

Bioen-OSMOSE: A bioenergetic marine ecosystem model with physiological response to temperature and oxygen

Alaia Morell, Yunne-jai Shin, Nicolas Barrier, Morgane Travers-Trolet, Ghassen Halouani, Bruno Ernande

▶ To cite this version:

Alaia Morell, Yunne-jai Shin, Nicolas Barrier, Morgane Travers-Trolet, Ghassen Halouani, et al.. Bioen-OSMOSE: A bioenergetic marine ecosystem model with physiological response to temperature and oxygen. Progress in Oceanography, 2023, 216, 103064 (16p.). 10.1016/j.pocean.2023.103064 . hal-04204090

HAL Id: hal-04204090 https://hal.science/hal-04204090

Submitted on 23 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

¹ Bioen-OSMOSE: A bioenergetic marine ecosystem

² model with physiological response to temperature

and oxygen

- 4 Alaia Morell^{1,2}, Yunne-Jai Shin², Nicolas Barrier², Morgane Travers-Trolet³, Ghassen Halouani¹,
- 5 Bruno Ernande^{2,4}

6 Author details

- 7 1 IFREMER, Unité halieutique Manche Mer du Nord Ifremer, HMMN, Boulogne sur mer, France,
- 8 alaia.morell@gmail.com
- 9 2 MARBEC, Univ. Montpellier, Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, Sète/Montpellier, France.
- 10 3 DECOD (Ecosystem Dynamics and Sustainability), IFREMER, INRAE, Institut Agro, 44311
- 11 Nantes, France
- 12 4 International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), A-2361 Laxenburg, Austria.

13 ABSTRACT

14 1 - Marine ecosystem models have been used to project the impacts of climate-induced changes in 15 temperature and oxygen on biodiversity mainly through changes in species spatial distributions and 16 primary production. However, fish populations may also respond to climatic pressures via 17 physiological changes, leading to modifications in their life history that could either mitigate or 18 worsen the consequences of climate change.

19 2- Building on the individual-based multispecies ecosystem model OSMOSE, Bioen-OSMOSE has been 20 developed to account for high trophic levels' physiological responses to temperature and oxygen in 21 future climate projections. This paper presents an overview of the Bioen-OSMOSE model, mainly 22 detailing the new developments. These consist in the implementation of a bioenergetic sub-model 23 that mechanistically describes somatic growth, sexual maturation and reproduction as they emerge from the energy fluxes sustained by food intake under the hypotheses of a biphasic growth model 24 25 and plastic maturation age and size represented by a maturation reaction norm. These fluxes depend on temperature and oxygen concentration, thus allowing plastic physiological responses to climate 26 27 change.

3 - To illustrate the capabilities of Bioen-OSMOSE to represent realistic ecosystem dynamics, the
model is applied to the North Sea ecosystem. The model outputs are confronted with population
biomass, catch, maturity ogive, mean size-at-age and diet data of each species of the fish community.
A first exploration of current species spatial variability in response to temperature or oxygen is
presented in this paper. The model succeeds in reproducing observations, with good performances
for all indicators.

4 - This new model development opens the scope for new fields of research such as the exploration
of seasonal or spatial variation in life history in response to biotic and abiotic factors at the individual,
population and community levels. Understanding such variability is crucial to improve our knowledge
on potential climate change impacts on marine ecosystems and to make more reliable projections
under climate change scenarios.

39 Keyword

40 Bioenergetic, Food web, Hypoxia, Marine ecosystem model, Phenotypic plasticity, Thermal tolerance

41 1. Introduction

42 The development of increasingly realistic marine ecosystem models (MEMs) is needed to improve understanding and knowledge about marine ecosystems, which is one of the main challenges of the 43 44 UN Decade of the Oceans (Heymans et al., 2020). MEMs are end-to-end models representing 45 ecosystems from primary production to top predators, linking the species and/or functional groups 46 via trophic interactions. These models also account for abiotic and human activity impacts on 47 ecosystem dynamics (Rose et al., 2010; Steenbeek et al., 2021; Travers et al., 2007). MEMs are still being improved through the development of sub-models that increase their reliability in supporting 48 ecosystem-based management (Pikitch et al., 2004; Rose et al., 2010). 49

50 The rates of ocean temperature rise and deoxygenation make urgent the development of mechanistic tools to forecast realistically their impacts from the physiology of marine organisms, to 51 52 the population demographic impacts and to the consequence on marine trophic webs (Breitburg et 53 al., 2018; Urban et al., 2016). Efforts to model the temperature impacts on marine biodiversity at the 54 ecosystem level has so far focused mainly on the bottom-up effect of temperature on the ecosystem via changes in primary production (Lefort et al., 2015; Moullec et al., 2019) and on the distribution 55 56 shift of species according to their preferred temperature (Albouy et al., 2014; Fernandes et al., 2013; Moullec et al., 2019; Serpetti et al., 2017). Mechanistic physiological response to temperature in 57 MEM is modeled in size spectrum models and to our knowledge is not currently incorporated into an 58 59 explicit multispecies model (Lefort et al., 2015; Maury, 2010). Although oxygen concentration is considered as a main pressure on marine biodiversity (Laffoley & Baxter, 2019), the oxygen
physiological impact on marine ecosystems is still not explicitly modeled in MEMs.

The core of recent model developments linking environmental conditions and physiological response 62 63 is primarily on single-species models. These frameworks mechanistically describe life history cycles 64 and metabolic fluxes. The response of metabolic rates to temperature is used in several frameworks 65 (Gillooly et al., 2002; Kooijman, 2010) which are applied to project future population dynamics and spatial distribution under climate change scenarios. The response of metabolic rates to oxygen 66 67 through its impact on ingestion (Thomas et al., 2019) has been recently introduced in the Dynamic Energy Budget framework to study the impact of hypoxia on population dynamics (Lavaud et al., 68 69 2019).

70 The model Bioen-OSMOSE is a new framework that mechanistically describes the emergence of life 71 history traits through an explicit description of the underlying bioenergetic fluxes and their response 72 to food, temperature and oxygen variation in a multispecies food web model. It has been developed 73 from the OSMOSE framework (Shin & Cury, 2004; www.osmose-model.org), which is an individual-74 based, spatially and temporally explicit, multispecies model for regional marine ecosystems. 75 Designed to be possibly coupled to ocean and biogeochemical models, it includes the components of the entire ecosystem, from primary production to fish populations and human fishing activity, but 76 77 the core of the model describes the dynamics of fish and macroinvertebrate species. In this paper, 78 we provide a detailed description of the principles and equations of the Bioen-OSMOSE framework, 79 as well as parameterization guidelines (detailed in Supporting Information). An application to the 80 North Sea ecosystem is provided as a case study example. We then confront simulation outputs from 81 the North Sea example to observed data to assess the consistency of the new model development 82 and explore spatial variability in fish metabolic fluxes in response to temperature and oxygen.

83 2. Method

84 2.1. Model description

The Bioen-OSMOSE model (Fig. 1) represents fish individual physiological responses to temperature and oxygen variations and their consequences on fish communities in marine ecosystems. It is an individual-based, spatially and temporally explicit multispecies model accounting for trophic interactions. The main characteristics of the model are opportunistic predation based on size adequacy and spatiotemporal co-occurrence of predators and prey, the mechanistic description of individuals' life-history traits emerging from bioenergetics. The aims of the model are to explore the functioning of marine trophic webs, the ecosystem impacts of individual physiological modifications

92 due to temperature and oxygen, and the consequences of fishing pressure or climate change, from 93 individual phenotype, to the population and to the community scale. The Bioen-OSMOSE model 94 extends the existing OSMOSE model by (i) explicitly accounting for the mechanistic dependence of 95 life-history traits on bioenergetics and (ii) describing intra- and inter-specific phenotypic variability 96 originating from plastic responses to spatio-temporal biotic and abiotic factor variations. A process 97 overview is given in Supporting Information S1.



99 Figure 1: Graphical description of the Bioen-OSMOSE model. In the Bioen-OSMOSE model trophic relationships emerge from spatio-temporal co-occurrence 100 and size adequacy between predators and prey, the former resulting from ontogenic spatial distributions and possibly LTL biomass distribution. The life 101 cycle emerges from the underlying bioenergetic fluxes that describe the internal processes from energy ingestion (which relies on the encountered prey) to 102 growth, maturation and reproduction. The internal fluxes are partly driven by environmental conditions, i.e, temperature and oxygen.

103 2.1.1. Biological unit, state variables and spatial characteristics

The biological unit of the model is a school (a super-individual in individual-based modeling terminology). It is formed by individuals from the same species that are biologically identical. The state variables characterizing a school *i* at time step *t* belong to four categories (see Table 1 for state variable definitions and their units):

108 - Ontogenic state of individuals described by their age a(i,t), somatic mass w(i,t) and 109 gonadic mass g(i,t);

- 110 Abundance, namely the number of individuals in the school N(i, t);
- 111 Spatial location, i.e., the grid cell c(i, t) where the school is located; and
- 112 Taxonomic identity, i.e., the species s(i) to which the school belongs.

Fish schools are distributed on a horizontal spatial grid that is composed of regular cells and that covers the geographical range of the ecosystem represented. A cell c is characterized by its spatial coordinates, longitude x(c) and latitude y(c), and several other variables: (i) the verticallydistributed values (z vertical layers) of k physico-chemical factors $pc_k(c, t, z)$ (such as temperature T(c, t, z) or the level of oxygen saturation (%) $[O_2](c, t, z)$) and (ii) the biomass of all low trophic level (LTL) groups (indexed by j) $B_{LTL}(c, j, t)$ that are not explicitly modeled in Bioen-OSMOSE but provided as input from coupled hydrodynamic and biogeochemical models.

