features and patterns present in the data, whereas the second set of data is used to test the performance of the network to classify cases based on the previously trained capabilities of recognition.

322 A type of ANNs that has been specifically designed to analyze visual data are CNNs, commonly used for image recognition. They are designed to analyze visual data by 323 324 considering the color values of each pixel and by identifying patterns within images (Hijazi et 325 al., 2015). CNNs utilize a process known as convolution. Convolution can be described as a linear operation to decompose the input image by sliding small windows known as filters or 326 kernels over the input image to construct layers that each obtain certain features. The 327 328 convolutional layers in a CNN modify gradually the image parameters, such as weights or bias, to learn and recognize specific patterns or objects in the images. By adjusting these 329 parameters through training, the network aims to correctly classify the output given a 330 particular input. When a CNN has multiple layers, typically more than three, the procedure is 331 referred to as deep learning, as each layer enables the recognition of more and more advanced 332 333 features in an image. As mentioned, SNNs consider additionally the time factor, alike biological neurons, which use discrete spikes to compute and transmit information, instead of 334 characterizing neurons by a single, static continuous-valued activation. 335

336 The hyperparameters (i.e. weights) of the neural network analyzed in this study were chosen randomly; more specifically a value between -1 and 1 was chosen for the VGG16 and 337 SuperSpike-based networks, while a value network between 0 and 1 was chosen for the 338 STDP-based network neural. We analyzed two sets of stacked and segmented images. The 339 first set contained images with a priori assignments to the four morphogroups represented in 340 341 section 2; for the second set assignments were altered based on the results of LDA. In each case, we performed ten runs per type of neural network used, with 20 epochs for each 342 network, except for the STDP-based network that was run with 100 epochs. An epoch simply 343

means how many passes it goes through the training set and updates parameters based on eachpass. The following neural networks were used to perform runs:

Visual Geometry Group 16 (VGG16). This 16-layer deep CNN was used for its
simplicity. For our analyses, we use transfer learning, meaning that the first 15 layers
were already pre-trained based on a large-scale image dataset from ImageNet, and we
only trained the last layer specifically using our data and PyTorch (Paszke et al.,
2019). More information about VGG16 is provided by Simonyan and Zisserman
(2015).

STDP-based Spiking Neural Network (STDP-Network). This network contains 352 353 convolutional and pooling layers that learn the features from the data using a Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity (STDP), a learning algorithm inspired by natural 354 neurons. STDP adapts the synaptic connections between the neurons based on the 355 timing of the spikes to transmit information (Masquelier and Thorpe, 2007) in an 356 unsupervised way, i.e., without a priori group assignments. This SNN is then 357 358 combined with a Support Vector Machine (SVM) for classification in the STDP-based network using the *a priori* group assignments (Cortes and Vapnik, 1995). To train the 359 STDP-Network, we used the CSNN-simulator (Falez, 2019). 360

SuperSpike-based Spiking Neural Network (SuperSpike-Network). This SNN is
 trained using a nonlinear voltage-based three-factor learning rule capable of training
 multilayer networks called the SuperSpike (Zenke and Ganguli, 2018), which is a
 supervised global learning rule similar to deep learning. We used the Norse simulator
 for our analyses (Pehle and Pedersen, 2021).

366

All the neural network simulations were conducted on the cluster "grouille" of the Grid'5000
test bed (Balouek et al., 2013) using two Nvidia A100-PCIE-40GB GPUs, an AMD EPYC

369	7452 32 core CPU (Zen 2, 2 CPUs/node), and 128GB of RAM. For each type of neural
370	network, we averaged the assignment accuracies obtained over the ten replicate runs to gain
371	robust insight into performance.

The training of the neural networks are expected to be better when a large, data-rich training set is used. Because our two analyzed datasets (Tables 2 and 3) are composed of 428 and 514 original images, respectively, we considered it necessary to augment the data available for ANN training. Therefore, we performed the following data augmentation procedures:

- Rotate the images by a randomized angle between -15 and 15 degrees and keep all copies.

**377** — Randomly choose images that would be flipped from left to right and keep both copies.

378 - Rescale random images with values between 1 and 1.3 (with 1 being the default scale
379 value).

380 The total number of images in each dataset was enhanced to >1000 images via data 381 augmentation.

382

 Table 2. List of images included (prior to augmentation) in each of the classes for the four- class dataset used

 for analysis withVGG16with.

