

Isocitrate dehydrogenase wt and IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas display distinct alterations in ribosome biogenesis and 2'O-methylation of ribosomal RNA

Hermes Paraqindes, Nour-El-Houda Mourksi, Samantha Ballesta, Jordan Hedjam, Fleur Bourdelais, Tanguy Fenouil, Thiébaud Picart, Frédéric Catez, Théo Combe, Anthony Ferrari, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Hermes Paraqindes, Nour-El-Houda Mourksi, Samantha Ballesta, Jordan Hedjam, Fleur Bourdelais, et al.. Isocitrate dehydrogenase wt and IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas display distinct alterations in ribosome biogenesis and 2'O-methylation of ribosomal RNA. Neuro-Oncology, 2023, 10.1093/neuonc/noad140. hal-04203242

HAL Id: hal-04203242 https://hal.science/hal-04203242v1

Submitted on 12 Oct 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Manuscript Number: N-O-D-23-00144R1

1 IDHwt and IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas display distinct alterations in ribo-2 some biogenesis and 2'O-methylation of ribosomal RNA

3

Hermes PARAQINDES^{1,2,#}, Nour-El-Houda MOURKSI^{1,#}, Samantha BALLESTA^{1,3,#}, 4 Jordan HEDJAM¹, Fleur BOURDELAIS^{1,4}, Tanguy FENOUIL^{1,9}, Thiébaud PICART^{1,9}, 5 Frédéric CATEZ¹, Théo COMBE^{1,2}, Anthony FERRARI^{1,2}, Janice KIELBASSA², Emilie 6 THOMAS^{1,2}, Laurie TONON^{1,2}, Alain VIARI^{1,2,5}, Valéry ATTIGNON^{1,6}, Marjorie CAR-7 RERE^{1,6}, Jessie PERROSSIER^{1,6}, Stéphane GIRAUD^{1,3}, Christophe VANBELLE^{1,12} 8 9 Mathieu GABUT¹, Danny BERGERON⁷, Michelle S SCOTT⁷, Luis CASTRO VEGA⁸, Nathalie MAGNE⁸, Emmanuelle HUILLARD⁸, Marc SANSON⁸, David MEYRONET^{1,9}, 10 Jean-Jacques DIAZ¹, François DUCRAY^{1,11,*}, Virginie MARCEL^{1,#,*}, Sébastien DU-11 RAND^{1,#,*} 12

- 1. LabEx Dev2CAN, Institut Convergence Plascan, Centre de Recherche en Cancé-14
- 15 rologie de Lyon, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Université de Lyon, Université
- Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Centre Léon Bérard, CEDEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France 16
- 17 2. Synergie Lyon Cancer, Gilles Thomas Bioinformatics Platform, Centre Léon Bérard,
- CEDEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France 18
- 19 3. Plateforme organoïdes 3D-ONCO, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard
- Lyon 1, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Centre de Recherche 20
- 21 en Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL), Lyon, 69373, France
- 22 4. Inovarion, 75005, Paris, France.
- 23 5. INRIA Grenoble Rhône-Alpes, 38330 Montbonnot-Saint-Martin, France
- 6. Cancer Genomics Platform, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, CE-24
- 25 DEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France
- 26 7. Département de biochimie et génomique fonctionnelle, Faculté de médecine et des
- sciences de la santé, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec J1E 4K8, Ca-27
- nada 28
- 29 8. Sorbonne Université, Inserm, CNRS, UMRS1127, Institut du Cerveau, ICM, AP-HP,
- Hôpitaux Universitaires La Pitié Salpêtrière Charles Foix, Service de Neurologie 2-30
- 31 Mazarin, 75013 Paris, France
- 32 9. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Laboratoire de biologie médicale et d'anatomie patholo-
- 33 gique

34	10. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de Neurochirurgie tumorale et vasculaire, Hôpital		
35	Pierre Wertheimer		
36	11. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de neuro-oncologie, Hôpital Pierre Wertheimer		
37	12. Plateforme d'Imagerie Cellulaire, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard		
38	Lyon 1, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Centre de Recherche		
39	en Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL), Lyon, 69373, France		
40			
41	# Equal contribution as co-first or co-last authors		
42	* Corresponding authors: francois.ducray@chu-lyon.fr, virginie.marcel@lyon.uni-		
43	cancer.fr and sebastien.durand@inserm.fr		
44			
45	Keywords		
46	glioma, IDH mutational status, ribosome, epitranscriptomics, ribosome biogenesis fac-		
47	tors		
48			
49	Running title		
50	Ribosome alterations in high-grade gliomas		
51			
52	Issue section		
53	Basic and translational investigation		
54			
55	Key points (3)		
56	 rRNA 2'Ome profiling distinguishes IDHwt and IDHmut adult-type diffuse glio- 		
57	mas		
58	Elevated expression of ribosome biogenesis factors is correlated with IDH mu-		
59	tational status		
60	High grade adult-type diffuse gliomas differentially respond to the RNA Pol I		
61	inhibitors BMH-21 and CX5461		
62			
63	Word count: 4991		
64			

65 Abstract

66

Background: High-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) constitute a heterogene-67 ous group of aggressive tumors that are mostly incurable. Recent advances highlight-68 69 ing the contribution of ribosomes to cancer development have offered new clinical perspectives. Here, we uncovered that IDHwt and IDHmut HGGs display distinct altera-70 71 tions of ribosome biology, in terms of rRNA epitranscriptomics and ribosome biogenesis, which could constitute novel hallmarks that can be exploited for the management 72 73 of these pathologies. Methods: We analyzed (i) the ribosomal RNA 2'O-ribose methylation (rRNA 2'Ome) 74

75 using RiboMethSeq and in-house developed bioinformatics tools
76 (https://github.com/RibosomeCRCL/ribomethseq-nf and rRMSAnalyzer) on three inde-

77 pendent cohorts compiling 71 HGGs (IDHwt n=30, IDHmut n=41) and 9 non-neoplastic

78 samples, (ii) the expression of ribosome biogenesis factors using medium throughput

79 RT-qPCR as a readout of ribosome biogenesis, and (iii) the sensitivity of 5 HGG cell

80 lines to RNA Pol I inhibitors (CX5461, BMH21).

81 Results: Unsupervised analysis demonstrated that HGGs could be distinguished based on their rRNA 2'Ome epitranscriptomic profile, with IDHwt glioblastomas dis-82 83 playing the most significant alterations of rRNA 2'Ome at specific sites. In contrast, IDHmut HGGs are largely characterized by an overexpression of ribosome biogenesis 84 85 factors compared to non-neoplastic tissues or IDHwt glioblastomas. Finally, IDHmut HGG-derived spheroids display higher cytotoxicity to CX5461 than IDHwt glioblas-86 toma, while all HGG spheroids display a similar cytotoxicity to BMH-21. 87 **Conclusion:** In HGGs, IDH mutational status is associated with specific alterations of 88

89 the ribosome biology and with distinct sensitivities to RNA Pol I inhibitors.

90

91

93 Importance of the study (146 words)

94

95 Consistent multi-omics studies have shown that high-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) can be classified into three main groups, *i.e.*, IDHmut and 1p/19g codeleted 96 oligodendrogliomas, IDHmut astrocytomas and IDHwt glioblastomas, based on their 97 98 genetic, transcriptomic and DNA methylation profiles. Recent advances have high-99 lighted the contribution of ribosomes to cancer development and have offered new 100 clinical perspectives. Herein, we show that ribosomal RNA (rRNA) epitranscriptomic and ribosome biogenesis are different in distinct HGG types. We uncovered that IDHwt 101 102 glioblastomas display the most prominent defects in rRNA epitranscriptomics, whereas IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas exhibit enhanced expression of ribo-103 104 some biogenesis factors compared to IDHwt glioblastomas. Moreover, based on their 105 IDH mutational status, HGG-derived cell lines displayed distinct responses to CX5461 106 and BMH-21, two clinically-evaluated inhibitors of the RNA Pol I that transcribes 107 rDNAs. This study identifies a connection between HGG oncogenesis and the ribo-108 some biology, and highlights new therapeutic strategies. 109

111 Introduction

112 High-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) are brain tumors resembling glial cells 113 that display highly heterogeneous prognoses and treatment responses. HGGs comprise three main histomolecular types, astrocytomas, oligodendrogliomas and glioblas-114 115 tomas, based notably on the mutational status of isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) 1 and 116 2^{1,2}. Indeed, glioblastomas are IDHwt, whereas astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas 117 are IDHmut, and can be further discriminated by the heterozygous 1p19q co-deletion occurring in oligodendrogliomas. IDHwt and IDHmut HGGs are associated with distinct 118 119 epigenetic and transcriptomic dysregulations, leading to cancer-specific features. 120 Thus, despite important advances in their histomolecular classification and under-121 standing of their oncogenesis, HGGs remain mostly incurable. For instance, grade 4 122 IDHwt glioblastoma patients treated with the conventional combination of surgery, radiotherapy and temozolomide (TMZ) chemotherapy, display a median survival of only 123 124 15 months. Grade 3 IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma patients treated with 125 radiotherapy and chemotherapy exhibit a much better outcome with a median survival 126 of 10 and 15 years, respectively, nevertheless 20 to 30% of the patients die within the 127 first five years after diagnosis^{3,4}. Therefore, the identification of novel molecular mechanisms dysregulated in distinct HGG histomolecular types may significantly improve 128 129 current therapeutic options.

130 Several studies highlighted that ribosome biogenesis (RiBi) and functions are altered 131 in cancer cells and that ribosomes can support oncogenic functions⁵. For instance, the 132 c-MYC oncogenic activity is in part supported by a dysregulation of genes implicated 133 in RiBi and global protein synthesis⁶. In addition, levels of RiBi are generally increased 134 in cancer cells to support the high protein synthesis demand caused by their exacer-135 bated proliferation rate^{7,8} and therefore, the inhibition of rRNA synthesis specifically 136 kills cancer cells without affecting normal cells^{9,10}. Such observations led to the development of molecules specifically inhibiting RiBi that showed objective responses in 137 clinical trials, such as CX5461^{11–13}. 138

In addition to alteration of RiBi, recent observations suggest that variations of ribosome composition could also occur in cancer and be involved in disease etiology^{14–16}. The ribosome is composed of 80 ribosomal proteins and 4 ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), the latter supporting the enzymatic activity of the peptidyl-bond formation during the translation of mRNAs into proteins. For many decades, the ribosome was considered as a monolithic entity displaying a similar composition in all cells constituting an organism.

145 However, it appears now that the ribosome composition can display some degree of variations, both at the level of ribosomal proteins and rRNA chemical modifications, 146 which contributes to modulate intrinsic translational activities that could shape particu-147 148 lar phenotypes^{17,18}. Variations of the ribosome composition at ribosomal protein levels have been reported in HGGs¹⁹⁻²². In IDHwt glioblastomas, the overexpression of the 149 150 ribosomal protein RPS6 was shown to promote acquisition of glioma stem cell proper-151 ties, a hallmark of the most aggressive IDHwt glioblastomas^{20–22}. In addition, RPL22L1 isoforms are expressed in distinct regions of IDHwt glioblastomas through alternative 152 splicing and induce the production of ribosomes with specific compositions, which pro-153 mote translational bias towards specific mRNA subsets^{15,19,23–30}. In addition to riboso-154 mal proteins, the chemical modifications of rRNA represent one of the major contribu-155 156 tors to ribosome heterogeneity and led to the emergence of the notion of rRNA epitranscriptomics¹⁵. One of the main modifications, the methylation of the rRNA 2'O-ri-157 158 bose (rRNA 2'Ome), occurs at 106 known rRNA sites in humans and the 2'Ome at 159 specific positions are essential for rRNA activity. The catalysis of rRNA 2'Ome is per-160 formed by an rRNA methylation complex composed of the methyl-transferase fibrillarin (FBL) and a single non-coding C/D box small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA or snoRD), 161 162 which guides FBL at specific sites by base-pairing¹⁵. Hence, modulations of FBL or snoRD expression are sufficient to affect rRNA 2'Ome^{23,24,26}. Interestingly, alterations 163 164 of 2'Ome have been observed in cancer and we recently demonstrated by profiling 195 165 primary mammary tumors using the RiboMethSeq approach, that only 40% of the 166 known 2'O-methylated sites are altered, suggesting that only few rRNA sites can tol-167 erate a lack of 2'Ome. Moreover, rRNA 2'Ome alterations are not random since rRNA 168 2'Ome profiles were associated with breast cancer subtypes and tumor grades²⁵. Sim-169 ilarly, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome were described in a cohort of 17 diffuse large B-cell 170 lymphoma samples²⁷ and of 94 acute myeloid leukemia samples²⁸. Importantly, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome at some specific sites can affect both the translation of particular 171 mRNA subsets and cell proliferation^{15,23,24,26} ^{29,30}. To date, whether alterations of rRNA 172 epitranscriptomics occurs in gliomas and contributes to disease etiology remains un-173 174 explored. Here, we investigated whether IDHwt and IDHmut HGGs display alterations in ribosome biology, in terms of rRNA epitranscriptomics and ribosome biogenesis, to 175 176 exploit these features as novel therapeutic targets of these diseases.

- 177
- 178

179 Materials and methods

180

181 Human grade 3-4 adult-type diffuse glioma and non-neoplastic samples

Three cohorts were built: a technical cohort (8 grade 4 IDHwt glioblastomas, 3 nontumoral samples); a test cohort detailed in Table 1 (13 IDHwt glioblastomas, 13 IDHmut astrocytomas, 14 IDHmut and 1p/19q codeleted oligodendrogliomas, 6 non-tumoral samples); a validation cohort (9 IDHwt glioblastomas, 6 IDHmut astrocytomas, 8 IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted oligodendrogliomas). The percentage of tumoral cells was estimated by a neuropathologist as described in Fig.S1. Additional details are provided in Supplementary Information.

189

190 Cell culture

Human IDHwt glioblastoma (5706, N131520), IDHmut astrocytoma (LGG85) and
IDHmut and 1p/19q codeleted oligodendroglioma (BT138, BT237) cells were cultured
as spheres as described in Supplementary Information.

194

195 **Reverse Transcription and real time quantitative PCR**

cDNA synthesis was performed using the Prime Script RT Reagent kit (Takara). Medium throughput qPCR was performed using the Biomark HD system (Fluidigm) as
previously described³¹ (Table S1). The median Ct value of 5 housekeeping mRNAs
was used for normalization.

200

201 **RiboMethSeq**

RiboMeth-seq was performed as previously described using the Illumina sequencing 202 203 technology^{25,32}. To process the sequencing data, a novel nextflow pipeline Ribo-204 MethSeq-nf was developed and is currently available (https://github.com/Ri-205 bosomeCRCL/ribomethseq-nf). This pipeline processes sequencing data as previously described^{25,32,33}. To calculate the C-score, which reflects the rRNA 2'Ome level, 206 207 the novel R package rRMSAnalyzer was developed (https://github.com/RibosomeCRCL/rRMSAnalyzer). The identification of significant alterations in rRNA 208 209 2'Ome levels between groups was performed by applying two consecutive thresholds: 210 the adjusted p-value < 0.05 (Kruskal-Wallis with FDR adjustment); and the mean ΔC -211 score (*i.e.*, difference between the highest and lowest mean C-score of the groups of 212 interest) > 0.05.

213 **IC**₅₀ assay

3.10³ cell spheroids were treated with CX5461 (Sigma-Aldrich)³⁴ or BMH-21 (Sigma-Aldrich)¹⁰. Cell cytotoxicity was assessed by CellToxTM Green Cytotoxicity Assay
(Promega) and by CellTiter-Glo3D[®] luminescent cell viability assay (Promega). Cell
viability was expressed as a percentage of the signal intensity normalized against
DMSO (1%).

- 219
- 220

221 **Results**

222

A standardized approach for large-scale analyses of human samples using Ri boMethSeq

225 Before investigating alterations of rRNA 2'Ome in the three main histomolecular HGGs, 226 we first optimized the recently described RiboMethSeg approach^{25,32,35} to determine 227 reliable quantifications of 2'Ome levels at 106 rRNA sites from patient tumor samples. 228 First, based on the technical cohort (n=11) of IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic 229 tissues, we observed that the C-score, which reflects rRNA 2'Ome levels at specific 230 sites, was similar using either a manual or an automated RNA extraction protocol 231 (Fig.S2). Second, we used the NovaSeq Illumina sequencing platform (up to 10 billion reads) to increase the total number of useful reads (Fig.S3A) and the number of sam-232 233 ples sequenced in a single flowcell.

234 We then randomly separated RNA samples of the test cohort and prepared two inde-235 pendent libraries of 23 samples (40 HGGs and 6 non-neoplastic samples), each library 236 also contained a commercially-available "reference" total RNA. Unsupervised analysis 237 of the entire test cohort using PCA based on C-scores of either all rRNA positions (7055 sites) or the 106 positions corresponding to known rRNA 2'Ome sites, clearly 238 239 distinguished samples depending on the library of origin, as illustrated by the lack of 240 clustering of reference RNA (Fig. S3B, left panels). We evaluated adjustment of Ribo-MethSeq data using the ComBat-seq algorithm, one of the most routinely used tools 241 to adjust RNA-seq data³⁶. Upon ComBat-seq adjustment, no distinction between sam-242 243 ples based on their library of origin was observed in C-scores, including for the two reference RNA (Fig.S3B, right panels). These data demonstrate the efficacy of the 244 245 ComBat-seg algorithm at removing batch effect from RiboMethSeg data.

Based on these results, we developed bioinformatics tools to perform reproducible

247 analyses of RiboMethSeq data arising from large-scale cohorts (<u>https://github.com/Ri-</u>

248 <u>bosomeCRCL</u>).

249

250 rRNA 2'Ome profiles discriminate IDHwt from IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas

251 Using the optimized approach selected above, we then investigated whether alterations of rRNA 2'Ome differentially occur in the three main histomolecular HGGs using 252 253 the test cohort: IDHwt glioblastoma (G, n=13), IDHmut astrocytoma (A, n=13), IDHmut 254 and 1p19g codeleted oligodendroglioma (O, n=14) and non-neoplastic cerebral cortex 255 (NT, n=6) (Table 1). Using unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA), we first evaluated rRNA 2'Ome levels at the 106 known sites in the 46 non-neoplastic and 256 glioma samples (Fig.1). The C-score reflects the rRNA 2'Ome levels as it corresponds 257 to the ratio of the 5' read-end counts at a nucleotide position to the local 5' read-end 258 259 count coverage; and when close to 1, C-score indicates that all rRNA molecules of the 260 sample are 2'O-methylated at this specific site; whereas a C-score below 0.9 reflects 261 a mix of 2'O-unmethylated and 2'O-methylated rRNA molecules. Here, most rRNA 262 2'Ome sites had a score close to 1, albeit some sites were below 0.9, substantiating recent results in human samples from diffuse large B cell lymphoma, acute myeloid 263 leukemia and breast cancer^{25,27,28}. These data further confirmed observations by us 264 265 and others that some rRNA molecules exist without 2'Ome at some specific sites, in-266 cluding in non-neoplastic tissue.

267 Interestingly, all IDHwt glioblastoma samples formed a separate branch (left-hand side 268 of the HCA dendrogram), suggesting that their rRNA 2'Ome profile was clearly different 269 from IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma, as well as from non-neoplastic tis-270 sue (Fig.1). Principal Component Analyses (PCAs) based on the rRNA 2'Ome profile 271 indicated that the PC2 axis (variance=14.1%) strongly differentiated glioblastomas from other samples (Fig.S4A). To ensure consistency between the results of our clas-272 273 sification and the expected outcome of the patients, we correlated PCA axes with sur-274 vivals and mitotic index, as an internal control of tumor sample classification. Con-275 sistent with the known differences regarding glioblastomas and IDHmt HGGs charac-276 teristics, PC2 was significantly correlated with the IDH1/2 mutational status, the mitotic 277 index, overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) (Fig.S4B-D). To vali-278 date these observations, we analyzed rRNA 2'Ome levels using RiboMethSeq on the

technical cohort, corresponding to an independent cohort composed of 8 IDHwt glioblastomas and 3 non-neoplastic tissues. Unsupervised PCA based on the rRNA 2'Ome profile showed that IDHwt glioblastomas could once again be clearly separated from non-neoplastic tissues (Fig.S4E). Altogether, our data revealed that rRNA 2'Ome levels vary in HGGs as well as in non-neoplastic cerebral cortex, and that rRNA 2'Ome profiles discriminate IDHwt glioblastomas not only from non-neoplastic tissues but also from IDHmut HGG types.

286

287 Most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites are sufficient to discriminate IDHwt glioblas-288 toma from IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas

289 To better characterize differences in rRNA 2'Ome profiles between histomolecular 290 HGG types, we then focused on the most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites. To identify such 291 sites, we compared the variability of C-scores at each single site among all HGG sam-292 ples of the test cohort (n=40) using the distribution of the inter-quartile range (IQR). A 293 set of 19 sites showed an IQR higher than median + 2 × median absolute deviation 294 (mad) and were considered as the most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites among HGGs (red, 295 Fig.2A), in agreement with our recent findings that only a subset of rRNA sites displays variability in 2'Ome levels²⁵. Interestingly, PCAs indicated that rRNA 2'Ome profiles 296 297 based on this set of 19 sites are sufficient to discriminate IDHwt glioblastomas, IDHmut 298 astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas (Fig.2B).

