Physical mechanisms of red blood cell splenic filtration
Alexis Moreau, François Yaya, Huije Lu, Anagha Surendranath, Anne Charrier, Benoit Dehapiot, Emmanuèle Helfer, Annie Viallat, Zhangli Peng

To cite this version:
Alexis Moreau, François Yaya, Huije Lu, Anagha Surendranath, Anne Charrier, et al.. Physical mechanisms of red blood cell splenic filtration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2023, 10.1101/2023.01.10.523245. hal-04207578

HAL Id: hal-04207578
https://hal.science/hal-04207578
Submitted on 14 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Physical mechanisms of red blood cell splenic filtration
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The splenic interendothelial slits fulfill the essential function of continuously filtering red blood cells (RBCs) from the bloodstream to eliminate abnormal and aged cells. To date, the process by which 8 \textmu m RBCs pass through 0.3 \textmu m-wide slits remains enigmatic. Does the slit caliber increase during RBC passage as sometimes suggested? Here, we elucidated the mechanisms that govern the RBC retention or passage dynamics in slits by combining multiscale modeling, live imaging, and microfluidic experiments on an original device with submicron wide physiologically calibrated slits. We observed that healthy RBCs pass through 0.28 \textmu m-wide rigid slits at 37°C. To achieve this feat, they must meet two requirements. Geometrically, their surface area-to-volume ratio must be compatible with a shape in two tether-connected equal spheres. Mechanically, the cells with a low surface area-to-volume ratio (28 \% of RBCs in a 0.4 \textmu m-wide slit) must locally unfold their spectrin cytoskeleton inside the slit. In contrast, activation of the mechanosensitive PIEZO1 channel is not required. The RBC transit time through the slits follows a -1 and -3 power law with in-slit pressure drop and slip width, respectively. This law is similar to that of a Newtonian fluid in a 2D Poiseuille flow, showing that the dynamics of RBCs is controlled by their cytoplasmic viscosity. Altogether, our results show that filtration through submicron-wide slits is possible without further slit opening. Furthermore, our approach addresses the critical need for in-vitro evaluation of splenic clearance of diseased or engineered RBCs for transfusion and drug delivery.

Significance Statement

Splenic filtration of red blood cells through narrow interendothelial slits remains poorly understood despite its physiological significance as experiments and imaging of red cells passing through the slits are lacking. Here, we coupled live imaging, biomimetic submicron-fluidics, and multiscale modeling to quantify passage conditions. Remarkably, healthy 8-\textmu m RBCs can pass through 0.28-\textmu m slits at body temperature. This event is conditioned to cells being able to deform into two tether-connected equal spheres and, in limiting cases, to unfold their spectrin cytoskeleton. We showed that cells behave like a Newtonian fluid and that their dynamics is controlled by the inner fluid viscosity. We thus propose an in-vitro and in-silico approach to quantify splenic clearance of diseased cells and cells engineered for transfusion and drug delivery.

\textsuperscript{1}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: annie.viallat@univ-amu.fr, zhp@uic.edu

The authors declare no competing interest.
Here, we couple a unique in-vitro microfluidic technique to a multiscale in-silico RBC model that enables a quantitative approach of the mechanisms of passage of RBCs through interendothelial slits. The in-vitro technique allows the observation of the dynamics of passage of RBCs in slits of physiological dimensions, namely a submicron width, under tunable external stresses and slit sizes. The in-silico RBC model is implemented in a dynamic and a quasi-static versions. The dynamic version is integrated with a boundary integral simulation of surrounding flows to resolve the full fluid-cell interactions during this passage process, while the quasi-static version is done in commercial software ABAQUS (23) (see Materials and Methods and SI Appendix, Section 2).

We showed that red blood cells are capable of amazing extreme deformations allowing them to pass through rigid slits as narrow as 0.28 \( \mu \text{m} \) under a pressure drop of 500 Pa at body temperature, but not at room temperature. We first showed that, to cross the slits, the surface/volume ratio of individual cells must be sufficient to form two tether-connected equal spheres. Second, there is a threshold in the surface area-to-volume ratio, set by slit dimensions, in-slit pressure drop and temperature, below which RBCs must locally remodel by unfolding their spectrin network to pass through the slit. Finally, we showed that the transit time is mainly governed by the cytoplasmic viscosity and we quantitatively predicted this time as a function of the cell mechanical properties and external parameters: pressure drop, slit size, and temperature.

**Results**

**In-vitro and in-silico observations: a quantitative approach.**

The in-vitro experiments and in-silico simulations were performed by varying the size of the slits and the pressure drop applied across the slits in the physiological range (9, 24). In-vivo measurements by Atkinson et al. (24) showed that intrasinusoidal pressures ranged between 260 and 1600 Pa. Accordingly, the in-slit pressure drop was varied between 200 and 2000 Pa and the width \( W \), length \( L \) and depth \( D \) of the slits were chosen in the range 0.28-1.1 \( \mu \text{m} \), 1.3-3 \( \mu \text{m} \), 4.7-5.4 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively. The temperature was varied between 15°C and 37°C. All performed experiments are summarized in SI Appendix, Table S1. Experiments are illustrated in Fig. 1 and in SI Appendix, Movies S1 to S6. Figure 1A shows the schematics of an RBC approaching a slit entrance. Figure 1B shows first an electronic microscopy image of a 0.28-\( \mu \text{m} \) wide bridge on which a 0.28-\( \mu \text{m} \) wide slit is molded, and superimposed images extracted from SI Appendix, Movie S1 that illustrate the typical behavior of RBCs in slits. After exit, RBCs relax to their initial shape without apparent damage. Figure 1C discloses experimental timelapses showing two transiting cells, one with a classical dumbbell shape within the slit and the second one presenting a transient tip at its front, together with numerical simulations (see SI Appendix, Movies S2 and S3 of typical RBCs with dumbbell and tip shapes, respectively). The agreement between experiments and numerical simulations is remarkable, both on the similarity of cell shapes and on the full transit time of the cells. For each experiment several tens of RBCs were observed in the slits, allowing to measure each cell shape and transit time, and to quantify the retention rate of the observed RBC population. Most numerical simulations were done using an RBC standard shape, which is obtained by analyzing all the volumes and surface areas measured by many groups in the literature (SI Appendix, Table S2).

**RBCs pass through 0.28-\( \mu \text{m} \) wide slits at 37°C.** We first aimed to determine whether RBCs could pass through the narrowest slits measured by electron microscopy. We prepared slits of 0.28 \( \mu \text{m} \) in width (\( L = 1.9 \mu \text{m} \), \( D = 5.0 \mu \text{m} \)) and we observed that almost 100% of RBCs successfully passed through these
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**Fig. 1.** Principle of the experiment and typical behaviors of RBCs in submicron-wide slits. (A) Schematics of an RBC approaching a slit. The x, y and z axes indicate the flow direction, width, and depth of the channel, respectively. (B) RBCs can pass through 0.28-\( \mu \text{m} \) wide slits. Left: Electron microscopy image of a 0.28-\( \mu \text{m} \) wide silicon bridge (in light grey) used for molding 0.28-\( \mu \text{m} \) wide slits; scale bar: 1 \( \mu \text{m} \). Right: Superimposed images of an RBC (in red) passing through a 0.28 \( \times \) 1.87 \( \times \) 5.0 \( \mu \text{m}^3 \) slit (bottom view in the XY plane); the images are extracted from Movie S1; scale bar: 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). (C) Experimental observations (top rows) and numerical simulations (bottom rows) of transiting RBCs displaying dumbbell and tip shapes at slit exit, in 0.74 \( \times \) 2.12 \( \times \) 4.80 \( \mu \text{m}^3 \) (top panel) and 0.86 \( \times \) 2.75 \( \times \) 4.70 \( \mu \text{m}^3 \) (bottom panel) slits, respectively. Time in ms. Scale bars: 10 \( \mu \text{m} \).
slits at 37°C under a physiological pressure of 490 Pa. Even under an in-slit pressure drop as low as 190 Pa, no retention was observed in a slit of 0.37 μm (L = 1.4 μm and D = 5.4 μm) that we will consider in the following as the ‘reference slit’. However, at room temperature, retention was observed in slits of width less than 0.5 μm. Typically, 20% of cells are retained in a slit of 0.42 μm in width (L = 2.2 μm, D = 5.4 μm) under an in-slit pressure drop of 400 Pa (see SI Appendix, Table S1).