Samples	P. chalara	Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara	Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana	P. goetheana	Total number of analyzed specimens per sample
1260A 6R 4W 68-70cm	0	19	34	3	56
1260A 6R 4W 119- 121cm	0	13	2	1	16
1260A 6R 5W 15-17cm	0	12	7	0	19
1260A 6R 5W 63-65cm	22	9	15	0	46
1260A 6R 5W 87-89 cm	33	12	18	14	77
1260A 6R 6W 20-22 cm	12	18	9	0	39
1260A 6R 6W 57-59 cm	19	14	2	0	35
1260A 7R 1W 22-24 cm	24	15	0	0	39
1260A 7R 1W 69-71 cm	33	11	0	0	44

TOTAL	174	148	87	18	427
1260A 8R 3W 65-67cm	0	1	0	0	1
1260A 7R 2W 19-21 cm	0	13	0	0	13
1260A 7R 1W 121-123 cm	31	11	0	0	42

 Table 3. List of images included (prior to augmentation) in each of the classes for the three class three

 dataset used for analysis with VGG16, STDP- based SNN and SuperSpike-based SNN.

Samples	P. chalara + Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara	Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana	P. goetheana	Total number of analyzed specimens per sample
1259A 17R 1W 54-56cm	0	0	1	1
1259A 18R 1W 53-55cm	0	0	41	41
1259A 18R 2W 53-55cm	0	0	36	36
1260A 6R 1W 58-60cm	0	1	26	27
1260A 6R 4W 68-70cm	19	29	0	48
1260A 6R 4W 119- 121cm	13	2	1	16
1260A 6R 5W 15-17cm	12	5	0	17
1260A 6R 5W 63-65cm	31	15	0	46
1260A 6R 5W 87-89cm	43	28	0	71
1260A 6R 6W 20-22cm	29	8	0	37
1260A 6R 6W 57-59cm	34	2	0	36
1260A 7R 1W 22-24cm	39	0	0	39
1260A 7R 1W 69-71cm	44	0	0	44
1260A 7R 1W 121- 123cm	42	0	0	42
1260A 7R 2W 19-21cm	13	0	0	13
TOTAL	319	90	105	514

# 384 **4. Results**

# **4.1. Morphometrics and linear discriminant analysis**

The LDA performed on the matrix of our 13 morphometric variables, i.e., measurements, pore counts and ratios, represented >99 % of the variation on the first two axes and clustered *Podocyrtis goetheana* and *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. goetheana* successfully (Fig. 2). Comparatively, specimens belonging to *P. chalara* were regularly confused with *Podocyrtis* 

sp. cf. P. chalara and vice versa, resulting overall in 73.5  $\pm$  6.1 % (mean  $\pm$  sd) correct 390 assignments (Table 4). These two latter morphogroups overlap completely on the LDA plot, 391 whereas P. Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana and P. goetheana are relatively well-separated 392 from P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara, although they share limited overlap with 393 each other (Figure 2). The first axis of the LDA mainly represents size variations of the 394 second row of abdominal pores. The morphological variables that contribute the most to 395 396 discriminate the morphogroups on the first LDA axis correspond to the maximum length of the second abdominal pore (LP2), and the maximum length of the second pore of the 397 abdomen/maximum height of the abdomen (R1). 398



Figure 2. Scatter plot of the two first axes of the Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) conducted on the 13 variables that constituted the morphometric data using four *a priori* identified morphogroups (indicated in the legend; ellipses correspond to the 95 % confidence intervals for each morphogroup). Abbreviations: W1: maximum width of the cephalis without the apical horn; W2: maximum width of the thorax; W3: maximum width of the abdomen; H1: maximum height of the cephalis; H2: maximum height of the thorax; H3: maximum height of the abdomen; TL: total length or height of the specimen without the apical horn; LP2: maximum length

of the second abdominal pore; NPV: number of abdominal pores aligned vertically; NPH: number of abdominal
pores aligned horizontally; R1: maximum length of the second pore of the abdomen/H3; R2: number of
abdominal pores aligned vertically/H3; R3: number of abdominal pores aligned horizontally/W3.

409

410 Upon considering three morphogroups achieved by lumping P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara, the LDA ordination is highly similar to that obtained in the four-group analysis, 411 with all variation represented on the first two axes (~92.87 % on axis 1; Figure S1). 412 Classification results improved substantially, with 94.5  $\pm$  3.1 % of correctly identified 413 specimens in the three-group LDA (Table S2). Misclassification mainly occurred between P. 414 goetheana and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana, occasionally also between P. chalara and 415 416 *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. goetheana*, but never between *P. chalara* and *P. goetheana*. 417 Table 4. Average confusion matrix of the *Podocyrtis* morphotypes based on linear discriminant analysis (LDA)

418 performed on the matrix of our 13 morphometric variables (.,i.e., measurements, pore counts and ratios).

