

Use of machine learning and deep learning to predict particulate 137Cs concentrations in a nuclearized river

Hugo Lepage, Valerie Nicoulaud Gouin, Kathleen Pele, Patrick Boyer

▶ To cite this version:

Hugo Lepage, Valerie Nicoulaud Gouin, Kathleen Pele, Patrick Boyer. Use of machine learning and deep learning to predict particulate 137Cs concentrations in a nuclearized river. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 2023, OSR, 270, pp.107294. 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2023.107294. hal-04208075

HAL Id: hal-04208075 https://hal.science/hal-04208075v1

Submitted on 15 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Use of machine learning and deep learning to predict particulate ¹³⁷Cs concentrations in a nuclearized river

Lepage Hugo^{1,*}, Nicoulaud-Gouin Valérie¹, Pele Kathleen¹, Boyer Patrick¹

¹ Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), PSE-ENV/SRTE/LRTA, F-13115, Saint-Paullez-Durance, France

* Corresponding author: <u>hugo.lepage@irsn.fr</u>

Abstract

Cesium-137, discharged by nuclear installations under normal operations and deposited in watersheds following atmospheric testing and accidents (i.e. Chernobyl, Fukushima...), has been studied for decades. Thus, modelling of ¹³⁷Cs concentration in rivers have been developed based on geochemical approaches and equilibrium assumptions (solid/liquid ratio) as this radionuclide has moved into rivers and oceans due to soil erosion. Recently a new approach is possible to model these concentrations with the popularization of data-driven models based on data acquired in the environment by monitoring networks. In this study, the concentrations of particulate cesium-137 measured near the mouth of the Rhône River (France), a highly nuclearized river, are simulated using two data-driven models, a Hierarchical Attention-Based Recurrent Highway Networks (HRHN) and a Random Forest Regressor (RF). The data-driven predictions were done using only hydrological data (water discharge and suspended solid fluxes) and industrial input of ¹³⁷Cs. Although the data-driven models provided a better prediction than a recent empirical model, the best prediction ($R^2 = 0.71$) was obtained with HRHN, a model that considers the temporal aspect of the monitoring data. The most important predictors were the hydrological data at the monitoring station and of the tributary that generate the most sediment flux (Durance River). In fact, the concentration of ¹³⁷Cs in the perimeter of this study was more related to hydrology than to nuclear release, as there were few events with high ¹³⁷Cs concentrations (concomitant nuclear release and low water discharge). However, the HRHN approach, which is more complex to implement than RF, can predict the concentrations of such events correctly despite their low representation of these events. The results of this study demonstrate the usefulness of data-driven models to assist monitoring programs by filling in gaps or helping to understand observed concentrations.

¹ Use of machine learning and deep learning

to predict particulate ¹³⁷Cs concentrations in a nuclearized river

4 Keywords: radioactivity, suspended sediment, flux, neural network, modeling

5 1. Introduction

6 Monitoring the pollution in rivers has been an accepted necessity for many years as aquatic ecosystems 7 are unfortunately the receptors of emissions from many sectors such as agriculture, industry or 8 urbanization (Horowitz, 2009; Syvitski et al., 2005; WFD, 2000). For many reasons (logistical, economic, 9 societal), it is sometimes difficult to set up a sustainable monitoring system (Dethier et al., 2020). 10 Therefore it makes sense to model the concentrations and fluxes of pollutants (Yang and Wang, 2010) 11 as modelling appear to be an alternative solution to improve water quality monitoring cost. Thus, many 12 works have taken place during the last decades to set up empirical models or models based on 13 geochemical processes to predict the concentration of pollutants such as heavy metals, mercury, 14 nitrates or radionuclides (Braga et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2007; Zheleznyak et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2018). 15 However, these models are often based on restrictive assumptions and require parameters that are not always available (Cho et al., 2016; Ciffroy and Benedetti, 2018; Desai et al., 2013; Hilko et al., 2012; 16 17 Lu et al., 2019). With the recent advances on computer calculations and artificial intelligence 18 applications in many fields (Abiodun et al., 2018), the use of data-driven models bring a new tool to 19 model the concentrations and the behavior of theses pollutants (Lu et al., 2019; Yaseen, 2021; Ye et 20 al., 2020). The number of published works on heavy metals simulation using machine learning models 21 greatly increased from less than 5 publications by year before 2011 to 49 publications in 2020 (Yaseen, 2021). Models such as Artificial Neural Network (ANN), classification and regression tree, linear 22 regression or support vector machine models are now used to predict the concentration of pollutants 23 24 in the environment.

25 However, their use to model radionuclides concentrations in water and suspended sediment (SS) of 26 rivers remain sparse and new. In a recent review, Dragović (2022) highlights the potential use of ANN 27 in environmental radioactivity but the studies regarding the hydrosphere are still sparse and mainly 28 focus on water, not on sediment. For example, Korobitsyn et al. (2008) demonstrated that ANN could 29 be used to understand the high concentrations of dissolved Strontium-90 observed in the Techa River 30 (Russia). Kulahci et al. (2006) used an ANN construction to predict the total alpha and total beta 31 radioactivity as a function of pH, total hardness, electrical conductivity and depth. Seasonal and spatial 32 variability and classification of radionuclide concentrations might also be done using neural network 33 such as self-organizing maps (Skwarzec et al., 2009; Tutu et al., 2005) and help improve monitoring programs. While other machine learning tools such as random forest (with predictive or causal 34 techniques) had been recently used to analyze cesium-137 (¹³⁷Cs) contamination of terrestrial plants 35 36 (Shuryak, 2023, 2022), no similar work was conducted on liquid or particulate concentration in rivers. 37 Due to its anthropogenic presence in many environmental compartments, this radionuclide and its 38 dynamics has been studied for decades, especially in waterways (Antonelli et al., 2008; He and Walling, 39 1996; Konoplev et al., 2020; Lepage et al., 2014). Thus, during the last decades, empirical modelling of ¹³⁷Cs concentration in rivers have been developed, based on assumption like the solid/liquid ratio or 40 41 the size of the particles (Ciffroy et al., 2001; Konoplev et al., 2020; Tomczak et al., 2019). However, to our knowledge, the dynamic in rivers of this radionuclides was not yet subjected to a machine learning 42 43 analysis. To pursue this effort of using artificial intelligence in radioecology, a machine learning and a deep learning approaches were used and compared to a semi-empirical model to estimate the ¹³⁷Cs 44 45 particulate concentrations in a nuclearized river.