In addition to the impressive narrowness of the slits that RBCs are able to pass through, it is interesting to note the difference between the retention rate of RBCs observed at room temperature and at 37°C. As retention of an RBC results from its too low ability to change its shape under an applied pressure, this suggests that there is a thermally activated mechanism responsible for an increase of RBC deformability at 37°C.

**Mechanisms of retention/passage and deformation of RBCs.**

It is well established (25) that, under an external force, cell deformation occurs primarily by two mechanisms. The first mechanism is the redistribution of its volume enclosed in the inextensible lipid membrane, at constant surface area S, and volume V. The higher the sphericity index of the RBC, defined as \( SI = \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{V^3}{S^2} \), the lower its ability to deform. Indeed, a perfect sphere of \( SI = 1 \) cannot deform at constant surface area and volume. The second mechanism is the local resistance of the elastic spectrin network to shear and stretch, and the resistance of the membrane to adopt acute curvatures, so that not all geometric shapes compatible with a given surface area-to-volume ratio are accessible to the cell. The accessible shapes depend on the applied mechanical load.

**Geometrical aspects: the surface area of an RBC allows it to deform into two tether-connected equal spheres.** As Canham and Burton (26) pointed out years ago, the purely geometric conditions for an RBC to pass through a slit of any width, length, and depth are that the cell has enough surface area to be able to form two spheres on either side of the slit opening, connected by an ‘infinitely’ thin tether (Fig. 2A). It is then the cell is equally divided into two equal spheres on both sides of the slit that the surface area is maximum for a given cell volume. This configuration is the one with the highest sphericity index allowing the passage of an RBC through a slit, regardless of the slit dimensions. We name it two-tether-connected equal sphere model, or two equal-sphere model in this study. A simple calculation of the relation between the surface area \( S \) and the volume \( V \) of two equal spheres gives the relation \( S = \frac{(72\pi V^2)^{1/3}}{2} = 6.09 V^{2/3} \) with a sphericity index \( SI = 0.7937 \). This shape is however unrealistic. It ignores the membrane/cytoskeleton rigidity, which resists to curvature, shear and stretch in the tether, and the non-zero thickness of the tether, which consists of two membrane bilayers and the inner hemoglobin solution. These points will be discussed in the next section. Nevertheless, the effective cell deformation observed experimentally and numerically (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2B-E) shows the extreme ability of RBCs to deform and approach the two-tether-connected equal sphere shape. Indeed, as seen in Fig. 2B (bottom view of an RBC in a slit), the projections of the rear and front parts of the RBC, upstream and downstream of the slit respectively, form an arc of a circle whose radius is equal to half the slit depth (Fig. 2C). This shows that the RBC has a spherical cap upstream and downstream of the slit.

In addition, our numerical simulations that visualize the shape of the RBC inside the slit clearly show that the cell forms a narrow neck that does not fill the entire slit’s depth (Fig. 2D). Confocal imaging of RBCs in slits confirms the existence of
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modulus of the bilayer $K$, and the force necessary to unfold half of the spectrin domains of a spectrin tetramer $F_{1/2}$ ($F_{1/2} = 10 \text{ pN}$ and $K = 450 \text{ mN/m at } 25^\circ\text{C}$; $F_{1/2} = 1 \text{ pN}$ and $K = 375 \text{ mN/m at } 37^\circ\text{C}$) (2, 28–31). Regarding the $F_{1/2}$ force, the meshes of the spectrin network can indeed distend very significantly when a mechanical stress is applied to the RBC membrane (28). This phenomenon is due to the unfolding of domains in spectrin molecules subjected to an external force, whose minimum value required for unfolding depends strongly on the temperature (29). The computed variations of $S_m$ with $V$ are shown in Fig. 3A-B, together with the experimental data from Gifford et al. (27) corrected for the possible 2%-membrane area extension without lysis, and, at $37^\circ\text{C}$, 2%-thermal dilation of the lipid bilayer. We focus on Gifford’s data as the microfluidic technique to extract $S$ and $V$ is considered more accurate. At $25^\circ\text{C}$, when $F_{1/2}$ and $K$ are set to 10 pN and 450 mN/m, respectively, (32), we clearly observe in Fig. 3A that the numerical curve $S_m(V)$ (blue solid line) significantly cuts the experimental $S(V)$ curve. On the other hand, at $37^\circ\text{C}$ (Fig. 3B), when $F_{1/2}$ and $K$ are set to 1 pN and 375 mN/m, respectively, the vast majority of the $(S,V)$-experimental data are above the numerical curve (red solid line). To estimate the RBC population that can pass through a slit without needing to unfold spectrin domains, we applied the previous calculation for a very high unfolding energy, inaccessible to the cell, i.e., $F_{1/2} = 100 \text{ pN}$. The corresponding $S_m(V)$ curves are shown in dashed blue at $25^\circ\text{C}$ and dashed red at $37^\circ\text{C}$. Above the dashed curves, RBCs have therefore sufficient surface area to pass through the slits without unfolding spectrin domains. Between solid and dashed curves, RBCs must locally unfold spectrin domains, mainly inside the slit when the cell forms a neck (grey zones in Fig. 3C) (2, 28–31). Regarding the $S(V)$-curves are shown in dashed blue at $25^\circ\text{C}$ and dashed red at $37^\circ\text{C}$. At $25^\circ\text{C}$ (Figure 3A), 50% of RBCs are retained, 11% pass with unfolded spectrin domains and 39% pass without spectrin unfolding. Spectrin unfolding therefore rarely occurs a $25^\circ\text{C}$ due to the high value of $F_{1/2}$, while at $37^\circ\text{C}$ (Figure 3B), 28% pass with unfolded spectrin domains, 62% pass without spectrin unfolding and only 10% of RBCs are retained. In another word, if no spectrin unfolding was allowed, then the retention rate would be 38% rather than 10% at $37^\circ\text{C}$. It is worth noting that the RBC percentage requiring spectrin domains unfolding depends on slit dimensions, in-slit pressure drop and temperature. Both simulated behaviors are in full agreement with our experimental observations of retention in the reference slit at both room temperature and $37^\circ\text{C}$. In Fig. 3D, the minimal pressure drop $\Delta P$, required for an RBC to pass through the reference slit is calculated and plotted versus the cell sphericity index $SI$. Interestingly, we observe that RBCs of $SI < 0.768$ are able to pass through the reference slit under a physiological pressure $\Delta P = 500 \text{ Pa}$ (24) although the RBCs must be much flippier to pass through it at $25^\circ\text{C}$ ($SI < 0.75$). Figure 3E illustrates the critical pressure drop required for an RBC with a standard shape to pass through a slit of length and depth equal to those of the reference slit as a function of the slit width. Although the critical pressure drops are similar for large slits at both $25^\circ\text{C}$ and $37^\circ\text{C}$, they are much higher at $25^\circ\text{C}$ than at $37^\circ\text{C}$ for the narrowest slits. It should be noted that the experimental points linearly extrapolated from the dynamic data described below are well within the range of values of the numerical predictions, which further
fig. 3. In-slit mechanisms of RBC deformation. (A-B) RBC corrected surface area from Ref. (27) (open circles) and computed minimal surface area required to transit through a 0.38×1.3×4.7 μm² slit at ΔP = 500 Pa (solid and dashed lines) versus RBC volume at 25°C (A, blue lines) and 37°C (B, red lines), with the two equal-sphere model (green solid line). (C) RBC deformation (principal stretch in color scale) and spectrin unfolding (region with spectrin unfolding is marked in grey), calculated in ABAQUS computation. The volume and surface area of the RBC are 97.9 μm³ and 135.1 μm² which is close to the red solid curve in B. The slit dimensions are 0.38×1.3×4.7 μm², and temperature is 37°C. (D) Critical pressure drop ΔPc required to pass through the reference slit versus sphericity index at 25°C and 37°C. The black dashed line represents the average physiological pressure of 500 Pa, and the blue and red arrows represent the maximal sphericity index needed to pass through under this physiological pressure. (E) Critical pressure drop ΔPc versus slit width W (L = 1.3 μm, D = 4.7 μm) for the RBC standard shape. Experimental data were obtained from measurements performed at 37 °C of the RBC transit time (t) through slits of varying width under different applied pressure drops, by linearly extrapolating the curves 1/t versus ΔP at 1/t = 0 (see Fig. 5A). (F) RBC shapes and surface tension (color scale) in a 0.80×2.0×5.0 μm² slit under an in-slit pressure drop of 350 Pa for various surface area-to-volume ratios (unit: 1/μm).