299 To validate these observations, we used a second, independent validation cohort of 300 23 HGG samples (9 IDHwt glioblastomas, 6 IDHmut astrocytomas and 8 IDHmut, 301 1p/19g codeleted oligodendrogliomas) (Fig.S1B). We performed an unsupervised 302 analysis using the 19 most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites identified using the test cohort 303 (Fig.2A). As for the test cohort, unsupervised analyses of the validation cohort distin-304 guished the three histomolecular subtypes of HGGs (Fig.2C). In addition, the projection 305 of the validation cohort samples onto the PCA constructed from the test cohort enabled 306 us to identify the histomolecular subtypes of each sample, suggesting that *de novo* 307 profiling of rRNA 2'Ome could help in the classification of HGGs (Fig.2D). In addition, 308 comparison of test and validation cohorts in term of percentage of tumor cells suggests 309 that the tumor heterogeneity did not affect our conclusions (Fig. S1B). Indeed, in the 310 validation cohort, the mean percentage of tumor cells is significantly lower in astrocytomas (38%) compared to oligodendrogliomas (69%), while no difference was ob-311 312 served with glioblastomas (9%) (Kruskal-Wallis: p=0.045*; Mann-Whitney: A vs O

- 313 p=0.024*). In contrast, in the test cohort, the mean percentage of tumor cells is signif-
- icantly lower in astrocytomas (38%) and oligodendrogliomas (39%) compared to glio-
- 315 blastomas (55%) (Kruskal-Wallis: p=0.034*; Mann-Whitney: A vs G p=0.0172*; O vs G
- 316 p=0.0348*).
- 317 These data further emphasize the specificity of IDHwt glioblastoma rRNA 2'Ome pro-
- 318 files compared to IDHmut HGGs and suggest that IDHwt glioblastomas display strong
- 319 alterations of rRNA 2'Ome levels at some specific sites.
- 320

321 Glioblastomas display the most frequent site-specific rRNA 2'Ome alterations

322 To identify rRNA sites whose 2'Ome levels significantly differed between HGG histomolecular types and non-neoplastic tissues, we performed a systematic analysis of 323 324 each of the 106 rRNA 2'Ome sites using the test cohort and applied two consecutive 325 thresholds, a Kruskal-Wallis test with adjusted p-values < 0.05 and a cut-off value for 326 mean Δ C-scores_{max-min} > 0.05. From this screen, only 16 rRNA 2'Ome sites displayed 327 a significant variation in their level of methylation in at least one HGG or non-neoplastic 328 tissue (Fig.3). Pairwise comparisons for these 16 sites revealed that 4 and 6 sites dis-329 played significant alterations in 2'Ome levels in high-grade astrocytomas and highgrade oligodendrogliomas, respectively, compared to non-neoplastic tissues (Fig.3B). 330 331 In addition, rRNA 2'Ome levels appeared to be significantly increased on 6 sites in 332 high-grade astrocytomas compared to high-grade oligodendrogliomas. Similar altera-333 tions in rRNA 2'Ome levels between IDHwt and IDHmut tumours were observed using 334 the validation cohort (Fig.S5A). Finally, the main differences could be attributed to gli-335 oblastomas that displayed 12 and 14 of the 16 rRNA sites significantly altered com-336 pared to non-neoplastic samples or high-grade astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas, 337 respectively. Strikingly, rRNA 2'Ome levels were mostly lower in glioblastomas com-338 pared to non-neoplastic tissues, high-grade astrocytoma or high-grade oligodendrogliomas (Fig.3A). The same overall decrease in rRNA 2'Ome levels was confirmed in 339 340 glioblastoma compared to non-neoplastic tissues using the technical cohort (Fig.S5B). 341 Altogether, these results indicate that 2'Ome levels are altered at specific rRNA sites 342 in HGGs, while glioblastomas display the highest frequency of alterations in rRNA 343 2'Ome levels compared to non-neoplastic cerebral cortex and other HGG histomolec-344 ular types.

346 Changes in C/D box snoRNA expression levels only partially explain alterations

347 of rRNA 2'Ome levels

348 To investigate the origin of alterations of rRNA 2'Ome in HGGs, we focused on the 349 expression of C/D snoRNAs (snoRDs), which guide in a sequence-specific manner the 350 methyl-transferase FBL toward the rRNA nucleotide to methylate. We thus examined 351 the correlation between levels of rRNA 2'Ome and related snoRDs. It was reported that snoRNA expression levels can be inferred from RiboMethSeq data^{37,38}. Thus, we 352 used RiboMethSeq raw data from the NovaSeq platform and applied an in-house pipe-353 354 line to overcome limitations of snoRNA analysis by next-generation sequencing (see 355 Supplementary methods)^{39,40}. A strong and significant correlation (about 92%) be-356 tween snoRNA levels either determined from RiboMethSeg or measured by RT-qPCR 357 was observed in 9 glioma samples for 11 out of 12 selected snoRDs, thus validating 358 our approach (Fig.S6A). Given that 2'Ome at a single rRNA site is catalyzed either by 359 one or several snoRD^{15,41}, we restricted our analysis to the rRNA 2'Ome sites (n=46) 360 guided by a single snoRD. No significant correlation was observed between levels of 361 rRNA 2'Ome and of corresponding snoRDs among 37 sites (Fig.S6B). In contrast, a 362 significant albeit relatively low positive correlation was observed for 9 pairs of 363 snoRD/rRNA sites, the best correlation being that of the 18S-Am576 site and its asso-364 ciated SNORD93 (padj=9.10⁻⁶, r=0.68) (Fig.S6B-C). Thus, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome 365 levels cannot be exclusively attributed to changes in C/D box snoRNA expression lev-366 els.

367

The expression profile of ribosome biogenesis factors discriminates IDHmut and IDHwt HGGs

370 Since variations in snoRD expression levels cannot explain most of the changes ob-371 served in rRNA 2'Ome levels, we tested a novel hypothesis. Indeed, in cancer, varia-372 tions of 2'Ome levels in rRNAs are believed to passively arise from the link between 373 ribosome biogenesis (RiBi) and concomitant rRNA chemical modifications, whereby 374 changes in ribosome synthesis impact the rate-limiting rRNA 2'Ome process and therefore influence 2'Ome profiles^{15,25,27}. Therefore, an overall decrease in rRNA 375 376 2'Ome levels observed in different cancer tissues may be caused by RiBi hyperactiva-377 tion to sustain a high demand in protein synthesis necessary to support the highly pro-378 liferative cancer cells. As IDHwt glioblastomas possess a higher proliferative rate than 379 IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas, including in our test cohort (based on the mitotic index) (Fig.S4C-D), we hypothesized that the decrease in rRNA 2'Ome lev-

els at specific positions in IDHwt glioblastomas could be due to a rate-limiting 2'Ome
 process caused by an exacerbated RiBi.

383 To investigate RiBi dysregulations across HGGs, we initially applied a gold-standard 384 approach by analyzing levels of the 47S rRNA precursor (pre-rRNA), using Northern 385 blot (Fig.S7A). However, this approach using tumor samples was challenging, notably 386 due to the need for a large quantity of biological materials. Nevertheless, in a panel of 387 9 analyzable samples, IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas surprisingly seemed to express more pre-47S rRNAs than IDHwt glioblastomas. However, only two 388 389 IDHwt glioblastoma samples were analyzed, therefore preventing us to draw any con-390 clusion. To bypass this technical issue, we then measured the expression of a set of 391 20 genes involved in the early RiBi stages (referred to as "RiBi-gene set"). To reflect 392 as much as possible this multistep process involving more than 200 factors⁷, we se-393 lected the RiBi-gene set implicated in the main RiBi process, including rRNA transcrip-394 tion (NCL, NPM, POLR1A, TAF1A, TAF1B, TAF1C and UBTF), rRNA maturation 395 (BOP1, PES1 and WDR12), snoRNA biogenesis (RUVBL1, PIDH1D1 and RUVBL2), 396 and H/ACA (DKC1, GAR1, NHP2 and NOP10) and C/D box (NOP56, SNU13 and FBL) 397 snoRNP complexes (Fig.4A). Of note, three genes (PIH1D1, RUVBL2 and FBL) are 398 located on the long arm of chromosome 19 (19g), which undergoes a heterozygous 399 deletion in high-grade oligodendrogliomas. A readout for RiBi at steady-state was de-400 termined by quantifying mRNA expression levels of these selected genes by medium 401 throughput RT-gPCR in our validation series. mRNA levels were normalized against 402 the median mRNA expression of 5 housekeeping genes, which did not significantly 403 vary among the four groups (Fig.S7B).

404 To examine the association between expression levels of RiBi factors and HGGs, we first performed an unsupervised approach using a PCA based on the RiBi-gene set 405 406 profile (Fig.4B). Interestingly, three main clusters were observed. A first large cluster, 407 composed of both non-neoplastic tissues and IDHwt glioblastomas (NT/G cluster), was 408 distinct from two other clusters corresponding to IDHmut oligodendrogliomas (O) and 409 astrocytoma (A). PC1 (variance: 67.8%), in particular, separated the NT/G cluster from 410 A/O clusters, while the PC2 (variance: 9%) distinguished the O cluster from others. 411 These data suggest that the expression profile of only 20 RiBi factors discriminate 412 HGGs.

413 To ensure consistency between the results of our classification and the expected out-414 come of the patients, we first calculated Pearson's correlation coefficients between the 415 first 5 PC dimensions and OS or PFS as an internal control (Fig.4C and Fig.S7C). 416 Consistently, we observed a significant association exclusively for PC1 and PC2, indi-417 cating that the clustering based on RiBi-gene set profiles provided by these two axes 418 is sufficient to recapitulate all clinical data of interest. OS and PFS were significantly 419 correlated with both PC1 and PC2 in a negative manner, indicating that samples clus-420 tering at the right-hand side of the PC1 and top of PC2, *i.e.*, IDHwt glioblastomas, 421 display lower OS and PFS. Indeed, IDHwt glioblastoma patients exhibit the poorest OS (less than 30 months) and PFS (under 30 months), followed by IDHmut astrocv-422 423 toma and oligodendroglioma patients that tend to cluster at the left part of PC1 and the 424 top or bottom part of PC2, respectively.

425 Strikingly, we also identified a strong correlation between PC1 and PC2 axes, and the 426 IDH1/2 mutational and 1p/19g co-deletion status, respectively (Fig.S7D-E). Indeed, 427 PC1 (G vs. A/O clusters) was significantly correlated with the IDH1/2 mutational status 428 while PC2 (A/G vs. O clusters) segregated HGG tumors based on the 1p/19g co-dele-429 tion status. Thus, expression profiling of the RiBi-gene set was strongly correlated with 430 both clinical features and distinct genomic alterations of the HGG test cohort. Alto-431 gether, these results suggest that the expression signature of only 20 genes involved 432 in ribosome biogenesis is sufficient to discriminate IDHwt from IDHmut HGG histomo-433 lecular types and that RiBi displays IDH mutational status-dependent alterations.

434

Ribosome biogenesis factors are highly expressed in IDHmut adult-type diffusegliomas

437 Next, we individually compared mRNA expression levels of the 20 RiBi factors among 438 the different HGGs (Fig.5 and Fig.S8A). Significant differences were observed in the 439 expression of all tested RiBi factors between different groups (Mann Whitney test, 440 Fig.S8A). Surprisingly, only a few RiBi genes were significantly differentially expressed 441 in IDHwt glioblastomas compared to non-neoplastic samples (9 out of 20), with very 442 moderate changes (< 2-fold change). In contrast, IDHmut astrocytoma and oligoden-443 drogliomas significantly overexpressed most of these RiBi genes (19 and 16 out of 20 444 RiBi genes, respectively). For instance, expression of NCL, which encodes a key factor 445 in rRNA synthesis, increased by 2- and 3-fold in IDHmut astrocytoma and oligoden-446 drogliomas, respectively (p < 0.001), while NCL expression levels in IDHwt samples only slightly increased (Fig.5A and Fig.S8A). Likewise, the use of a second distinct set of primers to analyze *NCL* expression provided the exact same trend (Fig.S8B), therefore ruling out a potential technical caveat. These results suggest that ribosome biogenesis could be enhanced in IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas compared to IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic tissues.

452 Interestingly, genes located on chromosome 19g (FBL, PIH1D1, RUVBL2) displayed 453 a particular expression pattern (Fig.5C, 5E and Fig.S7E). Like other genes involved in 454 RiBi, FBL, PIH1D1 and RUVBL2 were significantly overexpressed in IDHmut astrocy-455 tomas compared to both IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic samples. However, 456 mRNA expression levels in IDHmut oligodendrogliomas were lower than in IDHmut astrocytomas and displayed expression profiles resembling those of IDHwt glioblasto-457 458 mas. Thus, FBL was highly expressed exclusively in IDHmut astrocytomas, whereas 459 its expression levels were equivalent in IDHmut oligodendrogliomas and IDHwt glio-460 blastomas, as confirmed by a second set of primers (Fig.S8B). Considering that 461 IDHmut oligodendroglioma samples exhibited a heterozygous deletion of FBL, PIH1D1 462 and RUVBL2 genes located on 1p/19g chromosomes, these data indicate that the spe-463 cific expression profile observed for these genes in IDHmut oligodendrogliomas is 464 likely due to a haploinsufficiency caused by genetic alterations. Interestingly, removal 465 of these three genes in the RiBi-genes set still allowed us to distinguish IDHwt from 466 IDHmut HGGs (data not shown), suggesting that copy number variation (CNVs) affect-467 ing RiBi gene expression does not impact the distinction between IDHwt and IDHmut, 468 as expected. Therefore, the ribosome biogenesis pathway is strongly enhanced in 469 IDHmut gliomas, *i.e.*, high-grade astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma, but remains 470 moderately affected in IDHwt glioblastoma.

471

472 HGGs display distinct cytotoxicity to RNA pol I inhibitors CX5461 and BMH-21

Having identified an IDH mutational status-dependent alteration of RiBi in HGGs, we hypothesized that IDHmut and IDHwt HGGs display distinct cytotoxicity to RNA Pol I inhibitors, BMH-21 and CX5461, which inhibit the transcription of the 47S pre-rRNA and are promising new cancer treatments. We used a panel of 5 representative HGG cell lines, comprising IDHmut astrocytoma and IDHmut and 1p/19q codeleted oligodendroglioma cell lines (LGG85 and BT138/237, respectively) and IDHwt glioblastoma cell lines (5706 and N131520). Analyses of HGG spheroids in response to 72480 hour treatments with RNA pol I inhibitors showed that all spheroids were similarly sensitive to BMH-21 with an IC₅₀ ranging from 1.06 to 1.56 μ M (Fig.6A-C), as recently 481 482 observed ⁴². However, CX5461 strongly impacted the viability of IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma spheroids (IC₅₀ ranging from 5.92 to 7.55µM), but not of IDHwt 483 484 glioblastoma spheroids (Fig.6D-F). Hence, these results are consistent with our previ-485 ous findings that IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas may be addicted to an 486 enhanced RiBi pathway, therefore potentially sensitizing these AGD histomolecular 487 types to clinically available RiBi inhibitors. Altogether, our results highlight the potency 488 of RNA Pol I inhibitor usage as potential HGG therapy and further support an over-489 activation of the RiBi pathway in IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas com-490 pared to IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic tissues.

- 491
- 492

493 Discussion

494 High-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) are heterogeneous tumors associated 495 with distinct, albeit poor, survival rates due to the lack of effective targeted therapies, 496 in particular for the most aggressive histomolecular type, the IDHwt glioblastoma. 497 Here, by performing the first concomitant analysis of rRNA 2'Ome and ribosome bio-498 genesis in primary tumors, we report distinct, uncoupled alterations of rRNA epitran-499 scriptomics and ribosome biogenesis in IDHmut and IDHwt HGGs, therefore revealing 500 specific dysregulations of the ribosome biology that constitute new IDH mutational sta-501 tus-associated hallmarks of HGGs.

502 In the last 7 years, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome profiles have been reported using a 503 newly developed approach RiboMethSeg in numerous cellular models and only in three types of cancers, namely breast cancer, acute myeloid leukemia and diffuse 504 large-B cell lymphoma (DLBCL)^{15,25,27,28}. Such alterations have been shown to be re-505 stricted to only 40% of the known rRNA 2'Ome sites, suggesting that only one third of 506 507 the sites may possess regulatory functions on ribosome activity. We now show that 508 rRNA 2'Ome profiles also vary in HGGs. As previously observed in other cancers, only 509 a small subset of known rRNA 2'Ome sites display variability in their 2'Ome levels in 510 HGGs, suggesting these positions can tolerate absence of 2'Ome. The 19 most varia-511 ble rRNA 2'Ome sites were randomly distributed on the ribosome structure, suggesting 512 no coordinated effects on functional domains of the ribosome. Notably, similar in-513 creases in rRNA 2'Ome levels at 18S Am576 and decreases at 18S Gm1447 were

514 observed in the most aggressive HGG type, glioblastoma, and breast cancer subtypes 515 (triple negative or TNBC), suggesting a link between these sites and cancer aggressiveness²⁵. A recent study demonstrated that alterations of 2'Ome levels at a single 516 517 rRNA site are sufficient to affect cell proliferation, a hallmark of cancer aggressive-518 ness²⁶. Moreover, rRNA 2'Ome at 18S Gm1447 was recently shown to support leuke-519 mic stem cell functions by modulating translation²⁸. Hence, whether these rRNA 2'Ome 520 sites common to both HGGs and breast cancer contribute to the acquisition of cancer 521 cell characteristics remains to be deciphered and could potentially represent new tar-522 getable vulnerabilities.

523 In IDHwt glioblastomas, alterations in rRNA 2'Ome levels mostly correspond to a de-524 crease as observed in both the test and validation cohorts. In DLBCL, the global de-525 crease in rRNA 2'Ome levels was correlated with the Ki67-estimated high proliferative 526 rate of tumors. One hypothesis was that low rRNA 2'Ome levels indirectly resulted from 527 an increase in rRNA synthesis associated with the hyperproliferative rate of cancer 528 cells, which rendered components of the rRNA 2'Ome machinery limiting, although 529 rRNA synthesis was not analyzed²⁷. Consistently, we observed specific alterations of 530 rRNA 2'Ome in IDHwt glioblastomas, which are the most proliferative tumors and display the highest mitotic index in our test cohort. However, we surprisingly observed an 531 532 elevated ribosome biogenesis in IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas com-533 pared to glioblastomas, suggesting that RiBi levels are not correlated with the prolifer-534 ative rate, at least in HGGs, and cannot solely explain alterations of rRNA epitran-535 scriptomics in HGGs. Even though the rate of ribosome biogenesis may contribute to 536 regulating rRNA 2'Ome through a passive effect, additional molecular mechanisms 537 should be further explored to identify the origin of rRNA 2'Ome alterations in cancer 538 and understand the observed rRNA site- and cancer type-specificity. The mechanisms 539 may include alterations of expressions and/or activities of RNA-binding proteins, such as DDX21 and FMRP, which contribute to the formation of bona fide functional snoRNP 540 complexes^{29,43}. Here, we report that alterations of C/D box snoRNA expression could 541 542 be sufficient to explain alterations of rRNA 2'Ome levels at some, but not all, rRNA 543 sites. The evolution of annotation and/or knowledge in biology of C/D box snoRNAs 544 may fill the gap to better understand causes of rRNA 2'Ome alterations in cancer. Al-545 together, our findings that main HGG histomolecular types are associated with alterations in either ribosome quantity or quality, challenge the hypothesis that the decrease 546

in rRNA 2'Ome levels mainly results from a passive effect caused by an exacerbatedribosome biogenesis.

549 Our data reveal that IDHmut HGGs, including both high-grade astrocytoma and oli-550 godendroglioma, display the highest expression of ribosome biogenesis factors, sug-551 gesting an increase in ribosome biogenesis. Whether dysregulations of IDH1/2 func-552 tions, notably through the production of the oncometabolite D-2-hydroxyglutarate, 553 could directly impact the regulation of ribosome biogenesis would need to be further 554 explored. Nevertheless, the specificity of ribosome biogenesis alterations in HGGs of-555 fers novel perspectives for clinical applications. Building on our observations, we found that HGGs are sensitive to the newly developed RNA pol I inhibitors, CX5461 and 556 557 BMH-21, the former being successfully evaluated in clinical trials in advanced solid and 558 hematological cancers⁷. Sensitivity of HGGs to RNA pol I inhibitors CX5461 and BMH-559 21 has already been reported^{42,44,45}, even though discrepancies regarding the sensitivity of glioblastomas to CX5461 exist between our data and previous ones, possibly 560 561 due to differences in experimental settings and genetic backgrounds of tested cell 562 lines. In particular, the 3D culture conditions could decrease drug sensitivity compared 563 to 2D culture conditions, as already reported⁴⁶. In addition, the differential sensitivity of glioblastomas to CX5461 and BMH-21 could be attributed to off-target effects of these 564 565 molecules as these RNA Pol I inhibitors act through distinct mechanisms and are known to affect several cellular pathways, including DNA repair^{10,34,47}. Thus, whether 566 567 the activity of these compounds may also rely on the genetic background of tested 568 models impacting the different sensitivities of HGG types to CX5461 and BMH-21, 569 should be further investigated.

Altogether, our data indicate that alterations of the ribosome biology in HGGs are dependent on the IDH mutational status and could represent targetable features in clinic. Thus, recent discoveries in the field of ribosomes have opened new avenues not only for a better understanding of cellular processes that contribute to HGG development and aggressiveness but also for designing future HGG type-specific therapeutic strategies.

576 **Conflict of interest**

- 577 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 578

579 Ethical Approval

580 All the experiment protocol for involving human was in accordance with the guidelines

- 581 of French regulation. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.
- 582

583 Fundings

584 This work was supported by INCa (PLBio 2019-138 MARACAS), the French Associa-585 tion pour la Recherche sur les Tumeurs Cérébrales (ARTC), the Cancéropôle Lyon 586 Auvergne Rhône-Alpes (AAP international 2021 MARACAS.v3.0), the Ligue Contre le 587 Cancer Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes_and the SIRIC program (INCa-DGOS-Inserm_12563, 588 LyRICAN). HP and NEHM were recipients of PhD fellowships from Ligue Nationale 589 Contre le Cancer. JH was recipient of PhD fellowship from French Minister of Re-590 search.