LDA results 4-group scenario

	P_sp_cf_P_cha_& _P_sp_cf_P_goe	P_cha_&_P_ sp_cf_P_cha	P_cha_&_P_ sp_cf_P_goe	P_goe_&_P_ sp_cf_P_cha	P_goe_&_P_ sp_cf_P_goe	P_goe_ &_P_ch a	total_c orrect
res_							
mea							0.7351
n	0.011162791	0.204651163	0.001627907	0	0.04744186	0	16279
res_s							0.0612
d	0.013819733	0.059589621	0.005963544	0	0.024717954	0	97375

419

420

## 421 **4.2.** Artificial neural networks

422 **4.2.1.** Classification using the four morphogroups

First, we trained neural networks on the dataset of 428 images attributed to the four morphogroups (**Table 2** 4\_classes dataset) using a CNN with a VGG16 architecture. Prior to the training and testing phases, images were manually grouped into four distinct classes (one class per morphogroup). The analysis was run ten times with the pre-processing parameters outlined in **Table 5**, which resulted to an identification accuracy of  $54.4 \pm 1.7$  % (**Figure 3**).These results indicate that, although the network was able to partially identify the differences between the general morphologies of *P. chalara* + *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. chalara versus* those of *P. goetheana* + *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. goetheana*, it was not able to accurately distinguish all four morphogroups from each other.

432

433 Table 5. Summary of the tests performed on the 4\_classes and 3\_classes datasets using VGG16, STDP-based

434 SNN and SuperSpike-based SNN. Accuracy values are averaged from the results of the respective 10 test runs

435 and indicated as the mean  $\pm$  one standard deviation.

Dataset	Architecture	Test runs	Number of Epochs	Data pre-processing	Simulation time h:m:s	Accuracy ( %) ± std mean ± std
4_classes	VGG16	10	20	Image resize to (224, 224) px	0:31:46	$54.40 \pm 1.74$
	VGG16	10	20	Image resize to (224, 224) px	0:17:37	$92.60\pm0.77$
3_classes	STDP-Network	10	100	Image resize to (128, 128) px & On-Off filter	0:05:4343	$90.40 \pm 0.4949$
	SuperSpike- Network	10	20	Image resize to (128, 128) px	0:23:26	$84.42 \pm 1.36$



Figure 3. Example of a confusion matrix obtained from random single run – Analysis of 4\_classes dataset with
VGG16. Correct assignments were reached in 54.40 % of the cases. The color scale indicates the number of
specimens.

437

# 442 4.2.2. Classification using the three morphogroups supported by LDA

Subsequently, we trained neural networks using a dataset with *a priori* assignment to the three morphogroups that were recognized by LDA, i.e., *P. chalara* + *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. chalara Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. goetheana* and *P. goetheana*. For these analyses we compared the performance of a VGG16 CNN, a STDP-based SNN and a SuperSpike-based SNN using the 3\_classes dataset (**Table 3**). The resulting assignment results and average network

accuracies (Figure 4) indicate that, under our specified conditions, all neural networks are 448 able to accurately assign specimens to their correct class when three predefined classes are 449 used in combination with a large dataset of images. However, we observed substantial 450 differences in the speed to conclude analyses; thus the STDP-based SNN was the fastest 451 (around 6 minutes) due to the use of the local learning rule for training and one spike per 452 image per neuron principale. Moreover, VGG16 came second with a time of around 17 453 minutes due to the size of the network and the use of transfer learning and training of only the 454 455 last layer. Last came the SuperSpike-based SNN with approximately 23 minutes per run because all layers of the network were trained from scratch during the training phase at the 456 start of each run using a global learning rule. 457





Figure 4. Examples of confusion matrices obtained from random single runs – Analyses of 3\_classes dataset
with VGG16, STDP-based SNN and SuperSpike-based SNN. Correct assignments were reached in 92.60 %,
90.40 % and 84.42 % of the cases in VGG16, STDP-based SNN and SuperSpike-based SNN, respectively. The
color scale indicates the number of specimens.

### 466 **5. Discussion**

In this study, we examined the morphological variability in Eocene Podocyrtis 467 belonging to the anagenetic sequence that starts with P. chalara and ends with P. goetheana. 468 469 Specifically, we examined and compared the performance of two analytical approaches in 470 assigning individuals to *a priori* defined morphogroups that were constructed from qualitative observations, i.e., P. chalara, Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara, Podocyrtis cf. P. goetheana and 471 472 P. goetheana. The first approach involved LDA based on morphometric data, whereas the second was a neural network approach based on automatic image recognition. Both methods 473 gave very similar results, which indicates that both morphometrics and image analysis 474 475 evaluated shape differences in a highly similar way, suggesting that the results obtained with these two different methods are robust. 476