strengthen our numerical approach. The simulations show that the differences of behaviors observed between the two temperatures are mainly due to the F1/2 contribution rather than bilayer area modulus K as shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S1. Our in-silico approach suggests that the cell to unfold spectrin domains is regularly tested by interendothelial slits.

Next, we tested the role of membrane rigidity by treating RBCs with 1 mM diamide, which increases the shear modulus and viscosity of the cell membrane (33, 34), and observing their passage through 0.61×2.01×5.0 μm³ slits. No major change was observed in the retention rate, which remained below 10% (see SI Appendix, Table S1). This result is in line with those reported on rat studies (with sinusoidal spleen like human), ex-vivo isolated-perfused human study (34) and clinical observations on Southeast Asian ovalocytosis patients (35), which indicates that decrease in membrane deformability does not strongly affect the RBC ability to cross the splenic slits. We also conducted numerical simulations to predict the effects of increased membrane viscoelasticity due to diamide treatment. For the control case, the membrane viscoelasticity is modeled using a multiscale model described in SI Appendix, giving an initial shear modulus of the cytoskeleton μo = 9 pN/μm. The characteristic time t_c = η_m/μo is chosen as 0.1 s as measured in experiments (2), where η_m = 0.9 pN·s/μm is the membrane viscosity. For the diamide-treated case, we increased both the initial shear modulus and membrane viscosity by 10 times (33, 34) while keeping t_c, F1/2, and K unchanged. We found that the critical pressure for the cell to pass the slit is almost the same in the control and diamide-treated cases, which is consistent with our previous numerical study (18) and experimental studies by others (34). Finally, we characterized the role of SI on the shape of transiting RBCs. Figure 3F shows the local membrane tension of an RBC of volume 94 μm³ at a given position in a 0.80×2.0×5.0 μm² slit as it passes through under an in-slit pressure drop of 350 Pa. The surface area-to-volume ratio was varied from 1.39/μm to 1.75/μm (SI from 0.776 to 0.617) by increasing the surface area while keeping a fixed volume. It clearly shows that the membrane tension is maximal in both RBC bulges when SI is high, but when SI is lower than 0.74 (surface area-to-volume ratio of 1.44/μm), the membrane tension in the downstream part of the cell is low. For the most deflated cells, we even see that the membrane tension is negative in the downstream part, which induces a curvature inversion in the front of the cell, generating tips that are observed experimentally (Fig. 1C).

It is worth noting that the membrane tension range does not exceed a few hundreds mN/mm, 20 times below the RBC lysis tension (2).

No calcium-related active process is detected. It is now known that the RBC membrane contains a mechanosensitive ion channel, PIEZO1, which opens upon cell membrane stretching, inducing an internal influx of calcium from the cell environ-
In conclusion, no specific calcium entry that could be attributed to PIEZO1 is observed during the RBC passage through the slits. One reason could be that PIEZO1-mediated calcium entry occurs within seconds, as suggested in Ref. (36), which is much longer than the transit times measured here (about 100 ms).

**Dynamics of transit.** Transit times are fast, sub-second, from 0.46 s in the 0.28 µm-wide slit under 500 Pa to 1.9 ms in the widest slits (W = 1.09) and 0.86 µm under 660 Pa and 1200 Pa, respectively (see SI Appendix, Table S1 for a summary of measured transit times). These values, observed under in-slit pressure drops of 500 Pa or less, including rare long events, are in the range of those observed by intravital microscopic videorecordings of Ringer-perfused mouse spleens (42). For each slit size, a few rare long events are observed (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). The variation of the inverse of the median transit time, 1/t, i.e., a quantity that is an effective velocity across the slit, varies linearly with the in-slit pressure drop in the range of 200 Pa to 2000 Pa for slit widths from 0.28 to 1.09 µm and at the three temperatures we studied, 15°C, 22°C, and 37°C (Fig. 5A for 37°C and SI Appendix, Figs. S4 and S5 for 22°C and 15°C, respectively). Numerical simulations of transit times were performed in the same range of pressure drops and temperatures and for slits wider than 0.6 µm to ensure reasonable simulation times. The quantitative agreement between experimental and numerical values is excellent for all slit dimensions, pressures and temperatures (Fig. 5A and SI Appendix, Figs. S4 and S5). Note that the viscosity of the inner hemoglobin solution used for the numerical simulations are 6.9, 9.7, and 17 mPa.s at 37°C, 22°C, and 15°C, respectively. These values are in the range of those recently found by using molecular rotors as intracellular probes of RBCs (43). The transit times of diamide-treated RBCs were explored under different pressure drops. The RBC passage is clearly slowed down (see SI Appendix, Fig. S6), being the signature of an increase in viscosity, likely in membrane viscosity as diamide is known to not increase the cytoplasmic viscosity (33, 44). Indeed, numerical simulations fit well the experimental data by using an initial cytoskeleton shear modulus μ0 = 90 pN/µm and a membrane viscosity ηm = 9 pN·s/µm (tc = 0.1 s), which are 10 times those of the control case without diamide (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), while increasing μ0 alone didn’t slow down the passage. It is interesting to note that our results strongly suggest that diamide treatment not only alters membrane shear modulus but also membrane viscosity, which is consistent with the existing studies (33, 44). The role of the cytoplasmic viscosity was also explored by performing experiments with RBCs suspended in hypertonic solution (400 and 500 mOsm) to decrease their volume in order to increase their inner hemoglobin concentration and, by consequence, their cytoplasmic viscosity. The two factors (volume and cytoplasmic viscosity) act in opposite ways on cell transit time. On the one hand, a smaller RBC volume passes through the slit faster. On the other hand, the increased cytoplasmic viscosity results in a longer transit time. We show in SI Appendix, Fig. S7 that the viscosity effect dominates because the transit time of cells suspended in a hyper-osmotic medium increases. We also carried out a parametric study on how quantitatively the transit time changes with the increased mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) and decreased volume under hypertonic conditions using numerical simulations. The increased hemoglobin solution viscosity ηHb with elevated MCHC was calculated using...
the Ross and Minton model (\(I_{Hb} = \eta_0 \exp \left( -\frac{0.036MCHC}{\eta_0} \right) \)) based on experimental data (see (SI Appendix, Fig. S8), where \(\eta_0 = 0.7 \text{ mPa}\cdot\text{s}\) is the water solvent viscosity at body temperature. From these simulations, we estimated the MCHC that leads to experimentally measured transit time (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). For example, the MCHCs at 400 and 500 mOsm were estimated as 38.9 and 42.2 g/dL, which are consistent with existing measurements of MCHC at different osmolarities (46).