Below we describe the bioenergetic sub-model that we developed to describe individual life-history and its responses to environmental variations. The individuals described with this level of detail belong to high trophic level (HTL) species, mainly fish and macroinvertebrate species.

123 2.1.2. Ind

2. Individual life history description

Individual life history emerges from underlying bioenergetic fluxes which are described according to 124 a biphasic growth model (Fig. 1) (Andersen, 2019; Boukal et al., 2014; Quince et al., 2008). The body 125 126 mass-dependent energy fluxes are allocated according to physiological tradeoffs between competing processes: maintenance, somatic growth and gonadic growth. The sexual maturation of individuals 127 128 relies on the concept of maturation reaction norms that depicts how the process of maturation 129 responds plastically to variation in body growth (Heino et al., 2002; Stearns & Koella, 1986). This 130 combination of processes mechanistically describes how somatic growth, sexual maturation and 131 reproduction emerge from energy fluxes sustained by food intake resulting from opportunistic sizebased predator-prey interactions. 132

On top of the biphasic growth model, individuals' energy mobilization and maintenance energetic costs depend on dissolved oxygen concentration and temperature so that the resulting metabolic rate (the net energy available for new tissue production) and thus somatic and gonadic growth vary with these abiotic parameters in a way that conforms to the oxygen- and capacity-limited thermal tolerance theory (OCLTT; Pörtner, 2001) and more generally to thermal performance curves (TPC; Angilletta, 2009).

139 In the following description, energetic fluxes are expressed in somatic mass unit equivalents under 140 the assumption that the ratio of energy density between somatic and gonadic tissues η is 141 independent of size. All the parameters of the bioenergetic and life-history sub-model are species-142 specific parameters except one parameter is constant across species, namely the Boltzmann constant 143 (Table 2).

144 2.1.3. Ingestion, assimilation and mobilization

For an individual in school *i*, the ingested food I(i, t) at time step *t* is described by a Holling's type 1 functional response (Holling, 1959) that depends on its somatic mass w(i, t) (Christensen & Walters, 2004; Holt & Jorgensen, 2014; Shin & Cury, 2004) in two ways. First, it determines the prey biomass P(i, t) available to an individual of school *i*. All other fish schools and LTL organisms (from the forcing biogeochemical model) that are present in the same grid cell c(i, t) are potential prey if their body size is compatible with a minimum R_{min} (Shin & Cury, 2004) and a maximum R_{max} predator to prey size ratio based on individual total length $L(i, t) = (\frac{w(i,t)}{k})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ (Travers et al., 2009) so that:

$$P(i,t) = \frac{\sum_{j} \gamma(i,j) B(j,t)}{N(i,t)}$$

152
$$with j \in \left\{ j \mid \left(c(j,t) = c(i,t) \right) \cap \left(\frac{L(i,t)}{R_{max}} \le L(j,t) \le \frac{L(i,t)}{R_{min}} \right) \right\}$$
(1)

where k and α are the allometric length-somatic mass relationship coefficient and exponent, respectively, $\gamma(i, j)$ is the accessibility coefficient of potential prey school j to school i that is essentially determined by the position in the water column of species s(j) relative to species s(i)according to their life stage, and B(j,t) = N(j,t) w(j,t) is the biomass of prey school j at time step t. The maximum possible food ingestion rate scales with the mass with a scaling exponent β . The ingested food can then be written as:

159
$$I(i,t) = f(P(i,t)) = \min(P(i,t); I_{max}\psi(i,t)w(i,t)^{\beta})$$
(2)

7

160 with I_{max} the maximum ingestion rate per mass unit at exponent β (or mass-specific maximum 161 ingestion rate) of individuals in school *i* and $\psi(i, t)$ a multiplicative factor that depends on their life 162 stage such that:

163
$$\psi(i,t) = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{if } a(i,t) < a_l \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where a_l is the age at the end of an early-life fast-growth period (e.g., larval period or the larval and post-larval period, defined according to data availability, see Supporting Information S2) and θ a multiplicative factor accounting for higher mass-specific ingestion rate at this stage. A portion ξ of the ingested food I(i, t) is assimilated, $(1 - \xi)$ being lost due to excretion and feces egestion.

Reserves are not modeled in Bioen-OSMOSE: the assimilated energy is directly mobilized. The 168 difference between assimilated and mobilized energy depends on oxygen and temperature 169 170 conditions (Fig. 2). Mobilized energy E_M , referred to as active metabolic rate in the ecophysiology 171 literature, fuels all metabolic processes such as maintenance, digestion, foraging, somatic growth, 172 gonadic growth, etc... The mobilization of energy relies on the use of oxygen to transform the energy 173 held in the chemical bonds of nutrients into a usable form, namely ATP (Clarke, 2019). In 174 consequence, the maximum possible energy mobilized depends (i) directly on dissolved oxygen 175 saturation that sets up an upper limit to mobilization at a given temperature and (ii) as temperature 176 increases, on the capacity of individuals to sustain oxygen uptake and delivery for ATP production. 177 The mobilized energy rate E_M is thus described by:

178
$$E_M(i,t) = \xi I(i,t) \lambda([O_2](i,t)) \varphi_M(T(i,t))$$
(4)

179 with $\lambda([O_2](i,t))$ and $\varphi_M(T(i,t))$ being the mobilization responses to dissolved oxygen saturation 180 $[O_2](i,t) = [O_2](c(i,t))$ and temperature T(i,t) = T(c(i,t)), respectively, encountered by school *i* 181 in the grid cell c(i,t). These are scaled between 0 and 1 such that, in optimal oxygen saturation and 182 temperature conditions, all assimilated energy $E_M(i,t) = \xi I(i,t)$ can be mobilized and, in 183 suboptimal conditions, only a fraction of assimilated energy can be mobilized $E_M(i,t) < \xi I(i,t)$.

184 More precisely, the effect of dissolved oxygen is described by a dose-response function $\lambda(\cdot)$ (Thomas 185 et al., 2019) which increases with the saturation of dissolved oxygen:

186
$$\lambda([O_2]) = c_{0,1} \frac{[O_2]}{[O_2] + c_{0,2}}$$
 (5)

187 with parameters $c_{0,1}$ and $c_{0,2}$ the asymptote and the slope of the dose-response function. The effect 188 of temperature $\varphi_M(\cdot)$ is such that first, energy mobilization increases with temperature according to 189 an Arrhenius-like law due to chemical reaction rate acceleration until reaching limitation in 190 individuals' ventilation and circulation capacity. Hence, oxygen uptake and delivery for energy 191 mobilization saturates or even decreases at high temperatures, potentially due to temperature 192 dependence of the rate of enzyme-catalyzed chemical reactions (Arcus et al., 2016) or enzyme 193 denaturation (Pawar et al., 2015). This effect is described according to the Johnson & Lewin (1946) 194 model (Pawar et al., 2015):

195
$$\varphi_M(T) = \Phi \frac{e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_M}{k_B T}}}{1 + \frac{\varepsilon_M}{\varepsilon_D - \varepsilon_M} e^{\frac{\varepsilon_D}{k_B}(\frac{1}{T_p} - \frac{1}{T})}}$$
(6)

196 with k_B the Boltzmann constant, ε_M the activation energy for the Arrhenius-like increase in mobilized 197 energy with temperature T before reaching its peak value at T_p , ε_D the activation energy when the 198 energy mobilization declines with T above T_p , and $\Phi = \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_M}{\varepsilon_D - \varepsilon_M}\right)e^{\frac{\varepsilon_M}{k_B T_p}}$ a standardizing constant 199 ensuring that $\varphi_M(T_p) = 1$.

201 The mobilized energy E_M fuels all metabolic processes starting in priority with the costs of 202 maintenance of existing tissues E_m which is often referred to as the standard metabolic rate in the 203 ecophysiology literature. Here, we also include in the maintenance costs, the routine activities of 204 individuals, including foraging and digestion, so that they are actually best compared to the routine metabolic rate in the ecophysiology literature. The maintenance costs are explicitly modeled to 205 206 describe the share of mobilized energy between maintenance and the production of new tissues 207 (Charnov et al., 2001; Holt & Jorgensen, 2014), with precedence of the former over the latter, as well 208 as to link mechanistically starvation mortality to energetic starvation when neither mobilized energy 209 nor gonad energy reserves can cover the costs of maintenance (see next section on new tissue 210 production for more details). The maintenance energy rate E_m scales with the individual's somatic 211 mass w(i, t) with the same exponent β as the maximum ingestion rate. The maintenance rate also increases with the temperature T(i, t) experienced by individuals according to the Arrhenius law 212 213 (Brown et al., 2004; Gillooly et al., 2002; Kooijman, 2010) and can be described as:

214
$$E_m(i,t) = c_m w(i,t)^\beta \varphi_m(T(i,t))$$
 (7)

9

with C_m the mass-specific maintenance rate and $\varphi_m(\cdot)$ the Arrhenius function *m* defined as:

216
$$\varphi_m(T) = e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_m}{k_B T}}$$
(8)

217 with ε_m the activation energy for the increase of the maintenance rate with temperature.

218 2.1.5. Net energy available for new tissue production

The net energy available for new tissues production E_P is the difference between the mobilized energy E_M and the maintenance costs E_m defined as follows:

221
$$E_P(i,t) = E_M(i,t) - E_m(i,t).$$
 (9)

Given that the mobilized energy rate E_M increases at a lower rate than the maintenance rate E_m close to the species preferred temperature, it results that, all other things being equal, the emerging relationship between the net energy rate E_P (and thus somatic and gonadic growth, see next section) and temperature is dome-shaped and conforms to the OCLTT theory and the principle of TPC (red curve in Fig. 2).