477 Comparing LDA and neural network approaches, assignment performances were comparatively low when four morphogroups were considered in the morphological transition 478 479 from P. chalara to P. goetheana. The scatterplot of the LDA (Figure 2), indicated a large 480 morphospace overlap between P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara, revealing that the qualitatively observed 'differences' were either not sampled in our datasets or that these 481 differences are part of a larger spectrum of morphological variation and not informative to 482 483 distinguish morphogroups. Given the similarity of LDA and neural networks, based on different datasets, the second hypothesis is more likely; it appears that P. chalara and 484 Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara represent a single highly variable morphogroup. Morphospace 485 overlap is also observed in the LDA between Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana and P. 486 goetheana, but it is much more limited than between P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. 487 488 chalara. Finally, Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana also overlaps with P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara in morphospace occupation, however this overlap is small. The analyses 489 conducted with the machine learning approach on four morphogroups confirm the results of 490

491 LDA, as VGG16 had significant difficulties in differentiating specimens of *P. chalara* from 492 *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. chalara* and *vice-versa* using stacked and segmented images, when all 493 four morphogroup classes were pre-defined. This was also the case for some specimens of 494 *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. goetheana* and *P. goetheana*, which all resulted in an inferior 495 performance of VGG16 compared to that of the LDA for the scenario with four 496 morphogroups,, i.e.,  $54.4 \pm 1.7$  % versus  $73.5 \pm 6.1$  %, respectively.

497 When P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara are lumped in the same morphogroup, resulting in a three-group configuration, assignment probabilities improved strongly both for 498 LDA and neural networks. On average  $94.5 \pm 3.1$  % of assignments were correct with LDA, 499 500 whereas 92.6  $\pm$  0.8 %, 90.4  $\pm$  0.5 % and 84.4  $\pm$  1.4 % of the assignments were correct for VGG16, STDP-based SNN and SuperSpike-based SNN, respectively. Neural networks, 501 mainly our CNN can accurately and quickly, assign specimens to the three pre-defined classes 502 using a large dataset. Whereas the CNN performed highly similarly to LDA, both SNNs we 503 used here performed less well, as had been documented for other tasks before (Tavanaej et al., 504 505 2019). Runs with the STDP-based SNN ran to completion fastest and given that the accuracy was only slightly reduced compared to LDA and VGG16, this approach may be preferred for 506 datasets that require a very long runtime with similar CNNs. The accuracy of the SuperSpike-507 508 based SNN was significantly reduced compared to all the other classification methods that we used. Further work is required to determine the cause of this underperformance, but the lower 509 510 accuracy for the SuperSpikeSuperSpike-based SNN is possibly due to the network size, as we used only using eight layers in our case compared to 16 layers in VGG-16. 511

As for the performance evaluation of the neural network approach, it is noteworthy that images were obtained manually for the purpose of our study, but advances in image technology now allow that much of the image acquisition and preparation procedures (photographing, stacking and segmentation) to be automated by the use of automatic

microscopes and modification of the AutoRadio Segmenter plugin's code (Marchant et al., 516 517 2020; Tetard et al., 2020). Using these automated procedures would facilitate the scalability of the entire analysis with larger datasets. If such automated procedures were to be used, 518 519 constructing image datasets may potentially become more time-efficient than the various procedures that are required to develop a morphometric dataset. Another advantage of using 520 521 neural network is their quick run time (Table 5.), although both accuracy and run time would 522 increase upon using larger image datasets. Furthermore, standardizing the rotation and orientation of specimens is essential in morphometric studies; however, this requirement can 523 be relaxed for neural networks, as in our case re-rotating and re-orienting were used in the 524 data augmentation process to enlarge input datasets. 525

In our study, we evaluated assignment accuracy of LDA and machine learning with 526 neural networks based on a priori group assignments; however, in the future, it would be 527 useful to examine morphological variation without considering such assignments, e.g., by 528 using other ordination techniques and/or by using unsupervised machine learning techniques. 529 530 These methods could help in attempts to evaluate whether the three retained morphogroups represent natural entities, although based on fossil evidence only such assessments are very 531 difficult. We refrain from such analyses here, as we believe these would be best conducted 532 533 with a larger set of material that ideally would cover the total geographic range and the total stratigraphic interval covered by P. chalara, Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. goetheana and P. 534 goetheana. 535

