

Seasonal changes in the photophysiology of Ulva batuffolosa in a coastal barrier reef

Thierry Jauffrais, Maele Brisset, Laura Lagourgue, Claude Payri, Siloë Gobin,

Romain Le Gendre, Simon van Wynsberge

▶ To cite this version:

Thierry Jauffrais, Maele Brisset, Laura Lagourgue, Claude Payri, Siloë Gobin, et al.. Seasonal changes in the photophysiology of Ulva batuffolosa in a coastal barrier reef. Aquatic Botany, 2022, 179, 103515 (9p.). 10.1016/j.aquabot.2022.103515 . hal-04203755

HAL Id: hal-04203755 https://hal.science/hal-04203755v1

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304377022000274 Manuscript_58b0b501ad3e314163843d47bf19c01d

1	Seasonal changes in the photophysiology of Ulva batuffolosa in a coastal barrier reef
2	Thierry Jauffrais ^{1*} , Maële Brisset ¹ , Laura Lagourgue ² , Claude E. Payri ² , Siloë Gobin ² , Romain Le
3	Gendre ¹ , Simon Van Wynsberge ¹
4	
5	¹ Ifremer, IRD, Univ Nouvelle-Calédonie, Univ La Réunion, CNRS, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, BP
6	32078, 98800, Nouméa, New Caledonia
7	² IRD, Ifremer, Univ Nouvelle-Calédonie, Univ La Réunion, CNRS, UMR 9220 ENTROPIE, BP A5,
8	98848 Nouméa cedex, New Caledonia
9	
10	*Corresponding authors:
11	thierry.jauffrais@ifremer.fr; Tel.: +687-352-571; Orcid: 0000-0001-9681-6239
12	
13	Abstract:
14	To assess the photophysiological capacity of the recently described Ulva batuffolosa to form blooms
15	in coral reefs, we monitored its biomass and photophysiological capacity with pulse amplitude
16	modulated (PAM) fluorometry over a year period on a coastal barrier reef in New Caledonia, along
17	with temperature and light. Effective and maximum quantum efficiencies of the photosystem II
18	measured on this Ulva species indicated that the algae was in a "good health" all over the year with
19	high quantum efficiencies under either light (Fq'/Fm') or dark incubated conditions and (Fv/Fm).
20	Photo-acclimation and -regulation status used by this Ulva sp. were driven by seasons (i.e., light and
21	temperature) in the lagoon. Although photo-inhibition was an evidence during the warm period, U.
22	batuffolosa was overall well adapted to tolerate the range of irradiance and temperature that
23	characterized the lagoon over the year, which suggests that photosynthesis is not an impediment to
24	green tides by this species.

Key words: bloom, coral reef, chlorophyte, pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer, *Ulva batuffolosa*,
rapid light curve, NPQ

Highlights : Photo-acclimation and regulation status of *Ulva batuffolosa* were modulated by season *Ulva batuffolosa* was well adapted to support and tolerate high irradiance The combination of elevated temperatures and light damaged its physiology

34 1. Introduction

The photophysiological capacity of Ulva species is a key factor that determine their ability to form 35 36 massive blooms (Cui et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Huo et al., 2021), but it has mainly been characterized in temperate areas and subtropical estuaries, e.g., the Yellow sea, the southern coast of 37 Brazil and Florida (Scherner et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2014; Whitehouse and Lapointe, 2015; Zhang et 38 al., 2015, Huo et al., 2021). By contrast, the photophysiological response of Ulva species to face 39 40 environmental stresses is not clearly defined in tropical and subtropical shallow coral reef lagoons. In 41 these lagoons, temperature is higher than in temperate ecosystems, and light can be higher over longer 42 period. These habitats are characterized by clear waters with a high penetration depth of the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), up to 2000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Kirk, 1994; Pringault et al., 2005), 43 and ultraviolet radiation, up to 2 W m⁻² for UVB and 40 W m⁻² for UVA (Torregiani and Lesser, 2007; 44 Conan et al., 2008; Courtial et al., 2017). In fact, light intensity may fluctuate significantly from 45 second to seasonal scale, with fluctuations that are predictable (e.g., day length and light angle, tide) 46 47 and others that are not (e.g., cloudiness, lagoon turbidity caused by terrestrial run-off or sediment 48 resuspension). In these habitats, Ulva must cope with fluctuating light to optimize its use for photosynthesis and to protect their photosystems from excessive light (Henley and Ramus, 1989; Carr 49 and Björk, 2007, Goss and Jakob, 2010; Zhang et al., 2015). Previous studies on temperate species 50 51 highlighted the great ability and plasticity of Ulva to cope with light (Carr and Björk, 2007, Zhang et 52 al., 2015). Ulva species (e.g., U. prolifera and U. lactuca) were able to keep high photosynthetic capability using the plasticity of the surface and lower layers of their thalli, modifying their pigment 53 54 content and using NPQ, D1 protein, energy redistribution between PSII/PSI and fast recovery ability 55 under low light to avoid irreversible damage to their PSII and PSI (Carr and Björk, 2007; Zhang et al., 56 2015; Wang et al., 2016).

Algae exposed to excessive light energy can implement three processes: photo-adaptation, acclimation and regulation (Falkowski and Laroche, 1991; Raven and Geider, 2003; Huot and Babin, 2010). Photo-adaptation is a long term and genetic process, while photo-acclimation is explained by the macromolecular modification of a species from hours to days/seasonal light conditions (Falkowski and Laroche, 1991; Raven and Geider, 2003; Huot and Babin, 2010). At this time scale, the genetic makeup does not change but the algae can modify the number and size of its photosynthetic apparatus and associated pigments. Finally, photo-regulation processes are more dedicated to manage rapid changes in the light regimes (seconds to minutes) to quickly protect (lower or prevent damages) photosystems from excessive light energy, but without *de novo* synthesis or break down of molecules (Falkowski and Laroche, 1991; Raven and Geider, 2003; Huot and Babin, 2010). It can be achieved by quenching the photochemistry through non-photochemical processes, which dissipates the excitations in the antennae complex into heat (Consalvey et al., 2005).