Figure 2: Thermal responses of the bioenergetic fluxes from ingestion to tissue growth in Bioen-OSMOSE. The net energy rate dome-shaped curve (in red) conforms to the OCLTT theory and the principle of TPC. Food shortage impacts ingested energy and downstream fluxes. Hypoxia impacts mobilized energy and downstream fluxes. The maximum of the net energy rate (red curve) is called T_{opt} hereafter.

233 2.1.6. New tissue production: somatic and gonadic growth

The net energy E_p contributes to the production of new tissues with a proportion ρ being allocated to the gonadic compartment g(i,t) and a proportion $(1 - \rho)$ to the somatic one w(i,t). This proportion depends on the sexual maturity status m(i,t) of the schools' individuals and their somatic mass w(i,t). Before sexual maturation, i.e., when the maturity status m(i,t) = 0, ρ is equal to 0 and, after maturation, i.e., when m(i,t) = 1, ρ is determined such that the annual mean gonado-somatic index of individuals $\frac{g(i,t)}{w(i,t)}$ is constant throughout their adult life-stage and equal to r(Boukal et al., 2014; Lester et al., 2004; Quince et al., 2008):

241
$$\rho(i,t) = m(i,t) \frac{r}{\eta E_{\rm P}(i)} w(i,t).$$
(10)

242 where, η is the ratio of energy density between somatic and gonadic tissues, $\overline{E_{P}}(i) = \frac{\Delta t}{a(i,t)} \sum_{t'=0}^{t'=a(i,t)/\Delta t} E_{P}(i,t')$ is the average net energy available per time step to individuals of 243 school *i* since their birth, with Δt being the duration of a time step. Eq. 10 differs from a 244 245 deterministic continuous time version of the same model (Boukal et al., 2014; Lester et al., 2004; 246 Quince et al., 2008) where the current net energy $E_P(i, t)$ would be used instead of the average $\overline{E_P}(i)$. The averaging in a stochastic discrete time individual-based model such as Bioen-OSMOSE 247 ensures a smooth increase of the proportion ρ as individuals grow by dampening strong variations in 248 $E_{P}(i,t)$ and thus in $\rho(i,t)$ due to the stochasticity of prey encounters and hence of the ingested 249 250 energy I(i, t).

According to the definition of ρ , all net energy E_P is allocated to somatic growth before maturation and it is shared between somatic and gonadic growth after, with the proportion ρ allocated to gonads increasing with somatic mass (Boukal et al., 2014), which limits somatic growth as individuals become bigger. In case the mobilized energy E_M cannot cover the maintenance costs E_m , i.e., when $E_P < 0$, new tissue production is not possible and the gonadic compartment g(i, t) is resorbed to provide energy for sustaining maintenance. Somatic growth is then defined as follows:

257
$$\frac{dw}{dt}(i,t) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \rho(i,t)\right) E_{\mathrm{P}}(i,t) & \text{if } E_{\mathrm{P}}(i,t) \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(11)

and gonadic growth as:

259
$$\frac{dg}{dt}(i,t) = \begin{cases} \eta \,\rho(i,t) \, E_{\rm P}(i,t) & \text{if } E_{\rm P}(i,t) \ge 0\\ \eta \, E_{\rm P}(i,t) & \text{if } -g(i,t) \le \eta \, E_{\rm P}(i,t) < 0\\ -g(i,t) & \text{if } \eta \, E_{\rm P}(i,t) < -g(i,t) < 0 \end{cases}$$
(12)

where the second and third conditional formulas account for maintenance coverage by energy reserves contained in gonads. In the former case, gonads' energy can fully cover maintenance costs but in the latter it cannot, so that individuals undergo energetic starvation and incur additional starvation mortality (see Supporting Information S3).

264 2.1.7. Maturation

Age and size at maturation vary strongly between individuals due to phenotypic plasticity. This plasticity in maturation is modeled by a deterministic linear maturation reaction norm (LMRN) that represents all the age-length combinations at which an individual can become mature (Stearns, 1992; Stearns & Koella, 1986). In this framework, individuals become sexually mature when their growth trajectory in terms of body length intersects the LMRN. The maturity status m(i, t) of individuals of school *i* at time step *t* is thus described as:

271
$$m(i,t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } L(i,t) < m_0 + m_1 \, a(i,t) \text{ (immature)} \\ 1 & \text{if } L(i,t) \ge m_0 + m_1 \, a(i,t) \text{ (mature)} \end{cases}$$
(13)

with m_0 and m_1 the intercept and slope of the LMRN, respectively.

273 2.1.8. Reproduction

274 Mature individuals spawn during the breeding season, then a gonad portion is used to release eggs, 275 what is represented by a gonad portion released sp(t') above 0. The sex-ratio is assumed to be 1:1 276 for all species and the number of eggs produced by school *i* at time *t* is defined as follows:

277
$$N_{eggs}(i,t) = sp\left(t \mod \frac{365}{\Delta t}\right) N(i,t) \frac{g(i,t)}{2w_{egg}}$$
(14)

with $t \mod \frac{365}{\Delta t}$ giving the time of the year at time step t for a time step size of Δt , and w_{egg} the mass of an egg.

At each time step t of the breeding season (i.e., t for which $sp\left(i, t \mod \frac{365}{\Delta t}\right) > 0$), $n_{s(i)}$ new schools are produced by species s(i), with the number of eggs, and thus individuals, per new school i' calculated as follows:

283
$$N(i',t) = \frac{\sum_{j|s(j)=s(i)} N_{eggs}(j,t)}{n_{s(i)}}$$
(15)

with $\sum_{j|s(j)=s(i)} N_{eggs}(j,t)$ the total number of eggs produced by schools of species s(i) at time step t, age of offspring set to 0, a(i',t) = 0, their somatic mass to the mass of an egg, $w(i',t) = w_{egg}$, and their gonadic mass to 0, g(i',t) = 0. The new schools are released randomly depending on the specific larvae habitat map.

288 2.1.9. Mortality

At each time step, a school experiences several mortality sources. The total mortality of a school *i* is 289 290 the sum of predation mortality caused by other schools, starvation mortality, fishing mortality, and 291 additional mortalities (i.e. larval, senescence, diseases, and non-explicitly modeled predators). For a 292 school i, the starvation mortality results from the encountered food, the environmental abiotic variables and its maintenance rate. If the mobilized energy E_M covers the maintenance costs E_m , 293 294 there is no starvation. However, if the mobilized energy E_M is lower than the maintenance costs, the 295 school i has an energetic deficit. In this case, the gonad g(i, t) is used as a reserve. In case the gonad 296 content does not cover the exceeding maintenance costs, the school *i* faces starvation mortality 297 proportionally to the remaining energetic deficit. The equations and details about all mortality processes are in Supporting Information S3. 298

Table 1: Variables and functions of the bioenergetics and life-history sub-models. (Δt :time step duration)

Symbol	Description	Units	Equations				
Entities: Fish schools							
Ontogenic	Ontogenic state						
State varia	State variables						
a(i,t)	Age of school <i>i</i> 's individuals at time step <i>t</i>	у	3, 13				
w(i,t)	Somatic mass of school i's individuals at time step t	g	2, 7, 10,11				
<i>g</i> (<i>i</i> , <i>t</i>)	Gonadic mass of school i's individuals at time step t	g	12, 14				
Emerging in	Emerging individual variables						
L(i,t)	Total length of school <i>i</i> 's individuals at time step <i>t</i>	cm	1,13				
m(i,t)	Maturity state of school <i>i</i> 's individuals at time step <i>t</i>	_	10, 13				
$a_m(i)$	Maturation age of school <i>i</i> 's individuals	у					
$w_m(i)$	Maturation somatic mass of school <i>i</i> 's individuals	g					
$L_m(i)$	Maturation length of school <i>i</i> 's individuals	cm					
$N_{eggs}(i,t)$	Total fecundity of school i at first time step t of the breeding season	#	14, 15				
Abundance: State variable							
N(i,t)	Number of individuals in school <i>i</i> at time step <i>t</i>	#	1, 14,15				
B(i,t)	Biomass of school <i>i</i> at time step <i>t</i>	g	1				
Spatial Location: State variable							
c(i,t)	Grid cell of school <i>i</i> at time step <i>t</i>	_	1				
Taxonomic identity: State variable							
s(i)	Species to which school <i>i</i> belongs	-					
Bioenergetics							
Emerging individual variables							
P(i,t)	Available prey biomass to an individual of school <i>i</i> at time step <i>t</i>	g	1, 2				
$\psi(i,t)$	Life-stage dependent multiplicative factor of maximum mass-specific ingestion rate of school <i>i</i> at time step <i>t</i>	-	2, 3				

<i>I(i,t)</i>	Ingestion rate of individuals in school i at time step t	$g_{4,-1}$	2, 4			
		$\cdot \Delta t^{-1}$				
$E_M(\iota,t)$	Mobilized energy rate of individuals of school t at time step t	$g_{1,-1}$	4,9			
		$\cdot \Delta t^{-1}$				
$E_m(\iota,t)$	Maintenance cost rate of individuals of school ι at time step t	g	7,9			
		$\cdot \Delta t^{-1}$				
$E_P(i,t)$	Net energy available for new tissue production	g	9, 10, 11, 12			
		$\cdot \Delta t^{-1}$				
$\rho(i,t)$	Proportion of net energy allocated to gonadic growth	-	10, 11, 12			
$\frac{dw}{dt}$	Somatic growth rate	g	11			
$\frac{dt}{dt}$		$\cdot \Delta t^{-1}$				
$dg_{(i,t)}$	Gonadic growth rate	g	12			
$\frac{dt}{dt}$		$\cdot \Delta t^{-1}$				
Functional	responses					
f(P)	Holling's type 1 functional response to prey biomass P	-	2			
$\lambda([O_2])$	Dose-response function of energy mobilization to dissolved oxygen concentration $[0_2]$	_	4, 5			
$\varphi_M(T)$	Response of energy mobilization to temperature T	_	4, 6			
$\varphi_m(T)$	Response of maintenance rate to temperature T	-	7,8			
Spatial sca	es and units: grid cells					
Spatial coordinates: State variables						
<i>x</i> (<i>c</i>)	Longitude of grid cell <i>c</i>					
<i>y</i> (<i>c</i>)	Latitude of grid cell <i>c</i>					
Physico-chemical factors: State variables						
$pc_k(c,t,z)$	Value of physico-chemical factor k of grid cell c at time step t of layer z					
T(c,t)	Temperature of grid cell <i>c</i> at time step <i>t</i>	К	4, 6, 7, 8			
$[0_2](c,t)$	Dissolved O_2 concentration of grid cell c at time step t	%	4, 5			
Biomass of LTL: State variables						
$B_{ITI}(c, i, t)$	Biomass of LTL group <i>j</i> of grid cell <i>c</i> at time step <i>t</i>	g				
		0				

Table 2: Species- specific parameters of the bioenergetic and life-history sub-model. (Δt : time step duration). One parameter is constant across species, namely the Boltzmann constant ($k_B = 8.62 e^{-5} eV. K^{-1}$).