Future work could also be focused on trying to evaluate the capacity of VGG16 to accurately differentiate between the *P. chalara* and *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. chalara* specimens, with an altered set of images. Indeed, the weighted activation heatmap (Grad-CAM) (Servaraju et al., 2017) generated from the runs with the 3-class dataset showed that the analytical focus of the network was centered around the thoracic and abdominal walls of the

specimens (Figure S22 in Supplementary material). One could try to develop a dataset 541 including several unstacked and non-segmented images per specimen, each one with a focus 542 on specific morphological features. This would allow some images to contain as much detail 543 as possible on abdominal features, whereas others would focus on other regions (e.g. the 544 thorax) and include blurred features of the outer walls and backside of the abdomen. We 545 hypothesize that these manipulations could force the network to focus its recognition 546 capabilities on a larger set of morphological features. If this hypothesis would be correct, it 547 would also facilitate the data acquisition process by eliminating the need to stack and segment 548 the images themselves. Alternatively, it is possible that providing more fragmented 549 550 information to neural networks would hamper an efficient learning process, with negative consequences on the accuracy of the following predictions, somewhat similar to what we 551 observed in the VGG16 evaluation based on the 4-class dataset. 552

553

#### 554 6. Conclusion

The aim of our work was to study the morphological variability in the anagenetic sequence of 555 P. chalara to P. goetheana and to evaluate the performance of recognizing and classifying 556 four a priori identified morphogroups with various machine learning algorithms based on 557 neural networks that use image data as direct input in comparison to linear discriminant 558 analysis using morphometric data. Our results demonstrate that LDA and neural networks 559 provide very similar outcomes, indicating robust performances. With both approaches we 560 encountered difficulties distinguishing P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara, 561 suggesting that the qualitative basis on which these morphogroups were recognized is to be 562 563 revised. For both approaches, assignment probabilities drastically increased for the scenario where three morphogroups were recognized, lumping P. chalara and Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. 564 chalara, and thus retaining P. chalara + Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. chalara, Podocyrtis sp. cf. P. 565

goetheana and P. goetheana. Further studies with more comprehensive sampling are required 566 567 to document the likelihood of *Podocyrtis* sp. cf. *P. goetheana* representing a separate natural entity, which additionally has implications for the position of bioevent RP16. However, our 568 569 results indicate that the morphometric data that we used for LDA samples the morphological variability in the anagenetic sequence of *P. chalara* to *P. goetheana* in a comprehensive way. 570 Secondly, neural network approaches were able to correctly assign most specimens, and 571 572 therewith to accurately distinguish the three morphogroups directly from specimen images. These results indicate that VGG16, STDP-based SNNs, and even SuperSpike-based SNNs are 573 capable of recognizing morphological variation in images and thus of reliably distinguishing 574 575 radiolarian morphogroups, which could fascilitate identification and help with reaching more objective taxonomic decisions. Furthermore, neural network approaches can be combined 576 with automated image acquisition and preparation procedures (photographing, stacking and 577 578 segmentation) that enable the creation of much larger image databases in a time-efficient manner. Analyses based on neural network architecture could thus take a fraction of the time 579 580 that would be required for a trained taxonomist/(paleo)biologist to create and analyze quantitative morphometric datasets. In conclusion, neural network approaches based on 581 images of (paleo)biological specimens may provide promising opportunities to guide more 582 583 objective taxonomic decisions.

584

# 585 Data availability

586 Microscopic slides are prepared and stored at UMR 8198 – Evo-Eco-Paleo of the University 587 of Lille, France. The datasets (https://doi.org/10.57745/8KBOFP, Pinto et al. 2023) have been 588 archived in the repository of the University of Lille at Recherche Data Gouv. The codes are 589 available at

590 https://archive.softwareheritage.org/browse/directory/cc7d8ef1505299a208adcde597a98d90b

591 <u>0ca47d6/https://archive.softwareheritage.org/browse/directory/cc7d8ef1505299a208adcde597</u>
592 <u>a98d90b0ca47d6/</u> (Elbez, 2023).

593

# 594 Acknowledgments

This study was partly funded by the French government through the program "Investissements d'avenir" (I-ULNE SITE/ANR-16-IDEX-0004 ULNE) managed by the National Research Agency. It also received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 847568. It was also supported by UMR 8198 Evo-Eco-Paléo and IRCICA (CNRS and Univ. Lille USR-3380).

A special thanks to Mazdak Fatahi for very helpful discussions regarding machine learning
techniques and to Sylvie Regnier for help and advice with the sample preparation.

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

#### 612 **References**

- Balouek, D., Carpen Amarie, A., Charrier, G., Desprez, F., Jeannot, E., Jeanvoine, E., Lèbre,
- A., Margery, D., Niclausse, N., Nussbaum, L., Richard, O., Perez, C., Quesnel, F.,

Rohr, C., & Sarzyniec, L., 2013, Adding virtualization capabilities to the Grid'5000