46 2. Material and method

47 2.1. Study area

This study was conducted near the outlet of the Rhône River basin (≈ 95 000km²) within the Rhône
Sediment Observatory (Lepage et al., 2021; Fabien Thollet et al., 2021) (Fig. 1). This river is the largest

source of freshwater to the Mediterranean sea and deliver 6.6 Mt yr⁻¹ of sediment each year (Poulier 50 et al., 2019). The Rhône watershed is also characterized by a large climatic and geological 51 heterogeneity (Olivier et al., 2022) that leads to strong variations of annual SS fluxes (from 1.4 Mt to 52 18.0 Mt) (Delile et al., 2020). Moreover this river is one of the most nuclearized river over the world 53 54 with many nuclear facilities (Eyrolle et al., 2020) such as Nuclear Power Plant (NPP), fuel cycle facilities 55 and research centers (Fig. 1). The basin has also been affected by the fallouts from the atmospheric weapons tests and the accident of Chernobyl (Roussel-Debel et al., 2007). Total inventory of ¹³⁷Cs was 56 estimated to be 9.4 10¹⁴ Bq in 1986. This work focuses on the ¹³⁷Cs concentrations in SS measured at 57 58 the SORA monitoring station from 2010 to 2019. Located at Arles (Eyrolle et al., 2010), this station is the reference station to evaluate SS and radionuclides concentrations and fluxes near the outlet of the 59 Rhône River in the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1). 60

61 2.2. Suspended sediment sampling

The sampling method used to characterize the ¹³⁷Cs concentration in SS of the Rhône River is described in Eyrolle et al. (2020). Briefly, for baseflow period (water discharge lower than 3000 m³ s⁻¹), 13.5 L of water are automatically sampled and filtered at 0.5 μm every 80 min and integrated for a month. For flood period, the previous sampling strategy is stopped, and a specific flood event automatic sampling is settled using up to five acetate cartridges (0.5 μm) with 5 L collected every 60 min until the clogging of the cartridge.

68 2.3. Radionuclide analyses and concentrations (endogenous variable)

Measurement of ¹³⁷Cs is described in Eyrolle et al. (2020). The SS samples were slowly (approx. 2 weeks) evaporated (80 °C) to dryness, ashed and put into tightly closed plastic boxes (17 mL or 60 mL) for gamma-ray spectrometry measurements (20–60 g) using low-background and high-resolution High Purity Germanium detectors. Measurements are regularly checked for background noise and drift using multi-gamma calibration sources in accordances with national standards. The detectors are also used in national and international intercomparisons. Results are expressed in Bq kg⁻¹ (d.w.) and each rs sample was measured for 3 days to achieve detection limits of 0.5 Bq kg⁻¹ (d.w.). Measured activities are decay-corrected to the date of sampling. The activity uncertainty (k=2) was estimated as the combination of calibration uncertainties, counting statistics, and summing and self-absorption correction uncertainties.

For the studied period (2010-01-05 to 2019-06-04), 269 SS including 112 during baseflow and 157 during flood events were measured (Fig. 2– supplementary information). From 2010 to 2019, the average particulate concentration was 7.8 \pm 6.1 Bq kg⁻¹ for ¹³⁷Cs. Higher mean concentration was observed during baseflow (11.4 \pm 7.4 Bq kg⁻¹) than during flood events (5.3 \pm 3.1 Bq kg⁻¹).

The annual flux of particulate ¹³⁷Cs is calculated by multiplying its particulate concentration by the flux of SS (water discharge multiplied by SS concentration) for the sampling periods and summed by year. In 2015, while water discharge and SS concentration were measured, most of the SS sampling was not conducted due to logistical issues. To estimate the flux during this period, the year has been cut according to the hydrology to distinguish floods and baseflows regarding the flood threshold (3000 m³ s⁻¹).

- 89 2.4. Machine learning methodology
- **90** 2.4.1. Input variables (exogenous variable)

Two different types of exogenous variable were used: routine radioactive liquid releases and hydrological information. Note that with this approach, we do not apply any transit time between the monitored stations (Fig1) and Arles, and we do not consider physicochemical variables such as particle size or organic content as such variables were not available for the whole studied period. All the data used are available in the supplementary information (SI).

96

2.4.1.1. Nuclear liquid effluents

As explained previously, nuclear facilities are allowed to release radioactive effluent directly into theRhône River. Such release must respect concentration thresholds and must be carried out under

normal hydrological conditions (baseflow), excluding low-level water and flood. For ¹³⁷Cs, the main 99 source of liquid effluent in the Rhône River is the reprocessing center of Marcoule (Fig. 1) which 100 101 represent most of the annual liquid emission of the ¹³⁷Cs. In fact, the mean annual releases from 2010 102 to 2016 was 20.3 GBq y⁻¹ of ¹³⁷Cs for the center of Marcoule while the sum of the mean annual releases of the four NPPs was 0.1 GBq y⁻¹ of ¹³⁷Cs. Quantity of ¹³⁷Cs (MBq) released was estimated for each 103 104 measurement at the monitoring station without applying a transit time. The duration of the releases 105 (in hours) and the total flux of SS (t) that transited in the river during the release periods was also used 106 as exogeneous data.

107

2.4.1.2. Water discharge and suspended sediment concentration

108 In addition to the SORA station, water discharge (Q in m³ s⁻¹) and SS concentration (SSC in mg L⁻¹) were 109 also acquired at Jons, which is the reference station to evaluate concentrations and fluxes from the 110 Upper Rhône River, and at the outlet of the main tributaries: Saône, Isère, Ardèche and Durance rivers 111 (Fig. 1). Acquisition of Q and SSC are described in (Lepage et al., 2021) and was conducted within the 112 Rhône Sediment Observatory. Hourly water discharges (Q_H) were calculated by conversion of water 113 level measurements through stage-discharge rating curves, otherwise through numerical modelling (F. Thollet et al., 2021). During the studied period, the mean water discharge was 1520 ± 818 m³ s⁻¹, 566 114 \pm 317 m³ s⁻¹, 65 \pm 131 m³ s⁻¹, 93 \pm 125 m³ s⁻¹, 312 \pm 150 m³ s⁻¹, 399 \pm 420 m³ s⁻¹, respectively at Arles, 115 116 Jons, Ardèche, Durance, Isère and Saône stations (Fig. 3). The SSC at most stations are derived from in-117 situ turbidity measurements conducted every 10 minutes (Le Bescond et al., 2018). The SSC is then 118 calculated through the site-specific turbidity-SS rating curve (Navratil et al., 2011), which is determined 119 on each site for a wide range of concentrations. At Arles, the SSC is measured by filtration of water 120 sample collected by an automatic water sampler by the MOOSE network (Mediterranean Ocean 121 Observing System for the Environment) (Raimbault et al., 2014). During the studied period, the mean SSC was 51 ± 160 mg L⁻¹, 19 ± 46 mg L⁻¹, 9 ± 18 mg L⁻¹, 114 ± 606 mg L⁻¹, 82 ± 455 mg L⁻¹, 14 ± 17 mg L⁻ 122 123 ¹, respectively for Arles, Jons, Ardèche, Durance, Isère and Saône rivers (Fig. 3). While Q_H was used as input variable (referred to as Q_Name-of-the-station in m³ s⁻¹), SSC was not used in this form but after 124

calculating the flux of SS (t h⁻¹) by multiplying SSC by Q. The SS flux is referred to as FSS_Name-of-thestation.