Symbol	Description	Units	Equations	Source	
Ingestion, assimilation and mobilization					
R_{min} , R_{max}	Minimum and maximum predator to prey size ratio	_	1	Literature	
	Accessibility coefficient of prey species $s(j)$ to predator species $s(i)$ according to life stage	_	1	Literature	
$\gamma(i,j)$					
I _{max}	Maximum mass-specific ingestion rate	$g \cdot g^{-eta} \ \cdot \Delta t^{-1}$	2	Calibrated	
β	Scaling exponent of maximum ingestion rate and maintenance rate with body mass	-	2, 7	Assumed	
θ	Multiplicative factor of maximum mass-specific ingestion rate at larval stage	-	3	Estimated ¹	
a _l	Age at the end of the fast growth period	у	3	Literature	
ξ	Assimilation efficiency	-	4	Literature	
<i>c</i> _{0,1}	Asymptote of energy mobilization dose-response function to dissolved oxygen saturation	_	5	Estimated ²	
C _{0,2}	Slope of energy mobilization dose-response function to dissolved oxygen saturation	%	5	Estimated ²	
ε _M	Increasing activation energy of the energy mobilization temperature function	eV	6	Estimated ³	
ε _D	Declining activation energy of the energy mobilization temperature function	eV	6	Estimated ³	
T_p	Temperature of peak value in energy mobilization	К	6	Estimated ³	
Φ	Normalization constant of the energy mobilization temperature function	_	6	Estimated ³	
Maintenance					
C _m	Mass-specific maintenance rate		7	Literature	
		$g \cdot \Delta t^{-1}$			
ε_m	Activation energy of the maintenance temperature function	eV	8	Estimated ³	
Maturation					
m_0	Intercept of the maturity reaction norm	ст	13	Estimated ¹	
m_1	Slope of the maturity reaction norm	$cm \cdot y^{-1}$	13	Estimated ¹	
New tissue production					
η	Energy density ratio between somatic and gonadic tissue	_	10, 12	Literature	

	k	Allometric length-somatic mass relationship coefficient	g. с1	$n^{-\alpha}$		Estimated ⁴
	α	Allometric length-somatic mass relationship exponent	-	_		Estimated ⁴
	Reproduction					
	r	Gonado-somatic index	_		10	Estimated ¹
	W _{egg}	Egg mass	<u>(</u>	j	14	Estimated ⁵
	sp(t)	Spawning seasonality expressed as a fraction of the gonad energy available at the time	-		14	Literature
		step <i>t</i>				
	$n_{s(i)}$	Number of new schools produced at each time step of the breeding season for the species	#		15	Arbitrary
		s of school i				
302	¹ from :	Size Maturity Age Length Key (SMALK) data (see Supporting Information S2.1)				
303	² from	ecophysiological data (see Supporting Information S2.4)				
304	³ from a	a combination of SMALK and thermal tolerance data (see Supporting Information S2.3)				
305	⁴ from	length-mass data				
306	⁵ from t	fecundity data (see Supporting Information S2.5)				

April 20, 2022

307 2.2. Application to the North Sea ecosystem: Bioen-OSMOSE-NS

308

2.2.1.

Area and explicit species



Figure 3: Case study area map. The Bioen-OSMOSE-NS area is delimited with the red line. The area is divided in 632 regular cells of 0.25° x 0.5° delimited with black lines.

The Bioen-OSMOSE-NS area includes the North Sea (ICES area 4) and the eastern English Channel (ICES area 7d), excluding the area deeper than 200m (notably the Norwegian Trench), and extends from 49° N to 62° N and from 4° W to 8.5° E (red delimitation in Fig. 3). The model covers the area by 632 regular cells of 0.25° x 0.5°. 16 HTL species are modeled explicitly, accounting for 89% of the total fisheries landings in the area over the period 2010-2017 (ICES 4abc and 7d) and more than 90% of the scientific

328 North Sea International Bottom Trawl Survey (NS-IBTS-Q1, DATRAS) catches. There are five pelagic 329 species, seven demersal species, three benthic flatfish and one shrimp functional group. The species and their input parameters are listed in Supporting Information S4, Table S3, and the data sources, 330 331 references, and/or methodology to estimate these parameters are presented in the Supporting 332 Information S6. The species spatial distributions are described by presence/absence maps, and 333 informed per life stages (egg-larvae, juvenile, and adult) whenever information was available (Supporting Information S7). As individuals are represented in a 2D horizontal environment, a 334 predator-prey accessibility matrix Γ , used to determine the accessibility coefficient $\gamma(i, j)$ (see Eq. 1 335 336 and Table 2), is defined according to the vertical distribution overlap between potential predator and 337 prey species possibly per life stage (Supporting Information S4, Table S5). The gonad portion released sp(t) is estimated from the seasonality of eggs' release (see Supporting Information S2.5). The 338

April 20, 2022

seasonality of eggs' release data are taken from the literature and presented in Supporting Information S8. The fishing mortality rates are size-dependent due to fisheries size-selectivity. The calibrated maximum fishing mortality rates F_{max} are in Supporting Information S4, Table S3. The species-specific fishing selectivity curves are in Supporting Information S11. The larval and additional mortality are in Supporting Information S4, Table S3.

2.2.2. Forcing variables: low trophic levels and physical variables 344 The Bioen-OSMOSE model is forced by temperature and oxygen variables and by LTL biomass fields. 345 The forcing data come from the regional biogeochemical model POLCOMS-ERSEM applied to the 346 347 North Sea ecosystem (Butenschön et al., 2016). The modeled period is 2010-2019. There are five 348 pelagic (micro-phytoplankton, diatoms, heterotrophic flagellates, micro-zooplankton, mesozooplankton) and three benthic (suspension feeders, deposit feeders and meiobenthos) LTL groups 349 (Supporting Information S5): the biomass of the former is available in three dimensions and 350 351 therefore integrated vertically, while the biomass of the latter is available in two-dimensions. Two 352 other groups of large and very large benthos are set as homogeneous prey fields in space and time 353 due to the absence of data and model output for these LTL groups. For the temperature and oxygen variables, their values are integrated over the 43 vertical layers of POLCOMS-ERSEM to force pelagic 354 355 and demersal HTL species. Only the values in the deepest layer are used for benthic species. Monthly maps for each LTL group and temperature and oxygen variables are shown in Supporting Information 356 357 S9.

358 2.2.3. Ca

Calibration

359 The model is calibrated, i.e., parameters for which an independent estimator is unavailable are 360 estimated, using maximum likelihood estimation based on an optimization method adapted to high-361 dimensional parameter space, namely an evolutionary algorithm available in the package calibraR in R (Oliveros-Ramos & Shin, 2016). The algorithm explores the space of unknown parameters (referred 362 363 to as "calibrated" in Supporting Information S4, Table S3) so as to maximize the likelihood obtained by comparing model outputs to observed data. Data used to calibrate Bioen-OSMOSE-NS are 364 365 fisheries landings (ICES, 2019a), size-at-age from scientific surveys (NS-IBTS-Q1, North Sea 366 International Bottom Trawl Survey (2010-2019), available online at http://datras.ices.dk) and estimated biomasses for assessed species (ICES, 2016, 2018a, 2018b, 2018c, 2019b). The discard rate 367 368 of assessed species is low except for dab and plaice: the data used as landings and biomass for these 369 species includes estimated discards from stock assessments. The biomasses estimated for stocks 370 entirely located within the study area are directly used (herring, sandeel, sprat, sole, and whiting). 371 For stock with a wider distribution than the study area, the biomass data is taken proportional to

April 20, 2022

372 total stock biomass according to the ratio between landings in the study area and total landings 373 (mackerel, norway pout, plaice, saithe, cod, haddock, dab, hake). There is no biomass target value for 374 unassessed species (horse mackerel, grey gurnard, hake, shrimp). The calibration is performed for an average state of the ecosystem for the period 2010-2019 by using observed data averaged over the 375 376 period as target values (Supporting Information S10). The calibration is run using four phases with a 377 new set of parameters to be estimated added at each phase for better convergence of the 378 optimization: the first phase calibrates the LTL group accessibility coefficients only (Supporting 379 Information S5), the larval mortalities are added for the second phase (Supporting Information S4, 380 Table S3), the maximum ingestion I_{max} rates are added on phase three (Supporting Information S4, 381 Table S3), and the maximum fishing mortality rates and the additional mortality rates are added in 382 the last phase (Supporting Information S4, Table S3).