69 Photosynthesis irradiance curves have been used in the past to assess the effect of environmental 70 factors on macroalgae physiology (Lapointe, 1981), including Ulva species (Figueroa et al., 2009; 71 Scherner et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2014; Whitehouse and Lapointe, 2015; Wang et al., 2016). In this 72 scenario, pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometry is a powerful and commonly used technique 73 based on chlorophyll a fluorescence (Krause and Weis, 1991; Schreiber et al., 1994; Maxwell and 74 Johnson, 2000). It offers the advantage to be fast (Schreiber et al., 1994) and is often used to assess 75 photophysiological response of micro and macroalgae under stressful conditions (Figueroa et al., 76 1997; Figueroa et al., 2009; Scherner et al., 2012; Whitehouse and Lapointe, 2015; Coulombier et al., 2021). Although it has proven to be a useful and powerful tool for the studies of macroalgae 77 78 photophysiology (Ralph and Gademann, 2005), this approach is often considered biased for 79 macroalgae. Variations in the fluorescence signal due to the thickness of macroalgae tissues often 80 hampers direct comparison with oxygenic photosynthesis measurements particularly at high irradiance and comparison between species (reviewed in Enriquez and Borovitzka, 2010). Although imperfect, 81 82 the use of relative electron transport rate (rETR) values instead of ETR is recommended to reduce 83 problems associated with absorptance (*i.e.*, the fraction of incident light absorbed by pigmented tissue) 84 particularly if the organisms or tissues examined have similar absorption cross-sections (Beer et al. 85 2001; Ralph et al., 2002; Enriquez and Borovitzka, 2010). PAM fluorometry also allows to characterize photophysiological state, through the study of dark and light incubated algae. Light 86 incubated algae have their photosynthetic apparatus adapted to manage the ambient light, *i.e.*, all 87 photo-regulation processes are active; whereas, dark incubated algae have downregulated all 88

photosynthetic mechanisms that usually help the algae to cope with the ambient light but withoutmodifying their acclimated macromolecular compounds (Huot and Babin, 2010).

91 In this study, we monitored the photophysiological capacity of Ulva batuffolosa, a species involved in green tides, in a shallow tropical lagoon in New Caledonia, over a year period. We collected the Ulva 92 sp. at different seasons and used PAM fluorometry to quantify their PSII quantum efficiency, 93 maximum relative electron transport rate, their capacity to cope with light at low and high irradiance 94 95 under light or dark incubated conditions, and to dissipate the excess of energy via Non-Photochemical 96 Quenching (NPQ). All measurements were acquired to provide an overview of the physiological state 97 of Ulva batuffolosa owing to environmental and seasonal (light and temperature) photo-acclimation 98 and photo-regulation status.

99

100 2. Materials and method

101 *2.1. Study area*

New Caledonia is located in the Southwestern Pacific Ocean, ~1 500 km east of Australia (Fig. 1). It 102 103 comprises one main large island, known as Grande Terre, bordered by a 1 600 km long barrier reef, which delimits a 23 400 km² lagoon (Andréfouët et al., 2009). The present study focuses on the Poé-104 105 Gouaro-Déva (PGD) lagoon (21.62°S, 165.38°E) located on the west coast of Grande Terre (Fig. 1), a 106 hotspot of tourism activities and one of the Caledonian lagoons registered at the UNESCO World 107 Heritage sites. In this study, we focus on green algal mats located around B21 station in the 108 northwestern part of the PGD lagoon (Fig. 1), at the limit between a wide seagrass bed that borders the 109 coastline and sandy soft-bottom habitats. Blooms of U. batuffolosa in this area were the main cause of 110 the two green tides that occurred in January 2018 and June 2019 (Brisset et al., 2021; Lagourgue et al., in press). In this shallow area (maximum depth ~ 2 m), the seagrass bed is not homogeneous and can 111 112 be intertwined with patches of coral communities (branching Montipora and Acropora) and sand.

113

114 *2.2. Field sampling*

Five field campaigns were performed at 2-4 months intervals to collect green algae and estimate their cover, the 16th May 2019, 09th July 2019, 18th November 2019, 19th February 2020, and 25th May 117 2020, respectively. The cover of green macroalgae was estimated along three line intersect transects of 118 10 m length. Transects were not permanently established, but their location was geo-referenced 119 (handheld GPS Garmin) with a 10–20 m uncertainty, and they were always performed at similar depth 120 and on the same habitat. Along each transect, two to three 0.25 m^2 quadrats were placed on algal 121 patches. All green algae inside quadrats were collected and stored in plastic bags in a cooler for further 122 analyses. For the three last field campaigns, as *Ulva* were rare and absent on transects (see results), 123 samples were collected within a larger screening area (~ 10 000 m²) around transects.

124

125 2.3 Temperature and light condition before and during sampling

Light and temperature that affected the area all along the study period were acquired using regionalmodels and *in situ* instruments.

Light above the water surface was measured during each field campaign using a light meter (Li-cor) and a Li-192 quantum sensor (Li-cor). Light conditions that characterized the PGD area before and during sampling were also extracted from the ERA5 atmospheric reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020). This model provides an hourly time series of variables such as the Surface Solar Radiation Downwards (SSRD, KJ m⁻²) with a one hour time step at 0.25° long and 0.25° lat spatial resolution. For this study, we used data extracted from the nearest grid point to the PGD lagoon (21.75°S 165.25°E).

135 Lagoon temperature was recorded from February 2019 to May 2020 at station B21, or near to it, with 136 high accuracy (±0.002 °C) using RBR® duo loggers. Since gaps affected the time series during one inter-season (no data recorded between 16th May and 09th July 2019), the time series was filled with 137 satellite derived sea surface temperature (SST) estimates. Sea surface temperature was extracted from 138 the Global, daily Multi-scale Ultra-high Resolution (MUR) SST analysis at 0.01° (around 1 km) 139 140 resolution (Chin et al., 2017; https://mur.jpl.nasa.gov/). Data were also retrieved from the nearest grid 141 point from field transects. Comparison with in situ temperature data recorded by the logger and SST estimates highlighted a median difference of ± 0.7 °C. The maximum daily difference reached 2.7 °C 142 during the warm period, but SST data were deemed suitable for the purpose of this study since lower 143 bias were found during inter-seasons (Supplement material SM1). 144

145

146 2.4. Algae biomass estimation

147 The biomass of green algae collected inside each quadrat was determined, all genera confounded. 148 Algae were weighted after sampling (wet weight), and after a drying period at 50°C until a constant 149 weight value was obtained. The resulting biomass (dry weight) was averaged among quadrats (B_Q , g 150 m⁻²) and pondered by the percentage of cover of green algae along the transect (C_T , %) to infer 151 biomass at transect scale (B_T , g m⁻², equation 1).