- 616 testbed: In Cloud Computing and Services Science, Communications in Computer and
- 617 Information Science). Springer International Publishing, v. 367, p. 3-20.
- Beaufort, L., and Dollfus, D., 2004, Automatic recognition of coccoliths by dynamical neural
  networks: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 51, p. 57-73.
  doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2003.09.003.
- Bourel, B., Marchant, R., de Garidel-Thoron, T., Tetard, M., Barboni, D., Gally, Y., and
  Beaufort, L., 2020, Automated recognition by multiple convolutional neural networks
  of modern, fossil, intact and damaged pollen grains: Computers and Geosciences, v.
  140, 104498. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2020.104498.
- Brocher, J., 2022, biovoxxel/BioVoxxel-Toolbox: BioVoxxel Toolbox v2.5.3. Zenodo.
  doi:10.5281/zenodo.5986129.
- Carlsson, V., Danelian, T., Boulet, P., Devienne, P., Laforge, A., and Renaudie, J., 2022,
  Artificial intelligence applied to the classification of eight middle Eocene species of
  the genus *Podocyrtis* (polycystine radiolaria): Journal of Micropalaeontology, v. 41, p.
  165–182.
- 631 Cortes, C., and Vapnik, V., 1995, Support-vector networks: Machine Learning, v. 20, p. 273–
  632 297.
- Danelian, T., and Johnson, K.G., 2001, Patterns of biotic change in Middle Jurassic to Early
  Cretaceous Tethyan radiolarian: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 43, p. 239-260.
  doi:10.1016/S0377-8398(01)00029-9.

- Danelian, T., and Macleod, N., 2019, Morphometric Analysis of Two Eocene Related 636 Radiolarian Species of the Podocyrtis (Lampterium) Lineage: Paleontological 637 Research, v. 23, p. 314–330. 638
- Danelian, T., Zambetakis-Lekkas, A., Galoyan, G., Sosson, M., Asatryan, G., Hubert, B., and 639 Grigoryan, A., 2014, Reconstructing Upper Cretaceous 640 (Cenomanian) paleoenvironments in Armenia based on Radiolaria and benthic Foraminifera; 641 implications for the geodynamic evolution of the Tethyan realm in the Lesser 642 Caucasus: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 413, p. 123–132. 643
- Danelian, T., Baudin, F., Gardin, S., Masure, E., Ricordel, C., Fili, I., Meçaj, T., and Muska, 644 645 K., 2007, The record of mid Cretaceous oceanic anoxic events from the Ionian zone of
- southern Albania: Revue de Micropaléontologie, v. 50, p. 225–237. 646
- Danelian, T., Le Callonec, L., Erbacher, J., Mosher, D.C., Malone, M.J., Berti, D., Bice, K.L., 647
- 648 Bostock, H., Brumsack, H.-J., Forster, A., Heidersdorf, F., Henderiks, J., Janecek, T.J.,
- Junium, C., Macleod, K., Meyers, P.A., Mutterlose, J.H., Nishi, H., Norris, R.D., Ogg, 649
- 650 J.G., O'Regan, M.A., Rea, B., Sexton, P., Sturt-Fredricks, H., Suganuma, Y., Thurow,
- J.W., Wilson, P.A., Wise, S.W., and Glatz, C., 2005, Preliminary results on 651 Cretaceous-Tertiary tropical Atlantic pelagic sedimentation (Demerara Rise, ODP Leg 652 207): Comptes Rendus Geoscience, v. 337, p. 609-616.
- Dollfus, D., and Beaufort, L., 1999, Fat neural network for recognition of position-normalized 654
- objects. Neural Networks, v.12, p. 553-560. doi:10.1016/S0893-6080(99)00011-8. 655
- [code] Elbez, H., 2023, Code for: Morphometrics and machine learning discrimination of the 656 middle Eocene radiolarian species Podocyrtis chalara, P. goetheana and their 657 morphological intermediates. deposited 658 at https://archive.softwareheritage.org/browse/directory/cc7d8ef1505299a208adcde597a 659
- 98d90b0ca47d6/ 2023-08-24. 660