591

592 Author contribution

593 HP, NEHM, SB, JH, FB, VA, MC, JP, DB, LCV, SD performed and analysed experi-594 ments. HP, NEHM, JK, ET, DB performed statistical descriptions and bioinformatic 595 analyses. HP, TC, AF, JK, ET, LT, AV developed bioinformatic tools. LCV, EH, MS 596 provided cell lines. DM, FD provided human samples and clinical data. AF, JK, ET, VA, SG, MSS, EH, MS, DM, FC, VM, SB supervised experimental process. HP, NEHM, 597 598 SB, JK, SG, FD, VM and SD interpreted the data. VM and SD shaped the clinical and research question and supervised the project coordination. AV, MSS, EH, MS, MG, 599 600 JJD, FD, VM and SD provided financial supports. HP, NEHM, VM and SD wrote the 601 first draft of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

602

603 Availability of datasets

The generated RiboMethSeq data are available in the GEO profile (GSE224104, token for reviewer access: qhabqswmfzotlwh). The datasets include the fastq of each sample, a C-score matrix for each cohort after batch effect adjustment using ComBat-seq and a matrix summarizing the clinical data.

609 Acknowledgements

- 610 We would like to thank all the people involved in this study, including the patients and
- 611 their families. We thank Drs A Idbaih (ICM, Paris, France), JP Hugnot (IGF, Montpellier,
- 612 France) and K Ligon (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, USA) for generously
- 613 providing cell lines. We thank platform staffs that have not been referred as co-authors:
- 614 NeuroBioTec (CRB HCL, Lyon, France, Biobank BB-0033-00046); Organoid platform
- 615 (CRCL, Lyon, France); Gilles Thomas Bioinformatic platform (CRCL, Lyon, France);
- 616 Cancer Genomic platform (CRCL, Lyon, France). The manuscript has been edited by
- 617 Brigitte Manship (CRCL, Lyon, France).
- 618
- 619

620 **References**

- Wen PY, Weller M, Lee EQ, et al. Glioblastoma in adults: a Society for Neuro-Oncology (SNO) and European Society of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) consensus review on current management and future directions. *Neuro Oncol.* 2020;22(8):1073-1113.
 doi:10.1093/NEUONC/NOAA106
- 625 2. Lapointe S, Perry A, Butowski NA. Primary brain tumours in adults. *Lancet*.
 626 2018;392(10145):432-446. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30990-5
- Lassman AB, Hoang-Xuan K, Polley MYC, et al. Joint Final Report of EORTC 26951
 and RTOG 9402: Phase III Trials With Procarbazine, Lomustine, and Vincristine
 Chemotherapy for Anaplastic Oligodendroglial Tumors. *J Clin Oncol.*2022;40(23):2539-2545. doi:10.1200/JCO.21.02543
- 4. van den Bent MJ, Tesileanu CMS, Wick W, et al. Adjuvant and concurrent temozolomide for 1p/19q non-co-deleted anaplastic glioma (CATNON; EORTC study 26053-22054): second interim analysis of a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study.
- 634 *Lancet Oncol.* 2021;22(6):813-823. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00090-5
- 635 5. Bastide A, David A. The ribosome, (slow) beating heart of cancer (stem) cell. *Onco-*636 *genesis 2018* 7:4. 2018;7(4):1-13. doi:10.1038/s41389-018-0044-8
- 637 6. Barna M, Pusic A, Zollo O, et al. Suppression of Myc oncogenic activity by ribosomal
 638 protein haploinsufficiency. *Nature 2008 456:7224*. 2008;456(7224):971-975.
 639 doi:10.1038/nature07449
- 640 7. Catez F, Dalla Venezia N, Marcel V, Zorbas C, Lafontaine DLJ, Diaz JJ. Ribosome bi641 ogenesis: An emerging druggable pathway for cancer therapeutics. *Biochem Pharma-*642 *col*. 2019;159:74-81. doi:10.1016/J.BCP.2018.11.014
- 6438.Penzo M, Montanaro L, Treré D, Derenzini M. The Ribosome Biogenesis—Cancer644Connection. Cells 2019, Vol 8, Page 55. 2019;8(1):55. doi:10.3390/CELLS8010055
- Bywater MJ, Poortinga G, Sanij E, et al. Inhibition of RNA Polymerase I as a Therapeutic Strategy to Promote Cancer-Specific Activation of p53. *Cancer Cell*.
 2012;22(1):51-65. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2012.05.019
- Peltonen K, Colis L, Liu H, et al. A targeting modality for destruction of RNA polymerase I that possesses anticancer activity. *Cancer Cell*. 2014;25(1):77-90.
 doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.12.009
- Hilton J, Gelmon K, Bedard PL, et al. Results of the phase I CCTG IND.231 trial of
 CX-5461 in patients with advanced solid tumors enriched for DNA-repair deficiencies.

652		Natura Communications 2022 12.1 2022.12(1):1 12 doi:10.1028/s41467.022.21100
654		Nature Communications 2022 15.1. 2022,15(1).1-12. doi:10.1058/841407-022-51199-
655	12	Z Vhot A Praionovski N Comoron DD at al First in human DNA nalymarasa I tran
656	12.	scription inhibitor CV 5461 in notionts with advanced homotologic concers: Desults of
657		a phase I dose escalation study. <i>Cancer Discov</i> , 2010;0(8):1036, 1040
658		doi:10.1158/2150.8200 CD 18.1455/222207/AM/EDST IN HUMAN DNA DOI V
650		$\frac{110}{110} = \frac{100}{100} = $
660	12	WERASE-I-TRANSCRIPTION Yu H. Di Antonio M. McKinnov S. et al. CY 5461 is a DNA G. quadrunlay stabilizar
661	13.	with selective lethelity in BPCA1/2 deficient tumours. Nature Communications 2017
662		with selective remainly in BKCA1/2 dencient fullours. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2017 8:1, 2017:8(1):1, 18, doi:10.1028/ncomms14422
662	14	Marcal V. Cataz F. Diaz II. Pibasama bataraganaity in tumoriganasis: the rPNA point
664	14.	of view Mol Coll Oncol 2015:2(3) doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.083755
665	15	Jasfar M. Paragindes H. Gabut M. Diaz H. Marcel V. Durand S. 2/O. Ribosa Methyla
666	15.	tion of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Riological Processes
667		and Diseases Calls 2021 Vol 10 Page 1048 2021:10(8):1948
668		doi:10.3390/CEU \$10081948
669	16	Miller SC MacDonald CC Kellogg MK Karamysheva ZN Karamyshev AI Special-
670	10.	ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease International Journal of Molecular Sciences
671		2023 Vol 24 Page 6334 2023:24(7):6334 doi:10.3390/IIMS24076334
672	17	Xue S Barna M Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis-
673	17.	mal biology Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6 2012:13(6):355-369
674		doi:10.1038/nrm3359
675	18.	Genuth NR, Barna M, Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin-
676		erv: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018:19(7):431-
677		452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z
678	19.	Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo-
679		somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. Nat Cell
680		<i>Biol</i> . 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W
681	20.	Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control
682		in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i> . 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497
683	21.	Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like
684		characters in glioma cells. Cancer Sci. 2020;111(6):2041-2051.
685		doi:10.1111/CAS.14399
686	22.	Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro-
687		teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming.
688		Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142
689	23.	Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity:
690		Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci
691		<i>USA</i> . 2017;114(49):12934-12939.
692		doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX
693	24.	Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control
694		by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i> .
695	~ ~	2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013
696	25.	Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel
697		layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i> . 2020;2(4).
698	26	doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036
699 700	26.	Jansson MD, Hätner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo-
/00		somal KINA methylation. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.
/01		2021,28(11):889-899. 001:10.1038/\$41594-021-00669-4

- Krogh N, Asmar F, Côme C, Munch-Petersen HF, Grønbæk K, Nielsen H. Profiling of
 ribose methylations in ribosomal RNA from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients for
 evaluation of ribosomes as drug targets. *NAR Cancer*. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA035
- Zhou F, Aroua N, Liu Y, et al. A Dynamic rRNA Ribomethylome Drives Stemness in
 Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cancer Discov*. 2022;13:OF1-OF17. doi:10.1158/21598290.CD-22-0210
- Zhou F, Liu Y, Rohde C, et al. AML1-ETO requires enhanced C/D box snoRNA/RNP
 formation to induce self-renewal and leukaemia. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2017;19(7):844-855.
 doi:10.1038/NCB3563
- Nachmani D, Bothmer AH, Grisendi S, et al. Germline NPM1 mutations lead to altered
 rRNA 2'-O-methylation and cause dyskeratosis congenita. *Nat Genet*.
 2019;51(10):1518-1529. doi:10.1038/S41588-019-0502-Z
- Nguyen Van Long F, Lardy-Cleaud A, Carène D, et al. Low level of Fibrillarin, a ribosome biogenesis factor, is a new independent marker of poor outcome in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer*. 2022;22(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/S12885-022-09552-X/FIGURES/3
- Marchand V, Ayadi L, el Hajj A, Blanloeil-Oillo F, Helm M, Motorin Y. HighThroughput Mapping of 2'-O-Me Residues in RNA Using Next-Generation Sequencing (Illumina RiboMethSeq Protocol). *Methods Mol Biol*. 2017;1562:171-187.
 doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6807-7_12
- 33. Pichot F, Marchand V, Ayadi L, Bourguignon-Igel V, Helm M, Motorin Y. Holistic
 Optimization of Bioinformatic Analysis Pipeline for Detection and Quantification of
 2'-O-Methylations in RNA by RiboMethSeq. *Front Genet*. 2020;11:38.
 doi:10.3389/FGENE.2020.00038/BIBTEX
- 34. Drygin D, Lin A, Bliesath J, et al. Targeting RNA polymerase I with an oral small molecule CX-5461 inhibits ribosomal RNA synthesis and solid tumor growth. *Cancer Res.*2011;71(4):1418-1430. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1728
- 35. Birkedal U, Christensen-Dalsgaard M, Krogh N, Sabarinathan R, Gorodkin J, Nielsen
 H. Profiling of ribose methylations in RNA by high-throughput sequencing. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2015;54(2):451-455. doi:10.1002/ANIE.201408362
- 73236.Zhang Y, Parmigiani G, Johnson WE. ComBat-seq: batch effect adjustment for RNA-733seq count data. NAR Genom Bioinform. 2020;2(3). doi:10.1093/NARGAB/LQAA078
- 37. Sharma S, Marchand V, Motorin Y, Lafontaine DLJ. Identification of sites of 2'-Omethylation vulnerability in human ribosomal RNAs by systematic mapping. *Sci Rep.*2017;7(1). doi:10.1038/S41598-017-09734-9
- 737 38. Delhermite J, Tafforeau L, Sharma S, et al. Systematic mapping of rRNA 2'-O methyl738 ation during frog development and involvement of the methyltransferase Fibrillarin in
 739 eye and craniofacial development in Xenopus laevis. *PLoS Genet*.
- 740 2022;18(1):e1010012. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1010012
- 741 39. Deschamps-Francoeur G, Boivin V, Abou Elela S, Scott MS. CoCo: RNA-seq read as742 signment correction for nested genes and multimapped reads. *Bioinformatics*.
 743 2019;35(23):5039-5047. doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTZ433
- 40. Bergeron D, Laforest C, Carpentier S, et al. SnoRNA copy regulation affects family
 size, genomic location and family abundance levels. *BMC Genomics*. 2021;22(1).
 doi:10.1186/S12864-021-07757-1
- 41. Bergeron D, Paraqindes H, Fafard-Couture É, et al. snoDB 2.0: an enhanced interactive database, specializing in human snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2023;51(D1):D291D296. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKAC835

- Zisi A, Kanellis DC, Moussaud S, et al. Small Molecule-mediated Disruption of Ribosome Biogenesis Synergizes With FGFR Inhibitors to Suppress Glioma Cell Growth. *Neuro Oncol.* Published online December 30, 2022. doi:10.1093/NEUONC/NOAC286
- 43. D'Souza MN, Gowda NKC, Tiwari V, et al. FMRP Interacts with C/D Box snoRNA in
 the Nucleus and Regulates Ribosomal RNA Methylation. *iScience*. 2018;9:399-411.
 doi:10.1016/J.ISCI.2018.11.007
- Li G, Shen J, Cao J, et al. Alternative splicing of human telomerase reverse transcriptase in gliomas and its modulation mediated by CX-5461. *Journal of Experimental and Clinical Cancer Research*. 2018;37(1):1-13. doi:10.1186/S13046-018-0749-8/FIG-URES/7
- Chiu YC, Chen HIH, Zhang T, et al. Predicting drug response of tumors from integrated genomic profiles by deep neural networks. *BMC Med Genomics*.
 2019;12(1):143-155. doi:10.1186/S12920-018-0460-9/TABLES/5
- 46. El Hassouni B, Mantini G, Immordino B, Peters GJ, Giovannetti E. CX-5461 Inhibits
 Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Cell Growth, Migration and Induces DNA Damage. *Molecules 2019, Vol 24, Page 4445*. 2019;24(24):4445. doi:10.3390/MOLECULES24244445
- Xu H, di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer
 with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. *Nature Communications 2017*8:1. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432

770

772 Figure Legends

773

774 Figure 1. rRNA 2'Ome levels vary in high-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas. An 775 unsupervised hierarchical clustering of C-scores at the 106 known rRNA 2'O-ribose 776 methylated (2'Ome) sites was performed in a test cohort of 40 high-grade (3-4) adult-777 type diffuse glioma (HGG) samples and 6 non-tumoral, non-neoplastic cerebral cortex 778 (NT) samples. C-scores are represented by a color scale from 0 (black) to 1 (yellow). 779 IDHwt glioblastomas (G), high-grade astrocytomas (A), high-grade oligodendrogli-780 omas (O) and non-neoplastic (NT) samples are depicted in pink, green, purple, and 781 grey, respectively. The mean C-score for each site across the 46 samples is shown on 782 the right-hand side of the graph. 86 sites have a mean C-score higher than 0.9 (black) 783 and 20 sites lower than 0.9 (red).

784

785 Figure 2. The most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites are sufficient to distinguish differ-786 ent HGG types. (A) Distribution of the interguartile range (IQR) of the C-score to de-787 termine the C-score variability across HGG samples of the test cohort (n=40). rRNA 788 2'Ome sites are ranked by increasing IQR value. The IQR distribution curve is plotted 789 at the right-hand side of the graph. The "most variable sites" correspond to those with 790 an IQR higher than median + 2 × median absolute deviation (mad) and were colored 791 in red (19 sites). (B-D) Unsupervised Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on 792 C-scores of the 19 most variable sites as identified in (A). Independent PCA was per-793 formed on IDHwt glioblastoma (G, pink circle), high-grade astrocytoma (A, green trian-794 gle) and high-grade oligodendroglioma (O, purple diamond) samples of both test (B, 795 n=40) and validation (n=23) cohorts. Validation cohort samples were projected on PCA 796 of the test cohort (D). Percentage of variance explained by PC1 and PC2 are indicated. 797 95 % confidence ellipsoids around the centroid of each group (larger pink circle, green 798 triangle and purple diamond) are indicated.

799

Figure 3. rRNA 2'Ome levels are differently altered in HGG types. (A) Box plots showing the distribution of C-score in HGG and non-neoplastic samples of the test cohort for 16 rRNA 2'O-ribose methylated (2'Ome) sites that exhibited both statistically and biologically significant alterations between groups. These sites were identified using both Kruskal-Wallis statistical tests (with an adjusted p-value threshold of < 0.05) 805 and a mean ΔC -score_{max-min} > 0.05 (absolute difference between the highest and low-806 est mean C-score). The adjusted p-values corresponding to the statistical tests are 807 indicated on the bottom left-hand side of each panel and median C-scores are repre-808 sented by a black line within the box plots. (B) Pairwise comparison of mean C-score groups for the 16 significantly deregulated rRNA 2'Ome sites in the test cohort. Positive 809 810 (UP) and negative (DOWN) Δ C-score_{aroup1-group2} are shown in blue and red, respectively. Adjusted p-values: *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001; ****: p < 0.0001; ns, 811 812 not significant.

813

814 Figure 4. Expression profiles of RiBi factors distinguish HGG types. (A) Panel of 815 genes involved in ribosome biogenesis constituting the 20 RiBi-gene set analyzed in 816 HGG and non-neoplastic samples. Genes located on the short arm of chromosome 1 817 (1p) or long arm of chromosome 19 (19q), which are heterozygously deleted in high-818 grade oligodendrogliomas, are indicated. (B) A principal component analysis (PCA) 819 based on the mRNA expression profile of the RiBi-gene set. Each dot represents a 820 non-neoplastic (NT, grey square), IDHwt glioblastoma (G, pink circle), high-grade as-821 trocytoma (A, green triangle) or high-grade oligodendroglioma (O, purple diamond) 822 sample. Ellipsoids shows 90% confidence interval around the centroid (larger grey 823 square, pink circle, green triangle, and purple diamond) of each group. Percentage of 824 variance explained by PC1 and PC2 are indicated. (C) A heatmap showing Pearson's 825 correlation coefficients of PC1 to PC5 axes with the overall survival (OS), progression-826 free survival (PFS) and mitotic index. R-values are depicted by different colors from -0.6 (red, negative correlation) to 0.6 (blue, positive correlation). Significant correlations 827 are indicated by an asterisk: *p < 0.01; **p < 0.001. 828

829

830 Figure 5. High-grade astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas display the highest increased expression in ribosome biogenesis factors. Box plots showing relative 831 832 mRNA expression levels determined by RT-gPCR analysis of the RiBi genes impli-833 cated in rRNA (A) transcription and (B) maturation, (C) snoRNA biogenesis or associ-834 ated to (D) H/ACA box and (E) C/D box snoRNAs in IDHwt glioblastomas (G, pink), 835 high-grade astrocytomas (A, green), high-grade oligodendrogliomas (O, purple) and 836 non-neoplastic (NT, grey) samples. FBL, PIH1D1 and RUVBL2 are located on chro-837 mosomes 1p or 19q.

Figure 6. Glioma spheroids reveal distinct histomolecular type-dependent sen-838 839 sitivity to ribosome biogenesis inhibitors. (A) Representative high-content screen-840 ing microscopy images of 5706, N131520, BT138, BT237 and LGG85 cell line sphe-841 roids treated or not (DMSO) with 10 µM of BMH-21 for 72 h. Hoechst and CellTox 842 labelling are depicted in blue and green, respectively. Cell lines representative of glio-843 blastomas, high-grade oligodendrogliomas and astrocytomas are shown in pink, pur-844 ple and green, respectively. (B) Representative graphs indicating the viability percent-845 age in response to increasing BMH-21 concentrations in 5706, N131520, BT138, 846 BT237 and LGG85 cell line spheroids. Cell lines representative of IDHwt glioblasto-847 mas, IDHmut oligodendrogliomas and astrocytomas are framed in pink, purple and 848 green, respectively. (C) A table indicating means and standard deviations (SD) of 849 BMH-21 IC₅₀ calculated from graphs displayed in (B) (n=7). (D), (E) and (F) as in (A) 850 (B) and (C), respectively, for CX5461 (n=3). 851

Manuscript (Revised)

Manuscript Number: N-O-D-23-00144R1

1 IDHwt and IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas display distinct alterations in ribo-

- 2 some biogenesis and 2'O-methylation of ribosomal RNA
- 3

Hermes PARAQINDES^{1,2,#}, Nour-El-Houda MOURKSI^{1,#}, Samantha BALLESTA^{1,3,#}, 4 Jordan HEDJAM¹, Fleur BOURDELAIS^{1,4}, Tanguy FENOUIL^{1,9}, Thiébaud PICART^{1,9}, 5 Frédéric CATEZ¹, Théo COMBE^{1,2}, Anthony FERRARI^{1,2}, Janice KIELBASSA², Emilie 6 THOMAS^{1,2}, Laurie TONON^{1,2}, Alain VIARI^{1,2,5}, Valéry ATTIGNON^{1,6}, Marjorie CAR-7 RERE^{1,6}, Jessie PERROSSIER^{1,6}, Stéphane GIRAUD^{1,3}, Christophe VANBELLE^{1,12} 8 9 Mathieu GABUT¹, Danny BERGERON⁷, Michelle S SCOTT⁷, Luis CASTRO VEGA⁸, Nathalie MAGNE⁸, Emmanuelle HUILLARD⁸, Marc SANSON⁸, David MEYRONET^{1,9}, 10 Jean-Jacques DIAZ¹, François DUCRAY^{1,11,*}, Virginie MARCEL^{1,#,*}, Sébastien DU-11 RAND^{1,#,*} 12 13

- 14 1. LabEx Dev2CAN, Institut Convergence Plascan, Centre de Recherche en Cancé-
- 15 rologie de Lyon, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Université de Lyon, Université
- 16 Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Centre Léon Bérard, CEDEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France
- 17 2. Synergie Lyon Cancer, Gilles Thomas Bioinformatics Platform, Centre Léon Bérard,
- 18 CEDEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France
- 19 3. Plateforme organoïdes 3D-ONCO, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard
- 20 Lyon 1, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Centre de Recherche
- 21 en Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL), Lyon, 69373, France
- 22 4. Inovarion, 75005, Paris, France.
- 23 5. INRIA Grenoble Rhône-Alpes, 38330 Montbonnot-Saint-Martin, France
- 24 6. Cancer Genomics Platform, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, CE-
- 25 DEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France
- 26 7. Département de biochimie et génomique fonctionnelle, Faculté de médecine et des
- 27 sciences de la santé, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec J1E 4K8, Ca-
- 28 nada
- 29 8. Sorbonne Université, Inserm, CNRS, UMRS1127, Institut du Cerveau, ICM, AP-HP,
- 30 Hôpitaux Universitaires La Pitié Salpêtrière Charles Foix, Service de Neurologie 2-
- 31 Mazarin, 75013 Paris, France
- 32 9. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Laboratoire de biologie médicale et d'anatomie patholo-
- 33 gique

34	10. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de Neurochirurgie tumorale et vasculaire, Hôpital		
35	Pierre Wertheimer		
36	11. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de neuro-oncologie, Hôpital Pierre Wertheimer		
37	12. Plateforme d'Imagerie Cellulaire, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard		
38	Lyon 1, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Centre de Recherche		
39	en Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL), Lyon, 69373, France		
40			
41	# Equal contribution as co-first or co-last authors		
42	* Corresponding authors: francois.ducray@chu-lyon.fr, virginie.marcel@lyon.uni-		
43	cancer.fr and sebastien.durand@inserm.fr		
44			
45	Keywords		
46	glioma, IDH mutational status, ribosome, epitranscriptomics, ribosome biogenesis fac-		
47	tors		
48			
49	Running title		
50	Ribosome alterations in high-grade gliomas		
51			
52	Issue section		
53	Basic and translational investigation		
54			
55	Key points (3)		
56	• rRNA 2'Ome profiling distinguishes IDHwt and IDHmut adult-type diffuse glio-		
57	mas		
58	Elevated expression of ribosome biogenesis factors is correlated with IDH mu-		
59	tational status		
60	High grade adult-type diffuse gliomas differentially respond to the RNA Pol I		
61	inhibitors BMH-21 and CX5461		
62			
63	Word count: 4991		
64			

65 Abstract

66

Background: High-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) constitute a heterogene-67 ous group of aggressive tumors that are mostly incurable. Recent advances highlight-68 69 ing the contribution of ribosomes to cancer development have offered new clinical perspectives. Here, we uncovered that IDHwt and IDHmut HGGs display distinct altera-70 71 tions of ribosome biology, in terms of rRNA epitranscriptomics and ribosome biogenesis, which could constitute novel hallmarks that can be exploited for the management 72 73 of these pathologies. Methods: We analyzed (i) the ribosomal RNA 2'O-ribose methylation (rRNA 2'Ome) 74

75 using RiboMethSeq and in-house developed bioinformatics tools 76 (https://github.com/RibosomeCRCL/ribomethseq-nf and rRMSAnalyzer) on three inde-77 pendent cohorts compiling 71 HGGs (IDHwt n=30, IDHmut n=41) and 9 non-neoplastic 78 samples, (ii) the expression of ribosome biogenesis factors using medium throughput 79 RT-qPCR as a readout of ribosome biogenesis, and (iii) the sensitivity of 5 HGG cell 80 lines to RNA Pol I inhibitors (CX5461, BMH21). 81 Results: Unsupervised analysis demonstrated that HGGs could be distinguished based on their rRNA 2'Ome epitranscriptomic profile, with IDHwt glioblastomas dis-82

playing the most significant alterations of rRNA 2'Ome at specific sites. In contrast,
IDHmut HGGs are largely characterized by an overexpression of ribosome biogenesis
factors compared to non-neoplastic tissues or IDHwt glioblastomas. Finally, IDHmut
HGG-derived spheroids display higher cytotoxicity to CX5461 than IDHwt glioblastoma, while all HGG spheroids display a similar cytotoxicity to BMH-21.