To test the possible role of PIEZO1 and Gardos channel activation on the dynamics of RBCs in slits, experiments were also conducted in the presence of 1 mM calcium in the suspension buffer and then adding 5 \(\mu\)M of the PIEZO1 inhibitor, GSMTX4, or 10 \(\mu\)M of the Gardos inhibitor, TRAM34. We observed a linear behavior of the inverse of the transit time with applied pressure drop similar to the control conditions without inhibitors, as shown for two slit sizes (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). Thus, in our experimental conditions, we do not see any significant impact of the channels’ inhibitors on the transit times.

From the linear fits of \(1/t_t\) versus \(\Delta P\) (Fig. 5A), two parameters were extracted. The first one is a dynamical critical pressure drop that we take as the value of the pressure drop of the fitted line extrapolated at \(1/t_t = 0\). This value gives only an order of magnitude of the critical pressure drop required for an RBC to pass through the considered slit because i) this value is extrapolated from the median values of the transit times at a given pressure and, as we showed in Fig. 3D from ABAQUS computations, the critical pressure drop strongly depends on \(S_I\) of individual RBCs, and ii) the relationship between \(1/t_t\) and \(\Delta P\) is probably non-linear at low \(\Delta P\) values when the effect of membrane stress becomes more important. Despite these limitations, the values of the dynamical critical pressure drops we extrapolated from \(1/t_t\) versus \(\Delta P\) variations are in the same range as those calculated from ABAQUS computations (Fig. 3E). This confirms the force of our coupled experimental/numerical approach. The second parameter we extracted is the slope of the \(1/t_t\) versus \(\Delta P\) linear variations. This slope, \(S_I = \frac{1}{t(t(\Delta P - \Delta P_c))}\), is plotted in Fig. 5B versus the slit width \(W\) in a log-log representation. The \(S_I\) versus \(W\) variations are well fitted by a power law of exponent 3. An identical result is obtained at 22°C and 15°C (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). It shows the very strong dependence of the RBC dynamics to the slit width.

It is interesting to note that the dynamic behavior of RBCs is similar to that of a 2D Poiseuille flow with a Newtonian fluid (47). Let’s consider a 2D flow with a volume \(V\) and interior viscosity \(\eta_I\) passing from the left to the right of a slit of width \(W\) and depth \(D\). To derive a simplified closed form estimation of the transit time \(t_t\) of this process, we consider it as a 2D Poiseuille flow with a depth of \(D\). The pressure drop is \(\Delta P = \frac{12LqQ}{W^2D} = \frac{12LqV}{W^2D} \) \((47)\), where \(Q\) is the flow rate and \(v\) is the volume of the RBCs on the right side of the slit, which is almost zero in the beginning and becomes \(V\) in the end of the process. Integrating the equation as \(\int_0^{t_t} \Delta Pdt = \int_0^V \frac{12LqQ}{W^2D} \) \(dV\), we get \(\Delta P \cdot t_t = \frac{12LqV}{W^2D} \). This relationship is in excellent agreement with our experimental observations of the dependence of transit time \(t_t\) on \(\Delta P\) (Fig. 5A) and \(W\) (Fig. 5B), although in this simplified analysis we ignored the effect of membrane stress, the pressure drop of the flow entering the slit (Sampson/Roscoe flow (48)), the short time for an RBC to enter and leave the slit in the beginning and the end of the process, and the contribution from the much less viscous exterior fluid. This agreement strongly indicates that it is the inner hemoglobin solution that mainly governs the RBC dynamics through a slit of given dimension. Finally, Fig. 5B clearly shows that the passage dynamics of RBCs through the slits is not affected either by the presence of calcium in the suspension or by the inhibitors of PIEZO1 or Gardos channels. These results reinforce the idea that potential activation of PIEZO1 in the slits does not play a significant role in the passage of RBCs through the splenic slits, either in terms of retention or passage dynamics.

### Discussion and conclusion

The first splenic selection of RBC deformability is of geometrical origin. The strong dumbbell cell deformation with a very thin neck inside the slit and spherical caps at the entrance...
and exit of the slit that we evidenced indeed requires that the RBC surface area-to-volume ratio be higher than that of two equal spheres. This is observed, strikingly, on circulating RBCs. Even more striking is that the surface area-to-volume ratio of circulating RBCs is not more than 15% larger than that of two equal spheres. Thus, there is no additional benefit to having more excess surface area than that required to pass through the slits. Yet, a greater excess of surface area would decrease the membrane tension and limit the need for spectrin unfolding in the slits. This is not the case retained by Nature, maybe because an excess of surface area has a high biological cost or is quickly lost by membrane vesiculation as RBCs pass through the slits. The second splenic selection of RBC deformability is of mechanical origin. We targeted the two identified pathways potentially able to dynamically modify RBC mechanical properties. The first pathway involves the mechanosensitive PIEZO1 channel known to participate in regulating RBC volume. Our experimental results strongly suggest that no PIEZO1 effect is involved in the RBC passage. This may be due to the too short transit times of RBCs through the slits that do not allow a significant calcium influx. Indeed, most RBC transit times were less than one hundred milliseconds under physiological conditions in agreement with those previously observed for mice (42). The second pathway involves the spectrin network, which underlies the RBC membrane and is known to unfold under an external mechanical stress. We used an in-silico approach, as to our knowledge there is no direct method for visualizing spectrin unfolding in non-modified living RBCs (28). It shows that local spectrin unfolding within the narrowest slits is crucial for the passage of RBCs with less surface area. We emphasize that our coupled experimental/simulation approach is quantitative and can address the critical need for in-vitro assessment of splenic clearance of diseased or modified RBCs for transfusion and drug delivery. In addition, our approach provides a basis for further developments, including the study of RBC pitting, an important function of the spleen where vacuoles, bodies, or even malaria-causing parasites internalized in RBCs are expelled from the host cell during slit passage. Finally, the enucleation of the RBC erythroid precursor during bone marrow egress could also be explored using the approach presented here.

Materials and Methods

More details are available in SI Appendix.

Blood samples and buffers. Human RBCs were obtained from voluntary healthy donors who were fully informed regarding the purposes of the study and gave their consent. All blood samples were de-identified prior to use in the study. RBCs were used within 6 hrs, except for spherocytic and sickle RBCs which were delivered 1 day after harvesting from patients. RBCs were stored in DPBS-G (Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline solution (Gibco) + glucose) at a volume fraction (hematocrit, Hct) of 50%, and 10% plasma. Membrane rigidity was increased with 1 mM diamide with 5 µM GeMTx-4 (Alomone Labs) and 10 µM TRAM34 (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively. RBC membrane and intracellular calcium were labelled using CellTrace™ Yellow and Fluo-4 AM (ThermoFisher), respectively.

Microfluidic device fabrication. The microfluidic device was made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard 184, Dow Corning) and consisted of a PDMS chip, molded from a silicon master, and covalently assembled to a PDMS-coated glass coverslip. The silicon master mold was fabricated following a protocol adapted from the procedure described in Ref. (49).

Flow experiments and microscopy. The microfluidic device was placed on an inverted microscope (Olympus IX71) equipped with 20×/60×/100× objectives, temperature controller, and cameras. The device surfaces were coated with 1% BSA before injection of 1% Hct suspensions. The flow of the RBC suspension was pressure-controlled (MFCS-8C, Fluigent). Typically, RBCs were observed in brightfield microscopy and movies were acquired at high frame rate using a high-speed camera (Fastcam Mini camera, Photron). For measurement of intracellular calcium, RBCs were observed at low frame rate in epifluorescence with the 100× objective using an sCMOS camera (Neo 5.5, Andor). 3D-imaging of RBCs in slits was performed using a benchtop confocal microscope equipped with a 60× objective (BC43, Andor). For each microfluidic chip and each experiment, streamlines, pressure drop, and fluid velocity in the slits were calculated using COMSOL® software (see SI Appendix, Fig. S11).