152

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{O}} \times \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{eq. 1}$$

153

154 2.5. Algae identification

The specimens sampled along each transect were sorted under binocular, pressed-dried on herbarium 155 156 sheets and housed at NOU (herbarium abbreviation follows Thiers (2019)). Fragments were subsampled and preserved in a formaldehyde solution (5% in seawater added with borax) for further 157 158 taxonomical analysis and ethanol 95% for DNA analyses. Morphological and histological observations 159 were performed using an Axio Imager A2 microscope (ZEISS, Oberkochen, Germany) fitted with a Canon EOS 100D camera (Canon, Tokyo, Japan). Species identification were based on the most 160 relevant literature references for *Ulva* species, including Kraft et al. (2010), Horimoto et al. (2011), 161 162 Masakiyo et al. (2014), Krupnik et al. (2018), Melton et al. (2020) and Xie et al. (2020). DNA 163 analyses were conducted separately and are part of a comprehensive phylogenetic and molecular taxonomy study of the Ulvales from New Caledonia (Lagourgue et al. in press). 164

165

166 2.6. Photosynthetic parameters of Ulva batuffolosa over a one-year-period

167 Pulse Amplitude Modulated (PAM) fluorometry measurements were performed during each field 168 campaigns, to provide an overview of the physiological state of the bloom forming *U. batuffolosa* in a 169 diversity of environmental conditions. All measurements were performed under light or dark incubated 170 conditions to discriminate photo-acclimation and photo-regulation status.

Photosynthetic parameters were measured with a PAM chlorophyll fluorometer (AquaPen-P AP 110-P 171 of Photon Systems Instruments, Czech Republic) with a blue light at 470 nm. All measurements were 172 173 carried in a Petri dish (10 cm × 1.5 cm) filled with filtered sea water (50-75 mL, 0.2 µm) on the apical region of U. batuffolosa to avoid a potential impact of self-shaded region. Following microscopic 174 identification, fragments of apical regions were cut and maintained with a perpendicular orientation 175 under the submersible optical probe of the PAM fluorometer. The set up was then placed in a black box 176 177 to avoid disturbance of ambient light during measurements. All measurements were done in triplicate, 178 each time on new fragments to avoid trouble due to light exposure from previous measurements.

179 Light incubated measurements were carried out immediately after sampling on the boat (Aldric, 5.6 m 180 Stabicraft), while, dark measurements were carried out following a dark (very low light 1-181 $2 \mu mol$ photons m⁻² s⁻¹) adaptation of one hour in the laboratory. In between, the samples were kept in 182 relative darkness (2 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) in a cooler during transport to the laboratory, with a small 183 amount of *Ulva* in a large quantity of seawater (2 L) from the sampling site.

184 The effective and maximum PSII quantum efficiency (Fq'/Fm' and Fv/Fm) were measured under light 185 and dark incubated conditions, respectively. These measures were acquired with a saturating pulse at 186 3000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Table 1; Schreiber et al., 1994; Consalvey et al., 2005).

187 Rapid light curves were performed under light and dark incubated conditions with seven incremental irradiances steps (10; 20; 50; 100; 300; 500 and 1 000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) of 60 s. The Ulva 188 189 photophysiological parameters (rETR_{max} (AU), alpha (α), beta (β) and Ek) were estimated by adjusting the model by Platt et al. (1980) to the experimental data (Table 1). In addition, the photo regulation 190 capacity via Non-Photochemical Quenching (NPQ) was measured to assess the photo-regulation 191 capacity and recovery of the Ulva sp. reaction centers to a continuous illumination of 192 1 000 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ with 10 successive light pulses at saturating light and followed by darkness 193 194 for recovery with two quantum efficiency measurements (only on dark incubated Ulva). These NPQ 195 measurements; however, could not be performed during the first field campaign because of a technical 196 problem (Table 1).

199 To test statistically the differences in environmental history conditions that affected green algae 200 collected between field campaigns, we used the conditions of light (SSRD) and temperature that 201 occurred along the week before sampling each campaign. Statistical differences between campaigns 202 were tested using ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis tests when the homoscedasticity and normality hypotheses were not satisfied. When necessary, Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) tests were 203 204 performed after ANOVA and Dunn's tests were performed after Kruskal-Wallis tests to assess the 205 significance of differences between each pair of sampling campaigns. Correlation between photophysiological parameters (rETR_{max}, alpha, beta, Ek) and environmental parameters (temperature, 206 SSRD, PAR) were tested using the Spearman's non-parametric correlation test. For NPQ, a 207 generalized linear model was used to assess differences between sampling campaigns, and time points 208 209 generated by repeated NPQ measurements during the NPQ induction by actinic light and dark 210 recovery period. The statistical analyses were carried out using the R studio v1.2.1578 software 211 (©2009–2019 R studio v1.2.1578) for the environmental data and Statgraphics Centurion XV.I (StatPoint Technologies) for the photophysiological data. All results (i.e., PAM measurements and 212 213 environmental characterization) are expressed as mean ± standard error (SE) in this manuscript.

214

215 **3. Results**

216 *3.1. Temperature and light conditions experienced by collected algae*

217 Over the study period, seawater temperature ranged from 21.46 °C in August 2019 to 29.59 °C in March 2020, with significant short-term variation (Fig. 2). This include a decrease of 2.83 °C in 5 days 218 the week before sampling in July 2019, and an increase of 3.75 °C in 13 days at the end of November 219 2019. As a result, the Ulva species collected during the field campaigns were exposed to different 220 221 history of temperatures (KW Chi-squared = 29.54; p < 0.001), with significantly higher temperature measured in February 2020 (28.09 \pm 0.89 °C) before sampling than before all other campaigns 222 (Dunn's test Z ranging between -4.30 and 4.64; p < 0.05), except for the May 2019 campaign (Z = 223 1.82; p = 0.06; Supplement material SM2). In July 2019 and May 2020, the algae were exposed to 224 periods of lower temperatures, *i.e.*, 23.27 ± 1.31 °C and 24.13 ± 0.44 °C, respectively. The 225

temperature history experienced by algae sampled in May 2019 and November 2020 were similar (25.53 ± 0.25 °C and 25.40 ± 0.77 °C, p = 0.86, Fig. 2).