Conclusion: In HGGs, IDH mutational status is associated with specific alterations of
 the ribosome biology and with distinct sensitivities to RNA Pol I inhibitors.

- 90
- 70

91

93 Importance of the study (146 words)

94

95 Consistent multi-omics studies have shown that high-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) can be classified into three main groups, *i.e.*, IDHmut and 1p/19g codeleted 96 oligodendrogliomas, IDHmut astrocytomas and IDHwt glioblastomas, based on their 97 98 genetic, transcriptomic and DNA methylation profiles. Recent advances have high-99 lighted the contribution of ribosomes to cancer development and have offered new 100 clinical perspectives. Herein, we show that ribosomal RNA (rRNA) epitranscriptomic and ribosome biogenesis are different in distinct HGG types. We uncovered that IDHwt 101 102 glioblastomas display the most prominent defects in rRNA epitranscriptomics, whereas IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas exhibit enhanced expression of ribo-103 104 some biogenesis factors compared to IDHwt glioblastomas. Moreover, based on their 105 IDH mutational status, HGG-derived cell lines displayed distinct responses to CX5461 106 and BMH-21, two clinically-evaluated inhibitors of the RNA Pol I that transcribes 107 rDNAs. This study identifies a connection between HGG oncogenesis and the ribo-108 some biology, and highlights new therapeutic strategies.

109

111 Introduction

112 High-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) are brain tumors resembling glial cells 113 that display highly heterogeneous prognoses and treatment responses. HGGs comprise three main histomolecular types, astrocytomas, oligodendrogliomas and glioblas-114 115 tomas, based notably on the mutational status of isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) 1 and 116 2^{1,2}. Indeed, glioblastomas are IDHwt, whereas astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas 117 are IDHmut, and can be further discriminated by the heterozygous 1p19q co-deletion occurring in oligodendrogliomas. IDHwt and IDHmut HGGs are associated with distinct 118 119 epigenetic and transcriptomic dysregulations, leading to cancer-specific features. Thus, despite important advances in their histomolecular classification and under-120 121 standing of their oncogenesis, HGGs remain mostly incurable. For instance, grade 4 122 IDHwt glioblastoma patients treated with the conventional combination of surgery, ra-123 diotherapy and temozolomide (TMZ) chemotherapy, display a median survival of only 15 months. Grade 3 IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma patients treated with 124 125 radiotherapy and chemotherapy exhibit a much better outcome with a median survival 126 of 10 and 15 years, respectively, nevertheless 20 to 30% of the patients die within the 127 first five years after diagnosis^{3,4}. Therefore, the identification of novel molecular mechanisms dysregulated in distinct HGG histomolecular types may significantly improve 128 129 current therapeutic options.

130 Several studies highlighted that ribosome biogenesis (RiBi) and functions are altered 131 in cancer cells and that ribosomes can support oncogenic functions⁵. For instance, the 132 c-MYC oncogenic activity is in part supported by a dysregulation of genes implicated 133 in RiBi and global protein synthesis⁶. In addition, levels of RiBi are generally increased 134 in cancer cells to support the high protein synthesis demand caused by their exacer-135 bated proliferation rate^{7,8} and therefore, the inhibition of rRNA synthesis specifically 136 kills cancer cells without affecting normal cells^{9,10}. Such observations led to the development of molecules specifically inhibiting RiBi that showed objective responses in 137 clinical trials, such as CX5461^{11–13}. 138

In addition to alteration of RiBi, recent observations suggest that variations of ribosome composition could also occur in cancer and be involved in disease etiology^{14–16}. The ribosome is composed of 80 ribosomal proteins and 4 ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), the latter supporting the enzymatic activity of the peptidyl-bond formation during the translation of mRNAs into proteins. For many decades, the ribosome was considered as a monolithic entity displaying a similar composition in all cells constituting an organism.

145 However, it appears now that the ribosome composition can display some degree of variations, both at the level of ribosomal proteins and rRNA chemical modifications, 146 147 which contributes to modulate intrinsic translational activities that could shape particu-148 lar phenotypes^{17,18}. Variations of the ribosome composition at ribosomal protein levels have been reported in HGGs¹⁹⁻²². In IDHwt glioblastomas, the overexpression of the 149 150 ribosomal protein RPS6 was shown to promote acquisition of glioma stem cell proper-151 ties, a hallmark of the most aggressive IDHwt glioblastomas^{20–22}. In addition, RPL22L1 isoforms are expressed in distinct regions of IDHwt glioblastomas through alternative 152 splicing and induce the production of ribosomes with specific compositions, which pro-153 mote translational bias towards specific mRNA subsets^{15,19,23–30}. In addition to riboso-154 mal proteins, the chemical modifications of rRNA represent one of the major contribu-155 156 tors to ribosome heterogeneity and led to the emergence of the notion of rRNA epi-157 transcriptomics¹⁵. One of the main modifications, the methylation of the rRNA 2'O-ribose (rRNA 2'Ome), occurs at 106 known rRNA sites in humans and the 2'Ome at 158 159 specific positions are essential for rRNA activity. The catalysis of rRNA 2'Ome is per-160 formed by an rRNA methylation complex composed of the methyl-transferase fibrillarin 161 (FBL) and a single non-coding C/D box small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA or snoRD). 162 which guides FBL at specific sites by base-pairing¹⁵. Hence, modulations of *FBL* or 163 snoRD expression are sufficient to affect rRNA 2'Ome^{23,24,26}. Interestingly, alterations 164 of 2'Ome have been observed in cancer and we recently demonstrated by profiling 195 165 primary mammary tumors using the RiboMethSeq approach, that only 40% of the 166 known 2'O-methylated sites are altered, suggesting that only few rRNA sites can tol-167 erate a lack of 2'Ome. Moreover, rRNA 2'Ome alterations are not random since rRNA 2'Ome profiles were associated with breast cancer subtypes and tumor grades²⁵. Sim-168 ilarly, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome were described in a cohort of 17 diffuse large B-cell 169 170 lymphoma samples²⁷ and of 94 acute myeloid leukemia samples²⁸. Importantly, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome at some specific sites can affect both the translation of particular 171 mRNA subsets and cell proliferation^{15,23,24,26 29,30}. To date, whether alterations of rRNA 172 epitranscriptomics occurs in gliomas and contributes to disease etiology remains un-173 174 explored. Here, we investigated whether IDHwt and IDHmut HGGs display alterations 175 in ribosome biology, in terms of rRNA epitranscriptomics and ribosome biogenesis, to 176 exploit these features as novel therapeutic targets of these diseases.

- 177
- 178

179 Materials and methods

180

181 Human grade 3-4 adult-type diffuse glioma and non-neoplastic samples

Three cohorts were built: a technical cohort (8 grade 4 IDHwt glioblastomas, 3 nontumoral samples); a test cohort detailed in Table 1 (13 IDHwt glioblastomas, 13 IDHmut astrocytomas, 14 IDHmut and 1p/19q codeleted oligodendrogliomas, 6 non-tumoral samples); a validation cohort (9 IDHwt glioblastomas, 6 IDHmut astrocytomas, 8 IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted oligodendrogliomas). The percentage of tumoral cells was estimated by a neuropathologist as described in Fig.S1. Additional details are provided in Supplementary Information.

189

190 Cell culture

Human IDHwt glioblastoma (5706, N131520), IDHmut astrocytoma (LGG85) and
IDHmut and 1p/19q codeleted oligodendroglioma (BT138, BT237) cells were cultured
as spheres as described in Supplementary Information.

194

195 Reverse Transcription and real time quantitative PCR

cDNA synthesis was performed using the Prime Script RT Reagent kit (Takara). Medium throughput qPCR was performed using the Biomark HD system (Fluidigm) as
previously described³¹ (Table S1). The median Ct value of 5 housekeeping mRNAs
was used for normalization.

200

201 **RiboMethSeq**

RiboMeth-seq was performed as previously described using the Illumina sequencing 202 203 technology^{25,32}. To process the sequencing data, a novel nextflow pipeline Ribo-MethSeq-nf was developed and is currently available (https://github.com/Ri-204 205 bosomeCRCL/ribomethseq-nf). This pipeline processes sequencing data as previously described^{25,32,33}. To calculate the C-score, which reflects the rRNA 2'Ome level, 206 207 the novel R package rRMSAnalyzer was developed (https://github.com/RibosomeCRCL/rRMSAnalyzer). The identification of significant alterations in rRNA 208 209 2'Ome levels between groups was performed by applying two consecutive thresholds: 210 the adjusted p-value < 0.05 (Kruskal-Wallis with FDR adjustment); and the mean ΔC -211 score (*i.e.*, difference between the highest and lowest mean C-score of the groups of 212 interest) > 0.05.

213 **IC**₅₀ assay

3.10³ cell spheroids were treated with CX5461 (Sigma-Aldrich)³⁴ or BMH-21 (Sigma-Aldrich)¹⁰. Cell cytotoxicity was assessed by CellToxTM Green Cytotoxicity Assay
(Promega) and by CellTiter-Glo3D[®] luminescent cell viability assay (Promega). Cell
viability was expressed as a percentage of the signal intensity normalized against
DMSO (1%).

- 219
- 220

221 **Results**

222

A standardized approach for large-scale analyses of human samples using Ri boMethSeq

225 Before investigating alterations of rRNA 2'Ome in the three main histomolecular HGGs, 226 we first optimized the recently described RiboMethSeg approach^{25,32,35} to determine 227 reliable quantifications of 2'Ome levels at 106 rRNA sites from patient tumor samples. 228 First, based on the technical cohort (n=11) of IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic 229 tissues, we observed that the C-score, which reflects rRNA 2'Ome levels at specific 230 sites, was similar using either a manual or an automated RNA extraction protocol 231 (Fig.S2). Second, we used the NovaSeq Illumina sequencing platform (up to 10 billion reads) to increase the total number of useful reads (Fig.S3A) and the number of sam-232 233 ples sequenced in a single flowcell.

234 We then randomly separated RNA samples of the test cohort and prepared two inde-235 pendent libraries of 23 samples (40 HGGs and 6 non-neoplastic samples), each library 236 also contained a commercially-available "reference" total RNA. Unsupervised analysis 237 of the entire test cohort using PCA based on C-scores of either all rRNA positions (7055 sites) or the 106 positions corresponding to known rRNA 2'Ome sites, clearly 238 239 distinguished samples depending on the library of origin, as illustrated by the lack of 240 clustering of reference RNA (Fig. S3B, left panels). We evaluated adjustment of Ribo-MethSeq data using the ComBat-seq algorithm, one of the most routinely used tools 241 to adjust RNA-seq data³⁶. Upon ComBat-seq adjustment, no distinction between sam-242 243 ples based on their library of origin was observed in C-scores, including for the two reference RNA (Fig.S3B, right panels). These data demonstrate the efficacy of the 244 245 ComBat-seg algorithm at removing batch effect from RiboMethSeg data.

Based on these results, we developed bioinformatics tools to perform reproducible
analyses of RiboMethSeq data arising from large-scale cohorts (<u>https://github.com/Ri-</u>
bosomeCRCL).

249

250 rRNA 2'Ome profiles discriminate IDHwt from IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas

251 Using the optimized approach selected above, we then investigated whether alterations of rRNA 2'Ome differentially occur in the three main histomolecular HGGs using 252 253 the test cohort: IDHwt glioblastoma (G, n=13), IDHmut astrocytoma (A, n=13), IDHmut and 1p19g codeleted oligodendroglioma (O, n=14) and non-neoplastic cerebral cortex 254 255 (NT, n=6) (Table 1). Using unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA), we 256 first evaluated rRNA 2'Ome levels at the 106 known sites in the 46 non-neoplastic and glioma samples (Fig.1). The C-score reflects the rRNA 2'Ome levels as it corresponds 257 to the ratio of the 5' read-end counts at a nucleotide position to the local 5' read-end 258 259 count coverage; and when close to 1, C-score indicates that all rRNA molecules of the 260 sample are 2'O-methylated at this specific site; whereas a C-score below 0.9 reflects 261 a mix of 2'O-unmethylated and 2'O-methylated rRNA molecules. Here, most rRNA 262 2'Ome sites had a score close to 1, albeit some sites were below 0.9, substantiating recent results in human samples from diffuse large B cell lymphoma, acute myeloid 263 leukemia and breast cancer^{25,27,28}. These data further confirmed observations by us 264 265 and others that some rRNA molecules exist without 2'Ome at some specific sites, in-266 cluding in non-neoplastic tissue.

267 Interestingly, all IDHwt glioblastoma samples formed a separate branch (left-hand side 268 of the HCA dendrogram), suggesting that their rRNA 2'Ome profile was clearly different 269 from IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma, as well as from non-neoplastic tis-270 sue (Fig.1). Principal Component Analyses (PCAs) based on the rRNA 2'Ome profile 271 indicated that the PC2 axis (variance=14.1%) strongly differentiated glioblastomas from other samples (Fig.S4A). To ensure consistency between the results of our clas-272 273 sification and the expected outcome of the patients, we correlated PCA axes with sur-274 vivals and mitotic index, as an internal control of tumor sample classification. Con-275 sistent with the known differences regarding glioblastomas and IDHmt HGGs charac-276 teristics, PC2 was significantly correlated with the IDH1/2 mutational status, the mitotic 277 index, overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) (Fig.S4B-D). To vali-278 date these observations, we analyzed rRNA 2'Ome levels using RiboMethSeg on the
technical cohort, corresponding to an independent cohort composed of 8 IDHwt glioblastomas and 3 non-neoplastic tissues. Unsupervised PCA based on the rRNA 2'Ome profile showed that IDHwt glioblastomas could once again be clearly separated from non-neoplastic tissues (Fig.S4E). Altogether, our data revealed that rRNA 2'Ome levels vary in HGGs as well as in non-neoplastic cerebral cortex, and that rRNA 2'Ome profiles discriminate IDHwt glioblastomas not only from non-neoplastic tissues but also from IDHmut HGG types.

286

287 Most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites are sufficient to discriminate IDHwt glioblas-288 toma from IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas

289 To better characterize differences in rRNA 2'Ome profiles between histomolecular 290 HGG types, we then focused on the most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites. To identify such 291 sites, we compared the variability of C-scores at each single site among all HGG sam-292 ples of the test cohort (n=40) using the distribution of the inter-quartile range (IQR). A 293 set of 19 sites showed an IQR higher than median + 2 × median absolute deviation 294 (mad) and were considered as the most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites among HGGs (red, 295 Fig.2A), in agreement with our recent findings that only a subset of rRNA sites displays variability in 2'Ome levels²⁵. Interestingly, PCAs indicated that rRNA 2'Ome profiles 296 297 based on this set of 19 sites are sufficient to discriminate IDHwt glioblastomas, IDHmut 298 astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas (Fig.2B).

299 To validate these observations, we used a second, independent validation cohort of 300 23 HGG samples (9 IDHwt glioblastomas, 6 IDHmut astrocytomas and 8 IDHmut, 301 1p/19g codeleted oligodendrogliomas) (Fig.S1B). We performed an unsupervised 302 analysis using the 19 most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites identified using the test cohort 303 (Fig.2A). As for the test cohort, unsupervised analyses of the validation cohort distin-304 guished the three histomolecular subtypes of HGGs (Fig.2C). In addition, the projection of the validation cohort samples onto the PCA constructed from the test cohort enabled 305 306 us to identify the histomolecular subtypes of each sample, suggesting that de novo 307 profiling of rRNA 2'Ome could help in the classification of HGGs (Fig.2D). In addition, 308 comparison of test and validation cohorts in term of percentage of tumor cells suggests 309 that the tumor heterogeneity did not affect our conclusions (Fig. S1B). Indeed, in the 310 validation cohort, the mean percentage of tumor cells is significantly lower in astrocytomas (38%) compared to oligodendrogliomas (69%), while no difference was ob-311 312 served with glioblastomas (9%) (Kruskal-Wallis: p=0.045*; Mann-Whitney: A vs O

- 313 p=0.024*). In contrast, in the test cohort, the mean percentage of tumor cells is signif-
- icantly lower in astrocytomas (38%) and oligodendrogliomas (39%) compared to glio-
- blastomas (55%) (Kruskal-Wallis: p=0.034*; Mann-Whitney: A vs G p=0.0172*; O vs G
- 316 p=0.0348*).
- 317 These data further emphasize the specificity of IDHwt glioblastoma rRNA 2'Ome pro-
- 318 files compared to IDHmut HGGs and suggest that IDHwt glioblastomas display strong
- 319 alterations of rRNA 2'Ome levels at some specific sites.
- 320

321 Glioblastomas display the most frequent site-specific rRNA 2'Ome alterations

322 To identify rRNA sites whose 2'Ome levels significantly differed between HGG histo-323 molecular types and non-neoplastic tissues, we performed a systematic analysis of 324 each of the 106 rRNA 2'Ome sites using the test cohort and applied two consecutive 325 thresholds, a Kruskal-Wallis test with adjusted p-values < 0.05 and a cut-off value for 326 mean Δ C-scores_{max-min} > 0.05. From this screen, only 16 rRNA 2'Ome sites displayed 327 a significant variation in their level of methylation in at least one HGG or non-neoplastic 328 tissue (Fig.3). Pairwise comparisons for these 16 sites revealed that 4 and 6 sites dis-329 played significant alterations in 2'Ome levels in high-grade astrocytomas and highgrade oligodendrogliomas, respectively, compared to non-neoplastic tissues (Fig.3B). 330 331 In addition, rRNA 2'Ome levels appeared to be significantly increased on 6 sites in 332 high-grade astrocytomas compared to high-grade oligodendrogliomas. Similar altera-333 tions in rRNA 2'Ome levels between IDHwt and IDHmut tumours were observed using 334 the validation cohort (Fig.S5A). Finally, the main differences could be attributed to gli-335 oblastomas that displayed 12 and 14 of the 16 rRNA sites significantly altered com-336 pared to non-neoplastic samples or high-grade astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas, 337 respectively. Strikingly, rRNA 2'Ome levels were mostly lower in glioblastomas com-338 pared to non-neoplastic tissues, high-grade astrocytoma or high-grade oligodendrogli-339 omas (Fig.3A). The same overall decrease in rRNA 2'Ome levels was confirmed in 340 glioblastoma compared to non-neoplastic tissues using the technical cohort (Fig.S5B). 341 Altogether, these results indicate that 2'Ome levels are altered at specific rRNA sites 342 in HGGs, while glioblastomas display the highest frequency of alterations in rRNA 343 2'Ome levels compared to non-neoplastic cerebral cortex and other HGG histomolec-344 ular types.