Video analysis. Analysis of RBC retention was done using FIJI software (ImageJ): retained RBCs were counted with respect to the total of retained and passing RBCs. The retention rate was considered as significant for a percentage of retained RBCs higher than 10% in a population of at least 50 RBCs. Analysis of RBC transit through slits was carried out either manually (FIJI) or using a custom Matlab routine: the transit time was measured as the time spent by an RBC in contact with the slit. Analysis of RBC intracellular calcium was done using FIJI: the calcium fluorescence intensity was measured over the RBC projected area upstream of, within, and downstream of the slit.

Dynamic and quasi-static simulations of RBCs passing through slits. For dynamic simulations, we applied an in-house code with coupled finite element (FEM) and boundary integral methods to study the dynamics of RBCs passing through the slits and their transit time with full fluid-structure interaction considered (50). We simulated the full fluid-cell interaction under prescribed pressure drop. The boundary integral method was used to simulate the surround Stokes flows, while the FEM was used to model the RBC lipid bilayer and cytoskeleton as two interacting shells. The same mesh of lipid bilayer was used in finite element and boundary integral simulations so that the velocity and stress jump conditions on the interface were satisfied. For quasi-static simulations, we applied the commercial FEM package ABAQUS (23) to study the retention of RBCs in the slits and the corresponding critical pressure drop without modeling the fluid flow explicitly, because the dynamics, such as viscosity, does not play a role in the critical pressure and the quasi-static approach is much more efficient.

Multiscale model of RBCs. The constitutive law for the RBC cytoskeleton in the finite element model was obtained using a multiscale model of RBCs. The mechanical response of a RBC membrane involve mechanics at different length scales, ranging from dynamics in the whole cell level (in the micrometer scale) down to the local dynamics of the spectrin and its tension-induced
structural remodeling such as domain unfolding of spectrins in the protein level (in the nanometer scale). To capture these phenomena together, we developed a multiscale approach including three models at different length scales and connect them by a sequential information-passing multiscale algorithm. This multiscale model was implemented in both the in-house code and a ABAQUS VUMAT user subroutine (23).

Data Availability Statement. All data are included in the manuscript and SI Appendix.
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1. Experimental methods.

1.1 Buffers, RBC samples, treatments and labeling.

**Buffers.** The RBC standard suspension medium, DPBS-G, consists of Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline solution (DPBS 1X, no CaCl₂, no MgCl₂, Sigma-Aldrich), adjusted to pH 7.40, and to an osmolarity of 300 ± 5 mOsm by adding glucose. For standard microfluidic experiments, DPBS-G was supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich). For experiments with calcium in the external medium, DPBS-G was supplemented with 1 mM Ca²⁺ and 10% human plasma (from voluntary donor, stored at -20°C). For experiments with varying osmolarity of the external buffer, we diluted a concentrated DPBS solution (DPBS 10X, no CaCl₂, no MgCl₂, Sigma-Aldrich) to desired osmolarities. Osmolarities were measured using a freezing point osmometer (Gonotec OSMOMAT 030).

**RBC samples.** For RBCs from healthy donors, a 30-µL blood droplet was obtained via pinprick and diluted in 1 mL DPBS-G. The RBCs were then washed and centrifuged at 500 g at RT three times in DPBS-G, and finally re-suspended in DPBS-G at a Hct of 50% as stock suspension. Experiments were performed within 6 hrs after RBC harvesting, with dilution of the RBC suspension to 1% Hct in DPBS-G + BSA or in DPBS-G + BSA + Ca²⁺ + plasma right before the experiment. For spherocytic and sickle RBCs, blood samples harvested from patients were shipped within a day, then processed like healthy samples.

**Intracellular calcium labelling.** RBC intracellular calcium was labelled by incubating the 50% Hct stock solution with 5 µM Fluo-4 AM (ThermoFisher Scientific) under agitation for 3 h at 4°C. After incubation, RBCs were washed three times as above, resuspended at 50% Hct in DPBS-G for a few hours storage, before dilution to 1% Hct for the experiment.

**Ion channel inhibition.** PIEZO1 and Gardos channel were inhibited by incubating the RBCs at 1% Hct with 5 µM GsMTx-4 (Alomone Labs) or 10 µM TRAM34 (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively, for 20 min. Experiments were performed in presence of the ion channel inhibitors.

**Diamide treatment.** RBCs were washed three times and incubated during 1 hour at 37 °C in DPBS supplemented with 1 mM diamide (Sigma-Aldrich), a concentration known to have a significant effect on RBC membrane rigidity. After incubation, RBCs were washed twice and resuspended to 1% Hct for the experiment.

1.2 Fabrication of the microfluidic device

The PDMS microfluidic device consisted of a chamber made of a bottom PDMS-coated glass coverslip assembled with a PDMS chip containing the microfluidic channel with slits.

**Silicon master mold fabrication.** The master mold was fabricated following a protocol adapted from the procedure previously described in Gambhire et al. (1). Briefly, the fabrication consisted of 3 main steps: (i) standard photolithography to fabricate the largest elements of the mold (main channel, inlets/outlet), (ii) electron beam lithography to produce large hexagonal hollows in the main channel separated by bridges of a few µm width, and (iii) anisotropic chemical etching in a mixed solution of 20% KOH / 10% IPA at 70°C to enlarge the hexagonal hollows and thin the bridges down to submicron width. All steps were carried out in the clean room facility PLANETE (CT-PACA Micro- and Nanofabrication Platform, Marseille, France).

**Chip fabrication and device assembly.** PDMS was mixed with the curing agent in a 10:1 ratio and poured on the silicon master pre-treated with silane (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl-trichlorosilane, ABCR), then cured for 2 h at 65°C. After PDMS reticulation the inlets/outlet were drilled in the chip using a 0.75-mm diameter punch (Biopsy Punch, World Precision Instruments). The device was then assembled by covalently bonding the chip to a PDMS-coated glass coverslip (60 x 24 mm², bottom part) via oxygen-plasma treatment.

1.3 Flow experiments and microscopy

**Microfluidic experiment.** The device inlets and outlet were connected via Teflon tubing (0.015” and 0.027” inner and outer diameters, Scientific Commodities) to a flow controller system (MFCS-SC, Fluigent) that allowed controlling the pressure drop between inlets and outlet and the circulation of cell suspensions in the microfluidic device. The in-slit pressure drop ∆P was calculated afterwards using COMSOL® software, from the inlet/outlet pressure drop and the number of open/clogged slits during the experiment Fig. 11. Devices with various series of slits (fixed width W, length L, and depth D in each series) were placed on an inverted microscope (IX71, Olympus) equipped with cameras (high-speed camera Fastcam Mini, Photron and sCMOS camera Neo 5.5, Andor), 20x/60x/100x objectives, and temperature control. After BSA passivation of the device surfaces, RBCs were injected at 1% Hct at different temperatures and under different pressure drops in the physiological range (see Table S1).

**Observation of RBC retention and transit through slits.** RBCs were observed in brightfield with the 20x and 60x objectives for retention and transit quantification, respectively. Movies were acquired at high frame rate, from 500 to 1600 fps, with the Photron camera. In total, several tens of RBCs were imaged in all the experiments (see Table S1).
Observation of RBC intracellular calcium. RBCs were observed with the 100× objective. Movies were acquired in epi-fluorescence at 4 fps and exposure time of 250 msec with the Andor camera.