127 2.4.2. Models

128 2.4.2.1. Random Forest - RF

The random forest (RF) is a supervised learning algorithm consisting of a set of decision trees (Breiman, 2001). It uses the "bagging" method whose general idea is that a combination of learning models increases the overall result. The regressor algorithm is used to process the regressions. The RF algorithm randomly selects observations and features to construct multiple decision trees and then averages the results. Bootstrap samples are used when building trees. Several hyperparameters are of interest, including:

The n_estimators hyperparameter which is the number of trees the algorithm built before
 taking the maximum vote or taking the averages of the predictions. In general, a higher
 number of trees increases performance and makes the predictions more stable, but it also
 slows down the computation. 10 values were chosen among a uniform distribution in [80,
 200].

- The max_depth hyperparameter is the maximum depth of the tree: 10 values were chosen
 regularly distributed in the interval [5, 30].
- The max_features hyperparameter which is the maximum number of features that the random
 forest considers splitting a node. It is chosen equal to the number of features which are in our
 case the columns of water discharges at the different stations.
- The min_sample_leaf hyperparameter which determines the minimum number of leaves
 needed to split an internal node. Ten values were chosen among a uniform distribution in [1,
 10].

The min_sample_split hyperparameter which determines the minimum number of samples
 required to split an internal node. Ten values were chosen among a uniform distribution in [1,
 30].

151 A randomized search on hyper parameters has been performed, optimized by 5 cross-validated 152 searches over parameter settings.

153

2.4.2.2. Hierarchical Attention-Based Recurrent Highway Networks - HRHN

The proposed deep learning method aims at predicting the future T of the timeseries of ¹³⁷Cs 154 155 (endogenous variable) from a set of past information t at T-1 of the presented exogenous variable and the associated ¹³⁷Cs evolution. The architecture chosen here is the HRHN (Hierarchical Attention-Based 156 157 Recurrent Highway Networks) an encoder-decoder neural network (Tao et al., 2018). The principle of 158 this architecture is the use of two neural networks. The first one encodes the input data (exogenous 159 variable at time [t,T-1]) into a latent representation. A hierarchical attention layer weights the 160 importance of the different elements of this representation. Then, the second network must decode this information to predict the future T of the time series of ¹³⁷Cs concentration in relation to the 161 history of concentration ¹³⁷Cs at time t to T-1. The decoder and encoder may have different layers. 162 163 Here the encoder is composed of convolutional layers (Lecun et al., 2015) and Recurrent Highway 164 Network (RHN) (Zilly et al., 2017) layers. The decoder is composed of RHN layers. The purpose of the 165 recurrent layers is to capture the temporal dynamics of the series. The convolutional layer aims to 166 detect patterns in the series (seasonality, peak). Max pooling (Aggarwal, 2018) is also performed 167 between successive convolutional layers, which can reduce the size of feature maps to avoid 168 overfitting and improve efficiency. For more information on the nature of the layers we refer the 169 reader to (Goodfellow et al., 2016).

This neural network architecture is associated with several hyperparameters linked to the nature of these layers. The optimization is carried out with the Hyperband method (Li et al., 2018). The aim of the optimization algorithm is to minimize the error on both the training and the test base to propose the best performing model with the most interesting parameters and hyper-parameters. The detail of the grid of possible combinations for hyperparameters is presented. We have decided to keep the number of convolution layers given in (Tao et al., 2018) (i.e. 3), however their size and the associated max-pooling will be determined in the following interval for each:

- CNN window size (nbr_filter_cnn) in [3,5,7]. The convolution window size determines the size
 of the region over which convolution is applied at each time step. It is important to choose an
 appropriate window size to capture the relevant temporal patterns in the data.
- Number of filters (dim_filter_cnn) in [8,16,32,64,128,256]. The number of filters determines
 how many different patterns the network can learn. The higher the number of filters, the more
 complex the network can be, but this can also make training more difficult.
- Max pooling size (dim_max_pooling) in [2,3,4,5]. The pooling window size determines the region of the input that will be aggregated into a single output element. In general, a larger pooling window size reduces the spatial resolution of the output but can also improve the robustness of the network to minor variations in the input. In contrast, a smaller pooling window size retains more detail of the input but may also make the network more sensitive to noise or minor variations.
- As in Tao et al. (2018), we assume the RHN has same structure in the encoder and the decoder:

Hidden layers (layer_RHN) in [1,2,3,4,5]. The hidden layers allow the neural network to model non-linear relationships between inputs and outputs. Each hidden layer in a deep neural network computes a non-linear transformation of the previous layer's outputs, allowing the network to learn increasingly abstract and complex features as information is propagated through the network.

Dimension of hidden state (dim_RHN) in [8,16,32,64,128,256]. The dimension of the hidden
 state determines the size of the hidden state vectors that are calculated at each time step of
 the model. A higher dimension of the hidden state can allow the model to capture more

complex and subtle information in the data, but it can also make the model slower to train and
require more training data.

The intervals chosen for these different hyperparameters are based on the following references (Chollet, 2018; Goodfellow et al., 2016) and documentation available on Tensorflow. The algorithm Hyperband has been customized to include optimization of the number of time steps. It implies that for each combination of selected hyperparameters an update of the data size is performed. This hyperparameter linked to the data history allows us to determine the quantity of past information most relevant to predict the future evolution of the endogenous variable. The sequence length (here monthly) is selected between 9 and 20 past data.

- 207 2.4.3. Splitting methods and cross-validation
- 208 Two processes were used to split the dataset:

The dataset was chronologically separated as a time series as it is required for the HRHN approach. The train dataset ranges between 2010 to end of 2014 with 198 observations and the test dataset ranges between end of 2015 to 2019 with 72 observations (Table 1). For RF, this modelling will be referred as RF_TS. All the mean with their range of the data used by the models are displayed in the Table 1.

For RF, an additional five cross-validation procedure was performed on the whole data. The
 split was performed randomly on the 269 observations. This modelling will be referred as
 RF_CV. With this method, several subsets of training and test data were used, thus considering
 the full range of exogenous and endogenous variables.