345

346 Changes in C/D box snoRNA expression levels only partially explain alterations

347 of rRNA 2'Ome levels

348 To investigate the origin of alterations of rRNA 2'Ome in HGGs, we focused on the 349 expression of C/D snoRNAs (snoRDs), which guide in a sequence-specific manner the 350 methyl-transferase FBL toward the rRNA nucleotide to methylate. We thus examined 351 the correlation between levels of rRNA 2'Ome and related snoRDs. It was reported that snoRNA expression levels can be inferred from RiboMethSeq data^{37,38}. Thus, we 352 used RiboMethSeq raw data from the NovaSeq platform and applied an in-house pipe-353 354 line to overcome limitations of snoRNA analysis by next-generation sequencing (see 355 Supplementary methods)^{39,40}. A strong and significant correlation (about 92%) be-356 tween snoRNA levels either determined from RiboMethSeg or measured by RT-qPCR 357 was observed in 9 glioma samples for 11 out of 12 selected snoRDs, thus validating 358 our approach (Fig.S6A). Given that 2'Ome at a single rRNA site is catalyzed either by 359 one or several snoRD^{15,41}, we restricted our analysis to the rRNA 2'Ome sites (n=46) 360 guided by a single snoRD. No significant correlation was observed between levels of 361 rRNA 2'Ome and of corresponding snoRDs among 37 sites (Fig.S6B). In contrast, a 362 significant albeit relatively low positive correlation was observed for 9 pairs of 363 snoRD/rRNA sites, the best correlation being that of the 18S-Am576 site and its asso-364 ciated SNORD93 (padj=9.10⁻⁶, r=0.68) (Fig.S6B-C). Thus, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome 365 levels cannot be exclusively attributed to changes in C/D box snoRNA expression lev-366 els.

367

The expression profile of ribosome biogenesis factors discriminates IDHmut and IDHwt HGGs

370 Since variations in snoRD expression levels cannot explain most of the changes ob-371 served in rRNA 2'Ome levels, we tested a novel hypothesis. Indeed, in cancer, varia-372 tions of 2'Ome levels in rRNAs are believed to passively arise from the link between 373 ribosome biogenesis (RiBi) and concomitant rRNA chemical modifications, whereby 374 changes in ribosome synthesis impact the rate-limiting rRNA 2'Ome process and therefore influence 2'Ome profiles^{15,25,27}. Therefore, an overall decrease in rRNA 375 376 2'Ome levels observed in different cancer tissues may be caused by RiBi hyperactiva-377 tion to sustain a high demand in protein synthesis necessary to support the highly pro-378 liferative cancer cells. As IDHwt glioblastomas possess a higher proliferative rate than 379 IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas, including in our test cohort (based on 380 the mitotic index) (Fig.S4C-D), we hypothesized that the decrease in rRNA 2'Ome lev-

els at specific positions in IDHwt glioblastomas could be due to a rate-limiting 2'Ome
 process caused by an exacerbated RiBi.

383 To investigate RiBi dysregulations across HGGs, we initially applied a gold-standard 384 approach by analyzing levels of the 47S rRNA precursor (pre-rRNA), using Northern 385 blot (Fig.S7A). However, this approach using tumor samples was challenging, notably 386 due to the need for a large quantity of biological materials. Nevertheless, in a panel of 387 9 analyzable samples, IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas surprisingly seemed to express more pre-47S rRNAs than IDHwt glioblastomas. However, only two 388 389 IDHwt glioblastoma samples were analyzed, therefore preventing us to draw any con-390 clusion. To bypass this technical issue, we then measured the expression of a set of 391 20 genes involved in the early RiBi stages (referred to as "RiBi-gene set"). To reflect 392 as much as possible this multistep process involving more than 200 factors⁷, we se-393 lected the RiBi-gene set implicated in the main RiBi process, including rRNA transcrip-394 tion (NCL, NPM, POLR1A, TAF1A, TAF1B, TAF1C and UBTF), rRNA maturation 395 (BOP1, PES1 and WDR12), snoRNA biogenesis (RUVBL1, PIDH1D1 and RUVBL2), 396 and H/ACA (DKC1, GAR1, NHP2 and NOP10) and C/D box (NOP56, SNU13 and FBL) 397 snoRNP complexes (Fig.4A). Of note, three genes (PIH1D1, RUVBL2 and FBL) are 398 located on the long arm of chromosome 19 (19g), which undergoes a heterozygous 399 deletion in high-grade oligodendrogliomas. A readout for RiBi at steady-state was de-400 termined by quantifying mRNA expression levels of these selected genes by medium 401 throughput RT-gPCR in our validation series. mRNA levels were normalized against 402 the median mRNA expression of 5 housekeeping genes, which did not significantly 403 vary among the four groups (Fig.S7B).

404 To examine the association between expression levels of RiBi factors and HGGs, we first performed an unsupervised approach using a PCA based on the RiBi-gene set 405 406 profile (Fig.4B). Interestingly, three main clusters were observed. A first large cluster, 407 composed of both non-neoplastic tissues and IDHwt glioblastomas (NT/G cluster), was 408 distinct from two other clusters corresponding to IDHmut oligodendrogliomas (O) and 409 astrocytoma (A). PC1 (variance: 67.8%), in particular, separated the NT/G cluster from 410 A/O clusters, while the PC2 (variance: 9%) distinguished the O cluster from others. 411 These data suggest that the expression profile of only 20 RiBi factors discriminate 412 HGGs.

413 To ensure consistency between the results of our classification and the expected out-414 come of the patients, we first calculated Pearson's correlation coefficients between the 415 first 5 PC dimensions and OS or PFS as an internal control (Fig.4C and Fig.S7C). 416 Consistently, we observed a significant association exclusively for PC1 and PC2, indi-417 cating that the clustering based on RiBi-gene set profiles provided by these two axes 418 is sufficient to recapitulate all clinical data of interest. OS and PFS were significantly 419 correlated with both PC1 and PC2 in a negative manner, indicating that samples clus-420 tering at the right-hand side of the PC1 and top of PC2, *i.e.*, IDHwt glioblastomas, 421 display lower OS and PFS. Indeed, IDHwt glioblastoma patients exhibit the poorest OS (less than 30 months) and PFS (under 30 months), followed by IDHmut astrocv-422 423 toma and oligodendroglioma patients that tend to cluster at the left part of PC1 and the 424 top or bottom part of PC2, respectively.

425 Strikingly, we also identified a strong correlation between PC1 and PC2 axes, and the 426 IDH1/2 mutational and 1p/19g co-deletion status, respectively (Fig.S7D-E). Indeed, 427 PC1 (G vs. A/O clusters) was significantly correlated with the IDH1/2 mutational status 428 while PC2 (A/G vs. O clusters) segregated HGG tumors based on the 1p/19g co-dele-429 tion status. Thus, expression profiling of the RiBi-gene set was strongly correlated with 430 both clinical features and distinct genomic alterations of the HGG test cohort. Alto-431 gether, these results suggest that the expression signature of only 20 genes involved 432 in ribosome biogenesis is sufficient to discriminate IDHwt from IDHmut HGG histomo-433 lecular types and that RiBi displays IDH mutational status-dependent alterations.

434

Ribosome biogenesis factors are highly expressed in IDHmut adult-type diffusegliomas

437 Next, we individually compared mRNA expression levels of the 20 RiBi factors among 438 the different HGGs (Fig.5 and Fig.S8A). Significant differences were observed in the 439 expression of all tested RiBi factors between different groups (Mann Whitney test, 440 Fig.S8A). Surprisingly, only a few RiBi genes were significantly differentially expressed 441 in IDHwt glioblastomas compared to non-neoplastic samples (9 out of 20), with very 442 moderate changes (< 2-fold change). In contrast, IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas significantly overexpressed most of these RiBi genes (19 and 16 out of 20 443 RiBi genes, respectively). For instance, expression of NCL, which encodes a key factor 444 445 in rRNA synthesis, increased by 2- and 3-fold in IDHmut astrocytoma and oligoden-446 drogliomas, respectively (p < 0.001), while NCL expression levels in IDHwt samples only slightly increased (Fig.5A and Fig.S8A). Likewise, the use of a second distinct set
of primers to analyze *NCL* expression provided the exact same trend (Fig.S8B), therefore ruling out a potential technical caveat. These results suggest that ribosome biogenesis could be enhanced in IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendrogliomas compared
to IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic tissues.

452 Interestingly, genes located on chromosome 19g (FBL, PIH1D1, RUVBL2) displayed 453 a particular expression pattern (Fig.5C, 5E and Fig.S7E). Like other genes involved in 454 RiBi, FBL, PIH1D1 and RUVBL2 were significantly overexpressed in IDHmut astrocy-455 tomas compared to both IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic samples. However, 456 mRNA expression levels in IDHmut oligodendrogliomas were lower than in IDHmut 457 astrocytomas and displayed expression profiles resembling those of IDHwt glioblasto-458 mas. Thus, FBL was highly expressed exclusively in IDHmut astrocytomas, whereas 459 its expression levels were equivalent in IDHmut oligodendrogliomas and IDHwt glio-460 blastomas, as confirmed by a second set of primers (Fig.S8B). Considering that 461 IDHmut oligodendroglioma samples exhibited a heterozygous deletion of FBL, PIH1D1 462 and RUVBL2 genes located on 1p/19g chromosomes, these data indicate that the spe-463 cific expression profile observed for these genes in IDHmut oligodendrogliomas is 464 likely due to a haploinsufficiency caused by genetic alterations. Interestingly, removal 465 of these three genes in the RiBi-genes set still allowed us to distinguish IDHwt from 466 IDHmut HGGs (data not shown), suggesting that copy number variation (CNVs) affect-467 ing RiBi gene expression does not impact the distinction between IDHwt and IDHmut, 468 as expected. Therefore, the ribosome biogenesis pathway is strongly enhanced in 469 IDHmut gliomas, *i.e.*, high-grade astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma, but remains 470 moderately affected in IDHwt glioblastoma.

471

472 HGGs display distinct cytotoxicity to RNA pol I inhibitors CX5461 and BMH-21

Having identified an IDH mutational status-dependent alteration of RiBi in HGGs, we hypothesized that IDHmut and IDHwt HGGs display distinct cytotoxicity to RNA Pol I inhibitors, BMH-21 and CX5461, which inhibit the transcription of the 47S pre-rRNA and are promising new cancer treatments. We used a panel of 5 representative HGG cell lines, comprising IDHmut astrocytoma and IDHmut and 1p/19q codeleted oligodendroglioma cell lines (LGG85 and BT138/237, respectively) and IDHwt glioblastoma cell lines (5706 and N131520). Analyses of HGG spheroids in response to 72480 hour treatments with RNA pol I inhibitors showed that all spheroids were similarly sensitive to BMH-21 with an IC₅₀ ranging from 1.06 to 1.56 μ M (Fig.6A-C), as recently 481 482 observed ⁴². However, CX5461 strongly impacted the viability of IDHmut astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma spheroids (IC₅₀ ranging from 5.92 to 7.55µM), but not of IDHwt 483 484 glioblastoma spheroids (Fig.6D-F). Hence, these results are consistent with our previ-485 ous findings that IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas may be addicted to an 486 enhanced RiBi pathway, therefore potentially sensitizing these AGD histomolecular 487 types to clinically available RiBi inhibitors. Altogether, our results highlight the potency 488 of RNA Pol I inhibitor usage as potential HGG therapy and further support an over-489 activation of the RiBi pathway in IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas com-490 pared to IDHwt glioblastomas and non-neoplastic tissues.

- 491
- 492

493 Discussion

494 High-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas (HGGs) are heterogeneous tumors associated 495 with distinct, albeit poor, survival rates due to the lack of effective targeted therapies, 496 in particular for the most aggressive histomolecular type, the IDHwt glioblastoma. 497 Here, by performing the first concomitant analysis of rRNA 2'Ome and ribosome bio-498 genesis in primary tumors, we report distinct, uncoupled alterations of rRNA epitran-499 scriptomics and ribosome biogenesis in IDHmut and IDHwt HGGs, therefore revealing 500 specific dysregulations of the ribosome biology that constitute new IDH mutational sta-501 tus-associated hallmarks of HGGs.

- 502 In the last 7 years, alterations of rRNA 2'Ome profiles have been reported using a 503 newly developed approach RiboMethSeg in numerous cellular models and only in three types of cancers, namely breast cancer, acute myeloid leukemia and diffuse 504 large-B cell lymphoma (DLBCL)^{15,25,27,28}. Such alterations have been shown to be re-505 506 stricted to only 40% of the known rRNA 2'Ome sites, suggesting that only one third of 507 the sites may possess regulatory functions on ribosome activity. We now show that 508 rRNA 2'Ome profiles also vary in HGGs. As previously observed in other cancers, only 509 a small subset of known rRNA 2'Ome sites display variability in their 2'Ome levels in 510 HGGs, suggesting these positions can tolerate absence of 2'Ome. The 19 most varia-511 ble rRNA 2'Ome sites were randomly distributed on the ribosome structure, suggesting 512 no coordinated effects on functional domains of the ribosome. Notably, similar in-513 creases in rRNA 2'Ome levels at 18S Am576 and decreases at 18S Gm1447 were
 - 16

514 observed in the most aggressive HGG type, glioblastoma, and breast cancer subtypes 515 (triple negative or TNBC), suggesting a link between these sites and cancer aggressiveness²⁵. A recent study demonstrated that alterations of 2'Ome levels at a single 516 517 rRNA site are sufficient to affect cell proliferation, a hallmark of cancer aggressive-518 ness²⁶. Moreover, rRNA 2'Ome at 18S Gm1447 was recently shown to support leuke-519 mic stem cell functions by modulating translation²⁸. Hence, whether these rRNA 2'Ome 520 sites common to both HGGs and breast cancer contribute to the acquisition of cancer 521 cell characteristics remains to be deciphered and could potentially represent new tar-522 getable vulnerabilities.

523 In IDHwt glioblastomas, alterations in rRNA 2'Ome levels mostly correspond to a de-524 crease as observed in both the test and validation cohorts. In DLBCL, the global de-525 crease in rRNA 2'Ome levels was correlated with the Ki67-estimated high proliferative 526 rate of tumors. One hypothesis was that low rRNA 2'Ome levels indirectly resulted from 527 an increase in rRNA synthesis associated with the hyperproliferative rate of cancer 528 cells, which rendered components of the rRNA 2'Ome machinery limiting, although 529 rRNA synthesis was not analyzed²⁷. Consistently, we observed specific alterations of 530 rRNA 2'Ome in IDHwt glioblastomas, which are the most proliferative tumors and display the highest mitotic index in our test cohort. However, we surprisingly observed an 531 532 elevated ribosome biogenesis in IDHmut astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas com-533 pared to glioblastomas, suggesting that RiBi levels are not correlated with the prolifer-534 ative rate, at least in HGGs, and cannot solely explain alterations of rRNA epitran-535 scriptomics in HGGs. Even though the rate of ribosome biogenesis may contribute to 536 regulating rRNA 2'Ome through a passive effect, additional molecular mechanisms 537 should be further explored to identify the origin of rRNA 2'Ome alterations in cancer 538 and understand the observed rRNA site- and cancer type-specificity. The mechanisms 539 may include alterations of expressions and/or activities of RNA-binding proteins, such as DDX21 and FMRP, which contribute to the formation of bona fide functional snoRNP 540 complexes^{29,43}. Here, we report that alterations of C/D box snoRNA expression could 541 542 be sufficient to explain alterations of rRNA 2'Ome levels at some, but not all, rRNA 543 sites. The evolution of annotation and/or knowledge in biology of C/D box snoRNAs 544 may fill the gap to better understand causes of rRNA 2'Ome alterations in cancer. Al-545 together, our findings that main HGG histomolecular types are associated with alterations in either ribosome quantity or quality, challenge the hypothesis that the decrease 546

in rRNA 2'Ome levels mainly results from a passive effect caused by an exacerbatedribosome biogenesis.

549 Our data reveal that IDHmut HGGs, including both high-grade astrocytoma and oli-550 godendroglioma, display the highest expression of ribosome biogenesis factors, sug-551 gesting an increase in ribosome biogenesis. Whether dysregulations of IDH1/2 func-552 tions, notably through the production of the oncometabolite D-2-hydroxyglutarate, 553 could directly impact the regulation of ribosome biogenesis would need to be further explored. Nevertheless, the specificity of ribosome biogenesis alterations in HGGs of-554 555 fers novel perspectives for clinical applications. Building on our observations, we found that HGGs are sensitive to the newly developed RNA pol I inhibitors, CX5461 and 556 557 BMH-21, the former being successfully evaluated in clinical trials in advanced solid and 558 hematological cancers⁷. Sensitivity of HGGs to RNA pol I inhibitors CX5461 and BMH-559 21 has already been reported^{42,44,45}, even though discrepancies regarding the sensitivity of glioblastomas to CX5461 exist between our data and previous ones, possibly 560 561 due to differences in experimental settings and genetic backgrounds of tested cell 562 lines. In particular, the 3D culture conditions could decrease drug sensitivity compared 563 to 2D culture conditions, as already reported⁴⁶. In addition, the differential sensitivity of glioblastomas to CX5461 and BMH-21 could be attributed to off-target effects of these 564 565 molecules as these RNA Pol I inhibitors act through distinct mechanisms and are known to affect several cellular pathways, including DNA repair^{10,34,47}. Thus, whether 566 567 the activity of these compounds may also rely on the genetic background of tested 568 models impacting the different sensitivities of HGG types to CX5461 and BMH-21, 569 should be further investigated.

Altogether, our data indicate that alterations of the ribosome biology in HGGs are dependent on the IDH mutational status and could represent targetable features in clinic. Thus, recent discoveries in the field of ribosomes have opened new avenues not only for a better understanding of cellular processes that contribute to HGG development and aggressiveness but also for designing future HGG type-specific therapeutic strategies.

576 **Conflict of interest**

- 577 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 578

579 Ethical Approval

580 All the experiment protocol for involving human was in accordance with the guidelines

- 581 of French regulation. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.
- 582

583 Fundings

584 This work was supported by INCa (PLBio 2019-138 MARACAS), the French Associa-585 tion pour la Recherche sur les Tumeurs Cérébrales (ARTC), the Cancéropôle Lyon 586 Auvergne Rhône-Alpes (AAP international 2021 MARACAS.v3.0), the Ligue Contre le 587 Cancer Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes_and the SIRIC program (INCa-DGOS-Inserm_12563, 588 LyRICAN). HP and NEHM were recipients of PhD fellowships from Ligue Nationale 589 Contre le Cancer. JH was recipient of PhD fellowship from French Minister of Re-590 search.

591

592 Author contribution

593 HP, NEHM, SB, JH, FB, VA, MC, JP, DB, LCV, SD performed and analysed experi-594 ments. HP, NEHM, JK, ET, DB performed statistical descriptions and bioinformatic 595 analyses. HP, TC, AF, JK, ET, LT, AV developed bioinformatic tools. LCV, EH, MS 596 provided cell lines. DM, FD provided human samples and clinical data. AF, JK, ET, VA, 597 SG, MSS, EH, MS, DM, FC, VM, SB supervised experimental process. HP, NEHM, 598 SB, JK, SG, FD, VM and SD interpreted the data. VM and SD shaped the clinical and research question and supervised the project coordination. AV, MSS, EH, MS, MG, 599 600 JJD, FD, VM and SD provided financial supports. HP, NEHM, VM and SD wrote the 601 first draft of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

602

603 Availability of datasets

The generated RiboMethSeq data are available in the GEO profile (GSE224104, token for reviewer access: qhabqswmfzotlwh). The datasets include the fastq of each sample, a C-score matrix for each cohort after batch effect adjustment using ComBat-seq and a matrix summarizing the clinical data.

608

609 Acknowledgements

- 610 We would like to thank all the people involved in this study, including the patients and
- 611 their families. We thank Drs A Idbaih (ICM, Paris, France), JP Hugnot (IGF, Montpellier,
- 612 France) and K Ligon (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, USA) for generously
- 613 providing cell lines. We thank platform staffs that have not been referred as co-authors:
- 614 NeuroBioTec (CRB HCL, Lyon, France, Biobank BB-0033-00046); Organoid platform
- 615 (CRCL, Lyon, France); Gilles Thomas Bioinformatic platform (CRCL, Lyon, France);
- 616 Cancer Genomic platform (CRCL, Lyon, France). The manuscript has been edited by
- 617 Brigitte Manship (CRCL, Lyon, France).
- 618
- 619

620 **References**

- Wen PY, Weller M, Lee EQ, et al. Glioblastoma in adults: a Society for Neuro-Oncology (SNO) and European Society of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) consensus review on current management and future directions. *Neuro Oncol.* 2020;22(8):1073-1113.
 doi:10.1093/NEUONC/NOAA106
- 625 2. Lapointe S, Perry A, Butowski NA. Primary brain tumours in adults. *Lancet*.
 626 2018;392(10145):432-446. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30990-5
- Lassman AB, Hoang-Xuan K, Polley MYC, et al. Joint Final Report of EORTC 26951
 and RTOG 9402: Phase III Trials With Procarbazine, Lomustine, and Vincristine
 Chemotherapy for Anaplastic Oligodendroglial Tumors. *J Clin Oncol.*2022;40(23):2539-2545. doi:10.1200/JCO.21.02543
- 4. van den Bent MJ, Tesileanu CMS, Wick W, et al. Adjuvant and concurrent temozolomide for 1p/19q non-co-deleted anaplastic glioma (CATNON; EORTC study)
- 63326053-22054): second interim analysis of a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study.634Lancet Oncol. 2021;22(6):813-823. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00090-5
- 635
 5.
 Bastide A, David A. The ribosome, (slow) beating heart of cancer (stem) cell. Onco

 636
 genesis 2018 7:4. 2018;7(4):1-13. doi:10.1038/s41389-018-0044-8
- 637 6. Barna M, Pusic A, Zollo O, et al. Suppression of Myc oncogenic activity by ribosomal
 638 protein haploinsufficiency. *Nature 2008 456:7224*. 2008;456(7224):971-975.
 639 doi:10.1038/nature07449
- 640 7. Catez F, Dalla Venezia N, Marcel V, Zorbas C, Lafontaine DLJ, Diaz JJ. Ribosome bi641 ogenesis: An emerging druggable pathway for cancer therapeutics. *Biochem Pharma-*642 *col*. 2019;159:74-81. doi:10.1016/J.BCP.2018.11.014
- 6438.Penzo M, Montanaro L, Treré D, Derenzini M. The Ribosome Biogenesis—Cancer644Connection. Cells 2019, Vol 8, Page 55. 2019;8(1):55. doi:10.3390/CELLS8010055
- Bywater MJ, Poortinga G, Sanij E, et al. Inhibition of RNA Polymerase I as a Therapeutic Strategy to Promote Cancer-Specific Activation of p53. *Cancer Cell*.
 2012;22(1):51-65. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2012.05.019
- Peltonen K, Colis L, Liu H, et al. A targeting modality for destruction of RNA polymerase I that possesses anticancer activity. *Cancer Cell*. 2014;25(1):77-90.
 doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.12.009
- Hilton J, Gelmon K, Bedard PL, et al. Results of the phase I CCTG IND.231 trial of
 CX-5461 in patients with advanced solid tumors enriched for DNA-repair deficiencies.