1.4 Video analysis
Video analysis was mostly carried out manually using FIJI software. As a control before performing a complete analysis, the RBC projected radius was computed from an elliptic fit of the RBC projection. When RBCs reached the slits with abnormal shape (non discocyte) or radius (too large or too small), the data set was discarded.

Retention analysis. An RBC is considered retained if it stays in a slit more than 2 sec. When a slit is blocked by an RBC, those which arrive afterwards on the same slit are not counted. The retention rate is the ratio of the number of retained RBCs to the total number of RBCs (transiting and retained) which is at least 50. Retention is considered significant when it is higher than 10%.

Transit analysis. Using FIJI software the RBC position was tracked, and the RBC projected radius, shape and transit time were determined. RBCs were classified from their shape in two categories: “dumbbell” shape with rounded projections on each side of the slit; “tip” shape with a thin protrusion at the RBC front exiting from the slit. The manual processing was double-checked using Matlab routines. To measure automatically the RBC transit time, we designed an automated Matlab procedure to segment and track RBCs on live imaging. We first spatially registered images, from time-point to time-point, to isolate moving RBCs from the static background by subtracting the median projection of the overall movie from the movie itself. We then segmented RBCs using thresholding and applied a series of binary operations to improve the resulting object masks (holes filling, opening, erosion and size filtering). To reduce tracking mistakes, we also discarded aggregated RBCs (two or more touching RBCs) using a supervised KNN clustering algorithm considering features such as cell area and circularity. We finally followed individual RBCs over time by tracking overlapping binary objects from time point to time point. This allowed us to define the transit time as the time spent by a given RBC in contact with the slit.

Intracellular calcium analysis. The fluorescence intensity is measured over the RBC projected area and corrected by background subtraction. Calcium fluorescence is measured upstream of the slit when the RBC is not deformed, within the slit when the RBC is approximately symmetric in the slit, and downstream of the slit when the RBC has relaxed its shape.

1.5 Statistics
Statistical tests are performed using the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test in Kaleidagraph software (Synergy Software). Significant differences between data sets are indicated with stars: *, p < 0.01, **, p < 0.001, ***, p < 0.0001.

2. Computational methods

2.1 Determination of the standard RBC shape used in the simulations
Table S2 gives a survey of area and volume measurements in the literature. This table is made by appending latest data into a similar table made by Lim et al. (2). We used a standard shape with a surface area of 135 and the volume of 94, which is based on the original data by Evans and Fung (3) and very close to the latest data by Gifford et al. (4) and the average value of all existing data in Table S2. It is well known that there is some inaccuracy in the original data by Canham and Burton (5) since the edges of the RBCs cannot be clearly distinguished at that time and they are based on manual tracing of diametrical cross-sections of cells hanging vertically from the underside of microscope coverslips photographed edge-on. Comparing to other data, Canham and Burton (5) overestimated the volume. That is the main motivation of Evans and Fung (3) to improve the measurement of the geometry. Recently, Fischer (6) showed that the ratio of thickness across the dimple region to the thickness of the rim (THR) depends on the albumin concentration, and realized that the original work of Evans and Fung (3) underestimated this value, giving 0.314, significantly smaller than in the latest Fischer’s work it was measured as 0.550 or 0.601 whether measured in plasma or serum. But for our current studies, as long the volume and surface are accuracy, the exact THR does not make any significant difference in terms of deformed cell shape or transit time, because the deformation is extremely large comparing to the initial shape. In addition, all the existing data are measured near room temperature, rather than body temperature. Based on the thermal expansion coefficient (1.2%/°C) of the red cell membrane measured by Waugh and Evans (7), we expect the surface area to be increased by 2% if the temperature is changed from 25°C to 37°C (12° C difference). Since the cell volume is determined by the osmotic pressure originating from the amount of hemoglobin molecules and their associated ions inside, the volume is not expected to change in isotonic solution since the hemoglobin amount is fixed. Since the critical pressure is highly sensitive to surface area, it is crucial to take the change of surface area due to temperature difference into account.

2.2 Overview of the modeling approaches
While we applied an in-house code of coupled the finite element method (FEM) and boundary integral method to study the dynamics of RBCs passing through the slits with full fluid-structure interaction considered, we also applied the commercial FEM package ABAQUS (8) to study the retention of the RBCs passing through the slits as a quasi-static process without modeling the fluid flow explicitly. The reasons we used ABAQUS instead of the in-house code to study retention are: 1) Near the critical condition of retention, the process is so slow that it becomes too long for the in-house code to simulate, while it
approaches a quasi-state process so that ABAQUS can simulate the process much more efficiently and accurately; 2) For the retention study, we are only interested in the critical pressure for the cells to pass rather than its dynamics, so that it is not necessary to apply the in-house code for dynamics; 3) To accurately predict the critical pressure, the experimental measured area modulus of the lipid bilayer (375–450 mN/m) (7) must be used, because the area deformation plays a significant role on the critical pressure for retention which must be accurately captured even it is small. This large bilayer area modulus significantly decreases the stable time step size of the simulation, which makes the fluid-structure simulations using the in-house code prohibitively expensive. For typical simulations of the dynamics of RBCs passing through the slits, since it is far away from the critical retention condition, we used lower bilayer area modulus in the in-house code for the fluid-structure simulations to allow larger time step size but still limit the local area deformation within 1%.

2.3 ABAQUS simulations of RBC retentions
We used ABAQUS explicit solver (8) to simulate the passing of RBCs through the slit as a quasi-static process by adding artificial mass and damping. We applied 8878 S4 shell elements to simulate the RBC membrane. We did systematic convergence test to make sure the final results (such as critical pressure) do not depend on mesh size and artificial mass and damping. We implemented the multiscale model (see §2.4 below) of the RBC membrane in ABAQUS using the user subroutine VUMAT. The slit walls were modeled as rigid surfaces based on experimentally measured dimensions. The pressure was applied on the front half surface of the cell to push it through the slit. General contacts were imposed between all the surfaces. We adopted fully 3D simulations rather than utilizing the symmetry, because buckling of the cell membrane can occur which breaks the symmetry. Surface-based fluid cavity was used to enforce the volume conservation by assigning an apparent fluid bulk modulus. The ratio of the volume change \( \Delta V \) to the initial volume \( V_0 \) can be related to the pressure difference as

\[
\frac{\Delta V}{V_0} = -\frac{R_w \Delta P}{\beta C_i},
\]

where \( \beta = 310 K \cdot 8.311 J/K/mol = 2.5775 \times 10^3 J/mol \) is the gas constant times absolute temperature, \( C_i = 300 mM = 300 \times 10^{-3} mol/L = 300 \text{ mol/m}^3 \) is the molar concentration of solute species inside the cell, including 150 mM from K\(^+\) and 150 mM from amino acids, and \( R_w = 0.6 \) is the fraction of total cell volume that is water. Thus, the apparent fluid bulk modulus \( B_f = \frac{\beta C_i}{R_w} = 1.289 \times 10^6 \text{ Pa} \).

2.4 Multiscale modeling of RBC membranes and spectrin unfolding.
A multiscale RBC model was implemented in both the in-house code and an ABAQUS VUMAT user subroutine for modeling material properties (8). In the whole-cell continuum-level model, the Cauchy stress resultant is given as

\[
\sigma^*(F) \cdot h = \tau_m(\alpha, \beta) I + \frac{\mu(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha + 1)^2} (B - \text{trace}(B) I),
\]

where \( \tau_m \) and \( \mu \) are the membrane mean stress resultant and shear modulus. \( B = FF^T \) is the left Cauchy-Green deformation tensor and \( h \) is the thickness of the membrane modeled as a thin shell. \( F \) is the deformation gradient. \( I \) is the identity matrix, \( \alpha = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - 1 \) and \( \beta = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)/(2\lambda_1 \lambda_2) - 1 \) are two invariants representing the area and shear deformation respectively (7). \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are the principal stretches, which can be calculated from \( F \).