218 Table 1: mean (min - max) values of the data used by the data-driven models after chronological splitting.

Mean (min – max)	Train dataset	Test dataset
Number of data	198	71
water discharge at Arles (m ³ s ⁻¹)	2646 (595 - 5420)	2586 (579 - 6857)
water discharge of Ardèche River (m ³ s ⁻¹)	202 (5 - 2167)	209 (6 - 2502)

water discharge of Durance River (m ³ s ⁻¹)	201 (8 - 1010)	270 (10 - 1715)
water discharge of Isère River (m ³ s ⁻¹)	415 (97 - 1130)	375 (118 - 1083)
water discharge at Jons (m ³ s ⁻¹)	880 (187 - 2143)	891 (214 - 2932)
water discharge of Saône River (m ³ s ⁻¹)	792 (56 - 1713)	853 (38 - 2124)
suspended sediment flux at Arles (t $h^{\cdot 1}$)	3119 (16 - 48947)	8547 (8 - 105011)
suspended sediment flux of Ardèche River (t h^{-1})	53 (0,05 - 1640)	68 (0,04 - 2066)
suspended sediment flux of Durance River (t $h^{\mbox{-}1}$)	655 (0,1 - 19807)	7874 (0,1 - 113703)
suspended sediment flux of Isère River (t $h^{\mbox{-}1})$	389 (3 - 6715)	1351 (4 - 20508)
suspended sediment flux at Jons (t $h^{\text{-}1})$	293 (1 - 4324)	434 (1 - 4714)
suspended sediment flux of Saône River (t h^{-1})	96 (0,5 - 622)	195 (1 - 1593)
¹³⁷ Cs release by nuclear industries (MBq)	479 (0 - 7586)	671 (0 - 3428)
Duration of the nuclear release (h)	18 (0 - 211)	16 (0 - 81)
Total suspended sediment flux during nuclear release (t)	4320 (0 - 103254)	4397 (0 - 32921)
¹³⁷ Cs concentration (Bq kg ⁻¹)	7,8 (2,1 - 24,7)	7,9 (2,2 - 43,9)

219

2.4.4. Prediction indicators 220

The proposed models were evaluated using the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) following Eq1 and 221 the coefficient of determination (R²) following Eq2 as it is commonly used in such studies: 222

223
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ([^{137}Cs] i_{measured} - [^{137}Cs] i_{modeled})^2}$$
 Eq.1

224
$$R^{2} = 1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 137 Cs \end{bmatrix} i_{measured} - \begin{bmatrix} 137 Cs \end{bmatrix} i_{modeled} \right)^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 137 Cs \end{bmatrix} i_{measured} - \begin{bmatrix} 137 Cs \end{bmatrix}_{mean} \right)^{2} \right)$$
225 Eq. 2

225

226 With:

N is the number of data 227 •

- $[^{137}Cs]i_{measured}$ and $[^{137}Cs]i_{modeled}$ respectively the ith occurrence of the measured and 228 • modeled concentrations of particulate ¹³⁷Cs 229
- $[^{137}Cs]_{mean}$ the mean of the $[^{137}Cs]_{measured}$. • 230

These performance indicators are classically used in model evaluation. The R^2 measures the degree of variability of the dependent variable that can be explained by the model. The R^2 is a good measure of how well the model fits the dependent variables.

234 2.4.5. Sensitivity of the input variables

The importance of a feature is computed as the (normalized) total reduction of the criterion brought by that feature. It is also known as the Gini importance (Breiman, 2001). It calculates each feature importance as the sum over the number of splits (across all trees) that include the feature, proportionally to the number of samples it splits.

239 Regarding the HRHN approach, sensitivity analysis in neural networks with a complex architecture is 240 still a subject in full development notably because of the large number of hyperparameters and 241 parameters of these models. It (Doshi-Velez and Kim, 2017). We propose here the Permutation feature 242 importance, a simplistic approach to try to bring elements of answer on the importance of the various 243 exogenous variables. Initially used for random forests, it is applicable to any model (Wei et al., 2015). 244 This method has the advantage of not requiring a re-training phase for the model or long simulations, 245 which can be costly in terms of computing time for HRHN. The concept is straightforward: we measure 246 the importance of a feature by calculating the increase in the model's prediction error after permuting 247 the feature. A feature is "important" if shuffling its values increases the model error, because in this 248 case the model relied on the feature for the prediction. A feature is "unimportant" if shuffling its values 249 leaves the model error unchanged, because in this case the model ignored the feature for the 250 prediction. This method is applied to the test set rather than the training set to assess the importance 251 of the variables in the ability of the model to generalize to the unknown data. This is because the model 252 is trained on the training set and its coefficients are adjusted to minimize the error on the training 253 data. If we use the training set to assess the importance of variables, this may lead to an overestimation 254 of the importance of some variables, as the model has been optimized to minimize the error on these 255 specific data. In contrast, the validation set is used to assess the ability of the model to generalize to new data. By calculating the importance of the variables on the validation set, we can assess the importance of the variables in the ability of the model to generalize and predict new data. The results obtained correspond to the average of 100 simulations of Permutation feature importance for each variable.

260 2.5. Empirical modelling of ¹³⁷Cs

In the Rhône River, recent work was conducted to model the solid/liquid fractionation of ¹³⁷Cs as a function of the hydro-sedimentary conditions (water discharge, suspended sediment concentration and particle size), the radioactive liquid discharges and the mean ¹³⁷Cs concentrations of the superficial soils of the watershed (Tomczak et al., 2021). With this approach, hourly ¹³⁷Cs concentrations in suspended sediments were modeled in the Rhône River at the SORA station using the following equations:

267
$$\left[{}^{137}Cs \right]_{SS} = \left(Kd_{\delta} \cdot \left[{}^{137}Cs \right]_{W} \cdot [SS]_{R} + \left[{}^{137}Cs \right]_{SOIL} \cdot [SS]_{NR} \right) / [SS]$$
Eq. 3

268 With:

• Kd_{δ} (l.kg⁻¹), the equilibrium solid/liquid ratio of the superficial reactive layer of particles of thickness δ (µm).

• $\begin{bmatrix} 1^{137}Cs \end{bmatrix}_{SOU}$ (Bq.kg⁻¹), the mean ¹³⁷Cs concentration of the superficial soils of the catchment.

• $[SS]_R$ (mg.l⁻¹), the reactive fraction of suspended sediments given as a function of d_{50} (µm), the 273 median of their granulometric distribution:

274
$$[SS]_R = [SS] \cdot (1 - (1 - 2 \cdot \delta / d_{50})^3)$$
 if $d_{50} > 2 \cdot \delta$ and $[SS]_R = [SS]$ if $d_{50} \le 2 \cdot \delta$ Eq. 4

•
$$[SS]_{NR}$$
 (mg.l⁻¹), the non-reactive fraction of suspended sediments: $[SS]_{NR} = [SS] - [SS]_{R}$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} 137Cs \end{bmatrix}_{W}$$
 (Bq.I⁻¹), the dissolved concentration of ¹³⁷Cs:

277
$$[{}^{137}Cs]_w = [{}^{137}Cs]_E / (1 + Kd_\delta \cdot ([SS]_c + [SS]_R))$$
 Eq. 5

• $[SS]_c$ (mg.l⁻¹), the colloidal fraction of suspended sediments.

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{137}Cs \end{bmatrix}_E = \frac{q_{release}}{Q} + \begin{bmatrix} {}^{137}Cs \end{bmatrix}_{SOIL} \cdot [SS]_R$$
 (Bq.I⁻¹), the total exchangeable fraction of the ${}^{137}Cs$,
where Q (m³.s⁻¹) is the waterflow of the river and $q_{release}$ (Bq.s⁻¹) is the flux of ${}^{137}Cs$ releases by the routine discharges.

To compare this model approach with the measures and the other approaches, the calculated hourly series is integrated over the sampling period of average activity was calculated for each SS sampling period.