228 Surface Solar Radiation Downwards (SSRD) also followed a seasonal pattern, but reached highest 229 values in late November 2019 (longest days) and lower values in early June (shortest days, Fig. 2). As a result, the Ulva collected during the different field campaigns were exposed to different light history 230 (KW Chi-squared = 23.52; p < 0.001), with higher values in November 2019 (2 111 ± 1 345 KJ m⁻²) 231 232 than during the other sampling periods (Dunn's test Z ranging from -3.28 to 4.40, p < 0.01). The lowest SSRD were measured the weeks before July 2019 (893 ± 787 KJ m⁻²), May 2019 233 $(991 \pm 868 \text{ KJ m}^2)$, and May 2020 $(1.065 \pm 884 \text{ KJ m}^2)$ field campaigns. Photosynthetic Active 234 Radiation (PAR) measured during field campaigns were higher in November 235 2019 236 $(2042 \pm 51 \ \mu mol \ photons \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}),$ during other field 2019, than campaigns (May $1746 \pm 41 \ \mu mol \ photons \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1};$ July 2019, $1838 \pm 43 \,\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \,\text{s}^{-1};$ 237 February 2020, $1921 \pm 42 \mu$ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). The lowest values of PAR were found in May 238 2020, $344 \pm 36 \mu$ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), because of a cloudy weather during this last field campaign. 239

240

241 3.2. Biomass of green algae

Green algae were abundant during the first two field campaigns, with higher biomass in July 2019 ($B_T = 69.83 \pm 17.10 \text{ gDW m}^{-2}$) than in May 2019 ($B_T = 8.80 \pm 1.59 \text{ gDW m}^{-2}$). During the three other field campaigns, green algae were rare, with no biomass along the three transects nor inside quadrats (Fig. 3). However, *Ulva batuffolosa* was still present around transects.

246

247 3.3. Algae identification

Among the macroalgae identified along or nearby transects in this study, *Ulva batuffolosa* was largely predominant. This species forms dense and moving tufts of entangled, tubular, and branched filaments. Main axes are variable in size but usually measure ~100 μ m or more in diameter, while secondary branches are smaller. They are composed of rectangular to polygonal cells (20*25*20 μ m) with regular contours, forming a coherent mat (Lagourgue et al. *in press*; Supplement Material SM3) of several decimeters in length. They can attach to corals and seagrass, or drift in the water with the currents. This species corresponds to *Ulva* sp.3 in Brisset et al. (2021).

255

256 *3.4. Photosynthetic parameters of the* Ulva *sp.*

The effective (Fq'/Fm') and maximum quantum (Fv/Fm) light utilization efficiency of the *Ulva* sp., *i.e.*, a proxy of the efficiency of the PSII in using light for photochemical conversion, measured under light and dark incubated condition showed high values with Fq'/Fm' = 0.45 ± 0.6 and Fv/Fm = 0.66 ± 0.05 , but did not show very high differences between the five studied periods. No significant differences between campaigns were found for Fq'/Fm' (p = 0.10) and solely the Fv/Fm measured during the last sampling period in May 2020 was significantly lower (p = 0.042, Fv/Fm = 0.58 ± 0.03) than the other ones (Fv/Fm > 0.66, Fig 4B, Table 2).

264 Differences between sampling campaigns were clearly visible using the data extracted from the RLCs (Table 2, Fig 5 and 6). The maximum electron transport rate (rETR_{max}) measured under light or dark 265 incubated conditions were both significantly different with P values below 0.01 (Table 2). This proxy 266 267 of the photosynthetic activity increased from May 2019 (70 \pm 5 AU light incubated condition, 119 \pm 2 AU dark incubated condition) to July 2019 (105 ± 4 AU light incubated condition and 131 ± 2 AU 268 dark incubated condition) and then decreased. Light incubated $rETR_{max}$ values were not statistically 269 270 different from November 2019 until the end of the survey (Fig. 6A, yellow bars); whereas the decrease 271 was more gradual regarding dark incubated values (Fig. 6A, grey bars).

Similarly, the light saturation coefficient (Ek), used here as a proxy of *U. batuffolosa* ability to use "high light" intensity, was also significantly higher in July 2019 than for other sampling campaigns, either for light incubated measurements ($534 \pm 43 \mu$ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ versus between 140 and 240 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, p < 0.001) and for dark incubated measurements ($526 \pm 23 \mu$ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ versus between 300 and 380 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, p < 0.05, Fig 6B and Table 2).

277 The maximum light utilization coefficients, alpha (α), used as a proxy of the algal ability to use "low 278 light" intensity, were also significantly different between periods (Fig 6C and Table 2), but these 279 differences were weaker compared to rETR_{max} and E_k (Table 2). The lowest alpha values were 280 observed in July 2019 (0.21 ± 0.01 light incubated condition and 0.25 ± 0.02 dark incubated condition) and the highest one in May 2019 (0.31 ± 0.04 light incubated condition and 0.32 ± 0.01 dark incubated condition).

The photoinhibition coefficient, beta (β) (Fig 6D; Table 2), was significantly different from zero for RLCs carried out under light incubated condition in February and May 2020 only (Fig 5A), and was higher in February than in May 2020 (p < 0.05, Table 2).

286 The NPQ measurements induced by the actinic light (yellow background on Fig. 7) and dark recovery 287 (grey background) period were implemented to assess the photo-regulation capacity (*i.e.*, the capacity 288 to dissipate the excess of energy as heat) and recovery via NPQ during and following an exposure to 289 light. During the four field campaigns for which NPQ measurements were performed (Fig. 7), July 290 2019 to May 2020, NPQ was used by the Ulva sp. to protect their PS against an excess of light energy. 291 However, the magnitude of NPQ induction and recovery was significantly greater in February 2020 292 and lower in November 2019 (Fig. 7; Table 3). In addition, no NPQ recovery was observed in May 293 2020. No significant correlation between photo-physiological parameters and environmental data 294 could be evidenced with the Spearman non-parametric correlation tests, but the correlation between beta (light incubated) and temperature was near the significant level (rho = 0.87; p = 0.054; 295 296 Supplement Material SM4).

297 **4. Discussion**

The photosynthetic seasonal acclimation of U. batuffolosa was observable by comparing field 298 campaigns using both light and dark incubated data. U. batuffolosa increased its light-harvesting 299 capacity (high rETR_{max}) under "low-light" austral autumn/winter conditions (*i.e.*, May and July 2019, 300 Fig. 5 and 6A). On the contrary, U. batuffolosa lowered its rETR_{max} under "high-light" austral summer 301 302 conditions (i.e., November 2019 and February 2020, Fig. 5). Such acclimation can either be achieved 303 by increasing the number or the size of photosynthetic units (Richardson et al., 1983; Falkowski and 304 Laroche, 1991; Beer et al., 2014). When the number of photosynthetic units (PSU) increases (under 305 low light condition) then both the antenna size and the number of reaction centers increase, enhancing 306 the functionality of the photosynthetic apparatus (*i.e.*, higher rETRmax, alpha and Ek, Beer et al., 2014). Whereas, if only the size of photosynthetic units increases under low-light conditions, this 307 308 should decrease the maximal photosynthetic productivity while increasing the light utilization

309 efficiency (alpha) (Richardson et al., 1983; Beer et al., 2014). In the present study, U. batuffolosa rETR_{max} and E_k were higher for the Ulva collected during field campaigns characterized by lower 310 311 irradiance (PAR) and SSRD history, while the response of alpha is unclear, indicating that photo-312 acclimation was achieved through the adjustment of the number of PSU to maximize light utilization during the lower light austral winter period rather than through the size of the PSU. However, algae 313 collected in May 2020 escaped the trend, suggesting that acclimation capacity of algae was also 314 315 dependent on other environmental factors (e.g., nutrient availability). N-limitation may gradually 316 cause a decrease in photosynthetic pigments (Geider et al., 1993), a decline in Fv/Fm (Cleveland and 317 Perry, 1987; Berges et al., 1996; Jauffrais et al., 2016) and the gradual inactivation of the protein D1 in 318 PSII reaction centers (Campbell and Tyystjarvi, 2012). Protein D1 is a key component in the electron 319 transport chain and its turnover is often a limiting factor in PSII repair rates (Campbell and Tyystjarvi, 320 2012).