The calibrated configuration is run for 80 years. The first 70 years is the spin-up period, a period during which the system stabilizes. The results presented hereafter are the years after the spin-up period. 28 replicates of the model are run with the same parameterization to account for Bioen-OSMOSE stochasticity.

387 3. Results and discussion

In this paper, we present the Bioen-OSMOSE framework with its first application to the North Sea ecosystem, involving the coupling of the POLCOMS-ERSEM model for the physical and LTLs model with the HTLs Bioen-OSMOSE model. The North Sea trophic network has been intensively studied and modeled, either considering the whole ecosystem (Blanchard et al., 2014; Cormon et al., 2016; Heath, 2012; Lewy, 2004; Mackinson & Daskalov, 2007) or part of it (including the English Channel) (Girardin et al., 2018; Stäbler et al., 2016; Travers-Trolet et al., 2019).

This is the first time that the Bioen-OSMOSE model is used, i.e the OSMOSE model (Shin & Cury, 2004) augmented with a mechanistic description of the emergence of life history from underlying bioenergetics and its response to temperature and oxygen seasonal and spatial variations. It is also, to our knowledge, the first application of a marine ecosystem model considering the impacts of physiologically-induced trait changes in response to food, oxygen, and temperature at the individual, population, and community levels.

400 3.1. Model evaluation

401 The calibration procedure allowed us to estimate unknown parameters to obtain a model 402 configuration that fairly accurately represents the North Sea ecosystem. Particular attention was paid

April 20, 2022

to obtaining satisfactory results for indicators at different biological levels, the final result being a
compromise between indicators used as target (size-at-age, catch and biomass) and emerging
variables (maturation and diet).



3.1.1. The individual level: size structure and maturity ogives



407

Figure 4: Boxplot of size-at-age per species for observed (pink) and simulated (blue) individual data. Horizontal bars represent the first, second and third quartiles. The whiskers' extremities represent 1.5 times the interquartile space (the distance between the first and third quartile). The shrimp group was not represented in this graph, as available observed data are not sufficiently taxonomically resolved to be relevant for this functional group.

April 20, 2022

The simulated mean sizes-at-age correctly reproduce the observed ones (Fig. 4), supporting the credibility of the growth process described by the new bioenergetic sub-model. The Von-Bertalanffylike shape and the indefinite growth are two realistic properties reproduced with our model. As observed in the data, it can be noted that growth is faster during the first years of life and the sizes at older ages slowly tend to an infinite size. The simulated and observed sizes-at-age interquartile ranges overlap for almost all age classes of the species. The simulated size hierarchy between species is consistent with the observed one, which is a key expected property for a size-based model.

The simulated sizes-at-age 1 have generally the poorest fit to observed data. Size-at-age class 1 partly inherits uncertainties linked to size at hatching and to the growth rate at very early stages, notably the larval one, that is imperfectly accounted for by the multiplicative factor of maximum massspecific ingestion rate for the larvae at larval stage θ . In addition, growth during the first year is mainly driven by food limitation implying that the size-at-age class 1 is the result of a complex model adjustment between growth rate, competition and prey accessibility.

426 The variance in size-at-age differs between observed and simulated data, mainly for demersal 427 species. The observed variance in sizes-at-age is the result of macro-environmental variations, i.e, in 428 the abiotic environment (Brown et al., 2004; Gislason et al., 2010; Thomas et al., 2019) and food 429 availability (Brosset et al., 2016), micro-environmental variations, i.e., in undetectable or 430 unaccounted for environmental conditions, and genetic variability in energy allocation inducing 431 variability in size-at-age (Enberg et al., 2012). In contrast, the variance of simulated size-at-age only results from macro-environmental variations. Thus, the species with observed variance higher than 432 433 the simulated variance is because genetic and micro-environmental variances are not modeled here.

434 Comparison of observed and simulated age and size maturity ogives demonstrate the ability of 435 Bioen-OSMOSE to correctly reproduce maturation patterns (Fig. 5). The simulated mean age at first 436 maturation perfectly matches that observed for three species (cod, norway pout, and whiting). In 437 observed data, age is given with yearly resolution. Therefore, we consider that a correct pattern is 438 obtained for seven additional species for which the difference between simulated and observed age 439 at maturity is less than one year (grey gurnard, haddock, hake, herring, mackerel, plaice and sole). 440 The worst deviation is obtained for sprat and saithe with simulated maturation occuring at later ages 441 and larger sizes than that observed. Saithe and sprat have lower mean sizes at early ages than 442 observed ones (Fig. 4) which can explain the late simulated maturation. However, both species have 443 simulated maturation ages that stand within observed ranges, being lower than the upper bounds of 444 observed maturation ages, namely 9 years for saithe in the North Sea (Cohen et al., 1990) and 4 years

April 20, 2022

for sprat (Ojaveer and Aps, 2003) in the Baltic Sea (only mean values were reported for the North Sea population).

447 The use of LMRNs improves the description of the variability in the maturation process compared to 448 the use of fixed age or size at maturity, as is most commonly done in marine ecosystem models, such 449 as previously in the OSMOSE framework (Shin & Cury, 2004) or in other models (Audzijonyte et al., 450 2019). Consequently, individuals from the same size or age class do not necessarily have the same maturity state (Fig. 5), which increases the realism of the life cycle description. For the majority of 451 452 the species, the slope of simulated age and size maturity ogives is higher than the observed one, 453 meaning that the observed maturation process is more variable than in simulations. As for size-at-454 age, part of the observed variability in maturation is determined by genetic and/or micro-455 environmental variability (Law, 2000; van Wijk et al., 2013) and is not modeled here.

April 20, 2022



Figure 5: Age (A) and size (B) maturity ogives per species for observed (pink) and simulated (blue) data. Results are shown for species for which there is enough data to estimate and plot the observed age and size maturity ogives. Age data have a yearly resolution and size data a 2-centimeter resolution. The simulated (blue) and observed (pink) mean age at maturity are represented by vertical lines (A). The

April 20, 2022

460 mean size at maturity is not represented. The observed size maturity ogive is not strictly increasing and461 does not allow a reliable estimation of the maturation size.

3.1.2. The population level: fisheries catches and species biomass 462 463 Given the high statistical confidence in catch data, a greater weight was given to the corresponding 464 likelihood component in the calibration process which resulted in reaching targets, i.e., simulated 465 catches were within the range of observed values, for the majority of species (Fig. 6A). Plaice and dab 466 are the two species for which the simulated catches were the farthest from their targets. Plaice and 467 dab are two by-catch species that are largely discarded. These discards are estimated to be up to 40% for plaice and 90% for dab (ICES, 2018c). The catch data used as target here is reconstructed 468 469 from the landings and discards estimates, which, in case of overestimation of discards, could explain 470 the discrepancy between the target and the simulated values. The poor fit of plaice could also be 471 partially explained because the migrations between the Eastern and Western English Channel stocks 472 are not taken into account in Bioen-OSMOSE-NS (ICES, 2021).

The simulated biomasses are within acceptable ranges (Fig. 6B) and the resulting dominance ranking between species groups respects the ranking based on stock assessment estimations: small pelagic fish are the dominant group with herring as the main species. Demersal species have lower biomasses with saithe and haddock as the most abundant ones. Flatfish are the minority group in the system, which is dominated by plaice.

478 The simulated biomasses of mackerel and sandeel are underestimated compared to the stock 479 assessment biomass estimates (Fig. 6B). Mackerel is a widely distributed stock in the North East 480 Atlantic area and our biomass estimate in the North Sea (proportional to the total biomass in the 481 North East Atlantic according to the ratio between North Sea landings and total landings) relies on 482 the assumption of a uniform fishing effort in the assessed area. A higher fishing effort within the 483 North Sea would lead to an overestimation of the target biomass for the area, which is credible since the North Sea is a historically heavily fished area. An ecopath model of the North Sea (Mackinson & 484 485 Daskalov, 2007) also estimated a lower biomass for this species (980 400 t). Underestimation for 486 sandeel is more troublesome as it is a key forage species in the North Sea (Engelhard et al., 2014), for 487 which the stock assessment is considered to be very detailed with 7 stocks in the area (ICES, 2016). 488 The fact that the Bioen-OSMOSE-NS model does not describe the peculiar overwintering behavior of 489 this species, which buries itself in sand and thus is less vulnerable to fishing and predation in winter 490 (Henriksen et al., 2021), may explain the underestimation of its biomass. The sandeel may also be

April 20, 2022

491 over-consumed by higher tropic level species in our model, indicating a missing forage species or an
 492 over-consumption of sandeel over LTL forced prey.

In Bioen-OSMOSE-NS, flatfish are represented by only the three main species of the North Sea ecosystem. However, there are other flatfish species each with low biomass levels (*Scophthalmus maximus, Microstomus kitt, Scophthalmus rhombus, Platichthys flesus...*) (NS-IBTS-Q1, DATRAS, Piet et al., 1998) but whose total biomass is not negligible (NS-IBTS-Q1, DATRAS, Mackinson & Daskalov, 2007). Thus, the overestimation of plaice biomass may compensate for the absence of these other flatfish in the model that may leave an empty trophic niche.

499 The high biomass of the shrimp functional group, dominated in the ecosystem by the species 500 Crangon crangon and Pandalus borealis, may seem surprising. However, as the micro- and meso-501 zooplankton groups described by the biogeochemical model POLCOMS-ERSEM represent pelagic 502 prey of sizes smaller than 0.5 cm only, we suggest that the shrimp functional group has a broader 503 ecological role in the Bioen-OSMOSE-NS model by actually representing all LTL prey larger than 0.5 504 cm in the water column, whose biomass is critical to sustain the food web. These prey include 505 demersal crustaceans with diel vertical migration such as Crangon crangon or Pandalus borealus as 506 well as more pelagic species such as large amphipods (large Bathyporeia elegans) or euphausiids 507 (Thysanoessa sp., Meganyctiphanes norvegica).