285 3. Results

286 3.1. Parameters

The optimized parameters used for the Random Forest and the HRHN approaches are detailed in the Table 2. Before making a prediction, the HRHN model receives as input a batch of data corresponding to a small history. According to the optimization, the hyperparameter of the table indicates a sequence length of data history equal to 16: the first 16 values from the input time series and the target time series (¹³⁷Cs concentration) are therefore used to predict the future ¹³⁷Cs concentration (i.e. the 17th value of the target series). This 17th value will then be included in the next history of the target variable in the next prediction. The process is repeated until the last time in the series is reached.

294 Table 2: Values of the hyperparameters of random forest and HRHN

Random F	orest	ŀ	IRHN
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
n_estimators	146	dim_RHN	8
max_depth	13	layer_RHN	2
min_sample_leaf	1	dim_max_pooling	[3,4,2]
min_sample_split	3	dim_filter_cnn	[7,5,5]
		nbr_filter_cnn	[8,32,16]

	sequence_length of	16
	data history	

296 3.2. Modeling

295

The modeling of particulate ¹³⁷Cs in the SS in the Rhône River at Arles is presented in the Figure 4. For 297 298 the different approaches, the modelled concentrations fall within the range of the measurements with 299 the exception of the empirical approach that overestimates the concentration in the early dataset (Fig. 300 4A). The approaches correctly follow the trends with lower concentrations during floods and higher 301 concentrations during baseflow and low-level water but the RF and empirical approaches tend to 302 underestimate the concentration during low-level water (Fig. 4B) while the HRHN correctly estimate 303 the concentration for the whole range of hydrological data. The difference between measured and 304 modelled values is lower for the HRHN and RF_CV approaches with values of RMSE lower than 4 Bq 305 kg⁻¹ (Table 3) and R2 higher than 0.5.

	Empirical	RF_TS	RF_CV	HRHN
RMSE Train (Bq kg ⁻¹)		0.96		0.69
	5.74		3.54 ± 2.7	
RMSE Test		6.52		3.59
(Bq kg ⁻¹)		0.52		5.55
R2 Train	0.10	0.96		0.98
R2 Test	0.10	0.41	0.59 ± 0.31	0.71

306 Table 3: Prediction indicators for the different approaches.

307 3.3. Sensitivity

The sensitivity analysis on the input variables shows contrasted results between the HRHN approach and the two others (Fig. 5). For HRHN, SS fluxes of the Durance River and at Arles had a sensitivity higher than 25% while their sensitivity was lower than 5% for RF_TS. For RF_CV, FSS Durance only was negligible. For both RF approaches, the water discharge at Arles (Q_Arles) and from the Saône River
(Q_Saone) had a sensitivity higher than 20%. For RF_TS, the water discharge from the upper Rhône
River at Jons (Q_Jons) had a sensitivity higher than 10%. Finally, nuclear release had a sensitivity lower
than 5% for the different approaches.

315 3.4. Fluxes

316 For the periods with measurement of ¹³⁷Cs in SS, fluxes of ¹³⁷Cs were calculated for the three modelling 317 approaches and compared to the measured flux (Fig. 6A). For HRHN, the data history (the sixteen first 318 values of train and test sub datasets - Table 1) represented around 35% of the annual flux of 2010 and 319 2016 so the estimated fluxes are at two third recalculated by this approach in 2010 and 2016. Overall, 320 the different approaches had annual fluxes close to the measured, with slight overestimation in 2010, 321 2013 2016 and 2017. The average absolute differences for the test sub-datasets are respectively 36.0 322 \pm 25.0%, 46.7 \pm 22.4%, 21.7 \pm 19.3% and 30.6 \pm 24.7% for HRHN (excluding 2016 due to the data history 323 used), RF TS, RF CV and empirical approaches. Finally, flux of 2015 was reconstructed by the three approaches and the result is similar with a flux of ¹³⁷Cs estimated between 20.0 to 24.5 GBq (Fig. 6B). 324

325 4. Discussion

The two data-driven approaches (HRHN and RF) achieved good prediction scores using only 326 hydrological data (water discharge et SPM fluxes) and industrial input of ¹³⁷Cs. These results show that 327 328 the use of the main Rhône River tributaries hydrology alone is sufficient to obtain good results, without 329 any knowledge of the actual input of ¹³⁷Cs through erosion from the catchment (i.e. soil affected by 330 the global fallout and the Chernobyl accident). Furthermore, all these input variables do not seem to 331 be necessary as shown in the sensitivity analysis. Indeed, industrial releases represent only 5% of the 332 sensitivity analysis (Fig. 5). These results show that near the mouth of the Rhône River, it is rather the hydrology (and the ¹³⁷Cs concentration in eroded soils) that governs the concentrations of particulate 333 334 ¹³⁷Cs than the industrial releases. However, a bias is possible as there are very few events with high concentrations of ¹³⁷Cs (only 4 on 269 values over 25 Bq kg⁻¹ – Fig. 2), events related to the combination 335

of nuclear release with low water discharge (Q_{events} = 717 ± 98 m³ s⁻¹ vs Q_{mean} = 2586 m³ s⁻¹) resulting in 336 337 a low dilution of the concentration released. So, the weight of the releases could be higher if the 338 representation of these events was larger in the dataset and the score improved for all the models as 339 they underestimate the concentration during low-level water (Fig. 4B). This confirms the interest of 340 regularly updating the parameterization of the models with larger datasets. Also, the sensitivity 341 analysis shows that some tributaries have more weight than others: the Durance tributary for HRHN 342 and the Saône tributary for RF. The weight of the Durance River is logical as this tributary is 343 characterized by intense floods which generate very important sediment flux (Bodereau et al., 2022; 344 Delile et al., 2020; Poulier et al., 2019) for a relatively low water discharge compared to the other 345 tributaries (Fig. 7A). This result confirms the necessity to not only use the water discharge but also the 346 flux of SS as the relation between the two parameters is not linear (Fig. 7B). For the RF approaches, 347 the negligibility of the Durance tributary and the weight of the Saône tributary illustrate the difficulty 348 in the choice of input variables when they are correlated (Gregorutti et al., 2017) which is the case of 349 the hydrological data of this study. For these approaches, the weight of the hydrology at the 350 monitoring station (Arles) is high and might also explain the low weight of the Durance tributary due 351 to the proximity of this tributary (Fig. 1). Therefore, the models could be improved by removing the 352 insignificant variables that indicate redundancy of information in the algorithm.