321 In February 2020, U. batuffolosa was stressed by the environmental conditions, as shown by the 322 presence of photoinhibition (beta > 0). Photoinhibition could be induced by the high light availability 323 and the higher temperature (>28°C). In May 2020, although Fv/Fm was still relatively high, other photophysiological data indicated that U. batuffolosa was living under stressful conditions at the end 324 325 of the study (rETRmax and β), which was supported by the decline and almost absence of U. 326 batuffolosa biomass in the lagoon (Fig. 3). This decrease in photosynthetic capacity of U. batuffolosa 327 over the study period probably result from the combined effect of season (light and temperature) and a suggested N-limitation, which could explain the decrease in rETR_{max}, finally leading to the induction 328 329 of photoinhibition in February and May 2020.

Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) is a common and important mechanism utilized by algae to tolerate and prevent damages to photosystems, owing to sudden high or fluctuating light levels, by heat dissipation of excessive light energy (Franklin et al., 1992;Uhrmacher et al., 1995; Schofield et al., 1998; Bischof et al., 2006; Enríquez and Borowitzka, 2010). *U. batuffolosa* utilized NPQ over all surveys, *i.e.*, during both austral summer and winter, owing to the high solar irradiance in New Caledonia all year around. NPQ is thus an important photo-regulation mechanism utilized by *U. batuffolosa* in the PGD lagoon, which allows to prevent or minimize photoinhibition in response to short-term changes in irradiance and to maximize photosynthesis and productivity under the highirradiance of this shallow coral reef habitat.

339 However, NPQ can become exhausted under excessive light especially if amplified by other 340 environmental pressure. Reduced NPQ efficiency and lower recovery capacity, such as the difference observed between February and May 2020, can damage protein D1 leading to a decline in 341 photosynthetic efficiency, chronic photoinhibition and production of ROS (Franklin and Forster, 342 343 1997), which may be enhanced by high temperature and nutrient limitation (Franklin, 1994; Campbell et al., 2006). The decline of rETR_{max} until the end of the study is thus probably due to the exhaustion 344 of photo-regulation mechanisms (e.g., NPQ) leading to long-term photoinhibition triggered by the 345 346 combination of high light and temperature.

347

348 5. Conclusion

This study highlights that photo-acclimation and -regulation status of U. batuffolosa are mainly driven 349 350 by seasons (*i.e.*, light and temperature) in New Caledonia. In addition, our data provide significant 351 advances in understanding the ability of this bloom forming species to use and manage light in clear and shallow water habitats. Notably, our study suggests that although U. batuffolosa is likely to suffer 352 353 from long-term and excessive high solar irradiance and high seawater temperatures that will reduce its 354 photosynthetic efficiency and eventually damage its photosynthetic apparatus, this species is still well 355 adapted to support and tolerate the range of irradiance and temperature that characterized shallow 356 tropical lagoon. Thus, it seems that photosynthesis is not an impediment to blooms by this species, 357 which may explain the occurrence of green tides both during the warm and the cold seasons.

358

359 Acknowledgments

This project was funded by Direction du développement durable des territoires, Province Sud, New
Caledonia, grant number C.458-19, ELADE project. The South Province of New Caledonia delivered
the sampling authorizations (N°4406-2018/ARR/DENV).

We would like to thank Miguel Clarke, Benoit Soulard and Alan Choupeau for their logistical support during field campaigns. We also thanks Laurent Millet, Clarisse Majorel, and Claire Bonneville from the "Plateforme du vivant", where genetic analyses were performed to support algae identification.

367 CRediT author statement

TJ: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing - reviewing 368 369 & editing; MB: conceptualization, methodology, software, formal analysis, investigation, data 370 curation, writing - reviewing & editing; LL: methodology, formal analysis, writing - reviewing & 371 editing; CP: conceptualization, writing - reviewing & editing, project administration, funding acquisition; SG: formal analysis, investigation; RL: methodology, software, formal analysis, 372 373 investigation, data curation, writing - reviewing & editing; SVW: conceptualization, methodology, software, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing - reviewing & editing; project 374 administration, funding acquisition 375

376

378 References

- Andrefouet, S., Cabioch, G., Flamand, B., Pelletier, B., 2009. A reappraisal of the diversity of
 geomorphological and genetic processes of New Caledonian coral reefs: a synthesis from
 optical remote sensing, coring and acoustic multibeam observations. Coral Reefs 28, 691-707.
- Beer, S., Björk M., Gademan, R., Ralph, P., 2001. Measurements of photosynthesis in seagrass. In:
 Short FT, Coles R (eds) Global seagrass research methods. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 183–198
- Beer, S., Björk, M., Beardall, J., 2014. Photosynthesis in the marine environment. John Wiley & Sons.
- Berges, J.A., Charlebois, D.O., Mauzerall, D.C., Falkowski, P.G., 1996. Differential effects of
 nitrogen limitation on photosynthetic efficiency of photosystems I and II in microalgae. Plant
 Physiol. 110, 689-696.
- Bischof, K., Rautenberger, R., Brey, L., Perez-Llorens, J.L., 2006. Physiological acclimation to
 gradients of solar irradiance within mats of the filamentous green macroalga *Chaetomorpha linum* from southern Spain. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 306, 165-175.
- Brisset, M., Van Wynsberge, S., Andréfouët, S., Payri, C., Soulard, B., Bourassin, E., Gendre, R.L.,
 Coutures, E., 2021. Hindcast and near real-time monitoring of green macroalgae blooms in
 shallow coral reef lagoons using sentinel-2: A New-Caledonia case study. Remote Sensing 13,
 211.
- Campbell, D.A., Tyystjarvi, E., 2012. Parameterization of photosystem II photoinactivation and repair.
 Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1817, 258-265.
- Campbell, S.J., McKenzie, L.J., Kerville, S.P., 2006. Photosynthetic responses of seven tropical
 seagrasses to elevated seawater temperature. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 330, 455-468.
- Carr, H., Bjork, M., 2007. Parallel changes in non-photochemical quenching properties,
 photosynthesis and D1 levels at sudden, prolonged irradiance exposures in *Ulva fasciata* Delile.
 J. Photochem. Photobiol. 87, 18-26.
- 402 Chin, T.M., Vazquez-Cuervo, J., Armstrong, E.M., 2017. A multi-scale high-resolution analysis of
 403 global sea surface temperature. Remote Sens. Environ. 200, 154-169.
- Cleveland, J.S., Perry, M.J., 1987. Quantum yield, relative specific absorption and fluorescence in
 nitrogen limited *Chaetoceros gracilis*. Mar. Biol. 94, 489-497.