 Nature Communications 2022 157: 2022, 15(1):112. doi:10.1058/81180-1022-31199-2 Khot A, Brajanovski N, Cameron DP, et al. First-in-human RNA polymerase I transcription inhibitor CX-5461 in patients with advanced hematologic cancers: Results of a phase I dose-escalation study. <i>Cancer Discov.</i> 2019;9(8):1036-1049. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1455/33397/AM/FIRST-IN-HUMAN-RNA-POLY-MERASE-I-TRANSCRIPTION Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2017 <i>8</i>: 1.2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ, Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 20-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells</i> 2021, <i>Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> 2023, <i>Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/JJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organism. ma biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012</i> 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinetry: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> 2018 19:7. 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized Ribosome and translation acells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;4(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-0094-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translation acells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;4(10):1541-1557. doi:10.13390/CELJS1142142 Shri	(52		Nature Communications 2022 12.1 2022.12(1):1 12 doi:10.1028/s41467.022.21100
 2. Khot A, Brajanovski N, Cameron DP, et al. First-in-human RNA polymerase I transcription inhibitor CX-5461 in patients with advanced hematologic cancers: Results of a phase 1 dose-escalation study. <i>Cancer Discov</i>. 2019;9(8):1036-1049. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1455/33397/AM/FIRST-IN-HUMAN-RNA-POLY-MERASE-I-TRANSCRIPTION Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications 2017 8: 1</i>. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ. Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol</i>. 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Discases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948</i>. 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334</i>. 2023;4(7):6334. doi:10.3390/JIMS240760334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation machinaming mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012</i> 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nr13359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machiname reviews molecular cell subosome and translation machinamine reviews <i>Molecular Cell Biology 2012</i> 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol</i>, 2022;24(10):1541-1557, doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpt.com</i>	033 654		Nature Communications 2022 15:1. 2022;15(1):1-12. doi:10.1038/841407-022-51199-
 Khoi A, Brajahovski N, Camer Discov. 2019;9(8):1036-1049. scription inbibitor CX-S461 in patients with advance hematologic cancers: Results of a phase 1 dose-escalation study. <i>Cancer Discov.</i> 2019;9(8):1036-1049. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1455/33397/AM/FIRST-IN-HUMAN-RNA-RNA-POLY- MERASEI-TRANSCRIPTION Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications 2017</i> <i>8: 1.</i> 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ, Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.1416/12372355.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methyla- tion of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells</i> 2021, <i>Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special- ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> 2023, <i>Vol 24, Page 6334</i>, 2023;24(7):6334, doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology</i> 2012, 13:6, 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> 2018 19:7, 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>, 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Larionovar TD, Bastola S, Askinina TF, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol</i>, 2022;24(10):1541-1557, doi:10.1038/s41556-022-0094-W<!--</td--><td>654</td><td>10</td><td>Z Khat A. Duaisu anali N. Camanan DD, at al Einst in human DNA nahma anas Ituan</td>	654	10	Z Khat A. Duaisu anali N. Camanan DD, at al Einst in human DNA nahma anas Ituan
 scription innibitor CX-3401 inpatients with advanced nematologic cancers, Réguits of a phase 1 dose-escalation study. <i>Cancer Discov.</i> 2019;9(8):1036-1049. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1455/33397/AM/FIRST-IN-HUMAN-RNA-POLY-MERASE-I-TRANSCRIPTION Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications 2017 8:1</i>. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ. Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723555.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methyla-tio of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/UMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/s41556-018-008-z. Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41556-018-008-z. Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>matecom. Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1039/c1257.0018/9129. Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al.	655	12.	Knot A, Brajanovski N, Cameron DP, et al. First-in-numan KNA polymerase I tran-
 a pnase 1 dose-escatation study. <i>Cancer Discov.</i> 2019;9(8):1056-1049. doi:10.1158/2159-8290. CD-18-1455/33397/AM/FRST-IN-HUMAN-RNA-POLY-MERASE-I-TRANSCRIPTION Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications 2017</i> 8:1. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ. Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Discases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/ns41576-018-0008-z Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells/020497 Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.1038/nc14.92019. Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11 Page 2142,</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/Cell.5111	650		scription inhibitor CA-5461 in patients with advanced nematologic cancers: Results of
 doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CDF18-1455/35359/JAM/FIRS1-IN-HUMAN-RNA-POLY-MERASE-1TRANSCRIPTION Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications 2017</i> 26:1. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ, Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of vicw. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 634.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M, Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/CEll.S0200497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1011/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes	657		a phase I dose-escalation study. Cancer Discov. $2019,9(8):1036-1049$.
 MERASE-1-TRANSCRIPTION Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications 2017 8:1</i>, 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ, Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948</i>, 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334</i>. 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/UBL24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6</i>. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7</i>, 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinia TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>Matpic. Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ri	658		001:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1455/33339//AM/FIRS1-IN-HUMAN-KNA-POLY-
 Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-3401 is a DNA C-quadrupte stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumoris. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2017 <i>8</i>:1. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ. Ribosome hetrogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells</i> 2021, <i>Vol</i> 10, <i>Page</i> 1948. 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> 2023, <i>Vol</i> 24, <i>Page</i> 6334. 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology</i> 2012 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> 2018 19:7. 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>Mat Cell</i> 2021;2(11(1):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1117/CAS.14399 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribo	659	10	MERASE-I-TRANSCRIPTION
 with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient fumoris. <i>Nature Communications 2017</i> <i>sil.</i> 2017; 8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ. Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Discases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Discase. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/UBJ24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machincry: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-008-z. Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-0094-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Formote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS	660	13.	Xu H, Di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer
 87.7. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432 Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ, Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/UJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machincry: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>Mat. Cell Sci. 2020</i>;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal proteins 65 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.11111/CAS.14399 Culto J, Arture Resteriat, and Sindenda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marceln V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methyla	661		with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. <i>Nature Communications 201/</i>
 Marcel V, Catez P, Diaz JJ. Ribosome neterogeneity in tumorgenesis: the rRNA point of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(2). doi:10.4161/23723556.2014.983755 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methyla- tion of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. doi:10.3390/CELLS10081948 Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special- ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> <i>2023, Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s1756-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosome Inprotein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Lid T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CEL1.S11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plastic	662	1.4	8:1.201/38(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432
 of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol.</i> 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23/25356.2014.83/55 Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methylation of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. doi:10.3390/CELLS10081948 Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Specialized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Ca</i>	663	14.	Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ. Ribosome heterogeneity in tumorigenesis: the rRNA point
 Jaatar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2O-Riböse Methyla- tion of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. doi:10.3390/CELLS10081948 Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special- ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> <i>2023, Vol 24, Page 6334.</i> 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6.</i> 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Linde T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. Marcel V, Gibayad SE, B	664	1 -	of view. <i>Mol Cell Oncol</i> . 2015;2(3). doi:10.4161/23/23556.2014.983/55
 tion of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes, and Diseases. <i>Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948.</i> 2021;10(8):1948. doi:10.3390/CELLS10081948 Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special- ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334. 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/CIMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6</i>. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7</i>. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinia TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigceda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stenness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Gihayad SE, Belin S, e	665	15.	Jaafar M, Paraqindes H, Gabut M, Diaz JJ, Marcel V, Durand S. 2'O-Ribose Methyla-
 and Diseases. <i>Cells</i> 2021, <i>Vol</i> 10, <i>Page</i> 1948, 2021;10(8):1948. doi:10.3390/CELLS10081948 Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special- ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> 2023, <i>Vol</i> 24, <i>Page</i> 6334, 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS2076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology</i> 2012 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> 2018 19:7. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol</i>. 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells</i> 2022, <i>Vol</i> 11, <i>Page</i> 2142. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer</i>	666		tion of Ribosomal RNAs: Natural Diversity in Living Organisms, Biological Processes,
 doi: 10.3390/CELLS10081948 Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special- ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334. 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012</i> 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018</i> 19:7. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol</i>. 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Z. Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells</i> 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> U S A. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Mar	667		and Diseases. Cells 2021, Vol 10, Page 1948. 2021;10(8):1948.
 Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special- ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> <i>2023, Vol 24, Page 6334</i>, 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6</i>. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7</i>. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol</i>. 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142</i>. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J	668		doi:10.3390/CELLS10081948
 ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. <i>International Journal of Molecular Sciences</i> 2023, <i>Vol 24, Page 6334</i>. 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334 Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6</i>. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7</i>. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-0094-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined, Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;111(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>N</i>	669	16.	Miller SC, MacDonald CC, Kellogg MK, Karamysheva ZN, Karamyshev AL. Special-
 2023, Vol 24, Page 6334. 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/INBS24076334 17. Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis- mal biology. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 18. Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z 19. Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/s41556-022-0094-W 20. Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. mdpi. com. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 21. Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. Cancer Sci. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 22. Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 23. Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. 24. Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. Cancer Cell. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 25. Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. NAR Cancer. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 26. Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by s	670		ized Ribosomes in Health and Disease. International Journal of Molecular Sciences
 I7. Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organismal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13:6</i>. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 I8. Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7</i>. 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z I. Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol</i>. 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142</i>. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114/SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of transla	671		2023, Vol 24, Page 6334. 2023;24(7):6334. doi:10.3390/IJMS24076334
 mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012</i> 13:6. 2012;13(6):355-369. doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018</i> 19:7. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-020994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jamsson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi	672	17.	Xue S, Barna M. Specialized ribosomes: a new frontier in gene regulation and organis-
 doi:10.1038/nrm3359 Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin- ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018</i> 19:7. 2018;19(7):431- 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo- somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111//CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	673		mal biology. <i>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2012 13</i> :6. 2012;13(6):355-369.
 18. Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machinery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018</i> 19:7. 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z 19. Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W 20. Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 21. Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 22. Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 23. Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX 24. Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 25. Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 26. Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribosomal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	674		doi:10.1038/nrm3359
 ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018</i> 19:7. 2018;19(7):431-452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1039/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribosomal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	675	18.	Genuth NR, Barna M. Heterogeneity and specialized functions of translation machin-
 452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribosomal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	676		ery: from genes to organisms. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics 2018 19:7.</i> 2018;19(7):431-
 Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribosomal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell Biol</i>. 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142</i>. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribosomal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	677		452. doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0008-z
 somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i> <i>Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	678	19.	Larionova TD, Bastola S, Aksinina TE, et al. Alternative RNA splicing modulates ribo-
 <i>Biol.</i> 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com.</i> 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX equating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Smarcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	679		somal composition and determines the spatial phenotype of glioblastoma cells. <i>Nat Cell</i>
 Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142</i>. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	680		<i>Biol</i> . 2022;24(10):1541-1557. doi:10.1038/S41556-022-00994-W
 in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i>. 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci</i>. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142</i>. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	681	20.	Gabut M, Bourdelais F, Cells SD, 2020 undefined. Ribosome and translational control
 Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro- teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	682		in stem cells. <i>mdpi.com</i> . 2020;9(2). doi:10.3390/cells9020497
 characters in glioma cells. Cancer Sci. 2020;111(6):2041-2051. doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 22. Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142</i>. 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 23. Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> U S A. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX exacter V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 25. Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 26. Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo-somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	683	21.	Shirakawa Y, Hide T, Yamaoka M, et al. Ribosomal protein S6 promotes stem-like
 doi:10.1111/CAS.14399 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo-somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	684		characters in glioma cells. <i>Cancer Sci.</i> 2020;111(6):2041-2051.
 Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Proteins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribosomal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	685		doi:10.1111/CAS.14399
 teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming. <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	686	22.	Hide T, Shibahara I, Inukai M, Shigeeda R, Kumabe T. Ribosomes and Ribosomal Pro-
 <i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A.</i> 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	687		teins Promote Plasticity and Stemness Induction in Glioma Cells via Reprogramming.
 Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity: Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	688		<i>Cells 2022, Vol 11, Page 2142.</i> 2022;11(14):2142. doi:10.3390/CELLS11142142
 Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci</i> <i>U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX 24. Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 25. Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 26. Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	689	23.	Erales J, Marchand V, Panthu B, et al. Evidence for rRNA 2'-O-methylation plasticity:
 <i>U S A</i>. 2017;114(49):12934-12939. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX 24. Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell</i>. 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 25. Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 26. Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	690		Control of intrinsic translational capabilities of human ribosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci
 doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	691		<i>USA</i> . 2017;114(49):12934-12939.
 Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer.</i> 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.</i> 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	692		doi:10.1073/PNAS.1707674114/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.1707674114.SD03.XLSX
694by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. Cancer Cell.6952013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.01369625.69625.Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel697layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. NAR Cancer. 2020;2(4).698doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA03669926.Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo-700somal RNA methylation. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.7012021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4	693	24.	Marcel V, Ghayad SE, Belin S, et al. P53 Acts as a Safeguard of Translational Control
 2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	694		by Regulating Fibrillarin and rRNA Methylation in Cancer. Cancer Cell.
 Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. <i>NAR Cancer</i>. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribosomal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	695		2013;24(3):318-330. doi:10.1016/J.CCR.2013.08.013
 layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. NAR Cancer. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036 26. Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	696	25.	Marcel V, Kielbassa J, Marchand V, et al. Ribosomal RNA 2'O-methylation as a novel
698doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA03669926.700Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo-700somal RNA methylation. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.7012021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4	697		layer of inter-tumour heterogeneity in breast cancer. NAR Cancer. 2020;2(4).
 26. Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo- somal RNA methylation. <i>Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11</i>. 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4 	698		doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA036
700somal RNA methylation. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.7012021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4	699	26.	Jansson MD, Häfner SJ, Altinel K, et al. Regulation of translation by site-specific ribo-
701 2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4	700		somal RNA methylation. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 2021 28:11.
	701		2021;28(11):889-899. doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00669-4

- Krogh N, Asmar F, Côme C, Munch-Petersen HF, Grønbæk K, Nielsen H. Profiling of
 ribose methylations in ribosomal RNA from diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients for
 evaluation of ribosomes as drug targets. *NAR Cancer*. 2020;2(4). doi:10.1093/NARCAN/ZCAA035
- Zhou F, Aroua N, Liu Y, et al. A Dynamic rRNA Ribomethylome Drives Stemness in
 Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cancer Discov*. 2022;13:OF1-OF17. doi:10.1158/21598290.CD-22-0210
- Zhou F, Liu Y, Rohde C, et al. AML1-ETO requires enhanced C/D box snoRNA/RNP
 formation to induce self-renewal and leukaemia. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2017;19(7):844-855.
 doi:10.1038/NCB3563
- Nachmani D, Bothmer AH, Grisendi S, et al. Germline NPM1 mutations lead to altered
 rRNA 2'-O-methylation and cause dyskeratosis congenita. *Nat Genet*.
 2019;51(10):1518-1529. doi:10.1038/S41588-019-0502-Z
- Nguyen Van Long F, Lardy-Cleaud A, Carène D, et al. Low level of Fibrillarin, a ribosome biogenesis factor, is a new independent marker of poor outcome in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer*. 2022;22(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/S12885-022-09552-X/FIGURES/3
- Marchand V, Ayadi L, el Hajj A, Blanloeil-Oillo F, Helm M, Motorin Y. HighThroughput Mapping of 2'-O-Me Residues in RNA Using Next-Generation Sequencing (Illumina RiboMethSeq Protocol). *Methods Mol Biol*. 2017;1562:171-187.
 doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6807-7_12
- Pichot F, Marchand V, Ayadi L, Bourguignon-Igel V, Helm M, Motorin Y. Holistic
 Optimization of Bioinformatic Analysis Pipeline for Detection and Quantification of
 2'-O-Methylations in RNA by RiboMethSeq. *Front Genet*. 2020;11:38.
 doi:10.3389/FGENE.2020.00038/BIBTEX
- 34. Drygin D, Lin A, Bliesath J, et al. Targeting RNA polymerase I with an oral small molecule CX-5461 inhibits ribosomal RNA synthesis and solid tumor growth. *Cancer Res.*2011;71(4):1418-1430. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1728
- 35. Birkedal U, Christensen-Dalsgaard M, Krogh N, Sabarinathan R, Gorodkin J, Nielsen
 H. Profiling of ribose methylations in RNA by high-throughput sequencing. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2015;54(2):451-455. doi:10.1002/ANIE.201408362
- 73236.Zhang Y, Parmigiani G, Johnson WE. ComBat-seq: batch effect adjustment for RNA-733seq count data. NAR Genom Bioinform. 2020;2(3). doi:10.1093/NARGAB/LQAA078
- 37. Sharma S, Marchand V, Motorin Y, Lafontaine DLJ. Identification of sites of 2'-Omethylation vulnerability in human ribosomal RNAs by systematic mapping. *Sci Rep.*2017;7(1). doi:10.1038/S41598-017-09734-9
- 737 38. Delhermite J, Tafforeau L, Sharma S, et al. Systematic mapping of rRNA 2'-O methyl738 ation during frog development and involvement of the methyltransferase Fibrillarin in
 739 eye and craniofacial development in Xenopus laevis. *PLoS Genet*.
- 740 2022;18(1):e1010012. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1010012
- 741 39. Deschamps-Francoeur G, Boivin V, Abou Elela S, Scott MS. CoCo: RNA-seq read as742 signment correction for nested genes and multimapped reads. *Bioinformatics*.
 743 2019;35(23):5039-5047. doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTZ433
- 40. Bergeron D, Laforest C, Carpentier S, et al. SnoRNA copy regulation affects family
 size, genomic location and family abundance levels. *BMC Genomics*. 2021;22(1).
 doi:10.1186/S12864-021-07757-1
- 41. Bergeron D, Paraqindes H, Fafard-Couture É, et al. snoDB 2.0: an enhanced interactive database, specializing in human snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2023;51(D1):D291D296. doi:10.1093/NAR/GKAC835

- Zisi A, Kanellis DC, Moussaud S, et al. Small Molecule-mediated Disruption of Ribosome Biogenesis Synergizes With FGFR Inhibitors to Suppress Glioma Cell Growth. *Neuro Oncol.* Published online December 30, 2022. doi:10.1093/NEUONC/NOAC286
- 43. D'Souza MN, Gowda NKC, Tiwari V, et al. FMRP Interacts with C/D Box snoRNA in
 the Nucleus and Regulates Ribosomal RNA Methylation. *iScience*. 2018;9:399-411.
 doi:10.1016/J.ISCI.2018.11.007
- Li G, Shen J, Cao J, et al. Alternative splicing of human telomerase reverse transcriptase in gliomas and its modulation mediated by CX-5461. *Journal of Experimental and Clinical Cancer Research*. 2018;37(1):1-13. doi:10.1186/S13046-018-0749-8/FIG-URES/7
- Chiu YC, Chen HIH, Zhang T, et al. Predicting drug response of tumors from integrated genomic profiles by deep neural networks. *BMC Med Genomics*.
 2019;12(1):143-155. doi:10.1186/S12920-018-0460-9/TABLES/5
- 46. El Hassouni B, Mantini G, Immordino B, Peters GJ, Giovannetti E. CX-5461 Inhibits
 Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Cell Growth, Migration and Induces DNA Damage. *Molecules 2019, Vol 24, Page 4445*. 2019;24(24):4445. doi:10.3390/MOLECULES24244445
- Xu H, di Antonio M, McKinney S, et al. CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer
 with selective lethality in BRCA1/2 deficient tumours. *Nature Communications 2017*8:1. 2017;8(1):1-18. doi:10.1038/ncomms14432

770

771

772 Figure Legends

773

774 Figure 1. rRNA 2'Ome levels vary in high-grade adult-type diffuse gliomas. An 775 unsupervised hierarchical clustering of C-scores at the 106 known rRNA 2'O-ribose 776 methylated (2'Ome) sites was performed in a test cohort of 40 high-grade (3-4) adult-777 type diffuse glioma (HGG) samples and 6 non-tumoral, non-neoplastic cerebral cortex 778 (NT) samples. C-scores are represented by a color scale from 0 (black) to 1 (yellow). 779 IDHwt glioblastomas (G), high-grade astrocytomas (A), high-grade oligodendrogli-780 omas (O) and non-neoplastic (NT) samples are depicted in pink, green, purple, and 781 grey, respectively. The mean C-score for each site across the 46 samples is shown on 782 the right-hand side of the graph. 86 sites have a mean C-score higher than 0.9 (black) 783 and 20 sites lower than 0.9 (red).

784

785 Figure 2. The most variable rRNA 2'Ome sites are sufficient to distinguish differ-786 ent HGG types. (A) Distribution of the interguartile range (IQR) of the C-score to de-787 termine the C-score variability across HGG samples of the test cohort (n=40). rRNA 788 2'Ome sites are ranked by increasing IQR value. The IQR distribution curve is plotted 789 at the right-hand side of the graph. The "most variable sites" correspond to those with 790 an IQR higher than median + 2 × median absolute deviation (mad) and were colored 791 in red (19 sites). (B-D) Unsupervised Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on 792 C-scores of the 19 most variable sites as identified in (A). Independent PCA was per-793 formed on IDHwt glioblastoma (G, pink circle), high-grade astrocytoma (A, green trian-794 gle) and high-grade oligodendroglioma (O, purple diamond) samples of both test (B, 795 n=40) and validation (n=23) cohorts. Validation cohort samples were projected on PCA 796 of the test cohort (D). Percentage of variance explained by PC1 and PC2 are indicated. 797 95 % confidence ellipsoids around the centroid of each group (larger pink circle, green 798 triangle and purple diamond) are indicated.