508

509 Figure 6: Fisheries catches (A) and biomasses (B), in thousand tons, per species for stock assessment 510 estimates and simulated data. The boxplots represent the simulated data for 28 replicated

April 20, 2022

- 511 simulations (stochastic model) for the catches and biomasses per species, with the first, second and 512 third quartiles represented horizontally in each plot. The gray bars show the minimal and maximum 513 values observed for catch and biomass estimates from stock assessment for the 2010-2019 period. 514 The species without gray bars for biomasses are not assessed in the area.
- 515
- 3.1.3.

The community level: trophic diets



516

517 Figure 7: Diet in percent of biomass eaten of prey species per size class of the predator species in cm.

518 For each predator species, the last size class (x-axis) includes all the larger individuals.

In Bioen-OSMOSE, the diet emerging from opportunistic predation reflects the species' relative abundances, their sizes and their spatio-temporal overlap. There are no pre-established predatorprey diet matrix in the parameterization of the model so confronting the output diets to observed ones, especially in terms of species composition, is a way to validate the model properties.

The simulated diets show patterns that are consistent with observations (Fig. 7). The model reproduces correctly observed ontogenetic diet shifts (Timmerman et al., 2020). The prey composition shifts between pelagic early-life stages (size class 0-10 cm for fish species and 0-3 cm for the shrimp group) and the older life stages for all species. There are different emerging diet patterns

April 20, 2022

527 depending on the predator's position in the water column. The pelagic species diet is dominated by 528 phyto- and especially zoo-planktonic prey, which is consistent with studies on sprat and herring (De 529 Silva, 1973; Last, 1989; Raab et al., 2012). The benthic species diet is composed of benthic LTL groups and the shrimp functional group, similarly to results obtained by an isotopic study (Timmerman et al., 530 531 2021), by plaice and sole stomach content studies for adults (Rijnsdorp & Vingerhoed, 2001) and for 532 juveniles (Amara et al., 2001) with smaller prey for sole than for plaice and dab of the same size 533 (Amara et al., 2001). The demersal species have an intermediate diet composition with a high degree 534 of piscivory for the larger fish. There is a steady increase in piscivory with size, mainly for demersal 535 species, as shown empirically for whiting, cod, saithe and haddock in the area (Robb & Hislop, 1980; 536 Timmerman et al., 2020) but not for norway pout (Robb & Hislop, 1980). In addition, there is a 537 significant part of benthic prey in the pelagic species diet, which correctly represents the strong 538 pelagic-benthic coupling in this area (Giraldo et al., 2017; Timmerman et al., 2021): the pelagic 539 piscivorous fish (mackerel and horse mackerel) also feed on benthic prey which represents half of 540 their diet (Giraldo et al., 2017).

541 3.2. The physiological level: spatial pattern

New outputs and original questions emerge from the physiological responses of metabolic rates to biotic and abiotic variables and can be explored with the Bioen-OSMOSE model. The representation of emergent spatially and seasonally varying bioenergetic fluxes is an example of the new features brought by Bioen-OSMOSE that can help improve our understanding of the relationship between temperature and ecosystem dynamics, which is crucial in the context of global warming (Lindmark et al., 2022). This spatial and seasonal variability of metabolism in relation to temperature variation is often under-studied.

The simulated adult mean mass-specific net energy rate for new tissue production $\overline{e_p}$, is the ratio 549 550 between the population mean mass-specific net energy rate (see Eq. 9) and the weight at the exponent β and it drives the energy allocated to growth and reproduction. It is spatially represented 551 552 as an output example (Fig. 8). This spatial representation of emerging bioenergetic fluxes highlights 553 the high variability of mean mass-specific net energy rate for three widely distributed species in the North Sea ecosystem with contrasted thermal preferences: cod, herring and dab (quoted by 554 555 increasing physiological optimum temperature T_{opt} , defined in Fig. 2). The spatial pattern of mean mass-specific net energy is mainly explained by species thermal preferences. The species with the 556 557 lowest thermal preference (cod) has a greater net energy acquisition in the northern part of the area 558 where the water is colder on average. The opposite pattern emerges for the species with the highest

April 20, 2022

thermal preference (dab). There is a better energy acquisition in the south where the average 559 560 temperature is higher than in the north. A similar spatial pattern for growth rate was predicted as 561 outputs of a single-species bioenergetic model for two thermophilic flatfish in the North Sea (Teal et al., 2012). Herring, which has an intermediate thermal preference, exhibits a more spatially 562 563 homogeneous emerging mean mass-specific net energy rate. During the period described by our model (2010-2019), temperature is the main driver of spatial variability in bioenergetic fluxes for 564 565 these three species. The spatial distribution of food has little or no impact on adult energy acquisition for these examples as we observe that simulated food ingestion frequently reaches the maximum at 566 567 the adult stage (results not shown). Likewise, oxygen saturation has no impact on the emerging 568 spatial pattern because oxygen saturation is not low enough to become a primary driver of 569 bioenergetic fluxes (Vaquer-Sunyer & Duarte, 2008, Supporting Information S10).



57

Figure 8: Spatial variability of the mean adult mass-specific net energy rate available for new tissue production, per model cell for cod, herring and dab. These three species are distributed over the whole modeled area although they have different optimum temperatures T_{opt} . Cod, herring and dab mean adult mass-specific net energy available rate for new tissue production averaged over the area are 7.4, 3.3, and 2.7 g. $g^{-\beta}$, respectively. Spatial variations of this bioenergetic flux can be driven by temperature, oxygen and food variation.

577 4. Conclusion

April 20, 2022

578 Applying Bioen-OSMOSE to the North Sea allows demonstrating the feasibility of its parameterization 579 for several species with different levels of available knowledge and allows evaluating the framework 580 capabilities. Bioen-OSMOSE-NS simulates many different outcomes that convincingly reproduce 581 observations such as biomasses, catches, sizes at age, maturation ogives, and diets. The model also 582 produces compelling spatial responses of the bioenergetic fluxes to temperature variations.

583 The Bioen-OSMOSE framework is also intended to be used for hindcast or forecast simulations. 584 Hindcasting could help disentangling the effects of temperature increase and/or oxygen depletion on 585 the historical trends in life-history traits. Hindcasting with Bioen-OSMOSE could also be useful to 586 understand the contribution of temperature- and oxygen-induced physiological changes in 587 population and community dynamic alterations that were observed in past periods. Given the 588 increasing need to reliably forecast biodiversity under future climate change scenarios, we believe 589 that Bioen-OSMOSE will also allow improving projections of regional ecosystem dynamics by taking 590 into account future individual-level physiological changes and their consequences at the population 591 and community levels.

592 Acknowledgements

593 This work has been partially funded by the BiodivErsA and Belmont Forum project SOMBEE 594 (BiodivScen programme, ANR contract n°ANR-18-EBI4-0003-01). Alaia Morell was supported by a 595 PhD grant from Ifremer and Région Hauts-de-France. The POLCOMS-ERSEM projections were 596 produced with funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme 597 under grant agreement No 678193 (CERES, Climate Change and European Aquatic Resources). The 598 acknowledge the Pôle de Calcul de Données authors et Marines (PCDM, http://www.ifremer.fr/pcdm) for providing DATARMOR storage, data access, computational 599 600 resources, visualization and support services. Yunne-Jai Shin acknowledges funding support from the 601 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 602 869300 (FutureMARES) and the Pew marine fellows programme.

603 Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they do not have personal interest that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

606 Author Contributions

Yunne-Jai Shin and Bruno Ernande conceived and supervised the project. Bruno Ernande and AlaiaMorell conceived the concepts of the new model developments. Nicolas Barrier and Alaia Morell

April 20, 2022

developed the code and validated the model functioning. Alaia Morell gathered the data for the model parameterization. Bruno Ernande and Alaia Morell conceived and developed the scripts for the parameter estimation. Ghassen Halouani, Morgane Travers and Alaia Morell parameterized the model. All authors interpreted the model outputs. Nicolas Barrier, Ghassen Halouani, Morgane Travers and Alaia Morell performed the model calibration. Alaia Morell wrote the first paper draft. All authors contributed critically to the revisions of the manuscript and gave final approval for submission.

616 Data Archiving

The Bioen-OSMOSE-NS configuration and its associated version of the Bioen-OSMOSE model executable will be deposited on Zenodo. Model code will be available on Github. The scripts developed to estimate Bioen-OSMOSE-NS parameters are available on Github.

620 **References**

Albouy, C., Velez, L., Coll, M., Colloca, F., Le Loc'h, F., Mouillot, D., and Gravel, D. (2014). From

622 projected species distribution to food-web structure under climate change. Global Change Biology

623 20, 730–741. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12467.

624 Amara, R., Laffargue, P., Dewarumez, J.M., Maryniak, C., Lagardére, F., and Luzac, C. (2001). Feeding

625 ecology and growth of O-group flatfish (sole, dab and plaice) on a nursery ground (Southern Bight of

the North Sea). Journal of Fish Biology 58, 788–803. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-

627 8649.2001.tb00531.x.

Andersen, K.H. (2019). Fish Ecology, Evolution, and Exploitation: A New Theoretical Synthesis.

629 Princeton University Press.

Angilletta, M.J. (2009). Thermal Adaptation: A Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis (OUP Oxford).