- 406 Conan, P., Joux, F., Torreton, J.P., Pujo-Pay, M., Douki, T., Rochelle-Newall, E., Mari, X., 2008.
 407 Effect of solar ultraviolet radiation on bacterio- and phytoplankton activity in a large coral reef
 408 lagoon (southwest New Caledonia). Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 52, 83-98.
- 409 Consalvey, M., Perkins, R.G., Paterson, D.M., Underwood, G.J.C., 2005. Pam fluorescence: A
 410 beginners guide for benthic diatomists. Diatom Res. 20, 1-22.
- 411 Cosgrove, J., Borowitzka, M.A., 2010. Chlorophyll Fluorescence Terminology: An Introduction, in:
 412 Suggett, D.J., Prášil, O., Borowitzka, M.A. (Eds.), Chlorophyll a Fluorescence in Aquatic
 413 Sciences: Methods and Applications. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 1-17.
- Coulombier, N., Blanchier, P., Le Dean, L., Barthelemy, V., Lebouvier, N., Jauffrais, T., 2021. The
 effects of CO2-induced acidification on *Tetraselmis* biomass production, photophysiology and
 antioxidant activity: a comparison using batch and continuous culture. J. Biotech. 325, 312-324.
- Courtial, L., Roberty, S., Shick, J.M., Houlbrèque, F., Ferrier-Pagès, C., 2017. Interactive effects of
 ultraviolet radiation and thermal stress on two reef-building corals. Limnol. Oceanogr. 62, 10001013.
- Cui, J.J., Zhang, J.H., Huo, Y.Z., Zhou, L.J., Wu, Q., Chen, L.P., Yu, K.F., He, P.M., 2015.
 Adaptability of free-floating green tide algae in the Yellow Sea to variable temperature and light
 intensity. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 101, 660–666
- Enríquez, S., Borowitzka, M.A., 2010. The use of the fluorescence signal in studies of seagrasses and
 macroalgae, in: Suggett, D.J., Prášil, O., Borowitzka, M.A. (Eds.), Chlorophyll a fluorescence in
 aquatic sciences: Methods and applications. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 187-208.
- 426 Falkowski, P.G., Laroche, J., 1991. Acclimation to spectral irradiance in algae. J. Phycol. 27, 8-14.
- 427 Figueroa, F.L., Israel, A., Neori, A., Martinez, B., Malta, E.J., Ang, P., Inken, S., Marquardt, R.,
- 428 Korbee, N., 2009. Effects of nutrient supply on photosynthesis and pigmentation in *Ulva*429 *lactuca* (Chlorophyta): responses to short-term stress. Aquat. Biol. 7, 173-183.
- Figueroa, F.L., Salles, S., Aguilera, J., Jimenez, C., Mercado, J., Vinegla, B., FloresMoya, A.,
 Altamirano, M., 1997. Effects of solar radiation on photoinhibition and pigmentation in the red
 alga *Porphyra leucosticta*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 151, 81-90.

- 433 Franklin, L.A., 1994. The effects of temperature-acclimation on the photoinhibitory responses of
 434 *Ulva-rotundata* blid. Planta 192, 324-331.
- Franklin, L.A., Forster, R.M., 1997. The changing irradiance environment: consequences for marine
 macrophyte physiology, productivity and ecology. Eur. J. Phycol. 32, 207-232.
- 437 Franklin, L.A., Levavasseur, G., Osmond, C.B., Henley, W.J., Ramus, J., 1992. 2 components of onset
 438 and recovery during photoinhibition of *Ulva-rotundata*. Planta 186, 399-408.
- Geider, R.J., Laroche, J., Greene, R.M., Olaizola, M., 1993. Response of the photosynthetic apparatus
 of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* (Bacillariophyceae) to nitrate, phosphate, or iron starvation. J.
 Phycol. 29, 755-766.
- Goss, R., Jakob, T., 2010. Regulation and function of xanthophyll cycle-dependent photoprotection in
 algae. Photosynthesis Res. 106, 103-122.
- Henley, W.J., Ramus, J., 1989. Time course of physiological-response of *Ulva-rotundata* to growth
 irradiance transitions. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 54, 171-177.
- 446 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J.,
- 447 Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo,
- 448 G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D.,
- 449 Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L.,
- 450 Healy, S., Hogan, R.J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C.,
- 451 Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., Thépaut, J.-N., 2020. The
- 452 ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 146, 1999-2049.
- Horimoto, R., Masakiyo, Y., Ichihara, K., 2011. Enteromorpha-like *Ulva* (Ulvophyceae, Chlorophyta)
 growing in the Todoroki river, Ishigaki island, Japan, with special reference to *Ulva meridionalis* Horimoto et Shimada, sp. nov.. Bull. Natl. Museum Nat. Sci. Ser. B, Bot. 37, 155–
- 456 167.
- Huot, Y., Babin, M., 2010. Overview of fluorescence protocols: Theory, basic concepts, and practice,
 in: Suggett, D.J., Prášil, O., Borowitzka, M.A. (Eds.), Chlorophyll a fluorescence in aquatic
 sciences: Methods and applications. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 31-74.

