

A Simple and Cost-Effective Method to Synthesize an Internal Size Standard Amenable to Use with a 5-Dye System

Stéphane Mauger, L Couceiro, M Valero

To cite this version:

Stéphane Mauger, L Couceiro, M Valero. A Simple and Cost-Effective Method to Synthesize an Internal Size Standard Amenable to Use with a 5-Dye System. Prime Research on Biotechnology (PRB) , 2012, 2 (3), pp.40-46. hal-04208632

HAL Id: hal-04208632 <https://hal.science/hal-04208632>

Submitted on 15 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Public Domain

ABSTRACT

 Fluorescent end-labeling joint to capillary electrophoresis has become a common practice for PCR fragment analysis. Besides its sensitivity/reproducibility, the major 24 advantage of this method is the possibility of detect simultaneously fragments overlapping in size by the use of different labels. However, even thought multiplexing approaches are usually presented as a way to reduce genotyping costs, the price of the commercial size standards still represents a substantial deterrent. As an example, a new generation of dye-systems allowing fragments labeled up to 5 different colors is now commercially available but the price of the pertinent internal standard can easily represent the 50% of the project budget. Here, we describe a simple and cost-effective method to synthesize a fluorescently labeled size standard amenable to use with a 5-dye system, the SM594. Its performance in fragment size determination is demonstrated over a total of 63 alleles covering different molecular markers, species, and dyes. In summary, allele calling using the SM594 and the equivalent commercial standard shows identical results and maximum divergence between lectures from both standards never exceed 0.64 bp. Since genotyping costs can be reduced by a 40 factor, the use of the SM594 might allow researchers to address projects covering more species/individuals/loci.

INTRODUCTION

standards (4-7). Although the cost-effectiveness of these methods has been clearly

 established, two of them propose the use of specific DNA templates complicating their use elsewhere (5,6). Moreover, all these protocols describe the synthesis of size standards for a four-dye system. An advantage of a fluorescently-based detection system is that DNA fragments overlapping in size range can be labeled with different dyes and therefore be simultaneously detected in a single lane on a CE instrument. Thus, although 4-dye technology has allowed a significant increase in throughput rates over traditional methods, a third generation of dyes combining 5 different labels has been introduced in the market in 2005.

 In the present work, we describe a simple and cheap method to produce a fluorescently labeled size standard (the SM594) amenable to use with 5-dye systems and we show its accuracy and reproducibility in a range of different alleles and species. Moreover, its suitability for other techniques that involve fragment separation as Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP) is also demonstrated.

MATERIAL & METHODS

 In order to amplify known-length fragments using the universal cloning vector pGEM®-3Z(+) (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) as DNA template, 19 primers were designed with the software Primer3 (8). The resulting primer set was composed of a single forward primer with a 5'-ATTO 633 label and 18 reverse primers (Table 1), and it amplified a total of 18 single-stranded, fluorescently labeled fragments (theoretical/expected sizes: 60, 100, 140, 200, 214, 220, 240, 260, 314, 340, 380, 414, 420, 440, 480, 500, 520, and 600 bp) (Fig. 1).

 Size standard synthesis was carried out by amplifying individually the 18 fragments. PCR reactions (50 µl) were identical for all fragments apart from the reverse 86 primer identity and they contained 1x GoTaq® Flexi buffer (Promega Corporation), 1