- Haeckel, E., 1887, Report on the Radiolaria collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 661 662 1873-1876. Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-1876. 18: 1-1803. 663
- Falez, P., 2019, Improving Spiking Neural Networks Trained with Spike Timing Dependent 664 Plasticity for Image Recognition [phdthesis]: Université de Lille. 665
- Hijazi, S., Kumar, R., and Rowen, C., 2015, Using Convolutional Neural Networks for Image 666 Recognition: Cadence, Cadence Design Systems Inc., p. 1–12. 667
- Hsiang, A.Y., Brombacher, A., Rillo, M.C., Mleneck-Vautravers, M.J., Conn, S., Lordsmith, 668
- S., Jentzen, A., Henehan, M.J., Metcalfe, B., Fenton, I.S., Wade, B.S., Fox, L., 669
- Meilland, J., Davis, C. V., Baranowski, U., Groeneveld, J., Edgar, K.M., Movellan, 670
- A., Aze, T., Dowsett, H. J., Giles Miller, C., Rios, N., and Hull, P. M., 2019, Endless 671
- Forams: >34,000 modern planktonic foraminiferal images for taxonomic training and 672 673 automated species recognition using convolutional neural networks: Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 34, 1157-1177. doi:10.1029/2019PA003612. 674
- 675 Itaki, T., Taira, Y., Kuwamori, N., Saito, H., Ikehara, M., and Hoshino, T., 2020, Innovative 676 microfossil (radiolarian) analysis using a system for automated image collection and AI-based classification of species: Scientific Reports. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-77812-677 6.
- 678
- Lever, J., Krzywinski, M., and Altman, N., 2017, Principal component analysis: Nature 679 Methods, v. 14, p. 641–642. 680
- Maass, W., 1997, Networks of spiking neurons: The third generation of neural network 681 models: Neural Networks, v. 10, p. 1659–1671. 682
- Marchant, R., Tetard, M., Pratiwi, A., Adebayo, M., and de Garidel-Thoron, T., 2020, 683 Automated analysis of foraminifera fossil records by image classification using a 684 convolutional neural network: Journal of Micropalaeontology, v. 39, p. 183-202. 685

- Masquelier, T., and Thorpe, S.J., 2007, Unsupervised Learning of Visual Features through
  Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity: PLOS Computational Biology, v. 3, p. e31.
- Meunier, M., and Danelian, T., 2022, Astronomical calibration of late middle Eocene 688 radiolarian bioevents from ODP Site 1260 (equatorial Atlantic, Leg 207) and 689 refinement of the global tropical radiolarian biozonation: Journal of 690 Micropalaeontology, v. 41, p. 1–27. 691
- Meunier, M., and Danelian, T., 2023, Progress in understanding middle Eocene nassellarian
  (Radiolaria, Polycystinea) diversity; new insights from the western equatorial Atlantic
  Ocean: Journal of Paleontology, v. 97, p. 1–25.
- Mitra, R., Marchitto, T.M., Ge, Q., Zhong, B., Kanakiya, B., Cook, M.S., Fehrenbacher, J.S., 695 Ortiz, J.D., Tripati, A., and Lobaton, E., 2019, Automated species-level identification 696 of planktic foraminifera using convolutional neural networks, with comparison to 697 698 human performance: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 148, p. 1-14. 699 doi:10.1016/j.marmicro.2019.01.005.
- Moore, T.C., 1972, Mid-Tertiary Evolution of the Radiolarian Genus Calocycletta:
  Micropaleontology, v. 18, p. 144–152.

Nigrini, C.A., Sanfilippo, A., and Moore, T.J., 2005, Radiolarian biostratigraphy and 702 chronology of radiolarian events of ODP Leg 199 sites and EW9709 sediment cores: 703 704 Supplement to: Nigrini, CA et al. (2005): Cenozoic Radiolarian Biostratigraphy: A Magnetobiostratigraphic Chronology of Cenozoic Sequences from ODP Sites 1218, 705 1219, and 1220, Equatorial Pacific. In: Wilson, PA; Lyle, M; Firth, JV (Eds.) 706 707 Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 199, 1-76, 708 v. p. 709 Https://Doi.Org/10.2973/Odp.Proc.Sr.199.225.2005,.

- Obut, O., and Iwata, K., 2000, Lower Cambrian Rardiolaria from the Gorny Altai (southern
  West Siberia): Journal of Geology and Geophysics, v. 41.
- 712 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'hara, R.B., Simpson,
- G.L., Solymos, P., Stevenes, M.H.H., Wagner, H., 2013, Package 'vegan': Community
- 714 ecology package, v. 2, p. 1–295.
- Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin, Z.,
- Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., Desmaison, A., Kopf, A., Yang, E., DeVito, Z., Raison,
- 717 M., Tejani, A., Chilamkurthy, S., Steiner, B., Fang, L., Bai, J., and Chintala, S., 2019,
- 718 PyTorch: An Imperative Style, High-Performance Deep Learning Library: 33<sup>rd</sup>
- 719 NeurIPS, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.01703
- Pehle, C.-G., and Pedersen, J.E., 2021, Norse A deep learning library for spiking neural networks (0.0.5), Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4422025.
- Pinto, F., Carlsson, V., Meunier, M., Van Bocxlaer., B., Elbez, H., Cueille, M., Boulet, P and
- **723**Danelian, T, 2023, Data for the: Morphometrics and machine learning discrimination
- of the middle Eocene radiolarian species *Podocyrtis chalara*, *P. goetheana* and their
- morphological intermediates, Recherche Data Gouv,
  https://doi.org/10.57745/8KBOFP.
- Pouille, L., Obut, O., Danelian, T., and Sennikov, N., 2011, Lower Cambrian (Botomian)
  polycystine Radiolaria from the Altai Mountains (southern Siberia, Russia): Comptes
  Rendus Palevol, v. 10, p. 627–633.
- R Core Team, 2022, R: a language and environment for statistical computing: R Foundation
- for Statistical Computing. <u>https://www.R-project.org</u>.
- Renaudie, J., Danelian, T., Saint Martin, S., Le Callonnec, L., and Tribovillard, N., 2010,
  Siliceous phytoplankton response to a Middle Eocene warming event recorded in the