- Huo, Y., Kim, J.K., Yarish, C., Augyte, S., He, P., 2021. Responses of the germination and growth of
 Ulva prolifera parthenogametes, the causative species of green tides, to gradients of temperature
 and light. Aquat. Bot. 170, 103343.
- 463 Jauffrais, T., Jesus, B., Méléder, V., Turpin, V., Russo, A.D.A.P.G., Raimbault, P., Martin-Jézéquel,
- V., 2016. Physiological and photophysiological responses of the benthic diatom *Entomoneis paludosa* (Bacillariophyceae) to dissolved inorganic and organic nitrogen in culture. Mar. Biol.
 163, 1-14.
- 467 Kirk, J.T.O., 1994. Light and Photosynthesis in Aquatic Ecosystems, 2 ed. Cambridge University
 468 Press, Cambridge.
- Kraft, L.G.K., Kraft, G.T., Waller, R.F., 2010. Investigations into southern Australian *Ulva*(Ulvophyceae, Chlorophyta) taxonomy and molecular phylogeny indicate both cosmopolitanism
 and endemic cryptic species. J. Phycol. 46, 1257–1277.
- Krause, G.H., Weis, E., 1991. Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis the basics. Annu. Rev.
 Plant. Physiol. Plant. Mol. Biol. 42, 313-349.
- Krupnik, N., Rinkevich, B., Paz, G., Douek, J., Lewinsohn, E., Israel, A., Carmel, N., Mineur, F.,
 Maggs, C.A., 2018. Native, invasive and cryptogenic *Ulva* species from the Israeli
 Mediterranean Sea: Risk and potential. Mediterr. Mar. Sci. 19, 132–146.
- 477 Lagourgue, L., Gobin, S., Brisset, M., Vanderberghe, S., Bonneville, C., Jauffrais, T., Van Wynsberge,
- 478 S., Payri, C.E., 2022. Ten new species of *Ulva* (Ulvophyceae, Chlorophyta) discovered in New
- 479 Caledonia: Genetic and morphological diversity, and bloom potential. Eur. J. Phycol. 1-21. In
 480 press. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2022.2027023
- 481 Lapointe, B.E., 1981. The effects of light and nitrogen on growth, pigment content, and biochemical-
- 482 composition of *Gracilaria-foliifera* v *Angustissima* (gigartinales, rhodophyta). J. Phycol. 17, 90-95.
- Liu, D.Y., Keesing, J.K., Xing, Q.U., Shi, P., 2009. World's largest macroalgal bloom caused by
 expansion of seaweed aquaculture in China. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58, 888-895.
- 485 Maxwell, K., Johnson, G.N., 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence a practical guide. J. Exp. Bot. 51, 659486 668.

- Masakiyo, Y., Shimada, S., 2014. Species Diversity of the genus Ulva (Ulvophyceae, Chlorophyta) in
 Japanese waters, with special reference to *Ulva tepida* Masakiyo et S. Shimada sp. Nov.. Bull.
 Natl. Museum Nat. Sci. Ser. B, Bot. 40, 1–13.
- 490 Melton, J.T., Lopez-Bautista, J.M., 2020. Diversity of the green macroalgal genus Ulva (Ulvophyceae,
- 491 Chlorophyta) from the east and gulf coast of the United States based on molecular data. J.
 492 Phycol. 57, 551-568
- 493 Platt, T., Gallegos, C.L., Harrison, W.G., 1980. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in natural
 494 assemblages of marine phytoplancton J. Mar. Res. 38, 687-701.
- Pringault, O., de Wit, R., Camoin, G., 2005. Irradiance regulation of photosynthesis and respiration in
 modern marine microbialites built by benthic cyanobacteria in a tropical lagoon (New
 Caledonia). Microb. Ecol. 49, 604-616.
- 498 Ralph, P.J., Gademann, R., 2005. Rapid light curves: A powerful tool to assess photosynthetic activity.
 499 Aquat. Bot. 82, 222-237.
- Ralph, P.J., Gademann, R., Larkum, A.W.D., Kuhl, M., 2002. Spatial heterogeneity in active
 chlorophyll fluorescence and PSII activity of coral tissues. Mar. Biol. 141, 639-646.
- Raven, J.A., Geider, R.J., 2003. Adaptation, acclimation and regulation in algal photosynthesis, in:
 Larkum, A.W.D., Douglas, S.E., Raven, J.A. (Eds.), Photosynthesis in Algae. Springer
 Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 385-412.
- Richardson, K., Beardall, J., Raven, J.A., 1983. Adaptation of unicellular algae to irradiance an
 analysis of strategies. New Phytologist 93, 157-191.
- Scherner, F., Barufi, J.B., Horta, P.A., 2012. Photosynthetic response of two seaweed species along an
 urban pollution gradient: Evidence of selection of pollution-tolerant species. Mar. Pollut. Bull.
 64, 2380-2390.
- 510 Schofield, O., Evens, T.J., Millie, D.F., 1998. Photosystem II quantum yields and Xanthophyll-cycle
- pigments of the macroalga *Sargassum natans* (Phaeophyceae): Responses under natural
 sunlight. J. Phycol. 34, 104-112.

- Schreiber, U., Bilger, W., Neubauer, C., 1994. Chlorophyll fluorescence as a non-intrusive indicator
 for rapid assessment of in vivo photosynthesis, in: Schulze, E., Caldwell, M.M. (Eds.),
 Ecophysiology of Photosynthesis. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 49-70.
- 516 Thiers, B. 2019. Index herbariorum: a global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New
 517 York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium.
- Torregiani, J.H., Lesser, M.P., 2007. The effects of short-term exposures to ultraviolet radiation in the
 Hawaiian Coral *Montipora verrucosa*. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 340, 194-203.
- 520 Uhrmacher, S., Hanelt, D., Nultsch, W., 1995. Zeaxanthin content and the degree of photoinhibition
 521 are linearly correlated in the brown alga *Dictyota-dichotoma*. Mar. Biol. 123, 159-165.
- Wang, Y., Qu, T., Zhao, X., Tang, X., Xiao, H., Tang, X., 2016. A comparative study of the
 photosynthetic capacity in two green tide macroalgae using chlorophyll fluorescence.
 SpringerPlus 5, 775. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2488-7
- Whitehouse, L.N.A., Lapointe, B.E., 2015. Comparative ecophysiology of bloom-forming macroalgae
 in the Indian River Lagoon, Florida: *Ulva lactuca, Hypnea musciformis,* and *Gracilaria tikvahiae.* J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 471, 208-216.
- Williamson, C.J., Perkins, R., Yallop, M.L., Peteiro, C., Sanchez, N., Gunnarsson, K., Gamble, M.,
 Brodie, J., 2018. Photoacclimation and photoregulation strategies of *Corallina* (Corallinales,
 Rhodophyta) across the NE Atlantic. Eur. J. Phycol. 53, 290-306.
- Xie, W.F., Wu, C.H., Zhao, J., Lin, X.Y., Jiang, P., 2020. New records of *Ulva* spp. (Ulvophyceae,
 Chlorophyta) in China, with special reference to an unusual morphology of *U. meridionalis*forming green tides. Eur. J. Phycol. 55, 412–425.
- Xu, Z., Wu, H., Zhan, D., Sun, F., Sun, J., Wang, G., 2014. Combined effects of light intensity and
 NH₄+-enrichment on growth, pigmentation, and photosynthetic performance of *Ulva prolifera*(Chlorophyta). Chinese J. Oceanol. Limnol. 32, 1016-1023.
- Zhang, X.W., Mou, S.L., Cao, S.N., Fan, X., Xu, D., Ye, N.H., 2015. Roles of the transthylakoid
 proton gradient and xanthophyll cycle in the non-photochemical quenching of the green alga *Ulva linza*. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 163, 69-74