- Arcus, V.L., Prentice, E.J., Hobbs, J.K., Mulholland, A.J., Van der Kamp, M.W., Pudney, C.R., Parker,
- 632 E.J., and Schipper, L.A. (2016). On the Temperature Dependence of Enzyme-Catalyzed Rates.
- 633 Biochemistry, 55(12), 1681–1688. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.5b01094.
- Audzijonyte, A., Pethybridge, H., Porobic, J., Gorton, R., Kaplan, I., and Fulton, E.A. (2019). Atlantis: A
- 635 spatially explicit end-to-end marine ecosystem model with dynamically integrated physics, ecology
- and socio-economic modules. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 10, 1814–1819.
- 637 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13272.

- 638 Blanchard, J.L., Andersen, K.H., Scott, F., Hintzen, N.T., Piet, G., and Jennings, S. (2014). Evaluating
- 639 targets and trade-offs among fisheries and conservation objectives using a multispecies size
- 640 spectrum model. Journal of Applied Ecology 51, 612–622. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12238.
- Boukal, D.S., Dieckmann, U., Enberg, K., Heino, M., and Jørgensen, C. (2014). Life-history implications
- of the allometric scaling of growth. Journal of Theoretical Biology 359, 199–207.
- 643 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.05.022.
- Breitburg, D., Levin, L.A., Oschlies, A., Grégoire, M., Chavez, F.P., Conley, D.J., Garçon, V., Gilbert, D.,
- 645 Gutiérrez, D., Isensee, K., et al. (2018). Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters.
- 646 Science 359, eaam7240. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam7240.
- 647 Brosset, P., Le Bourg, B., Costalago, D., Bănaru, D., Van beveren, E., Bourdeix, J., Fromentin, J.,
- 648 Ménard, F., and Saraux, C. (2016). Linking small pelagic dietary shifts with ecosystem changes in the
- 649 Gulf of Lions. Marine Ecology Progress Series 554, 157–171. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11796.
- 650 Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M., and West, G.B. (2004). TOWARD A METABOLIC
- 651 THEORY OF ECOLOGY. Ecology 85, 1771–1789. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-9000.
- 652 Butenschön, M., Clark, J., Aldridge, J.N., Allen, J.I., Artioli, Y., Blackford, J., Bruggeman, J., Cazenave,
- P., Ciavatta, S., Kay, S., et al. (2016). ERSEM 15.06: a generic model for marine biogeochemistry and
- the ecosystem dynamics of the lower trophic levels. Geoscientific Model Development 9, 1293–1339.
- 655 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1293-2016.
- 656 Charnov, E.L., Turner, T.F., and Winemiller, K.O. (2001). Reproductive constraints and the evolution
- of life histories with indeterminate growth. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98,
- 658 9460–9464. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.161294498.
- 659 Christensen, V., and Walters, C.J. (2004). Ecopath with Ecosim: methods, capabilities and limitations.
- 660 Ecological Modelling 172, 109–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2003.09.003.
- 661 Clarke, A. (2019). Energy Flow in Growth and Production. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 34, 502–509.
 662 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.02.003.
- 663 Cohen, D.M., Inada, T., Iwamoto, T., and Scialabba, N. (1990). Gadiform fishes of the world (Order
- 664 Gadiformes). An annotated and illustrated catalogue of cods, hakes, grenadiers and other gadiform
- fishes known to date. FAO Fish. Synop. 125(10). Rome: FAO. Vol. 10., 442 p.

- 666 Cormon, X., Kempf, A., Vermard, Y., Vinther, M., and Marchal, P. (2016). Emergence of a new
- 667 predator in the North Sea: evaluation of potential trophic impacts focused on hake, saithe, and
- 668 Norway pout. ICES Journal of Marine Science 73, 1370–1381.
- 669 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw050.
- 670 De Silva, S.S. (1973). Food and feeding habits of the herring Clupea harengus and the sprat C.
- 671 sprattus in inshore waters of the west coast of Scotland. Marine Biology 20, 282–290.
- 672 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00354272.
- 673 Enberg, K., Jørgensen, C., Dunlop, E.S., Varpe, Ø., Boukal, D.S., Baulier, L., Eliassen, S., and Heino, M.
- 674 (2012). Fishing-induced evolution of growth: concepts, mechanisms and the empirical evidence:
- Fishing-induced evolution of growth. Marine Ecology 33, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-
- 676 0485.2011.00460.x.
- 677 Engelhard, G.H., Peck, M.A., Rindorf, A., C. Smout, S., van Deurs, M., Raab, K., Andersen, K.H., Garthe,
- 678 S., Lauerburg, R.A.M., Scott, F., et al. (2014). Forage fish, their fisheries, and their predators: who
- drives whom? ICES Journal of Marine Science 71, 90–104. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fst087.
- 680 Fernandes, J.A., Cheung, W.W.L., Jennings, S., Butenschön, M., de Mora, L., Frölicher, T.L., Barange,
- 681 M., and Grant, A. (2013). Modelling the effects of climate change on the distribution and production
- of marine fishes: accounting for trophic interactions in a dynamic bioclimate envelope model. Global
- 683 Change Biology 19, 2596–2607. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12231.
- 684 Gillooly, James.F., Charnov, E.L., West, G.B., Savage, V.M., and Brown, J.H. (2002). Effects of size and 685 temperature on developmental time. Nature 417, 70–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/417070a.
- 686 Giraldo, C., Ernande, B., Cresson, P., Kopp, D., Cachera, M., Travers-Trolet, M., and Lefebvre, S.
- 687 (2017). Depth gradient in the resource use of a fish community from a semi-enclosed sea: Benthic-
- 688 pelagic coupling in fish diet. Limnology and Oceanography 62, 2213–2226.
- 689 https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10561.
- 690 Girardin, R., Fulton, E.A., Lehuta, S., Rolland, M., Thébaud, O., Travers-Trolet, M., Vermard, Y., and
- 691 Marchal, P. (2018). Identification of the main processes underlying ecosystem functioning in the
- Eastern English Channel, with a focus on flatfish species, as revealed through the application of the
- Atlantis end-to-end model. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 201, 208–222.
- 694 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2016.10.016.

- Gislason, H., Daan, N., Rice, J.C., and Pope, J.G. (2010). Size, growth, temperature and the natural
- 696 mortality of marine fish. Fish and Fisheries 11, 149–158. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-
- 697 2979.2009.00350.x.
- 698 Heath, M.R. (2012). Ecosystem limits to food web fluxes and fisheries yields in the North Sea
- simulated with an end-to-end food web model. Progress in Oceanography 102, 42–66.
- 700 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.03.004.
- Heino, M., Dieckmann, U., and Godø, O.R. (2002). Measuring probabilistic reaction norms for age and
- size at maturation. Evolution 56, 669–678. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3061650
- Henriksen, O., Rindorf, A., Mosegaard, H., Payne, M.R., and van Deurs, M. (2021). Get up early:
- 704 Revealing behavioral responses of sandeel to ocean warming using commercial catch data. Ecology
- and Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8310.
- Heymans, J.J., Bundy, A., Christensen, V., Coll, M., de Mutsert, K., Fulton, E.A., Piroddi, C., Shin, Y.-J.,
- 707 Steenbeek, J., and Travers-Trolet, M. (2020). The Ocean Decade: A True Ecosystem Modeling
- 708 Challenge. Frontiers in Marine Science 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.554573
- Holling, C.S. (1959). The Components of Predation as Revealed by a Study of Small-Mammal
- 710 Predation of the European Pine Sawfly1. The Canadian Entomologist 91, 293–320.
- 711 https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent91293-5.
- Holt, R.E., and Jorgensen, C. (2014). Climate warming causes life-history evolution in a model for
- Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua). Conservation Physiology 2, cou050–cou050.
- 714 https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cou050.
- 715 ICES (2016). Report of the Benchmark Workshop on Sandeel (WKSand 2016). (31 October 4
- 716 November. No. ICES CM 2016/ACOM:33).
- 717 ICES (2018a). Report of the Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area South of 62°N (HAWG)
- 718 (29-31 January 2018 and 12-20 March 2018).
- 719 ICES (2018b). Report of the Working Group for the Bay of Biscay and the Iberian Waters Ecoregion
- 720 (WGBIE) (3-10 May 2018. No. ICES CM 2018/ACOM:12).
- 721 ICES (2018c). Report of the Working Group on the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea
- 722 and Skagerrak (WGNSSK) (24 April 3 May 2018).

- 723 ICES (2019a). Catches in FAO area 27 by country, species, area and year as provided by the national
- authorities. Source: Eurostat/ICES data compilation of catch statistics ICES 2019, Copenhagen.
- 725 Version: 16-09-2019.
- 726 ICES (2019b). Working group on widely distributed stocks (WGWIDE). ICES Scientific Reports. 1:36.
- 727 948 pp. http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.5574.
- 728 ICES (2021). Working Group for the Assessment of Demersal Stocks in the North Sea and Skagerrak
- 729 (WGNSSK) (ICES Scientific Reports).
- Johnson, F.H., and Lewin, I. (1946). The growth rate of E. coli in relation to temperature, quinine and
- coenzyme. Journal of Cellular and Comparative. Physiology, 28(1), 47–75.
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1030280104.
- 733 Kooijman, S.A.L.M. (2010). Dynamic Energy Budget Theory for Metabolic Organisation. Cambridge
- 734 University Press.
- 735 Laffoley, D., and Baxter, J.M. (2019). Ocean deoxygenation : everyone's problem (IUCN).
- 736 https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2019.13.en
- Last, J.M. (1989). The food of herring, Clupea harengus, in the North Sea, 1983–1986. Journal of Fish
- 738 Biology 34, 489–501. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1989.tb03330.x.
- 739 Lavaud, R., Thomas, Y., Pecquerie, L., Benoît, H.P., Guyondet, T., Flye-Sainte-Marie, J., and Chabot, D.
- 740 (2019). Modeling the impact of hypoxia on the energy budget of Atlantic cod in two populations of
- the Gulf of Saint-Lawrence, Canada. Journal of Sea Research 143, 243–253.
- 742 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2018.07.001.
- Law, R. (2000). Fishing, selection, and phenotypic evolution. ICES J Mar Sci 57, 659–668.
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0731.
- Lefort, S., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Arsouze, T., Gehlen, M., and Maury, O. (2015). Spatial and body-size
- 746 dependent response of marine pelagic communities to projected global climate change. Global
- 747 Change Biology 21, 154–164. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12679.
- Lester, N.P., Shuter, B.J., and Abrams, P.A. (2004). Interpreting the von Bertalanffy model of somatic
- growth in fishes: the cost of reproduction. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological
- 750 Sciences 271, 1625–1631. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2778.