Details of the formulation of the multiscale model, including the expressions of \( \tau_m \) and \( \mu \), can be found in Ref. (10), in which the distributions of the spectrin orientation and natural length from cryo-EM data (11) and the updated copy numbers of spectrin tetramers from proteomic data (12, 13) are taken into account. One major difference here is that we included spectrin unfolding in the current study, while it was ignored in Ref. (10). We considered a spectrin tetramer with \( n_f \) folded domains with a domain length of \( r_f \) and \( n_u \) unfolded domains with a domain length of \( r_u \), the total length \( s \) of a spectrin tetramer is given as (14, 15)

\[
s = n_f r_f + n_u r_u.
\]

Dividing the equilibrium by the total number of spectrin domains \( n = n_f + n_u \) in a tetramer and the undeformed domain length of \( r_0 \), we have the spectrin deformation \( x = \frac{r}{r_0} = (1 - \phi_u) \frac{r_f}{r_0} + \phi_u \frac{r_u}{r_0} \), where the fraction of unfolded domains \( \phi_u = n_u/n \) can be calculated as

\[
\phi_u = \frac{\exp \left( \frac{(F - F_{1/2}) \Delta \Delta s^*}{k_B T} \right)}{1 - \exp \left( \frac{(F - F_{1/2}) \Delta \Delta s^*}{k_B T} \right)},
\]

where \( \Delta \Delta s^* \) is the combined activation length of the unfolding and refolding processes, \( F_{1/2} \) is the force by which half of the spectrin domains are unfolded (14, 15), \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the absolute temperature. \( r_f \) and \( r_u \) are related to the force \( F \) using worm-like chain model (16) with different persistence lengths (\( p_f \) and \( p_u \)) and contour lengths (\( L_f \) and \( L_u \)), respectively. For specific values, we used \( \Delta \Delta s^* = 12.6 \text{ nm} \), \( L_f = 4 \text{ nm} \), \( L_u = 39 \text{ nm} \), \( p_f = 20 \text{ nm} \), \( p_u = 0.8 \text{ nm} \), \( F_{1/2} = 1 \text{ pN} \) at 37°C and \( F_{1/2} = 10 \text{ pN} \) at 25°C. The spectrin orientation distribution and the natural length distribution
used in this study are the same as our previous study in Ref. (10), which gives an initial shear modulus $\mu_0$ about 9 pN/µm. The membrane viscoelasticity is modeled as a Voigt model with a characteristic time $t_c = \eta_m/\mu_0$ as 0.1 s as measured in experiments (17), where $\eta_m = 0.9$ pN·s/µm is the membrane viscosity and $\mu_0$ is the initial value of $\mu$ without deformation. The detailed numerical implementation of this viscoelastic model can be found in (18).

3. Surface area and volume of an RBC in a slit approximated as two tether-connected cone-spheres

The microfluidic device has slanted walls at the entrance/exit of the slits making an angle of 36.25° with the direction of the flow, which does not allow a spherical deformation in the immediate vicinity of the slit. This is a more demanding geometry for the $S/V$ ratio. For this geometry, there is no simple analytical solution allowing to calculate the minimal surface of an RBC with a given volume to pass in the considered slit. Therefore, as a first approximation, we considered a cone-sphere geometry and calculated the surface area as a function of the volume from a conical shape completed by a spherical cap. In the middle of a slit, the RBC is described by two symmetrical cone-spheres connected by an infinitely thin tether.

The volume $V_C$ and surface $S_C$ of a cone are:

$$V_C = \frac{\pi R^3 \cos^3 \theta}{3}$$  \[5\]

and

$$S_C = \pi RL = \pi R^2 \frac{\cos^3 \theta}{\sin \theta}.$$  \[6\]

where $R$ is the radius of the cone base, $\theta$ is the angle between the walls at the edge of the slit and the direction of flow, and $L$ is the length of the slit.

The volume $V_{Sph}$ and surface $S_{Sph}$ of a spherical cap of radius $R$ are:

$$V_{Sph} = \frac{\pi R^3}{3}(1 + \sin \theta)^2(2 - \sin \theta)^2$$  \[7\]

and

$$S_{Sph} = 2\pi RD = 2\pi R^2(1 + \sin \theta).$$  \[8\]

The volume $V_{CS}$ and surface $S_{CS}$ of a cone-sphere are:

$$V_{CS} = \frac{\pi R^3}{3}(1 + \sin \theta)^2(2 - \sin \theta) + \frac{\pi R^3 \cos^3 \theta}{3} \sin \theta$$  \[9\]

and

$$S_{CS} = \pi R^2(2(1 + \sin \theta) + \frac{\cos^3 \theta}{\sin \theta}).$$  \[10\]

With $\theta = 36.25^\circ$, $V_{CS}$ and $S_{CS}$ express as:

$$V_{CS} = 4.31 \frac{\pi R^3}{3}$$  \[11\]

and

$$S_{CS} = 4.31\pi R^2.$$  \[12\]

The total area $S$ and volume $V$ of the two cone-spheres are thus:

$$S = 2S_{CS} = 8.62\pi R^2$$  \[13\]

and

$$V = 2V_{CS} = 8.62 \frac{\pi R^3}{3}.$$  \[14\]

The relation between $S$ and $V$ is therefore:

$$S = (8.62\pi \times 9)^{1/3} \times V^{2/3} = 6.25 \times V^{2/3}.$$  \[15\]

Movie S1. Movie of an RBC passing through a $0.28 \times 1.87 \times 5.00 \ \mu$m$^3$ slit. Time is in msec. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Movie S2. Movie of an RBC displaying a dumbbell shape while passing through a $0.94 \times 2.28 \times 5.30 \ \mu$m$^3$ slit. Time is in msec. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Movie S3. Movie of an RBC displaying a front tip while passing through a $0.94 \times 2.28 \times 5.30 \ \mu$m$^3$ slit. Time is in msec. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Movie S4. Movie of the 3D-view of an RBC in a $0.67 \times 2.34 \times 4.98 \ \mu$m$^3$ slit. The movie starts from a top view of the RBC in the slit whose length and width only are visible. The rotation of the RBC shows the thin neck between the RBC parts on each side of the slit and the slanted shape of the RBC close to the slit due to the oblique slit walls. Scale bar: 3 µm. The RBC membrane is labelled with CellTrace Yellow.