353 Regarding the score between the different models, the data-driven approaches have a better prediction of ¹³⁷Cs than the empirical approach and among the data-driven approaches, the most 354 355 complex one (HRHN) displayed better prediction. However, these machine learning and deep learning 356 approaches proposed in this article are very different. First, Random Forest (RF) is a machine learning method that will make a prediction based on a set of regression trees, each having learned its tree 357 358 structure from a sample of the training base. Therefore, its operation will be sensitive to the training 359 frame and to a small number of extreme values (peaks in the data). This sensitivity explains the better 360 scores obtained after the cross validation splitting method (RF_CV) than with temporal splitting 361 (RF_TS) as the CV splitting considered a larger number of extreme events. In fact, the FSS_Arles and 362 FSS_Durance variables used for RF_TS training are not representative of the full dataset (Table 1), 363 which explains the low sensitivity scores for these variables with this approach. Thus, a number of 364 nodes and leaves will not be present, making it difficult to predict particular cases. However, RF is distinguished by the very intuitive understanding of its algorithm and will find the average trends. 365 366 Furthermore, its low number of hyperparameters makes the algorithm quickly applicable, so it can be 367 considered to increase its learning base in order to increase its search area. Score of the random forest 368 could also be improved by considering a causal techniques as the algorithm causal forest (Shuryak, 369 2023). Second, the HRHN approach aims to understand the dynamics of the target variable: 370 understanding past events in order to predict future behavior. This understanding is achieved through 371 the different layers of HRHN, by detecting patterns in the time series (seasonality, peak...) through 372 convolutional layers and by trying to capture the temporal dynamics through recurrent layers. During 373 training, the network will parameterize itself (weighting) to stimulate the most important information 374 in the network to estimate the future prediction. The model will therefore a priori have a greater 375 robustness in the most extreme cases, which explain why the results are better than RF_TS despite the 376 non-representativeness of the FSS_Arles and FSS_Durance variables in the training set (Table 1). 377 However, its architecture is associated with a large number of hyperparameters and parameters which 378 makes its optimization phase expensive in terms of computation time. Therefore, the interpretability 379 of the model is made complex. Although basic sensitivity analysis methods can be implemented to 380 provide a measure of the relative importance of input characteristics, it will be necessary to develop 381 more suitable algorithms to capture the full richness of the model. It should be noted here that the impact of the history of the target variable has not been studied. Even more, prediction with HRHN 382 383 requires knowledge of a time history which limits its application to a framework where past data is available. Finally, regarding the particulate ¹³⁷Cs annual fluxes, the different approaches can be used 384 to predict it as the differences are generally low, especially in 2015 (Fig. 7). At the outlet of the Rhône 385 River, the flux of ¹³⁷Cs is mostly related to the flux of SS than the concentration of ¹³⁷Cs. In fact, the 386

¹³⁷Cs concentration varies by one order of magnitude while the FSS_Arles varies by five orders of
 magnitude (Table 1).

389 To conclude, while the data-driven models can estimate concentrations in the perimeter of this study (i.e. normal condition of nuclear releases) without the need of the ¹³⁷Cs released by nuclear industries 390 391 (as lower than 5% of the sensitivity analysis), the empirical model needs this information which is 392 difficult to obtain. This limitation shows that an AI approach seems to be easier to apply on this catchment to predict particulate ¹³⁷Cs in normal condition and that this could be a useful approach for 393 394 interpreting and supplementing routine monitoring datasets. In case of accidental releases (e.g. 395 concentration several orders of magnitude above those in this study), the AI models will struggle to 396 predict the right concentrations if their training dataset does not incorporate such situations and 397 empirical approaches would then be more useful. However, in this example, the AI approach would 398 reveal an anomaly between the prediction and the measurement, and therefore allow further work to 399 be undertaken to understand the anomaly. Moreover, the AI approach might be used to estimate the quantity of ¹³⁷Cs released using the ¹³⁷Cs concentration in SS as input data. Going further, whatever 400 401 the sediment-bound contaminant of interest, AI seems to be a good tool for predicting concentrations 402 or sources as long as any of this information is available.

403 5. Conclusion

Concentrations of ¹³⁷Cs is suspended sediment near the mouth of the Rhône River were estimated 404 405 using three data-driven models and an empirical approach. Thanks to the long-term monitoring of this 406 radionuclide, the data-driven models were able to accurately predict its concentration with better 407 scores than the empirical approach. These results demonstrate that the use of a basic data-driven 408 model (random forest) allow to obtain a better prediction of this radionuclide with a few diversities of input variables: water discharge and suspended sediment flux, and ¹³⁷Cs release from nuclear facilities. 409 410 In order to improve the prediction, a more complex model was used (HRHN) with an architecture that permit to understand the temporality of the ¹³⁷Cs concentration. In summary, these methods offer 411

412 different means of prediction with greater or lesser advantages. It will then be up to the user to choose413 the most suitable model according to the objectives and the data available.

414 Acknowledgement

415 This study was conducted within the Rhône Sediment Observatory, a multi-partner research program 416 funded through the Plan Rhône by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), Agence de l'eau 417 RMC, CNR, EDF, and three regional councils (Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, Région Sud - PACA, and 418 Occitanie). The post-doctoral position of K. Pele was funded under the ANR TRAJECTOIRE project (ANR-419 19-CE3- 0009, 2020–2024). The authors would like to thank the OSR staff for suspended particulate 420 matter sampling and analytical measurement, especially A. Gruat, F. Thollet, M. Lagouy, P. Paulat, D. 421 Mourrier, F. Giner, F. Eyrolle, C. Antonelli, G. Dur, J. Labille and S. Igguy. We would particularly like to 422 thank the Environmental Control and Impact Assessment Laboratory (LCEI) of the Marcoule center for 423 transmitting information on nuclear releases.

424 Author contributions.

HL conceptualized the study; HL curated the data; VNG, KP and PB performed the modelling; HL
acquired the funds; all authors developed the methodology; HL performed the visualization; all authors
contributed to the original draft of the paper.

428 5.1. Bibliographie

Abiodun, O.I., Jantan, A., Omolara, A.E., Dada, K.V., Mohamed, N.A.E., Arshad, H., 2018. State-of-theart in artificial neural network applications: A survey. Heliyon 4, e00938.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00938

432 Aggarwal, C.C., 2018. Neural Networks and Deep Learning. Springer 10, 978.

Antonelli, C., Eyrolle, F., Rolland, B., Provansal, M., Sabatier, F., 2008. Suspended sediment and 137Cs
fluxes during the exceptional December 2003 flood in the Rhone River, southeast France.

- 435 Geomorphology 95, 350–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.06.007
- Bodereau, N., Delaval, A., Lepage, H., Eyrolle, F., Raimbault, P., Copard, Y., 2022. Hydrological
 classification by clustering approach of time-integrated samples at the outlet of the Rhône River:
- 438 Application to Δ14C-POC. Water Res. 220, 118652.
 439 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118652
- 440 Braga, M.C.B., Birkett, J.W., Shaw, G., Lester, J.N., 2010. Modelling the long-term fate of mercury in a
- 441 Lowland Tidal River. II. Calibration and comparison of two models with field data. Arch. Environ.
- 442 Contam. Toxicol. 58, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-009-9378-8
- 443 Breiman, L., 2001. Random forests. Mach. Learn. 45, 5–32.
- 444 Cho, E., Arhonditsis, G.B., Khim, J., Chung, S., Heo, T.Y., 2016. Modeling metal-sediment interaction
- 445 processes: Parameter sensitivity assessment and uncertainty analysis. Environ. Model. Softw. 80,
- 446 159–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.02.026
- 447 Chollet, F., 2018. Deep learning with Python. Simon and Schuster.
- Ciffroy, P., Benedetti, M., 2018. A comprehensive probabilistic approach for integrating natural
 variability and parametric uncertainty in the prediction of trace metals speciation in surface
 waters. Environ. Pollut. 242, 1087–1097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.07.064
- 451 Ciffroy, P., Garnier, J.M., Khanh Pham, M., 2001. Kinetics of the adsorption and desorption of 452 radionuclides of Co, Mn, Cs, Fe, Ag and Cd in freshwater systems: Experimental and modelling
- 453 approaches. J. Environ. Radioact. 55, 71–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0265-931X(01)00026-1
- 454 Delile, H., Masson, M., Miège, C., Le Coz, J., Poulier, G., Le Bescond, C., Radakovitch, O., Coquery, M.,
- 455 2020. Hydro-climatic drivers of land-based organic and inorganic particulate micropollutant
- 456 fluxes: The regime of the largest river water inflow of the Mediterranean Sea. Water Res. 185,
- 457 116067. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116067