541 Tables

542 **Table 1.** Fluorescence parameters, definition, implementation and derivation (adapted from Cosgrove

543 and Borowitzka, 2010; Williamson et al., 2018)

Parameter	definition	Condition	Derivation
F'	The initial fluorescence intensity	Light incubated	
Fm'	The maximum intensity under saturating light	Light incubated	
Fm'm	The maximum value of Fm'	Light incubated	
Fq'	The fluorescence quenched in actinic light	Light incubated	Fm' – F'
Fq'/Fm'	Effective quantum efficiency of PSII	Light incubated	
FO	The minimum fluorescence yield (all RCIIs are open, dark- regulated)	Dark incubated	
Fm	The maximum fluorescence under saturating light (all RCIIs are open with NPQ negligible, dark-regulated)	Dark incubated	
Fv	The maximal variable fluorescence (dark-regulated)	Dark incubated	Fm – F0
Fv/Fm	Maximum quantum efficiency of PSII (dark-regulated)	Dark incubated	<u>Fm - F0</u> Fm
NPQ	Non photochemical quenching	Dark incubated	$\frac{Fm - Fm'}{Fm'}$
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation (µmol photons $m^{\text{-2}}s^{\text{-1}}$)	Light & dark incubated	
rETR	Relative Electron transport rate (through PSII)	Light & dark incubated	$F_{q'}/F_{m'} \times PAR \times 0.5$
rETR(I)	Relative Electron transport rate (through PSII) as function of the irradiance and estimated by adjusting the model by Platt et al. (1980) to the rapid light curve data	Light & dark incubated	$rETR_m \times \left(1 - e^{\left(-\alpha \times \frac{1}{rETR_m}\right)}\right) \times e^{\left(-\beta \times \frac{1}{rETR_m}\right)}$
rETRmax	The maximum relative electron transport rate	Light & dark incubated	$rETR_m \times \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}\right) \times \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$
alpha (α)	The initial slope of the RLC at limiting irradiance	Light & dark incubated	
beta (β)	The slope when photoinhibition occurred	Light & dark incubated	
Ek	The light saturation index (µmol photons m-2 s-1)	Light & dark incubated	$\frac{rETR_{max}}{\alpha}$

544

Table 2. Results of the statistical analysis testing differences in photosynthetic parameters of Ulva 546 batuffolosa between the five field campaigns. Photosynthetic parameters were obtained from rapid 547 light curves performed light and dark incubated conditions. Statistical tests used were either ANOVAs 548 or Kruskal-wallis tests (*). Fq'/Fm' and Fv/Fm stand for Effective and Maximum quantum light 549 utilization efficiency of Photosystem II (PSII); rETR_{max}, maximum relative electron transport rate in 550 AU; Ek, light saturation coefficient in µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹; alpha, maximum light utilization 551 coefficient for PS II; beta, photoinhibition coefficient for PSII. Significant p-values (< 0.05) are 552 bolded. 553

	Light incubated		Dark incubated	
	F-Ratio	P-Value	F-Ratio	P-Value
Fq'/Fm', Fv/Fm	2.60	0.100	3.70	0.042
rETR _{max}	8.32	0.003	13.32	0.001
Ek	15.48	<0.001	4.49	0.025
alpha	3.88	0.037	4.38	0.027
beta*		0.034		0.406

554

Table 3. Results of the general linear model analysis on Non-Photochemical Quenching (NPQ) of *Ulva batuffolosa* between the different field campaigns (month) and over the induction and dark
recovery (light/dark condition). Significant p-values (< 0.05) are bolded.

NPQ

	F-Ratio	P-Value
A: Field campaign	86.20	<0.001
B: Light/dark condition	49.53	<0.001
A:B	1.83	0.012

562	Figure 1	legends
-----	-----------------	---------

563

Fig. 1. Study site. **A.** Location of the Poé-Gouaro-Deva (PGD) lagoon in New Caledonia. **B.** Satellite view of the PGD lagoon, highlighting the location of B21 station. The picture shows/points an underwater view of the green algal during a bloom of *Ulva batuffolosa* at this station.

567

Fig. 2. Environmental conditions that characterized the PGD area over the study period. A.
Temperature, at B21 station, as recorded by loggers (solid line) or satellite derived SST (grey dashed
line). Grey ribbon delimits the daily minimum and maximum temperature recorded by *in situ* loggers.
B. Surface Solar Radiation Downwards (SSRD). Note that night values were not discarded to compute
this time series, but were discarded to compute values reported in the main text. Dashed red lines mark
the five sampling campaigns, respectively on the 16th May 2019, 09th July 2019, 18th November 2019,
19th February 2020, and 25th May 2020.

575

Fig 3. Algal biomass (g Dry Weight m⁻²) recorded during field campaigns along transects with corresponding under water images for illustration. Letters indicate significant differences between campaigns (p-value < 0.05). Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD, n = 3).

579

Fig. 4. A. Effective (Fq'/Fm') and **B.** Maximum quantum light utilization efficiency of the photosystem II (PSII, Fv/Fm) measured under ligh and dark incubated conditions, respectively, for *Ulva batuffolosa* collected in the PGD lagoon during the five field campaigns. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error (SE, n = 3) and * indicates significant differences between field campaigns (pvalue < 0.05).

Fig. 5. A. Light and **B.** Dark incubated rapid light curves (RLC, n=3) expressed as the relative electron transport rate (rETR) as a function of the photosynthetic active radiation (PAR in μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) of *Ulva batuffolosa* in PGD lagoon over one year. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD, n = 3).

590

Fig. 6. Photosynthetic parameters obtained from rapid light curves carried out on light (yellow) and dark (grey) incubated *Ulva batuffolosa* during the different field campaigns. **A.** Maximum electron transport rate, rETR_{max} in AU, **B.** light saturation coefficient, Ek in µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, **C.** Maximum light utilization coefficient for photosystem II, alpha, **D.** photoinhibition coefficient for photosystem II, beta. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error (SE, n = 3) and different letters indicate statistically significant differences (p < 0.05).

Fig. 7. Non-Photochemical Quenching (NPQ) over the induction (yellow background) and dark recovery (grey background) of *Ulva batuffolosa* sampled at the different period of the year and dark incubated. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD, n = 3) and different letters (cf. caption) indicate statistically significant differences between field campaigns (p < 0.05).

Figures

Fig. 1















Fig. 5