87 mM MgCl₂, 150 µM of each dNTP (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), 400 nM of each primer (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany), 1.75 U GoTaq® Polymerase (Promega Corporation), and 20 ng of pGEM®-3Z(+). Likewise, the same amplification profile was applied to all reactions and it included an initial denaturing step at 94ºC for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94ºC for 30s, 65ºC for 30s, and 72ºC for 30s, and a final extension at 72ºC for 1 hour (in order to allow a consistent *Taq* polymerase terminal transferase activity and guarantee that all PCR products match to the +A state). Finally, individual amplifications were tested on standard agarose gels and equal volumes of each PCR product were combined into a single tube and stored at -20ºC until their use as size standard. SM594 calibration and validation were performed as follow. First, actual size of the synthesized fragments was estimated by co-electrophoresis with the commercial size 99 standard GeneScanTM 600LIZ[®] (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Briefly, 0.5 µl of SM594 were mixed with 0.5 µl of the commercial size standard and 101 11 µl of Hi-DiTM Formamide (Life Technologies Corporation), the obtained mixture was run on a 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies Corporation), and size/fluorescence intensity of each SM594 fragment was determined in the GENEMAPPER version 4.0 (Life Technologies Corporation). Second, 21 microsatellite loci from 4 different algae species: *Chondrus crispus* (9), *Gracilaria gracilis* (10,11), *Lessonia nigrescens* (12), and *Sargasum muticum* (Daguin-Thiébaut C., unpublished data), as well as 10 AFLP markers from the gastropod *Crepidula fornicata* (Riquet F., unpublished data)*,* were amplified using conventional protocols and size estimation of the 63 resulting alleles was done over 8 independent runs of a 3130XL Genetic Analyzer using both the SM594 size standard and the commercial size standard 111 GeneScanTM 600LIZ®. Moreover, in order to check the reproducibility and consistency

 of the obtained sizes, 8 additional runs using the SM594 as internal size standard were repeated over a different 3130XL Genetic Analyzer instrument. Allele size comparisons 114 between different internal standards (i.e. GeneScanTM 600LIZ[®] *vs.* SM594) as well as between different instruments (i.e. SM594-1 vs. SM594-2) were based on the range of differences in size estimates and the mean size values. Highest divergence for allele size among both treatments was also calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSION

 The designed primers amplified 18 unique PCR products (Fig. 1). Estimated size of the synthesized fragments differed from theoretical/expected size by 3-7 nucleotides (Fig. 2), probably due to the influence of the ATTO 633 fluorescent label on the electrophoretic mobility (13). However, those differences were stable over different 123 SM594 batches showing its reproducibility, stability and accuracy (mean sizes \pm standard deviation for the 18 fragments over 8 independent batches were 56.76±0.14, 95.42±0.10, 136.02±0.09, 195.44±0.11, 209.49±0.12, 215.46±0.10, 235.33±0.12, 255.38±0.10, 309.04±0.12, 335.40±0.07, 375.39±0.10, 409.31±0.09, 415.22±0.10, 434.40±0.11, 474.41±0.13, 494.48±0.08, 513.48±0.06, and 594.30±0.11). These results 128 show that the use of a universal cloning vector like the $pGEM@-3Z(+)$ as DNA template not only renders the protocol accessible to any interested laboratory but also guarantees a robust amplification and avoids any subsequent purification step (6). Likewise, differences in fluorescence intensity among fragments were low (at most 3-fold; Fig. 2) and constant making unnecessary any further optimization (e.g. pooling unequal rates of each fragment, diluting fragment pool to target an optimum peak height range) (6,7). 134 The comparison of allele sizes using both the SM594 and the GeneScanTM 600LIZ® corroborated the reproducibility and accuracy of the in-house synthesized

 size standard amenable to use with a 5-dye system), allele sizing with the SM594 can be easily afford by any laboratory furnished with basic molecular equipment. First, their

 synthesis is a simple and fast procedure; in fact, any person familiarized with the PCR technique can produce large volumes of this size standard in a few hours. Second, cost analysis reveals that genotyping is 40 times more economical when comparing with an equivalent commercial size (estimated price includes primer and cloning vector synthesis, PCRs and working hours). In fact, the economic expenditure needed to 166 acquire a batch of the commercial size standard GeneScanTM 600LIZ® (approximately 800 samples) allows to buy an amount of primers and cloning vector enough to synthesize 110 SM594 batches (approximately 990000 samples). This reduction of genotyping costs is agree with previous reports of in-house synthesized size standards (from 10 to 128 times more economical, 4-7). However, the economic advantage of the SM594 might go further since it can be used to size fragments labeled with up to 4 different colors and, therefore, it increases multiplexing options.