Desai, H.K., Christian, R.A., Banerjee, J., Patra, A.K., 2013. A fuzzy approach for modelling radionuclide
in lake system. J. Environ. Radioact. 124, 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2013.03.010

Dethier, E.N., Renshaw, C.E., Magilligan, F.J., 2020. Toward Improved Accuracy of Remote Sensing
 Approaches for Quantifying Suspended Sediment: Implications for Suspended-Sediment
 Monitoring. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 125. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005033

- 463 Doshi-Velez, F., Kim, B., 2017. Towards A Rigorous Science of Interpretable Machine Learning.
- 464 Dragović, S., 2022. Artificial neural network modeling in environmental radioactivity studies A review.
 465 Sci. Total Environ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157526
- 466 Eyrolle, F., Antonelli, C., Raimbault, P., Boullier, V., Arnaud, M., 2010. SORA: a high frequency flux
 467 monitoring station at the lower Rhône River, in: Proceedings of the 39th CIESM Congress, Venice,
 468 Italy. pp. 10–14.
- 469 Eyrolle, F., Lepage, H., Antonelli, C., Morereau, A., Cossonnet, C., Boyer, P., Gurriaran, R., 2020.
 470 Radionuclides in waters and suspended sediments in the Rhone River (France) Current contents,
- 471 anthropic pressures and trajectories. Sci. Total Environ. 723, 137873.
- 472 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137873
- 473 Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., Courville, A., 2016. Deep Learning. MIT Press.
- 474 Gregorutti, B., Michel, B., Saint-Pierre, P., 2017. Correlation and variable importance in random forests.

475 Stat. Comput. 27, 659–678. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11222-016-9646-1

476 He, Q., Walling, D.E., 1996. Interpreting particle size effects in the adsorption of 137Cs and

- 477 unsupported 210Pb by mineral soils and sediments. J. Environ. Radioact. 30, 117–137.
 478 https://doi.org/10.1016/0265-931X(96)89275-7
- Hilko, O.S., Kundas, S.P., Gishkeluk, I.A., 2012. Radionuclides migration modelling using artificial neural
 networks and parallel computing. Eur. water 39, 3–13.

- 481 Horowitz, A.J., 2009. Monitoring suspended sediments and associated chemical constituents in urban
- 482 environments: Lessons from the city of Atlanta, Georgia, USA water quality monitoring program.

483 J. Soils Sediments 9, 342–363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-009-0092-y

- Hu, X., McIsaac, G.F., David, M.B., Louwers, C.A.L., 2007. Modeling Riverine Nitrate Export from an
 East-Central Illinois Watershed Using SWAT. J. Environ. Qual. 36, 996–1005.
 https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2006.0228
- 487 Ikenoue, T., Shimadera, H., Kondo, A., 2020. Impact of soil erosion potential uncertainties on numerical
 488 simulations of the environmental fate of radiocesium in the Abukuma River basin. J. Environ.

489 Radioact. 225, 106452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2020.106452

- 490 Konoplev, A., Kanivets, V., Laptev, G., Voitsekhovich, O., Zhukova, O., Germenchuk, M., 2020. Long-
- 491 Term Dynamics of the Chernobyl-Derived Radionuclides in Rivers and Lakes. Behav. Radionuclides
 492 Environ. II 323–348. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3568-0_7
- Korobitsyn, B.A., Chukanov, V.N., Yakshina, N. V., 2008. Artificial neural net modeling of the radioactive
 contamination of the Techa River. At. Energy 105, 138–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10512008-9077-y
- Kulahci, F., Özer, A.B., Doğru, M., 2006. Prediction of the radioactivity in Hazar Lake (Sivrice, Turkey)
 by artificial neural networks. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 269, 63–68.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-006-0230-6
- Le Bescond, C., Thollet, F., Poulier, G., Gairoard, S., Lepage, H., Branger, F., Jamet, L., Raidelet, N.,
- 500 Radakovitch, O., Dabrin, A., Coquery, M., Le Coz, J., 2018. From water fluxes to suspended
- 501 particulate matter and associated contaminant fluxes: Management of hydro-sedimentary
- 502 stations on the Rhône River. Houille Blanche 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1051/lhb/2018033
- 503 Lecun, Y., Bengio, Y., Hinton, G., 2015. Deep learning. Nature 521, 436–444.
- Lepage, H., Evrard, O., Onda, Y., Patin, J., Chartin, C., Lefèvre, I., Bonté, P., Ayrault, S., 2014.

- Environmental mobility of110mAg: Lessons learnt from Fukushima accident (Japan) and potential
 use for tracking the dispersion of contamination within coastal catchments. J. Environ. Radioact.
 130, 44–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2013.12.011
- 508 Lepage, H., Gruat, A., Thollet, F., Le Coz, J., Coquery, M., Masson, M., Dabrin, A., Radakovitch, O.,
- 509 Eyrolle, F., Labille, J., Ambrosi, J.-P., Delanghe, D., Raimbault, P., 2021. Concentrations and fluxes
- 510 of suspended particulate matters and associated contaminants in the Rhône River from Lake 511 Geneva to the Mediterranean Sea. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 7, 2369-2384. 512 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2021-350
- Li, L., Jamieson, K., DeSalvo, G., Rostamizadeh, A., Talwalkar, A., 2018. Hyperband: A novel bandit-
- based approach to hyperparameter optimization. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 18, 1–52.
- Lu, H., Li, H., Liu, T., Fan, Y., Yuan, Y., Xie, M., Qian, X., 2019. Simulating heavy metal concentrations in
 an aquatic environment using artificial intelligence models and physicochemical indexes. Sci.
 Total Environ. 694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133591
- Navratil, O., Esteves, M., Legout, C., Gratiot, N., Nemery, J., Willmore, S., Grangeon, T., 2011. Global
 uncertainty analysis of suspended sediment monitoring using turbidimeter in a small
 mountainous river catchment. J. Hydrol. 398, 246–259.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.12.025
- Olivier, J., Carrel, G., Lamouroux, N., Dole-Olivier, M.-J., Malard, F., Bravard, J., Piégay, H., Castella, E.,
 Barthélemy, C., 2022. The Rhône River Basin, in: Rivers of Europe. Elsevier, pp. 393–453.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102612-0.00011-0
- Poulier, G., Launay, M., Le Bescond, C., Thollet, F., Coquery, M., Le Coz, J., 2019. Combining flux
 monitoring and data reconstruction to establish annual budgets of suspended particulate matter,
 mercury and PCB in the Rhône River from Lake Geneva to the Mediterranean Sea. Sci. Total
 Environ. 658, 457–473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.075