Movie S5. Movie of a spherocytic RBC blocked in a $0.63 \times 2.70 \times 5.0 \ \mu$m$^3$ slit. Time is in sec. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Movie S6. Movie of an irreversible sickle RBC passing through a $0.63 \times 2.70 \times 5.0 \ \mu$m$^3$ slit. Time is in sec. Scale bar: 10 µm.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slit dimensions, µm$^3$</th>
<th>Temperature, °C</th>
<th>In-slit pressure drop, Pa</th>
<th>Retention rate, % (N)</th>
<th>Transit time, ms (N')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.43×2.10×4.90</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>16 (111)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42×2.20×5.40</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>23 (133)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.09×2.59×4.80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80.0 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>997</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48.0 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.0 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.0 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>187</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.30 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>247</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.60 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>560</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.40 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>660</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.90 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.42×2.28×5.30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>448</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.0 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1256</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.0 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.42×2.40×5.20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>462</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.90 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.90×2.20×5.30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>967</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.40 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1459</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.40 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.87×2.26×4.80</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>331</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.90 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.70 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.86×2.75×4.70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>375</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.0 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>735</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.0 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.40 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>885</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.80 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.20 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.0 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>445</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.10 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>865</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.80 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.0 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>695</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.70 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.90 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.68×2.70×4.70</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>804</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.40 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.67×1.90×4.70</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.0 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>775</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.40 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.61×2.01×5.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>645</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.0 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.0 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.61×2.01×5.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>645</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.0 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.50 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1mM diamide)</td>
<td>0.61×2.01×5.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>645</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.0 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.2 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(400 mOsm)</td>
<td>0.61×2.01×5.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>645</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23.2 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.3 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(500 mOsm)</td>
<td>0.45×2.21×5.40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>350.0 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160.0 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>71.0 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>633</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.0 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120.0 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slit Dimensions</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Retention Rate</td>
<td>Median Transit Time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.37x1.40x4.70</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>195 (250.0)</td>
<td>69.0 (114)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30x1.88x4.80</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>490 (160.0)</td>
<td>81.0 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.28x1.87x5.00</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>490 (461.0)</td>
<td>81.0 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of performed experiments: Experimental conditions (slit dimensions, temperature, in-slit pressure drop) and quantification (retention rate when RBCs are blocked in the slits, median transit time when RBCs pass through slits). N: number of analyzed RBCs.
### Table 2. Area and volume measurements in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Surface Area (μm²)</th>
<th>Volume (μm³)</th>
<th>2% extra area (37 °C)</th>
<th>Sphericity (25 °C)</th>
<th>Sphericity (37 °C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canham and Burton (1968) (5)</td>
<td>138.1</td>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>140.862</td>
<td>0.791707799</td>
<td>0.77618411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans and Fung (1972) (3)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>0.740578488</td>
<td>0.726057341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay (1975) (19)</td>
<td>136.9</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>139.638</td>
<td>0.78221332</td>
<td>0.76880718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay (1976) (19)</td>
<td>133.4</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>136.068</td>
<td>0.771098398</td>
<td>0.755978222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fung et al. (1981) (20)</td>
<td>129.95</td>
<td>97.91</td>
<td>132.549</td>
<td>0.790547632</td>
<td>0.775046698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindermark and Meiselman (1982) (21)</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>136.782</td>
<td>0.723172277</td>
<td>0.708992379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nash and Meiselman (1983) (22)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>139.74</td>
<td>0.755421234</td>
<td>0.740609053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindermark et al. (1983) (23)</td>
<td>134.4</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>137.088</td>
<td>0.714038891</td>
<td>0.700038128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindermark et al. (1986) (24)</td>
<td>132.1</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>134.742</td>
<td>0.736159651</td>
<td>0.746725148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stadler and Lindermak (1989) (25)</td>
<td>137.1</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>139.842</td>
<td>0.711019028</td>
<td>0.697077479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waugh et al. (1992) (26)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>0.735316801</td>
<td>0.720898825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindermark et al. (1993) (27)</td>
<td>137.1</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>139.842</td>
<td>0.711019028</td>
<td>0.697077479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EngstrÖm and Löfvenberg (1998) (28)</td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>144.228</td>
<td>0.764575201</td>
<td>0.74958353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruel and Lindermark (1999) (29)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>134.64</td>
<td>0.79455984</td>
<td>0.779880235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifford et al. (2003) (4)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>136.68</td>
<td>0.751387381</td>
<td>0.736654295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>135.17</td>
<td>96.634</td>
<td>137.8734</td>
<td>0.753400579</td>
<td>0.738628019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Effects of temperature on the relation between the sphericity index and the critical pressure required for an RBC to pass through the reference slit (0.37×1.30×4.70 µm³). $F_{1/2}$ is the force to unfold half of the spectrin domains, and $K$ is the bilayer area modulus.
Fig. 2. Intracellular calcium measured in RBCs upstream (white) and downstream (grey) of $0.80 \times 2.78 \times 4.70 \, \mu m^3$ slits under in-slit pressure drops $\Delta P$ of 100, 500, and 1000 Pa in the absence (top) and presence (bottom) of 1 mM calcium in the RBC suspension buffer. N: number of analyzed RBCs. **: p < 0.001, ***: p < 0.0001.
Fig. 3. Distribution of RBC transit times $t_i$ measured in a $0.45 \times 2.21 \times 5.4 \, \mu m^3$ slit under in-slit pressure drops of (A) 728 Pa and (B) 1456 Pa at 37°C. Number of analysed RBCs: (A) 180 and (B) 215.

Fig. 4. Inverse of the transit time $1/t_i$ versus in-slit pressure drop $\Delta P$, experiments and simulations at 22°C.
Fig. 5. Inverse of the transit time \(1/t\) versus in-slit pressure drop \(\Delta P\), experiments and simulations at 15°C.

Fig. 6. Inverse of the transit time \(1/t\) versus in-slit pressure drop \(\Delta P\) in 0.61 \(\times\) 2.01 \(\times\) 5.0 \(\mu\)m\(^3\) slits at isotonic osmolarity without and with 1mM diamide. Numerical simulations fit well the experimental data. For the control case, the membrane viscoelasticity is modeled using a multiscale model described in the SI Appendix, section 2.4, giving an initial cytoskeleton shear modulus \(\mu_0 = 9\) pN/\(\mu\)m. The characteristic time \(t_c = \eta_m/\mu_0\) is chosen as 0.1 s as measured in experiments (17), where \(\eta_m = 0.9\) pN-s/\(\mu\)m is the membrane viscosity. For the diamide-treated case, we first increased both the initial shear modulus and membrane viscosity by 10 times (30), while keeping \(t_c\), \(F_{1/2}\), and \(K\) unchanged. This leads to slower passage (blue curve with crosses). We then increased only the membrane shear modulus by 10 times, and it didn’t slow down the passage, and the transit time remains almost the same as the control case (red curve). Lines are guide to the eye.
Fig. 7. Inverse of the transit time $1/t_t$ versus in-slit pressure drop $\Delta P$ in $0.61 \times 2.01 \times 5.0 \mu m^3$ slits upon increasing osmolarity of RBC suspension buffer at 37 °C. Isotonic condition is 300 mOsm. Lines are guide for the eye.

Fig. 8. Transit time $t_t$ as functions of altered mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) due to varying osmolarity. The blue curve is the computational prediction of the transit time with only viscosity change based on altered MCHC using the Ross and Minton model (31) based on the experimental measurements (32–34). For the red curve, besides viscosity change, the cell volume reduction is also considered. Volume reduction is calculated based on constant hemoglobin amount inside a cell. The horizontal lines are experimental measured transit time under three different osmolarities. The vertical lines are the corresponding MCHC predicted by computational modeling for the experimentally measured transit time. The slit dimensions are $0.61 \times 2.01 \times 5.0 \mu m^3$ and the in-slit pressure is 645 Pa.
Fig. 9. Inverse of the transit time $1/t_t$ versus in-slit pressure drop $\Delta P$ in 0.80-µm (square symbols) and 0.45-µm (circle symbols) wide slits in the absence or presence of 1 mM calcium in the suspension buffer (open and closed symbols, respectively). The black open squares with cross correspond to no-calcium condition in presence of 10% plasma in the suspension buffer. In calcium conditions, the suspension buffer always contains 10% plasma, and is supplemented with inhibitors of PIEZO1 (5 µM GsMTX4, in purple) or Gardos channels (10 µM TRAM34, in green) or not (in red). In all conditions, $1/t_t$ varies linearly with $\Delta P$. 
Fig. 10. Log-log representation of the slope of the $1/t$ variation vs. $\Delta P$ with the slit width $W$ at 37°C, 25°C, and 15°C. Solid lines are fits by a power law with the exponent 3.
Fig. 11. Comsol® calculation of streamlines, pressure drop, and fluid velocity over a region spanning over 50 µm from each side of the slits. (A) Image showing the streamlines in the slits. The color code indicates the velocity magnitude. (B-C) Evolution of pressure drop ∆P (B) and fluid velocity (C) as a function of the position X in the channel. The dashed lines indicate the borders of the pillars (blue) and the slit (orange). The position X = 0 µm corresponds to the center of the slits.