- tropical Atlantic (Demerara Rise, ODP Site 1260A): Palaeogeography,
  Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 286, p. 121–134.
- Renaudie, J., Lazarus, D., 2013, On the accuracy of paleodiversity reconstructions: a case
  study in Antarctic Neogene radiolarians: Paleobiology, v. 39(3), p. 491-509.
- Renaudie, J., Gray, R., and Lazarus, D.B., 2018, Accuracy of a neural net classification of
  closely-related species of microfossils from a sparse dataset of unedited images. PeerJ
  Preprints, 6:e27328v1.
- Riedel, R.W., 1971, Cenozoic Radiolaria from the western tropical Pacific, Leg 7.: Init. Repts.
  DSDP, v. 7, p. 1592–1627.
- Riedel, W.R., and Sanfilippo, A., 1978, Stratigraphy and Evolution of Tropical Cenozoic
  Radiolarians: Micropaleontology, v. 24, p. 61–96.
- Ripley, B., Venables, B., Bates, D.M., Hornik, K., Gebhardt, A., Firth, D., Ripley, M.B.,
  2013, Package 'mass': Cran r, 538, p. 113–120.
- Rohlf, F., and Bookstein, F., 1991, Size and Shape (Book Reviews: Proceedings of the
  Michigan Morphometrics Workshop.): Science, v. 253, p. 345-362.
- Sanfilippo, A., and Riedel, W.R., 1970, Post-Eocene "Closed" Theoperid Radiolarians:
  Micropaleontology, v. 16, p. 446–462.
- 751 Sanfilippo, A., and Riedel, W.R., 1992, The Origin and Evolution of Pterocorythidae
  752 (Radiolaria): A Cenozoic Phylogenetic Study: Micropaleontology, v. 38, p. 1.
- Sanfilippo, A., and Nigrini, C., 1998, Code numbers for Cenozoic low latitude radiolarian
  biostratigraphic zones and GPTS conversion tables: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 33,
  p. 109–156.
- Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W., 2012, NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
  image analysis: Nature Methods, v. 9, p. 671–675.

- Simonyan, K., and Zisserman, A., 2015, Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale
  Image Recognition: ICLR, San Diego, California, USA,
  https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556.
- Tavanaei, A., Ghodrati, M., Kheradpisheh, S. R., Masquelier, T., & Maida, A., 2019, Deep
  learning in spiking neural networks, Neural Networks, v. 111, p. 47-63,
  doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2018.12.002.
- Tetard, M., Monnet, C., Noble, P., and Danelian T., 2017, Biodiversity patterns of Silurian
  Radiolaria: Earth-Science Reviews, v.173, p. 77-83.
- Tetard, M., Marchant, R., Cortese, G., Gally, Y., de Garidel-Thoron, T., and Beaufort, L.,
  2020, Technical note: A new automated radiolarian image acquisition, stacking,
  processing, segmentation and identification workflow: Climate of the Past, v. 16, p.
  2415–2429.
- Venables, W.N., and Ripley, B.D., 2002, Random and Mixed Effects, *in* Venables, W.N. and
  Ripley, B.D., eds., Modern Applied Statistics with S, Statistics and Computing: New
  York, NY, Springer, p. 271–300.
- Watanabe, M., Kawagata, S., Aita, Y., Suzuki, N., and Kamikuri, S., 2022, Changes in
  morphological parameters of the radiolarian Lampterium lineage from the middle
  Eocene in the tropical Pacific: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 173, 102125.
- Westerhold, T., and Röhl, U., 2013, Orbital pacing of Eocene climate during the Middle
  Eocene Climate Optimum and the chron C19r event: Missing link found in the tropical
  western Atlantic: Orbital Pacing of Eocene Climate: Geochemistry, Geophysics,
  Geosystems, v. 14, p. 4811–4825.
- Yang, Z.R., and Yang, Z., 2014, Artificial Neural Networks, Comprehensive Biomedical
  Physics: Elsevier, p. 1–17.

Zenke, F., and Ganguli, S., 2018, SuperSpike: Supervised Learning in Multilayer Spiking
Neural Networks: Neural Computation, v. 30, p. 1514–1541