- Raimbault, P., Lagadec, V., Garcia, N., 2014. Water sample analyses MOOSE Rhone river. SEDOO
 OMP. https://doi.org/10.6096/MISTRALS-MOOSE.767
- Roussel-Debel, S., Renaud, P., Métivier, J.M., 2007. 137Cs in French soils: Deposition patterns and 15year evolution. Sci. Total Environ. 374, 388–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.12.037
- 533 Shuryak, I., 2023. Analysis of causal effects of 137 Cs deposition on 137 Cs concentrations in trees after
- the Fukushima accident using machine learning. J. Environ. Radioact. 264, 107205.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2023.107205
- 536 Shuryak, I., 2022. Machine learning analysis of 137Cs contamination of terrestrial plants after the
- 537 Fukushima accident using the random forest algorithm. J. Environ. Radioact. 241, 106772.
- 538 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2021.106772
- Skwarzec, B., Kabat, K., Astel, A., 2009. Seasonal and spatial variability of 210Po, 238U and 239+240Pu
 levels in the river catchment area assessed by application of neural-network based classification.
- 541 J. Environ. Radioact. 100, 167–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2008.11.007
- 542 Syvitski, J.P.M., Vörösmarty, C.J., Kettner, A.J., Green, P., 2005. Impact of humans on the flux of 543 terrestrial sediment to the global coastal ocean. Science (80-.). 308, 376–380. 544 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1109454
- Tao, Y., Ma, L., Zhang, W., Liu, J., Liu, W., Du, Q., 2018. Hierarchical Attention-Based Recurrent Highway
 Networks for Time Series Prediction.
- 547 Thollet, F., Le Bescond, C., Lagouy, M., Gruat, A., Grisot, G., Le Coz, J., Coquery, M., Lepage, H.,
- 548 Gairoard, S., Gattacceca, J.C., Ambrosi, J.-P., Radakovitch, O., Dur, G., Richard, L., Giner, F., Eyrolle,
- 549 F., Angot, H., Mourier, D., Bonnefoy, A., Dugué, V., Launay, M., Troudet, L., Labille, J., Kieffer, L.,
- 550 2021. Observatoire des sédiments du Rhône. https://doi.org/10.17180/OBS.OSR
- 551 Thollet, Fabien, Rousseau, C., Camenen, B., Boubkraoui, S., Branger, F., Lauters, F., Némery, J., 2021.
- 552 Long term high frequency sediment observatory in an alpine catchment: The Arc-Isère rivers,

France. Hydrol. Process. 35, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14044 553

554	Tomczak, W., Boyer, P., Eyrolle, F., Radakovitch, O., Krimissa, M., Lepage, H., Amielh, M., Anselmet, F.,
555	2021. Modelling of solid / liquid fractionation of trace metals for suspended sediments according
556	to the hydro-sedimentary conditions of rivers - Application to 137Cs in the Rhône River (France).
557	Environ. Model. Softw. 145, 105211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2021.105211
558	Tomczak, W., Boyer, P., Krimissa, M., Radakovitch, O., 2019. Kd distributions in freshwater systems as
559	a function of material type, mass-volume ratio, dissolved organic carbon and pH. Appl.
560	Geochemistry 105, 68–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2019.04.003
561	Tutu, H., Cukrowska, E.M., Dohnal, V., Havel, J., 2005. Application of artificial neural networks for
562	classification of uranium distribution in the Central Rand goldfield, South Africa. Environ. Model.
563	Assess. 10, 143–152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10666-005-0214-x
564	Wei, P., Lu, Z., Song, J., 2015. Variable importance analysis: A comprehensive review. Reliab. Eng. Syst.
565	Saf. 142, 399–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2015.05.018
566	WFD, 2000. Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council 2000/60/EC. Establishing a
567	Framework for Community Action in the Field of Water Policy. Off. J. Eur. Parliam. L327, 1–82.
568	Yang, Y.S., Wang, L., 2010. A review of modelling tools for implementation of the EU water framework
569	directive in handling diffuse water pollution. Water Resour. Manag. 24, 1819–1843.
570	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-009-9526-y
571	Yaseen, Z.M., 2021. An insight into machine learning models era in simulating soil, water bodies and
572	adsorption heavy metals: Review, challenges and solutions. Chemosphere 277, 130126.
573	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130126
574	Ye, Z., Yang, J., Zhong, N., Tu, X., Jia, J., Wang, J., 2020. Tackling environmental challenges in pollution
575	controls using artificial intelligence: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 699, 134279.
576	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134279

- Zheleznyak, M., Kivva, S., Pylypenko, O., Sorokin, M., 2022. Modeling of Behavior of Fukushima-Derived
 Radionuclides in Freshwater Systems. Behav. Radionuclides Environ. III 199–252.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6799-2_11
- Zhu, S., Zhang, Z., Žagar, D., 2018. Mercury transport and fate models in aquatic systems: A review and
 synthesis. Sci. Total Environ. 639, 538–549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.397
- 582 Zilly, J.G., Srivastava, R.K., Koutnik, J., Schmidhuber, J., 2017. Recurrent highway networks. 34th Int.
- 583 Conf. Mach. Learn. ICML 2017 8, 6346–6357.
- 584 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1607.03474

585

Figure 1: Location of the monitoring stations and the nuclear facilities within the French part of the Rhône River downstream Lake Geneva and inventory of ¹³⁷Cs estimated at the date of may 1986 (Roussel-Debel et al., 2007).

Figure 2: Concentration of particulate ¹³⁷Cs measured at Arles during the studied period.

Figure 3: (A) Mean hourly water discharge and (B) suspended sediment concentration at the monitored stations during the studied period.

Figure 4: (A) measured (black) and modeled (grey) concentration of particulate 137 Cs and (B) relation between measure and modelling on the test sub-datasets. For the HRHN approach, the 16th firsts values of the train (2010 – 2014) and the test (2015 – 2019) datasets were used as historic in the input dataset and not reported on the B graphic.

Figure 5: Sensitivity (%) of the input variables for the different approaches.

Figure 6: (A) measured and modelled annual flux of ¹³⁷Cs including only periods with suspended sediment sampling and (B) estimation of the total flux in 2015 with completion of the periods without sampling by the four models.

Figure 7: (A) mean annual flux of water and suspended sediment for the 5 stations and (B) their relation between the water discharge and the suspended sediment flux.