799

Figure 3. rRNA 2'Ome levels are differently altered in HGG types. (A) Box plots showing the distribution of C-score in HGG and non-neoplastic samples of the test cohort for 16 rRNA 2'O-ribose methylated (2'Ome) sites that exhibited both statistically and biologically significant alterations between groups. These sites were identified using both Kruskal-Wallis statistical tests (with an adjusted p-value threshold of < 0.05) 805 and a mean ΔC -score_{max-min} > 0.05 (absolute difference between the highest and low-806 est mean C-score). The adjusted p-values corresponding to the statistical tests are 807 indicated on the bottom left-hand side of each panel and median C-scores are repre-808 sented by a black line within the box plots. (B) Pairwise comparison of mean C-score groups for the 16 significantly deregulated rRNA 2'Ome sites in the test cohort. Positive 809 810 (UP) and negative (DOWN) Δ C-score_{aroup1-group2} are shown in blue and red, respectively. Adjusted p-values: *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001; ****: p < 0.0001; ns, 811 812 not significant.

813

814 Figure 4. Expression profiles of RiBi factors distinguish HGG types. (A) Panel of 815 genes involved in ribosome biogenesis constituting the 20 RiBi-gene set analyzed in 816 HGG and non-neoplastic samples. Genes located on the short arm of chromosome 1 (1p) or long arm of chromosome 19 (19q), which are heterozygously deleted in high-817 818 grade oligodendrogliomas, are indicated. (B) A principal component analysis (PCA) 819 based on the mRNA expression profile of the RiBi-gene set. Each dot represents a 820 non-neoplastic (NT, grey square), IDHwt glioblastoma (G, pink circle), high-grade as-821 trocytoma (A, green triangle) or high-grade oligodendroglioma (O, purple diamond) 822 sample. Ellipsoids shows 90% confidence interval around the centroid (larger grey 823 square, pink circle, green triangle, and purple diamond) of each group. Percentage of 824 variance explained by PC1 and PC2 are indicated. (C) A heatmap showing Pearson's 825 correlation coefficients of PC1 to PC5 axes with the overall survival (OS), progression-826 free survival (PFS) and mitotic index. R-values are depicted by different colors from -0.6 (red, negative correlation) to 0.6 (blue, positive correlation). Significant correlations 827 are indicated by an asterisk: *p < 0.01; **p < 0.001. 828

829

830 Figure 5. High-grade astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas display the highest increased expression in ribosome biogenesis factors. Box plots showing relative 831 832 mRNA expression levels determined by RT-gPCR analysis of the RiBi genes impli-833 cated in rRNA (A) transcription and (B) maturation, (C) snoRNA biogenesis or associ-834 ated to (D) H/ACA box and (E) C/D box snoRNAs in IDHwt glioblastomas (G, pink), 835 high-grade astrocytomas (A, green), high-grade oligodendrogliomas (O, purple) and 836 non-neoplastic (NT, grey) samples. FBL, PIH1D1 and RUVBL2 are located on chro-837 mosomes 1p or 19q.

Figure 6. Glioma spheroids reveal distinct histomolecular type-dependent sen-838 839 sitivity to ribosome biogenesis inhibitors. (A) Representative high-content screen-840 ing microscopy images of 5706, N131520, BT138, BT237 and LGG85 cell line sphe-841 roids treated or not (DMSO) with 10 µM of BMH-21 for 72 h. Hoechst and CellTox 842 labelling are depicted in blue and green, respectively. Cell lines representative of glio-843 blastomas, high-grade oligodendrogliomas and astrocytomas are shown in pink, pur-844 ple and green, respectively. (B) Representative graphs indicating the viability percent-845 age in response to increasing BMH-21 concentrations in 5706, N131520, BT138, 846 BT237 and LGG85 cell line spheroids. Cell lines representative of IDHwt glioblasto-847 mas, IDHmut oligodendrogliomas and astrocytomas are framed in pink, purple and 848 green, respectively. (C) A table indicating means and standard deviations (SD) of 849 BMH-21 IC₅₀ calculated from graphs displayed in (B) (n=7). (D), (E) and (F) as in (A) 850 (B) and (C), respectively, for CX5461 (n=3). 851

		Astrocytoma	Oligodendroglioma
	Glioblastoma	grade 3/4	grade 3
	(n=13)	(n=13)	(n=14)
Age (years)	60 [52-73]	36 [25-52]	53 [35-66]
mean [min-max]		[]	
Gender			
Female	4 (31%)	4 (31%)	5 (36%)
Male	9 (69%)	9 (69%)	9 (64%)
Tumor location			
Temporal	3 (23.1%)	3 (23.1%)	2 (14.3%)
Frontal	7 (53.8 %)	10 (76.9%)	12 (85.7%)
Occipital	1 (7.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Parietal	2 (15.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Genetic alteration			
mutation IDH1/2	0 (0%)	13 (100%)	14 (100%)
del 1p/19q	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14 (100%)
ATRX-	NA	12 (92.3%)	0 (0%)
Progression			
Yes	12 (92.3%)	5 (38.5%)	3 (21.4%)
No	0 (0%)	8 (61.5%)	11 (78.6%)
NA	1 (7.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Tumor cells (%) mean [min-max]	55 [30-85]	38 [20-60]	40 [20-70]

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients with high-grade primary diffusegliomas constituting the test cohort





Figure 2













A

Frozen HGG and non tumoral samples



RNA extraction

В

Test Cohort

	Sample ID	% tumoral cells	_	Sample ID	% tumoral cells
1	0-1	60		G-1	30
	0-2	40		G-2	80
	0-3	20		G-3	50
100	0-4	50	100	G-4	60
1	0-5	20	obiastomas	G-5	40
1	0-6	40		G-6	65
1	0-7	60		G-7	60
3	0-8	50		G-8	70
8	0.9	20	舌	G-9	30
	O-10	50	1.2	G-10	50
100	O-11	20		G-11	30
	0-12	30		G-12	70
	O-13	70		G-13	70
	O-14	20	-		
	A-1	20			
	A-2	20			
	A-3	30			
	A-4	30			
君	A-5	20			
8	A-6	60			
8	A-7	30			
8	A-8	40			
2	A-9	60			
	A-10	30			
	A-11	40			
	A-12	60			
	A-13	50			

Validation Cohort

_	Sample ID	% tumoral cells
	O-a	70
8	O-b	90
8	O-c	80
8	O-d	80
8	O-e	40
8	0-f	60
6	O-g	90
	O-h	40
	A-a	30
8	A-b	20
100	A-c	20
8	A-d	30
W.	A-e	80
	A-f	50
	G-a	50
	G-b	60
-	G-c	70
Ē	G-d	80
18	G-e	40
-	G-1	70
.0	G-g	70
	G-h	30
	GI	60

Figure S1



Figure S2



Figure S3



Figure S4







issured by RT-qPCR oRNA abundance a

С

в

A

site/D	A.	padj	p.Adj signif	SNORD	Ensembl	Most variant
5.85, Um34	0.12	6.546-01	115	SNORD71	(N\$G0000221224	. 00
185_Am27	0.07	8.59E-01		SNORD27	EN5G0000275996	00.
185 Am99	-0.02	9.696-01	.715	5N08057	ENS00000226572	ne .
185_Cm174	0.60	4.321-04		SNORDASC	WSG00000206620	Vers
185_Um428	-0.18	4.62E-01	115	SNORD68	TN5G00000200084	110
185_0m436	0.18	4.62E-01	175	SNORD100	EN560000221500	- 140
185 Am 484	0.05	8.005-01	185	SNO/ID16	ENS00000399673	ne.
LES Arr576	0.68	9.500-06	****	SNORDER	NSG0000021740	- yes
185 Gm644	-0.22	4.21E-01	115	SNORD54	EN56000023865/	10
185_Gm867	0.20	4.626-01	15	SNORD98	ENS00000283551	
185 Um1288	0.06	8.596-01	. 75	SNOR0110	KN/G00000221118	RC.
185 Cm1391	0.41	2.476-02		SNORD2H	INSG00000274544	110
IRS Um1442	0.07	A.59E-01	175	SNO#D61	EN5G00000206579	110
185 Gm1447	0.04	8.822-01	ins.	SNOK0127	ENG00000239643	yes
185 Gm1490	-0.01	9.696-01	0.5	SNORD25	ENSG00000275041	PID.
185 Ami3678	0.24	3.60E-01	115	SNORD62	EN5500000202400	00
185 Cm1703	0.14	5.78E-01	115	SNORD43	EN560000263764	
185 Lim1804	0.07	A.5HC-01	75	SNORD20	EN45G00000207280	10
285 Am389	-0.11	7.236-01	115	SNORD26	ENSG0000275788	192
WS Gm1303	0.46	1.406-02		SNORD21	ENSS0000306680	- MILL
285 Cm1527	0.48	9.638-03		SNORD104	ENS/200000199753	- week
785 Gm1509	-0.19	4.628-01		SNORD2	ENV/00000238947	00
185 Gm1612	-0.05	8.825-01	ins.	SNORDRO	NR 007940	0.0
285 Gm1747	0.15	5.42E-01	115	SNORDTEA	EN/C00000308797	ing.
185 Cm1868	11.9.3	3 335-03		SNORD48	KN5000000000000000000000000000000000000	And a
185 Cm2338	0.09	7.875-01	19.6	SNO8D24	ENSG0000206411	140
285 Am2350	-0.05	9.68E-01	115	SNO9D76	NR 003942	D.C.
285 (imi2411	0.19	4.626-01	115	SNORDE	ENG200000002114	ne
185 Am2774	0.42	2 136 02		SNORDISE	ENGC00007215.00	Marce .
285 Cm2291	0.23	1935-01	115	5NORD55	ENS00000264294	0.0
265.11+2824	0.11	6.926-01	110	SNORD14	NX20000202501	00
THE ANIMOUT	0.62	2 350-02	0.0	SNORD37	100000000005775	110
185 Am 1703	0.19	4.625-01	100	INCRUSE	ENSCOURCES2542	1977
185 fim 1721	0.01	9.695-01	100	SNO8D67	ENS500000354341	14.0
WE AMILTIN	-0.23	1936-01	100	SNORD46	ENSCOD00200913	0.0
185 Cm3787	0.00	10-306-01	10.0	SNORD10	NSC0000218917	00
185 Am 1854	-0.05	8.805-01	115	SNORDag	ENISCO00000728-6	60
THE ANGEST	0.30	1.846-01	- 15	SNORD92	ENS/200000264994	00
THE CONTRACT	17.67	2 256.02		\$1008742	58 002745	110
ACADE VIEW STATE	-0.17	4.626-01	194	SNORD52	EN-500000000125-6	(Party
Het Gendrich	0.84	5 895-01	195	SAGADIO	5 N/5 CODDOC 017 15 OC	- North
IST Condition	0.00	13 655,01	100	SNORD41	NR 202562	0.0
TES. 1 hand 1 light	-0.02	2 186-01	144	SMORDER!	INSCORDANT PORT	100
HE GmARKS	10.28	A 675-01		SNORTH	ENG-COOPERTURES	1965
tild AmAria	0.17	A 125.01	112	ENDROUGH	NB 003500	151
COPPRIM_COM	0.09	10-14E-01		2404029	MR_002307	110



Figure S6

A



Figure S7



1 **Supplementary Information** 2 3 IDHwt and IDHmut adult-type diffuse gliomas display distinct alterations in 4 ribosome biogenesis and 2'O-methylation of ribosomal RNA 5 Hermes PARAQINDES^{1,2,#}, Nour-El-Houda MOURKSI^{1,#}, Samantha BALLESTA^{1,3,#}, 6 Jordan HEDJAM¹, Fleur BOURDELAIS^{1,4}, Tanguy FENOUIL^{1,9}, Thiébaud PICART^{1,9}, 7 Frédéric CATEZ¹, Théo COMBE^{1,2}, Anthony FERRARI^{1,2}, Janice KIELBASSA², Emilie 8 THOMAS^{1,2}, Laurie TONON^{1,2}, Alain VIARI^{1,2,5}, Valéry ATTIGNON^{1,6}, Marjorie 9 Jessie CARRERE^{1,6}, PERROSSIER^{1,6}, Stéphane GIRAUD^{1,3}, Christophe 10 VANBELLE^{1,12} Mathieu GABUT¹, Danny BERGERON⁷, Michelle S SCOTT⁷, Luis 11 CASTRO VEGA⁸, Nathalie MAGNE⁸, Emmanuelle HUILLARD⁸, Marc SANSON⁸, 12 David MEYRONET^{1,9}, Jean-Jacques DIAZ¹, François DUCRAY^{1,11,*}, Virginie 13 MARCEL^{1,#,*}, Sébastien DURAND^{1,#,*} 14 15 1. LabEx Dev2CAN, Institut Convergence Plascan, Centre de Recherche en 16 17 Cancérologie de Lyon, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Centre Léon Bérard, CEDEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, 18 19 France 2. Synergie Lyon Cancer, Gilles Thomas Bioinformatics Platform, Centre Léon Bérard, 20 21 CEDEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France 22 3. Plateforme organoïdes 3D-ONCO, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard 23 Lyon 1, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL), Lyon, 69373, France 24 25 4. Inovarion, 75005, Paris, France. 26 5. INRIA Grenoble Rhône-Alpes, 38330 Montbonnot-Saint-Martin, France 6. Cancer Genomics Platform, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, 27 28 CEDEX 08, F-69373 Lyon, France 29 7. Département de biochimie et génomique fonctionnelle, Faculté de médecine et des sciences de la santé, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec J1E 4K8, 30 31 Canada 8. Sorbonne Université, Inserm, CNRS, UMRS1127, Institut du Cerveau, ICM, AP-HP, 32 Hôpitaux Universitaires La Pitié Salpêtrière - Charles Foix, Service de Neurologie 2-33 34 Mazarin, 75013 Paris, France

- 9. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Laboratoire de biologie médicale et d'anatomie
 pathologique
- 37 10. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de Neurochirurgie tumorale et vasculaire, Hôpital
 38 Pierre Wertheimer
- 39 11. Hospices Civils de Lyon, Service de neuro-oncologie, Hôpital Pierre Wertheimer
- 40 12. Plateforme d'Imagerie Cellulaire, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard
- 41 Lyon 1, Inserm U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Centre Léon Bérard, Centre de Recherche
- 42 en Cancérologie de Lyon (CRCL), Lyon, 69373, France
- 43
- 44 # Equal contribution as co-first or co-last authors
- 45 * Corresponding authors: francois.ducray@chu-lyon.fr,
- 46 virginie.marcel@lyon.unicancer.fr and sebastien.durand@inserm.fr

- 47 Supplementary Materials and Methods
- 48

49 Cell culture

50 Human IDHwt glioblastoma 5706 and N131520 (from Dr A Idbaih), IDHmut 51 astrocytoma LGG85 (from Dr JP Hugnot), and IDHmut and 1p/19g codeleted 52 oligodendroglioma BT138 and BT237 (from Dr K Ligon) cell lines were cultured as 53 spheres in Ultra Low Attachment 6-well plates (Dutscher) to inhibit cell adhesion and 54 promote sphere formation. Spheroids were passaged at most 30 times by enzymatic 55 dissociation (Accumax, Sigma-Aldrich,). Glioblastoma and astrocytoma spheroids 56 were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 1X B27 and 1X N2 57 supplement (Fisher Scientific), 20 ng/mL bFGF (Miltenyi), 20 ng/mL EGF (Miltenyi) and 58 1X Penicillin/Streptomycin (Gibco). Oligodendroglioma spheroids were cultured in 59 NeuroCult NS-A Proliferation medium (STEMCELL technologies) supplemented with 20 ng/mL bFGF, 20 ng/mL EGF and 1X Penicillin/Streptomycin. 60

61

62 Human grade 3-4 adult-type diffuse glioma and non-neoplastic samples

63 Three cohorts were build using tumoral and non-neoplastic samples collected between 64 2010-2016 (NeuroBioTec, CRB HCL, Lyon, France, Biobank BB-0033-00046). First, a technical cohort containing 11 samples, including 8 grade 4 IDHwt glioblastoma (G) 65 and 3 non-tumoral (NT) samples (epilepsy surgery), was selected. Second, a test 66 cohort was composed of 40 high-grade (3-4) primary adult-type diffuse glioma samples 67 68 and comprised 13 IDHwt glioblastomas (G, annotated G1 to G13), 13 IDHmut astrocytomas (grade 3 n=9, grade 4 n=4) (A, A1 to A13) and 14 IDHmut and 1p/19q 69 codeleted oligodendrogliomas (grade 3) (O, A1 to A14) (WHO 2021 classification) 70 71 (Table 1). Six non-tumoral (NT) control samples were provided by patients without 72 diagnosed brain neoplastic events between 2003-2015 (epilepsy surgery for tuberous 73 sclerosis complex n=3 or for other cause n=2, autopsy n=1). Finally, a validation cohort 74 was composed of 23 high-grade (3-4) gliomas, including 9 IDHwt glioblastomas (G, 75 annotated Ga to Gi), 6 IDHmut astrocytomas (grade 3 n=5, grade 4 n=1) (A, Aa to Af) 76 and 8 IDHmut and 1p/19g co-deleted oligodendrogliomas (grade 3) (O, Oa to Oh). 77 The percentage of tumoral cells was estimated by a neuropathologist using Carbolic Toluidine Blue staining (RAL Diagnostics) during RNA preparation (Fig.S1). HGGs 78

79 were classified using an integrated histomolecular algorithm according to WHO 2021

80 classification, e.g., IDH-R132H immunostaining followed by IDH1/2 targeted Next 81 Generation Sequencing. In the test cohort, tumor samples were associated with clinical 82 data, including the IDH1/2 mutational status, the mitotic index and patient survival 83 (Table 1). Overall survival (OS) was defined as the survival duration from the date of 84 surgery (biopsy or surgery) to either the date of death or last follow-up. Progression-85 free survival (PFS) corresponded to the survival duration from the date of surgery 86 (biopsy or surgery) to either the date of radiological tumor progression, death, or last follow-up. Patients were informed and written consent of all participants was obtained 87 88 in accordance with French regulations.

89

90 Sample preparation and RNA purification

91 Approximately 15 to 30 frozen 10 µm sections were cut on a cryostat (Leica Biosystems 92 CM3050S) (Supplementary Figure S1A). The first, last and every 30th section of each 93 sample were stained with Carbolic Toluidine Blue (RAL Diagnostics) to assess sample 94 homogeneity and, when required, to estimate the percentage of tumoral cells before 95 RNA purification (Supplementary Figure S1B). Total RNA was extracted using either 96 the Maxwell RSC simplyRNA Tissue Kit (Promega) on a Maxwell RSC Instrument 97 (Promega) configured with Low Elution Volume (LEV) hardware according to the 98 manufacturer's protocol or TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. 99 RNA quality and concentrations were measured using a Nanodrop 2000 100 Spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific).

101

102 RiboMethSeq

103 RiboMeth-seq was performed as previously described using the Illumina sequencing 104 technology^{25,32}. Briefly, total RNA was fragmented under alkaline conditions prior to 105 the preparation of 24 sample libraries using the NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA Library 106 kit (New England Biolabs). A calibrated source of total RNA (Human Xpress Ref 107 Universal Total RNA, Qiagen) was introduced in each library. In contrast to previous 108 studies, sequencing was performed using an Illumina NovaSeg sequencer in single-109 end mode (SR50), in order to sequence up to 48 samples (equivalent to 2 libraries) 110 per run with a median sequencing depth of 40 million reads per sample.

111 To process the sequencing data, a novel nextflow pipeline RiboMethSeq-nf was 112 developed and is currently available (<u>https://github.com/RibosomeCRCL/ribomethseq-</u> 113 <u>nf</u>). This pipeline processes sequencing data as previously described^{25,32,33}. Briefly,
114 fastQC was used to verify sequencing quality and adapter removal was performed 115 using Trimmomatic. The trimmed reads were aligned by Bowtie2 on the 7.2 kb-long 116 rRNA sequence of reference (NR 046235) and the 5' read-ends were computed using 117 bedtool genomcov utility. To calculate the C-score, which reflects the rRNA 2'Ome 118 level, novel R rRMSAnalyzer the package was developed 119 (https://github.com/RibosomeCRCL/rRMSAnalyzer). The C-score was calculated 120 using a normalization against the median raw counts of neighboring +/- 6 nucleotide window²⁵. 121

122 The C-scores of the 106 admitted rRNA 2'Ome sites were extracted for further 123 analysis, either as a rRNA 2'Ome profile or as a site-by-site comparison. The most 124 variable rRNA 2'Ome sites were identified using the distribution of the inter quartile 125 range (IQR) of each site across the test cohort samples²⁵, the sites having an IQR 126 higher than median + 2 × median absolute deviation (mad) being defined as the most 127 variable ones. The identification of significant alterations in rRNA 2'Ome levels 128 between groups was performed by applying two consecutive thresholds: the adjusted 129 p-value < 0.05 (Kruskal-Wallis with FDR adjustment); and the mean Δ C-score (*i.e.*, 130 difference between the highest and lowest mean C-score of the groups of interest) > 131 0.05.

132

133 Batch effect adjustment of RiboMethSeq data using ComBat-Seq

134 Batch effect adjustment of RiboMethSeq data was performed using 5' read-end count 135 matrix as input for ComBat-seq¹. As currently reported for RNA-seq data, a batch effect 136 between two libraries may be observed using RiboMethSeg. ComBat-seg tool was 137 developed to adjust batch effect in RNA-seq data following a negative binomial 138 distribution and both its input and output are integer counts. ComBat-seg was used 139 with default parameter settings. This process produces an adjusted 5' read-end count 140 matrix that is then used to calculate the C-score as described above. Adjustment of 141 RiboMethSeq data using Combat-seq has been included in the rRMSAnalyser R 142 package as an optional function (https://github.com/RibosomeCRCL/rRMSAnalyzer).