 In conclusion, the present work describes a simple, robust and cheap procedure to synthesize a fluorescently labeled size standard amenable to use with a 5-dye system. Accuracy in fragment size determination comparable to that obtained using the equivalent commercial size standard is demonstrated in a wide range of microsatellite loci covering different motifs, labels, and species but also in AFLP markers. Since the use of this size standard reduces genotyping costs by a 40 factor, it should allow researchers to address projects covering a larger number of species/individuals/loci.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

 The authors are deeply indebted to Daguin-Thiébaut C. and Riquet F. for providing *S. muticum* and *C. fornicata* samples. Funding for this work was provided by XXX; L.C.

acknowledges a postdoctoral fellowship from Secretaría de Estado de Universidades e

Investigación, Ministerio de Educación.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

187 **TABLES**

188 **Table 1. Primer sequences for SM594 synthesis.**

Table 2. Microsatellite/AFLP allele sizing using a commercial size standard (GeneScanTM 189 **600LIZ®) and the in-house synthesized size** 190 **standard SM594.**

^a Allele size estimation was carried out on two different 3130XL Genetic Analyzer instruments (GA1 and GA2) using the SM594. Values in bold for

GA1denote mean sizes that are not statistically significant from those obtained with GeneScanTM 600LIZ; values in bold for GA2 denote mean sizes that

are not statistically significant from those obtained using also the SM594 but on a different 3130XL Genetic Analyzer instrument (GA1).

^b Fluorescent label for each locus is indicated in the right superscript position.

 \textdegree Maximum difference among allele size estimates using the commercial size standard GeneScanTM 600LIZ and the in-house synthesized standard SM594.

^d Maximum difference among allele size estimates obtained from two different 3130X Genetic Analyzer instruments using the in-house synthesized standard SM594.

FIGURE & LEGENDS

 Figure 1. (A) Map of the universal cloning vector pGEM®-3Z(+) showing the position of the 19 primers (white and grey arrows represent forward and reverse primers respectively). (B) Agarose gel of the 18 amplified PCR products; lane M corresponds to the commercial molecular weight standard 50 bp DNA Ladder (New England BioLabs Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA).

Figure 2. Chromatogram of the SM594 size standard on a 3130XL Genetic Analyzer.

Fragment size (bp) is indicated above each peak and it was estimated by co-

electrophoresis with the commercial size standard GeneScanTM 600LIZ®.

REFERENCES

- 2.**Lepais, O. and C.F.E. Bacles.** 2011. Comparison of random and SSR enriched
- shotgun pyrosequencing for microsatellite discovery and single multiplex PCR optimization in *Acacia harpophylla* F. Muell. Ex Benth. Molecular Ecology Resources *11*:711-724.

3.**Abdelkrim, J., B.C. Robertson, J.A.L. Stanton, and N.J. Gemmell.** 2009. Fast,

cost-effective development of species-specific microsatellite markers by

genomic sequencing. BioTechniques *46*:185-192.

4.**DeWoody, J.A., J. Schupp, L. Kenefic, J. Busch, L. Murfitt, and P. Keim.** 2004.

Universal method for producing ROX-labeled size standards suitable for

automated genotyping. BioTechniques *37*:348-352.

- 5.**Ueno, S., Y. Tsumura, and I. Washitani.** 2003. Cost-effective method to synthesize
- fluorescently labeled DNA size standards using cloned AFLP fragments.
- BioTechniques *34*:1146-1148.
- 6.**Brondani, R.P.V. and G. D.** 1993. Cost-effective method to synthesize a fluorescent
- internal DNA standard for automated fragment sizing. BioTechniques *31*:793- 800.

 7.**Symonds, V.V. and A.M. Lloyd.** 2004. A simple and inexpensive method for producing fluorescently labelled size standard. Molecular Ecology Notes *4*:768- 771.

 8.**Rozen, S. and H. Skaletsky.** 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods Mol Biol *132*:365-386.

of end-labeled DNA. Nucleic Acids Research *26*:2797-2802.