- 751 Lewy, P. (2004). A stochastic age-length-structured multispecies model applied to North Sea stocks.
- 752 33. https://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/CM%20Doccuments/2004/FF/FF2004.pdf
- Lindmark, M., Audzijonyte, A., Blanchard, J.L., and Gårdmark, A. (2022). Temperature impacts on fish
- 754 physiology and resource abundance lead to faster growth but smaller fish sizes and yields under
- varming. Global Change Biology. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16341.
- 756 Mackinson, S., and Daskalov, G. (2007). An ecosystem model of the North Sea to support an
- 757 ecosystem approach to fisheries management: description and parameterisation.
- 758 https://www.cefas.co.uk/publications/techrep/tech142.pdf
- 759 Maury, O. (2010). An overview of APECOSM, a spatialized mass balanced "Apex Predators ECOSystem
- 760 Model" to study physiologically structured tuna population dynamics in their ecosystem. Progress in
- 761 Oceanography 84, 113–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.09.013.
- 762 Moullec, F., Barrier, N., Guilhaumon, F., Marsaleix, P., Somot, S., and Shin, Y.-J. (2019). An End-to-End
- model reveals losers and winners in a warming Mediterranean Sea. Front. Mar. Sci. 6.
- 764 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00345.
- 765 Ojaveer, E., and Aps, R. (2003). Sprat, Sprattus sprattus balticus (Schn.). p. 79-87. Fishes of Estonia.
- 766 Estonian Academy Publishers, Tallinn. 416 p.
- 767 Oliveros-Ramos, R., and Shin, Y.-J. (2016). calibrar: an R package for fitting complex ecological
- 768 models. 25. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1603.03141
- 769 Pawar, S., Dell, A.I., and Savage, V.M. (2015). From Metabolic Constraints on Individuals to the
- Dynamics of Ecosystems. In Aquatic Functional Biodiversity, (Elsevier), pp. 3–36.
- 771 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417015-5.00001-3
- 772 Piet, G.J., Pfisterer, A.B., and Rijnsdorp, A.D. (1998). On factors structuring the flatfish assemblage in
- the southern North Sea. Journal of Sea Research 40, 143–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-
- 774 1101(98)00008-2.
- Pikitch, E.K., Santora, C., Babcock, E.A., Bakun, A., Bonfil, R., Conover, D.O., Dayton, P., Doukakis, P.,
- 776 Fluharty, D., Heneman, B., et al. (2004). Ecosystem-Based Fishery Management. Science 305, 346–
- 777 347. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1098222.

- Pörtner, H.O. (2001). Climate change and temperature-dependent biogeography: oxygen limitation
- of thermal tolerance in animals. Naturwissenschaften 88, 137–146.
- 780 https://doi.org/10.1007/s001140100216
- 781 Quince, C., Abrams, P.A., Shuter, B.J., and Lester, N.P. (2008). Biphasic growth in fish I: Theoretical
- foundations. Journal of Theoretical Biology 254, 197–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2008.05.029.
- 783 Raab, K., Nagelkerke, L. a. J., Boerée, C., Rijnsdorp, A.D., Temming, A., and Dickey-Collas, M. (2012).
- 784 Dietary overlap between the potential competitors herring, sprat and anchovy in the North Sea.
- 785 Marine Ecology Progress Series 470, 101–111. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09919.
- 786 Rijnsdorp, A.D., and Vingerhoed, B. (2001). Feeding of plaice Pleuronectes platessa L. and sole Solea
- solea (L.) in relation to the effects of bottom trawling. Journal of Sea Research 45, 219–229.
- 788 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101(01)00047-8.
- Robb, A.P., and Hislop, J.R.G. (1980). The food of five gadoid species during the pelagic O-group
- phase in the northern North Sea. Journal of Fish Biology 16, 199–217.
- 791 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1980.tb03699.x.
- 792 Rose, K.A., Allen, J.I., Artioli, Y., Barange, M., Blackford, J., Carlotti, F., Cropp, R., Daewel, U., Edwards,
- 793 K., Flynn, K., et al. (2010). End-To-End Models for the Analysis of Marine Ecosystems: Challenges,
- Issues, and Next Steps. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 2, 115–130. https://doi.org/10.1577/C09-059.1.
- 795 Serpetti, N., Baudron, A.R., Burrows, M.T., Payne, B.L., Helaouët, P., Fernandes, P.G., and Heymans,
- J.J. (2017). Impact of ocean warming on sustainable fisheries management informs the Ecosystem
- 797 Approach to Fisheries. Sci Rep 7, 13438. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13220-7.
- 798 Shin, Y.-J., and Cury, P. (2004). Using an individual-based model of fish assemblages to study the
- response of size spectra to changes in fishing. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 61,
- 800 414–431. https://doi.org/10.1139/f03-154.
- 801 Stäbler, M., Kempf, A., Mackinson, S., Poos, J.J., Garcia, C., and Temming, A. (2016). Combining
- 802 efforts to make maximum sustainable yields and good environmental status match in a food-web
- 803 model of the southern North Sea. Ecological Modelling 331, 17–30.
- 804 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.01.020.
- 805 Stearns, S.C. (1992). The Evolution of Life Histories (OUP Oxford).

- 806 Stearns, S.C., and Koella, J.C. (1986). The Evolution of Phenotypic Plasticity in Life-History Traits:
- 807 Predictions of Reaction Norms for Age and Size at Maturity. Evolution 40, 893–913.
- 808 https://doi.org/10.2307/2408752.
- 809 Steenbeek, J., Buszowski, J., Chagaris, D., Christensen, V., Coll, M., Fulton, E.A., Katsanevakis, S.,
- Lewis, K.A., Mazaris, A.D., Macias, D., et al. (2021). Making spatial-temporal marine ecosystem
- 811 modelling better A perspective. Environmental Modelling & Software 145, 105209.
- 812 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2021.105209.
- 813 Teal, L.R., Hal, R. van, Kooten, T. van, Ruardij, P., and Rijnsdorp, A.D. (2012). Bio-energetics underpins
- the spatial response of North Sea plaice (Pleuronectes platessa L.) and sole (Solea solea L.) to climate
- change. Global Change Biology 18, 3291–3305. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02795.x.
- 816 Thomas, Y., Flye-Sainte-Marie, J., Chabot, D., Aguirre-Velarde, A., Marques, G.M., and Pecquerie, L.
- 817 (2019). Effects of hypoxia on metabolic functions in marine organisms: Observed patterns and
- 818 modelling assumptions within the context of Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) theory. Journal of Sea
- 819 Research 143, 231–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2018.05.001.
- 820 Timmerman, C.-A., Marchal, P., Denamiel, M., Couvreur, C., and Cresson, P. (2020). Seasonal and
- 821 ontogenetic variation of whiting diet in the Eastern English Channel and the Southern North Sea.
- PLOS ONE 15, e0239436. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239436.
- 823 Timmerman, C.-A., Giraldo, C., Cresson, P., Ernande, B., Travers-Trolet, M., Rouquette, M., Denamiel,
- M., and Lefebvre, S. (2021). Plasticity of trophic interactions in fish assemblages results in temporal
- stability of benthic-pelagic couplings. Marine Environmental Research 170, 105412.
- 826 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2021.105412.
- 827 Travers, M., Shin, Y.-J., Jennings, S., and Cury, P. (2007). Towards end-to-end models for investigating
- 828 the effects of climate and fishing in marine ecosystems. Progress in Oceanography 75, 751–770.
- 829 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2007.08.001.
- 830 Travers, M., Shin, Y.-J., Jennings, S., Machu, E., Huggett, J.A., Field, J.G., and Cury, P.M. (2009). Two-
- 831 way coupling versus one-way forcing of plankton and fish models to predict ecosystem changes in
- the Benguela. Ecological Modelling 220, 3089–3099.
- 833 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.08.016.
- 834 Travers-Trolet, M., Coppin, F., Cresson, P., Cugier, P., Oliveros-Ramos, R., and Verley, P. (2019).
- 835 Emergence of negative trophic level-size relationships from a size-based, individual-based

- 836 multispecies fish model. Ecological Modelling 410, 108800.
- 837 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108800.
- Urban, M.C., Bocedi, G., Hendry, A.P., Mihoub, J.-B., Pe'er, G., Singer, A., Bridle, J.R., Crozier, L.G., De
- 839 Meester, L., Godsoe, W., et al. (2016). Improving the forecast for biodiversity under climate change.
- 840 Science 353, aad8466. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8466.
- 841 Vaquer-Sunyer, R., and Duarte, C.M. (2008). Thresholds of hypoxia for marine biodiversity.
- 842 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105, 15452–15457.
- 843 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803833105.
- van Wijk, S.J., Taylor, M.I., Creer, S., Dreyer, C., Rodrigues, F.M., Ramnarine, I.W., van Oosterhout, C.,
- and Carvalho, G.R. (2013). Experimental harvesting of fish populations drives genetically based shifts
- in body size and maturation. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 11, 181–187.
- 847 https://doi.org/10.1890/120229.