143

144 **Reverse Transcription and real time quantitative PCR**

cDNA synthesis was performed using the Prime Script RT Reagent kit (Takara) from
500 ng or 200 ng of total RNA for real time and medium throughput qPCR, respectively.
Gene expression was evaluated by medium throughput qPCR using the Biomark HD

system (Fluidigm), as previously described²¹, and according to the manufacturer's 148 149 instructions. For each sample, two independent reverse transcription reactions were 150 performed. A PCR multiplex was first carried out to amplify genes of interest and 151 facilitate their detection (Table S1). After exonuclease I treatment, gPCR reactions 152 were performed on a 96.96 Dynamic Array[™] IFC (Fluidigm) using the Master Mix 2X 153 EvaGreen (Biorad) according to manufacturer's recommendations, in technical 154 triplicates. The Fluidigm Real Time PCR Analysis software (v 4.5.2) was used for the calculation of relative fold-changes by applying the $2^{-\Delta CT}$ method based on a total of 6 155 Ct values per sample. The median Ct value of 5 housekeeping mRNAs (ACTIN, 156 157 GAPDH, HPRT1, PGK1, PPIA) was used for normalization. Data were then normalized 158 against Human Xpress Ref Universal Total RNA (Qiagen) as a standard RNA.

Real time qPCR was performed using SYBR Green (Roche, Applied Biosystem) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Serial dilutions were systematically included to calculate qPCR efficacy, verify amplification linearity, and calculate relative cDNA concentrations. Relative fold-change was calculated as described above using *PPIA* mRNA levels to normalize SNORD levels.

164

165 Northern blot

RNA samples were analyzed by northern blot as previously described ². Briefly, total 166 167 RNAs were resolved by electrophoresis on 1.2% agarose, 6% formaldehyde, 0.02 M 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) gels. Transfers were performed by 168 169 capillarity on a nylon membrane (Nytran SuperCharge, Whatman) with 10X SSC (1.5 170 M sodium chloride, 0.15 M sodium citrate, pH 7.0). Membranes were incubated 171 overnight at 42°C with hybridization buffer (ULTRAhyb[™]-Oligo, Invitrogen) containing 172 Dv682-conjugated human β-actin (10 nM) and Dv782-conjugated 47S pre-rRNA (50 nM) DNA probes. Membranes were washed four times with 0.1X SSC and 0.1% SDS 173 174 before signal exposure on ChemiDoc MP (Bio-Rad). Quantification was performed 175 using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad) and relative 47S pre-rRNA levels were 176 determined by normalization against β -actin mRNA levels.

177 β -actin probe 1: 5'-Dy682-TTGCACATGCCGGAGCCGTTGTCGACGAC-3'

178 β -actin probe 2: 5'-Dy682-CACACGCAGCTCATTGTAGAAGGTGTGGTGCC-3'

- 179 β -actin probe 3 5'-Dy682-CGTACATGGCTGGGGTGTTGAAGGTCTCAAACAT-3'
- 180 47S ribosomal RNA probe:

- 181 5'-Dy782-
- 182 CGGAGGCCCAACCTCTCCGACGACAGGTCGCCAGAGGACAGCGTGTCAGC-3'183
- 184 snoRNA quantification using RiboMethSeq data
- SnoRNAs were quantified from RiboMethSeq datasets as previously described ³.
 Briefly, Trimmomatic was used to remove adaptors and low-quality reads ⁴. Trimmed
 reads were aligned with STAR ⁵ to the human genome assembly (Ensembl hg38 V101)
 using our custom annotation (based on Ensembl hg38 V101) containing added
 snoRNAs
- 190 (https://zenodo.org/record/4570182/files/hg38_Ensembl_V101_Scottlab_2020.gtf) ⁶.
- Counts were attributed to genomic features using CoCo⁷ and our custom annotation.

193 IC₅₀ assay

Approximately 3.10³ cells per well were seeded onto 96-well microplates Ultra Low 194 195 Attachment (Corning, 4515) in triplicate to form spheroids. After overnight incubation, 196 spheroids were treated with seven or nine doses of CX5461 (Sigma-Aldrich)²⁴ or BMH-197 21 (Sigma-Aldrich)²⁵, respectively, at constant final DMSO concentrations (1%). After 198 72 h, cell cytotoxicity was assessed by CellTox[™] Green Cytotoxicity Assay (Promega), 199 according to the manufacturer's instructions, followed by imaging using Opera 200 Phoenix[®] Plus High-Content Screening System, and by CellTiter-Glo3D[®] luminescent 201 cell viability assay (Promega) quantified with Spark[®] microplate to determine the IC₅₀. 202 Cell viability was expressed as a percentage of the signal intensity normalized against 203 DMSO (1%).

204

205 Statistical methods

206 Data and statistical analyses of both RT-gPCR and RiboMethSeg as well as graphical 207 representation, were performed using R (v 4.1.2). Unsupervised data analysis was 208 achieved by hierarchical clustering and principal component analysis (PCA). 209 Hierarchical clustering was generated with the ComplexHeatmap package using 210 Manhattan distance and Ward's linkage method. PCA was computed using ade4 211 package with the default parameters and visualized via factoextra package. To 212 correlate the first 5 principal components (PCs) of the PCA on gene expression or 213 rRNA 2'Ome profiles with the clinical features (OS, PFS, mitotic index), the

- 214 eigencorplot function of PCAtools package was used. Pearson's correlation coefficient
- and the False Discovery Rate (FDR) method for the adjusted p-values were applied.
- 216 Pairwise comparison between groups was performed using non-parametric Wilcoxon
- 217 rank-sum test, or Kruskal-Wallis test when more than 2 groups were identified. The
- FDR method was used for p-value adjustment when multiple tests were undertaken. A
- 219 p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Regarding the rRNA
- 220 2'Ome, in addition to a significant adjusted p-value, a mean ΔC -score greater than 0.05
- was considered for identifying differentially methylated 2'Ome rRNA 2'Ome sites, the
- $222~\Delta C$ -score corresponding to the difference between the highest and the lowest mean C-
- score of the groups of interest.
- 224 Cell viability and IC₅₀ were determined using the log(inhibitor) vs. response variable
- slope sigmoidal (four PL) function of GraphPad Prism (v 9.5.0 (525)).
- 226
- 227
- 228

- 229 Supplementary References
- 230
- 2311.Zhang Y, Parmigiani G, Johnson WE. ComBat-seq: batch effect adjustment for232RNA-seqcountdata.NARGenomBioinform.2020;2(3).233doi:10.1093/NARGAB/LQAA078
- Durand S, Franks TM, Lykke-Andersen J. Hyperphosphorylation amplifies UPF1
 activity to resolve stalls in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. *Nature Communications 2016 7:1*. 2016;7(1):1-12. doi:10.1038/ncomms12434
- Fafard-Couture É, Bergeron D, Couture S, Abou-Elela S, Scott MS. Annotation
 of snoRNA abundance across human tissues reveals complex snoRNA-host
 gene relationships. *Genome Biol*. 2021;22(1):1-24. doi:10.1186/S13059-021 02391-2/FIGURES/6
- 2414.Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina242sequencedata.Bioinformatics.2014;30(15):2114-2120.243doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTU170
- 2445.Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq245aligner.*Bioinformatics*.2013;29(1):15-21.246doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTS635
- Bergeron D, Laforest C, Carpentier S, et al. SnoRNA copy regulation affects
 family size, genomic location and family abundance levels. *BMC Genomics*.
 2021;22(1). doi:10.1186/S12864-021-07757-1
- 2507.Deschamps-Francoeur G, Boivin V, Abou Elela S, Scott MS. CoCo: RNA-seq251read assignment correction for nested genes and multimapped reads.252Bioinformatics.2512019;35(23):5039-5047.
- doi:10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTZ433
- 254
- 255
- 256
- 257

258 Supplementary Table 1. RT-qPCR primer sequences

Genes	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Genomic location
*Actin	5'-CCAACCGCGAGAAGATGA-3'	5'-TCCATCACGATGCCAGTG-3'	7p22.1
BOP1	5'-GACGATCCTGACTACTGGCG-3'	5'-ACCTGCTCATCCGTCAGTCT-3'	8q24.3
DKC1	5'-CCCTTTGGAAAAGCTGTTGA-3'	5'-TAATCTTGGCCCCATAGCAG-3'	Xq28
FBL #1	5'-CCTGGGGAATCAGTTTATGG-3'	5'-CCAGGCTCGGTACTCAATTTT-3'	19q13.2
FBL #2	5'-CCTGCGTAATGGAGGACACT-3'	5'-GCTGAGGCTGTGGAGTCAAT-3'	
*GAPDH	5'-AGCCACATCGCTCAGACAC-3'	5'-GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC-3'	12p13.31
GAR1	5'-CAAGACCAAGGACCTCCAGA-3'	5'-TCATCTTCACAGGGATGCAG-3'	4q25
*HPRT1	5'-TGACACTGGCAAAACAATGCA-3'	5'-GGTCCTTTTCACCAGCAAGCT-3'	Xq26.2
NCL #1	5'-CCAGAACCAAAATGGCAAAT-3'	5'-CTGATTGCTCTGCCCTCAAT-3'	2q37.1
NCL #2	5'-GTCAGCAAGGATGGGAAAAG-3'	5'-TAGATCGCCCATCGATCTCT-3'	
NHP2	5'-GGTCAACCAGAACCCCATC-3'	5'-TTCTGCTTCACCGCTTTCTT-3'	5q35.3
NOP10	5'-GAAGAAATTTGACCCGATGG-3'	5'-TGAAGCGTTTCTTGATGGTG-3'	15q14
NOP56	5'-AGGCTATTCTGGATGCCTCA-3'	5'-GTAGGCTCTGGCGGTATTCA-3'	20p13
NPM	5'-TTGTTGAAGCAGAGGCAATG-3'	5'-TATTTCAAAGCCCCCAAGG-3'	5q35.1
PES1	5'-GGCAAGAGGCGAAAAATCCG-3'	5'-TTCTTCTCAGACCTCACCGC-3'	22q12.2
*PGK1	5'-AAGTGAAGCTCGGAAAGCTTCTAT-3'	5'-AGGGAAAAGATGCTTCTGGG-3'	Xq21.1
PIH1D1	5'-TGAGTCTGGGAGAGCCTCAT-3'	5'-AAATCGCTGTTCTGCATCCT-3'	19q13.33
POLR1A	5'-CTGAGCCCCTGGGAATTGAG-3'	5'-CCTTCATTCTTCCACAGGGCA-3'	2p11.2
*PPIA	5'-GTCAACCCCACCGTGTTCTT-3'	5'-CTGCTGTCTTTGGGACCTTGT-3'	7p13
RUVBL1	5'-AAGGGGATGTGC ACA AAA AG	5'-CACATCCAAGTCATGCAAGG-3'	3q21.3
RUVBL2	5'-CGCTCTTCTCAGGTGACACA-3'	5'-CAGCACTCCAGGGATGATCT-3'	19q13.33
SNU13	5'-GCTACTGGACCTCGTTCAGC-3'	5'-ACTCAGAGATGCCCCTGTTG-3'	22q13.2
TAF1A	5'-TCCTGGAGTTTGGGACCCTT-3'	5'-TGGTGAGTACCTCTTGGGCT-3'	1q41
TAF1B	5'-CGAGGAGGCGGAAGAGTTTA-3'	5'-TCTCTCTGTAACATTGTGGCAAGA-3'	2p25.1
TAF1C	5'-CGGAGTGAAGATGCTGGACA-3'	5'-GCCCCCAAACGAAAAAGCAA-3'	16q24.1
UBTF	5'-TGTGGAACGACCTGTCTGAG-3'	5'-CTCTCCGACTGAGCCTTGAG-3'	17q21.31
WDR12	5'-TAAAGGGGCAGAGGAATGGAT-3'	5'-CAACATCCGTATGTCCCACAA-3'	2q33.2
SNORD31	5'- ACCAGTGATGAGTTGAATACCG-3'	5'- CACAGCTCAGAAAATACCTTTCA-3'	11q12.3
SNORD104	5'-GCCTGCTGTGATGACATTCC-3'	5'-TCAGACTCCAGTTCGCATCA-3'	17q23.3
SNORD144	5'-TTCATATCCAGTGATTAAACCTTTTC-3'	5'-ATTCAATAATCACAACGGTTCA-3'	4q21.22
SNORD127	5'-GCAACTGTGATGAAAGATTTGGT-3'	5'-GGCAACATCAGTTTAGAGGGA-3'	14q21.2
SNORD46	5'-AGAATCCTTAGGCGTGGTTG-3'	5'-ATGACAAGTCCTTGCATTGG-3'	1p34.1
SNORD93	5'-GCCAAGGATGAGAACTCTAATCTGA-3'	5'-GCCTCAGGTAAATCCTTTAATCCA-3'	7p15.3
SNORD69	5'-TGAAGCAAATGATGATAAACTGG-3'	5'-AACATGAAGCTCAGGGTTGG-3'	3p21.1
SNORD119	5'-AACCTTGACTGAAGCTGATGA-3'	5'-CTGGATCTCAGAGTAATCCTGCT-3'	20p13
SNORD65	5'-AAATCACCCAAAATAGCTGGAA-3'	5'-TCAGAAAACCATAGGTTCACCA-3'	17p11.2
SNORD91A	5'-CGTCTGAACCTGTCTGAAGCA-3'	5'-GGAGAAGTCTCAGAACCACACA-3'	17p13.3
SNORD91B	5'-AGAGCCAATGATGTTTTTATTCAA-3'	5'-ACACAGAAGTTGCATCACTGG-3'	17p13.3
SNORD80	5'-ACAATGATGATAACATAGTTCAGCAG-3'	5'-ATCAGATAGGAGCGAAAGACTTAATA-3'	1q25.1

259 *Housekeeping genes

260

262

261 Supplementary Figure Legends

263 Figure S1. Sample processing and anatomopathological analyses. (A) Diagram 264 presenting the workflow of sample processing. Briefly, snap frozen tissues of the test 265 and validation cohorts were cut into 10 µm-slices using a cryostat. The first, the last as 266 well as one slice every 30 sections were placed on glass slides and stained with 267 Toluidine Blue for analysis by an anatomopathologist to estimate the number of slices 268 required for further analyses, to verify the tumor integrity in the course of tumor cutting 269 and to collect tumoral features (percentage of tumoral cells, necrosis). Other slices 270 were pooled and RNA extraction was performed on a Maxwell® apparatus. For the 271 technical cohort, pooled slices were separated in half and RNA was extracted using either Trizol or Maxwell®. Created with Biorender.com. (B) A table indicating the 272 273 percentage of tumor cells in each sample from the test and the validation cohorts.

274

275 Figure S2. Reproducibility of RiboMethSeq using two different methods of RNA 276 extraction. (A) An unsupervised Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on C-277 scores of the 106 known rRNA 2'Ome sites of the technical cohort composed of 11 278 samples. Total RNA was extracted either by Maxwell (S1-1 to S11-1, red) or Trizol (S1-279 2 to S11-2, blue) methods. Percentage of variance explained by PC1 and PC2 are 280 indicated. (B) Summary of Pearson's correlation coefficient (R) of C-scores obtained 281 with the two different RNA extraction methods. In the technical cohort, a strong 282 correlation between C-scores was observed within the same sample, regardless of the 283 method used for RNA purification.

284

285 Figure S3. RiboMethSeq optimization using Illumina NovaSeq device and 286 **ComBat-seq algorithm.** (A) Distribution of the numbers of either sequence reads or 287 uniquely mapped reads using the Illumina NovaSeg device in the 48 samples of the 288 test cohort (40 HGGs, 6 non-neoplastic and 2 reference RNAs). A blue line indicates 289 the mean of sequence reads obtained in a previous work using an Illumina HiSeq 290 device (Marcel et al. NAR cancer 2021). (B) Unsupervised Principal Component 291 Analysis (PCA) based on either C-scores at 7055 rRNA sites (top) or C-scores at the 292 106 known rRNA 2'Ome sites (bottom) before (left) and after (right) adjustment of 5'-

end read counts using ComBat-seq algorithm. The 48 samples of the test cohort were
prepared as two distinct libraries (L1, green; L2, red). RNA control samples included
in each library are indicated.

296

297 Figure S4. IDHwt glioblastomas have a distinct rRNA 2'Ome profile, which is 298 correlated with clinical features. (A) Unsupervised Principal Component Analysis 299 (PCA) on adjusted C-scores at the 106 known rRNA 2'Ome sites in the test cohort 300 composed of IDHwt glioblastoma (G, pink circle), high-grade astrocytoma (A, green 301 triangle), high-grade oligodendroglioma (O, purple diamond) and non-neoplastic (NT, 302 grey square) samples. Percentage of variance explained by PC1 and PC2 are 303 indicated. The 95 % confidence ellipsoids around the centroid (larger pink circle, green 304 triangle, purple diamond and grey square) of each group are drawn. (B) Box plots 305 showing the distribution of IDHwt and IDHmut HGG samples of the test cohort on PC1 306 (left panel) or PC2 (right panel) axes. P-values using non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-307 sum test are indicated on top. (C) Correlations between the clinical features (OS: 308 overall survival; PFS: progression-free survival; and mitotic index) and projections on 309 either PC1 (left) and PC2 (right) are displayed for the HGG types of the test cohort. 310 Pearson's correlation coefficients (R) are indicated on top. (D) A heatmap showing 311 Pearson's correlation coefficients (R), as calculated in (C), of PC1 to PC5 axes with 312 sample clinical features for the test cohort. R values are depicted by different colors 313 from -0.6 (red, negative correlation) to 0.6 (blue, positive correlation), Significant correlations are indicated by an asterisk: **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. (E) Unsupervised 314 315 PCA based on the C-score of the 106 known rRNA 2'Ome sites of the technical cohort 316 composed of 3 non-neoplastic (grey square) and 8 IDHwt glioblastoma (pink circle) 317 samples.

318

Figure S5. Comparison of rRNA 2'Ome sites significantly altered between HGG subtypes (A) or glioblastoma and non-neoplastic samples (B) in independent cohorts. Box plots showing the C-score distribution in HGG subtypes of the validation cohort (A) or in IDHwt glioblastoma (pink) and non-neoplastic (grey) samples of the technical cohort (B) for the 15 and 12 sites identified in the test cohort shown in Figure 3, respectively. P-values determined by Kruskal-Wallis or Mann-Whitney statistical tests are indicated at the bottom of each panel and median C-scores are drawn asblack lines.

327

328 Figure S6. Comparison of rRNA 2'Ome levels and expression of related C/D box 329 snoRNAs. (A) Correlations of the expression of 12 C/D box snoRNAs (SNORDs) in a 330 panel of 9 HGG samples of the test cohort either determined from RiboMethSeq data 331 (TPM, y-axis) or measured by RT-qPCR (relative mRNA expression, x-axis). 332 Spearman's correlation coefficients (*R*) are indicated with corresponding p-values. (**B**) 333 Summary of Spearman's correlation coefficient (*R*) between C-scores at 46 rRNA sites 334 and associated-SNORD expressions both determined by RiboMethSeg in the test 335 cohort. The most variable sites identified in Figure 2 are indicated. Significant 336 correlations are indicated with asterisk: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.001; ****337 0.0001. (C) Correlation of C-scores at 18S Am576 and corresponding expression 338 levels of the related SNORD93 in the test cohort. R and p-value are indicated on the 339 top left-hand side of the graph.

340

341 Figure S7. HGG histomolecular types display IDH mutational status-associated 342 disparities in RiBi. (A) Northern blots using probes targeting the 47S pre-rRNA and 343 actin mRNAs on IDHwt glioblastoma (G, pink), high-grade astrocytoma (A, green), 344 high-grade oligodendroglioma (O, purple) and non-neoplastic (NT, grey) samples. The 345 47S pre-rRNA expression is normalized against actin mRNA signals and expression 346 levels depending on "NT-6" samples are indicated below ("Rel. Exp"). ND: 47S pre-347 rRNA expression levels could not be determined due to undetectable actin mRNA 348 levels. (B) Distribution of median Ct values of 5 reference genes (ACTIN, GAPDH, 349 HPRT1, PGK1, PPIA) in the HGG subtypes of the test cohort: IDHwt glioblastomas (G, 350 pink); high-grade astrocytomas (A, green); high-grade oligodendrogliomas (O, purple); 351 non-neoplastic (NT, grey) samples; and a reference RNA (RNA ctrl, blue) (C) 352 Correlation between the clinical features (OS: overall survival; PFS: progression-free 353 survival; and mitotic index) of HGG samples and projections on either PC1 (left) or PC2 354 (right) axes from PCA in Figure 4B. Pearson's correlation coefficients (R) are indicated 355 on top left-hand side. Each dot corresponds to a sample colored as in (B). (D) 356 Distribution of IDHwt and IDHmut HGG samples on PC1 (left panel) or PC2 (right 357 panel) axes from PCA in Figure 4B. P-values using non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum

- test are indicated on top. (E) Distribution of 1p19q deleted or non-deleted ("WT")
 samples on PC1 (top panel) or PC2 (bottom panel) axes from PCA in Figure 4B. Pvalues using non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test are indicated on top.
- 361

362 Figure S8. RiBi is elevated in high-grade astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas.

(A) Summary of pairwise comparisons of relative mRNA expression levels of individual 363 364 RiBi genes from the RiBi-gene set. Positive and negative fold-changes are shown in 365 blue and red, respectively. Adjusted p-value using Wilcoxon Mann Whitney statistical test are indicated (*: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001; ****: p < 0.0001; ****: p < 0.0001; ns, not 366 367 significant). Genes located in the region 1p/19q are indicated. (B) Relative expression 368 of NCL (left) and FBL (right) mRNAs in IDHwt glioblastoma (G, pink), high-grade 369 astrocytomas (A, green), high-grade oligodendrogliomas (O, purple), non-neoplastic 370 (NT, grey) samples determined by RT-qPCR using 2 different primer sets (#1 and #2).